The Mediating Role of Supply Chain Integration on the Relationship among Learning, Agility and on Firm Performance: Evidence from Indonesia

Endah Budiarti^{*1}, Sutrisno^{#2}, Abd Kadir^{#3}, Tri Andjarwati^{#4}, Muhammad Yasin^{#5}

*1.4.5 Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Surabaya #2.3 STIE Pancasetia Banjarmasin Corresponding author: 1endahbudiarti@untag-sby.ac.id 2sutrisno_jose@yahoo.co.id 3audahkadir63@gmail.com 4triandjarwati@untag-sby.ac.id 5muhammadyasin261092@gmail.com

Abstract- The investigation aims to identify the association in learning, agility, organization's performance and supply chain. Data for the research was collected from Indonesia and is comprised of 350 public limited companies registered in Indonesian Stock Exchange (ISE). The results reveal that learning either it is internal or external is influenced significantly by the supply chain integration. Moreover, organizational performance and agility were not influenced by supply chain integration. While internal learning has not influenced agility but influenced firm performance. On the other hand, external learning has influenced organizational performance that is not statistically significant.

Keywords; Supply chain management (SCM), firm performance (FP), internal learning (IL), external learning (EL), supply chain agility (SCA)

1. Introduction

At the end of great depression, consumers have become more demanding and their expectations are increasing. Resultantly, firms are reshaping their visions, strategies and priorities to meet the customer demands [1]. In current situation, it is acknowledged that agility is a significant sustainable element for firms.

Diversified consumer requirements have complicated the supply chain activities and increasing complexities in supply chains are obvious. These complexities can lead to short term shutdowns in manufacturing firms and can harm this sector. Multiple techniques including back-up sourcing, safety stock and agile supply chains, are adopted to counter these complexities and their impacts in SCM [2]. Worldwide, the firms are in dire need of SCA to achieve the FP and to meet the specified dates to deliver the goods. For the survival in global markets, firms need to adopt the essentials of SCA including flexibility, speed and quality [3, 4]. To fulfill the needs of worldwide consumers, firms have to adopt SCA. In an environment where demands are volatile, SCA is a basic need for firms. Moreover, SCA positively effects in an environment where increasing demands cause uncertainty.

Supply chains pertains agility in an environment where learning and cooperation is present among supply chain members. Firms having focus on learning have better performance because they are responsive to change [5]. Learning makes these organizations respond timely to an uncertainty in the environment [2]. Learning of a firm is done either from internal environment or from an external marketplace. Meanwhile, a number of studies are conducted to analyze the supply chain integrations, agility and external learning and their impacts on organizational performance [2, 4, 6]. There is no investigation present till today that has investigated the effects of IL on FP. Moreover, firms have found that integrated supply chains are necessary to monitor and improve the supply chain performance and are necessary for the achievement of SCA. Responsive and agile supply chains are integrated and are formed by the best practices internally and externally. [7] concluded that communication either internal or external increases the effectiveness of a decision; furthermore, the author states that till date no investigation has analyzed the effects of IL on FP. The research work has utilized the information collected from the survey and SEM to investigate the learning, SCI, agility and their effects on FP.

1.1. Conceptual Framework and Hypothesis Development

1.1.1. SCA

[8] have elaborated and discussed the SCA deeply. According to their definition, responsiveness of a firm and its supply chains to an uncertainty or change is termed as agility. Companies themselves and their partners should respond in time to minimize these disorders in supply chains to fulfill the consumer demands smoothly [9]. Automation is the basic tool to achieve speedy and flexible response. Concept of agile in the supply chains emerged after the realization by the firms regarding speed and flexibility of business operations [3].

Flexible supply chains have direct and positive impact on agility [10]. In previous studies, SCA was measured as a secondary variable and measurement tools were consumer behaviors, joint planning and increase/decrease in demand [2]. Demand variations make firms and their supply chain affiliates for a quick response to decrease the lead time of supply [3]. Various investigations has shown that new goods and services are offered by these firms with the help of their partners at strategic level [11, 12]. Coordinated plan is necessary to obtain SCA because products move swiftly among supply chains [11]. Accordingly, agility can be achieved through collective plans and coordinated partnerships [13]. [14] concludes that productivity of the firms increases when lead time decreases. Agility has become essential for the survival of firms in these dynamic circumstances of 21st century. To increase the firm performance, responsive and flexible supply chains are much needed [4].

Hypothesis 1: SCA and FP has a significant association.

