brought to you by **CORE**

Running head: MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING IMPACT ON CVD

1

Motivational Interviewing Impact on Cardiovascular Disease

Alexus A. Stephens

Arizona State University

Abstract

Harm reduction in cardiovascular disease is a significant problem worldwide. Providers, families, and healthcare agencies are feeling the burdens imparted by these diseases. Not to mention missed days of work and caregiver strain, the losses are insurmountable. Motivational interviewing (MI) is gaining momentum as a method of stimulating change through intrinsic motivation by resolving ambivalence toward change (Ma, Zhou, Zhou, & Huang, 2014). If practitioners can find methods of educating the public in a culturally-appropriate and sensitive manner, and if they can work with community stakeholders to organize our resources to make them more accessible to the people, we may find that simple lifestyle changes can lead to risk reduction of cardiovascular diseases. By working with our community leaders and identifying barriers unique to each population, we can make positive impacts on a wide range of issues that markedly impact our healthcare systems.

Keywords: Motivational interviewing, hypertension, risk reduction, harm reduction, cardiovascular events, community, population, self-management, self-efficacy, behavior change, lifestyle change, Chronic Care Model, Social Cognitive Theory

MOTIVATIONAL INTERVIEWING IMPACT ON CVD Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my mentor Dr. Sarah Bay for her guidance, optimism and support. Dr. Diane Nunez, although not directly involved with my project, contributed to my success as a student at Arizona State University, and I would like to acknowledge her willingness to go beyond her role as faculty and mentor. To my husband, I cannot put into words your sacrifice to help me make my dreams come true. My family was instrumental in helping with my daughter and always ensuring that we had all the love and support we needed to make it through the past several years, even before my doctoral journey began. To my mother and mother-in-law, thank you for being great role models. You both demonstrated how to accomplish your dreams while maintaining a happy home and loving families. To my sister, Ali, for always being my IT person, even though it's not your day job, your resourcefulness and willingness to help did not go unnoticed. To my daughters Laikyn and Layla, I hope that you learn the power of knowledge and hard work, and that you follow your dreams. When it is your turn to make a decision in life, no matter how big or small, I pray that you know your family will always love and support you, just as they have done for me.

Motivational Interviewing Impact on Cardiovascular Disease

Hypertension occurs in 1 in 3 Americans, making this the most common condition treated in primary care (Kravetz & Walsh, 2016; Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 2019). Providers are struggling with reducing cardiovascular risk factors, and they cannot understand why. Matters are further complicated when patients have poor medication adherence, low socioeconomic status, cultural or language barriers, and lack of exercise and healthy eating habits. Patients may struggle with the ability to afford their medications, sometimes choosing to cut their pills in half or not refill their prescriptions so that they can put food on the table. Others may have difficulty reaching a pharmacy or grocery store.

Background and Significance

Incidence and Prevalence

With a recent change in the national guidelines to now consider hypertension 130/80 or above, an already flooded healthcare system will now be inundated with new hypertensive patients, and those already on medication will need tighter control (Ioannidis, 2018). According to the World Health Organization (2019), the global prevalence of hypertension in adults aged 25 and over was around 40% in 2008; nearly one billion. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2017) estimates that 33.2% of adults aged 20 and over have hypertension. During 2015–2016, the prevalence of hypertension in the United States was 29.0% and increased with age (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017). In Arizona, 22.3 percent of the adult population reported they had high blood pressure in 2005 (Arizona Department of Health Services, n.d.).

Compliance

Up to 54% of patients do not take their medications as prescribed (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2017; Kravetz & Walsh, 2016; Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 2019). It is in the interest of patients, insurance agencies, federal organizations, and communities to prevent complications of hypertension. Such complications include heart attack, stroke, cardiovascular disease, sick days and caregiver strain. Efforts are directed at increasing compliance with medication adherence and lifestyle modifications, such as diet and exercise (Ma, Zhou, Zhou, & Huang, 2014). It is the responsibility of healthcare providers to determine what kinds of barriers are preventing patients from seeking or maintaining their health and wellness. It is also the duty of each member of the healthcare team to work with the patient and their support system to implement a plan of care that is achievable and culturally competent (Zaccagnini & White, 2017). Hypertension is also known as the silent killer, which makes this a larger threat to the nation, as many are unaware that they are suffering from this disease. It is important that methods of addressing this healthcare crisis be further evaluated.

Purpose and Rationale

Half of all Americans suffer from some form of cardiovascular disease (American Heart Association, 2019). In 2016, heart disease was the number one cause of death in the United States (American Heart Association, 2019; Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 2019). Hypertension can lead to heart disease and stroke, which is why it must be addressed (American Heart Association, 2019). A primary school district in Arizona has identified risk reduction of cardiovascular events as a problem. This school is interested in harm reduction interventions. Lack of education, patient compliance, and scarcity of resources have led to poor outcomes regarding heart disease in this population. Simple interventions, such as limiting sodium intake, can significantly improve cardiovascular measures, but have

failed to do so (Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 2019). Key stakeholders are receptive to trialing any means necessary to improve cardiovascular disease. Much research has already been done on hypertension management and yet implementation has failed to make significant improvements nationally. Treating each community with a unique and tailored approach to risk reduction may yield greater impacts in the field of chronic disease management.

Studies suggest that if blood pressure is kept below 130/80, patients will have better outcomes (Ghazi & Oparil, 2018; Kirk, Allsbrook, Hansell, & Mann, 2017). Depending on age and other factors, such as cardiovascular comorbidities, goals for hypertension management were variable until the recent guideline recommendations changed (American Heart Association, 2019; Kirk et al., 2017). The American Heart Association, along with the American College of Cardiology (2017) now recommend a blood pressure goal of 130/80, regardless of age or comorbidities. However, treatment for hypertension still varies based on patient and provider preference (American Heart Association, 2017). Providers may remain weary over treating an elderly patient with multiple risk factors the same way they would treat a young adult with few risk factors who also has hypertension. Practice guidelines do not always reflect data from recent clinical trials, and provider skill level varies widely (American Heart Association, 2017; Kirk et al., 2017). Goals include reversing the increasing magnitude of heart disease through the modifiable risk factors of hypertension, high cholesterol, cigarette smoking, diabetes, exercise, stress management, and weight management (Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 2019).

Questionnaires asking teachers to rate their experience of stress at work typically indicate that about a quarter of schoolteachers regard teaching as a `very or extremely stressful'

job (Kyriacou, 2001). It has been well documented that teachers have a higher prevalence of anxiety, hypertension, headaches, psychosomatic disorders and cardiovascular diseases compared with other workers (Yang et al., 2009). Targeting one or more of these risk factors would make a significant impact on the \$320 billion spent yearly on cardiovascular disease (Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 2019). In addition to being financially responsible, improvements in blood pressure would lead to decreased morbidity and mortality, and improved quality of life (Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 2019). In a recent meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, there was a 20% reduction in CVD events, 27% reduction in strokes, and 28% reduction in heart failure for every 10 mm Hg decrease in systolic blood pressure (Ghazi & Oparil, 2018). This means that even slight decreases in blood pressure can make a large difference. When authority figures model healthy behaviors, such as weight management and mindfulness, their peers are more likely to mirror their good habits.

Internal Evidence

A school district in rural Arizona has found harm reduction to be a significant problem worth addressing. Individuals diagnosed with cardiovascular risk factors are very diverse, with a larger percentage of Hispanics. Hispanic Americans and African Americans have disproportionate rates of uncontrolled hypertension compared to Caucasians (American Heart Association, 2019; Davidson et al., 2015; Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 2019). Often, the patients seen in this setting are on AHCCCS, Medicare, or uninsured. The school is in a rural area, where public transportation is virtually nonexistent. Large grocery stores are present, but healthier food choices are infrequent and unaffordable to most of these clients. Barriers to cardiovascular disease include transportation to doctors, grocery stores, and

pharmacies. Poor dietary choices, lack of exercise, and medication nonadherence are frequent concerns noted by key stakeholders. Language barrier, poor compliance with the plan of care, and low socioeconomic status are other challenges with this population. The sweltering heat, along with lack of safe recreational facilities and reliable transportation are frequent concerns. The school principal is interested in implementing an intervention that could positively impact the teachers through positive learned behaviors and could decrease cardiovascular risk factors.

PICOT

In adults with hypertension, how do brief harm reduction strategies (motivational interviewing, dietary and exercise guidance) compared to standard of care affect lifestyle behaviors and risk reduction over 3 months?

Search Strategy

Databases

An exhaustive search was conducted using the following academic data bases: PubMed, Wiley Online, EBSCO, CINAHL, Cochrane, PsycINFO, and Google Scholar for grey literature. Initial database searches in the Cochrane database yielded 104,810 results, but the 7th revision of search terms narrowed the results down to 2,342. An EBSCO search gave 90,905 hits, but by the 8th revision there were 66 relevant articles. One of the PubMed searches resulted in 8125 initial hits and ended in 61 results, which was much easier to navigate and yielded more appropriate results. The final PubMed search yielded only 27 articles, but they were the most relevant.

Search Terms

A keyword search was first conducted to assess the utility of the PICOT question. Later, a subject headings search was performed, using MeSH terms delineated from the

keyword search. The search terms used included motivational interviewing, directive counseling, patient education, educators, teachers, behavior change, behavior modification, behavior intervention, lifestyle change, lifestyle intervention, lifestyle modification, lifestyle counseling, self-management coaching, drugs, medication adherence, blood pressure, high blood pressure, cardiovascular disease, risk factors, hypertension, rural or underserved populations, low income, medication, medication management, pharmacology, pharmacotherapy, prescription, North America, Canada, or United States, decrease blood pressure, improve blood pressure, English, last 5 years, published in the last 5 years.

Mesh Terms

Mesh terms included Motivational Interviewing, Directive Counseling, Patient Education as Topic, Lifestyle, and Hypertension. Changing key terms and limiting or expanding the search to find the most relevant results was necessary and needed to be adjusted with each database search. Guidelines reflect the probability that factors such as high blood pressure are affected by genetics, and therefore studies that focused on populations similar to my own were preferentially selected.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Exclusion criteria were sometimes limited to data from other countries, as the patient population may have differed too greatly. Another limiter was age of study, which was focused on the last 5 to 10 years, unless they were guidelines or imperative articles. Generally, inclusion criteria for most studies consisted of a certain age range, usually middle-aged to older adults, without other chronic conditions that could confound the results, such as dementia, congestive heart failure, or COPD. In most cases, the patients must be able to provide informed consent. Some studies limited subjects to those on one antihypertensive medication, while

others allowed for polypharmacy subjects. Preference was given to studies that focused on rural, low-income, or disadvantaged populations, but this was not part of the inclusion criteria. Gender, education, occupation, religion, and other sociodemographic factors were often mentioned but not listed as inclusion or exclusion criteria.

Critical Appraisal and Synthesis of Evidence

A systematic review of 10 randomized controlled trials was conducted. As the highest level of evidence, these studies should guide further practice (Melnyk & Fineout-Overholt, 2015). All of the articles focused on reduction of cardiovascular disease risk factors, such as hypertension. Some articles also looked at stroke prevention and hyperlipidemia (Barker-Collo et al., 2015; Hedegaard et al., 2015). Most of the studies used motivational interviewing techniques to illicit medication adherence. Although not all of the studies yielded statistically significant results for every variable they measured, the findings were still clinically significant because there was little risk to the patient and hypertension management is multifactorial. Few studies referred to cost involved, though providing basic education at every patient encounter should be the expectation in healthcare. An intent-to-treat approach was utilized, and thus the controls were still given standard care, which is likely why many factors were not found to have statistical significance between the intervention and control groups (Barker-Collo et al., 2015; Boutin-Foster et al., 2016; Carter et al., 2015; Schoenthaler et al., 2015). While most of the research was completed in the primary care setting, some research was carried out in other areas, such as inpatient settings by pharmacists (Stewart et al., 2014). Generally, the data suggests that a culturally appropriate intervention that focuses on harm reduction through education and support will at least be mildly successful. Some of the articles reached a generalizable population, while others concentrated on a very rural or underserved population, which was my

focus (Appendix B). Motivational interviewing (MI) was used widely across the studies as an intervention, because it is useful in helping to change lifestyle behaviors among many chronic diseases (Barker-Collo et al., 2015; Boutin-Foster et al., 2016; Friedberg et al., 2015; Ogedegbe et al., 2008). However, there was great variability in how the MI was delivered and whether or not other factors were controlled for. For instance, some MI was performed as a standardized process in clinic settings, while other researchers executed MI over the telephone (Barker-Collo et al., 2015; Boutin-Foster et al., 2015; Friedberg et al., 2015; Hedegaard et al., 2015; Boutin-Foster et al., 2016; Carter et al., 2015; Friedberg et al., 2015; Hedegaard et al., 2015). Timelines for implementing the intervention varied by study. Yet another variable scrutinized was perceived quality of life, and the ability to manage one's own health (Boutin-Foster et al., 2016). In these studies, MI was a good tool to help the patients feel supported and competent in positively changing their behaviors.

