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#### Abstract

For $\emptyset \neq P \subseteq \mathbb{P}$, let $D_{P}$ be the arithmetic subderivative function with respect to $P$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$, let $\zeta_{D_{P}}$ be the function defined by the Dirichlet series of $D_{P}$, and let $\sigma_{D_{P}}$ denote its abscissa of convergence. Under certain assumptions concerning $s$ and $P$, we present asymptotic formulas for the partial sums of $\zeta_{D_{P}}(s)$ and show that $\sigma_{D_{P}}=2$. We also express $\zeta_{D_{P}}(s), s>2$, using the Riemann zeta function.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. There exists a unique sequence of nonnegative integers (with only finitely many positive terms)

$$
\left(\nu_{p}(n)\right)_{p \in \mathbb{P}}
$$

where $\mathbb{P}$ stands for the set of primes, such that

$$
n=\prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} p^{\nu_{p}(n)}
$$

We use the approach mostly from $[1,3,5,7]$. Let $\emptyset \neq P \subseteq \mathbb{P}$. The arithmetic subderivative of $n$ with respect to $P$ is

$$
D_{P}(n)=n_{P}^{\prime}:=\sum_{p \in P} n_{p}^{\prime},
$$

where $n_{p}^{\prime}$ is the arithmetic partial derivative of $n$ with respect to $p \in \mathbb{P}$, defined by

$$
D_{p}(n)=n_{p}^{\prime}=n_{\{p\}}^{\prime}:=\frac{\nu_{p}(n)}{p} n .
$$
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The arithmetic derivative of $n$ is

$$
D(n)=n^{\prime}:=n_{\mathbb{P}}^{\prime}=\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} n_{p}^{\prime} .
$$

We define the (arithmetic) logarithmic subderivative, logarithmic partial derivative, and logarithmic derivative of $n$, respectively, as follows:

$$
\operatorname{ld}_{P}(n)=\frac{n_{P}^{\prime}}{n}, \quad \operatorname{ld}_{p}(n)=\frac{n_{p}^{\prime}}{n}, \quad \operatorname{ld}(n)=\frac{n^{\prime}}{n} .
$$

Let $f$ be an arithmetic function. There exists $\sigma_{f} \in \mathbb{R} \cup\{ \pm \infty\}$ such that its Dirichlet series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^{s}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}
$$

converges if $\Re(s)>\sigma_{f}\left(\Re\right.$ denotes the real part) and diverges if $\Re(s)<\sigma_{f}$ (see [6, p. 108, Theorem 3]). We call $\sigma_{f}$ the abscissa of convergence of this series and define the function $\zeta_{f}$ by

$$
\zeta_{f}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^{s}}, \quad \Re(s)<\sigma_{f} .
$$

For example, let the function $u$ be identically one. The Riemann zeta function is

$$
\zeta(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}}=\zeta_{u}(s), \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{u}=1
$$

Our paper originates from three results due to Barbeau [1]. The first one gives an upper bound for $n^{\prime}$ using $n$ :

Lemma 1 (see [1, p. 118] or [7, Theorem 9]). Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Then

$$
n^{\prime} \leq \frac{n \log n}{2 \log 2}
$$

In the next theorem, the first and second formula describe the asymptotic behavior of

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \operatorname{ld}(n) \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} n^{\prime}:
$$

Theorem 1 (see [1, pp. 119-121] or [7, Theorem 24]). Asymptotically,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \operatorname{ld}(n)=C x+O(\log x \log \log x)
$$

and

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} n^{\prime}=C \frac{x^{2}}{2}+O\left(x^{1+\delta}\right)
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p(p-1)}=0.749 \ldots, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\delta>0$ is arbitrary.

In the proofs cited above, actually $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, but they can easily be extended to hold for $x \in \mathbb{R}, x \geq 1$.

Our goal is to find asymptotic formulas for the partial sums of $\zeta_{D_{P}}(s)$, in other words, for the sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{n^{\prime}}{n^{s}} \text { and } \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{n_{p}^{\prime}}{n^{s}} \text { and, more generally, for } \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{n_{P}^{\prime}}{n^{s}}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s \in \mathbb{R}$. As a corollary, we will see that $\sigma_{D}=\sigma_{D_{p}}=\sigma_{D_{P}}=2$. For $s=1$ and $s=0$, the formulas concerning the first sum are already given in Theorem 1. Lastly, we express $\zeta_{D_{P}}(s), s>2$, using the values of $\zeta$.

