Pakistan Journal of Marine Sciences, Vol. 28(1), 05-17, 2019.

# COMPARISON OF METAL UPTAKE CAPACITIES OF THE BROWN ALGAE CYSTOSEIRA BARBATA AND CYSTOSEIRA CRINITA (PHAEOPHYCEAE) COLLECTED IN SINOP, TURKEY

# Elif Arıcı, Levent Bat and Gökhan Yıldız

Sinop University Vocational School of Health Services Sinop-Turkey (EA); Sinop University Faculty of Fisheries, Sinop-Turkey (LB, GY) email: earici@sinop.edu.tr

**ABSTRACT:** *Cystoseira barbata* (Stackhouse) C. Agardh, 1820 and *Cystoseira crinita* Duby, 1830 widely distributed and dominant in the Black Sea collected from Sinop coastal zone to monitor the current situation of regional metal pollution during the 2015-2016.

Macro-algae, sediments and seawater samples were analyzed for metal contents (Al, As, Cd, Co, Cu, Fe, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb and Zn) using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). The methodology was provided by using standard reference material BCR 279 – *Ulva lactuca*.

The general metal uptake of *Cystoseira* spp. displayed a tendency in the order of Hg<Cd<Co<Pb<Ni<Cu<As<Mn<Zn<Fe=Al. Accumulation of arsenic showed the highest levels in both seawater and sediments (BSAF>2 and BCF>5000) that was a good indicator for *Cystoseira* species. As a result of measured values, it is seen that Sinop region is below the pollution level. The observed results of the elemental accumulation noticed that *Cystoseira* spp. could be used regularly as a bio-monitor of coastal pollution in the study area.

**KEYWORDS:** Metals, macro-algae, monitoring, *Cystoseira barbata*, *Cystoseira crinita*, Black Sea

### **INTRODUCTION**

The Black Sea is semi-enclosed sea and is the biggest anoxic basin in the world. Eutrophication or over-fertilization is one of the most important pollution facing the Black Sea and it also has the greatest impact. Many rivers are delivering steadily higher quantities of contaminants to the Black Sea. Sewage discharges into the sea, which become particularly heavy during the tourist season, are accused to be the cause of this catastrophe. The rapid growths of coastal towns and cities in the Black Sea, coupled with shortage of funds for proper urban development, have been disturbed on the coasts. The most important of these contaminants are heavy metals. Many heavy metals are discharged into the Black Sea from industry and mining. The impact they have ranges from gradual changes in plankton species, to lethal effects on other biota. Marine algae are the most affected organisms from these contaminants in the marine coastal environment, because they cannot move and are directly exposed to contaminants including heavy metals. Marine algae grow using dissolved minerals and energy from the sun. Herbivores feed on these algae and themselves fall prey to other animals. The metals are easily absorbed with food, but they are not readily excreted, and even organisms low in the chain can be affected by these contaminants.

Marine macro-algae are progressively used as suitable bio-monitors to describe ecotoxicological significant of costal metal pollution (García-Seoane *et al.* 2018). Although metals exist in either the water column or get deposited on the sediment beds, they do not estimate the toxicity to biota. It is known that benthic seaweeds are able to concentrate free metal ions from seawater and sediments, reflect indirectly the average levels and temporal variations of contaminants (Phillips and Rainbow 1994). The brown alga is the most preferable for pollution biomonitoring to assess environmental situation in coastal areas (Kravtsova *et al.* 2014). Their higher resistance to metals and responsiveness to environmental or anthropogenic changes make them to be useful indicators of water and ecosystem quality according to EU- Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD 2008/56/EC).

*Cystoseira* is widely spread and used to monitor the pollution of the Black Sea coastal ecosystems by Zn, Cu, Fe, Pb, Ni, Cd, Mn and Co (Güven *et al.* 1992, 2007; Topçuoğlu *et al.* 1998, 2001, 2003; Altuğ *et al.* 2005; Arıcı and Bat 2016; Bat and Arıcı 2016; Arıcı 2017; Tüzen *et al.* 2009; Türk Çulha *et al.* 2010, 2013).

Sinop Province is faced to an increasing anthropogenic pressure owing to the growing population and domestic wastewater discharges (Bat and Baki 2014), alarming high levels of some heavy metals. The aim of this study was to identify metal uptake capacities and to compare the variabilities of metal levels in *Cystoseira* spp. distributed along Sinop coast of the Black Sea.