1.2. The mediating role of SCA

Different studies have examined a direct association between FP and integrated supply chain processes [15, 16]. More recently, SCI and EL is implicitly connected to FP with a mediating role of SCA [2]. Data or resource management leads firms to versatility [16]. Moreover, [10] established that versatility, agility and information technology are correlated to establish an indirect association between SCI and FP through the SCA mediation role. Recently in a research by [2] suggests that SCI cannot impact the FP without increasing the SCA.

There are many researches on the direct relationship between learning and FP [17, 18]. Organizational learning has been considered essential to FP [19]. Learning enhances organizational abilities for creativity that eventually increase FP. Creativity and agility have thus strengthened direct and indirect associations between FP learning and [20, 21]. Learning can be broadened internally as well as externally through management [5]. [2] The effect of EL on the FP mediated by SCA was considered significant. No research has been conducted to date that examine the relationship between IL and FP mediated by SCA.

H 2*a*: SCA is the mediator on the association among SCI and FP.

H 2*b*: *SCA* is the mediator on the association among EL and *FP*.

H 2c: SCA is the mediator on the association among IL and FP.

1.3. SCI

SCI is the point to which the business interacts with its other supply chain members to create effective and reliable transfers of high-value, high-speed and low-cost knowledge, goods, actions, resources and information [6]. Companies are now working to merge their SC to gain versatility and speed [6]. Assimilation with the SC associates also increases the value of service provided by firm. SCI is said to be highly associated to FP [22]. However, another research demonstrates that SCI has no positive effect on the FP; in the meantime, performance is indirectly impacted by SCA [2]. [2] reveals a strong and positive relationship between SCI and SCA. Integrated Supply chain helps to deliver the highest value to ultimate users consumers in the context of information and resource flow [15].

[2] explored the effect of convergence in the supply chain on FP. Although the direct effect is observed to be negligible, a significant positive implication is observed through a mediator of agility in the supply chain. Several scholars evaluated previous studies and found that SCI can even be assessed by second-order structures such as consumer incorporation, organizational integration and supplier convergence [15]. Internal integration (II) is characterized as consistency of firm [23]. [15] said internal integration dissolves structural constraints that are required to improve company efficiency and agility.

The principle of dynamic volatility suggests that customer and manufacturer convergence represent flexibility outside the enterprise and have significant similarities with II that ultimately affect SCI [15]. The study found that II is an external integration apriorism condition that is manufacturer and consumer integration [24]. Therefore, it is critical for manufacturers to consider environmental challenges and developments that eventually affect resilience and agility, both external and internal. Ironically, a research illustrates that II positively impacts FP while the vendors and consumers have no impact. Additionally, internal and external integration did not impact company performance [15] and that was also the conclusion of the [2]. [25].

H 3*a*: SCI and IL have a significant association.

H 3b: SCI and EL have a significant association.

H 3c: SCI and SCA have a significant association.

H 3*d*: SCI and FP have a significant association.

1.4. EL

EL is characterized as knowledge acquired and generated through joint problem-solving with distributors and consumers [26]. Generally, SCA oriented organizations constantly discovers and then integrates the information about its external environment [27]. In addition, [2] discovered that EL affects FP indirectly through the mediation of SCA. Companies need to learn from outside their organizations to obtain recent data to improve responsiveness, that eventually offers the competitiveness to the firm [27, 28] However, [29] observed that integrated relations among SC associates may strengthen corporate culture of knowledge and thus boost the FP.

H 4*a*: *EL* and *SCA* have a significant association *H* 4*b*: *EL* and *FP* have a significant association.

1.5. IL

IL contributes to professional development of personnel and incorporating the feedback from staff members, which emerge mainly in developing the product [26, 30]. [9] revealed that IL directs to the expansion in target market. IL is a leading source of skillful workforce that eventually improves the firm performance. With the point of view of SCA, IL plays a supportive role. As stated in [29] concluded that integrated supply chain relations increase organizational learning that enhances FP; therefore supply chain relations are usually the outcome of coordination among the firm and its vendors and consumers. Nevertheless, no research has been carried out surrounding the influence of IL on FP and SCA. Therefore, it is proposed that IL can assist the organizations improve their agility and eventually increases the FP.