While MI has inconsistent results in regard to behavior change associated with cardiovascular disease, many argue that this method is beneficial due to the knowledge imparted and low risk posed to the participants. Many studies support standard patient education delivered in a culturally sensitive manner for optimal effect. In this rural community of educators, an informational program tailored to the needs of the population was delivered based on the findings of numerous successful outcomes in the literature review mentioned above. This intervention was chosen based on previous models where low income, rural participants were successful in achieving risk reduction measures, such as lowering blood pressure.

Theoretical Framework

Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory (Appendix C) was chosen as it has been widely used to illicit behavior change across disciplines. The theory implies that a person's individual

characteristics may be shaped by interactions, the environment, or by observing others (Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory, 2003). Improving self-efficacy is a hallmark of Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory (2003). Through mastery, social modeling, social persuasion, and physical and emotional states, we can work to develop efficacy (Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory, 2003). Those who are able to develop self-efficacy are more likely to believe they have the power to make desirable changes through their own actions (Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory, 2003). Efficacy is a personal judgement of one's own capability and is not to be confused with self-esteem (Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory, 2003). Social cognitive theory describes how humans acquire information and competencies, along with what motivates and regulates their behavior (Bandura, 2012). It also helps to explain how modeling the behaviors we see in others, good or bad, shape our subjective reality (Bandura, 2012). It makes sense that culture, motivation, pressure, and influence on our thoughts and actions might cause us to react or fail to react. The way in which we perceive our surroundings and our emotions are other strong pulls to action, or lack thereof. If we can understand what motivates our patients, what inspires them, and how-to best model that behavior for them, we might find that less time and effort will be required to evoke the change we seek. Theories may be used to guide the study and are particularly useful for describing social norms (Melnyk & Fineout-Overholt, 2015). Theory is used to inform practice, and there exists a continual relationship between theory, research, and practice (Moran, Burson, & Conrad, 2017). They are particularly relevant when describing social phenomena, and when studying population health patterns and evaluating interventions (Moran, Burson, & Conrad, 2017).

Evidence Based Practice Model

The JBI Model was selected for its utility to the PICOT question. This model (Appendix D) has been used when sensitivity to a particular population is concerned (Pearson, Wiechula, & Lockwood, 2005). This model works nicely with the Social Cognitive Theory as it focuses on patient and provider knowledge and experience in clinical decision making (Pearson, Wiechula, & Lockwood, 2005). Utilizing the resources guided by the JBI Model for patients in this rural area would be unique and welcomed by the populace, who may not want to adhere to traditional methods of cardiovascular risk reduction. As providers, we could show empathy and compassion by offering resources and information that is culturally sensitive and does not fit with the traditional medicine model. Serving as an information source or linking clients to healthy foods and affordable healthcare is vital. This model requires engaged practitioners, who are motivated to make a difference through various means. They may need to rely on community stakeholders and advocate for their clients, since evidence-based healthcare may not always align with the goals in the community served (Pearson, Wiechula, & Lockwood, 2005). Healthcare evidence generation, evidence synthesis, knowledge transfer, and evidence utilization are components of the JBI model (Pearson, Wiechula, & Lockwood, 2005). Since the needs of the population are beyond that of any single health profession, advanced practice nurses will need to be astute to the barriers associated with wellness and focus on interprofessional collaboration to meet the needs of the people (Zaccagnini & White, 2017). Collaborating as healthcare providers with educators in the community can potentially make a larger impact than targeting individual patients who already have disease. The JBI model was utilized to develop a unique and tailored intervention that focused on the needs and desires of the population and site. Key stakeholders were involved in project implementation and delivery to enhance participation and limit attrition.

Methods

IRB Approval

The project was approved by the Buckeye Preschool Principal and the Arizona State University (ASU) IRB.

Ethical considerations and human subject protection

No personal identifying information was collected from participants. Only the principal investigator and co-investigator (ASU faculty) had access to the data. Participants were asked to give informed consent during the enrollment period. Agreement to participate in the project and complete the surveys was considered as the consent to access the participants responses.

Budget

All costs associated with this intervention have been the responsibility of the primary investigator. No funding was received to develop or complete the project.

Risk to participants

There is no known risk to participants who chose to complete the program.

Population and setting

Buckeye Preschool District staff over the age of 18 who were willing and able to give consent were invited to participate. No effort was made to identify special populations for either inclusion or exclusion. Teachers and educators were targeted for recruitment. Participants must be able to read and write in English. Exclusion criteria include age less than 18 years, non-English speaking, and not able or willing to provide consent.

Project description and timeline

Participants were recruited beginning October 14, 2019 via email and word of mouth from the Buckeye Preschool Principal. Upon informed consent, The Quality of Well-being scale- self-administered version (QWB-SA) and the General Self-Efficacy Scale were both used as pre-intervention surveys to gain an understanding of baseline health assessment. Participants had 12 weeks to complete the online educational program and contact the investigator with any questions. Upon completion and at their leisure, participants again took the (QWB-SA) and the General Self-Efficacy Scales to assess for project impact.

Data analysis

Data was stored and analyzed in Microsoft Excel and Intellectus Statistics®. Surveys were collected online via Survey Monkey. Descriptive statistics were used to analyze pre and post survey responses to the QWB-SA and the General Self-Efficacy Scale. A two-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test was conducted to examine whether there was a significant difference between the pre and post survey results. The Wilcoxon Signed Rank test is a nonparametric test used to assess for significant differences between two scale or ordinal variables that can be matched. Typically, the variables are matched by time (such as pretest vs. posttest), but the data can also be matched by other characteristics (such as husband vs. wife). This test ranks the pairs of scores by the magnitude of the differences between each matched pair, then sums the signed ranks to compute the V statistic (Intellectus Statistics, 2020). The V statistic is then used to compute z, which in turn is used to compute the p-value, the significance level (Intellectus Statistics, 2020). A significant result for this test suggests that the two matched variables are reliably different from each other. The Wilcoxon Signed Rank test assumes that the variables under investigation are scale or ordinal level. A p value < 0.05 was used for statistical significance.

Results

Descriptive Statistics were used to analyze the following 10 variables for likeness. The following results section details both the PRE and POST variables of Table 2 (Appendix F) and Table 3 (Appendix G) by pairing the matching variables together (e.g., Excessive worry and anxiety PRE and POST). The observations for PRE excessive worry or anxiety had an average of 24.90 (SD = 133.18, $SE_M = 24.31$, Min = 0.00, Max = 730.00, Skewness = 5.20, Kurtosis = 25.03). The observations for POST excessive worry or anxiety had an average of 26.46 (SD = 137.88, $SE_M = 26.06$, Min = 0.00, Max = 730.00, Skewness = 5.00, Kurtosis = 23.04). The observations for PRE feeling upset, downhearted or blue had an average of 0.47 $(SD = 1.01, SE_M = 0.18, Min = 0.00, Max = 3.00, Skewness = 1.84, Kurtosis = 1.69)$. The observations for POST feeling upset, downhearted or blue had an average of 0.46 (SD = $0.96, SE_M = 0.18, Min = 0.00, Max = 3.00, Skewness = 1.76, Kurtosis = 1.51$). The observations for PRE frustration irritation or close to losing your temper had an average of $0.63 (SD = 1.27, SE_M = 0.23, Min = 0.00, Max = 6.00, Skewness = 2.86, Kurtosis = 8.85).$ The observations for POST frustration irritation or close to losing your temper had an average of $0.32 (SD = 0.72, SE_M = 0.14, Min = 0.00, Max = 3.00, Skewness = 2.44, Kurtosis = 5.51).$ When the skewness is greater than 2 in absolute value, the variable is considered to be asymmetrical about its mean. When the kurtosis is greater than or equal to 3, then the variable's distribution is markedly different than a normal distribution in its tendency to produce outliers (Westfall & Henning, 2013). The summary statistics can be found in Table 2 (Appendix F) and Table 3 (Appendix G).

Over 40 variables were analyzed, and none were found to be statistically significant. However, the findings illustrated the need for further interventions, as many subjects reported baseline pain, fatigue, and other emotional and physical concerns. Therefore, one could argue that the results were clinically significant and should be evaluated further to improve physical and mental wellbeing.

Impact of project

The principal investigator was pleased to experience the cooperation and support of the local school district and educators. Overall, the participants had a fair amount of generalized complaints and the intervention was affordable and accessible. A program such as this that focuses on enhancing knowledge and holistic health is easy to implement and easily sustainable. It is unknown at this time if the project intervention, or similar methods, will be sustained, but there have been some talks about expanding a program such as this. Due to Covid-19, the school districts will be closed for an undisclosed period of time and are not available for follow up discussions.

Discussion

Summary

Risk reduction of cardiovascular events is a concern locally, nationally, and globally. In order to combat the negative effects of cardiovascular disease, a multidisciplinary team approach is necessary to deliver care in a consistent and culturally competent manner. If we want to reduce risk of cardiovascular disease, we need to decrease the dependence on medicine and focus our interventions toward lifestyle changes. By educating teachers, who are in a unique position to impart behavioral interventions, we may not only be reaching them in a sustainable and non-

threatening way, but we may also affect the wellbeing of their children and parents, as they gain the skills they need to improve their health status more consistently. By holistically treating our communities and providing them with the resources they need to make smart decisions, we reinforce healthy behaviors for generations. Any efforts to reach this population will have lasting effects on the way they raise their young and care for their elderly. Simple efforts, such as promoting fresh foods and providing ideas for exercise regimens, can help families make choices that significantly reduce harm in the long run.

Limitations

Targeting harm reduction is multifaceted and requires interprofessional collaboration and community support. It also necessitates the need for compassionate, engaged health professionals who can serve as innovators in healthcare delivery. Numerous stakeholders in town suggest that, while there are resources, there are either not enough or they are not readily available to the people. Risk reduction for cardiovascular diseases encompasses many aspects of care and is difficult to achieve in disadvantaged communities. Perhaps, if patients had increased access to education, resources and coaching, they may develop improved selfefficacy and self-management tools. If they were competent in how to incorporate a nutritious diet and moderate exercise into their lifestyles, the need would be less for medications, and the clients would understand how diseases like hypertension affect their risk for debilitating conditions such as heart attack or stroke. Simple interventions, such as limiting sodium intake, can significantly improve cardiovascular measures, but have failed to do so (Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 2019). This suggests that despite efforts, cardiovascular risk reduction is still a problem, and widescale interventions have not always been met with success.

Recommendations

This rural community is in need of comprehensive healthcare. Motivational interviewing and self-management are gaining traction in chronic disease management, because clients need the education and skills necessary to make lasting behavioral changes. These tools guide practitioners through a method of teaching in a manner that is sensitive yet informative. Through risk reduction via lifestyle changes and increasing adherence to the treatment plan, multiple studies have suggested statistically significant changes in numerous metrics. Positive results were seen with community-based interventions in similar populations (Ogedegbe et al., 2008). Treating this rural community of educators with a unique and tailored approach to risk reduction may yield greater impacts in the field of chronic disease management and may improve workplace dynamics and decrease sick days. It is therefore suggested that this topic be further studied.

Alhalaiqa, F., Deane, K. H., Nawafleh, A. H., Clark, A., & Gray, R. (2012). Adherence therapy for medication non-compliant patients with hypertension: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Human Hypertension, 26*, 117-126. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1038/jhh.2010.133

American College of Cardiology Foundation and the American Heart Association, Inc. (2017). ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults. A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines.