Our main tool is the following Abel's summation formula:
Lemma 2 (see [6, p. 3, Theorem 1]). Let $\left(a_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of complex numbers, let $x>1$, and let $g:[1, x] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuously differentiable function. Then

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} a_{n} g(n)=\left(\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} a_{n}\right) g(x)-\int_{1}^{x}\left(\sum_{1 \leq n \leq t} a_{n}\right) g^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t .
$$

## 2. Partial sums of $\zeta_{D}(2)$

In this section, we consider the first sum of (2) with $s=2$. We obtain the following result:

Theorem 2. Asymptotically,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{n^{\prime}}{n^{2}}=C \log x+O(1)
$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 2 to

$$
a_{n}=\frac{n^{\prime}}{n}, \quad g(x)=\frac{1}{x}
$$

we obtain

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{n^{\prime}}{n^{2}}=\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{n^{\prime}}{n} \frac{1}{n}=H(x)+K(x)
$$

where

$$
H(x)=\left(\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{n^{\prime}}{n}\right) \frac{1}{x}, \quad K(x)=\int_{1}^{x}\left(\sum_{1 \leq n \leq t} \frac{n^{\prime}}{n}\right) \frac{1}{t^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

By Theorem 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=C+O\left(x^{-1} \log x \log \log x\right)=O(1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(x) & =\int_{1}^{x}(C t+O(\log t \log \log t)) \frac{1}{t^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\int_{1}^{x} C \frac{1}{t} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{1}^{x} O\left(t^{-2} \log t \log \log t\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =C \log x+O\left(\int_{1}^{x} t^{-2} \log t \log \log t \mathrm{~d} t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, since

$$
\log t \log \log t=O\left(t^{\delta}\right)
$$

for any $\delta \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
K(x)=C \log x+O\left(\int_{1}^{x} t^{\delta-2} \mathrm{~d} t\right) & =C \log x+O\left(x^{\delta-1}\right)+O(1) \\
& =C \log x+O(1) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, the claim follows from (3) and (4).
Corollary 1. It holds that $\sigma_{D}=2$.
Proof. By Lemma 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \frac{n^{\prime}}{n^{s}} \leq \frac{n \log n}{2 n^{s} \log 2}=\frac{\log n}{2 n^{s-1} \log 2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $s>2$, then the series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log n}{n^{s-1}}
$$

converges. By using (5), we conclude that the series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{\prime}}{n^{s}}
$$

converges, too. Hence $\sigma_{D} \geq 2$. On the other hand, since by Theorem 2 the series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{\prime}}{n^{2}}
$$

diverges, we have $\sigma_{D} \leq 2$.
3. Partial sums of $\zeta_{D}(s), 1 \neq s<2$

Next, we study the first sum of (2) in the case of $1 \neq s<2$.

Theorem 3. Let $1 \neq s<2$. Asymptotically,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{n^{\prime}}{n^{s}}=\frac{C}{2-s} x^{2-s}+R(x)
$$

where $R(x)$ is defined as follows: If $1<s<2$, then $R(x)=O(1)$. If $s<1$, then $R(x)=O\left(x^{\delta-(s-1)}\right)$ for any $\delta>0$.

Proof. Assume first that $1<s<2$. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2 but take

$$
g(x)=\frac{1}{x^{s-1}} .
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{n^{\prime}}{n^{s}}=H(x)+K(x)
$$

where

$$
H(x)=C x^{2-s}+O\left(x^{1-s} \log x \log \log x\right)=C x^{2-s}+O(1)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(x) & =\int_{1}^{x}(C t+O(\log t \log \log t)) \frac{s-1}{t^{s}} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =C(s-1) \int_{1}^{x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{t^{s-1}}+(s-1) \int_{1}^{x} O\left(t^{-s} \log t \log \log t\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =C \frac{s-1}{2-s} x^{2-s}+O(1)+O\left(\int_{1}^{x} t^{-s} \log t \log \log t \mathrm{~d} t\right) \\
& =C \frac{s-1}{2-s} x^{2-s}+O(1)+O\left(\int_{1}^{x} t^{\delta-s} \mathrm{~d} t\right) \\
& =C \frac{s-1}{2-s} x^{2-s}+O(1)+O\left(x^{\delta-(s-1)}\right)+O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can restrict ourselves to $0<\delta \leq s-1$. Then $\delta-(s-1) \leq 0$, which implies that

