



UNIVERSITÀ
CATTOLICA
del Sacro Cuore

Dottorato di Ricerca in Studi Umanistici. Tradizione e Contemporaneità
Ciclo XXXII
S.S.D: L-ART/06

**CINEMA AS A TIME LAB:
A THEORETICAL, METHODOLOGICAL, AND EMPIRICAL
EXPLORATION OF TIME PERCEPTION IN CINEMA**

Tesi di Dottorato di: Federica Cavaletti

Matricola: 4611778

Anno Accademico: 2018 / 2019

Tutor: Ch.mo Prof. Ruggero Eugeni

Coordinatore: Ch.ma Prof.ssa Cinzia Susanna Bearzot

If in activity, unfolding in the empty surroundings, I am almost a whole,
if in expectation I am reduced to my most simple expression and under the threat
of being swallowed up by ambient becoming am almost nothing,
it is probably thanks to the combined action of activity and expectation that I am what I am,
that is to say, a limited being living in the world and capable of acting
and standing the blows coming from outside.

(E. Minkowski, *Lived time*)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	9
PART 1 – FRAMEWORK AND ISSUES IN THE FIELD	12
1. TIME PERCEPTION: NAVIGATING THE LITERATURE	13
1.1 Framing the topic	13
1.1.1 Time as it is experienced, rather than as it is	13
1.1.2 An experience-oriented, hands-on approach	18
1.1.3 Time in natural and mediated settings	22
1.2 Some useful distinctions	25
1.2.1 Different time scales, different mechanisms of timing	25
1.2.2 Explicit and implicit timing (and beyond)	30
1.2.3 Prospective and retrospective timing	33
1.2.4 Duration estimation and time passage perception	37
1.2.5 A map of time <i>perceptions</i>	39
1.3 Experimental procedures: how to assess subjective time	41
1.3.1 How to assess duration estimation	41
1.3.2 How to assess time passage perception	45
1.3.3 Duration estimation methods are not time passage perception methods	47
2. TIME PERCEPTION: THEORETICAL MODELS	51
2.1 Internal clock models	51
2.2 Time and cognitive processes	60
2.2.1 Attentional models	60
2.2.2 Information processing and memory: storage-size and contextual change	65
2.2.3 Reworking contextual change: cognitive change and segmentation	69
2.2.4 Expectancy model(s)	73
2.2.5 A provisional predictive map	79
2.3 Time and emotions	82
2.3.1 How emotions affect time: some starting explorations	82
2.3.2 How emotions affect time: contemporary issues and perspectives	85
2.3.3 An updated predictive map	90
2.4 Time, body sense, and movement	92
2.4.1 Bodily states, self-awareness, and temporal awareness	92
2.4.2 Time-based actions, action-based time	97
2.4.3 Mirroring time	101
2.4.4 Predicting time	105
2.4.5 One last predictive map	108

2.5 Notes on time passage perception	110
3. TIME PERCEPTION IN CINEMA AND AUDIOVISUAL MEDIA.....	116
 3.1 Cinematic time as a social phenomenon.....	116
 3.1.1 Shared technologies, shared time	117
 3.1.2 Cinema as a technology of shared time	124
 3.2 Cinematic time in theory	132
 3.2.1 Cinema <i>is</i> time: notes on Deleuze	132
 3.2.2 Analytic and semiotic approaches	138
 3.2.3 Hermeneutic and phenomenological approaches: towards the experience of time	147
 3.3 Cinematic time in experiments.....	153
 3.3.1 Event Segmentation Theory (and beyond)	154
 3.3.2 Time perception in cinema (as well)	162
 3.3.3 <i>Cinematic</i> time perception: de Wied et al. (1992) and Manoudi (2015)	165
PART 2 - EXPERIMENTS	173
GENERAL INTRODUCTION AND RESEARCH PLAN	174
I. Goals in the field	174
I.I Time perception in natural settings	174
I.II Time perception in cinema and audiovisual media.....	175
I.III Social and shared time.....	175
II. Goals in the remaining chapters of the present dissertation	175
II.I Methodological framework: Neurofilmology	176
II.II Chapters 4-6 (Experimental research).....	179
II.III Chapter 8 (Appendix)	181
4. MILAN EXPERIMENT (1)	182
 4.1 An experiment on SEEM_IT: introduction	182
 4.2 Experimental design: materials and methods	186
 4.2.1 Stimuli: types of represented action	186
 4.2.2 Stimuli: styles of editing.....	188
 4.2.3 Protocol and measures of assessment.....	189
 4.2.4 Notes on design: shooting details and variables control.....	190
 4.2.5 Analysis	191
 4.3 Results.....	192
 4.3.1 Emotional involvement.....	192
 4.3.2 Duration estimation	193
 4.3.3 Time passage perception	194
 4.3.4 Action speed perception	195
 4.3.5 Eye movements.....	196