Hypertension, 71, e13-e115. doi 10.1161/HYP.000000000000000

American Heart Association, Inc. (2019). *Cardiovascular diseases affect nearly half of American adults, statistics show.* Retrieved from https://www.heart.org/en/news/2019/01/31/cardiovascular-diseases-affect-nearly-half-

of-american-adults-statistics-show

- Arizona Department of Health Services. (n.d.) *The burden of cardiovascular disease in Arizona*. Retrieved from https://azdhs.gov/documents/prevention/tobacco-chronicdisease/az-heart-disease-stroke/az-burden-of-cardiovascular-disease.pdf
- Bandura, A. (2012). Social cognitive theory. In Van Lange, P. A., Kruglanski, A. W., &
 Higgins, E. T. *Handbook of theories of social psychology*, *1*, 349-374. London: SAGE
 Publications Ltd. doi: 10.4135/9781446249215.n18
- *Bandura's social cognitive theory: An introduction* [Video file]. (2003). Retrieved April 16, 2019, from https://digital.films.com/PortalPlaylists.aspx?wID=11854&xtid=44898

Barker-Collo, S., Krishnamurthi, R., Witt, E., Feigin, V., Jones, A., McPherson, K., Starkey, N., Parag, V., Jiang, Y., Barber, P. A., Rush, E., Bennett, D., & Aroll, B. (2015).
Improving adherence to secondary stroke prevention strategies through motivational interviewing: Randomized controlled trial. *Stroke, 46*, 3451-3458. doi: 10.1161/strokeaha.115.011003.

- Boutin-Foster, C., Offidani, E., Kanna, B., Ogedegbe, G., Ravenell, J., Scott, E., Rodriguez,
 A., Ramos, R., Michelen, W., Gerber, L. M., & Charlson, M. (2016). Results from the trial using motivational interviewing, positive affect, and self-affirmation in African Americans with hypertension (TRIUMPH). *Ethnicity & Disease, 26*(1), 51-60. doi: 10.18865/ed.26.1.51.
- Carter, B. L., Coffey, C. S., Ardery, G., Uribe, L., Ecklund, D., James, P., Egan, B., Vander Weg, M., Chrischilles, E., & Vaughn, T. (2015). Cluster-randomized trial of a physician/pharmacist collaborative model to improve blood pressure control. *Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes*, 8(3), 235-243. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.114.001283.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2017). *Hypertension prevalence and control among adults: United States, 2015-2016.* Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/products/databriefs/db289.htm
- Davidson, T. M., Mcgillicuddy, J. Mueller, M., Brunner-Jackson, B., Favella, A., Anderson,
 A., Torres, M., Ruggiero, K. J., & Treiber, F. A. (2015). Evaluation of an mHealth
 medication regimen self-management program for african american and hispanic
 uncontrolled hypertensives. *Journal of Personalized Medicine* 5(4), 389-405.
 doi 10.3390/jpm5040389

- Films Media Group. (2003). *Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory: An Introduction*. Davidson Films. Available from https://digital-filmscom.ezproxy1.lib.asu.edu/p ViewVideo.aspx?xtid=44898
- Friedberg, J. P., Rodriguez, M. A., Watsula, M. E., Lin, I., Wylie-Rosett, J., Allegrante, J. P., Lipsitz, S. R., & Natarajan, S. (2015). Effectiveness of a tailored behavioral intervention to improve hypertension control: Primary outcomes of a randomized controlled trial. *Hypertension*, 65(2):440-6. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.03483.
- Ghazi, L., & Oparil, S. (2018). Impact of the SPRINT trial on hypertension management.
 Annual Review of Medicine, 69, 81-95. doi:10.1146/annurev-med-050416-024516
- Hedegaard, U., Kjeldsen, L. J., Pottegård, A., Henriksen, J. E., Lambrechtsen, J., Hangaard, J.,
 MD, & Hallas, J. (2015). Improving medication adherence in patients with
 hypertension: A randomized trial. *The American Journal of Medicine*, *128*(12), 13511361. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2015.08.011.
- Intellectus Statistics [Online computer software]. (2020). *Intellectus Statistics*. https://analyze.intellectusstatistics.com/
- Ioannidis JPA. Diagnosis and Treatment of Hypertension in the 2017 ACC/AHA Guidelines and in the Real World. *JAMA*, *319*(2):115–116. doi:10.1001/jama.2017.19672
- JBI Model. Retrieved from https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-JBI-Model-of-Evidence-based-Healthcare-%3A-

A/b74f9762190e06d3fb143911b72af0a197bdcac2/figure/0

Kirk, J. K., Allsbrook, J., Hansell, M., & Mann, E. M. (2017). A systematic review of hypertension outcomes and treatment strategies in older adults. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 73, 160-168. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2017.07.018.

- Kravetz, J. D., & Walsh, R. F. (2016). Team-based hypertension management to improve blood pressure control. *Journal of Primary Care & Community Health*, 7(4), 272-275. doi: 10.1177/2150131916645580
- Kyriacou, C. (2001). Teacher Stress: Directions for future research. *Educational Review*, 53(1), 27-35. doi: 10.1080/00131910120033628
- Larsen, P. D. (2019). Lubkin's chronic illness impact and intervention (10th ed.). Burlington,
 MA: Jones & Bartlett Learning.
- Ma, C., Zhou, Y., Zhou, W., & Huang, C. (2014). Evaluation of the effect of motivational interviewing counselling on hypertension care. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 95, 231-237. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2014.01.011.
- Melnyk, B. M., & Fineout-Overholt, E. (2015). *Evidence-based practice in nursing & healthcare: A guide to best practice (3rd ed.)*. Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer.
- Moran, K., Burson, R., & Conrad, D. (2017). *The doctor of nursing practice scholarly project: A framework for success (2nd ed.)*. Burlington, MA: Jones & Bartlett Learning.
- Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. (2019). *Heart disease and stroke*. Retrieved from https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/heartdisease-and-stroke
- Ogedegbe, G., Chaplin, W., Schoenthaler, A., Statman, D., Berger, D., Richardson, T., Phillips, E., Spencer, J., & Allegrante, J. P. (2008). A practice-based trial of

motivational interviewing and adherence in hypertensive African Americans. *American Journal of Hypertension, 21*(10), 1137-1143. doi: 10.1038/ajh.2008.240.

- Pearson, A., Wiechula, R., & Lockwood, C. (2005). The JBI model of evidence-based healthcare. *International Journal of Evidence Based Healthcare*, *3*, 207-215. Retrieved from https://myasucourses.asu.edu/bbcswebdav/pid-18670036-dt-content-rid-133594819_1/courses/2018Fall-D-DNP712-77096/2005-JBI_Model.pdf
- Schoenthaler, A., De La Calle, F., Barrios-Barrios, M., Garcia, A., Pitaro, M., Lum, A., &
 Milagros, R. (2015). A practice-based randomized controlled trial to improve
 medication adherence among Latinos with hypertension: Study protocol for a
 randomized controlled trial. *Trials, 16*, 1745-6215. doi: 10.1186/s13063-015-0815-x
- Social Cognitive Theory. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Determinants-in-Social-Cognitive-Theory-In-the-personal-factors-self-efficacy-is_fig2_325415726
- Solomon, A., Schoenthaler, A., Seixas, A., Ogedegbe, G., Jean-Louis, G., & Lai, D. (2015).
 Medication Routines and Adherence Among Hypertensive African Americans. *The Journal of Clinical Hypertension*, *17*(9), 668-672. doi: 10.1111/jch.
- Stewart, K., George, J., Mc Namara, K. P., Jackson, S. L., Peterson, G. M., Bereznicki, L.
 R., Gee, P. R., Hughes, J. D., Bailey, M. J., Hsueh, Y. A., McDowell, J. M., Bortoletto,
 D. A., & Lau, R. (2014). A multifaceted pharmacist intervention to improve antihypertensive adherence: a cluster-randomized, controlled trial (HAPPy trial). *Journal of Clinical Pharmacy and Therapeutics, 39*, 527-534. doi: 10.1111/jcpt.12185.
- Westfall, P. H., & Henning, K. S. (2013). *Texts in statistical science: Understanding advanced statistical methods.* Taylor & Francis.

- World Health Organization (2019). *Raised blood pressure*. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/gho/ncd/risk_factors/blood_pressure_prevalence_text/en/
- Yang, X., Ge, C., Hu, B., Chi, T., & Wang, L. (2009). Relationship between quality of life and occupational stress among teachers. *Public Health*, *123*, 750-755.
 doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2009.09.018
- Yeoh, E. K., Wong, M. C., Wong, E. L., Yam, C., Poon, C. M., Chung, R. Y., Chong, M.,
 Fang, Y., Wang, H. H., Liang, M., Cheung, W. W., Chan, C. H., Zee, B., & Coats, A.
 J. (2017). Benefits and limitations of implementing chronic care model (CCM) in
 primary care programs: A systematic review. *International Journal of Cardiology*, 258, 279-288. doi 10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.11.057
- Zaccagnini, M. E., & White, K. W. (2017). *The doctor of nursing practice essentials: A new model for advanced practice nursing (3rd ed.)*. Burlington, MA: Jones & Bartlett Learning.
- Zhou, M., Daubresse, M., Stafford, R. S., & Alexander, G. C., (2015). National trends in the ambulatory treatment of hypertension in the united states, 1997-2012. *PLoS ONE*, *10*(3), 1-9. doi 10.1371/journal.pone.01192

Appendix A

Table 1

Evaluation Table

Citation	Theory/ Conceptual Framework	Design/ Method/ Purpose	Sample/Setting	Major Variables Studied and Their Definitions	Measurement/ Instrumentation	Data Analysis	Findings/ Results	Level of evidence/ Decision for Use/Application to Practice
(Hedegaard et al., 2015). MA in patients with HTN: a RCT. Country: Denmark Funding: Funded by unrestricted grants from The Hospitals Pharmacies' and Amgros' Research Developmen -t FDN and The Actavis FDN.	NS (Self-Care Theory)	Design: RCT Purpose: A randomized trial was performed to investigate the effectiveness of a multifaceted PH IN in a HS to improve MA in hypertensive pts. MI was a key element of the IN.	N- 532 n- 516 IG - 231 CG-285 Demographics: M age - 62 yrs m/f- 60/40% Edu: NS Setting: Odense University Hospital, Denmark. Dec. 2012-July 2013. 3 outpatient clinics. Inclusion: Pts with HTN from 1 cardiology and 2 endocrinology OC. 18 yrs. or older, RX for at least 1 AA. Exclusion: Pts	 IV: adherence. The IG received usual care and a PH IN consisting of 3 elements: 1) a MR focused on identifying drug-related problems for antihypertensive or LC agents followed by advice to the PCP in charge; 2) a pt INTV; 3) 2 or more follow-up TC to the pt within the first 6 mos after inclusion. DV1: LC agents DV2: AA DV3: LC agents IV1: The primary outcome was overall adherence to AA and LC agents 12 months 	DRAW, MAQ, SBP, DBP	Median difference estimate was derived from the Hodges- Lehmann estimate, mixed- effect linear regression model, Kaplan- Meier curve, Cox proportional hazard model, CI, FE, unpaired t test, Wilcoxon- Mann Whitney 2- sided test, EpiData version 3.1,	DV1 (MPR) IG - 0.93 (0.82-0.99) CG - 0.91 (0.76-0.98) <i>p</i> value - 0.02 DV2 IG - 0.95 CG- 0.94 <i>p</i> value - 0.23 DV3 IG- 0.97 CG-0.89 <i>p</i> value-0.02 Cohen's D= 0.11 (small)	 Level of Evidence: LOE II Strengths: Strong methodology, reliable instruments, faster medical assessment, researcher was blinded when assessing outcomes. Weaknesses: educational levels NS, and exclusion of pts outside Denmark region. SS. More pts than expected chose not to participate. Pts had MC, which may have affected their MPR. F/u measurements were missing for 26% of the cohort. PH and PCPs were not blinded to allocation. Conclusions: A multifaceted PH IN in a HS led to a sustained improvement in MA for pts with HTN. Feasibility: The IN had no

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, DBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5 - Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation group, IG1 - Intervention group J, IG2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-intervention, INTV- interview, IV – Independent variable 4, DV5 - Medication adherence questionnaire, M – Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medications, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MI – Motivational interviewing mos.- months, MDP – medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication POSession Ratio Measure, MR – Medication review, N – Sample (population), n – Sample size (studies), NS – Not stated, NCP- non-complaint patients, OR – Odds ratio, PCG - Placebo call group, PCDI - Patient centered discharge instructions PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical diffices, PH – Pharmacist, PI - psychiatric illness, PT – Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal disease, RF – renal disluer, Rx – real-fearties, SD - systolic, TAU – Treatment as usual, TI – Terminal illness, TM – Telemonitoring, TC – Telephone call, UC- usual care, U.S.- United States, WFFQ- Willett Food Frequency Questionnaire, X