#### MATERIALS AND METHOD

#### Study area:

Sinop Province is located in the northeast point of the Turkish Black Sea exposed to domestic sewage, local fishing activities and tourism facilities (Bat *et al.* 2018). Sediments, seawater and *Cystoseira* species were collected in the upper littoral zone of Sinop during September 2015 and July 2016. Sampling was carried out from a total eight different contamination degrees sites (Fig. 1).

# Sampling technique:

The brown macro-algae *Cystoseira* species were collected in the selected areas by hand, rinsed with seawater, kept in the polyethylene bags, labelled and transported to the laboratory. In the laboratory, algae were washed again with bi-distilled water and dried at 70°C- 48 hours. Dried samples digested using a microwave digestion system (Milestone Systems, Start D 260) with Suprapur® HNO<sub>3</sub> (nitric acid) according to Aquatic plant HPR-FO-08 method (Milestone).

Sediment samples were taken with PVC cores from the vicinity of the collected macro-algae, dried at 105°C for 24 hours. Grain sizes less than 63  $\mu$ m (Förstner and Wittman 1983) were digested with Seawater Sediment HPR-EN-33 methodology (Milestone Systems, Start D 260).

250 cc of surface water samples were acidified with 1 ml of concentrated ultrapure  $HNO_3$  and stored in polyethylene bottles until analysis.



Arici *et al.*: Comparison of heavy metal uptake capacities of the brown algae 7

Fig. 1. Study area.

#### Analytical procedure:

Element analysis of samples were performed using ICP-MS (Inductively Coupled Plasma- Mass Spectrometer) (Agilent Technologies, 7700X) method by accredited SEM laboratory (SEM, 2016). The selected elements (Al, As, Cd, Co, Cu, Fe, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb and Zn) in samples were determined, used three replicates and results of mean concentrations were detected as mg/kg dry wt. in algae and sediments, and ppb in water samples. The recovery study was validated by using a Certified Reference Materials (CRMs) (BCR 279- *Ulva lactuca*).

#### **Parameters:**

Interactions of metal concentrations between *Cystoseira* spp., seawater and sediments were compared measuring the Bio-Concentration Factor (BCF) and Biota-Sediment Accumulation Factor (BSAF) for each elements.

BCF is used to determine chemical concentration in the water as follows: C <sub>biota</sub> / C <sub>water</sub>, where C<sub>biota</sub> is an average concentration of trace element in *Cystoseira* spp. (mg/kg); C<sub>water</sub> is the concentration of metals from the coastal waters of Sinop shores (mg/l).

BSAF parameter describe bioaccumulation of metals into the ecological receptors (Kleinov *et al.* 2008) that is calculated according to following formulas: C <sub>biota</sub>/C <sub>sediment</sub>, where C<sub>sediment</sub> is the concentrations of elements in sediments.

#### Statistical analysis:

IBM\_SPSS ver. 21.0 was used for statistical calculations. Compare tests were used for determining differences in metals between species, seasons and stations. One way

analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to evaluate inter specific significance between metal levels in different seasons and sites. Differences of metals between species were determined by used *t*-test and correlations of elements were determined with Spearman Correlation test. P-values of less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The mean concentrations of eleven elements in the species, seawater and sediments were given in Table 1 for the study period. The brown algae *Cystoseira* species accumulated the elements in ascending order Hg < Cd < Co < Pb < Ni < Cu < As < Mn < Zn < Fe = Al; levels of elements in seawater followed the order of <math>Hg < Cd < Co < As < Cu < Pb < Ni < Mn < Zn < Al < Fe; and elements levels of sediments were increased in the order of <math>Hg < Cd < Co < Pb < As < Cu < Pb < Ni < Mn < Zn < Al < Fe; and elements levels of sediments were increased in the order of <math>Hg < Cd < Co < Pb < As < Cu < Ni < Zn < Mn < Al < Fe. The essential element of Fe was observed higher in all samples (1162.9 mg/kg in*C. barbata*, 919.3 in C.*crinita*, 0.4732 mg/l. in seawater, 6251.7 mg/kg in sediment). Concentrations of Co, Ni and Pb exhibited variations depending on seasons in seawater; and Mn, Cd and Hg levels displayed significance depending on locations (p≤0.05).