H 5a: *IL has a significant association with SCA. H 5b*: *IL has a significant association with FP.*

1.6. Research Method

The conceptual frameworks utilized by the study have been taken from previous studies, primarily internal learning, external learning, flexibility in the supply chain, convergence in the supply chain and FP. The research tool (revealed in Appendix 1) has been using a Likert scale with five levels. The firms chosen to receive the survey have been listed in ISE. To facilitate the data collection procedure, first, Identified and contacted the appropriate staff members associated with supply chain using their LinkedIn profiles and email address. In 2019 the samples were sent to 850 people in Indonesian firms via mail. For this study, a total of 350 survey results (a response rate of 41.17%%) have been utilized. It is deemed acceptable in terms of the duration and subject of the study.

AMOS-23 was used to check the researcher's theories. AMOS is an SPSS application and a quantitative software program. This is especially ideal for structural equation modeling.

2. RESULTS

 Table 1 Provides the statistical data of the respondents.

 350 respondents worked in 7 sectors. In the healthcare, hotels and restaurants and automotive sectors, more than

66% of respondents were interested. As far as the managerial role is concerned, more than 79% were actively involved in the administration of supply chains, whereas the other participants, managers and business officers would be capable of understanding supply chain processes and therefore the survey queries. Most of the organizations of the participants (over 67%) had more than 300 workers, and most of the participants (over 52%) have the job duration of 10 years in the relevant firm. This can therefore be argued that all stakeholders of supply chain were fairly familiar with the processes of the supply chain.

2.1. Non-Response Bias

Non-response bias is calculated utilizing the method suggested by [10]. T-test was applied to the 60 initial and 60 later samples reported for statistically meaningful discrepancies in response (the late responses are included as a substitute for non-respondents, stated by [31]. There were no substantial differences in the feedback; hence it is established that the non-response bias will not impact the analysis directly.

2.2. Common Method Bias

The information is obtained from one participant from each firm; and used the single-factor analysis of Harmon [32] to investigate the question of common method bias. Factor analysis was applied, and the findings demonstrated that with 7 factors, 52.9% of the total variability was defined, with odd values higher than 1. The initial variable contributed 25 of the total variation, demonstrating that there is no problem in common method bias.

2.3. Reliability and Validity

The research used five variables — SCA, IL, EL, SCI and FP. The SCA was evaluated by three second-order variables — joint strategy, market responsiveness and reaction to demand. CFA is applied to make sure that the models are accurate and reliable. To test the stability Cronbach's alpha has been utilized. Evaluated factors were considered accurate based on the coefficient values [32-34]. The results are shown in **Table 2**.

The factor loadings are subsequently tested after implementing CFA on the results, assuming that values are higher than 0.5. Factor loading for another EL factor is lower than 0.5; thus, that variable is omitted from the methodology. CFA is utilized to evaluate reliability of model via convergent and discriminating validity. Convergent validity is tested by examining the results of the average variance extracted (AVE) for each factor. Studies propose that appropriate AVE value should be more than 0.40 [35, 36]. Thus, there is convergent validity in the information. Discriminating validity is tested using the Maximum Squared Variance (MSV). Findings revealed that there is discriminating validity as AVE values are higher than MSV [37].

			Administrative	Respons	
Industry	Responses	Percent	Position	es	Percent
Pharmace			Asst. Manager		
utical	89	25.4	of Supply Chain	118	33.7
Food and			Manager of		
beverage	69	19.7	Supply Chain	92	26.3
Automob			Head of Supply	r	
ile	75	21.4	Chain	64	18.3
			Director of		
Textile	42	12	Supply Chain	59	16.9
Chemical					
and					
petroleu			Executive /		
m	24	6.9	Officer	17	4.9
Agricultu			Years in	l	
re	22	6.3	current		
Cement	29	8.3	position		
Number			More than 10		
of			years	101	28.9
Employe					
es			8 -10 years	82	23.4
More					
than 400	185	52.9	4 – 7 years	75	21.4
301 - 400	48	13.7	1 - 3 years	72	20.6
201 - 300	58	16.6	Less than 1 year	20	5.7
101 - 200	34	9.7			
Less than					
100	25	7.1			
Total					
Respons					
es	350				

 Table 1. Respondent Demographics

Table 2. Reliability a	and Validity
------------------------	--------------

Variables		Cronbach's α	AVE	MSV
	# of Items			
Joint Planning	3	0.817	0.586	0.198
Demand				
Response	3	0.767	0.522	0.191
Consumer	3	0.761	0.503	0.240
Response				0.000
External				
Learning	3	0.684	0.416	0.378
Internal				
Learning	6	0.823	0.414	0.343
Supply Chain	3	0.993	0.467	0.378
Integration				0.000
Firm				
Performance	5	0.840	0.489	0.343

To determine the validity the values of χ^2/df were utilized, CFI, GFI and RMSEA. The value of χ^2/df is 2.147 and are in reasonable range. Accordingly, 0.886 and 0.899 are the values for comparative and goodness fit indices, and these values are supported by the hypothesis. 0.067 is the value of RMSEA and demonstrate the GDI. All values are presented in **Table 3**.