Bias:			who lived in a	after inclusion,		Stata version		significant impact on BP and
Contaminati			CH, TI, MDP,	reported as a		13		secondary clinical outcomes. Should
-on bias			MDHN, Pts	continuous, as well as				be studied further before applying to
(Excluded			residing outside of	a binary outcome.				practice.
pts without			the Denmark					
an AA or			region, or CogI.	DV1: Secondary				
those with			Attrition:	outcomes were				
more than 1			21.05%	composite MPR at 3,				
AA, which				6, and 9 months, as				
is often				well as adherence and				
standard of				persistence to D, CA,				
care).				BB, RA, and LC				
				agents, all at 12				
Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/Setting	Major Variables	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level of evidence/
	Conceptual	Method/		Studied and	Instrumentation	Analysis	Results	Decision for Use/Application to
	Framework	Purpose		Their Definitions		-		Practice

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, DBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation, FE – Fisher's exact test, F/U – Follow Up, FQHC- federally qualified health center, GTET- greater than or equal to, HF-heart failure, ATN - Hypertension, HS – Hospital setting, IC-informed consent, IG - Intervention group, IG1 - Intervention group, I, IG2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-intervention, INTV- interview, IV – Independent variable, LC – Lipid control, LDL- low-density lipoprotein, LOE-level of evidence, MA – Medication adherence, MAQ-medication adherence questionnaire, M –Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medications PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR - Medication PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical dispense, PL – Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal disease, RF – renal failure, Rx – Prescription, SAS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP - systolic blood pressure, SC – Self-care, SD – Standard deviation, SE-self-efficacy, SPSS - Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SS + # of study sites, STS

(Alhalaiqa et al., 2012). AT for medication NCP with HTN: a RCT Country: UK Funding: Funded by a doctoral studentship grant from Philadelphia University, Jordan and the University of East Anglia, UK. The funders were not involved in the design or analysis of this study. Bias: Selection bias (Enrollment	NS (cognitive and motivational interviewing techniques)	Design: Parallel- group single- blind RCT Purpose: Primary outcome was to establish the efficacy of AT compared with TAU in reducing BP in NCP	N=136 IG: 68 CG: 68 Demographics: M age- 53 yrs, f - 54% C - 67% smokers - 31% married- 76 % Setting: Patients were recruited from 3 general hospital outpatient clinics in Jordan Inclusion: Any HTN pt who visited one of 3 clinics in Jordan from Aug. 2009- Jan. 2010, age 18+, assessed as NCP by reviewers Exclusion: mental illness, HTN complications, DM, CHF, RF, other CD, or pregnancy. Attrition: 10 (7.3%).	IV1: Adherence (measured by pill counting) AT=7 one- on-one sessions lasting 20 min. over 7 wks. Measured at baseline and 11 wks after randomization. Calculated by dividing the # of pills not taken in a month by the total # of pills RX'd. DV1: SBP (over 140) measured at baseline and 11 wks after randomization DV2: DBP (over 90) measured at baseline and 11 wks after randomization DV3-(G-H) general harm, intrinsically harmful properties of meds DV4- (G-O) general overuse of meds by providers	SBP, BAMQ, MMAS, mercury syphymomano- meter measured twice on right upper arm of a seated patient who had been resting for over 10 minutes (average of 2 measurements was used)	SD, alpha 0.05, 80% power, 2- tailed test of significance, 5-point Likert-type scale, Rubin's equations, analysis of covariance, logistic regression	IV1- 37% DV1- 23.4 (95% CI: 20.7, 26.2) IG - 97% CG - 71% p value - 0.01 DV2 - 15.6 (95% CI: 13.2, 17.9) p value -0.01 DV3- (G- H:0.75, p<0.001) DV4- (G-O: - 0.31, p<0.001) DV5- (G-S: - 0.46, p<0.001) Cohen's D= 0.22 (small)	 Level of Evidence: LOE II Strengths: Analysts were blinded, computer generated randomized allocation to groups. Power analysis for sample size and economic analysis were performed; low attrition rate. BAMQ has established validity. Weaknesses: Assessment of potential applicants was stopped when sufficient numbers had been recruited to the study, TAU was not clearly defined. Short follow-up period (1 month). Pts knew they were being monitored. AT was delivered by only one person. Unknown if generalizable. Conclusions: AT improves MA for HTN Feasibility: Suggests AT for HTN is clinically important for use in practice and is likely to be costeffective.
was done				DV5- (G-B) general				

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, DBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation, FE – Fisher's exact test, F/U – Follow Up, FQHC- federally qualified health center, GTET- greater than or equal to, HF-heart failure, HTN- Hypertension, HS – Hospital setting, IC-informed consent, IG - Intervention group, IG1 - Intervention group, I, G2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-interview, IV – Independent variable, LC – Lipid control, LDL- low-density lipoprotein, LOE-level of evidence, MA – Medication adherence, MAQ-medication adherence questionnaire, M –Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medications, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MI – Motivational interviewing mos.- months, MDP – medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical offices, PH – Pharmacist, PI – psychiatric illness, Pt – Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal failure, Rx – Prescription, SAS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP - systolic blood pressure, SC – Self-care, SD – Standard deviation, SE-self-efficacy, SPSS - Statistical Package for

after				benefit the				
Don domizati				intrinsically hanaficial				
Kandomizati				intrinsically beneficial				
on).				properties of meds				
61			a					
Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/Setting	Major Variables	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level of evidence/
	Conceptual	Method/		Studied and	Instrumentation	Analysis	Results	Decision for Use/Application to
	Framework	Purpose		Their Definitions				Practice

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, DBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DVI – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation, FE – Fisher's exact test, F/U – Follow Up, FQHC- federally qualified health center, GTET- greater than or equal to, HF-heart failure, HTN- Hypertension, HS – Hospital setting, IC-informed consent, IG - Intervention group, IG1 - Intervention group, I, G2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-interview, IV – Independent variable, LC – Lipid control, LDL- low-density lipoprotein, LOE-level of evidence, MA – Medication adherence, MAQ-medication adherence questionnaire, M –Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medications, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MI – Motivational interviewing mos.- months, MDP – medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical offices, PH – Pharmacist, PI - psychiatric illness, Pt – Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal disease, RF – renal failure, Rx – Prescription, SAS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP - systolic blood pressure, SC – Self-care, SD – Standard deviation, SE-self-efficacy, SPSS - Sta

(Ogedegbe et al., 2008). A practice- based trial of MI and adherence in hypertensive African Americans. Funding: grants from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and the National Institutes of Health Bethesda, MD, Country:	Self-care model- NS	This RCT tested the effect of a practice- based MI counseling vs. UC on MA and bp in 190 hypertensive African Americans.	N=190 n-UC group 95 n-intervention group 95 M age: 54 years Demographics: 88% women, 17% married, 77% high school or college education, over 50% unemployed, recruited from 2 community-based primary care practices in New York City (NY Presbyterian Hospital Ambulatory Care Network). Inclusion: age gtet 18, black or African American,	The primary outcome was adherence, measured by electronic pill monitors, secondary outcome was within- patient change in office bp from baseline to 12 mos. MI- directive, patient- centered counseling designed to motivate pts for change by helping them recognize and resolve the discrepancy between their behavior, personal goals, and values. MI group- received UC plus behavioral counseling about MA using MI techniques. 30-40 min. of MI was	Charlson Comorbidity Index, MEMS, clinic bp readings, mercury sphygmomanome ters,	Randomizati on, mixed- effects regression models, Little's MCAR	IV: UC postinterventi on adherence: 43% (p=0.027) DV1: MI postinterventi on adherence: 57% (p=0.027) DV2: UC BP drop (<i>t</i> with 145.1, df=- 2.26, <i>P</i> =0.026) vs MI group: (<i>t</i> with 150.5, df=-1.86, <i>P</i> =0.065). DV3: UC BP	LOE: 2 Strengths: long duration of study (12 mos.), focused on low income, low education, and minority population (relevance to PICOT), practice-based intervention, although not statistically significant, was still clinically significant because it lowered bp measurements, low risk of adverse events to pts. Weaknesses: no blinding whatsoever, randomization was done after baseline assessment by the study statistician, missing data, limited generalizability, no account for intensity of bp treatment (med interventions)
		African	50% unemployed,	centered counseling			57%	measurements, low risk of adverse
Funding:		Americans.	recruited from 2	designed to motivate			(p=0.027)	events to pts.
grants from			community-based	pts for change by				
the National			primary care	recognize and resolve			DV2: UC BP	Weaknesses: no blinding
Heart, Lung,			Vork City (NV	the discrepancy			$1/15 \ 1 \ df_{-1}$	whatsoever, randomization was done
Institute and			Presbyterian	between their			2.26	study statistician missing data
the National			Hospital	behavior, personal			P=0.026) vs	limited generalizability, no account
Institutes of			Ambulatory Care	goals, and values.			MI group: (t	for intensity of bp treatment (med
Health			Network).	MI group-received			with 150.5,	interventions)
Bethesda,				UC plus behavioral			df=-1.86,	
MD,			Inclusion: age	using MI techniques			P=0.065).	
Content			gtet 18, black or	30-40 min. of MI was			DV2. UC DD	
Country:			ahle to provide	received at 3, 6, 9, &			drop (t with	
USA			written informed	12 mos.			151.2, df=-	
Bias .			consent,	IV: UC group			2.61, <i>P</i> =0.01)	
contamina-			diagnosed with	medication adherence			vs MI group	
tion			htn (bp gtet	DV1: MA in			bp drop (<i>t</i>	
			140/90 or 130/80	after 12 mos			with 156.7, $df = 0.72$	
			or RD)	DV2: shn dron 12			P=0.003	
			Attrition• 13	mos. after intervention			<i>i</i> =0.00 <i>5j</i> .	
			(6.84%)	DV3: dbp drop 12			Cohen's D=	
		1						

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, DBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation, FE – Fisher's exact test, F/U – Follow Up, FQHC- federally qualified health center, GTET- greater than or equal to, HF-heart failure, HTN- Hypertension, HS – Hospital setting, IC-informed consent, IG - Intervention group, IG1 - Intervention group, I, G2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-intervention, INTV- interview, IV – Independent variable, LC – Lipid control, LDL- low-density lipoprotein, LOE-level of evidence, MA – Medication adherence, MAQ-medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication Possession Ratio Measure, MR – Medication review, N – Sample (population), n – Sample size (studies), NS – Not stated, NCP - non-complaint patients, OR – Odds ratio, PCG - Placebo call group, PCD1 - Patient centered discharge instructions PCP – Primary care physician Analysis Software, SBP - systolic blood pressure, SC – Self-care, SD – Standard deviation, SE-self-efficacy, SPSS - Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SS - # of study sites, STS. Structured telephone support, Sys – systolic, TAU – Treatment as usual, TI – Terminal illness, TM – Telemonitoring, TC – Telephone call,

Exclusion: ns	mos after intervention	0.07-small	
Exclusion. Its	most after mervention	0.07–311411	

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, DBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation, FE – Fisher's exact test, F/U – Follow Up, FQHC- federally qualified health center, GTET- greater than or equal to, HF-heart failure, HTN- Hypertension, HS – Hospital setting, IC-informed consent, IG - Intervention group J, IG1 - Intervention group J, IG2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-intervention, INTV- interview, IV – Independent variable by Home Nurse, medication Adherence, MAQ-medication adherence questionnaire, M –Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, medes-medications, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MI – Motivational interviewing mos. months, MDP – medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence cale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical offices, PH – Pharmacist, PI - psychiatric illness, Pt – Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal failure, Rx – Prescription, SAS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP - systolic blood pressure, SC – Self-care, SD – Standard deviation, SE-self-efficacy, SPSS - Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SS - # of study sites, STS- Structured telephone support, Sys – systolic, TAU – Treatment as usual, TI – T