Table 1. The mean±standard	l deviation for e	lements concentration in s	amples.
----------------------------	-------------------	----------------------------	---------

	C. barbata (ppm)	C. crinita (ppm)	Seawater (ppb)	Sediment (ppm)
Al	316.5±183.7	327.4±265.7	360.9±578.6	$3528.8 \pm 2066.4$
Mn	21.3±10.9	32.8±31.6	23.0±35.2	236.4±152.6
Fe	481.4±376	322.5±226.7	473.2±833.2	6251.7±3651.8
Co	$0.50\pm0.4$	$0.57 \pm 0.4$	1.57±0.6	2.72±1.6
Ni	$4.44 \pm 2.6$	4.11±2.4	4.71±2.6	$10.03 \pm 8.4$
Cu	10.2±7.3	4.27±3.9	2.78±2.7	4.85±5.1
Zn	59.5±24.9	$47.89 \pm 20.9$	$288.4 \pm 203.8$	12.8±9.6
As	$18.17 \pm 9.9$	19.09±16.9	$1.82\pm1.3$	4.23±1.63
Cd	$0.20\pm0.1$	0.23±0.1	$0.42\pm0.2$	$0.05 \pm 0.03$
Hg	$0.01 \pm 0.0$	0.01±0.0	0.06±0.2	$0.02 \pm 0.02$
Pb	$1.44{\pm}0.7$	$0.87 \pm 0.8$	2.96±4.2	2.92±1.9

The results for uptake of elements indicated statistically notable differences in levels between different seasons and stations (Table 2). Zinc and cadmium collapse over time to sea floor and accumulate higher amounts in sediments. It is pointed out that levels exceeding 100 mg/kg for Zn in macro-algae indicate anthropogenic contamination (Storelli *et al.* 2001). *C. crinite* showed statistically regional variation for Cu, and seasonal differences for Zn, As and Cd values ( $p \le 0.05$ , ANOVA) depending upon human impact. There was no significantly difference between species (p: 0.82, Independent samples *t*-test).

	Cystoseir	a barbata	Cystoseii	ra crinita
	Seasonal variation	Regional variation	Seasonal variation	<b>Regional variation</b>
Al	0.61	0.90	0.25	0.77
Mn	0.18	0.96	0.11	0.40
Fe	0.33	0.96	0.13	0.04
Со	0.21	0.99	0.12	0.63
Ni	0.26	0.99	0.17	0.29
Cu	0.40	0.92	0.77	$0.00^{*}$
Zn	0.11	0.94	$0.02^*$	0.90
As	0.47	0.65	$0.02^{*}$	0.74
Cd	0.54	0.92	$0.02^*$	0.90
Hg	0.69	0.96	0.18	0.74
Pb	0.56	0.88	0.85	0.45

Table 2. Comparison of statistical differences of species (α: 0.05, ANOVA).

\*p-value is less than 0.05 that there is difference between the means.

	C. barbata	C. crinita	C. barbata	C. crinita
	BCF	BCF	BSAF	BSAF
Al	876.9	907.2	0.08	0.09
Mn	926.1	1426.1	0.09	0.13
Fe	1017.3	681.5	0.07	0.05
Со	318.5	363.1	0.18	0.20
Ni	942.7	872.6	0.44	0.40
Cu	3669.1	1535.9	2.10	0.88
Zn	206.3	166.1	4.64	3.74
As	9983.5	10489	4.29	4.51
Cd	476.2	547.6	4.00	4.60
Hg	166.7	166.7	0.50	0.50
Pb	486.5	293.9	0.50	0.29

Table 3. BSAF and BCF values of *Cystoseira* species.

BCF > 1000 (bio-accumulative) and BSAF > 2 (macro-concentrator) values are shown in bold.

