Nonlinear Analysis: Modelling and Control, 2010, Vol. 15, No. 4, 397-403

# Existence results for a class of (p, q) Laplacian systems

G.A. Afrouzi, M. Mirzapour

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Sciences University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran afrouzi@umz.ac.ir

Received: 2009-10-21 Revised: 2010-10-05 Published online: 2010-11-29

**Abstract.** We establish the existence of a nontrivial solution for inhomogeneous quasilinear elliptic systems:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda a(x)u|u|^{\gamma-2} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}b(x)u|u|^{\alpha-2}|v|^{\beta} + f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_q v = \mu d(x)v|v|^{\gamma-2} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}b(x)|u|^{\alpha}v|v|^{\beta-2} + g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (u,v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Our result depending on the local minimization.

**Keywords:** elliptic systems, Nehari manifold, Ekeland variational principle, local minimization.

#### **1** Introduction

In this paper we deal with the nonlinear elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda a(x) u |u|^{\gamma-2} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} b(x) u |u|^{\alpha-2} |v|^{\beta} + f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_q v = \mu d(x) v |v|^{\gamma-2} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} b(x) |u|^{\alpha} v |v|^{\beta-2} + g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (u,v) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$
(1)

where 1 < p, q < N and  $\Omega$  is a regular set of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \ge 3$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are positive parameters, functions a(x), b(x) and  $d(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  are smooth functions with change sign on  $\overline{\Omega}$ , we assume here that  $1 < \gamma < \min(p,q)$ ,  $\gamma < \alpha + \beta$ ,  $\alpha + \beta > \max(p,q)$  and  $\alpha/p + \beta/q = 1$ . For  $p \ge 1$   $\Delta_p u$  is the *p*-Laplacian defined by  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$  and  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is the closer of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  equipped by the norm  $||u||_{1,p} := ||\nabla u||_p$ , where  $||.||_p$  represent the norm of Lebesgue space  $L^p(\Omega)$ . The Lebesgue integral in  $\Omega$  will be denote by the symbol  $\int$  whenever the integration is carried out over all  $\Omega$ .

Let p' be the conjugate to  $p, W_0^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$  is the dual space to  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and we denote by  $\|.\|_{-1,p'}$  its norm. We denote by  $\langle x^*, x \rangle_{X^*,X}$  the natural duality paring between X and

 $X^*$ . The problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = u |u|^{\alpha - 1} |v|^{\beta + 1} + f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta_q v = |u|^{\alpha + 1} v |v|^{\beta - 1} + g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain,  $f \in D_0^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ ,  $g \in D_0^{-1,q'}(\Omega)$  has been studied in [1] for  $p \neq q$  and in a recent paper [2] for  $p \neq q$  on arbitrary domains with lack of compactness. Let us define  $X = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$  equipped with the norm  $||(u,v)||_X = ||u||_{1,p} + ||v||_{1,q}$  and (X, ||.||) is a reflexive and separable Banach space.

**Definition 1** (Weak solution). We say that  $(u, v) \in X$  is a weak solution of (1) if:

$$\begin{split} &\int |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u . \nabla w_1 \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \lambda \int a(x) u |u|^{\gamma-2} w_1 \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \int b(x) u |u|^{\alpha-2} |v|^{\beta} w_1 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int f w_1 \, \mathrm{d}x, \\ &\int |\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v . \nabla w_2 \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \mu \int \mathrm{d}(x) v |v|^{\gamma-2} w_2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} \int b(x) |u|^{\alpha} v |v|^{\beta-2} w_2 \, \mathrm{d}x + \int g w_2 \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

for all  $(w_1, w_2) \in X$ .

It is clear that problem (1) has a variational structure.

It is well known if the Euler function  $\phi$  is bounded below and  $\phi$  has a minimizer on X, then this minimizer is a critical point of  $\phi$ . However, the Euler function  $\phi(u, v)$ , associated with the problem (1), is not bounded below on the whole space X, but is bounded on an appropriate subset, and has a minimizer on this set (if it exists) which gives rise to solution to (1). Clearly, the critical points of  $\phi$  are the weak solutions of problem (1).