480

Table 3 Goodness-of-fit

	Table	5. 0000m	35 01 III	
	χ2/df	GFI	CFI	RMSEA
Values	2.147	0.886	0.889	0.067
Ideal				
Value	<3	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.9	≤ 0.08
Acceptabl				
e	<5	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.8	≤ 0.08
Value				

2.4. Analysis of the Structural Model

Table 4 Shows uniform loadings of objects, all of whom are important and > 0.40. Results equivalent to 0.40 an more are true for the hypothesis of this research. Arbitration is examined in AMOS by means of bootstrap approach.

2.5. Supply chain agility

Table 5 Provides details about the measuring model. It has been found that SCA has no substantial effect on FP. Therefore, hypothesis 1 is not supported. The researchers observed that certain assets have no significance for the firm, that generally supports the result of an insignificant association between SCA and FP. Nevertheless, [2] identified SCA as a distinctive ability of firm, that has been claimed to maximize FP. The results are conflicting with respect to the research hypothesis.

2.6. SCI

SCI and IL have a significant association. There is a significant association between learning and integration of the supply chain [25]. H3a is supported by the results of the research. The findings further suggest that the convergence of the supply chain has a direct and positive effect on external learning argued by [2]. The findings, however, as well endorse H3b. Integration of the supply chain has an insignificant effect on the SCA, therefore H3c is not supported by the results. SCI has a marginal effect on FP, in line with the results of [2].

Table 4. Standardized Item Loadings

		Standardized	
Variables	Items		p-value
		Item Loading	
	DR1	0.72	***
Demand Response	DR2	0.83	***
	DR3	0.68	***
	CR1	0.75	***
Consumer		0.75	
	CR2		***
Responsiveness		0.71	
	CR3		***
	JP1	0.79	***
Joint Planning	JP2	0.86	***
	JP3	0.72	***
	SCI1	0.64	***
Supply chain		0.84	
integration	SCI2		***

CI3 EL1 EL2 EL3 L1 L2	0.62 0.57 0.71 0.71 0.55 0.51	*** *** *** ***
CI3 EL1 EL2 EL3 L1 L2	0.57 0.71 0.71 0.55 0.51	*** *** *** *** *** ***
EL1 EL2 EL3 L1 L2	0.57 0.71 0.71 0.55 0.51	***
EL2 EL3 L1 L2	0.71 0.71 0.55 0.51	***
EL3 L1 L2	0.71 0.55 0.51	***
L1 L2	0.55 0.51	***
L2	0.51	***

L3	0.64	***
	0.63	
L4		***
L5	0.86	***
L6	0.80	***
FP1	0.74	***
FP2	0.72	***
FP3	0.77	***
FP4	0.64	***
FP5	0.66	***
	L4 L5 L6 P1 P2 P3 P4 P5 signific	0.63 L4 L5 0.86 L6 0.80 P1 0.74 P2 0.72 P3 0.77 P4 0.64 Significant relationship, p

			P-	
Structural Path		В		Result
			value	
Direct Relationships				
(H1) Supply chain agil	ity Firm	0.364	0.392	Insignificant
performance				
(H3a) Supply chain int	tegration	0.613	0.001	Significant
Internal learning				
(H3b) Supply chain integration		0.721	0.001	Significant
External learning				
(H3c) Supply chain int	tegration	0.417	0.144	Insignificant
Supply chain agility				
(H3d) Supply chain in	tegration	0.431	0.082	Insignificant
Firm performance				
(H4a) External	Learning			
Supply		0.451	0.118	Insignificant
chain agility				
(H4b) External Learnin	ng Firm	0.040	0.947	Insignificant
performance				
(H5a) Internal Lea	urning 🛛			
Supply		0.097	0.585	Insignificant
chain agility				
(H5b) Internal Learnin	g 🗆 Firm	0.641	0.023	Significant
performance				
Indirect				
Relationships				
(H2a) Supply chain int	tegration	0.688	0.006	Significant
^D Supply chain agility F	⁷ irm			
performance				
(H2b) External	learning			
Supply		0.160	0.196	Insignificant
chain agility Firm				
performance				
(H2c) Internal learning	g ^D Supply	0.034	0.375	Insignificant
chain agility Firm				
performance				

Furthermore, H3d has not been supported in our research. However, a variety of studies [38, 39] revealed that SCI and FP do not a significant association.