		-	Table 4.	Element	t studies	s of <i>Cy</i>	stoseira s	sp. along	g the Tur	kish co	ast.				
Region	Ы	$\mathbf{As}$	Cd	$\mathbf{Cr}$	Co	Cu	Fe	Hg	$\mathbf{Mn}$	Ni	$\mathbf{Sb}$	Se	$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{b}$	Ζn	Ref.
						Ae	gean Sea								
<sup>a</sup> İzmir			1.35			30.60	7644.00						15.00	90.60	-
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.05			3.23	239.20		35.71				0.01	41.02	0
alzmir			0.10			3.02	154.90		13.18				0.01	19.00	7
<sup>b</sup> Marmaris			0.63			8.12	462.30		95.32					49.10	7
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.06			3.78	314.01		50.34				0.01	56.19	<i>c</i> '
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.04			2.67	164.35		21.08					25.84	10
<sup>a</sup> İzmir			0.01			3.78	97.62		17.93					10.81	
<sup>a</sup> İzmir			0.19			2.25	212.14		8.43				0.01	27.17	1 0
<sup>b</sup> Marmaris			0.10			4.95	398.16		59.27					35.64	0
<sup>b</sup> Marmaris			0.17			11.28	526.38		131.37					62.48	1 0
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.02			0.11							0.09	0.64	l က
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.02			0.15							0.10	0.70	З
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.03			0.16							0.12	0.77	б
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.01			0.07							0.08	0.54	б
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.01			0.08							0.05	0.39	З
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.01			0.12							0.16	0.78	З
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.02			0.07							0.03	0.67	Э
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.09	2.13		6.73	302.30	0.12					0.01	75.50	4
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.28	4.76		3.13	186.60	0.05						36.90	4
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.02	3.29		5.29	96.80	0.06						23.80	4
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.31	2.65		2.37	230.00	0.08						40.50	4
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkale			0.11	1.36		5.69	328.70	0.09						51.50	4
													Ŭ	ontinued.	

Pakistan Journal of Marine Sciences, Vol. 28(1), 2019.

Region	M	$\mathbf{As}$	Cd	$\mathbf{Cr}$	Co	Cu	Fe	Hg	Mn	Ni	Sb	Se	Pb	Π	Ref.
<sup>a</sup> Çanakkal			0.27	44.80		16.10	484.10	0.09						79.30	4
						Blacl	k Sea								
<sup>a</sup> İstanbul			1.20			4.20	348.00		17.40				5.80	55.10	5
<sup>a</sup> Sinop			2.40			7.90	3414.00		73.30				12.80	85.80	5
<sup>a</sup> İstanbul			2.00			8.79	474.00		81.60				8.70	69.10	5
<sup>a</sup> Sinop			1.40			5.70	654.00		21.70				6.80	64.40	5
<sup>a</sup> İstanbul			2.20			3.20	317.00		14.40				5.30	36.90	5
<sup>a</sup> Sinop			1.30			4.20	446.00		0	5.00			5.30	12.10	5
<sup>a</sup> İstanbul			1.00			11.80	124.00		ŝ	5.00			5.50	18.10	5
<sup>a</sup> Kırklareli							100.00		21.45					7.00	5
<sup>a</sup> İstanbul							164.00							49.10	9
<sup>a</sup> İstanbul			0.75	0.60	0.95	5.10	230.50		21.45 €	5.20	7.50		7.50	97.20	٢
<sup>a</sup> İstanbul			0.70		0.90	6.20	166.60		23.00 5	6.90	1.00		1.00	59.30	٢
<sup>a</sup> İstanbul			0.35	0.75	0.60	4.80	526.60		22.15 2	2.20	1.00		1.00	50.40	٢
<sup>a</sup> İstanbul			0.40	0.95	0.65	6.85	1066.00		24.85 4	t.50 1	4.00		14.00	65.10	٢
<sup>a</sup> İstanbul			0.02	0.06	0.05	5.70	427.00		32.10 9	9.10			0.10	35.10	8
<sup>a</sup> İstanbul			0.02	0.06	0.05	2.20	130.00		6.70 (	).10			0.10	13.90	8
<sup>a</sup> İstanbul			0.78	0.06	0.05	3.43	133.00		12.00 5	5.70			1.40	21.70	8
<sup>a</sup> Sinop			0.02	0.06	0.05	5.70			27.30 7	7.20			0.10	43.90	8
<sup>a</sup> Sinop			0.20	0.06	0.05	6.00			22.70 5	5.70			0.10	191.50	8
<sup>a</sup> Sinop			0.09	1.20	1.78	1.70			33.50 4	t.70			3.50	6.50	×
<sup>a</sup> Sinop			1.02			16.40	560.00						2.10	48.00	6
<sup>a</sup> Sinop			1.03			5.70	540.00						2.30	46.00	6
													ŭ	ntinued.	

