

ORIGINAL RESEARCH published: 07 August 2019 doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2019.00278



Development and Validation of a Reference Data Set for Assigning *Staphylococcus* Species Based on Next-Generation Sequencing of the 16S-23S rRNA Region

Maja Kosecka-Strojek^{1,2}, Artur J. Sabat², Viktoria Akkerboom², Karsten Becker³, Evert van Zanten⁴, Guido Wisselink⁴, Jacek Miedzobrodzki¹, Anna M. D. (Mirjam) Kooistra-Smid^{2,4} and Alexander W. Friedrich^{2*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Rui Xiao, Beijing Institute of Radiation Medicine, Academy of Military Medical Sciences, China

Reviewed by:

James Tambong, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC), Canada Ammar Husami, Cincinnati Childrens Hospital, United States

*Correspondence:

Alexander W. Friedrich alex.friedrich@umcg.nl

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Clinical Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

> Received: 03 April 2019 Accepted: 22 July 2019 Published: 07 August 2019

Citation:

Kosecka-Strojek M, Sabat AJ, Akkerboom V, Becker K, van Zanten E, Wisselink G, Miedzobrodzki J, Kooistra-Smid AMD and Friedrich AW (2019) Development and Validation of a Reference Data Set for Assigning Staphylococcus Species Based on Next-Generation Sequencing of the 16S-23S rRNA Region. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 9:278. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2019.00278 ¹ Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Biotechnology, Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland, ² Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands, ³ Institute of Medical Microbiology, University Hospital Münster, Münster, Germany, ⁴ Certe, Department of Medical Microbiology, Groningen, Netherlands

Many members of the Staphylococcus genus are clinically relevant opportunistic pathogens that warrant accurate and rapid identification for targeted therapy. The aim of this study was to develop a careful assignment scheme for staphylococcal species based on next-generation sequencing (NGS) of the 16S-23S rRNA region. All reference staphylococcal strains were identified at the species level using Sanger sequencing of the 16S rRNA, sodA, tuf, and rpoB genes and NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region. To broaden the database, an additional 100 staphylococcal strains, including 29 species, were identified by routine diagnostic methods, 16S rRNA Sanger sequencing and NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region. The results enabled development of reference sequences encompassing the 16S-23S rRNA region for 50 species (including one newly proposed species) and 6 subspecies of the Staphylococcus genus. This study showed sodA and rpoB targets were the most discriminative but NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region was more discriminative than tuf gene sequencing and much more discriminative than 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Almost all Staphylococcus species could be distinguished when the max score was 99.0% or higher and the sequence similarity between the best and second best species was equal to or >0.2% (min. 9 nucleotides). This study allowed development of reference sequences for 21 staphylococcal species and enrichment for 29 species for which sequences were publicly available. We confirmed the usefulness of NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region by identifying the whole species content in 45 clinical samples and comparing the results to those obtained using routine diagnostic methods. Based on the developed reference database, all staphylococcal species can be reliably detected based on the 16S-23S rRNA sequences in samples composed of both single species and more complex polymicrobial communities. This study will be useful for introduction of a novel diagnostic tool, which undoubtedly is an improvement

1

for reliable species identification in polymicrobial samples. The introduction of this new method is hindered by a lack of reference sequences for the 16S-23S rRNA region for many bacterial species. The results will allow identification of all *Staphylococcus* species, which are clinically relevant pathogens.

Keywords: NGS, Staphylococcus, 16S-23S rDNA, diagnostics, real-time PCR

INTRODUCTION

As of 2019, genus *Staphylococcus* comprises more than 50 validly described and proposed species, including both coagulase-positive staphylococci (CoPS) and coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) (Euzéby, 1997; Becker et al., 2014; Parte, 2018). Moreover, an additional CoNS species ("*S. pseudolugdunensis*") has been suggested (Tang et al., 2008).

The staphylococci are opportunistic pathogens that are a part of the natural microbiota of human and animal skin and mucous membranes (Kaspar et al., 2016; Islam et al., 2017; Mrochen et al., 2017; Kosecka-Strojek et al., 2018). However, changes in patient populations, such as the increased number of premature neonates, elderly and immunocompromised patients, and the increasing use of implanted foreign prosthetic material and indwelling catheters have led to a rise in documented infections caused by CoNS and CoPS other than S. aureus (Flores-Mireles et al., 2015; Giormezis et al., 2015; Butin et al., 2016; Savini et al., 2016). Because most studies report such infections as being caused by CoNS and do not differentiate isolates at the species level, the real impact of single species, especially less frequent species, is underreported. Moreover, species that have only been discovered in the last few years are not part of the routine diagnostic tests to identify bacterial species. Accurate identification is highly desirable for precise therapy, monitoring the spread of infections with epidemiologic characteristics and investigating disease progression (Ghebremedhin et al., 2008; Hwang et al., 2011; Shin et al., 2011; Becker et al., 2014).

In routine diagnostics, culture-dependent phenotypic tests, including automated systems such as the Vitek 2 (bioMérieux, La Balme Les Grottes, France) and BD Phoenix (BD Diagnostic Systems, Sparks, MD, USA), and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS), are used for identification of bacterial species. However, these methods are not always sufficiently reliable because of variable expression of phenotypic characteristics, and the databases are limited to only some species (Heikens et al., 2005; Dupont et al., 2010; Bergeron et al., 2011; Becker et al., 2015; Singhal et al., 2015; Ayeni et al., 2017; Gherardi et al., 2018). Accurate identification at the species level may not only change the diagnosis but can also help to identify unusual antimicrobial resistance patterns. The ideal method should have high discriminatory power and allow the identification of closely related species while also being relatively simple, inexpensive, rapid and reproducible. Therefore, genetic methods based on PCR or sequencing are good candidates for identification purposes. Most of these methods are based on specific nucleic acid target amplification and sequencing (Couto et al., 2001; Drancourt and Raoult, 2002; Becker et al., 2004).

For polymicrobial samples that need to be analyzed using culture-independent tests, the simultaneous identification of species of different genera using a single primer pair is a useful approach. As the 16S rRNA gene is universally present across bacteria, is highly conserved, and can be easily amplified using universal primers, microbial analyses are often performed using 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing (Nguyen et al., 2016). Although this method is widely used and accurate, the high degree of similarity between closely related species has limited its usefulness for identifying several CoNS species as well as distinguishing between the recently established species of the *S. aureus* complex (Hwang et al., 2011; Shin et al., 2011; Sabat et al., 2013; Tong et al., 2015).

Next-generation sequencing has highly improved microbiological genetic investigations by providing a costeffective method to characterize bacterial genomes. The main advantage of NGS over Sanger sequencing is its ability to produce millions of reads in a single run. The NGS technologies produce reads with high sequence quality and high throughput, but the reads are short and need to be assembled de novo, which can be challenging; therefore, qualified investigators are highly desirable for NGS results analysis (Sabat et al., 2013). Recently, a NGS-based method for the 16S-23S rRNA region was developed by Sabat and colleagues (Sabat et al., 2017). This method is based on PCR amplification of the 16S-23S rRNA region, followed by amplicon sequencing on the MiSeq platform (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The resulting reads are de novo assembled into contigs. Species identification is based on alignment of the contig sequences with sequences deposited in the reference databases (Sabat et al., 2017). This method can be used for identification of common pathogens, such as Staphylococcus aureus or Escherichia coli, directly from patient specimens with a high identification potential. Identification is also possible for non-cultured microorganisms, polymicrobial samples or samples with a DNA concentration that is too low for direct whole genome sequencing (WGS). However, the main disadvantage of this method is a lack of 16S-23S rRNA reference sequences for many bacterial species, which hinders proper interpretation of the results (Sabat et al., 2017). The main aim of this study was to develop a dataset of reference sequences of the 16S-23S rRNA region for almost all staphylococcal species. For validation of the dataset, we also compared the identification potential of NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region with Sanger sequencing of the 16S rRNA, sodA, tuf and rpoB genes based on whole database and determined the cut off values for genus- and species-level identification of staphylococcal strains. Finally, we confirmed the applicability of NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region for species identification with 45 clinical samples using the newly developed cut off values for staphylococcal species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Isolates

The collection of bacterial reference strains used in this study is described in **Table 1**. This collection included strains from 50 staphylococcal species (including one proposed species) and 6 subspecies. Most of the strains are deposited in reference microorganism collections, such as the Polish Collection of Microorganisms (PCM), Leibniz Institute DSMZ-German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ), American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), and Belgian Coordinated Collection of Microorganisms (BCCM). The collection with additional *Staphylococcus* strains is detailed in **Supplementary Table 1**. This collection included 101 strains originating from various human and animal infections and environmental strains. All strains were cultivated on blood agar medium with 5% sheep blood (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France) in 37°C for 20 h.

Clinical Samples

Forty-five clinical samples, collected in week 7 and 8 of 2018, from which the department of Medical Microbiology at Certe (Groningen, The Netherlands) cultured at least one *Staphylococcus* spp. were used. Bacterial identification was performed using the MALDI-TOF Vitek[®] MS. The clinical samples consisted of; bronchoalveolar lavage (n = 1), catheter (n = 2), cervix/vagina post-partum (n = 2), insertion opening (n = 1), nose (n = 4), pus abscess (n = 2), pus wound (n = 3), sputum (n = 4), swab eye (n = 1), swab ulcer dig (n = 4), swab wound (n = 1); throat (n = 2), urine (n = 13), wound superficial (n = 4), and ear (n = 1).

Genomic DNA Extraction

For genomic DNA extraction, the isolates were grown for 18– 20 h at 37°C on blood agar plates. A full inoculation loop of 10 μ l of bacterial colonies was homogenized with a TissueLyser II (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA). Total DNA was extracted by enzymatic lysis using the buffers and solutions provided with the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. To obtain accurate quantification of the extracted genomic DNA for NGS, the Qubit dsDNA BR Assay Kit, which is a fluorometric method specific for duplex DNA, and the Qubit fluorometer 2.0 (Life Technologies, Inc., Eggenstein, Germany) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Genomic DNA Extraction of Clinical Samples

The Purelink Genomic DNA purification kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used for DNA extraction. Briefly, initial lysis was performed using 180 μ l Purelink genomic digestion buffer and 20 μ l Proteinase K. Digestion was performed in a thermoshaker at 56°C until lysis was complete. Two hundred

microliter Purelink Genomic lysis/binding buffer was added to 200 μ l of lysed sample and vortexed to create a homogenous solution. Two hundred microliter 96% ethanol was added and the DNA purification protocol was followed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

PCR Amplification and Sanger Sequencing of the 16S rRNA, *sodA*, *tuf*, and *rpoB* Genes

All reference strains were identified at the species level by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Sanger sequencing of the 16S rRNA, *sodA*, *tuf*, and *rpoB* genes. Additional strains were identified at the species level by routine diagnostic methods and 16S rRNA gene sequencing. The amplification and sequencing primers and PCR conditions are listed in **Table 2.** All PCR products were resolved by electrophoresis using the 2200 TapeStation System (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and then purified using the DNA Clean & ConcentratorTM-5 purification kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA). The pair-end Sanger sequencing with forward and reverse strand sequencing was performed in GATC/ Eurofins Genomics company (Ebersberg, Germany).

S. aureus and 16S rDNA Real-Time PCR of Clinical Samples

In order to detect the presence of *Staphylococcus* DNA in clinical samples, two real-time PCR assays were performed as showed in **Table 3**. Firstly, the 16S rDNA assay was used to assess the bacterial load followed by the more specific *S. aureus* real-time PCR.