Citation	Theory/ Conceptual Framework	Design/ Method/ Purpose	Sample/Setting	Major Variables Studied and Their Definitions	Measurement/ Instrumentation	Data Analysis	Findings/ Results	Level of evidence/ Decision for Use/Application to Practice
(Friedberg et al., 2015). Effectivenes s of a tailored behavioral intervention to improve hypertension control: Primary outcomes of a randomized controlled trial. Funded by the Dept. of Veterans Affairs Health Services Research and Developmen t Service Research Career Developmen	Transtheoretic al model- as stated	3-arm parallel RCT to evaluate whether a telephone- delivered, behavioral stage- matched intervention would lead to better bp control than UC in pts with uncontrolled bp.	N=533 n=SMI group 176 n= UC group 177 n=HEI group 180 Inclusion: had HTN, on AA for 6 mos, HTN was uncontrolled, able to provide informed consent Exclusion: CVD, HF stage 3 or 4, severe PI, AIDS, Tuberculosis, lupus, end-stage renal failure, less than 1-year life expectancy, lack of telephone, inability to follow study protocol, major surgery in last 3 mos., those not available for f/u, unable to provide consent, those temporarily in the area	IV: Uncontrolled HTN- sbp gtet 130 or diastolic bp gtet 80 in DM or CKD, or sbp gtet 140 or dbp gtet 90 in all others at the time of study. DV1: SMI- behavioral stage- matched intervention DV2: HEI- nontailored health education intervention DV3: UC group- received standard information about htn but no counseling DV4: MA- self-report of taking bp meds as prescribed for gtet 6 days/week.	Omron HEM 907XL automated bp machine was used on the patients right upper arm 6x, cuff was placed 1" above the crook of the elbow. Height and weight were also measured, and arm circumference was measured if there was any question to the size of the cuff.	Computer generated random assignments to groups, telephone counseling for 6 mos., computer- based intervention model for SMI group, MMAS, WFFQ, dietary approaches to stop htn score, Pearson X^2 , Rao-Scott X^2 , Bonferroni adjustment, logistic regression, SAS version 9.2, 2-sided <i>P</i> values	IV1: Bp control at 6 mos.: DV1: SMI: 64.6 (p<0.001), DV2: HEI: 54.3 (0.108), DV3: UC: 45.8 (p<0.05). SBP at 6 mos: (95% CI) DV1:SMI: 131.2 (129.1, 133.3) SMI vs UC p = 0.009 DV2:HEI: 131.8 (129.9, 133.7) HEI vs UC p=0.047 DV3:UC: 134.7 (132.7- 136.7) IV:Change in proportion	LOE: 2 Weaknesses: used additional information other than AHA educational materials for HEI group, so information is not easily generalizable and reproducible, unable to judge quality of material as it is not standardized information. The study was not powered to test between the 2 active intervention arms. Many participants withdrew between enrollment period and first study visit, and also post-baseline (n=172). Strengths: relevance to the PICOT question, ease of use, randomization, baseline bp was similar among groups, mean of 6 bp measurements, low risk of adverse events to pts., reduced costs and increased scalability due to no in-person contact, ease of feasibility

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, BBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DVI – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation group, IG1 - Intervention group 1, IG2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-intervention, INTV- interview, IV – Independent variable 4, DV5- Medication adherence questionnaire, M –Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medications, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MI – Motivational interviewing mos.- months, MDP – medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication POSession Ratio Measure, MR – Medication review, N – Sample (population), n – Sample size (studies), NS – Not stated, NCP- non-complaint patients, OR – Odds ratio, PCG - Placebo call group, PCDI - Patient centered discharge instructions PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical Offices, PH – Pharmacist, FI - patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal disease, RF – renal dialuer, Rx – Prescription, SAS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP - systolic blood pressure, SC – Self-care, SD – Standard deviation, SE-self-efficacy, SPSS - Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SS - # of study sites, STS - Structured

				-	
t Award. No				of bp under	
disclosures				control from	
reported.				baseline to 6	
U.S.				mos, %:	
				DV1:SMI:	
				19.7 (SMI vs	
				UC	
				p=0.0004)	
				DV2: HEI:	
				11.8 (HEI vs	
				UC p=0.051),	
				DV3: UC:	
				1.9	
				Change in	
				sbp from	
				baseline to 6	
				mos.	
				DV1 SMI -	
				4.7 (-6.9	
				(51), 2.5) (SMI vs	
				UC = 0.007	
				DV2: HEI: -	
				54(-85-	
				2 3) (HEL vs	
				UC = 0.000	
				$DV3 \cdot UC$	
				27(54)	
				2.7 (-3,-4). DV4.	
				DV4: Madiaationa	
				(proportio-	
				(proportion	
				70 In action	
				OF maintenance	
				maintenance	
				at 6 mos.)	

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, DBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation, FE – Fisher's exact test, F/U – Follow Up, FQHC- federally qualified health center, GTET- greater than or equal to, HF-heart failure, HTN- Hypertension, HS – Hospital setting, IC-informed consent, IG - Intervention group, IG1 - Intervention group, I, G2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-interview, IV – Independent variable, LC – Lipid control, LDL- low-density lipoprotein, LOE-level of evidence, MA – Medication adherence, MAQ-medication adherence questionnaire, M –Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medications, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MI – Motivational interviewing mos.- months, MDP – medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical offices, PH – Pharmacist, PI – psychiatric illness, Pt – Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal failure, Rx – Prescription, SAS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP - systolic blood pressure, SC – Self-care, SD – Standard deviation, SE-self-efficacy, SPSS - Statistical Package for

Citation	Theory/ Conceptual Framework	Design/ Method/ Purpose	Sample/Setting	Major Variables Studied and Their Definitions	Measurement/ Instrumentation	Data Analysis	SMI: 95 (SMI vs UC p=0.581) HEI: 98 (HEI vs. UC p=0.502) UC: 96 Cohen's D=1.08 (large) Findings/ Results	Level of evidence/ Decision for Use/Application to Practice
(Carter et al., 2015). Cluster- randomized trial of a physician/ pharmacist collaborative model to improve blood pressure control. Funding: National Heart, Lung, and Blood	Collaborative model/team- based care-ns	To evaluate if a physician/ pharmacist collaborative model would be implemented as determined by improved bp control in pcmo with diverse geographic and patient characteristic and whether long-term bp control could be sustained.	N=625 n=224 UC group n=207 sustained care group n=194 brief intervention group (9 mos.) Subjects were recruited from 32 medical offices in 15 states. Demographics: over 50% were minorities, 49% mades less than \$25,000 yearly, 50% had DM or	BP goal: less than 140/90 for uncomp- licated pts and 130/80 for those with RD or DM. SC-RN, LPN, or M.A. recruited subjects and collected study data.	BP measurements at baseline, 6, 9, 12, 18, & 24 mos. Validated survey instrument for scoring clinical pharmacy services. JNC-7 Guidelines. Omron HEM 907-XL bp measurement device with standardized measurement techniques. Validated 4-item instrument used	Randomizati on, nonlinear mixed- effects model, OR, intraclass correlation coefficient estimate, Lan- Demets α spending function, O'Brien- Fleming stopping boundaries, conditional	IV1: control group at 9 mos. BP control =34% vs. DV1: IN group at 9 mos. BP control =43% (adjusted OR, 1.57 [95% CI, 0.99- 2.50]; P=0.059). DV2: BP control at 9 mos. for minority	 LOE: 2 Strengths: Cluster, randomized trial design, intent-to-treat analysis, controlled for covariances, standardized measurements, high utility to PICOT question and population, length of study, Weaknesses: no blinding, funding constraints lead to premature termination of some subjects, imbalances occurred in the study arms due to the cluster nature of the design, low power due to subjects dropping out of being lost to f/u, at baseline, the brief intervention group were more likely to be married and have private insurance. No way to

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, BPP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation group, IG1 - Intervention group 1, IG2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-intervention, INTV- interview, IV – Independent variable, LC – Lipid control, LDL- low-density lipoprotein, LOE-level of evidence, MA – Medication adherence, MAQ-medication adherence questionnaire, M –Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medication PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical offices, PH – Pharmacist, PI – psychiatric illness, Pt – Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal disease, RF – renal dislawer, ST – Studie deviation, SS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP – Pharmacist, TI – Terminal illness, TM – Telemonitoring, TC – Telephone call, UC- usual care, U.S.- United States, WFFQ- Willet Food Frequency Questionnaire, X² – Chi square, Yrs – years, NO- Number of

Institute	Prospective	CKD	to measure MA	nower	subjects 37%	control for medications changing as
monute	multicenter	CILD.		linear mixed	vs non-	control group was prescribed much
Bias. no	trial.	Inclusion · nemo		model with	minority	more pharmacotherapy, which may
blinding		with an onsite		random	subjects 28%	have made the results insignificant,
officing		clinical		effects	(adjusted OR,	many adverse events reported, but
Country		pharmacist			1.54 [95%	not significant by arm/group.
Country:		subjects had to			CI, 0.83-	
0.5.		provide IC			2.86];	
		provide re.			<i>P</i> =0.17).	
		Evolution.			DV3: bp at	
		excessively high			24 mos.:	
		bn Cogl recent			IN group:	
		beart attack sleep			63% vs. CG:	
		annea angina			46%	
		stroke. HF. RD.			(adjusted OR,	
		elevated liver			1.84 [95%	
		tests, not a pt in			CI, 0.89-	
		the study office.			3.78];	
		declined mental			<i>P</i> =0.098).	
		status exam or				
		withdrew consent			Cohen's D=	
					0.37=	
		Attrition: 133			moderate	
		(21.3%)				
		(2110/0)				
		IV. control group				
		that received UC				
		DV1.0 mo PU				
		$\mathbf{D} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{I}$, \mathbf{y} -mo. 1 m IN (RI)				
		DV2. 24 mg DU				
		DV2; 24-M0. PH				
		IIN (SI)				

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, DBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation group, IG1 - Intervention group 1, IG2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-intervention, INTV- interview, IV – Independent variable 4, DV5- Medication adherence questionnaire, M –Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medications, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MI – Motivational interviewing mos.- months, MDP – medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication POSsession Ratio Measure, MR – Medication review, N – Sample (population), n – Sample size (studies), NS – Not stated, NCP- non-complaint patients, OR – Odds ratio, PCG - Placebo call group, PCDI - Patient centered discharge instructions PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical offices, PH – Pharmacist, PI - psychiatric illness, Pt – Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal disease, RF – renal disluer, Rx – Prescription, SAS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP - systolic blood pressure, SC – Self-care, SD – Standard deviation, SE-self-efficacy, SPSS - Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SS - # of stu

Citation	Theory/ Conceptual Framework	Design/ Method/ Purpose	Sample/Setting	Major Variables Studied and Their Definitions	Measurement/ Instrumentation	Data Analysis	Findings/ Results	Level of evidence/ Decision for Use/Application to Practice
(Schoenthale r et al., 2015). A practice- based RCT to improve MA among Latinos with htn: study protocol for a RCT. Funding: Country: U.S.	Common sense model of self-regulation (CSM) and Vrijens medications adherence taxonomy	RCT with2 arms	N=148 n=74 IG n=74 UC group sample was recruited from a cbmc. in New York. Inclusion: self- identify as Latino, gtet 18 years of age, has HTN & at least 1 CVD risk factor, takes an AA Exclusion: refuse or are unable to provide IC, already in another study, has PI Attrition: 10% at 1 mo., 8% at 6 mo. visit	To evaluate the effectiveness of a culturally tailored, evidence-based AI delivered by bilingual health coaches vs. UC on MA at 6 mos. among 148 Latino pts with HTN who are uncontrolled and nonadherent to meds. Secondary aim was to evaluate the effect of AI vs. UC on bp reduction at 6 mos. UC care-standard htn treatment as determined by pcp AI group-received 6 biweekly sessions with bilingual health coaches for 9 sessions HTN-bp>140/90 on at least 2 consecutive visits within the year or >130/80 for DM or RD pts. & at least 1 CVD risk factor	Morisky adherence scale, electronic monitoring device, validated automated BP device to assess BP, AI script, semi-structured interviews, EMR- embedded adherence intervention template, BAMQ, Comprehensive Assessment and referral evaluation dementia diagnostic scale, Illness perceptions questionnaire- revised, MA SE scale, Watchman automated device, Charleston comorbidity index, Test of	α=0.05 level for a 2-sided test, chi- square test of independenc e, ANOVA, logit model, logistic regression, 2-sided tests of significance, OR, linear mixed effects regression models,	MA at 6 mos. visit: Within-pt change in sbp: Within-pt change in dbp: No data reported, trial began in October 2012. N=112 in 2015 and patient recruitment was still ongoing.	 LOE: 2 Strengths: block randomization, utility to PICOT question, EMR for ease of use incorporates intervention into clinic workflow, all analyses were done in an intent-to-treat design Weaknesses: no blinding to group assignments, patients were financially compensated, which may not yield the same results in practice without compensation, high rate of patient refusal to participate, high rate of providers leaving the study site

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, DBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation group, IG1 - Intervention group 1, IG2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-interview, IV – Independent variable 4, DV5- Medication adherence questionnaire, M – Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medications, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MI – Motivational interviewing mos-- months, MDP – medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication POSession Ratio Measure, MR – Medication review, N – Sample (population), n – Sample size (studies), NS – Not stated, NCP- non-complaint patients, OR – Odds ratio, PCG - Placebo call group, PCDI - Patient centered discharge instructions PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical offices, PH – Pharmacist, PI - patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal disease, RF – renal failure, Rx – Prescription, SAS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP - systolic blood pressure, SC – Self-care, SD – Standard deviation, SE-self-efficacy, SPSS - Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SS - # of study sites, STS - Structured telephone support,