Region	Ν	$\mathbf{As}$	Cd	Cr	Co	Cu	Fe	Hg	Mn	Ni	$\mathbf{Sb}$	Se	Чþ	Zn	Ref.
aKırklareli			0.13	2.50		6.90			57.20				0.20	8.30	10
<sup>a</sup> Sinop				0.99	9.05	2.47	242.00		14.90	2.05		0.09		6.62	11
aSinop					2.72	3.91	373.00			5.88			0.01	10.97	12
aSinop					1.02	5.33	81.00			0.95			0.01	20.47	12
aSinop					2.53	2.01	455.00			0.79			0.01	0.08	12
aSinop					0.01	4.42	184.00			9.26			0.01	13.22	12
aSinop					0.61	5.87	991.00			7.47			0.01	6.84	12
aSinop					0.99	6.03	272.00			2.17			0.01	4.65	12
aSamsun			0.01		0.38	6.53	534.43			4.60			0.01	0.20	13
aKastamonu			0.01		0.01	16.33	283.67			14.30			0.01	15.30	13
aOrdu			0.01		1.28	5.06	632.55			3.37			0.01	5.83	13
aSinop						4.02	536.00			5.03			0.01	10.28	13
aSinop							327.00								14
aSamsun														65.00	14
aSinop										0.80					14
aSinop						5.00									14
aInebolu						37.00									14
aSinop					0.20										14
aInebolu					1.50										14
aKırklareli			0.11			10.00	878.00		33.00	1.00			1.20	21.00	14
aKastamonu			0.23			37.00	1151.00		55.00	2.10			1.40	58.00	14
aSinop			0.13			5.00	327.00		11.00	0.80			1.00	44.00	14
aSamsun			0.32			25.00	1250.00		43.00	0.90			1.30	65.00	14
													Ŭ	ntinued.	

12

Region	Ŋ	As	Cd	$\mathbf{Cr}$	Co	Cu	Fe	Hg	Mn	ï	$\mathbf{S}\mathbf{b}$	Se	Pb	Zn	Ref.
<sup>a</sup> Sinop			1.20		2.40	4.80	748.00		33.00	3.80			8.00	65.00	15
<sup>a</sup> Sinop						3.10	308.00		2.40	1.74				13.00	15
<sup>a</sup> Sinop			1.30		0.30	7.00	2143.00		64.00	15.00			10.00	76.00	15
<sup>a</sup> Sinop			0.09		1.65	1.30	261.00		19.00	2.30			1.50	5.00	15
<sup>a</sup> Sinop			0.86			4.05	865.00		29.60	5.71			6.50	39.75	15
<sup>a</sup> Sinop	316.51	18.17	0.20		0.50	10.19	481.41	0.01	21.26	4.44			1.44	59.53	16
<sup>a</sup> Sinop	339.29	18.66	0.23		0.56	4.43	305.34	0.01	34.33	4.16			0.96	47.40	16
						Marı	nara Sea	-							
<sup>a</sup> Bosphorus		78.90	0.90	1.10	06.0	9.00	472.00						9.50	15.00	17
<sup>a</sup> Bosphorus		69.10	0.55	1.00	3.59	5.15	210.00						4.20	35.60	17
<sup>a</sup> Bosphorus		52.60	1.38	1.00	2.47	4.60	216.00						7.30	12.40	17
<sup>a</sup> Bosphorus		83.50	0.58	0.30	2.00	6.13	615.00						7.50	27.90	17
<sup>a</sup> İstanbul			0.02			84.75	812.50		83.70	6.23			1.90	77.70	18
<sup>a</sup> Tekirdağ			0.02	8.63	1.76	5.20	1511.00		143.60	12.36			3.70	113.50	18
<sup>a</sup> Tekirdağ			0.02	0.06	0.05	164.30	114.00		23.80	0.10			0.10	41.80	18
<sup>a</sup> Tekirdağ			0.02			5.20	1511.00		143.60	12.36			3.70	113.50	19
					r.	Mediter	ranean.	Sea							
<sup>d</sup> İskenderun			0.39			2.05	170.81						2.21		20
<sup>d</sup> İskenderun			0.42			2.23	186.30						6.64		20
<sup>d</sup> İskenderun			0.42			2.23	186.34						6.64	15.87	21
<sup>a</sup> Antalya			0.01		0.50	7.27	310.75			0.01			0.01	21.12	13
<sup>a</sup> Cystoseira barbata, 2011, <sup>4</sup> Akçalı and Kü 2007, <sup>11</sup> Tüzen <i>et al.</i> 20 <i>al.</i> 2004, <sup>19</sup> Topçuoğlu	<sup>b</sup> <i>Cystoseir</i> çüksezgin 2 009, <sup>12</sup> Türk <i>et al.</i> 2010	a sp., <sup>c</sup> Cy 2011, <sup>5</sup> Güv Çulha <i>et i</i> ( <sup>20</sup> Piner O	<i>stoseira c</i> ven <i>et al.</i> <i>al.</i> 2010, <sup>13</sup> Olgunoğlu	rinita, <sup>4</sup> C 1992, <sup>6</sup> Tc Türk Çul and Pola	<i>Tystoseirc</i> pçuoğlu ha <i>et al.</i> t 2007, <sup>21</sup>	<i>t cornicu</i> <i>et al.</i> 199 2013, <sup>14</sup> Ar Olgunoğl	<i>lata</i> ,¹Çetin 8, <sup>7</sup> Topçuo 1c1 and Bat u 2008.	gül and ğlu <i>et al.</i> 2016, <sup>15</sup> 1	Aysel 199 2001, <sup>8</sup> Tc 3at and Ai	98, <sup>2</sup> Akça ppçuoğlu rici 2016, <sup>1</sup>	lı and K <i>et al.</i> 200 <sup>6</sup> Arıcı 20	čüçüksez )3, ⁰Altu )17,¹ <sup>17</sup> Ku	:gin 2009 iğ <i>et al.</i> 2 it <i>et al.</i> 20	), <sup>3</sup> Üstünac 2005, <sup>10</sup> Güv 300, <sup>18</sup> Topçu	la <i>et al.</i> en <i>et al.</i> ıoğluı <i>et</i>