2.7. EL and IL

EL had not been observed to be significantly associated with either FP or SCA, and therefore no justification for H4a and H4b was discovered. IL has been shown strong association with FP and has no positive association with SCA. The research also includes the evidence for H5b, but no evidence was found for H5a. The pattern of supporting groups inside the organization to exchange information (which may boost FP) was shown in [40]. In addition, many businesses collaborate with their SC associates to make themselves versatile, agile. [6] indicated that the SCI had not essentially create greater flexibility and efficiency. Research has found that corporate learning is associated with the emergence of new information that allows companies to increase their creativity and FP [19]. Training can therefore help increase flexibility, which in effect improves FP, but it is also depending on other variables as well, e.g. creativity, new information, motivation and the desire for the data sharing [3, 6, 19, 40].

2.8. Indirect relationships

With the mediating function of SCA, the effects of SCI and IL and EL on FP were evaluated. The findings revealed a favorable facilitating effect between SCI and FP in SCA, while endorsing H2a. We also evaluated IL and EL plays a mediation function in SCA. No indirect implications of IL and EL have been identified on FP. So, no support for H2b and H2c has been found. Prior results also endorse the mediating aspect of agility between SCI and FP, as stated above.

3. Discussion

There are three main discoveries of this investigation, and they illustrate that integration of the supply chain has positively association with both internal and external learning, and it has been observed that internal learning is substantially impacting firm productivity. it generates incentives for internal as well as external learning once supply chain trading partners communicate procedures and take bilateral decisions. Therefore, organizations can best represent clients and increase their efficiency as internal learning evolves. it is interesting that both directly and indirectly, external learning has a marginal influence on firm output. Nonetheless, external learning has been of benefit to the organization when there is good communication between supply chain partners and, most significantly, if there is a dedication to learning, honesty, joint goals, shared information and other variables. Both external and internal learning have inconsistent effects and it is evident from the findings.

Research has explored the stabilizing effect of SCA over FP. SCA mediates among SCA, FP, EL and IL. SCI has been observed to have a positive effect on FP along with the mediating role of SCA. All these significant hypotheses are offered by our research and will help the researchers in the near future. Earlier researches have advocated that the SCA is a mediating factor and it has a significant association with SCI and FP.

4. Conclusions

Our study has discovered that SCI has a positive associated with internal and external learning. In comparison, internal learning was strongly associated with firm output. Additionally, integration of the supply chain greatly affects business efficiency as driven by the agility of the company. Managers seeking to enhance business output should consider promoting better interaction, data sharing, and learning within the business. There should also be awareness of increased attempts to incorporate structures with trade associates. When supply chain integration develops, the trading partners become more flexible and quickly respond to any environmental changes. As a result, companies continue getting better market position and income.

It is also expected that external learning will not necessarily be helpful to the firm, based on contributory factors and moderators that may influence relationships. As [25] suggested that a company's performance may not automatically be affected favorably if the company combines operations with supply chain partners. The ethos and desire of the company to understand and gain expertise from its consumers, distributors or internal all affect company output. The design of a learning-oriented culture relies on the top management of the organizations. [27] Collective trust of the firms is necessary for the development of an information sharing corporate environment. Similarly, [41] noted that a learning-oriented environment are necessary for the SCA and all the stakeholders must put their efforts for creating such an environment that supports the open- information sharing.

EL and IL may have a major effect on sensitivity and versatility and may not necessarily have a significant effect on the FP. Firms must produce new skills, creativity, confidence building, and desire to share ideas and knowledge. [42] suggested that learning-oriented entities share four variables: learning dedication, common vision, open-mindedness and the exchange of intraorganizational expertise. [17] observed that corporate success relies not only on creativity and learning in the business, as well as on expertise in information management and change. Therefore, if companies need to improve their performance, the top management needs to set up a learning-oriented operation. Management should promote a culture of information sharing, inside the company to enable the supply chain partners to share all the relevant information for the improvement of SCA. This will facilitate the information sharing process among all the stakeholders and will lead to better FP and SCI.