Next-Generation Sequencing of the 16S-23S rRNA Region

Amplification of the 16S-23S rRNA region was performed using the primers 16S-27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3') and 23S-2490R (5'-GACATCGAGGTGCCAAAC-3') as described previously (Sabat et al., 2017). The PCR program for pure strains was as follows: initial denaturation for 2 min at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing at 66°C for 30 sec, and extension at 72°C for 120 sec and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. For clinical samples, inclusion of a polymerase (MTP Taq DNA Polymerase, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) recommended by the vendor for clinical use was essential because contamination occurred in the negative controls. To enhance the sensitivity of the PCR product, the number of PCR cycles was increased to 35. The PCR program used for clinical samples was as follows: initial denaturation for 2 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing at 66°C for 30 sec, and extension at 72°C for 120 sec and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The same program was used for the included controls. The obtained PCR products were purified and the DNA libraries were prepared with Nextera XT DNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The indexed libraries were pooled and loaded onto an Illumina MiSeq reagent cartridge using MiSeq reagent kit v3 and 600

TABLE 1 | Staphylococcus reference species and subspecies used for analyses.

| Species | Strain number in reference collection of microorganisms | Isolated from | Country/References |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| S. agnetis | DSM 23656 | Bovine mastitic milk | Finland |
| S. argensis | DSM 29875 | River sediment | Germany |
| S. argenteus | DSM 28299 | Blood culture of a 55-year-old Indigenous Australian female | Australia |
| S. arlettae | LMG 19113 | Poultry skin | Belgium |
| S. aureus | PL408 ^a | Natural environment | Poland |
| S. auricularis | PCM 2428 | Human external ear | Country of origin unknown |
| S. capitis subsp. capitis | DSM 20326 | Human skin | Country of origin unknown |
| S. capitis subsp. urealyticus | DSM 6717 | Human skin | Country of origin unknown |
| S. caprae | DSM 20608 | Goat milk | Country of origin unknown |
| S. carnosus subsp. carnosus | DSM 20501 | Dry sausage | Country of origin unknown |
| S. carnosus subsp. utilis | DSM 11676 | Fermented fish sauce (Pla-chom) | Thailand |
| S. chromogenes | PCM 2193 | Skin of a healthy pig | Devriese et al., 1978; Hájek et al., 1986 |
| S. cohnii subsp. cohnii | PCM 2108 | Human skin | Schleifer and Kloos, 1975 |
| S. condimenti | DSM 11674 | Soy sauce mash | Japan |
| S. delphini | PCM 2407 | Aqarium dolphin purulent skin lesion | Italy |
| S. devriesei | CCUG 58238T | Teat apex, healthy dairy heifer | Belgium |
| S. epidermidis | PCM 2532 | Catheter | Winslow and Winslow, 1908; Evans, 1916 |
| S. equorum | PCM 2487 | Horse skin | Schleifer et al., 1984 |
| S. felis | DSM 7377 | Mass on the auricle in cat | Country of origin unknown |
| S. fleurettii | DSM 13212 | Goat milk cheese | France |
| S. gallinarum | DSM 20610 | Skin of chicken | Country of origin unknown |
| S. haemolyticus | PCM 2113 | Human skin | Schleifer and Kloos, 1975 |
| S. hominis subsp. hominis | DSM 20328 | Human skin | Country of origin unknown |
| S. hominis subsp. novobiosepticus | ATCC 700236 | Human blood | United States of America |
| S. hyicus | PCM 2192 | Skin of pig with exudative epidermitis | Sompolinsky, 1953; Devriese et al., 1978 |
| S. intermedius | DSM 20373 | Pigeon nares | Country of origin unknown |
| S. kloosii | PCM 2440 | Squirrel skin | Schleifer et al., 1984 |
| S. lentus | PCM 2441 | Goat udder | France |
| S. lugdunensis | PCM 2430 | Axillary lymph node | France |
| S. lutrae | DSM 10244 | Mammary gland of otter (Lutra lutra) | Scotland |
| S. massiliensis | CCUG 55927T | Human brain abscess | France |
| S. microti | DSM 22147 | Liver of free-living common vole Microtus arvalis | Czech Republic |
| S. muscae | PCM 2406 | Fly Stomomyx calcitrans | Czech Republic |
| S. nepalensis | DSM 15150 | Nasal mucosa of a goat | Nepal |
| S. pasteuri | PCM 2445 | Human vomit | France |
| S. petrasii subsp. jettensis | DSM 26618 | Human blood | Belgium |
| S. petrasii subsp. pragensis | DSM 102853 | Ejaculate (58-year-old patient with chronical prostatitis) | Czech Republic |
| S. pettenkoferi | DSM 19554 | Human blood culture | Germany |
| S. piscifermentans | PCM 2409 | Fermented shrimp | Thailand |
| S. pseudintermedius | LMG 22219T | Cat, lung tissue | Belgium |
| "S. pseudolugdunensis" | B006 | Blood culture | United States of America |
| S. rostri | DSM 21968 | Nasal cavity of a healthy pig | Switzerland |
| S. saccharolyticus | DSM20359 | Plasma | Country of origin unknown |
| S. saprophyticus subsp. bovis | DSM 18669 | Bovine nostril | Czech Republic |
| S. saprophyticus subsp. saprophyticus | DSM 100654 | Cleanroom facility, TAS | Italy |

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

| Species | Strain number in reference collection of microorganisms | Isolated from | Country/References |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|
| S. schleiferi subsp. schleiferi | PCM 2426 | Jugular catheter | Country of origin unknown |
| S. schweitzeri | DSM 28300 | Nasal swab from a red-tailed monkey (<i>Cercopithecus ascanius</i>) within 12 h after the death of the animal | Gabon |
| S. sciuri | PCM 2424 | Skin of eastern gray squirrel (Sciurus carolinensis) | Country of origin unknown |
| S. simiae | DSM 17636 | Feces, South American squirrel monkey | Czech Republic |
| S. simulans | PCM 2106 | Human skin | Kloos and Schleifer, 1975 |
| S. stepanovicii | CCM 7717T | Animal vole | Poland |
| S. succinus subsp. casei | DSM 15096 | Surface ripened cheese | Switzerland |
| S. succinus subsp. succinus | DSM 14617 | Plant and soil inclusions within 25-35 million-year-old Dominican amber | Dominican Republic |
| S. vitulinus | PCM 2470 | Ground lamb | Country of origin unknown |
| S. warneri | DSM 20316 | Human skin | United States of America |
| S. xylosus | PL412 ^b | Natural environment | Poland |

^{a,b}Environmental isolates.

TABLE 2 | The amplification and Sanger sequencing primers and PCR conditions used for Staphylococcus species identification.

| Target gene | Amplification primers | PCR program | Sequencing primers | Amount of sequenced PCR product | References |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 16S rRNA (1284-bp) | LPW57 (5'-AGTTTGATCCTG GCTCAG-3') LPW58 (5'-AGGCCCGGG AACGTATTCAC-3') | 1. 94°C for 2 min Steps 2–4 25 x 2. 94°C for 30 sec 3. 58°C for 30 sec 4. 72°C for 60 sec 5. 72°C for 50 min | LPW57, LPW58 | 250 ng | Woo et al., 2001 |
| sodA (430-bp) | d1 (5'-CCITAYICITAYGAYGCI YTIGARCC-3') d2 (5'-ARRTARTAIGCRTGY TCCCAIACRTC-3') | 95°C for 3 min Steps 2–4 35 x 95°C for 30 sec 40°C/43°C/46°C for 60 sec 72°C for 90 sec 72°C for 10 min | d1, d2 | 100 ng | Poyart et al., 2001 |
| <i>tuf</i> (884-bp) | Tseq271 (5'-AAYATGATIACI GGIGCIGCICARATGCA-3') Tseq1138 (5'-CCIACIGTICKI CCRCCYTCRCG-3') | 95°C for 5 min Steps 2–4 35 x 95°C for 30 sec 55°C for 60 sec 72°C for 90 sec 72°C for 7 min | TSeq271, TSeq1138 | 200 ng | Martineau et al., 2001 |
| <i>гроВ</i> (740-bp) | Staph rpoB 1418f (5'- CAA TTCATGGACCAAGC—3') Staph rpoB 3554r (5'-CCG TCCCAAGTCATGAAAC-3') | 94°C for 5 min Steps 2–4 35 x 94°C for 30 sec 52°C for 30 sec 72°C for 60 sec 72°C for 5 min | Staph rpoB 1418f, Staph rpoB 1975r (5'-GCIACITGI TCCATACCTGT-3') or Staph rpoB 1876r (5'-GAGTCATCITTYTCTAAG AATGG-3') | 250 ng | Mellmann et al., 2006 |

cycles. The 2 \times 300 bp sequencing was run on an Illumina MiSeq platform.

Data Analysis

The Sanger sequencing results were analyzed using the Chromas software (Technelysium Pty Ltd., South Brisbane, Australia). The obtained sequences were analyzed using nucleotide BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/) and aligned to the reference sequences deposited in the GenBank (v. 231.0; June 25, 2019) and leBIBI databases. The best

and the second best species alignments were analyzed. According to the criteria developed by Sabat et al. (2017), the bacterial species were assigned when the similarity score was 99% or higher and the similarity score differences with the next closest species was $\geq 0.2\%$. Therefore, the identification at the species level using Sanger sequencing of the 16S rRNA (1284-bp), *sodA* (430-bp), *tuf* (884-bp), and *rpoB* (740-bp) gene fragments was considered as unambiguous for sequences different in at least 3, 2, 3, and 3 nucleotides, respectively. The identification at the species level using NGS of the whole 16S-23S rRNA region

| Clinical samples real-time PCR target | Primers | Probes | PCR amplification mix | PCR program | References |
|---|---|--|---|--|---------------------------|
| <i>S. aureus</i> (Sa442 fragment) | SA442-forward (5'-CAATCT TTGTCGGTACACGATATT CT-3') SA442-reverse (5'-CAA CGTAATGAGATTTCAGTA GATAATACAAC-3') | SA442-probe 1 (5'-FAM-CACGACTAAATA AACGCTCATTCGCGATTT T-BHQ1-3') SA442-probe 2 (5'-FAM-CACGACTAAATA GACGCTCATTCGCAATTT T-BHQ1-3') | 1x TaqMan TM Advanced Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) | Uracil-N-glycosylase incubation 50°C for 2 min Polymerase activation 95°C for 10 min 40 x 95°C for 15 sec 60°C for 60 sec | Nijhuis et al., 2014 |
| 16S rDNA | 16S rDNA_F27 (5'-AGAGTT TGATCMTGGCTCAG-3') 16S rDNA_R1491 (5'-CGGYTA CCTTGTTACGACTTC-3') | 16S rDNA_P535 (5'-FAM-CAGCCGCGGTAA TA-MGBNFQ—3') | 1x TaqMan TM Fast Advanced Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) | Uracil-N-glycosylase incubation 50°C for 2 min Polymerase activation 95°C for 2 min 50 x Denaturation at 95°C for 10 sec Annealing at 55°C for 10 sec Extension at 72°C for 90 sec | Schuurman et al., 2004 |

TABLE 3 | The amplification primers, probes and real-time PCR conditions used for Staphylococcus DNA detection in clinical samples.

(4.3-kb) was considered as unambiguous for sequences different in at least 9 nucleotides. All sequences were compared with each other and to whole public database. The sequences were aligned in ClustalW (Larkin et al., 2007), and the phylogenetic trees were constructed using the neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987). The trees were drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Jukes-Cantor method (Tamura et al., 2004) and were in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. All positions containing gaps were eliminated. The evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7 (Kumar et al., 2016). The pairwise comparison of each pair of sequences was obtained using the CLC Genomics Workbench (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) considering deletions as differences.

The NGS generated 17,000-210,000 sequencing reads for pure culture to obtain a minimum coverage of 1,000 per sample. The fastq files (Illumina MiSeq) with read lengths of 250 or 300 nucleotides were de novo assembled with the DNASTAR SegMan NGen software (version 12.1.0; DNASTAR, Madison, WI, USA). During read assembly, reads shorter than 250 nucleotides were excluded. For most species, the minimum match percentage was 85% or 93% and the mer size was set as 31 nucleotides. The minimum matches of 94% were required for S. agnetis, S. capitis subsp. capitis, S. pettenkoferi, and S. saprophyticus subsp. saprophyticus and 86% for S. carnosus subsp. utilis, S. haemolyticus, S. massiliensis, and S. nepalensis to obtain the best quality sequences. After assembly, mean sample coverage was 4349.75-fold. However, the coverage per sample varied between 966.96- and 10649.1-fold. Only runs with a Q30 read quality score of > 80% were accepted. If the assembly resulted in multiple contigs, the obtained ones were checked for length and quality in order to select the longest main contig with the highest reads amount assigned. Finally, the main contig was exported as fasta file for use in the subsequent analyses. For all species and subspecies, the main contig comprising the whole 16S-23S rRNA region extending from 4,237 (S. epidermidis) to 4,625 nucleotides (S. lugdunensis) was obtained. For clinical samples, the mer size was set as 31 nucleotides, and the minimum match percentage was 93%. Most of the identified bacterial species or genera were represented by a single contig of the expected size of approximately 4,500 bp, but in some cases smaller contigs ranging from 700 to 3,500 bp in size represented the same bacterial species in a sample. If several contigs were annotated to the same microorganism in some samples, the reads of these contigs were added up. Species identification was based on alignment of contig sequences with 16S-23S rRNA sequences deposited in the GenBank database using nucleotide BLAST and comparison to the leBIBI database (using the 16S rRNA gene sequence as a reference). Additionally, all staphylococcal species identifications were checked with the Staphylococcus reference database. The bacterial species and genus were assigned when the similarity scores were as previously described by Sabat et al. (2017). A score below 90% was interpreted as an unidentified organism.