The associated Euler–Lagrange functional to system (1)  $\phi: X \to R$  is defined by

$$\phi(u,v) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{1,p}^{p} + \frac{1}{q} \|v\|_{1,q}^{q} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \lambda \int a(x) |u|^{\gamma} + \mu \int d(x) |v|^{\gamma} \right] - \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} \int b(x) |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta} - \langle f, u \rangle - \langle g, v \rangle.$$
(2)

Consider the Nehari manifold associated to problem (1) given by

$$\Lambda = \{(u,v) \in X \setminus \{(0,0)\}; \ \phi'(u,v)(u,v) = 0\}, \quad m_1 = \inf_{(u,v) \in \Lambda} J(u,v).$$

Consequently, for every  $(u, v) \in \Lambda$ , (2) becomes

$$\phi_{|\Lambda}(u,v) = A(p) \|u\|_{1,p}^p + A(q) \|v\|_{1,q}^q - A(\gamma) \left[\lambda \int a(x) |u|^{\gamma} + \mu \int d(x) |v|^{\gamma}\right] - A(1) \langle f, u \rangle - A(1) \langle g, v \rangle,$$

where for all t > 0,  $A(t) = 1/t - 1/(\alpha + \beta)$ .

We introduce the operators  $J_1, J_2, D_1, D_2, B_1, B_2 \colon X \to X^*$  in the following way

$$\begin{split} \left\langle J_1(u,v),(w,z) \right\rangle_X &:= \int |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla w, \\ \left\langle J_2(u,v),(w,z) \right\rangle_X &:= \int |\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v \nabla z, \\ \left\langle D_1(u,v),(w,z) \right\rangle_X &:= \int a(x) |u|^{\gamma-2} u w, \\ \left\langle D_2(u,v),(w,z) \right\rangle_X &:= \int d(x) |v|^{\gamma-2} v z, \\ \left\langle B_1(u,v),(w,z) \right\rangle_X &:= \int b(x) |u|^{\alpha-2} |v|^{\beta} u w, \\ \left\langle B_2(u,v),(w,z) \right\rangle_X &:= \int b(x) |u|^{\alpha} |v|^{\beta-2} v z. \end{split}$$

#### 2 Main results

Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $(f,g) \in W_0^{-1,p'}(\Omega) \times W_0^{-1,q'}(\Omega)$ , non of the functions f and g is identically to zero on  $\Omega$  and:

(a)  $1 < \gamma < \min(p, q)$ , (b)  $\gamma < \alpha + \beta$ , (c)  $\alpha + \beta > \max(p, q)$ .

Then, there exists a pair  $(u^*, v^*) \in \Lambda$  such that the sequence  $(u_n, v_n)$  converges strongly to  $(u^*, v^*)$  in X, Moreover,  $(u^*, v^*)$  is a solution of system (1) satisfies the property  $\phi(u^*, v^*) < 0$ .

**Definition 2.** We say that  $\phi$  satisfies the Palais–Smale condition  $(PS)_c$  if every sequence  $(u_m, v_m) \subset X$  such that  $\phi(u_m, v_m)$  is bounded and  $\phi'(u_m, v_m) \to 0$  in  $X^*$  as  $m \to \infty$ , is relatively compact in X.

**Lemma 1.** The operators  $J_i$ ,  $D_i$ ,  $B_i$ , i = 1, 2, are well defined. Also  $J_i$ , i = 1, 2, are continuous and the operators  $D_i$ ,  $B_i$ , i = 1, 2, are compact.

Proof. This lemma is proved in [3].

**Lemma 2.** Let  $(u_n, v_n)$  be a bounded sequence in X such that  $\phi(u_n, v_n)$  is bounded and  $\phi'(u_n, v_n) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Then  $(u_n, v_n)$  has a convergent subsequence.

*Proof.* Since the sequence  $(u_n, v_n)$  is bounded in X, we may consider that there is a subsequence (denote again by  $(u_n, v_n)$ ), which is weakly convergent in X.

Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \phi'(u_{n}, v_{n}) - \phi'(u_{m}, v_{m}), (u_{n} - u_{m}, v_{n} - v_{m}) \right\rangle \\ &= \int \left( |\nabla u_{n}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n} - |\nabla u_{m}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{m}) (\nabla u_{n} - \nabla u_{m}) \right. \\ &+ \int \left( |\nabla v_{n}|^{q-2} \nabla v_{n} - |\nabla v_{m}|^{q-2} \nabla v_{m}) (\nabla v_{n} - \nabla v_{m}) \right. \\ &- \lambda \int a(x) \left( |u_{n}|^{\gamma-2} u_{n} - |u_{m}|^{\gamma-2} u_{m}) (u_{n} - u_{m}) \right. \\ &- \mu \int d(x) \left( |v_{n}|^{\gamma-2} v_{n} - |v_{m}|^{\gamma-2} v_{m}) (v_{n} - v_{m}) \right. \\ &- \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \int b(x) \left( |u_{n}|^{\alpha-2} |v_{n}|^{\beta} u_{n} - |u_{m}|^{\alpha-2} |v_{m}|^{\beta} u_{m}) (u_{n} - u_{m}) \right. \\ &- \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \int b(x) \left( |u_{n}|^{\alpha} |v_{n}|^{\beta-2} v_{n} - |u_{m}|^{\alpha} |v_{m}|^{\beta-2} v_{m}) (v_{n} - v_{m}) \right. \\ &- \int \left( f(x_{n}) - f(x_{m}) \right) (u_{n} - u_{m}) - \int \left( g(x_{n}) - g(x_{m}) \right) (v_{n} - v_{m}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(u_n, v_n)$  converges strongly in  $L^p(\Omega) \times L^q(\Omega)$ , it is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^p(\Omega) \times L^q(\Omega)$ . Using Holder inequality (since  $\alpha/p + \beta/q = 1$  and  $(\alpha - 1)/\alpha + 1/\alpha = 1$ ) we have

$$\begin{split} \int b(x)|u_{n}|^{\alpha-2}|v_{n}|^{\beta}u_{n}(u_{n}-u_{m}) \\ &\leq \|b\|_{\infty} \int |u_{n}|^{\alpha-1}|v_{n}|^{\beta}|u_{n}-u_{m}| \\ &\leq \|b\|_{\infty} \left[ \int \left(|u_{n}|^{\alpha-1}|u_{n}-u_{m}|\right)^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \left[ \int \left(|v_{n}|^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{q}{\beta}} \right]^{\frac{\beta}{q}} \\ &\leq \|b\|_{\infty} \left[ |u_{n}|^{\frac{(\alpha-1)p}{\alpha}}|u_{n}-u_{m}|^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \|v_{n}\|_{q}^{\beta} \\ &\leq \|b\|_{\infty} \left[ \int \left(|u_{n}|^{\frac{(\alpha-1)p}{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{p} \times \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} \left[ \int |u_{n}-u_{m}|^{\frac{p}{\alpha} \times \alpha} \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{p} \times \frac{1}{\alpha}} \|v_{n}\|_{q}^{\beta} \\ &= \|b\|_{\infty} \|u_{n}\|_{p}^{\alpha-1} \|u_{n}-u_{m}\|_{p} \|v_{n}\|_{q}^{\beta} \to 0. \end{split}$$

Similarly

$$\int b(x) (|u_n|^{\alpha} |v_n|^{\beta-2} v_n - |u_m|^{\alpha} |v_m|^{\beta-2} v_m) (v_n - v_m) \to 0.$$

From the compactness of the operators  $B_i$ ,  $D_i$  (i = 1, 2), [4], continuity of f and g, we

obtain (passing to a subsequence, if necessary) that

$$\int \left( |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_m|^{p-2} \nabla u_m \right) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u_m) + \int \left( |\nabla v_n|^{q-2} \nabla v_n - |\nabla v_m|^{q-2} \nabla v_m \right) (\nabla v_n - \nabla v_m) \to 0$$

which implies (see [5]) that  $(u_n, v_n)$  converges strongly in X.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $c \in R$ . Then the functional  $\phi(u, v)$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c$  condition.

