

Faculty Scholarship

2017

Stabilization of HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α , up-regulation of MYCC and accumulation of stabilized p53 constitute hallmarks of CNS-PNET animal model

Sergey Malchenko

Simone T. Srendni

Yingtao Bi

Naira V. Margaryan

Jerusha Boyineni

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/faculty_publications

Authors

Sergey Malchenko, Simone T. Srendni, Yingtao Bi, Naira V. Margaryan, Jerusha Boyineni, Indra Mohanam, Tandra Tomita, Ramana V. Davuluri, and Marcelo B. Soares



Citation: Malchenko S, Sredni ST, Bi Y, Margaryan NV, Boyineni J, Mohanam I, et al. (2017) Stabilization of HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α , up-regulation of MYCC and accumulation of stabilized p53 constitute hallmarks of CNS-PNET animal model. PLoS ONE 12(3): e0173106. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0173106

Editor: Surinder K. Batra, University of Nebraska Medical Center, UNITED STATES

Received: June 22, 2016

Accepted: February 15, 2017

Published: March 1, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Malchenko et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All RNA-seq files are available from the GEO database (accession number GSE82102).

Funding: This work was supported by a gift from Mr Glen Barton, whose generosity and vision to contribute to the development of a Cancer Research Center at the University of Illinois College of Medicine at Peoria (UICOMP) has been transformative to this medical school. Abbvie Bioresearch Center provided support in the form of RESEARCH ARTICLE

Stabilization of HIF-1α and HIF-2α, upregulation of MYCC and accumulation of stabilized p53 constitute hallmarks of CNS-PNET animal model

Sergey Malchenko¹*, Simone Treiger Sredni^{2,3}, Yingtao Bi^{4,5}, Naira V. Margaryan⁶, Jerusha Boyineni¹, Indra Mohanam¹, Tadanori Tomita², Ramana V. Davuluri⁴, Marcelo B. Soares¹

1 Department of Cancer Biology & Pharmacology, University of Illinois College of Medicine, Peoria, Illinois, United States of America, 2 Department of Surgery, Division of Pediatric Neurosurgery, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois, United States of America, 3 Cancer Biology and Epigenomics Program at the Stanley Manne Children's Research Institute, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, United States of America, 4 Department of Preventive Medicine, Division of Health and Biomedical Informatics, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois, United States of America, 5 Abbvie Bioresearch Center, Worcester, Massachusetts, United States, 6 Department of Biochemistry, Robert C. Byrd Health Sciences Center, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia, United States of America

* sergeynm@uicomp.uic.edu

Abstract

Recently, we described a new animal model of CNS primitive neuroectodermal tumors (CNS-PNET), which was generated by orthotopic transplantation of human Radial Glial (RG) cells into NOD-SCID mice's brain sub-ventricular zone. In the current study we conducted comprehensive RNA-Seq analyses to gain insights on the mechanisms underlying tumorigenesis in this mouse model of CNS-PNET. Here we show that the RNA-Seg profiles derived from these tumors cluster with those reported for patients' PNETs. Moreover, we found that (i) stabilization of HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α , which are involved in mediation of the hypoxic responses in the majority of cell types, (ii) up-regulation of MYCC, a key onco-protein whose dysregulation occurs in ~70% of human tumors, and (iii) accumulation of stabilized p53, which is commonly altered in human cancers, constitute hallmarks of our tumor model, and might represent the basis for CNS-PNET tumorigenesis in this model. We discuss the possibility that these three events might be interconnected. These results indicate that our model may prove invaluable to uncover the molecular events leading to MYCC and TP53 alterations, which would be of broader interest considering their relevance to many human malignancies. Lastly, this mouse model might prove useful for drug screening targeting MYCC and related members of its protein interaction network.

Introduction

Embryonal tumors of the central nervous system (CNS) are part of a heterogeneous group of tumors with controversial morphology-based diagnosis, and largely unknown origin [1]. They



salary for author (YB) but did not have any additional role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript. The specific roles of this author are articulated in the "author contributions" section.

Competing interests: This commercial affiliation (Abbvie Bioresearch Center) does not alter our adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials. represent 33% of brain tumors, and affect mainly infants and children younger than 3 years. The group includes (i) medulloblastomas, which arise in the cerebellum, (ii) CNS primitive neuroectodermal tumors (CNS-PNET), which occur in the cerebral hemispheres, in the brain stem and spinal cord, and include CNS neuroblastomas, CNS ganglioneuroblastomas, medulloe-pitheliomas and ependymoblastomas, and (iii) atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumors (AT/RT) [2].

In this manuscript, supratentorial PNET is referred to as CNS-PNET according to the 2007 WHO CNS tumor classification criteria (ICD-O 9473/3, WHO grade IV). CNS-PNET arises from primitive neuroepithelial cells, and occurs predominantly in children and adolescents. These tumors remain largely refractory to currently available modalities of therapy [2, 3]. Histologically, CNS-PNET is composed mainly of undifferentiated or poorly differentiated neuroepithelial cells with variable amounts of components of divergent differentiation along neural, ependymal and astrocytic lines [2].