Nucleotide Sequence Accession Numbers

The 380 sequences for 56 *Staphylococcus* species and subspecies were annotated using the NCBI Sequin software and deposited in the GenBank database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) under the following accession numbers: for the 16S-23S rRNA region, MF678861–MF678916 and MK015765-MK015864; for the 16S rRNA gene, MF678917-MF678972; for the *sodA* gene, MF679029-MF679084; for the *tuf* gene, MF678973-MF679028; and for the *rpoB* gene, MF679085-MF679140.

RESULTS

Sanger Sequencing of the 16S rRNA Gene

As presented in **Table 4**, Sanger sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene allowed unambiguous identification at the species level for 27

TABLE 4 Summary of the performance of 16S rRNA, sodA, rpoB, tuf genes and 16S-23S rRNA region sequencing used for differentiation of Staphylococcus genus.

| | 16S rRNA gene | sodA gene | tuf gene | rpoB gene | NGS 16S-23S rRNA |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Unambiguous species identification | 27 species (54%) | 48 species (96%) | 41 species (82%) | 48 species (96%) | 45 species (90%) |
| The lowest amount of nucleotides differences | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The highest amount of nucleotides differences | 57 | 149 | 120 | 192 | 667 |
| No. of species without reference sequences in the databases | 0 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 21 |

species (54% of all species). Identification of the following pairs or groups of species was impossible because the 16S rRNA gene sequences were identical or almost identical (1 or 2 nucleotide differences): S. aureus - S. argenteus - S. schweitzeri; S. argensis - S. pettenkoferi – "S. pseudolugdunensis"; S. pseudintermedius -S. intermedius; S. piscifermentans - S. carnosus subsp. carnosus; S. capitis subsp. urealyticus - S. caprae; S. agnetis - S. hyicus; S. condimenti - S. carnosus; S. epidermidis - S. haemolyticus; S. haemolyticus - S. equorum; S. gallinarum - S. haemolyticus; S. cohnii - S. kloosii; S. cohnii - S. nepalensis; S. pasteuri - S. warneri; S. microti - S. rostri; S. saprophyticus - S. xylosus; S. sciuri - S. lugdunensis and S. vitulinus - S. aureus. The pairs of species S. fleurettii - S. simulans, S. simulans - S. vitulinus and S. massiliensis - S. vitulinus differed in the highest number of nucleotides, which equaled 57 for each pair of sequences. Most subspecies were not distinguishable by 16S rRNA gene sequencing. The only exception was the pair S. petrasii subsp. jettensis - S. petrasii subsp. pragensis, which differed by 6 nucleotides and could be easily identified.

Sanger Sequencing of the rpoB Gene

Sanger sequencing of the rpoB gene allowed unambiguous identification at the species level for 48 species (including one proposed species), which constituted 96% of the species. Identification of the following pairs or groups of species was impossible because the rpoB gene sequences were identical or almost identical: S. felis - S. cohnii and S. intermedius - S. pseudintermedius. The rpoB sequences for eight species (S. argensis, S. chromogenes, S. devriesei, S. massiliensis, S. muscae, "S. pseudolugdunensis," S. schweitzeri and S. stepanovicii) were not available in the GenBank (v. 231.0; June 25, 2019) database. Identification at the subspecies level was possible for S. hominis subsp. hominis - S. hominis subsp. novobiosepticus, S. petrasii subsp. jettensis - S. petrasii subsp. pragensis, and S. succinus subsp. casei - S. succinus subsp. succinus using the rpoB gene. Considering the nucleotide differences in the rpoB gene sequences between pairs of species used within this study, the pairs with the lowest differences were S. nepalensis - S. stepanovicii (5 nucleotides) and S. pettenkoferi - "S. pseudolugdunensis" (13 nucleotides). The pair with the highest nucleotide difference was S. fleurettii - S. piscifermentans, which differed in 192 nucleotides and was the highest difference among those of all genes used in this study (Table 4).

Sanger Sequencing of the sodA Gene

Sanger sequencing of the *sodA* gene allowed unambiguous identification at the species level for 48 species (including

one proposed species), which constituted 96% of the species. Identification of the following pairs or groups of species was impossible because the sodA gene sequences were identical or almost identical: S. nepalensis - S. hominis and S. warneri -S. epidermidis. The sodA gene sequences were not available in the GenBank (v. 231.0; June 25, 2019) database for 7 species (S. argensis, S. devriesei, S. petrasii, "S. pseudolugdunensis," S. schweitzeri, S. simiae, and S. stepanovicii) (Table 4). The pairs of species used within this study with the lowest nucleotide differences in the sodA gene sequences were S. pettenkoferi - "S. pseudolugdunensis" (4 nucleotides), S. argensis - "S. pseudolugdunensis" (6 nucleotides) and S. argensis - S. pettenkoferi (8 nucleotides), and the pair with the highest difference was S. aureus - S. fleurettii, which differed in 149 nucleotides (Table 4). Only the pair S. petrasii subsp. jettensis -S. petrasii subsp. pragensis could be identified at the subspecies level using *sodA* gene sequencing.

Sanger Sequencing of the tuf Gene

The tuf gene sequencing allowed identification at the species level of 41 species, which accounted for 82% of all species. Due to sequence similarities with another species, identification was impossible for pairs: S. aureus - S. schweitzeri; S. microti - S. rostri; S. pettenkoferi - "S. pseudolugdunensis"; S. pasteuri - S. warneri and S. vitulinus - S. sciuri. For 9 species (S. argensis, S. devriesei, S. microti, S. petrasii, S. piscifermentans, S. rostri, S. schweitzeri, S. simiae and S. stepanovicii), the tuf gene reference sequences were not available in the GenBank (v. 231.0; June 25, 2019) database. The obtained results allowed the pairs with the lowest nucleotide differences [S. delphini - S. pseudintermedius (3 nucleotides), S. carnosus - S. condimenti (4 nucleotides), and S. argenteus - S. aureus (5 nucleotides)] and the pair with the highest difference [S. auricularis - S. vitulinus (120)] to be defined (Table 4). The tuf gene sequencing also allowed identification at the subspecies level for S. hominis subsp. hominis - S. hominis subsp. novobiosepticus and S. petrasii subsp. jettensis - S. petrasii subsp. pragensis.

Next-Generation Sequencing of the 16S-23S rRNA Region

The nucleotide sequences of the 16S-23S rRNA region were obtained for all staphylococcal species. Analysis of the GenBank (v. 231.0; June 25, 2019) database showed that 16S-23S rRNA region sequences were available for 29 *Staphylococcus* species, whereas this study allowed the development of nucleotide sequences for an additional 21 species. Taking into consideration the differences in the length of the intergenic spacer located between the 16S and 23S rRNA genes, the average sequence

length of the 16S-23S rRNA region was determined and equaled 4,381 nucleotides. The highest similarity among species analyzed within this study was found between *S. pettenkoferi* and *"S. pseudolugdunensis"* showing 99.8% sequence homology (7 nucleotides of difference), while the highest nucleotide difference was found between *S. delphini* and *S. fleurettii* and equaled 667 nucleotides (85.6% similarity).

Comparison of the Sequencing Methods

All strains from the collection were characterized by Sanger sequencing of the 16S rRNA, *rpoB*, *sodA*, and *tuf* genes but identification to the species level was not possible by all targets used due to identical or almost identical sequence or the lack of some reference sequences in the GenBank (v. 231.0; June 25, 2019) database. The identification was confirmed by 2 targets for 4 species (*S. aureus*, *S. pettenkoferi*, "*S. pseudolugdunensis*" and *S. schweitzeri*), by 3 targets for 12 species and by 4 targets for the vast majority of the species (34 species) (**Table 4**).

To show the relationships among the species, phylogenetic trees were constructed. The computed overall mean distances according to the Jukes-Cantor model for the 16S rRNA, *sodA*, *tuf*, and *rpoB* genes and the 16S-23S rRNA region were 0.027, 0.023, 0.090, 0.178, and 0.045, respectively. Based on the criteria used for phylogenetic tree construction, the trees were constructed using the neighbor-joining method and revealed that the isolates clustered into groups for all of the methods used. All of the methods showed that *S. massiliensis* and *S. auricularis* were distantly related to the other species (**Figure 1** and **Supplementary Figures 1A–D**). Analysis of the phylogenetic tree of the 16S-23S region showed similar clustering to the dendrogram based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing but was more discriminative, with unambiguous identification of almost all staphylococcal species (**Figure 1**).

For *Staphylococcus* species, *sodA* and *rpoB* genes Sanger sequencing had the highest identification potential allowing for an unambiguous identification of 96% of analyzed species, while the NGS-based method allowed for identification of 90% species (**Table 4**). The 16S rRNA gene Sanger sequencing had the lowest identification potential of all the methods used.

The nucleotides differences in the 16S-23S rRNA region sequences between pairs or species groups (*S. aureus - S. argenteus - S. schweitzeri, S. argensis - S. pettenkoferi - "S. pseudolugdunensis," S. pseudintermedius - S. intermedius, S. piscifermentans - S. carnosus* subsp. carnosus, and *S. capitis* subsp. *urealyticus - S. caprae*) showed that all pairs or groups of species indistinguishable by Sanger sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene could be unambiguously identified with NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region (**Table 5**).

Additional Staphylococcal Strains

To compare the routine diagnostic methods with NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region for identification of *Staphylococcus* species, 101 staphylococcal strains isolated from human infections, animal infections, natural environments and reference collections were included (**Supplementary Table 1**). All strains were identified at the species level by different standard diagnostic tools, such as MALDI-TOF MS, VITEK[®] 2 ID, the BD PhoenixTM system and

the API STAPH ID system. To confirm the obtained results, all strains were identified using 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Finally, all strains were identified by NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region. Of all of the strains, 76 (76.8%) were correctly identified and 25 (25.2%) were misidentified by the routine diagnostic methods. The 16S rRNA sequencing allowed unambiguous identification of only 32 strains (31.7%), whereas 69 strains (68.3%) were incorrectly identified. NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA allowed unambiguous identification of all strains, including one nonstaphylococcal strain misidentified by standard methods and also unidentified by 16S rRNA sequencing as Shigella dysenteriae or Escherichia coli. NGS of the 16S-23S region allowed unambiguous identification of 5 S. pseudintermedius strains, which was not possible with any other method used. Moreover, the reference 16S-23S rRNA sequence dataset allowed differentiation of one S. agnetis strain that was aligned as S. hyicus by MALDI-TOF MS and both 16S rRNA [1st ID: S. agnetis 1283/1283 (100%); 2nd ID: S. hyicus 1281/1283 (99.9%)] and 16S-23S rRNA region sequencing [1st ID: S. agnetis 4422/4426 (99.9%); 2nd ID: S. hyicus 4420/4426 (99.9%)] in comparison to GenBank (v. 231.0; June 25, 2019).

Intraspecies Nucleotide Sequence Variation of the 16S-23S rRNA Region

To show the variability of 16S-23S rRNA region, the nucleotide sequence variation within *Staphylococcus* species was determined (**Table 6**). The analysis was performed for those species for which at least one nucleotide sequence of the 16S-23S rRNA region could be found in the GenBank (v. 231.0; June 25, 2019) database. For almost all species, the length of the 16S-23S rRNA region was the same within a species when the sequences obtained in this study and those deposited in GenBank (v. 231.0; June 25, 2019) were compared. The length of 16S-23S rRNA region was different within the same species only in case of *S. capitis* subsp. *capitis*, *S. muscae*, and *S. pettenkoferi*. The nucleotide variation within *Staphylococcus* species accounted from 0.05 to 5.9%, with the exception of *S. aureus*, *S. capitis*, and *S. sciuri* for which the intraspecies nucleotide variation was 9.70, 7.0, and 6.56%, respectively.

Clinical Samples

The aim of this part of our study was to assess the potential of NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region to improve the resolution of Staphylococcus species identification directly from clinical samples. Forty-five clinical samples from various human infections were included (Table 7). Selection of these samples was based on a positive culture for Staphylococcus species. Staphylococcus species were identified by culture in all 45 samples, whereas NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region identified Staphylococcus species in 37 samples (STA1-STA6, STA8-STA12, STA14-STA15, STA17-STA29, STA32-STA40, STA42, and STA45). Among the analyzed samples, conventional culture methods and NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region identified the same Staphylococcus species in 27 samples (STA1, STA4, STA5, STA9- STA11, STA15, STA17- STA24, STA26- STA28, STA32- STA34, STA36- STA40, and STA42). In 10 samples, NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region identified a higher number



FIGURE 1 | Evolutionary relationships of staphylococci species based on 16S-23S rRNA region. The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1,000 replicates) are shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Jukes-Cantor method and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7. The strains which are placed in boxes have grouped together in all methods used.