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/Setting	Major Variables	functional health literacy in adults, treatment self- regulation questionnaire, primary care assessment survey Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level of evidence/
	Conceptual Framework	Method/ Purpose	I and B	Studied and Their Definitions	Instrumentation	Analysis	Results	Decision for Use/Application to Practice
Citation: (Boutin- Foster et al., 2016). Title: Results from the trial using motivational interviewing , positive affect, and self- affirmation in AfAm's with HTN (TRIUMPH) Funding: Center for Excellence in Health	Social cognitive theory, positive affect theory	The objective of the study was to improve BP control by targeting SE, a major determinant of MA. Two-arm RCT	N=238 n=122 CG n= 116 IG Adult pts who received care at FQHC's and community ambulatory practices in South Bronx and Harlem, NYC. Demographics: M age: 56, 70% female, 70% had high school education Inclusion: self- identified as AfAm, HTN	 SE-describes the confidence in one's ability to take action to overcome barriers and is a major cornerstone of health behavior change. MI-based on the work done by Miller and Rollnick. Delivered during bimonthly telephone calls for 12 mos. positive affect induction- based on positive affect theory, which states that positive affect enables individuals to be more open-minded, 	EMR, Charlson Comorbidity Index, psychosocial measures, htn hx, center for epidemiologic studies depression scale, MA SE scale, perceived stress scale, positive and negative affect scale, medical outcomes study- social support survey, HTN workbook, behavior contract, BPTru	Randomized in a 1:1 ratio to CG or IG, sample size calculations included a power of 80% and standard test level of .05. chi-square and student's t- test, univariate logistic regression, multivariate model, SPSS Cohen's D=	BP control at 12 mos.: CG: 82.2% IG: 83.7% (OR=1.33, CI=.57-3.10, P=.50).	LOE: II Strengths: intent-to-treat model, utility to PICOT, ease of feasibility, can be generalized to any intervention Weaknesses: Neither the participants nor the research assistants were blinded to the study intervention. High attrition rate. No cluster randomization, which may result in contamination. The same research assistants were used for the CG and IG. Both groups received telephone calls at the same time, effects were insignificant.

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, DBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5 - Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation group, IG1 - Intervention group J, IG2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-intervention, INTV- interview, IV – Independent variable 4, DV5 - Medication adherence questionnaire, M – Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medications, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MI – Motivational interviewing mos.- months, MDP – medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication POSession Ratio Measure, MR – Medication review, N – Sample (population), n – Sample size (studies), NS – Not stated, NCP- non-complaint patients, OR – Odds ratio, PCG - Placebo call group, PCDI - Patient centered discharge instructions PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical diffices, PH – Pharmacist, PI - psychiatric illness, PT – Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal disease, RF – renal disluer, Rx – relephone support, Sys – systolic, TAU – Treatment as usual, TI – Terminal illness, TM – Telemonitoring, TC – Telephone call, UC- usual care, U.S.- United States, WFFQ- Willett Food Frequency Questionnai

Disporition			diagnosis on st	motivated and		$0.11 - cmo^{11}$		
Disparties				mouvated, and		0.11=sman		
Research			least one AA, had	responsive to health				
and			elevated BP at	messages, thus				
Community			time of	fostering their ability				
Engagement			recruitment, could	to achieve their				
			provide consent.	personal goals.				
Bias:			-					
contaminatio			Exclusion: ns	self-affirmation				
n				manipulation-				
			A 44-94° C1	describes the				
Country:			Attrition: 61	importance of				
U.S.			(25.6%)					
				locusing on personal				
				strength and core				
				values as a method of				
				counteracting negative				
				responses to stress and				
				maintaining				
				psychological well-				
				being.				
				8				
				HTN ₋ bp> $1/0/90$ op				
				1111 - 0p > 140/90 011				
				average of >130/80				
				for DM or RD pts. &				
				at least I CVD risk				
				factor				
				IV: BP				
				DV: MI and support				
Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/Setting	Major Variables	Measurement/	Data	Findings/	Level of evidence/
	Conceptual	Method/		Studied and	Instrumentation	Analysis	Results	Decision for Use/Application to
	Framework	Purpose		Their Definitions		· ·		Practice
		- ar pose						

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, DBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation, FE – Fisher's exact test, F/U – Follow Up, FQHC- federally qualified health center, GTET- greater than or equal to, HF-heart failure, HTN- Hypertension, HS – Hospital setting, IC-informed consent, IG - Intervention group, IG1 - Intervention group, I, G2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-interview, IV – Independent variable, LC – Lipid control, LDL- low-density lipoprotein, LOE-level of evidence, MA – Medication adherence, MAQ-medication adherence questionnaire, M –Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medications, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MI – Motivational interviewing mos.- months, MDP – medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical offices, PH – Pharmacist, PI – psychiatric illness, Pt – Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal failure, Rx – Prescription, SAS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP - systolic blood pressure, SC – Self-care, SD – Standard deviation, SE-self-efficacy, SPSS - Statistical Package for

RCT.recommended meds and lifestyleinterfection, region,models, quality of life, and modeleffects on models,Bias:lifestylecommunication difficulties, toomodadjustedreportedNonechangesunwell, discharge to rest home/care, with usual care.MA-determined by asking the pt if they had taken all of theirmodels, modeleffects on modelFunding:with usual care.passedMA-determined by asking the pt if they had taken all of theirodds ratios, 95% CI,(1.979; 95% confidence		herence to	hemorrhage, recurrent stroke, not in region	adherence, new stroke, or coronary heart disease events.	random effects mixed	0.0.12 [93% confidence interval, - 0.30 to 0.06])	missing data, couldn't blind participants
Zealand Health Research CouncilTandoninsation timeframe, PI, in another study, not available for f/u, not contactable, otherintex as prescribed in the last 7 days and if not then specifying the detailssensitivity analysisinterval, P=0.0557)Country: New ZealandAttrition: 39 (10.10%)Attrition: Image: Sensitivity the detailssensitivity analysisinterval, and 9D=0.36= interval, 1.56-11.84; P=0.0049) post stroke.Moderate(4.295; 95%) confidence	None F unding: New Zealand Health Research Council Council New Zealand	changes compared with usual care.	difficulties, too unwell, discharge to rest home/care, passed randomisation timeframe, PI, in another study, not available for f/u, not contactable, other Attrition: 39 (10.10%)	MA-determined by asking the pt if they had taken all of their meds as prescribed in the last 7 days and if not then specifying the details	mean differences, odds ratios, 95% CI, sensitivity analysis Cohen's D=0.36= moderate	MA at 6 months (1.979; 95% confidence interval, 0.98-3.98; P=0.0557) and 9 months (4.295; 95% confidence interval, 1.56-11.84; P=0.0049) post stroke.	

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, BBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation group, IG1 - Intervention group 1, IG2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-intervention, INTV- interview, IV – Independent variable 4, DV5- Medication adherence questionnaire, M –Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medications, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MI – Motivational interviewing mos.- months, MDP – medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication POSsession Ratio Measure, MR – Medication review, N – Sample (population), n – Sample size (studies), NS – Not stated, NCP- non-complaint patients, OR – Odds ratio, PCG - Placebo call group, PCDI - Patient centered discharge instructions PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical Offices, PH – Pharmacist, FI - Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal disease, RF – renal failure, Rx – Prescription, SAS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP - systolic blood pressure, SC – Self-care, SD – Standard deviation, SE-self-efficacy, SPSS - Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, SS - # of study sites, STS - Structured

Citation	Theory/ Conceptual Framework	Design/ Method/ Purpose	Sample/Setting	Major Variables Studied and Their Definitions	Measurement/ Instrumentation	Data Analysis	Findings/ Results	Level of evidence/ Decision for Use/Application to Practice
(Stewart et al., 2014). Title: A multifaceted pharmacist intervention to improve antihyperten sive adherence: a cluster- randomized, controlled trial (HAPPy trial) Bias: recall bias Funding: ns Country: Australia	Ns- self-care model	To evaluate a community PH IV to improve adherence with AA with a view to improving BP control. A prospective, non-blinded, cluster- randomized, controlled trial	N=395 n=207 IG n= 188 CG Demographics: M age: 66.7 yrs., 51.1% males Inclusion: Adults with primary HTN who obtained AA's within the previous 6 mos. those with poor refill adherence were preferentially identified, gtet 18 years of age, available for f/u at 6 mos. from baseline Exclusion: participation in other adherence	Primary outcome: change in proportion self-reporting MA. Secondary outcome: BP change BP- if bp differed by more than 10mmHG for SBP or 5 mmHg for DBP, a third reading was taken and the average of the 2 closest readings was recorded.	PhARIA, MedeMineCVD, FRED Dispense, intracluster correlation coefficients, cluster randomization. OMRON HEM- 790IT, Morisky scale, Tool for Adherence Behaviour Screening	SAS version 9.2, chi- square tests for equal proportion reported as numbers (percentages). Continuous normally distributed variables were compared using Student t -tests and reported as means (standard deviations) whereas non- normally distributed	proportion of adherent participants increased in both groups but was not significantly different between groups [57.2% to 63.6% (control) vs. 60.0% to 73.5% (intervention) , P = 0.23]. The mean reduction in systolic BP was significantly greater in the intervention group (10.0 mmHg vs.	 LOE: II Strengths: intent-to-treat principle, ease of pt recruitment, multidisciplinary approach, sample size and low rate of attrition Weaknesses: ease of use, Hawthorne effect, recall bias, poor participant recall, possible low sensitivity to change, possible intensified prescribing, possibility of PH's falsifying BP results, uncertain of adherence due to short time span

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, BPP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation group, IG1 - Intervention group 1, IG2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-intervention, INTV- interview, IV – Independent variable, LC – Lipid control, LDL- low-density lipoprotein, LOE-level of evidence, MA – Medication adherence, MAQ-medication adherence questionnaire, M –Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medication PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical offices, PH – Pharmacist, PI – psychiatric illness, Pt – Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal disease, RF – renal dislawer, ST – Studie deviation, SS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP – Pharmacist, TI – Terminal illness, TM – Telemonitoring, TC – Telephone call, UC- usual care, U.S.- United States, WFFQ- Willet Food Frequency Questionnaire, X² – Chi square, Yrs – years, NO- Number of

