**ONLINE FIRST** 

This is a provisional PDF only. Copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon.



ISSN: 0015-5659

**e-ISSN:** 1644-3284

# The terminal branch of the posterior interosseous nerve: an anatomic and histologic study

Authors: T. Bonczar, J. A. Walocha, M. Bonczar, E. Mizia, M. Koziej, P. Piekos, M. Kujdowicz

**DOI:** 10.5603/FM.a2020.0041

Article type: ORIGINAL ARTICLES

**Submitted:** 2020-03-17

**Accepted:** 2020-04-02

Published online: 2020-04-10

This article has been peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance. It is an open access article, which means that it can be downloaded, printed, and distributed freely, provided the work is properly cited. Articles in "Folia Morphologica" are listed in PubMed.

The terminal branch of the posterior interosseous nerve: an anatomic and histologic study

T. Bonczar<sup>1</sup>, J.A. Walocha<sup>1</sup>, M. Bonczar<sup>2</sup>, E. Mizia<sup>1</sup>, M. Koziej<sup>1</sup>, P. Piekos<sup>1</sup>, M. Kujdowicz<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anatomy, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

<sup>2</sup>Intermed Medical Clinic, Zabierzow, Poland

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

Address for correspondence: Dr. T. Bonczar, Department of Anatomy, Jagiellonian University Medical College, ul. Kopernika 12, 31-034, Kraków, Poland, e-mail: tbonczar@gmail.com

## **Abstract**

**Background:** The aim of this study was to evaluate the terminal branch of the posterior interosseous nerve (PIN) by anatomically and histologically assessing the number, dimension, and area of its individual fascicles, by determining the dimension and area of the whole nerve itself, and by calculating the nerve density ratio (ratio of the sum of the areas of individual fascicles to the area of the whole nerve) of the terminal branch of the PIN.

**Methods:** Twenty-eight terminal branches of the PIN nerve samples were collected from patients undergoing partial denervation of the wrist. The nerve samples were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and stained with hematoxylin and eosin to visualize their nerve bundles. Quantitative analysis of individual fascicles and the whole nerve itself were carried out.

**Results:** Ten nerve samples (35,7%) had one single fascicle (group 1) while the remaining 18 nerve samples (64,3%) contained 2-9 fascicles (group 2). The difference in the sum of the areas of individual fascicles between the two groups did not constitute a statistical difference. Statistically significant differences (p <0.05) were seen between area of whole nerve, percentage of fascicles to the nerve surface and the cross-section maximum nerve length and width.

**Conclusions:** The number of nerve fascicles in the terminal branch of the PIN does not affect the overall size of the nerve. The majority of the volume of multi-fascicle nerves, therefore, primarily consists of the internal perineurium. However, due to the low number of nerves, this

question cannot be clearly answered. This sets a further direction for further research on a larger group.

Key words: terminal branch of the posterior interosseous nerve, wrist denervation, posterior interosseous nerve fascicles, nerve graft

#### INTRODUCTION

The posterior interosseous nerve (PIN) is one of many nerves that innervates the dorsal wrist<sup>[2][10][11][13][15][22]</sup>. The PIN is always excised for both partial and complete wrist denervation as a palliative method of treatment of a variety of wrist pathologies<sup>[5][11]</sup>. Due to its consistent anatomical location and dimensions, accessibility, limited functional deficit after excision<sup>[19]</sup>, and adequate length for reanastamosis, the PIN can be used as a donor graft for digital nerves injuries<sup>[1][4][9][17][18]</sup>. A recent publication has described the technique of thumb digital nerve reconstruction after the excision of a neuroma utilizing an arterialized PIN graft<sup>[12]</sup>. The assessment of the usefulness of PIN as a nerve graft was based on the PIN's similar thickness to that of digital nerves. The purpose of this study was to accurately assess the structure of the terminal branches of PIN.