TABLE 5 | The comparison of 16S rRNA gene sequencing and NGS of 16S-23S rRNA region^a.

| 16S rRNA gene/16S-23S rRNA region | S. aureus | S. argenteus | S. argensis | S. pettenkoferi | S. capitis subsp. urealyticus | S. carnosus subsp. carnosus | S. intermedius |
|---|-----------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| S. argenteus | 0/145 | | | | | | |
| S. schweitzeri | 2/72 | 2/197 | | | | | |
| S. pettenkoferi | | | 1/80 | | | | |
| "S. pseudolugdunensis" | | | 1/80 | 0/7 | | | |
| S. caprae | | | | | 2/253 | | |
| S. piscifermentans | | | | | | 2/32 | |
| S. pseudintermedius | | | | | | | 1/96 |

^aThe nucleotides differences between the sequences of 16S rRNA gene and 16S-23S rRNA region for species used in this study are shown.

of *Staphylococcus* species than culture, showing two species in samples STA6, STA8, STA12, and STA45, three species in samples STA3, STA14, STA29, and STA35 and 4 and 6 species in samples STA2 and STA25, respectively. In a few samples, the culture-based methods allowed identification at the genus level, whereas NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region was able to identify microorganisms at the species level (STA1, STA14, and STA31). Moreover, the NGS-based approach showed other pathogens and the coexisting microflora. The species content of the samples identified by NGS contained a range from one (STA4, STA26, and STA36) to a maximum of 33 (STA14) different microorganisms.

The Discrepancy Analysis of Identification Results in Clinical Samples

Twenty four samples with both consistent and discrepant S. aureus identification by culture and NGS-based approach were selected for the 16S rRNA and S. aureus real-time PCR (Table 8). For all selected samples the 16S rRNA assay revealed presence of bacterial DNA and the S. aureus real-time PCR revealed the presence of *S. aureus* DNA between Ct = 18 and Ct = 33.1, and Ct = 21.58 and Ct = 36, respectively. Comparing the results of the differences in Ct values (Δ Ct) between 16S rRNA and S. aureus PCR, samples were divided into 3 groups. For the first one (STA2, STA4, STA5, STA6, STA9, STA12, STA32, STA34, STA35, STA36, STA37, STA42, and STA45) the NGS-based approach was able to detect S. aureus at high level between 24.3 and 100% (reads in a sample) and the Δ Ct values were the lowest counting from 0.03 to 2.42. The second group included samples (STA1, STA3, STA13, STA33, STA38, and STA39) for which the Δ Ct values varied from 0.85 to 16.58 and the S. aureus was detected at the bottom level of 0.2% to maximum 8.5%. The third group consisted of the samples for which the NGS-based approach failed to identify the S. aureus (STA7, STA31, STA41, STA43, and STA44). For this group the Δ Ct values were very high at the level between 7.08 and 11.32. Therefore, a lack of S. aureus detection with NGS-based approach was a result of a low ratio between S. aureus DNA and total bacterial DNA in a sample.

DISCUSSION

Because of the increasing clinical significance of CoNS (Becker et al., 2014), accurate identification of staphylococci at the

species level is highly desirable to permit a more precise determination of host-pathogen relationships and to better understand the pathogenic potential of various staphylococcal species. Phenotypic identification of CoNS appears to be unsatisfactory, unreliable, and irreproducible (Heikens et al., 2005; Dupont et al., 2010; Bergeron et al., 2011; Singhal et al., 2015; Ayeni et al., 2017). Therefore, applying genetic methods in standard microbiological diagnostics is necessary to improve the identification process. When an unknown organism needs to be identified in a clinical sample, 16S rRNA gene sequencing is the method of choice because of the availability of universal primers (Clarridge, 2004). The 16S rRNA gene sequencing is an appropriate target for most staphylococcal species; however, for some species, inter-species differentiation is difficult or impossible due to missing or insufficient heterogeneity within the 16S rRNA gene. Most reports show that the discriminatory power of 16S rRNA gene sequencing is very low for closely related Staphylococcus species (Heikens et al., 2005; Mellmann et al., 2006; Woo et al., 2009; Shin et al., 2011; Lange et al., 2015). Moreover, the accuracy of identification of bacterial species with 16S rRNA gene sequencing is hindered by the low quality of many of the sequences deposited in public databases (Becker et al., 2004). Other targeted sequencing methods may have a higher identification potential than 16S rRNA gene sequencing but often are limited to selected genera (Li et al., 2012). This study showed *sodA* and *rpoB* targets were the most discriminative but NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region was more discriminative than tuf gene sequencing and much more discriminative than 16S rRNA gene sequencing based on obtained sequences and whole database search. Moreover, the NGS-based method showed the same clustering as the other methods (Figure 1). Because NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region uses universal primers, this method is applicable to different and genetically unrelated bacterial genera.

Beyond the comparison of the five sequence-based methods used for staphylococcal identification, the main purpose of this study was to develop and validate a complete staphylococcal reference sequence dataset for the 16S-23S rRNA region and to evaluate the potential of this method for clinical samples. The NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region developed by Sabat et al. (2017) provides the ability to detect microorganisms not only in samples from mixed infections, which also consist of commensal microorganisms, but also in whole microbiomes.

TABLE 6 | The intraspecies polymorphism of 16S-23S rRNA region sequence within Staphylococcus genus.

| Species | The lowest intraspecies The highest intraspec nucleotide difference nucleotide difference compared to GenBank compared to GenBa sequences sequences | | Amount of complete genome sequences/genome assembly/ 16S-23S rRNA region (GenBank) | 16S-23S rRNA region length (bp) | Amount of 16S-23S rRNA region sequences deposited in GenBank after this study | |
|-----------------------|--|--------------|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| S. agnetis | 2 (0.05%) | 43 (0.97%) | 1/0 | 4,427 | 2 | |
| S. argensis | - | - | 0/0 | 4,364 | 1 | |
| S. argenteus | 0 (0.00%) | 14 (0.55%) | 5/0 | 4,421 | 1 | |
| S. arlettae | _ | _ | 0/0 | 4,316 | 3 | |
| S. aureus | 0 (0.00%) | 388 (9.70%) | 365/78 | 4,389-4,589 | 4 | |
| S. auricularis | 2 (0.05%) | 2 (0.05%) | 0/1 | 4,264 | 1 | |
| S. capitis | 0 (0.00%) | 322 (7.0%) | 4/0 | 4,283-4,524 | 7 | |
| S. caprae | 0 (0.00%) | 169 (3.79%) | 3/0 | 4,304-4,454 | 7 | |
| S. carnosus | 0 (0.00%) | 12 (0.28%) | 2/0 | 4,288 | 3 | |
| S. chromogenes | _ | _ | 0/0 | 4,410-4,323 | 2 | |
| S. cohnii | 2 (0.05%) | 101 (2.29%) | 3/1 | 4,321-4,405 | 2 | |
| S. condimenti | 0 (0.00%) | 23 (1.44%) | 2/1 | 4,288 | 1 | |
| S. delphini | 0 (0.00%) | 0 (0.00%) | 0/1 | 4.578 | 1 | |
| S. devriesei | _ | _ | 0/0 | 4.426 | 1 | |
| S. epidermidis | 0 (0.00%) | 257 (5.72%) | 17/3 | 4.237-4.494 | 8 | |
| S. equorum | 0 (0.00%) | 7 (0.16%) | 3/0 | 4.331 | 6 | |
| S felis | 1 (0.02%) | 3 (0 07%) | 1/0 | 4 431 | 2 | |
| S fleurettii | - | - | 0/0 | 4,300 | 2 | |
| S. gallinarum | _ | _ | 0/0 | 4.322 | 3 | |
| S baemolyticus | 0 (0 00%) | 271 (5.9%) | 7/0 | 4 377_4 492 | 10 | |
| S. hominis | 0 (0.00%) | 180 (4 11%) | 3/2 | 4 339-4 455 | 8 | |
| S hvicus | 0 (0.00%) | 45 (1 02%) | 1/2 | 4 422 | 1 | |
| S. intermedius | - | | | -, | 2 | |
| S. kloosii | 1 (0.02%) | 1 (0 02%) | 1/0 | 4 442 | 1 | |
| S. lentus | - | - | 0/0 | 4.328 | 3 | |
| S. luadunensis | 0 (0 00%) | 144 (3 11%) | 14/3 | 4 476-4 625 | 9 | |
| S. lutrae | 4 (0.09%) | 4 (0.09%) | 1/0 | 4 267 | 1 | |
| S. massiliensis | - (0.0070) | - (0.0070) | 0/0 | 4,207 | 1 | |
| S. microti | _ | _ | 0/0 | 4 439 | 1 | |
| S. muscae | | 30 (1.83%) | 1/1 | 4,460 | 1 | |
| S. nenalensis | 0 (0.00%) | 92 (2.09%) | 3/0 | 4,404 | 2 | |
| S. nepalerisis | 0 (0.00%) | 150 (3.39%) | 2/0 | 4 296-4 422 | 5 | |
| S petrasii | - | | 0/0 | 4 328-4 457 | 2 | |
| S. petrenkoferi | 19 (0 44%) | 19 (0 44%) | 1/0 | 4 299-4 317 | 2 | |
| S. piscifermentans | 1 (0 02%) | 1 (0.02%) | 0/1 | 4 286 | 1 | |
| S. pseudintermedius | 0 (0 00%) | 180 (3.98%) | 11/0 | 4 424-4 510 | 11 | |
| "S pseudoluadupensis" | - | | 0/0 | 4 300 | 1 | |
| S. rostri | _ | _ | 0/0 | 4,529 | 1 | |
| S. saccharolyticus | _ | _ | 0/0 | 4,029 | 1 | |
| S saprophyticus | | 50 (3.22%) | 5/2 | 4,326 | 5 | |
| S. sobleiferi | 0 (0.00%) | Q (0.22%) | 6/0 | 4,020 | 1 | |
| S. schweitzeri | 1 (0.02%) | 1 (0.02%) | 0/0 | 4,200 | 1 | |
| S sciuri | 0 (0 00%) | 302 (6 56%) | 2/1 | 4 295-4 505 | ĥ | |
| S simiae | 3 (0.07%) | 3 (0 07%) | 0/1 | 4,200 4,000 | 1 | |
| S simulans | | 101 (2 31%) | 6/2 | 4 287_4 380 | л | |
| S stenanovicii | | | 0/2 | 4,000 | 4 | |
| S succinus | 0 (0.00%) | 6 (0 1 4 %) | 1/0 | 4,306 | с I | |
| S vitulinus | - (0.00 %) | U (U. 14 /0) | 0/0 | 4,020 | 0 | |
| S. warneri | - 0 (0 00%) | - | 3/2 | 4,304 | 2 | |
| S vulosus | 0 (0.00%) | 33 (0 76%) | 0/∠ <u>//1</u> | T,070-4,421 | r A | |
| 0. Ny10000 | 0 (0.00 /0) | 00 (0.7070) | -T/ I | -,001 | 4 | |

TABLE 7 | Bacterial identification results from 45 clinical samples based on culture and NGS of 16S-23S rRNA region.