13

The uptake concentration ratios of heavy metals from seawater and sediments were also shown in Table 3. The BCF is a parameter to define environmental assessment between an organism and the surrounding water. United States Environmental Protection Agency under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) and REACH framework (1907/2006 EC) are considered very bio-accumulative, if it has a BCF greater than 5000. High level of As contamination was detected (9983.5 for *C. barbata* and 10489.0 for *C. cirinita*). The BSAF is also a reference measurement for describing degrees of uptake elements from sediments. It is in the range of 1 to 2; BSAF>2 macro-concentrator, 1<BSAF<2 micro-concentrator and BSAF<1 de-concentrator are named as (Nenciu *et al.* 2016). Both the *C. barbata* and *C. crinite* were found macro-concentrator (BSAF>2) for Zn, As and Cd. Besides, Cu accumulation from sediment was determined by *C. barbata*.

The significant negative correlation were found between As-Co, As-Cu, As-Pb and As-Fe (r<0.2, Spearman correlation). The level of arsenic was noticed generally higher in sampled *Cystoseira* species. Arsenic is accumulated more, due to high phosphate concentrations in brown macro-algae (Phillips, 1990) that make *Cystoseira* sp. a good indicator especially for As.

Heavy metal content in *Cystoseira* species is mostly more than seawater. Kravtsova *et al.* (2014) also observed 3-4 orders of higher concentrations of trace elements in *C. barbata* and *C. crinita*. Thus, it could be used as bio-monitor to assess environmental situation. Due to their sensitivity to pollutants and anthropogenic impacts, *Cystoseira* is the most preferred macro-algae to monitor coastal areas as useful indicators of environmental health within EU-MSFD (MSFD, 2008/56/EC).

The rate of element accumulation by marine macro-algae depends on abiotic (element concentration in the environment, speciation, salinity, temperature, light *etc.*) and biotic (metabolism of plants, morphology, taxonomic identity, physiological state *etc.*) factors (Burdin and Zolotuhina 1998). Differences in metal concentrations in macro-algae depend on sampling location, plant age, physicochemical properties and other interactions between species (Sawidis *et al.* 2001). Compared to previous studies along coastline of Turkey (Table 4), *Cystoseira* species showed different affinities of metal uptake.

The data on the metal concentrations noticed in *Cystoseira* species are used for evaluating the environmental quality as well as for the comparison between the various waters. Comparing our results with those of others, it could be said that concentrations of elements correspond to the levels found below the pollution levels. Although, concentrations are below the limit levels, the study area must be regularly monitored by predominant *Cystoseira* species due to high anthropogenic inputs.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Research was a part of doctoral dissertation of Elif ARICI supported by the Sinop University for Scientific Research Project; project number SÜF-1901-15-08, supervised by Prof. Dr. Levent BAT. This study was presented as a poster presentation with Turkish and English abstract in International Congress on Engineering and Life Science, 26-29 April 2018 in Kastamonu, Turkey.