#### **METHODS**

The study material consisted of twenty-eight PIN collected from patients treated for wrist pain who underwent partial denervation of the wrist between January 2015 and September 2016. The cause of wrist pain in the studied patient population was either due to worsening of distal PIN syndrome, degenerative changes after a history of injury, progressing Kienböck's disease, and finally a long course of inflammatory changes. In some cases, the PIN was excised to prevent pain after ligamentous reconstruction of the wrist. Before every wrist denervation, all patients with a diagnosis of distal PIN syndrome had preoperative diagnostics of the PIN performed with ultrasound.

All patients signed a written consent for a PIN neurectomy and to participate in this study. The design of this study was approved by our Regional Ethical Review Board. Intraoperative photographs were taken for documentation. All operations were carried out by the same surgeon who is experienced in wrist surgery under regional anesthesia with 3.5x optical magnification. The longitudinal incision was cut 1 cm ulnar to Lister's tubercle. The

extensor retinaculum was opened and the PIN was found proximal to or in the floor of the 4th dorsal compartment. The samples were then fixed in 10% buffered formalin and stayed fixed for 14 days. Then each sample underwent dehydration and paraffin embedding procedures. The paraffin cubes were cut with a microtome into 4 um thick sections and stained with hematoxylin and eosin to visualize the nerve bundles. Quantitative analysis of individual fascicles and the whole nerve itself were carried out using the Olympus BX43 microscope. Photographic documentation was achieved using an Olympus SC-100 camera. The photographs were then analyzed using Image J. The number of fascicles in each nerve, the surface area of each fascicle, and the thickness of the perineurium of each fascicle were evaluated. The surface area of the fascicle was calculated with the help of the Image J program using a variable scale of enlargement through a computer introduced fascicle contour (Figure 1). Next the diameter of the each fascicle was measured. Finally, the longitudinal dimensions, transverse dimensions, and the cross-sectional area of the entire nerve were calculated and results of the measurements were recorded.

# **Statistical analysis**

The data was presented as percentages, mean values with corresponding standard deviations or median with quartiles. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to determine if the quantitative data was normally distributed. The Student's t-tests and the Mann-Whitney U tests for statistical comparisons were additionally used. Statistical analyses were performed with STATISTICA v13.1 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

### **RESULTS**

The PIN was harvested from 28 patients – 15 males (53.6%) and 13 females (46.4%). Partial denervation of the wrist was performed on the right wrist in 21 cases (75%), on the left wrist in 7 cases (25%), and in the dominant hand of the patient in 21 cases (75%). The mean age of patients was 36.2 years +/- 15,3 (range 17-76 years). The causes of PIN excision are shown in Table 1.

Ten nerve samples (35,7%) had one single fascicle (group 1) while the remaining 18 nerve samples (64,3%) contained 2-9 fascicles (group 2). The number of multi-fascicle nerves

was as follows: two-fascicles- 4, three-fascicle -3, four-fascicle -5, five-fascicle -1, six-fascicle -3 and eight and nine-fascicle nerves -1, respectively. The mean values of selected parameters in mono-fascicles (group 1) and multi-fascicles nerves (group 2) are shown in Table 2. No statistical difference was found between the genders of the patients, between the sizes of the nerves, and between the number of fascicles. There was no correlation between the age and the size of both the nerve and its fascicles. The sum of the areas of the individual fascicles in the single fascicle nerves (Group 1) did not differ from the sum of the areas of the individual fascicles in the multi-fascicles nerves (Group 2) (p = 0.15). However, statistically significant differences (p <0.05) were seen between area of whole nerve, that was larger in multi fascicles nerves (Group 2). Percentage of fascicles to the nerve surface was significantly higher in the mono-fascicle nerves (Group 1) than in the multi-fascicles group (p = 0.002).

The average nerve density ratio (ratio of the sum of the areas individual fascicles to the area of the whole nerve) was 25,2%. The average sum of the areas of individual fascicles, the cross-sectional area of the whole nerve and nerve density ratio with standard deviation are given in Table 3.