*Proof.* According to Lemma 2, it sufficient to prove that the sequence  $(u_n, v_n)$  is bounded in X. We have

Let  $(u_n, v_n)$  be such a sequence, that is

$$\phi(u_n, v_n) = c + o_n(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi'(u_n, v_n) = o_n\big(\big\|(u_n, v_n)\big\|_X\big),$$

then

$$\begin{split} \phi(u_n, v_n) &- \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} \left\langle \phi'(u_n, v_n), (u_n, v_n) \right\rangle \\ &= A(p) \|u_n\|_{1,p}^p + A(q) \|v_n\|_{1,q}^q - A(\gamma) \left[ \lambda \int a(x) |u_n|^{\gamma} + \mu \int b(x) |v_n|^{\gamma} \right] \\ &- A(1) \langle f, u_n \rangle - A(1) \langle g, v_n \rangle \\ &= c + o_n \big( \|(u_n, v_n)\|_X \big) + o_n(1). \end{split}$$

Using successively the Holder's inequality and the Young inequality on the terms  $\langle f, u_n \rangle$ and  $\langle g, v_n \rangle$ , we can write

$$\begin{split} & \left[ A(p) \|u_n\|_{1,p}^p - \frac{A(1)}{p} \theta^p \|u_n\|_{1,p}^p - \lambda A(\gamma) \|u_n\|_{1,p}^{\gamma} \right] \\ & + \left[ A(q) \|v_n\|_{1,q}^q - \frac{A(1)}{q} \nu^q \|v_n\|_{1,q}^q - \mu A(\gamma) \|v_n\|_{1,q}^{\gamma} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{A(1)}{p'} \theta^{-p'} \|f\|_{-1,p'}^{p'} + \frac{A(1)}{q'} \nu^{-q'} \|g\|_{-1,q'}^{q'} + c + o_n \big( \|(u_n, v_n\|) + o_n(1) \big) \Big] \end{split}$$

Since the real numbers  $\theta$  and  $\nu$  being arbitrary, a suitable choose of  $\theta$  and  $\nu$  assure the boundedness of the sequence  $(u_n, v_n)$ .

**Lemma 4.** The critical value of  $\phi$  on  $\Lambda$ ,  $m_1 = \inf_{(u,v) \in \Lambda} \phi(u,v)$ , has the following property:

$$m_1 < \min\left[-\frac{\|f\|_{-1,p'}^{p'}}{p'}, -\frac{\|g\|_{-1,q'}^{q'}}{q'}\right].$$

*Proof.* Let  $u_f$  be the unique solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and let  $\boldsymbol{v}_g$  be the unique solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_q v = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $(u_f, 0), (0, v_g)$  are two elements of  $\Lambda$  and we have

$$m_{1} \leq \phi(u_{f}, 0) = \left[\frac{1}{p} \|\nabla u_{f}\|_{p}^{p} - \langle f, u_{f} \rangle\right] = -\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \|\nabla u_{f}\|_{p}^{p} = -\frac{1}{p'} \|\nabla u_{f}\|_{p}^{p}$$
$$m_{1} \leq \phi(0, v_{g}) = \left[\frac{1}{q} \|\nabla v_{g}\|_{q}^{q} - \langle g, v_{g} \rangle\right] = -\left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \|\nabla v_{g}\|_{q}^{q} = -\frac{1}{q'} \|\nabla v_{g}\|_{q}^{q}.$$

Similarly to proof of J. Velin [13, 4.2], we can show that

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{-1,p'}^{p'} &= \|\nabla u_f\|_p^p, \\ \|g\|_{-1,q'}^{q'} &= \|\nabla v_g\|_q^q. \end{split}$$

Then

$$m_1 \le \min\left[-\frac{1}{p'} \|f\|_{-1,p'}^{p'}, -\frac{1}{q'} \|g\|_{-1,q'}^{q'}\right].$$

Thus, the Lemma is proved.