Arici *et al.*: Comparison of heavy metal uptake capacities of the brown algae 15

#### REFERENCES

- Akçalı, İ. and F. Küçüksezgin, 2009. Bioaccumulation of heavy metals by the brown alga *Cystoseira* sp. along the Aegean Sea. *E.U. J. Fish. Aqua. Sci.* 26(3): 159-163.
- Akçalı İ. and F. Küçüksezgin, 2011. A biomonitoring study: Heavy metals in macroalgae from eastern Aegean coastal areas. *Mar. Poll. Bull.* 62: 637-645.
- Altuğ, G., C. Yardımcı and M. Aydoğan, 2005. Levels of some toxic metals in marine algae from the Turkish coast of the Black Sea, Turkey. The 1<sup>st</sup> Biannual Scientific Conference: The Black Sea Ecosystem 2005 and Beyond. 244-249.
- Arici, E. and L. Bat, 2016. Using marine macroalgae as biomonitors: Heavy metal pollution along the Turkish west coasts of the Black Sea. 41st CIESM Congress, 12-16 September 2016, Kiel-Germany. *Rapp. Comm. Int. Mer Medit.* 41: 238.
- Arici, E., 2017. Using Dominant Macroalgae and Seagrass in Sinop Coastline of the Black Sea as Biomonitor for Determination of Heavy Metal Pollution. Sinop University, Ph.D Thesis, 161 p. (in Turkish).
- Bat, L. and E. Arici, 2016. Heavy metal concentrations in macroalgae species from Sinop coasts of the southern Black Sea. J. Coast. Life Medic. 4(11): 841-845.
- Bat, L. and O.G. Baki, 2014. Seasonal Variations of Sediment and Water Quality Correlated to Land-Based Pollution Sources in the Middle of the Black Sea Coast, Turkey. *Int. J. Mar. Sci.* 4(12): 108-188.
- Bat, L., A. Öztekin, F. Şahin, E. Arıcı and U. Özsandıkçı, 2018. An overview of the Black Sea pollution in Turkey. *MedFAR*. 1(2): 67-86.
- Burdin, K.S. and E. Zolotuhina, 1998. Heavy metals in aquatic plants (Accumulation and toxicity). Moscow: Dialog MSU.
- Çetingül, V. and V. Aysel, 1998. Trace element accumulation levels of various brown and red algae of economic value. *E.U. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 15(1-2): 63-76 (in Turkish).
- Förstner, U. and G.T.W. Wittmann, 1983. Metal pollution in the aquatic environment. 2<sup>nd</sup> Revised Ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 486 p.
- García-Seoane, R., J.A. Fernández, R. Villares and J.R. Aboal, 2018. Use of macroalgae to biomonitor pollutants in coastal waters: Optimization of the methodology. *Ecol. Indicat.* 84: 710-726.
- Güven, K., S. Toğçuoğlu, N. Balkıs, H. Ergül and A. Aksu, 2007. Heavy metal concentrations in marine algae from the Turkish coast of the Black Sea. *Rapp. Comm. int. Mer Medit.* 38: 266.
- Güven, K.C., S. Topçuoğlu, D. Kut, N. Esen, N. Erentürk, N. Saygı, E. Cevher and B. Güvener, 1992. Metal uptake by Black Sea algae. Botanica Marina, 35: 337-340.
- Kleinov, K.N., J.W. Nichols, W.L. Hayton, J.M. McKim and M.G. Barron, 2008. Toxicokinetics in fish, *In*: The toxicology of fishes (Di Giulio, R.T., Hinton, D.E., Eds). Taylor and Francis Group LLC. Boca Raton. Florida, US. ISBN: 978-0-415-24868-6, pp. 55-152.
- Kravtsova, A., N. Milchakova and M. Frontasyeva, 2014. Elemental accumulation in the Black Sea brown algae *Cystoseira* studied by neutron activation analysis. *Ecol. Chem. Eng. S.* 21(1): 9-23.