| Sample | Sample material | Species content by NGS of 16S-23S rRNA region (% of total reads) | Species content by conventional culture and MALDI-TOF Vitek MS identification (growth) |
|--------|------------------------------|---|---|
| STA1 | Pus wound deep | Streptococcus pyogenes (83.6%), Streptococcus dysgalactiae (15.5%), Streptococcus sp. (0.6%), Staphylococcus aureus (0.2%) | Staphylococcus aureus (large), Streptococcus pyogenes (large), Streptococcus group G (large) |
| STA2 | Nose | Staphylococcus aureus (65.3%), Staphylococcus epidermidis (15.2%), Corynebacterium sp. (6.4%), Cutibacterium acnes (4.4%), Staphylococcus lugdunensis (2.1%), Finegoldia sp. (1.6%), Peptoniphilus sp. (0.7%), Streptococcus sp. (0.5%), Cutibacterium sp. (0.5%), Cutibacterium granulosum (0.4%), Staphylococcus sp. (0.3%), Micrococcus sp. (0.3%), Propionibacterium sp. (0.3%), Kocuria palustris (0.2%), Herbaspirillum sp. (0.1%), Unidentified species (1.8%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA3 | Nose | Corynebacterium sp. (32.9%), Staphylococcus aureus (7.8%), Corynebacterium propinquum (3.3%), Streptococcus oralis (1.9%), Streptococcus canis (1.6%), Cutibacterium acnes (1.5%), Staphylococcus epidermidis (0.8%), Streptococcus sp. (0.7%), Neisseria sicca (0.4%), Actinomyces sp. (0.4%), Finegoldia sp. (0.3%), Veillonella sp. (0.3%), Corynebacterium pseudodiphtheriticum (0.3%), Herbaspirillum sp. (0.2%), Staphylococcus sp. (0.1%), Capnocytophaga sp. (0.1%), Enterococcus sp. (0.1%), Fusobacterium hwasookii (0.1%), Anaerococcus sp. (0.1%), Unidentified species (47.0%), eukaryotic DNA (0.1%) | Staphylococcus aureus (few) |
| STA4 | Swab ulcus dig. | Staphylococcus aureus (100%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA5 | Swab ulcus dig. | Staphylococcus aureus (59.6%), Streptococcus dysgalactiae (23.7%), Streptococcus sp. (3.1%), Streptococcus pneumoniae (2.7%), Herbaspirillum sp. (1.8%), Sphingomonas sanguinis (0.7%), Gemella haemolysans (0.3%), eukaryotic DNA (8.2%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA6 | Pus abscess | Staphylococcus aureus (95.5%), Staphylococcus capitis (0.8%), Cutibacterium acnes (0.6%), eukaryotic DNA (3.2%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA7 | Wound supperficial | Finegoldia sp. (45.6%), Anaerococcus tetradius (7.6%), Peptoniphilus harei (7.4%), Peptoniphilus coxii (7.2%), Streptococcus sp. (6.8%), Prevotella sp. (3.2%), Streptococcus agalactiae (2.1%), Anaerococcus obesiensis (1.9%), Prevotella disiens (1.6%), Anaeroccccus sp. (0.7%), Unidentified species (16.0%) | Staphylococcus aureus (few), Streptococcus agalactiae (medium) |
| STA8 | Pus wound deep | Staphylococcus epidermidis (71.8%), Staphylococcus lugdunensis (17.7%), Streptococcus sp. (4.2%), Streptococcus parasanguinis (2.0%), Herbaspirillum sp. (1.0%), Streptococcus mitis (0.5%), Sphingomonas sanguinis (0.5%), Streptococcus canis (0.3%), eukaryotic DNA (2.0%) | Staphylococcus lugdunensis (few) |
| STA9 | Swab wound deep | Streptococcus agalactiae (28.3%), Staphylococcus aureus (24.3%), Peptoniphilus harei (12.7%), Finegoldia magna (7.3%), Anaerococcus sp. (6.7%), Peptoniphilus coxii (4.8%), Anaerococcus vaginalis (2.9%), Fastidiosipila sp. (2.8%), Porphyromonas bennonis (0.6%), Actinotignum sp. (0.6%), Corynebacterium sp. (0.4%), Varibaculum cambriense (0.2%), Fastidiosipila sanguinis (0.2%), Cutibacterium acnes (0.1%), Staphylococcus capitis (0.1%), Streptococcus sp. (0.1%), Unidentified species (7.5%), eukaryotic DNA (0.4%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium), <i>Streptococcus agalactiae</i> (few) |
| STA10 | Wound supperficial | Staphylococcus epidermidis (81.9%), Herbaspirillum sp. (5.6%), Sphingomonas sanguinis (5.4%), Streptococcus thermophilus (2.2%), Paracoccus sp. (1.1%), Unidentified species (0.7%), eukaryotic DNA (3.0%) | Staphylococcus epidermidis (few) |
| STA11 | Nose | Staphylococcus epidermidis (45.2%), Psychrobacter alimentarius (29.3%), Corynebacterium accolens (15.2%), Peptoniphilus sp. (2.9%), Anaerococcus sp. (2.5%), Corynebacterium sp. (1.3%), Cutibacterium granulosum (1.1%), Corynebacterium pseudodiphtheriticum (0.7%), Propionibacterium sp. (0.3%), Sphingomonas sanguinis (0.1%), Kocuria rhizophila (0.1%), Herbaspirillum sp. (0.1%), Unidentified species (1.1%) | Staphylococcus epidermidis (few) |
| STA12 | Swab ulcus dig. | Staphylococcus aureus (55.0%), Streptococcus oralis (28.2%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (10.1%), Finegoldia magna (4.9%), Morganella morganii (0.7%), Streptococcus pneumoniae (0.3%), Herbaspirillum sp. (0.2%), Anaerococcus sp. (0.2%), Staphylococcus sp. (0.1%), Unidentified species (0.2%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium), <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (medium) |
| STA13 | Cervix/vagina post partum | Megasphaera sp. (33.8%), Atopobium sp. (6.4%), Lactobacillus sp. (3.8%), Aerococcus sp. (1.5%), Peptostreptococcus sp. (1.4%), Staphylococcus sp . (0.9%), Anaerococcus tetradius (0.3%), Prevotella sp. (0.3%), Peptoniphilus sp. (0.3%), Gemella asaccharolytica (0.2%), Arcanobacterium sp. (0.2%), Streptococcus sp. (0.2%), Finegoldia sp. (0.2%), Dialister sp. (0.2%), Corynebacterium sp. (0.1%), Unidentified species (50.3%) | Staphylococcus haemolyticus (sporadic), Staphylococcus epidermidis (large) |

(Continued)

TABLE 7 | Continued

| Throat | Faecalibacterium prausnitzii (10.8%), Streptococcus salivarius (5.9%), Staphylococcus epidermidis (4.9%), Oscillibacter sp. (3.2%), Bacteroides vulgatus (2.9%), Bacteroides uniformis (2.6%), Alistipes sp. (2.0%), Staphylococcus | Escherichia coli (medium), Staphylococcus |
|-----------|---|--|
| | <i>Iugdunensis</i> (1.9%), Lactobacillus sp. (1.7%), Escherichia coli (1.7%), Roseburia sp. (1.6%), Eubacterium sp. (1.2%), Barnesiella sp. (1.0%), Enterococcus faecium (0.9%), Eubacterium rectale (0.8%), Acutalibacter sp. (0.7%), Porphyromonas bennonis (0.7%), Monoglobus pectinilyticus (0.5%), Longibaculum sp. (0.5%), Blautia sp. (0.5%), Eubacterium siraeum (0.5%), Parabacteroides distasonis (0.4%), Staphylococcus sp. (0.4%), Bacteroides sp. (0.4%), Ruminococcus sp. (0.3%), Peptoniphilus sp. (0.3%), Herbaspirillum sp. (0.3%), Dialister sp. (0.3%), Intestinimonas sp. (0.3%), Parabacteroides sp. (0.3%), Sphingomonas zeae (0.2%), Colidextribacter massiliensis (0.2%), Odoribacter splanchnicus (0.2%), Unidentified species (45.0%), eukaryotic DNA (4.6%) | |
| Urine | Actinotignum sp. (68.2%), Staphylococcus epidermidis (31.1%), Actinotignum schaalii (0.1%), Unidentified species (0.6%) | Staphylococcus epidermidis (≥10e5 CFU/ml.) |
| Urine | Lactobacillus sp. (98.5%), Lactobacillus iners (0.8%), Unidentified species (0.7%) | Staphylococcus haemolyticus (≥10e5 CFU/ml.) |
| Sputum | Prevotella melaninogenica (76.9%), Staphylococcus haemolyticus (10.0%), Leptotrichia sp. (5.7%), Veillonella sp. (0.1%), Prevotella jejuni (0.1%), Prevotella sp. (0.1%), Unidentified species (7.1%) | Staphylococcus haemolyticus (medium) |
| Cathether | Staphylococcus epidermidis (90.8%), Enterococcus faecalis (4.1%), Enterococcus hirae (1.7%), Herbaspirillum sp. (1.2%), Sphingomonas sanguinis (0.9%), Roseateles sp. (0.5%), Ralstonia insidiosa (0.2%), eukarvotic DNA (0.6%) | $\label{eq:staphylococcus} \begin{array}{l} \textit{Staphylococcus epidermidis} (\geq 15 \text{ CFU}), \\ \textit{Enterococcus faecium (1 CFU)} \end{array}$ |
| Urine | Staphylococcus epidermidis (91.9%), Herbaspirillum sp. (5.6%), Sphingomonas sanguinis (1.6%), Streptococcus oralis (0.6%), Rhizobacter sp. (0.2%), Delftia acidovorans (0.2%) | Staphylococcus epidermidis (≥10e5 CFU/ml.) |
| Cathether | Staphylococcus epidermidis (76.4%), Herbaspirillum sp. (8.4%), Janthinobacterium svalbardensis (2.0%), Sphingomonas sanguinis (1.2%), Staphylococcus capitis (1.2%), Roseateles sp. (0.7%), Corynebacterium accolens (0.5%), Pseudomonas sp. (0.4%), Rhizobacter sp. (0.3%), Unidentified species (2.3%), eukaryotic DNA (6.5%) | Staphylococcus capitis (≥15 CFU), |
| Urine | Staphylococcus epidermidis (72.5%), Bacteroides dorei (20.2%), Bacteroides | Staphylococcus epidermidis (≥15 CFU) Staphylococcus epidermidis (≥10e5 |
| Urine | Staphylococcus haemolyticus (65.1%), Dialister sp. (4.2%), Actinomyces sp. (1.6%), Solobacterium moorei (1.5%), Cutibacterium acnes (1.1%), Peptoniphilus lacrimalis (1.1%), Prevotella sp. (0.9%), Actinotignum sp. (0.9%), Porphyromonas bennonis (0.7%), Herbaspirillum sp. (0.7%), Eubacterium saphenum (0.5%), Peptoniphilus grossensis (0.5%), Peptoniphilus urinimassiliensis (0.4%), Kallipyga sp. (0.4%), Eubacterium sp. (0.4%), Jonquetella anthropic (0.3%), Peptoniphilus koenoeneniae (0.3%), Actinomyces turicensis (0.3%), Criibacterium bergeronii (0.2%), Ezakiella sp. (0.2%), Prevotella colorans (0.2%), Roseateles sp. (0.2%), Sphingomonas sanguinis (0.2%), Moryella indoligenes (0.1%), Howardella sp. (0.1%), Unidentified species (17.8%) | Staphylococcus heamolyticus (≥10e4 CFU/mI.) |
| Urine | Staphylococcus saprophyticus (96.9%), Veillonella sp. (1.1%), Streptococcus agalactiae (0.8%), Herbaspirillum sp. (0.2%), Moraxella osloensis (0.2%), Streptococcus sp. (0.2%), Streptococcus mitis (0.2%), Acidovorax sp. (0.1%), Brevundimonas vesicularis (0.1%) | Staphylococcus saprophyticus (≥10e4 CFU/ml.) |
| Swab eye | Cutibacterium acnes (47.5%), Corynebacterium sp. (9.7%), Staphylococcus epidermidis (4.4%), Streptococcus sanguinis (4.3%), Herbaspirillum sp. (4.2%), Simonsiella sp. (1.6%), Veillonella sp. (1.3%), Sphingomonas sanguinis (1.2%), Corynebacterium jeikeium (1.2%), Roseateles sp. (0.9%), Gordonia sp. (0.8%), Fusobacterium nucleatum (0.8%), Finegoldia sp. (0.6%), Aquabacterium sp. (0.5%), Kocuria palustris (0.5%), Methylobacterium sp. (0.4%), Kocuria rhizophila (1.5%), Propionibacterium acnes (0.4%), eukaryotic DNA (18.2%) | Staphylococcus epidermidis (unknown) |
| Nose | Staphylococcus epidermidis (69.3%), Finegoldia magna (7.9%) Sphingomonas sanguinis (3.6%), Herbaspirillum sp. (3.4%), Pseudomonas fluorescens (2.4%), Staphylococcus haemolyticus (1.8%), Streptococcus sanguinis (1.6%), | Staphylococcus pasteuri (unknown) |
| | Urine Sputum Cathether Urine Cathether Urine Urine Urine Swab eye | (0.7%). Monoglobus pactinificus: (0.5%). Longibaculum sp. (0.5%). Blautia sp. (0.5%). Eubacterium sireeum (0.5%). Parabacteroides distasonis (0.4%). Staphylococcus sp. (0.4%). Bacteroides sp. (0.4%). Aminococcus sp. (0.3%). Peptoniphilus sp. (0.3%). Horbasphillum sp. (0.3%). Delister sp. (0.3%). Colidextribacter massilensis (0.2%). Colidextribacter massilensis (0.2%). Colidextribacter splanchricus (0.2%). Unidentified species (0.5%). Unine Actinotigrum sp. (68.2%). Staphylococcus epidermidis (31.1%). Actinotigrum schaall (0.1%). Unidentified species (0.6%) Urine Lactobacillus sp. (98.5%). Lactobacillus iners (0.8%). Unidentified species (0.7%) Sputum Prevotella melaninoganica (76.9%). Staphylococcus haemophyticus (10.0%). Leptotrichia sp. (5.7%). Neithonalis sp. (0.1%). Prevotella jejuri (0.1%). Prevotella sp. (0.1%). Unidentified species (7.1%) Cathether Staphylococcus epidermidis (0.0%). Enterococcus facealis (4.1%). Enterococcus hires (1.7%). Horbasphillum sp. (1.2%). Sphingormonas sanguinis (1.9%). Prevotella sp. (0.1%). Indentified species (0.6%) Urine Staphylococcus epidermidis (0.2%). eukayotic DNA (0.6%) Urine Staphylococcus epidermidis (16.4%). Horbasphillum sp. (5.6%). Sphingormonas sanguinis (1.2%). Stephylococcus forealis (4.1%). Jonethia acidovorans (0.2%). Sphingormonas sanguinis (1.2%). Stephylococcus epidermidis (1.2%). Folkabacter sp. (0.2%). Colfitia acidovorans (0.2%). Staphylococcus epidermidis (1.2%). Fibrabacter sp. (0.4%). Junitentified species (2.3%). Junitoributerium svalbardensis (2.0%). Sphingormonas sanguinis (1.2%). Stephylococcus epidermidis (1.2%). Folkabacterium svalbardensis (2.0%). Sphingormonas sens (0.5%). Staphylococcus epidermidis (1.2%). Folkabacterium scienterium svalbardensis (2.0%). Sphingormonas sens (0.5%). Staphylococcus epidermidis (1.2%). Folkabacterium scienterium scienterium scienterium scienter (1.5%). Staphylococcus epidermidis (1.5%). Eubacterium sens (1.4%) |