$$
K(x)=C \frac{s-1}{2-s} x^{2-s}+O(1)
$$

and further,

$$
H(x)+K(x)=C\left(1+\frac{s-1}{2-s}\right) x^{2-s}+O(1)=\frac{C}{2-s} x^{2-s}+O(1)
$$

completing the proof in this case.
If $s<1$, then

$$
K(x)=C \frac{s-1}{2-s} x^{2-s}+O\left(x^{\delta-(s-1)}\right),
$$

and we can proceed as above.
Note that this theorem is a generalization of the latter part of Theorem 1; just set $s=0$.

## 4. Partial sums of $\zeta_{D_{p}}(1)$

We show that the asymptotic formulas for the partial sums of $\zeta_{D}(s)$ given in Theorems 1-3 have variants for those of $\zeta_{D_{p}}(s)$. In these variants, the coefficient $C$ given in (1) is replaced by $C_{p}$ defined as

$$
C_{p}=\frac{1}{p(p-1)}, \quad p \in \mathbb{P}
$$

Note that $C=\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} C_{p}$.
We begin the study of the partial sums of $\zeta_{D_{p}}(s)$ with $s=1$.
Theorem 4. Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Asymptotically,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \operatorname{ld}_{p}(n)=C_{p} x+O(\log x) .
$$

Proof. It is easy to see that it is enough to consider the sum

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{ld}_{p}(k)=\operatorname{ld}_{p} \prod_{k=1}^{n} k=\operatorname{ld}_{p}(n!)
$$

We modify the proof of the first part of Theorem 1. By [2, Theorem 416],

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!=\prod_{q \in \mathbb{P}} q^{\mu_{q}(n)}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{q}(n)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{q^{m}}\right\rfloor=\sum_{m=1}^{\alpha(n)}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{q^{m}}\right\rfloor, \quad \alpha(n)=\left\lfloor\frac{\log n}{\log 2}\right\rfloor . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, denoting by $\stackrel{(i)}{=}$ that the equation follows from the formula $(i)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ld}_{p}(n!) & \stackrel{(6)}{=} \operatorname{ld}_{p} \prod_{q \in \mathbb{P}} q^{\mu_{q}(n)}=\frac{\mu_{p}(n)}{p} \stackrel{(7)}{=} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{m=1}^{\alpha(n)}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{m}}\right\rfloor \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{m=1}^{\alpha(n)} \frac{n}{p^{m}}+\frac{1}{p} \sum_{m=1}^{\alpha(n)} O(1) \stackrel{(7)}{=} n \sum_{m=2}^{\alpha(n)+1} \frac{1}{p^{m}}+O(\log n) \\
& =n \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{m}}-n \sum_{m=\alpha(n)+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{m}}+O(\log n) \\
& =C_{p} n-\frac{n}{p^{\alpha(n)+1}(p-1)}+O(\log n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to study the complexity of

$$
A(n)=\frac{n}{p^{\alpha(n)+1}(p-1)}
$$

Since

$$
p^{\alpha(n)+1} \geq 2^{\alpha(n)+1}>n
$$

by (7), it follows that $A(n)=O(1)$, and the proof is complete.

## 5. Partial sums of $\zeta_{D_{p}}(s)$ and $\zeta_{D_{P}}(s)$

In this section, we continue by studying the second sum of $(2)$, where $1 \neq s \leq 2$.
We first assume that $s=2$.
Theorem 5. Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Asymptotically,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{n_{p}^{\prime}}{n^{2}}=C_{p} \log x+O(1)
$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 2. We apply Lemma 2 to

$$
a_{n}=\frac{n_{p}^{\prime}}{n}, \quad g(x)=\frac{1}{x},
$$

and use Theorem 4.
Corollary 2. Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Then $\sigma_{D_{p}}=2$.
Proof. Clearly, $0 \leq n_{p}^{\prime} \leq n^{\prime}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Since $\sigma_{D}=2$ by Corollary 1, we have $\sigma_{D_{p}} \geq 2$. On the other hand, since by Theorem 5 the series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n_{p}^{\prime}}{n^{2}}
$$