- Kut, D., S. Topçuoğlu, N. Esen, R. Küçüksezzar and K.C. Güven, 2000. Trace metals in marine algae and sediment samples from the Bosphorus. *Water Air Soil Poll*. 118: 27-33.
- MSFD, 2018. Directive 2008/56/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 establishing a framework for community action in the field of marine environmental policy (Marine Strategy Framework Directive).
- Nenciu, M., A. Oros, D. Roşioru, M. Galatchi, A. Filimon, G. Tiganov, C. Danilov and N. Roşoiu, 2016. Heavy metal bioaccumulation in marine organisms from the Romanian Black Sea coast. Acad. Roman. Scient. 5(1): 38-52.
- Olgunoğlu, M.P., 2008. Seasonal changes of heavy metal accumulation some macroalgae species and sediments along the coastal area in the Iskenderun Bay. PhD Thesis, Çukurova University, 106 p.
- Phillips, D.J.H. and P.S. Rainbow, 1994. Biomonitoring of trace aquatic contaminants. *In*: J. Cairns, R.M. Harrison (Eds.), Chapman and Hall. pp. 371.
- Phillips, D.J.H., 1990. Arsenic in aquatic organisms: a review, emphasizing chemical speciation. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 16: 151-186.
- Piner, O.M.P. and S. Polat, 2007. Seasonal changes of heavy metals in two macroalgae species [*Cystoseira corniculata* (Phaeophyta), *Laurencia papillosa* (Rhodophyta)] in the Iskenderun Bay. *E.U. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 24(1-2): 25-30.
- Sawidis, T., M.T. Brown, G. Zachariadis and I. Sratis, 2001. Trace metal concentrations in marine macroalgae from different biotopes in the Aegean Sea. *Environ. Internat.* 27: 43-47.
- SEM Final Report, 2016. Interlaboratory comparison study elemental analysis final report. V. Boz (Coordinator), Report no: TR-SM.2016.01 (in Turkish).
- Storelli, M.M., A. Storelli and G.O. Marcotrigiano, 2001. Heavy metals in the aquatic environment of the ASouthern Adriatic Sea, Italy macroalgae, sediments and benthic species. *Environ. Internat.* 26: 505-509.
- Topçuoğlu, S., C. Kırbaşoğlu and Y.Z. Yılmaz, 2004. Heavy metal levels in biota and sediments in the northern coast of the Marmara Sea. *Environ. Monitor. Asses.* 96: 183-189.
- Topçuoğlu, S., K.C. Güven, N. Balkıs and C. Kırbaşoğlu, 2003. Heavy metal monitoring of marine algae from the Turkish coast of the Black Sea, 1998-2000. *Chemosph*. 52: 1683-1688.
- Topçuoğlu, S., K.C. Güven, N. Kırbaşoğlu, S. Güngör, Unlu and Z. Yilmaz, 2001. Heavy metals in marine algae from Şile in the Black Sea. *Bull. Env. Contam. Toxicol.* 67: 288-294.
- Topçuoğlu, S., N. Esen, E. Eğilli, N. Güngör and D. Kut, 1998. Trace elements and 137 Cs in macroalgae and mussel from the Kilyos in Black Sea. IAEA-SM354/30P, 283-284.
- Topçuoğlu, S., O. Kılıç, M. Belivermiş, H.A. Ergül and G. Kalaycı, 2010. Use of marine algae as biological indicator of heavy metal pollution in Turkish marine environment. J. Black Sea/Mediterran. Environ. 16(1): 43-52. Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), available online at: http://www.epa.gov/ agriculture/Isca.html

- Türk Çulha, S., F. Koçbaş, A. Gündoğdu and M. Çulha, 2013. Heavy metal levels in marine algae from the Black Sea, Marmara Sea and Mediterranean Sea. *Rapp. Comm. int. Mer Médit.* 40: 827-828.
- Türk Çulha, S., F. Koçbaş, A. Gündoğdu, S. Topçuoğlu and M. Çulha, 2010. Heavy metal levels in macroalgae from Sinop in the Black Sea. *Rapp. Comm. int. Mer Médit.* 39: 239.
- Tüzen, M., B. Verep, A.O. Öğretmen and M. Soylak, 2009. Trace element content in marine algae species from the Black Sea, Turkey. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 151(1-4): 363-368.
- Üstünada, M., H. Erduğan, V. Aysel and R. Akgül, 2011. Seasonal concentrations of some heavy metals in *Codium fragile* sub sp. fragile (Suringar) Hariot and *Cystoseira barbata* (Stackhouse) C. Agardh (Çanakkale Strait, Turkey). *Eğirdir Su Ürünleri Dergisi*. 7(1): 5-17 (in Turkish).