TABLE 7 | Continued

| Sample | Sample material | Species content by NGS of 16S-23S rRNA region (% of total reads) | Species content by conventional culture and MALDI-TOF Vitek MS identification (growth) |
|--------|-----------------------|--|---|
| | | Enterococcus faecalis (1.5%), Staphylococcus sp. (1.2%), Kocuria palustris (1.0%), Staphylococcus hominis (0.7%), Niastella sp. (0.6%), Staphylococcus pasteuri (0.6%), Corynebacterium sp. (0.4%), Propionibacterium acnes (0.4%), Staphylococcus capitis (0.3%), Janthinobacterium sp. (0.2%), Roseateles sp. (0.2%), Campylobacter concisus (0.2%), Streptococcus sp. (0.2%), Actinomyces neuli (0.2%), Unidentified species (1.5%), eukaryotic DNA (0.8%) | |
| STA26 | Urine | Staphylococcus lugdunensis (100%) | Staphylococcus lugdunensis (≥10e5 CFU/ml.) |
| STA27 | Urine | Staphylococcus epidermidis (97.3%), <i>Pseudomonas putida</i> (2.6%), Unidentified species (0.1%) | Staphylococcus epidermidis (≥10e5 CFU/ml.) |
| STA28 | Urine | Aerococcus urinae (95.7%), Staphylococcus sp. (3.6%), Unidentified species (0.7%) | Staphylococcus epidermidis (≥10e5 CFU/ml.), <i>Aerococcus urina</i> e (≥10e5 CFU/ml.) |
| STA29 | Pus wound deep | Staphylococcus haemolyticus (43.1%), Herminiimonas sp. (11.2%), Propionibacterium acnes (9.2%), Sphingomonas sanguinis (6.6%), Staphylococcus epidermidis (6.3%), Paracoccus yeei (3.4%), Janthinobacterium sp. (1.7%), Herbaspirillum sp. (1.6%), Pseudomonas sp. (0.3%), Pseudomonas lurida (0.3%), Staphylococcus aureus (0.3%), Unidentified species (0.6%), eukaryotic DNA (15.5%) | Staphylococcus haemolyticus (few), Staphylococcus epidermidis (few) |
| STA30 | Insertion opening | Corynebacterium sp. (52.0%), Peptoniphilus harei (31.5%), Corynebacterium tuberculostearicum (1.6%), Cutibacterium acnes (1.1%), Anaerococcus sp. (0.7%), Finegoldia sp. (0.7%), Herbaspirillum sp. (0.5%), Corynebacterium pseudogenitalium (0.2%), Herbaspirillum rubrisubalbicans (0.1%), Unidentified species (11.5%), eukaryotic DNA (0.1%) | Staphylococcus hominis (few), <i>Corynebacterium tuberculostearicum</i> (Medium) |
| STA31 | Wound supperficial | Streptococcus dysgalactiae (98.8%), Corynebacterium sp. (0.5%), Finegoldia sp. (0.4%), Unidentified species (0.3%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium), Streptococcus group C (large) |
| STA32 | Throat | Staphylococcus aureus (98.4%), Corynebacterium striatum (1.0%), Peptostreptococcus anaerobius (0.4%), Streptococcus sp. (0.2%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA33 | Ear | Finegoldia sp. (37.6%), Gemella haemolysans (11.5%), Anaerococcus sp. (7.2%), Streptococcus pneumoniae (4.0%), Staphylococcus sp. (2.3%), Enterococcus sp. (1.9%), Peptoniphilus harei (1.2%), Prevotella sp. (1.0%), Eikenella corrodens (0.9%), Corynebacterium sp. (0.6%), Staphylococcus aureus (0.4%), Auricoccus sp. (0.4%), Prevotella melaninogenica (0.3%), Unidentified species (29.9%), eukaryotic DNA (0.9%) | Staphylococcus aureus (large) |
| STA34 | Urine | Staphylococcus aureus (68.7%), Peptostreptococcus anaerobius (7.6%), Anaerococcus tetradius (2.2%), Anaerococcus sp. (1.4%), Peptoniphilus harei (0.6%), Corynebacterium simulans (0.5%), Herbaspirillum sp. (0.2%), Sphingomonas sanguinis (0.2%), Corynebacterium sp. (0.2%), Pedobacter sp. (0.2%), Unidentified species (16.9%), eukaryotic DNA (1.8%) | Staphylococcus aureus (≥ 10e5 CFU/ml.) |
| STA35 | Urine | Staphylococcus aureus (98.4%), Staphylococcus haemolyticus (1.0%), Staphylococcus epidermidis (0.5%) | Staphylococcus aureus (≥ 10e5 CFU/ml.), <i>Candida parapsilosis</i> (10e4 CFU/ml.) |
| STA36 | Urine | Staphylococcus aureus (100%) | Staphylococcus aureus (≥ 10e5 CFU/ml.) |
| STA37 | Sputum | Staphylococcus aureus (62.4%), Streptococcus sp. (16.6%), Fusobacterium sp. (5.2%), Fusobacterium nucleatum (3.6%), Veillonella atypica (3.2%), Gemella morbillorum (1.3%), Prevotella sp. (0.9%), Prevotella conceptionensis (0.6%), Prevotella melaninogenica (0.5%), Parvimonas micra (0.5%), Streptococcus salivarius (0.4%), Capnocytophaga sp. (0.2%), Lactobacillus gasseri (0.2%), Streptococcus parasanguinis (0.2%), Unidentified species (1.4%), eukaryotic DNA (2.8%) | Staphylococcus aureus (large) |
| STA38 | Urine | Klebsiella sp. (61.5%), Pseudomonas sp. (29.6%), Staphylococcus aureus (2.7%), Stenotrophomonas sp. (0.6%), Acinetobacter sp. (0.3%), Enterobacter sp. (0.3%), Klebsiella oxytoca (0.3%), Pseudomonas azotoformans (0.1%), Unidentified species (4.7%) | Staphylococcus aureus (≥ 10e5 CFU/ml.), <i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> (≥ 10e5 CFU/ml.) |
| STA39 | Sputum | Streptococcus anginosus (32.0%), Prevotella sp. (26.9%), Moraxella catarrhalis (13.9%), Staphylococcus aureus (8.5%), Prevotella oris (3.5%), Solobacterium moorei (1.6%), Streptococcus sp. (1.1%), Peptoniphilus harei (0.6%), Corynebacterium sp. (0.5%), Parvimonas micra (0.4%), Finegoldia sp. (0.3%), Streptococcus oralis (0.3%), Pseudomonas sp. (0.1%), Unidentified species (9.1%), eukaryotic DNA (1.3%) | Staphylococcus aureus (large), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (medium), Klebsiella oxytoca (few) |

TABLE 7 | Continued

| Sample | Sample material | Species content by NGS of 16S-23S rRNA region (% of total reads) | Species content by conventional culture and MALDI-TOF Vitek MS identification (growth) |
|--------|------------------------------|---|--|
| STA40 | Sputum | Staphylococcus aureus (8.5%), Leptotrichia sp. (2.3%), Streptococcus thermophilus (1.7%), Herbaspirillum sp. (1.5%), eukaryotic DNA (85.9%) | Staphylococcus aureus (few), Candida albicans (few) |
| STA41 | BAL | Leptotrichia sp. (37.4%), Prevotella sp. (13.5%), Streptococcus sp. (10.7%), Veillonella atypica (5.2%), Fusobacterium sp. (1.4%), Selenomonas sp. (1.3%), Actinomyces sp. (0.8%), Parvimonas sp. (0.8%), Megasphaera sp. (0.7%), Atopobium sp. (0.6%), Streptococcus salivarius (0.5%), Tannerella sp. (0.3%), Lactobacillus sp. (0.3%), Cryptobacterium sp. (0.3%), Porphyromonas sp. (0.3%), Aerococcus sp. (0.2%), Prevotella melaninogenica (0.2%), Alloprevotella tannerae (0.2%), Dialister sp. (0.2%), Dialister pneumosintes (0.2%), Fretibacterium fastidiosum (0.2%), Mogibacterium sp. (0.2%), Stomatobaculum longum (0.2%), Centipeda sp. (0.2%), Peptostreptococcus sp. (0.1%), Prevotella nigrescens (0.1%), Streptococcus mitis (0.1%), Actinomyces odontolyticus (0.1%), Anaeroglobus sp. (0.1%), Atopobium parvulum (0.1%), Solobacterium sp. (0.1%), Eubacterium sp. (0.1%), Unidentified species (23.6%) | Staphylococcus aureus (few), Aspergillus furnigatus (few) |
| STA42 | Pus abscess Iabia | Staphylococcus aureus (30.8%), Mobiluncus curtisii (5.1%), Dialister sp. (1.8%), Anaerococcus sp. (1.7%), Actinomyces sp. (1.4%), Peptoniphilus coxii (1.1%), Herbaspirillum sp. (0.8%), Peptoniphilus sp. (0.6%), Peptoniphilus harei (0.6%), Peptostreptococcus anaerobius (0.5%), Unidentified species (16.7%), eukaryotic DNA (38.7%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA43 | Cervix/vagina post partum | Lactobacillus sp. (50.7%), Prevotella sp. (17.4%), Parvimonas sp. (5.7%), Streptococcus anginosus (1.3%), Gemella sp. (1.1%), Solobacterium sp. (0.7%), Anaerococcus obesiensis (0.4%), Peptoniphilus sp. (0.3%), Lactobacillus iners (0.2%), Solobacterium moorei (0.1%), Unidentified species (22.1%), eukaryotic DNA (0.1%) | Staphylococcus aureus (large), Escherichia coli (large), Prevotella disiens (medium) |
| STA44 | Wound supperficial | Streptococcus agalactiae (65.5%), Finegoldia sp. (10.2%), Anaerococcus sp. (3.4%), Actinotignum sp. (0.5%), Peptoniphilus sp. (0.4%), Actinomyces sp. (0.1%), Enterococcus sp. (0.1%), Unidentified species (19.9%) | Staphylococcus aureus (large), Streptococcus agalactiae (large) |
| STA45 | Swab ulcus dig. | Staphylococcus aureus (63.4%), Streptococcus oralis (23.4%), Staphylococcus sp. (7.0%), Finegoldia magna (3.5%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (0.4%), Anaerococcus murdochii (0.2%), Corynebacterium striatum (0.2%), Actinomyces neuii (0.2%), Unidentified species (1.8%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium), <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (medium) |

All detected Staphylococcus species are indicated in bold.

However, this method suffers from a lack of reference sequences in the GenBank database for many bacterial species at present. Prior to this study, 16S-23S rRNA sequences were available for only 29 *Staphylococcus* species. Our investigations allowed development of 16S-23S rRNA sequences for an additional 21 species, making identification of almost all *Staphylococcus* species feasible with the exception only of the recently described Antarctic *S. edaphicus* species (Pantøuček et al., 2017).