# **DISCUSSION**

Many publications have shown similarities in the size [3] [6] [8] [21] and in the nerve density<sup>[17]</sup> of the PIN compared to digital nerves. However, to the knowledge of the authors, this is the first study that describes the anatomical structure of the PIN, taking into account the relationship between the size and number of individual fascicles to the size of the whole nerve. Reissis et al (1992) compared the usefulness of the PIN as a donor for digital nerve grafts to 15 digital nerves in a microscopic study of 18 fresh terminal branches of the PIN<sup>[17]</sup>. They defined the nerve density ratio of the PIN as the ratio of the density of neuronal tissue to the density of connective tissue. Their mean nerve density ratio was 90% which ranged from 88%-94%. In this study, the results were different and the nerve density ratio, defined as the ratio of the sum of the areas of individual fascicles to the area of the whole nerve, had a mean of only 21 % and ranged from 1,0% -65%. It is difficult to explain such differences in this study and the study of Reissis et al (1992), but after using high microscopic magnification it was possible to measure each fascicle in more detail in this study. In addition, the nerves used for the study came from patients with wrist pathology and possible PIN irritation. Ultrasound

has shown that nerve irritation causes hypoechoic swollen, mainly of connective tissue<sup>[7] [16]</sup>. Chevrollier et all (2014), in a retrospective single-center study, evaluated emergent nerve grafting for proper palmar digital nerve defects<sup>[9]</sup>. However, the results of Chevrollier et all (2014) cannot be compared to the PIN measurements in this study, because of the 12 analyzed cases of digital nerve defects, only one patient had the PIN used as a graft. Waters and Schwartz (1993) showed the presence of nerves with a single fascicle in 15 cases (58%) after evaluating 26 PIN using a macroscopic examination at 3.5x magnification. With the use of microdissection without microscopic nerve evaluation, Waters and Schwartz (1993) were able to show the presence of 1 to 5 (average 2) fascicles in the collected nerve samples<sup>[20]</sup>. The data collected in this studied using high microscopic magnification and appropriate staining has shown that 64,3% of the nerve samples were multi-fascicle nerves. These results differ from previous studies.

This does not mean, however, that multi-fascicle nerves had a larger surface area due to their number of fascicles. On the contrary, the more fascicles the nerve contained, the smaller the fascicles measured. The nerves with more fascicles usually contained one bigger fascicle with the rest being very small. In these nerves, the majority of the volume, therefore, primarily consisted of the internal perineurium.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

During surgery, it is impossible to quantitatively assess the PIN after it has been harvested as a nerve graft. Nerves with differing numbers of fascicles are similar in external dimensions (Fig.2). However, it is crucial to highlight that proper PIN dimensions (the ratio of the nerve tissue to the area of the entire nerve) does not translate to good nerve quality by meaning the nerve density ratio. The number of nerve fascicles in the terminal branch of the PIN does not affect the overall size of the nerve. The majority of the volume of multi-fascicle nerves, therefore, primarily consists of the internal perineurium. However, due to the low number of nerves, this question cannot be clearly answered. This sets a further direction for further research on a larger group.

### References

1. Badran, H. (1997). Digital nerve grafts for insensitive fingertips of thumb and index fingers. Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery, 99(1), 280.