4.1. Future Research Directions

The research concluded that no positive association between the SCA and FP, whereas other findings at least proposed for such a relation to exist. Clearly, to check these two factors, additional analysis is needed. Although this research explored the facilitating role SCA on FP, with respect to SCI, EL and IL, future studies could include a comparison of the resource-based view (RBV). the practice-based view (PBV), and the mixed-based view (MBV) on firm efficiency. In [43], RBV notes that the specific capacities of a business will improve the FP and SCA. Another research challenged the RBV and suggested an approach based on excessive research work by [44]. [45] suggested that the RBV cannot be used exclusively to describe the performance of a company, so they have suggested the PBV by adding activities and their effect on the output of a company. moreover, it is suggested that the mixed-based view is a different method that can be used to evaluate the effect of supply chain activities on FP. Moderators can be included in the MBV to reveal some performance differences. The MBV theory will be useful in that it would compensate both the FP and the competitive edge as dependent variables for analyzing more concrete results. A further point is that this research only studied Indonesian businesses, so a reasonable expansion will be to interview members of firms from counties like US and UK. Eventually, additional studies may determine industry sector as a control variable.

REFERENCES

- G. Yukl, "How leaders influence organizational effectiveness," The Leadership Quarterly, Vol. 19, pp. 708-722, 2008.
- [2] D. Eckstein, M. Goellner, C. Blome, and M. Henke, "The performance impact of supply chain agility and supply chain adaptability: the moderating effect of product complexity," International Journal of Production Research, Vol. 53, pp. 3028-3046, 2015.
- [3] D. Towill and M. Christopher, "The supply chain strategy conundrum: to be lean or agile or to be lean and agile?," International Journal of Logistics, Vol. 5, pp. 299-309, 2002.
- [4] A. T. Chan, E. W. Ngai, and K. K. Moon, "The effects of strategic and manufacturing flexibilities and supply chain agility on firm performance in the fashion industry," European Journal of Operational Research, Vol. 259, pp. 486-499, 2017.
- [5] I. Manuj, A. Omar, and A. Yazdanparast, "The quest for competitive advantage in global supply chains: The role of interorganizational learning," Transportation Journal, Vol. 52, pp. 463-492, 2013.

- [6] P. M. Ralston, J. Blackhurst, D. E. Cantor, and M. R. Crum, "A structure-conduct-performance perspective of how strategic supply chain integration affects firm performance," Journal of Supply Chain Management, Vol. 51, pp. 47-64, 2015.
- [7] R. Gill, "Why the PR strategy of storytelling improves employee engagement and adds value to CSR: An integrated literature review," Public Relations Review, Vol. 41, pp. 662-674, 2015.
- [8] P. M. Swafford, S. Ghosh, and N. Murthy, "The antecedents of supply chain agility of a firm: scale development and model testing," Journal of Operations Management, Vol. 24, pp. 170-188, 2006.
- [9] D. M. Gligor and M. C. Holcomb, "Antecedents and consequences of supply chain agility: establishing the link to firm performance," Journal of Business Logistics, Vol. 33, pp. 295-308, 2012.
- [10] C. Blome, T. Schoenherr, and D. Eckstein, "The impact of knowledge transfer and complexity on supply chain flexibility: A knowledge-based view," International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 147, pp. 307-316, 2014.
- [11] M. Tarafdar and S. Qrunfleh, "Agile supply chain strategy and supply chain performance: complementary roles of supply chain practices and information systems capability for agility," International Journal of Production Research, Vol. 55, pp. 925-938, 2017.
- [12] A. Gunasekaran, "Agile manufacturing: enablers and an implementation framework," International Journal of Production Research, Vol. 36, pp. 1223-1247, 1998.
- [13] S. Nair and H. Paulose, "Emergence of green business models: The case of algae biofuel for aviation," Energy Policy, Vol. 65, pp. 175-184, 2014.
- [14] C. Thirtle, L. Lin, and J. Piesse, "The impact of research-led agricultural productivity growth on poverty reduction in Africa, Asia and Latin America," World Development, Vol. 31, pp. 1959-1975, 2003.
- [15] S. Mandal, "Influence of human capital on healthcare agility and healthcare supply chain performance," Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing, Vol. 4, pp. 140-390, 2018.
- [16] F. Naway and A. Rahmat, "The mediating role of technology and logistic integration in the relationship between supply chain capability and supply chain operational performance," Uncertain Supply Chain Management, Vol. 7, pp. 553-566, 2019.
- [17] L. Cacciolatti and S. H. Lee, "Revisiting the relationship between marketing capabilities and firm performance: The moderating role of market

orientation, marketing strategy and organisational power," Journal of Business Research, Vol. 69, pp. 5597-5610, 2016.