In order to identify strains at the species level, the reference sequence with the highest similarity score needs to be found. For several *Staphylococcus* species, only one or a few reference 16S-23S rRNA sequences can be found during BLAST searches in the GenBank database. In such cases, it is possible that the sequence obtained during a study belongs to a different evolutionary cluster within a species than the reference and the nucleotide differences between them are high (more than 1%). Then, it is not possible to assign bacterial species with the similarity score 99% or higher. If more reference sequences are deposited in the genetic sequence databases, representing evolutionary diverse lineages, species will always be assigned with a similarity score above 99%.

The NGS of 16S-23S rRNA approach proved to be an excellent tool for identification at the species level for a great majority of *Staphylococcus* strains. Nevertheless, some problematic cases

were found. In our study, in case of pairs: S. pseudintermedius - S. aureus; S. simulans - S. hyicus and S. warneri - S. epidermidis, an alignment to the next closest species accounted <0.2% but to only one and not published genome assembly. In all these cases, the second next species was aligned <99% similarity. Similar situation was found for S. agnetis - S. hyicus and S. schweitzeri - S. aureus. As the problems in accurate identification of these species are described (Tong et al., 2015; Adkins et al., 2017), we believe that the increase of deposited sequences for S. agnetis and S. schweitzeri will allow for an unequivocal identification. It is very important to develop a well-curated database with a verification of deposited sequences in terms of proper organism identification. For now, the sequences that are not published should not be considered as reference ones. There is no previous single study with a same dataset of reference sequences for genes commonly used for staphylococci identification, so usually those sequences cannot be compared. In this study, we have not only deposited such dataset for 4 commonly used identification targets but also added a package of sequences for a new identification tool with a high identification potential.

The developed reference dataset improved the identification accuracy of staphylococci in clinical samples. Data from this study showed that NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region for most

TABLE 8 | The discrepancy analysis of 24 clinical samples with real-time PCR.

| Sample | S. aureus PCR (Ct) | 16S rDNA (Ct) | ∆ Ct | <i>S. aureus</i> identification with NGS of 16S-23S rRNA region (% of total reads) | S. aureus identification with conventional culture and MALDI-TOF Vitek MS identification (growth) |
|--------|-----------------------|---------------|-------|--|---|
| STA4 | 25.32 | 25.04 | 0.28 | Staphylococcus aureus (100%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA36 | 24.31 | 23.86 | 0.45 | Staphylococcus aureus (100%) | Staphylococcus aureus (≥10e5 CFU/ml.) |
| STA32 | 25.23 | 23.70 | 1.53 | Staphylococcus aureus (98.4%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA35 | 21.58 | 20.91 | 0.67 | Staphylococcus aureus (98.4%) | Staphylococcus aureus (≥10e5 CFU/ml.) |
| STA6 | 25.72 | 25.69 | 0.03 | Staphylococcus aureus (95.5%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA34 | 28.26 | 29.70 | 1.44 | Staphylococcus aureus (68.7%) | Staphylococcus aureus (≥10e5 CFU/ml.) |
| STA37 | 27.01 | 24.59 | 2.42 | Staphylococcus aureus (62.4%) | Staphylococcus aureus (large) |
| STA2 | 27.78 | 27.20 | 0.58 | Staphylococcus aureus (65.3%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA45 | 26.26 | 25.51 | 0.75 | Staphylococcus aureus (63.4%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA5 | 31.83 | 32.86 | 1.03 | Staphylococcus aureus (59.6%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA12 | 25.25 | 26.72 | 1.53 | Staphylococcus aureus (55.0%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA42 | 31.29 | 33.1 | 1.81 | Staphylococcus aureus (30.8%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA9 | 26.49 | 25.87 | 0.62 | Staphylococcus aureus (24.3%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA39 | 25.13 | 19.22 | 5.91 | Staphylococcus aureus (8.5%) | Staphylococcus aureus (large) |
| STA3 | 31.30 | 30.45 | 0.85 | Staphylococcus aureus (7.8%) | Staphylococcus aureus (few) |
| STA38 | 26.73 | 18.00 | 8.73 | Staphylococcus aureus (2.7%) | Staphylococcus aureus (≥10e5 CFU/ml.) |
| STA13 | 34.79 | 18.21 | 16.58 | Staphylococcus sp. (0.9%) | Staphylococcus haemolyticus (sporadic), Staphylococcus epidermidis (large) |
| STA33 | 26.86 | 25.15 | 1.71 | Staphylococcus aureus (0.4%) | Staphylococcus aureus (large) |
| STA1 | 33.10 | 21.86 | 11.15 | Staphylococcus aureus (0.2%) | Staphylococcus aureus (large) |
| STA7 | 36.00 | 28.01 | 7.99 | Staphylococcus aureus (0.0%) | Staphylococcus aureus (few) |
| STA31 | 31.43 | 21.83 | 9.60 | Staphylococcus aureus (0.0%) | Staphylococcus aureus (medium) |
| STA41 | 33.48 | 23.12 | 10.36 | Staphylococcus aureus (0.0%) | Staphylococcus aureus (few) |
| STA43 | 28.08 | 21.00 | 7.08 | Staphylococcus aureus (0.0%) | Staphylococcus aureus (large) |
| STA44 | 31.43 | 20.11 | 11.32 | Staphylococcus aureus (0.0%) | Staphylococcus aureus (large) |

clinical samples correctly identified the bacterial species that were identified using culture-based methods. In most samples, a few more species of the same genera or other genera were also identified by NGS. In some samples, NGS allowed identification of clinically relevant pathogens that often remain unidentified by culture methods due to their challenging growth, such as GPAC (Gram-positive anaerobic cocci) bacteria, Actinotignum sp., and Streptococcus species (Murphy and Frick, 2013; Pedersen et al., 2017). In other cases, the NGS results were not consistent with the culture methods. In some cases, the NGS showed the dominating unidentified species within the samples, and in others, a lowquality PCR product was obtained. These problems may be removed by obtaining more reference sequences for other genera and using the NGS method for more clinical samples to slightly improve the PCR conditions. Moreover, when differences can be found within the whole 16S-23S rRNA region sequence, then polymorphism within the species is the cause, and inclusion of a higher number of reference sequences will improve the specieslevel identification. Facilitating NGS 16S-23S rRNA data analyses is crucial because at present, this method is quite complicated for clinical samples since it is time consuming and the results may be difficult to interpret. The new software that is being created will be a great improvement for researchers. Importantly, positive and negative controls should always be included to monitor the whole process.

The rapid development of DNA sequencing techniques has allowed substantial improvement of culture-independent identification of microbial pathogens. Additionally, advances in DNA sequencing techniques have allowed simultaneous investigation of millions of DNA fragments and enabled rapid identification of all microorganisms present in a given clinical sample. NGS-based techniques, especially NGS of the 16S rRNA gene, have been successfully applied for the comprehensive analysis of microbiomes not only from healthy people but also from microbiomes associated with many diseases (Jervis Bardy and Psaltis, 2016; Jovel et al., 2016; Pérez-Losada et al., 2017). Microbiome analysis could lead to reclassification of terms such as "infectious agent" or "bacterial pathogen," because any microbiome appears to have one or more dominant bacteria but also contains potentially important coconspirators that may modulate growth, virulence, biofilm formation, quorum sensing, and antibiotic resistance, and sensitive NGS-based techniques enable their detection (Toma et al., 2014). In any case, identification of microbiome constituents at the phylum or genus level does not provide sufficient microbiological details. This lack occurs because microbes at the species level are transmitted between hosts and have different transmission power, tenacity and biological behavior. NGS of the 16-23S rRNA region allows polymicrobial diagnostics, and analysis of the intergenic regions contained in this region significantly increases the identification potential of the method, allowing unambiguous species identification.

In conclusion, our study demonstrated the reliability of NGS of the 16S-23S rRNA region for staphylococcal identification at the species level. The method based on NGS of the 16S-23S region undoubtedly had the highest identification potential of all of the methods used. We have developed a reference dataset of the 16S-23S rRNA region for 50 staphylococcal species (including one proposed species) and 6 subspecies. Therefore, all clinically relevant staphylococcal species can be detected in patient specimens at present. Expanding the database in the future will allow this approach to constitute a highly precise, rapid and reliable method for highly specific microbial identification in general.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets generated for this study can be found in Genbank, MF678861–MF678916, MK015765-MK015864; MF678917-MF678972, MF679029-MF679084; MF678973-MF679028; MF679085-MF679140.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AS, AK-S, and AF designed the project. AK-S, KB, and JM provided the strains and clinical samples with their data. MK-S, VA, EvZ, GW, and AS performed the experiments. MK-S and AS carried out *de novo* assemblies. All authors interpreted the

REFERENCES

- Adkins, P. R. F., Middleton, J. R., Calcutt, M. J., Stewart, G. C., and Fox, L. K. (2017). Species identification and strain typing of *Staphylococcus agnetis* and *Staphylococcus hyicus* isolates from bovine milk by use of a novel multiplex PCR assay and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 55, 1778–1788. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02239-16
- Ayeni, F. A., Andersen, C., and Nørskov-Lauritsen, N. (2017). Comparison of growth on mannitol salt agar, matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization timeof-flight mass spectrometry, VITEK[®] 2 with partial sequencing of 16S rRNA gene for identification of coagulase-negative staphylococci. *Microb. Pathog.* 105, 255–259. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2017.02.034
- Becker, K., Harmsen, D., Mellmann, A., Meier, C., Schumann, P., Peters, G., et al. (2004). Development and evaluation of a qualitycontrolled ribosomal sequence database for 16S ribosomal DNA-based identification of *Staphylococcus* species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42, 4988–4995. doi: 10.1128/JCM.42.11.4988-4995.2004
- Becker, K., Heilmann, C., and Peters, G. (2014). Coagulase-negative staphylococci. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 27, 870–926. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00109-13
- Becker, K., Skov, R. L., and von Eiff, C. (2015). "Staphylococcus, Micrococcus, and other catalase-positive cocci," in *Manual of Clinical Microbiology*, 11th Edn, eds J. H. Jorgensen, M. A. Pfaller, K. C. Carroll, G. Funke, M. L. Landry, S. S. Richter, and D. Warnock (Washington, DC: ASM press), 354–382.
- Bergeron, M., Dauwalder, O., Gouy, M., Freydiere, A. M., Bes, M., Meugnier, H., et al. (2011). Species identification of staphylococci by amplification and sequencing of the tuf gene compared to the gap gene and by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 30, 343–354. doi: 10.1007/s10096-010-1091-z
- Butin, M., Rasigade, J. P., Martins-Simões, P., Meugnier, H., Lemriss, H., Goering, R. V., et al. (2016). Wide geographical dissemination of the multiresistant *Staphylococcus capitis* NRCS-A clone in neonatal intensive-care units. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 22, 46–52. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2015.09.008

data. MK-S and AS wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

FUNDING

This project was financed by funds granted by the National Science Center (NCN, Poland) on the basis of the decision no. UMO-2016/21/N/NZ6/00981 (for MK-S) and in part by the European Regional Development Fund within the EurHealth-1Health project (EU/INTERREG VA-681377 to KB and AF). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author was financially supported by Erasmus+ program and by scholarship from the Leading National Research Center (KNOW) for Faculty of Biochemistry, Biophysics, and Biotechnology Jagiellonian University, Krakow, which is supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education in Poland.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb. 2019.00278/full#supplementary-material

- Clarridge, J. E. III. (2004). Impact of 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis for identification of bacteria on clinical microbiology and infectious diseases. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 17, 840–862. doi: 10.1128/CMR.17.4.840-862.2004
- Couto, I., Pereira, S., Miragaia, M., Sanches, I. S., and de Lencastre, H. (2001). Identification of clinical staphylococcal isolates from humans by internal transcribed spacer PCR. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 39, 3099–3103. doi: 10.1128/JCM.39.9.3099-3103.2001
- Devriese, L., Hájek, V., Oeding, P., Meyer, S., and Schleifer, K. (1978). Staphylococcus hyicus (Sompolinsky 1953) comb. nov. and Staphylococcus hyicus subsp. chromogenes subsp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 28, 482–90. doi: 10.1099/00207713-28-4-482
- Drancourt, M., and Raoult, D. (2002). rpoB gene sequence-based identification of *Staphylococcus* species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 40, 1333–1338. doi: 10.1128/JCM.40.4.1333-1338.2002
- Dupont, C., Sivadon-Tardy, V., Bille, E., Dauphin, B., Beretti, J. L., Alvarez, A. S., et al. (2010). Identification of clinical coagulase-negative staphylococci, isolated in microbiology laboratories, by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry and two automated systems. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 16, 998–1004. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009. 03036.x
- Euzéby, J. P. (1997). List of bacterial names with standing in nomenclature: a folder available on the internet. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 47, 590–592. doi:10.1099/00207713-47-2-590
- Evans, A. C. (1916). The bacteria of milk freshly drawn from normal udders. J. Infect. Dis. 18, 437–76. doi: 10.1093/infdis/18.5.437
- Flores-Mireles, A. L., Walker, J. N., Caparon, M., and Hultgren, S. J. (2015). Urinary tract infections: epidemiology, mechanisms of infection and treatment options. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 13, 269–284. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3432
- Ghebremedhin, B., Layer, F., König, W., and König, B. (2008). Genetic classification and distinguishing of *Staphylococcus* species based on different partial gap, 16S rRNA, hsp60, rpoB, sodA, and tuf gene sequences. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46, 1019–1025. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02058-07