- 2. Bonczar, T., Walocha, JA., Bonczar, M., Mizia, E. (2020). Assessing the innervation of the dorsal wrist capsule using modified Sihler's staining. Folia Morphol 2020. [Epub ahead of print]
- 3. Chevrollier, J., Pedeutour, B., Dap, F., Dautel, G. (2014). Evaluation of emergency nerve grafting for proper palmar digital nerve defects: A retrospective single-centre study. Orthopaedics & Traumatology: Surgery & Research, 100(6), 605-610.
- 4. Chong, J. (1977). Digital nerve grafts with the lateral antebrachial cutaneous nerve. Plastic And Reconstructive Surgery, 59(6), 875.
- 5. Dellon, A. (1985). Partial dorsal wrist denervation: Resection of the distal posterior interosseous nerve. The Journal Of Hand Surgery, 10(4), 527-533.
- 6. Dellon, A., Seif, S. (1978). Anatomic dissections relating the posterior interosseous nerve to the carpus, and the etiology of dorsal wrist ganglion pain. The Journal Of Hand Surgery, 3(4), 326-332.
- 7. Djurdjevic, T., Loizedes, A., Löscher, W., Gruber, H. (2014). High resolution ultrasound in posterior interosseous nerve syndrome. Muscle Nerve 49:35–39
- 8. Elgafy, H., Ebraheim, N., Rezcallah, A., Yeasting, R. (2000). Posterior Interosseous Nerve Terminal Branches. Clinical Orthopaedics And Related Research, 376, 242-251.
- 9. Elgafy, H., Ebraheim, N., Yeasting, R. (2000). The anatomy of the posterior interosseous nerve as a graft. The Journal Of Hand Surgery, 25(5), 930-935.
- 10. Ferreres, A., Suso, S., Ordi, J. et al. (1995). Wrist denervation. J Hand Surg, 20(6), 761-768.
- 11. Ferreres, A., Foucher, G., Suso, S. (2002). Extensive Denervation of the Wrist. Techniques In Hand And Upper Extremity Surgery, 6(1), 36-41.
- 12. Foo, A., Martin-Playa, P., Sebastin Muttath, S. (2019). Arterialized Posterior Interosseous Nerve Graft for Digital Neuroma. Techniques In Hand & Upper Extremity Surgery, 1. doi: 10.1097/bth.000000000000240
- 13. Fukumoto, K., Kojima, T., Kinoshita, Y., Koda, M. (1993). An anatomic study of the innervation of the wrist joint and Wilhelm's technique for denervation. The Journal Of Hand Surgery, 18(3), 484-489.
- 14. Gregory, TM., Goutard, M., Gregory, J., Hurst, SA., Merlini, M., Pierrary, J. (2019) A Cadaveric Study of the Posterior Interosseous Nerve and Its Branches at the Level of the Distal Radius. Journal of Hand Surgery Global Online, 1,70-73
- 15. Hofmeister, E., Moran, S., Shin, A. (2006). Anterior and Posterior Interosseous Neurectomy for the Treatment of Chronic Dynamic Instability of the Wrist. HAND, 1(2), 63-70.
- 16. Kim, Y., Ha, D.H., Lee, S.M. (2017). Ultrasonographic findings of posteriori interosseous nerve syndrome. Ultrasonography, 36:363-369
- 17. Reissis, N., Stirrat, A., Manek, S., Dunkerton, M. (1992). The terminal branch of posterior interosseous nerve: a useful donor for digital nerve grafting. Journal Of Hand Surgery, 17(6), 638-640.
- 18. Stang, F., Stollwerck, P., Prommersberger, K., van Schoonhoven, J. (2013). Posterior interosseus nerve vs. medial cutaneous nerve of the forearm: differences in digital nerve reconstruction. Archives Of Orthopaedic And Trauma Surgery, 133(6), 875-880.
- 19. Vanden Berge, D., Kusnezov, N., Rubin, S., et al. (2017). Outcomes Following Isolated Posterior Interosseous Nerve Neurectomy: A Systematic Review. HAND, 12(6), 535-540.
- 20. Waters, P., Theodore Schwartz, J. (1993). Posterior interosseous nerve: An anatomic study of potential nerve grafts. The Journal Of Hand Surgery, 18(4), 743-745.
- 21. Wilgis, E., Maxwell, G. (1979). Distal digital nerve grafts: Clinical and anatomical studies. The Journal Of Hand Surgery, 4(5), 439-443.
- 22. Zwart, K., Roeling, T.A., Wouter, F., Van Leeuwen, W.F., Schuurman, A.(2019). An anatomical study to the branching pattern of the posterior Interosseous nerve on the dorsal side of the hand. Clin. Anat. Published online 00 Month 2019 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). DOI: 10.1002/ca.23486