- [18] C. L. Wang, C. Senaratne, and M. Rafiq, "Success traps, dynamic capabilities and firm performance," British Journal of Management, Vol. 26, pp. 26-44, 2015.
- [19] M. Kim and S. Chai, "The impact of supplier innovativeness, information sharing and strategic sourcing on improving supply chain agility: Global supply chain perspective," International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 187, pp. 42-52, 2017.
- [20] L. R. Maglen, "Challenging the human capital orthodoxy: the education-productivity link reexamined," Economic Record, Vol. 66, pp. 281-294, 1990.
- [21] M. Tracey, "The importance of logistics efficiency to customer service and firm performance," The International Journal of Logistics Management, Vol. 9, pp. 65-81, 1998.
- [22] X. Brusset and C. Teller, "Supply chain capabilities, risks, and resilience," International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 184, pp. 59-68, 2017.
- [23] M. Iansiti and K. B. Clark, "Integration and dynamic capability: evidence from product development in automobiles and mainframe computers," Industrial and corporate change, Vol. 3, pp. 557-605, 1994.
- [24] S. Devaraj, L. Krajewski, and J. C. Wei, "Impact of eBusiness technologies on operational performance: the role of production information integration in the supply chain," Journal of Operations Management, Vol. 25, pp. 1199-1216, 2007.
- [25] P. Lii and F.-I. Kuo, "Innovation-oriented supply chain integration for combined competitiveness and firm performance," International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 174, pp. 142-155, 2016.
- [26] M. Kohtamäki and J. Partanen, "Co-creating value from knowledge-intensive business services in manufacturing firms: The moderating role of relationship learning in supplier-customer interactions," Journal of Business Research, Vol. 69, pp. 2498-2506, 2016.
- [27] N. Heirati and V. Siahtiri, "Driving service innovativeness via collaboration with customers and suppliers: Evidence from business-to-business services," Industrial Marketing Management, Vol. 78, pp. 6-16, 2019.
- [28] J. B. Quinn, "The intelligent enterprise a new paradigm," Academy of Management Perspectives, Vol. 6, pp. 48-63, 1992.
- [29] R. Geng, S. A. Mansouri, and E. Aktas, "The relationship between green supply chain management and performance: A meta-analysis of empirical evidences in Asian emerging economies,"

International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 183, pp. 245-258, 2017.

- [30] D. Tanoh and E. Osei-Assibey, "Migration as a Livelihood Strategy: The Case of Internal Migration in Ghana," Journal of Social Economics Research, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 50-60, 2018.
- [31] A. Liberati, W. B. Patterson, L. Biener, and B. J. McNeil, "Determinants of physicians' preferences for alternative treatments in women with early breast cancer," Tumori Journal, Vol. 73, pp. 601-609, 1987.
- [32] K. Tao and Y. Chao, "Rethinking Port Role as Transport Corridor under Symbiosis Theory-Case Study of China-Europe Trade Transportation," Policy, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 51-61, 2019.
- [33] J. Fleishman and J. Benson, "Using LISREL to evaluate measurement models and scale reliability," Educational and Psychological Measurement, Vol. 47, pp. 925-939, 1987.
- [34] G. Ogedegbe, C. A. Mancuso, J. P. Allegrante, and M. E. Charlson, "Development and evaluation of a medication adherence self-efficacy scale in hypertensive African-American patients," Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, Vol. 56, pp. 520-529, 2003.
- [35] E. Ferguson and T. Cox, "Exploratory factor analysis: A users' guide," International Journal of Selection and Assessment, Vol. 1, pp. 84-94, 1993.
- [36] H. Schramm-Klein and D. Morschett, "The relationship between marketing performance, logistics performance and company performance for retail companies," International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research, Vol. 16, pp. 277-296, 2006.
- [37] J. P. Carrascal, C. Riederer, V. Erramilli, M. Cherubini, and R. de Oliveira, "Your browsing behavior for a big mac: Economics of personal information online," in Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on World Wide Web, 2013, pp. 189-200.
- [38] E. L. Feige, "Defining and estimating underground and informal economies: The new institutional economics approach," World Development, Vol. 18, pp. 989-1002, 1990.
- [39] K. Bleicher, "Integrative management in a time of transformation," Long Range Planning, Vol. 27, pp. 136-144, 1994.
- [40] H. Khan and J. D. Wisner, "Supply chain integration, learning, and agility: Effects on performance," Journal of Operations and Supply Chain Management, Vol. 12, pp. 14-150, 2019.
- [41] S. Luthra, D. Garg, and A. Haleem, "The impacts of critical success factors for implementing green supply chain management towards sustainability: an empirical investigation of Indian automobile