diverges, it follows that $\sigma_{D_{p}} \leq 2$.
Next, we consider the case of $1 \neq s<2$.
Theorem 6. Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $1 \neq s<2$. Asymptotically,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{n_{p}^{\prime}}{n^{s}}=\frac{C_{p}}{2-s} x^{2-s}+R(x),
$$

where $R(x)$ is as in Theorem 3.
Proof. The proof is a simple modification of that of Theorem 3.
Corollary 3 (see Theorem 1). Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Then

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} n_{p}^{\prime}=C_{p} \frac{x^{2}}{2}+O\left(x^{\delta+1}\right)
$$

for any $\delta>0$.
Our results about $\zeta_{D_{p}}(s)$ can be extended to concern $\zeta_{D_{P}}(s)$ if $P \subset \mathbb{P}$ is nonempty and finite (or if $P=\mathbb{P}$, see Theorem 3). Then $C_{p}$ is replaced by

$$
C_{P}=\sum_{p \in P} \frac{1}{p(p-1)} .
$$

For example, Theorem 4 and Theorem $6(s=0)$ extend to

$$
\sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \operatorname{ld}_{P}(n)=C_{P} x+O(\log x), \quad \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} n_{P}^{\prime}=C_{P} \frac{x^{2}}{2}+O\left(x^{\delta+1}\right)
$$

and Corollary 2 extends to $\sigma_{D_{P}}=2$.

## 6. Reducing $\zeta_{D_{P}}$ to $\zeta$

It is natural to expect that $\zeta_{D_{P}}$ has a close relation to the Riemann zeta function $\zeta$. For $\zeta_{D_{p}}$, this relation is already known in the following lemma (originally with different terminology and notation):

Lemma 3 (see [4, Lemma 6]). Let $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $s>2$. Then

$$
\zeta_{D_{p}}(s)=\frac{\zeta(s-1)}{p^{s}-p}
$$

We extend this to $\zeta_{D_{P}}$.
Theorem 7. Let $\emptyset \neq P \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ and $s>2$. Then

$$
\zeta_{D_{P}}(s)=\zeta(s-1) \sum_{p \in P} \frac{1}{p^{s}-p}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{D_{P}}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n_{P}^{\prime}}{n^{s}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n \sum_{p \in P} \frac{\nu_{p}(n)}{p}}{n^{s}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p \in P} \frac{\nu_{p}(n)}{p n^{s-1}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the series (8) converges and all its terms are nonnegative, we can change the order of summation. Therefore, by the simple calculation and applying Lemma 3 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{D_{P}}(s) & =\sum_{p \in P} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_{p}(n)}{p n^{s-1}}=\sum_{p \in P} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n \nu_{p}(n)}{p n^{s}}=\sum_{p \in P} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n_{p}^{\prime}}{n^{s}} \\
& =\sum_{p \in P} \zeta_{D_{p}}(s)=\sum_{p \in P} \frac{\zeta(s-1)}{p^{s}-p},
\end{aligned}
$$

completing the proof.
In particular,

$$
\zeta_{D}(s)=\zeta(s-1) \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{p^{s}-p}
$$

## 7. Three further questions

In the case of $s \leq 2$, Theorems $1-3$ give asymptotic formulas for the first sum of (2), and Theorems 4-6 give those for the second. What about the case of $s>2$ ? Theorems 3 and 6 with $R(x)=O(1)$ hold also then, but since the main term has a smaller complexity than the error term, we get nothing reasonable out of them. The question about a nontrivial asymptotic formula for the second (and third) sum of (2) in the case of $s>2$ therefore remains open.

As noted at the end of Section 5, our results about $\zeta_{D_{p}}(s)$ can be extended to $\zeta_{D_{P}}(s)$ if $P \subset \mathbb{P}$ is nonempty and finite or if $P=\mathbb{P}$. Can they be extended also if $P \subset \mathbb{P}$ is infinite? This question remains open, too.

Using advanced number-theoretic methods, the error terms of our asymptotic formulas can probably be improved, i.e., their complexity can be decreased. How could this be done? This is our third question.
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