promotion variables 4.6 mmHg; programs, had a were P = 0.05,. PH-conducted using proportion last 12 mos., non- English speaking, rank sum not self- administering rank sum AA's. medians baseline and (10.4%) control for was 22.6% the effects of (05%) CT 5.1- (10.4%) control for was 22.6% were vs. 30.2%, compared using mixed randomizati interquartile adherent at control for was 22.6% (10.4%) the effects of (0.0%) compared medians medians administering administering adherent at Attrition: 41 rango, To 6 months (10.4%) the effects of (0.0%) compared redowing modians using mixed adherent at adherent at admonizati intervention intervention compared redowing adherent using mixed	 				
programs, had a were P = 0.05, PH-conducted using proportion med review in the using proportion last 12 mos., non- rank sum who were English speaking, rank sum who were not self- reported as adherent at administering reported as adherent at AA's. medians rankey set (10.4%) Attrition: 41 rangy, 10 was 22.6% (10.4%) control for was 22.6% were vs. 30.2%, control for vandomized group (61.8%) were were vs. 30.2%, compared compared modified adherent at administering adherent at adherent at AA's. medians inderest of (35% (25.1.1- cluster 40.0%) randomizat ong parterin the inderest of (35% (25.1.5- using mixed pa.007, using mixed using mixed pa.007, adherent at administering mong mong		promotion	variables	4.6 mmHg;	
PH-conducted compared The med review in the last 12 mos., non- English speaking, not self- administering wile oxon of patients AA's. rank sum who were AA's. reported as adherent at (10.4%) adherent at control for (10.4%) control for was 22.6% (10.4%) control for was 22.6% were vs. 39.2%, control for randomizati indervention on, group changes group (61.8%) were were vs. 39.2%, control for changes group (61.8%) were were vs. 39.2%, control in changes group (61.8%) were were vs. 39.2%, conmared participants nonlinear and nonlinear and nonlinear and modelling BP above for normally systolic BP was significantly individual greater in the individual greater in the individual greater in the individual group lop for normally systolic BP was and non- redate as a group l		programs, had a	were	P = 0.05).	
mcd review in the last 12 mos., non- English speaking, not self- administeringusing rank sum reported as adherent at adherent at adherent at baseline and adherent at (interquarile (i0.4%)ano- adherent at medians 		PH-conducted	compared	The	
Iast 12 mos., non- English speaking, not self- administering AA's.Wileoxon rank sum reported as medians (interquartile rango). To o moths the effects of (05%CI 5.1- cluster 40.0%)Maternat at adherent at adherent at medians (interquartile rango). To o for norths control for (05%CI 5.1- cluster 40.0%)Attrition: 41 (10.4%)control for (05%CI 5.1- cluster randomizati bigher in the intervention cluster were 		med review in the	using	proportion	
English speaking, not self- administering AA's.rank sum tests and medians abseline and (interquarile range). To 6 months control for the effects of 0.882.06% (05%CI 5.1- cluster 40.0%)who were tests and abseline and (interquarile 40.0%)Attrition: 41 (10.4%)(10.4%)control for control for the effects of 0.982.06% (05%CI 5.1- cluster cluster 40.0%)was 22.6% (05%CI 5.1- cluster administering 40.0%)Control for crandomizati on, group intervention changes group (61.8% were vere vere vere vs. 39.2%, were to sap 2.4%medians administering administering administering administering administering administering bottomAttrition: 41 (10.4%)control for control for vas 22.6% the effects of control for vere vs. 39.2%, were vere vere vere vs. 39.2%, were ver		last 12 mos., non-	Wilcoxon	of patients	
nor self- administering AA's. tests and reported as (interquartile adherent at baseline and adherent at adherent at adherent at baseline and adherent at adherent at adherent at baseline and adherent at adherent at baseline and adherent at baseline and adherent at adherent at baseline and (interquartile (05%CI 5.1- (cluster 40.0%) Attrition: 41 (10.4%) control for was 22.6% was 22.6% year vs. 39.2%, compared paper in the intervention group (61.8%) were vs. 39.2%, compared P = 0.007), and non- participants and nomiling and non- normally distributed BP above target, targe		English speaking,	rank sum	who were	
administering reported as adherent at AA's. medians interquarilie AA's. interquarilie interquarilie Attrition: 41 control for was 2.6% (10.4%) control for was 2.6% randomizat interquarilie intervention on, group intervention intervention on, group intervention intervention changes group (61.8%) were vs. 39.2%, compared P = 0.007). among intervention nonlinear with baseline modeling BP above normally arget. and non- reduction of normally gravitic arget and non- reduction of normally gravitic arget and non- reduction of individual gravet in the individual gravet in the		not self-	tests and	non-	
AA's. medians (interquarile range). To control for baseline and adherent at (interquarile randomizati Attrition: 41 (10.4%) randomizati medians (interquarile randomizati baseline and adherent at control for (10.4%) randomizati (95%CI 5.1- (05%CI 5.1- cluster 40.0%) randomizati higher in the intervention higher in the intervention vere vs. 39.2%, vs. 39.2%, compared P = 0.007). moorg using mixed Moorg participants nonlinear with baseline with baseline modeling P above target, reduction of systolic BP systolic BP was significantly target, readed as a group (b) readom 7.2 mHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg);		administering	reported as	adherent at	
Attrition: 41 (10.4%) (interquartile range). To control for the effects of (95%CI 5.1- cluster 40.0%) adherent at 6 months No %) (interquartile randomizati on, group intervention higher in the intervention or group changes group (61.8%) were vs. 39.2%, compared P = 0.007). using mixed Nonon Among participants modelling participants nonlinear with baseline modelling BP above target, for normally target, systolic BP and non- normally systolic BP intervention intervention group lby random group lby random rand 03 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences		AA's.	medians	baseline and	
Attrition: 41 (10.4%)range). To control for the effects of cluster6 months was 22.6% (95%CI 5.1- 40.0%)on, group changes were were were were were were were modellinear non inlinear modelling for normally distributed data, with significantly significantly intervention reduction of reduction of systolic BP was reduction of reduction of 			(interguartile	adherent at	
(10.4%)control for the effects of cluster randomizati on, group intervention group (61.8% were vs. 39.2%, compared p = 0.007).was 22.6% (95%CI 5.1- 40.0%) intervention intervention group (61.8% were vs. 39.2%, compared p = 0.007).using mixed linear and modelling for normally ada non- normally systolic BP was data, with significantly individual pharmacies treated as a group (by random 7.2 mHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg);		Attrition: 41	range). To	6 months	
the effects of cluster 40.0%) intervention changes group (61.8% were vs. 39.2%, compared P = 0.007). using mixed Among linear and participants modelling BP above for normally systolic BP distributed was and non- normally systolic BP distributed was an and market system of treated as a random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg);		(10.4%)	control for	was 22.6%	
cluster 40.0%) randomizati higher in the on, group intervention changes group (61.8%) were vs. 39.2%, compared P = 0.007). using mixed Among linear and participants nonlinear with baseline modelling BP above for normally systolic BP distributed was datar, with significantly individual greater in the pharmacies intervention readom as argoup [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from cluster- (B = 0.01)			the effects of	(95%CI 5.1-	
randomizatihigher in the intervention group changes group (61.8% were vs. 39.2%, compared Linear and participants with baseline modelling for normally and non- normally systolic BP distributed data, with significantly individual pharmacies treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 [95%CI 1.6- Differences 1.28 mmHg);			cluster	40.0%)	
on, group intervention changes group (61.8% were vs. 39.2%, compared P = 0.007), using mixed Among linear and participants nonlinear with baseline modelling BP above for normally target, and non- reduction of systolic BP distributed was data, with significantly individual greater in the pharmacies intervention treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences [12.8 mmHg);			randomizati	higher in the	
charges group (61.8% were vs. 39.2%, compared P = 0.007). using mixed Among linear and nonlinear with baseline modelling BP above for normally target, and non- reduction of normally systolic BP distributed was data, with significantly individual greater in the pharmacies intervention treated as a random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); for output			on, group	intervention	
were vs. 39.2%, compared P = 0.007). using mixed Among linear and participants nonlinear with baseline modelling BP above for normally target, and non- normally systolic BP distributed was data, with significantly individual greater in the pharmacies intervention treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from cluster. (P = 0.01)]			changes	group (61.8%	
compared P = 0.007). using mixed Among linear and participants nonlinear with baseline modelling BP above for normally target, and non- reduction of normally systolic BP distributed was data, with significantly individual greater in the pharmacies intervention treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from cluster- (P = 0.01)1			were	vs. 39.2%,	
using mixed Among linear and participants nonlinear with baseline modelling BP above for normally target, and non- reduction of normally systolic BP distributed was data, with significantly individual greater in the pharmacies intervention treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from cluster- ($B = 0.01$)			compared	P = 0.007).	
linear and participants nonlinear with baseline modelling BP above for normally target, and non- normally systol BP distributed was data, with significantly individual greater in the pharmacies intervention treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 1.2.8 mmHg); form cluster			using mixed	Among	
nonlinear with baseline modelling BP above for normally target, and non- normally systolic BP distributed was data, with significantly individual greater in the pharmacies intervention treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95% CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from cluster- Differences 12.8 mmHg);			linear and	participants	
Image: second			nonlinear	with baseline	
for normally and non- normally distributed data, with individual pharmacies intervention treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from cluster- (P = 0.01)]			modelling	BP above	
and non- normally systolic BP distributed was data, with significantly individual greater in the pharmacies intervention treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from clusters (P = 0.01)]			for normally	target.	
normally systolic BP distributed was data, with significantly individual greater in the pharmacies intervention treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from cluster- (P = 0.01)]			and non-	reduction of	
distributed was data, with significantly individual greater in the pharmacies intervention treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from cluster- (P = 0.01)]			normally	systolic BP	
data, with significantly individual greater in the pharmacies intervention treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from cluster- (P = 0.01)]			distributed	was	
individual greater in the pharmacies intervention treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from cluster- (P = 0.01)]			data, with	significantly	
pharmacies intervention treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95% CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from cluster- (P = 0.01)]			individual	greater in the	
treated as a group [by random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from cluster- (P = 0.01)]			pharmacies	intervention	
random 7.2 mmHg effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from cluster- (P = 0.01)]			treated as a	group [by	
effect. 18 (95%CI 1.6- Differences 12.8 mmHg); from cluster- (P = 0.01)]			random	7.2 mmHg	
Differences 12.8 mmHg ; from cluster- $(P = 0.01)$]			effect 18	(95%CI 1 6-	
from cluster- $(P - 0.01)$]			Differences	12.8 mmHg)	
			from cluster-	(P = 0.01)].	

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, DBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation, FE – Fisher's exact test, F/U – Follow Up, FQHC- federally qualified health center, GTET- greater than or equal to, HF-heart failure, HTN- Hypertension, HS – Hospital setting, IC-informed consent, IG - Intervention group, IG1 - Intervention group, I, IG2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-interview, IV – Independent variable, LC – Lipid control, LDL- low-density lipoprotein, LOE-level of evidence, MA – Medication adherence, MAQ-medication adherence questionnaire, M –Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medications, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MI – Motivational interviewing mos.- months, MDP – medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical offices, PH – Pharmacist, PI – psychiatric illness, Pt – Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renal disease, RF – renal failure, Rx – Prescription, SAS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP - systolic blood pressure, SC – Self-care, SD – Standard deviation, SE-self-efficacy, SPSS - Statistical Package for the Socia

Citation	Theory/	Design/	Sample/Setting	Major Variables	Measurement/	adjusted models have been reported with 95% CI. A two-sided P-value ≤ 0.05 was statistically significant	Among participants non-adherent at baseline and above target BP, the proportion reporting ad herence at 6 months was significantly greater in the intervention group [56.8% vs. 35.9%, P = 0.039). Cohen's D: 0.89= large Findings /	Level of evidence/
Citation	Conceptual Framework	Method/ Purpose	Sample/Setting	Studied and Their Definitions	Instrumentation	Analysis	Results	Decision for Use/Application to Practice
(Solomon et al., 2012). Medication routines and adherence among hypertensive African Americans. Country :	NS (Self-Care Theory)	Design: Practice- based RCT Purpose: To 1) identify pt sociodemogra phic factors that are associated	N - 190 IG - 64 CG - 64 Demographics: f- 88%, m - 12% M age - 54 yrs Medicaid-74% High school Edu- 23% Unemployed- 54%	BP (<140/90) MI-4 sessions of behavioral counseling regarding MA at 3 mos. Intervals MA-the proportion of days in which pts took their AA as rx'd IV1 – MA with med- taking consistency DV1 – DBP with	MEMS, Powerview	SPSS, SAS, SD, logistic and linear regression, 2-sample t test,1-way analysis of variance, X ² & ANCOVA	At 9 mos. IV1- (F=9.54, p=.002) DV1- (OR, 1.319; 95% CI, 0.410- 4.246; P= .642) DV2- (OR,	Level of Evidence: LOE II Strengths: Strong methodology, power analysis done & reliable instruments Weaknesses: May not be generalizable, electronic monitoring was used, and cannot confirm that doses were actually taken Conclusions: Aiding pts with HTN

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, DBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation, FE – Fisher's exact test, F/U – Follow Up, FQHC- federally qualified health center, GTET- greater than or equal to, HF-heart failure, HTN- Hypertension, HS – Hospital setting, IC-informed consent, IG - Intervention group, IG1 - Intervention group, I, G2 - Intervention group 2, IL - Inadequate literacy, IN-interview, IV – Independent variable, LC – Lipid control, LDL- low-density lipoprotein, LOE-level of evidence, MA – Medication adherence, MAQ-medication adherence questionnaire, M –Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medications, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MI – Motivational interviewing mos.- months, MDP – medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical offices, PH – Pharmacist, PI – psychiatric illness, Pt – Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal failure, Rx – Prescription, SAS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP - systolic blood pressure, SC – Self-care, SD – Standard deviation, SE-self-efficacy, SPSS - Statistical Package for

U.S.	with having a	Single-44%	consistency index		0.621;95%	in establishing a consistent med-
	consistent	Setting: 3 ⁰ referral	DV2 – SBP with		CI, 0.195-	taking routine is more likely to
Funding:	med-taking	metropolitan	consistency index		1.974;	increase adherence to meds and
funded by	routine; (2)	hospital in			p=.419)	improve BP control.
grants from	examine the	Australia.				
the National	association	Inclusion:			Cohen's D=	Feasibility: Recommended for use
Heart, Lung,	between med-	hypertensive			0.14 (small)	in practice due to increase in
and Blood	taking	African			•••••••	adherence of TCP by interventions
Institute and	consistency	Americans				including continued nursing support
National	and MA over	receiving care				via telephone.
Institutes of	a 9-month	from two primary-				
Health	monitoring	care facilities				
National	period; and	affiliated with				
Center for	(3) examine	New York				
Advancing	the	Presbyterian				
Translation-	association	Hospital's				
al Sciences	between med-	Ambulatory Care				
New York	taking	Network (ACN).				
University	consistency					
Clinical and	and BP	Exclusion:				
Translation-	control.	None, 190 were				
al Science		eligible and data				
Awards		exists for 190 pts				
Bias: None		Attrition: n/a				