- Gherardi, G., Di Bonaventura, G., and Savini, V. (2018). "Staphylococcal taxonomy," in *Pet-to-Man Travelling Staphylococci: A World in Progress*, ed V. Savini (Cambridge, MA: Elsevier), 1–10. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-813547-1.00001-7
- Giormezis, N., Kolonitsiou, F., Makri, A., Vogiatzi, A., Christofidou, M., Anastassiou, E. D., et al. (2015). Virulence factors among *Staphylococcus lugdunensis* are associated with infection sites and clonal spread. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 34, 773–778. doi: 10.1007/s10096-014-2291-8
- Hájek, V., Devriese, L. A., Mordarski, M., Goodfellow, M., Pulverer, G., and Varaldo, P. E. (1986). Elevation of *Staphylococcus hyicus* subsp. chromogenes (Devriese et al., 1978) to species status: *Staphylococcus chromogenes* (Devriese et al., 1978) *comb. nov. Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 8, 169–73. doi: 10.1016/S0723-2020(86)80071-6
- Heikens, E., Fleer, A., Paauw, A., Florijn, A., and Fluit, A. C. (2005). Comparison of genotypic and phenotypic methods for species-level identification of clinical isolates of coagulase-negative staphylococci. J. Clin. Microbiol. 43, 2286–2290. doi: 10.1128/JCM.43.5.2286-2290.2005
- Hwang, S. M., Kim, M. S., Park, K. U., Song, J., and Kim, E. C. (2011). Tuf gene sequence analysis has greater discriminatory power than 16S rRNA sequence analysis in identification of clinical isolates of coagulase-negative staphylococci. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 49, 4142–4149. doi: 10.1128/JCM.05213-11
- Islam, M. Z., Espinosa-Gongora, C., Damborg, P., Sieber, R. N., Munk, R., Husted, L., et al. (2017). Horses in denmark are a reservoir of diverse clones of methicillin-resistant and -susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*. Front. Microbiol. 8:543. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00543
- Jervis Bardy, J., and Psaltis, A. J. (2016). Next generation sequencing and the microbiome of chronic rhinosinusitis: a primer for clinicians and review of current research, its limitations, and future directions. Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol. 125, 613–621. doi: 10.1177/0003489416641429
- Jovel, J., Patterson, J., Wang, W., Hotte, N., O'Keefe, S., Mitchel, T., et al. (2016). Characterization of the gut microbiome using 16S or shotgun metagenomics. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:459. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00459
- Kaspar, U., Kriegeskorte, A., Schubert, T., Peters, G., Rudack, C., Pieper, D. H., et al. (2016). The culturome of the human nose habitats reveals individual bacterial fingerprint patterns. *Environ. Microbiol.* 18, 2130–2142. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12891
- Kloos, W., and Schleifer, K. (1975). Isolation and characterization of staphylococci from human skin II. Descriptions of four new species: staphylococcus warneri, staphylococcus capitis, staphylococcus hominis, and staphylococcus simulans. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 25, 62–79. doi: 10.1099/00207713-25-1-62
- Kosecka-Strojek, M., Buda, A., and Miedzobrodzki, J. (2018). "Staphylococcal ecology and epidemiology," in *Pet-to-Man Travelling Staphylococci: A World in Progress*, ed V. Savini (Cambridge, MA: Elsevier), 11–24. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-813547-1.00002-9
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33, 1870–1874. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Lange, C. C., Brito, M. A., Reis, D. R., Machado, M. A., Guimarães, A. S., Azevedo, A. L., et al. (2015). Species-level identification of staphylococci isolated from bovine mastitis in Brazil using partial 16S rRNA sequencing. *Vet. Microbiol.* 176, 382–388. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2015.01.024
- Larkin, M. A., Blackshields, G., Brown, N. P., Chenna, R., McGettigan, P. A., McWilliam, H., et al. (2007). Clustal, W., and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics* 23, 2947–2948. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm404
- Li, X., Xing, J., Li, B., Wang, P., and Liu, J. (2012). Use of tuf as a target for sequence-based identification of Gram-positive cocci of the genus *Enterococcus*, *Streptococcus*, coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus*, and *Lactococcus*. Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob. 27, 31. doi: 10.1186/1476-0711-11-31
- Martineau, F., Picard, F. J., Ke, D., Paradis, S., Roy, P. H., Ouellette, M., et al. (2001). Development of a PCR assay for identification of staphylococci at genus and species levels. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 39, 2541–2547. doi: 10.1128/JCM.39.7.2541-2547.2001
- Mellmann, A., Becker, K., von Eiff, C., Keckevoet, U., Schumann, P., and Harmsen, D. (2006). Sequencing and staphylococci identification. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 12, 333–336. doi: 10.3201/eid1202.050962
- Mrochen, D. M., Schulz, D., Fischer, S., Jeske, K., El Gohary, H., Reil, D., et al. (2017). Wild rodents and shrews are natural hosts of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 308, 590–597. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2017.09.014

- Murphy, E. C., and Frick, I. M. (2013). Gram-positive anaerobic coccicommensals and opportunistic pathogens. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 37, 520–553. doi: 10.1111/1574-6976.12005
- Nguyen, N. P., Warnow, T., Pop, M., and White, B. (2016). A perspective on 16S rRNA operational taxonomic unit clustering using sequence similarity. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes*. 2:16004. doi: 10.1038/npjbiofilms.2016.4
- Nijhuis, R. H., van Maarseveen, N. M., van Hannen, E. J., van Zwet, A. A., and Mascini, E. M. (2014). A rapid and high-throughput screening approach for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* based on the combination of two different real-time PCR assays. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 52, 2861–2867. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00808-14
- Pantøuček, R., Sedláček, I., Indráková, A., Vrbovská, V., Mašlaňová, I., Kovařovic, V., et al. (2017). *Staphylococcus edaphicus* sp. nov., isolated in Antarctica, harbours mecC gene and genomic islands with suspected role in adaptation to extreme environment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2017:AEM.01746–17. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01746-17
- Parte, A. C. (2018). LPSN list of prokaryotic names with standing in nomenclature (bacterio.net), 20 years on. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 68, 1825–1829. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.002786
- Pedersen, H., Senneby, E., and Rasmussen, M. (2017). Clinical and microbiological features of Actinotignum bacteremia: a retrospective observational study of 57 cases. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 36, 791–796. doi: 10.1007/s10096-016-2862-y
- Pérez-Losada, M., Alamri, L., Crandall, K. A., and Freishtat, R. J. (2017). Nasopharyngeal microbiome diversity changes over time in children with asthma. *PLoS ONE* 12:e0170543. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0170543
- Poyart, C., Quesne, G., Boumaila, C., and Trieu-Cuot, P. (2001). Rapid and accurate species-level identification of coagulase-negative staphylococci by using the sodA gene as a target. J. Clin. Microbiol. 39, 4296–4301. doi: 10.1128/JCM.39.12.4296-4301.2001
- Sabat, A. J., Budimir, A., Nashev, D., Sá-Leão, R., van Dijl, J. M., Laurent, F., et al. (2013). ESCMID study group of epidemiological markers (ESGEM). Overview of molecular typing methods for outbreak detection and epidemiological surveillance. *Euro. Surveill.* 18:20380. doi: 10.2807/ese.18.04.20380-en
- Sabat, A. J., van Zanten, E., Akkerboom, V., Wisselink, G., van Slochteren, K., de Boer, R. F., et al. (2017). Targeted next-generation sequencing of the 16S-23S rRNA region for culture-independent bacterial identification - increased discrimination of closely related species. *Sci. Rep.* 7:3434. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-03458-6
- Saitou, N., and Nei, M. (1987). The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 4, 406–425.
- Savini, V., Kosecka, M., Siegwart, E., Marrollo, R., Polilli, E., Palmieri, D., et al. (2016). Daptomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus pettenkoferi* of human origin. *Acta Biochim. Pol.* 63, 297–301. doi: 10.18388/abp.2015_1113
- Schleifer, K., and Kloos, W. (1975). Isolation and characterization of staphylococci from human skin I. Amended descriptions of staphylococcus epidermidis and staphylococcus saprophyticus and descriptions of three new species: staphylococcus cohnii, staphylococcus haemolyticus, and staphylococcus xylosus. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 25, 50–61. doi: 10.1099/00207713-25-1-50
- Schleifer, K. H., Kilpper-Balz, R., and Devriese, L. A. (1984). Staphylococcus arlettae sp. nov., S. equorum sp. nov.: three new coagulase-negative, novobiocin-resistant species from animals. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 5, 501–9. doi: 10.1016/S0723-2020(84)80007-7
- Schuurman, T., de Boer, R. F., Kooistra-Smid, A. M., and van Zwet, A. A. (2004). Prospective study of use of PCR amplification and sequencing of 16S ribosomal DNA from cerebrospinal fluid for diagnosis of bacterial meningitis in a clinical setting. J. Clin. Microbiol. 42, 734–740. doi: 10.1128/JCM.42.2.734-740.2004
- Shin, J. H., Kim, S. H., Jeong, H. S., Oh, S. H., Kim, H. R., Lee, J. N., et al. (2011). Identification of coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis fluid using 16S ribosomal RNA, tuf, and sodA gene sequencing. *Perit. Dial. Int.* 31, 340–346. doi: 10.3747/pdi.2010.00073
- Singhal, N., Kumar, M., Kanaujia, P. K., and Virdi, J. S. (2015). MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry: an emerging technology for microbial identification and diagnosis. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:791. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00791
- Sompolinsky, D. (1953). De l'hpetigo Contagiosa Suis et du *Micrococcus hyicus* n. sp. Schweiz. *Arch. Tierheilkd.*

- Tamura, K., Nei, M., and Kumar, S. (2004). Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor-joining method. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101, 11030–11035. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0404206101
- Tang, Y. W., Han, J., McCormac, M. A., Li, H., and Stratton, C. W. (2008). *Staphylococcus pseudolugdunensis* sp. nov., a pyrrolidonyl arylamidase/ornithine decarboxylase-positive bacterium isolated from blood cultures. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 60, 351–359. doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio. 2007.11.005
- Toma, I., Siegel, M. O., Keiser, J., Yakovleva, A., Kim, A., Davenport, L., et al. (2014). Single-molecule long-read 16S sequencing to characterize the lung microbiome from mechanically ventilated patients with suspected pneumonia. J. Clin. Microbiol. 52, 3913–3921. doi: 10.1128/JCM. 01678-14
- Tong, S. Y., Schaumburg, F., Ellington, M. J., Corander, J., Pichon, B., Leendertz, F., et al. (2015). Novel staphylococcal species that form part of a *Staphylococcus aureus*-related complex: the non-pigmented *Staphylococcus argenteus* sp. nov. and the non-human primate-associated *Staphylococcus schweitzeri* sp. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 65(Pt 1):15–22. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.06 2752-0
- Winslow, C. E. A., Winslow, A. R. (1908). *The systematic relationships of the Coccaceae*. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons.

- Woo, P. C., Leung, A. S., Leung, K. W., and Yuen, K. Y. (2001). Identification of slide coagulase positive, tube coagulase negative *Staphylococcus aureus* by 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequencing. *Mol. Pathol.* 54, 244–247. doi: 10.1136/mp.54.4.244
- Woo, P. C., Teng, J. L., Wu, J. K., Leung, F. P., Tse, H., Fung, A. M., et al. (2009). Guidelines for interpretation of 16S rRNA gene sequence-based results for identification of medically important aerobic Gram-positive bacteria. J. Med. Microbiol. 58(Pt 8):1030–6. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.008615-0

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Kosecka-Strojek, Sabat, Akkerboom, Becker, van Zanten, Wisselink, Miedzobrodzki, Kooistra-Smid and Friedrich. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.