Table 1. Causes of PIN excision

| Cause of PIN neurectomy | Amount | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------|--------|----------------|
| Dorsal PIN syndrome     | 13     | 46,4           |
| SLAC, SNAC              | 7      | 25             |
| SL reconstruction       | 4      | 14,3           |
| Kienböck's disease      | 3      | 10,7           |
| Rheumatoid arthritis    | 1      | 3.6            |

**Table 2.** The mean values of selected parameters in mono-fascicles (group 1) and multi-fascicles nerves (group 2)

| Parameter                                   | Group | N  | Average | Median | Min. | Max.  | Bottom   | Upper    | Standard  |
|---|-------|----|---------|--------|------|-------|----------|----------|-----------|
|   |       |    |         |        |      |       | quartile | quartile | deviation |
| summed area of fascicles [mm <sup>2</sup> ] | 1     | 10 | 0.19    | 0.19   | 0.12 | 0.27  | 0.16     | 0.21     | 0.05      |
| Area of whole nerve [mm <sup>2</sup> ]      | 1     | 10 | 0.82    | 0.5    | 0.23 | 3.03  | 0.3      | 0.97     | 0.84      |
| percentage of fascicles to the nerve        | 1     | 10 | 36.1    | 38.2   | 6.3  | 69.6  | 27.8     | 45.2     | 17.15     |
| surface                                     |       |    |         |        |      |       |          |          |           |
| summed perineurium size [mm]                | 1     | 10 | 0.04    | 0.04   | 0.01 | 0.08  | 0.03     | 0.04     | 0.02      |
| summed area of fascicles [mm <sup>2</sup> ] | 2     | 18 | 0.22    | 0.15   | 0.01 | 1.14  | 0.11     | 0.19     | 0.23      |
| Area of whole nerve [mm <sup>2</sup> ]      | 2     | 18 | 3.20    | 1.55   | 0.18 | 10.64 | 0.72     | 5.32     | 3.28      |
| percentage of fascicles to the nerve        | 2     | 18 | 13.41   | 9.85   | 0.18 | 50    | 2.1      | 17.8     | 13.25     |
| surface                                     |       |    |         |        |      |       |          |          |           |
| summed perineurium size [mm]                | 2     | 18 | 0.1     | 0.1    | 0.04 | 0.3   | 0.06     | 0.1      | 0.07      |

**Table 3.** Measurements of 28 PIN harvested during wrist reconstructive procedures or partial wrist denervation

| Parameter                    | Number | Average | Median | Min.  | Max.  | Lower    | Upper    | Standard  |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|--------|-------|-------|----------|----------|-----------|
|                              |        |         |        |       |       | quartile | quartile | deviation |
| Summed area of               | 28     | 0.2     | 0.15   | 0.002 | 1.14  | 0.12     | 0.2      | 0.21      |
| fascicles [mm <sup>2</sup> ] |        |         |        |       |       |          |          |           |
| Area of whole                | 28     | 2.36    | 0.88   | 0.18  | 10.64 | 0.52     | 2.98     | 2.89      |
| nerve [mm <sup>2</sup> ]     |        |         |        |       |       |          |          |           |
| Nerve density                | 28     | 21      | 17     | 1     | 68    | 6        | 34       | 18        |
| ratio [%]                    |        |         |        |       |       |          |          |           |

**Figure 1.** Specimen with single fascicle (A) and two fascicles (B) - the black line surrounding the fascicule and the dark blue line around the whole nerve.

**Figure 2.** Intraoperative photograph of the PIN before excision. All nerves had similar dimensions regardless of their quantity of fascicles. A – specimen no.1 with 5 fascicles, B-specimen no. 2 with 3 fascicles, C-specimen no. 5 with 1 fascicle.