AA – Antihypertensive agent, AfAm- African Americans, AI- adherence intervention, ANCOVA- analysis of covariance, AT – Adherence therapy, BB- beta-blockers, BP – Blood pressure, BAMQ – Beliefs about medications questionnaire, CA- Calcium antagonists, CBMC-community based medical center, CD- chronic disease, CKD- chronic kidney disease, CG – Control group, CHF- congestive heart failure, CI – Confidence interval, CogI – Cognitively impaired, CS – Community setting, CVD-cardiovascular disease, D – Diuretics, BBP – diastolic blood pressure, DM- diabetic, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, DV1 – Dependent variable 1, DV2 – Dependent variable 2, DV3 – Dependent variable 3, DV4 - Dependent variable 4, DV5- Dependent variable 5, Edu – Education, EF – Ejection fraction, EMR-electronic medical record, EP – Exercise program, ES – Educational session, f – female, FDN- Foundation, FE – Fisher's exact test, *F/U* – Follow Up, FQHC- federally qualified health center, GTET- greater than or equal to, HF-heart failure, HTN- Hypertension, HS – Hospital setting, IC-informed consent, IG - Intervention group, IG1 - Intervention group, I, IG - Intervention group, I, IC - Indequate literacy, IN-interview, IV – Independent variable, LC – Lipid control, LDL- low-density lipoprotein, LOE-level of evidence, MA – Medication adherence, MAQ-medication adherence questionnaire, M –Mean, MC – Multiple comorbidities, MDHN – Medication dispensed by Home Nurse, meds- medications, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MI – Motivational interviewing mos.- months, MDP – medication dispensed by pharmacy, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale, Mos-months, MPR- Medication PCP – Primary care physician, PCMO-primary care medical offices, PH – Pharmacist, PI – psychiatric illness, Pt – Patient, RCT – Randomized Controlled Trial, RA – renin-angiotensin agents, RD - renal failure, Rx – Prescription, SAS - Statistical Analysis Software, SBP - systolic blood pressure, SC – Self-care, SD – Standard deviation, SE-self-efficacy, SPSS - Statistical Package f

Appendix B

Table 2

Synthesis Table

Author	(Solomon et al.).	Stewart et al.).	(Barker- Collo et	(Boutin- Foster et	(Carter et al.).	(Schoentha- ler et al.).	(Ogedeg- be et al.).	(Alhalai- qa et al.).	(Friedbe- rg et al.).	(Hedegaa- rd et al.).
			al.).	al.).						
Year	2012	2014	2015	2016	2015	2015	2008	2012	2015	2015
LOE	II	II	II	II	II	II	II	II	II	II
Country	U.S.	Austra-	New	U.S.	U.S.	U.S.	U.S.	U.K.	U.S.	Denmark
		lia	Zealand							
				S	tudy Chara	cteristics				
Bias	none	recall	none	С. В.	No bl.	none	C.B.	selection	none	C.B.
Age (mean	54	66.7	ns	56	61.8	ns	54	53	66.4	62
yrs.)										
Ethnicity	Af.	mixed	mixed	Af. Am.	mixed	Latino	Af. Am.	ns	mixed	ns
	Am.									
Gender	f- 88%	m-	ns	f-77%	f-61.3%	ns	f-88%	f-54%	m-98.9%	m-60%
		51.1%								
Setting		pharm								Hospital
Office/pr. care	X		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Ν	190	395	386	238	625	148	190	136	533	532
Measurement	MEMS,	PhARI	HADS,	EMR, CCI,	BP	MS, EMD,	Charlson	SBP,	Omron	DRAW,
tools	Power-	А,	Short	psycho-	measure	BP device	Comorbidi	BAMQ,	HEM	MAQ, SBP,
	view	Mede-	Form-	social	ments,	script,	ty Index,	MMAS,	907XL	DBP
		Mine-	36,	measures,	survey	interviews,	MEMS,	mercury		
		CVD,	online	htn hx,		EMR, MA SE	clinic bp	syphymo		

Af. Am.- African American, BAMQ- beliefs about medications questionnaire, **beh. change**-behavior change, **bl**.-blinding, **CBMC**-community based medical center, **CCI**-charlson comorbidity index, **CESDS**-center for epidemiologic studies depression scale, **ch**- change, **contam**.-contamination bias **DBP**-diastolic blood pressure, **DRAW**- drug adherence work-up tool, **EMD**-electronic monitoring device, **f**-female, **IN**-intervention, **Med. adh**-medication adherence, **MI**- motivational interviewing, **C.B.** – contamination bias, **CBT**-cognitive behavioral therapy, **gen. pop.-** generalizable population, **hads**-hospital anxiety and depression scale, **impr. MA**- improved medication adherence, **life mod.** - lifestyle modification, **LOE**- level of evidence, **N**-sample size, **ns**- not stated, **perc. incr. QOL**- patient's perceived increase in quality of life, **pharm**-pharmacy, **pr. care**-primary care, **m**-male, **MAQ**-medication adherence questionnaire, **MEMS**- Medication event monitoring system, **MMAS**-Morisky medication adherence scale **mos.-** months, **MS**- Morisky scale, **rx**-prescription, **SBP**-systolic blood pressure, **TABS-** tool for adherence behavior screening, **U.K**.-United Kingdom

		FRED Dispens e, OMRO N HEM- 790IT, MS, TABS	random- isation service, Barthel Index	CESDS, MA SE scale, behavior contract, BPTru	instrume nt for scoring clinical pharmac y services, 4-item instrume nt used to measure MA.	scale, multiple surveys	readings, mercury sphygmom anometers	mano- meter		
Duration of IN	9 mos.	6 mos.	12 mos.		9 mos.	6 mos.	12 mos.	11 weeks	6 mos.	12 mos.
Gen. pop		х	х		х					
					Interven	tion				
MI	х		х	х			х			х
CBT							х		х	
Telephone calls			x	x	x				х	x
In-office visits	х		x		x			х		
Change/add rx	х						х			
Med. adh	х					х		х	х	x
MEMS	х						х	Х		x
					Outcon	nes				
Beh. change			x				x		х	

Af. Am.- African American, BAMQ- beliefs about medications questionnaire, beh. change-behavior change, bl.-blinding, CBMC-community based medical center, CCI-charlson comorbidity index, CESDS-center for epidemiologic studies depression scale, ch- change, contam.-contamination bias DBP-diastolic blood pressure, DRAW- drug adherence work-up tool, EMD-electronic monitoring device, f-female, IN-intervention, Med. adh-medication adherence, MI-motivational interviewing, C.B. – contamination bias, CBT-cognitive behavioral therapy, gen. pop.- generalizable population, hads-hospital anxiety and depression scale, impr. MA- improved medication adherence, life mod. - lifestyle modification, LOE- level of evidence, N-sample size, ns- not stated, perc. incr. QOL- patient's perceived increase in quality of life, pharm-pharmacy, pr. care-primary care, m-male, MAQ-medication adherence questionnaire, MEMS- Medication event monitoring system, MMAS-Morisky medication adherence scale mos.- months, MS- Morisky scale, rx-prescription, SBP-systolic blood pressure, TABS- tool for adherence behavior screening, U.K.-United Kingdom

SBP	х	х			х	х	х	х	х
DBP					х	х	х		
Perc. Incr.				х					
QOL									
Impr. MA	х	х	х				х	х	x
Reduce stroke			х						
Reduce lipids			х						х

Af. Am.- African American, BAMQ- beliefs about medications questionnaire, **beh. change**-behavior change, **bl**.-blinding, **CBMC**-community based medical center, **CCI**-charlson comorbidity index, **CESDS**-center for epidemiologic studies depression scale, **ch**- change, **contam**.-contamination bias **DBP**-diastolic blood pressure, **DRAW**- drug adherence work-up tool, **EMD**-electronic monitoring device, **f**-female, **IN**-intervention, **Med. adh**-medication adherence, **MI**- motivational interviewing, **C.B.** – contamination bias, **CBT**-cognitive behavioral therapy, **gen. pop.-** generalizable population, **hads**-hospital anxiety and depression scale, **impr. MA**- improved medication adherence, **life mod.** - lifestyle modification, **LOE**- level of evidence, **N**-sample size, **ns**- not stated, **perc. incr. QOL**- patient's perceived increase in quality of life, **pharm**-pharmacy, **pr. care**-primary care, **m**-male, **MAQ**-medication adherence questionnaire, **MEMS**- Medication event monitoring system, **MMAS**-Morisky medication adherence scale **mos.-** months, **MS**- Morisky scale, **rx**-prescription, **SBP**-systolic blood pressure, **TABS-** tool for adherence behavior screening, **U.K.**-United Kingdom

Appendix C

Figure 1

Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory



Appendix D

Budget Plan

Direct Costs:

1. Survey monkey \$384/year (\$32/mo.) (planning to survey participants online for anonymity)

Indirect Costs:

1. Project leader's time (\$33 (RN base pay) x 420 hours (number from DNP 712 credit requirements)) =\$13,860.00

Total Cost:

1. \$14,244.00 (though site will be saving time, money, and energy by addressing staff health without having to pay for RN contributions)



Appendix E

Appendix F

Table 2

Summary Statistics Table for Interval and Ratio Variables

Variable	М	SD	n	SE_M	Min	Max	Skewness	Kurtosis
PRE excessive worry or anxiety	24.90	133.18	30	24.31	0.00	730.00	5.20	25.03
PRE feeling_upset_downhearted_or_blue	0.47	1.01	30	0.18	0.00	3.00	1.84	1.69
PRE frustration irritation or close to losing your temper	0.63	1.27	30	0.23	0.00	6.00	2.86	8.85
PRE general_fatigue_tiredness_or_weakness	0.37	0.49	30	0.09	0.00	1.00	0.55	-1.69
PRE I am confident that I could deal efficiently with unexpected events	3.33	0.61	30	0.11	2.00	4.00	-0.28	-0.66
PRE I can solve most problems if I invest the necessary effort	3.30	0.60	30	0.11	2.00	4.00	-0.18	-0.60
PRE No matter what comes my way I'm usually able to handle it	3.33	0.48	30	0.09	3.00	4.00	0.71	-1.50
PRE trouble falling asleep or staying asleep	1.28	1.36	29	0.25	0.00	3.00	0.27	-1.73
PRE under or overweight	0.70	0.47	30	0.09	0.00	1.00	-0.87	-1.24
PRE When I am confronted with a problem I can usually find several solutions	3.30	0.65	30	0.12	2.00	4.00	-0.37	-0.71

Note. '-' denotes the sample size is too small to calculate statistic.

Appendix G

Table 3

Summary Statistics Table for Interval and Ratio Variables

Variable	М	SD	п	SE_M	Min	Max	Skewness	Kurtosis
POST feeling upset downhearted or blue	0.46	0.96	28	0.18	0.00	3.00	1.76	1.51
POST frustration irritation or close to losing your temper	0.32	0.72	28	0.14	0.00	3.00	2.44	5.51
POST general fatigue tiredness or weakness	0.29	0.46	28	0.09	0.00	1.00	0.95	-1.10
POST I am confident that I could deal efficiently with unexpected events	3.25	0.52	28	0.10	2.00	4.00	0.30	-0.31
POST I can solve most problems if I invest the necessary effort	3.39	0.50	28	0.09	3.00	4.00	0.44	-1.81
POST No matter what comes my way I'm usually able to handle it	3.29	0.53	28	0.10	2.00	4.00	0.18	-0.56
POST trouble falling asleep or staying asleep	27.18	137.75	28	26.03	0.00	730.00	5.00	23.03
POST under or overweight	0.68	0.48	28	0.09	0.00	1.00	-0.76	-1.42
POST When I am confronted with a problem I can usually find several solutions	3.36	0.56	28	0.11	2.00	4.00	-0.07	-0.82
POST excessive worry or anxiety	26.46	137.88	28	26.06	0.00	730.00	5.00	23.04

Note. '-' denotes the sample size is too small to calculate statistic.