4.4 Discussion	196
4.4.1 Style of editing	197
4.4.2 Type of represented action	198
4.4.3 Theoretical contributions to the existing literature	198
4.5 Further directions.....	201
4.5.1 What's the role of action again?.....	202
4.5.2 What about spectators' movement?.....	202
4.5.3 Why don't we get emotional?.....	203
4.5.4 Which time is it?	203
4.6 A complementary direction in methodology.....	204
5. AARHUS EXPERIMENT.....	206
5.1 Micro-phenomenology, via neurophenomenology	206
5.2 An experiment on the experiment on SEEM_IT: introduction	212
5.3 Experimental design: materials and methods	214
5.3.1 Stimuli: types of represented action	214
5.3.2 Protocol and measures of assessment.....	214
5.3.4 Notes on design: video clips duration and mode of tasks administration.....	216
5.3.5 Analysis	217
5.4 Results.....	218
5.4.1 A sample diachronic structure	218
5.4.2 Task evaluation based on previous expectations	225
5.4.3 Task solution proper: duration estimation	227
5.4.4 Task solution proper: time passage perception.....	233
5.5 Discussion	236
5.5.1 Duration estimation and time passage perception, and their comparison.....	236
5.5.2 More on the role of action	238
5.5.3 Theoretical contributions to the existing literature	239
5.5.4 Methodological contributions to the existing literature.....	242
5.5.5 Notes on micro-phenomenology.....	246
6. MILAN EXPERIMENT (2).....	248
6.1 An experiment on the neurophysiology of SEEM_IT: introduction	248
6.2 Experimental design: materials and methods	251
6.2.1 Stimuli	252
6.2.3 Manipulation: tDCS.....	252
6.2.4 Protocol and measures of assessment.....	253
6.2.5 Analysis	254

6.3 Results	254
6.3.1 Emotional involvement.....	254
6.3.2 Duration estimation	255
6.3.3 Time passage perception	256
6.3.4 Action speed perception	257
6.4 Discussion	257
6.4.1 Duration estimation	257
6.4.2 Time passage perception and action speed perception	259
6.4.3 Emotional involvement.....	259
6.4.4 Theoretical contributions to the existing literature	259
PART 3 – CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER DIRECTIONS	262
7. CONCLUSIONS OF THE EXPERIMENTAL RESEARCH.....	263
7.1 Theoretical achievements.....	264
7.1.1 Cinematic inflections of time perception.....	265
7.1.2 The nature of duration estimation and time passage perception.....	266
7.1.3 Motor components of time perception.....	267
7.2 Methodological achievements.....	268
7.2.1 Improvement of the duration estimation and time passage perception tasks.....	268
7.2.2 A truly neurophenomenological approach.....	269
8. APPENDIX: SUBJECTIVE TIME IN DEPRESSION	272
8.1 What is depressive time	272
8.1.1 Early phenomenological psychiatry: Minkowski and Straus	273
8.1.2 Contemporary phenomenological psychiatry: Fuchs and Ratcliffe.....	276
8.2 How to use depressive time	280
8.2.1 Depressive time as methodological riddle	280
8.2.2 Depressive time as cinematographic object.....	285
8.2.3 Depressive time as (un)shared time	292
8.3 General conclusions, and further directions	294
REFERENCES.....	298

Abstract

This dissertation presents the theoretical, methodological and experimental results of a doctoral research concerning how time perception is modulated in the context of the experience of cinema, and of audiovisual media more broadly.

The research dealt with the need to strike a balance between the broad scope of the field of time perception in its manifold facets and the necessarily more restricted scope of investigation defined by the empirical approach mostly adopted. The first part of the research accounted for the former; the second one focused on the latter; the third one set to bridge them again. The contents of the corresponding three parts of the present dissertation will be now illustrated synthetically.

The first part of this work [*Part 1 - Framework and issues in the field*] provides a fundamental theoretical introduction to the topic of time perception, as it has been investigated both in natural settings and in the specific mediated setting of cinema.

Chapter 1 [*Time perception: navigating the literature*] carves out the precise angle and object of this work: namely, a phenomenological and psychological inquiry into the topic of time perception as a subjective construct. Furthermore, it introduces the necessary tools to allow a smooth navigation of the literature on the topic. Most crucially, it underlines the distinction – within the domain of time perception – between the two aspects of duration estimation and time passage perception.

Chapter 2 [*Time perception: theoretical models*] presents the main theoretical models of subjective time perception. With regard to duration estimation, it reviews theories that have connected time perception to several factors: a dedicated internal clock; cognitive dimensions like attention, information processing and memory; emotions; bodily states and movements; and expectancies. Among these factors, the chapter devotes particular attention to movement. Lastly, in the absence of a structured dedicated literature, the chapter provides some notes about time passage perception.