## **3 Proof of the Theorem 1**

We show that  $\phi$  is bounded below on  $\Lambda$ . Let (u, v) be an arbitrary element in  $\Lambda$ . We have

$$\begin{split} \phi_{|\Lambda}(u,v) &\geq \left[ A(p) \|u\|_{1,p}^p - \frac{A(1)}{p} \theta^p \|u\|_{1,p}^p \right] + \left[ A(q) \|v\|_{1,q}^q - \frac{A(1)}{q} \nu^q \|v\|_{1,q}^q \right] \\ &- \frac{A(1)}{p'} \theta^{-p'} \|f\|_{-1,p'}^{p'} + \frac{A(1)}{q'} \nu^{-q'} \|g\|_{-1,q'}^{q'}. \end{split}$$

This inequality follows from a(x), d(x) are sign chaining functions and we can choose  $(u, v) \in X$  with these properties that  $\sup u \subseteq \Omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega; a(x) < 0\}$  and  $\sup v \subseteq \Omega_2 = \{x \in \Omega; d(x) < 0\}$ .

We choose  $\theta = \{pA(p)/A(1)\}^{1/p}$  and  $\nu = \{qA(q)/A(1)\}^{1/q}$ . Consequently, we have, for every  $(u, v) \in \Lambda$ 

$$\phi(u,v) \ge -\frac{A(1)}{p'} \theta^{-p'} \|f\|_{-1,p'}^{p'} - \frac{A(1)}{q'} \nu^{-q'} \|g\|_{-1,q'}^{q'}.$$

Hence, we have shown that  $\phi$  is bounded blow on  $\Lambda$ . Then Ekeland variational principle [6] imply the existence of a solution of (1), such that  $\phi(u^*, v^*) < 0$ .

### References

- J. Chabrowski, On multiple solutions for nonhomogeneous system of elliptic equation, *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complutense Madr.*, 9(1), pp. 207–234, 1996.
- S. Benmouloud, R. Echarghaoui, S.M. Sbai, Existence result for quasilinear elliptic problem on unbounded domains, *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.*, **71**(5–6) (A), pp. 1552–1561, 2009.
- N.M. Stavrakakis, N.B. Zographopoulos, Existence results for quasilinear elliptic systems in R<sup>N</sup>, Electron. J. Differ. Equ., 1999(39), pp. 1–15, 1999.
- 4. A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **14**, pp. 349–381, 1973.
- P.Drabek, Y.X. Huang, Bifurcation problems for the p-Laplacian in R<sup>N</sup>, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 349(1), pp. 171–188, 1997.
- 6. I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 47, pp. 324–353, 1994.
- K. Adriouch, A. El Hamidi, On local compactness in quasilinear elliptic problems, *Differ*. *Integral Equ.*, 20(1), pp. 77–92, 2007.
- 8. C.O. Alves, A. El Hamidi, Nehari manifold and existence of positive solutions to a class of quasilinear problema, *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.*, **60**(4), pp. 611–624, 2005.
- H. Brezis, E. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergent of functions and convergence of functional, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 88, pp. 486–490, 1983.
- 10. G. Dinca, P. Jebelean, J. Mawhin, Variational and topological methods for Dirichlet problems with *P*-Laplacian, *Port. Math. (N.S.)*, **58**(3), pp. 339–378, 2001.
- 11. A. El Hamidi, J.M. Rakotoson, Compactness and quasilinear problems with critical exponent *Differ. Integral Equ.*, **18**, pp. 1201–1220, 2005.
- G. Tarantello, Nonhomogenous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent, Ann. Henri Poincaré, 9(3), pp. 281–304, 1992.
- J. Velin, Existence result for some nonlinear elliptic system with lack of compactness, Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., 52, pp. 1017–1034, 2003.
- J. Velin, F. de Thelin, Existence and nonexistence of nontrivial solutions for some nonlinear elliptic system, *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complutense Madr.*, 6(1), pp. 153–194, 1993.