Vol. 9, No. 2, April 2020

- [42] C. N. Leonidou, C. S. Katsikeas, and N. A. Morgan, ""Greening" the marketing mix: Do firms do it and does it pay off?," Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, Vol. 41, pp. 151-170, 2013.
- [43] R. B. Cooper and R. W. Zmud, "Information technology implementation research: a technological diffusion approach," Management Science, Vol. 36, pp. 123-139, 1990.
- [44] M. D. López-Gamero, J. F. Molina-Azorín, and E. Claver-Cortés, "The whole relationship between environmental variables and firm performance: Competitive advantage and firm resources as mediator variables," Journal of Environmental Management, Vol. 90, pp. 3110-3121, 2009.
- [45] C. G. Schmidt, K. Foerstl, and B. Schaltenbrand, "The supply chain position paradox: green practices and firm performance," Journal of Supply Chain Management, Vol. 53, pp. 3-25, 2017.

Please indicate your					
agreement with the					
following statements.					
Note: SD=strongly disagree,					
D=disagree, N=neutral,					
A=agree, SA=strongly agree					
	SD	D	N	A	SA
Demand Response1					
Our supply chain is					
able to leverage the					
competencies of our					
1. partners to respond					
to market demands					
Our supply chain is					
capable of forecasting					
2. market demand					
Our supply chain is					
capable of responding					
3. to real market demand					
~ ~					
Consumer Responsiveness2					
Our products are					
customized rather					
1. than standardized					
Our supply chain					
utilizes postponement					
strategies to enable					
2. customization of					
products / services					
We strive to increase					
the level of					
3. customization					
Joint Planning3					
Joint planning with					
suppliers is important					
1. in purchasing					
Joint planning with					
suppliers is important					
2. in production					

Appendix 1. Questionnaire

Vol. 9, No. 2, April 2020

Joint planning with			
customers is			
3 important in logistics			
primportant in regiones			
Supply Chain Integration4			
We work with our			
suppliers to			
seaminglessly			
integrate our inter-			
1. firm processes			
1			
(eg. order placement)			
Our supply chain uses			
rapid response			
initiatives (eg,			
continuous			
2. replenishment or			
Vendor Managed			
Inventory			
inventory)	 		
We strive to establish			
long-term			
relationships with our			
supply chain			
3 members			
5. members			
External Learning5			
We often learn from			
other companies			
about their			
management practices			
1. to			
improve our own			
improve our own We maintain close			
improve our own We maintain close			
improve our own We maintain close communication with			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate internal routines to			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate internal routines to analyze the			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate internal routines to analyze the knowledge obtained			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate internal routines to analyze the knowledge obtained 1. from our			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate internal routines to analyze the knowledge obtained 1. from our			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate internal routines to analyze the knowledge obtained 1. from our			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate internal routines to analyze the knowledge obtained 1. from our external partner			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate internal routines to analyze the knowledge obtained 1. from our external partner We successfully			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate internal routines to analyze the knowledge obtained 1. from our external partner We successfully integrate existing			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate internal routines to analyze the knowledge obtained 1. from our external partner We successfully integrate existing knowledge with new			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate internal routines to analyze the knowledge obtained 1. from our external partner We successfully integrate existing knowledge with new knowledge acquired			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate internal routines to analyze the knowledge obtained 1. from our external partner We successfully integrate existing knowledge with new knowledge acquired 2. from			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate internal routines to analyze the knowledge obtained 1. from our external partner We successfully integrate existing knowledge with new knowledge acquired 2. from			
improve our own We maintain close communication with suppliers about quality considerations 2. and design changes Our customers give us feedback on quality and delivery 3. performance Our customers are actively involved in our product design 4. process Internal learning6 We have adequate internal routines to analyze the knowledge obtained 1. from our external partner We successfully integrate existing knowledge acquired 2. from our external partner			

3. E	Employees are cross trained at this plant so that they can fill in for
others i	if necessary
Firm's	Performance7 Note: SD=very low, D=low, N=nominal,
A=higl	h, SA=very high
1.	Return on sales

1. Return on sales

- Sales growth
- 3. Return on assets

2.

- 4. Overall profitability
- 5. Return on investment