Chapter 3 [*Time perception in cinema and audiovisual media*] narrows down the scope of investigation to time perception in the specific context cinema and of audiovisual media. The chapter first explains how cinematic time perception can be conceived as a shared and social phenomenon. Then, it goes back to cinematic time perception as an individual phenomenon, and it explores how it has been tackled both in theory and by means of experiments. With regard to the latter aspect, it comes to remark the lack of empirical studies addressing cinematic time perception in a strict sense: namely, in terms of how it can be influenced by the cinematic language and its stylistic solutions. By doing so, it paves the way to the second part of this work.

This second part [*Part 2 – Experiments*] reports about and discusses the three experiments conducted during the course of the doctoral research as a whole. More precisely, it comprises a first opening experiment, and two more experiments that were conceived as follow-ups of the former. The common thread connecting the three studies was cinematic time perception in the strict sense outlined above. Some of them, however, also proved insightful with regard to time perception in a broader sense.

Chapter 4 [*Milan experiment (1)*] introduces our first experiment on what we called SEEM_IT (Subjective Experience and Estimation of Moving-Image Time). In this behavioural experiment, we studied the effects on duration estimation and time passage perception of two medium-specific cinematic variables: namely, the type of represented action and the style of editing adopted.

The experiment straightforwardly pointed at the role of the style of editing, and most notably of a fast-paced style editing, in affecting both aspects of time perception: a faster pace of editing was associated with longer duration estimates and with the impression of time “flying”.

By taking into account the role of the represented action as well, the experiment also allowed to put forward a working “readability” hypothesis that crucially connects time perception (and duration estimation particularly) to movement. The hypothesis extends the embodied simulation account to timing processes and it relates their effectiveness to the degree of readability of the events to be timed. At the same time, the experiment pointed at two open issues: a) the empirical validation of the hypothesis just sketched; and b) the precise nature of duration estimation and time passage perception.

Chapter 5 [*Aarhus experiment*] presents the first follow-up of the first Milan experiment. This study took on the issue b) above. By adopting a method called micro-phenomenology in a meta-methodological key, it explored the processes underlying duration estimation and time passage perception as they are elicited by the respective tasks.

These processes proved to be radically different from each other. Duration estimation was revealed as a cognitively effortful, multi-faceted and articulated process requiring the adoption of several complementary strategies of assessment. On the contrary, time passage perception was described as a less cognitively effortful and more straightforward process, mostly leading to satisfactory final judgements by means of one single strategy of assessment.

Furthermore, the experiment provided some methodological insights concerning the strength and biases of the duration estimation and time passage perception tasks respectively.

Chapter 6 [*Milan experiment (2)*] presents the second follow-up of the first Milan experiment. This study took on the issue a) above. By using a neurostimulation technique (i.e. tDCS), it tested the involvement of a specific brain area (i.e. the Supplementary Motor Area, or SMA) in timing – as this is one of the points which the “readability” hypothesis expressed above hinges on.

The experiment confirmed unambiguously the role of the SMA in timing. By doing so, it indirectly provided empirical support to the “readability” hypothesis as well, and it contributed to refine it.

The third part of this work [*Part 3 – Conclusions and further directions*] summarizes the most prominent findings of the experimental research conducted and re-opens the dissertation to some of its possible future developments beyond cinematic time perception in the strict sense specified above.

Chapter 7 [*Conclusions of the experimental research*] shows how the main findings of the overall experimental research conducted form a coherent web of theoretical and methodological outcomes. The main theoretical contributions of the research concerned: 1. The cinematic inflections of time perception (first Milan experiment); 2. The nature of duration estimation and time passage perception (Aarhus experiment); and 3. The motor components of time perception, highlighted by means of the “readability” hypothesis (first and second Milan experiment).

On their side, the main methodological contributions of the research consisted in: 1. The analysis and improvement of the duration estimation and time passage perception tasks (Aarhus experiment); and 2. A lesson in how a neurophenomenological approach can actually be put into practice (Aarhus experiment and second Milan experiment, in light of the interaction of their respective findings).

Lastly, Chapter 8 [*Appendix: subjective time in depression*] discusses the heuristic potential of a specific object within the broad field of time perception: namely, depressive time.

After providing a fundamental introduction to this complex construct, the chapter addresses depressive time: as a methodological riddle; as the object of cinematic representations; and as a peculiar case of (un)shared time. By doing so, it links back and proposes possible interpretive keys to some of the issues raised in the first part of the dissertation that could not be explored by means of the experimental methods adopted in the second part of it.

The chapter ends with an integrated final picture comprising both the general conclusions of the present dissertation, and its possible further directions.