Recent molecular studies of large cohorts of embryonal tumors have shed some light to their biology. An integrative genomic analysis of CNS-PNET has categorized these tumors into three distinct subgroups: primitive-neural (group 1), oligo-neural (group 2), and mesenchymal (group 3) [4]. The primitive-neural subgroup often exhibits a younger age of onset (\leq 4 years) and a higher prevalence in female patients. It has the worst prognosis among all three subgroups. On the other hand, patients with tumors of the mesenchymal subtype present with the highest incidence of metastases at the time of diagnosis [4]. Recently, methylation and gene expression analyses performed for another large series of tumors showed a significant overlap of CNS-PNET with a variety of other pediatric brain tumor types, including highgrade glioma, medulloblastoma and ependymoma [5]. Yet another CNS-PNET study revealed that MYCN or MYCC gene amplifications were present in about half of the cases examined, which was correlated with decreased survival [6]. The above mentioned studies underscore the value of molecular stratification for improved diagnosis and treatment of CNS-PNETs.

Unfortunately, these studies are limited in scope due to multiple factors, including availability of a sufficient number of specimens, tumor heterogeneity, surgical accessibility depending upon tumor location etc. Hence, the development of new animal models of CNS-PNETs is necessary [7] to enable advancement in the field.

Recently, we described an animal model of CNS-PNET that was generated by orthotopic transplantation of human Radial Glial (RG) cells into NOD-SCID mice's brain sub- ventricular zone [8]. In the current study we explore our CNS-PNET animal model further to get new insights into the onset of tumor formation, and we put forth the hypothesis that hypoxia might play a pivotal role in the development of these tumors.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

All animal-related procedures were approved by the Stanley Manne Children's Research Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care and conformed to the standards of the National Institutes of Health (IACUC protocol #: 2011–09).

Orthotopic transplantation of RG cells to the Sub-Ventricular Zone (SVZ) of the 3rd ventricle in NOD-SCID mice brain

Transplantations of the LC25-R, LC26-R, and LCAS-R RG cells to the SVZ of 3rd ventricle of the brain of NOD-SCID mice (in average ten mice per each RG cell line) were performed as previously described [8]. Derivation of these RG lines was described previously [8]. Briefly,

transplantations of RG cells to target SVZ of 3rd ventricle were performed as follows: a 1.0mm burr hole was made approximately 0.3mm dorsal caudal from the bregma. A 26-gauge needle attached to a 25 μ l Hamilton syringe was inserted into the depth of 4.0mm from the skull surface using stereotactic guidance. Five microliters containing ~100,000 of the RG cells were inoculated into the brain over a period of 10 minutes. The respiratory rate and the anesthetic depth of all animals were monitored every 5 minutes after the surgery by laboratory personnel until the animals had fully recovered from the anesthesia. No adverse events were encountered during the post-operative care. All mice were kept in standard animal husbandry with regular diet in barrier facilities and monitored 2–3 times per week, including recording of their body weight. The mice were sacrificed at 4–8 weeks post-inoculation by an i.p. injection of Nembutal Sodium 40–70 mg/kg followed by cervical dislocation, at which time brains were harvested and tumors were resected. The tumor tissues were named LC25-RT, LC26-RT, and LCAS-RT, respectively.

Total RNA isolation

Total RNA isolation from tumor tissues was performed with the PureZOL RNA isolation reagent (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), followed by DNAse treatment (Ambion, Austin, TX), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Purity and integrity of the isolated RNA was assessed on the ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).

RNA-seq and data analysis

RNA-Seq library construction was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions for RiboZero selection. The resulting libraries were sequenced using Illumina HiSeq2500. The FastQC software (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) was applied on raw fastq files to examine the sequence quality. Tophat [9] was used for tag alignment and counts for each gene were computed by means of HTSeq Python package [10], using the annotation of the Ensembl genes and only reads that mapped to exons.

Differential expression analysis on the count data was performed using DESeq2 [11], which is based on a negative binomial distribution and uses shrinkage estimation for the variance of the distribution. As an alternative way of quantifying normalized gene and transcript expression, Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (FPKM) values were also derived using Cufflinks [12] and were furthered normalized by upper quartile normalization (GEO record GSE82102).

The hierarchical clustering was performed using R (function heatmap.2 in "gplots" package). Public RNA-seq data: 10 TCGA GBM and 10 LGG paired-end RNA-seq raw fastq files were downloaded from https://cghub.ucsc.edu/cghub/data/analysis/download. 10 PNET RNA-seq samples were downloaded from SRA archive (SRP032476). All these publicly downloaded samples were analyzed using the same pipeline as for our own samples.

Single nucleotide variant (SNV) and small Indel calling were conducted for both RG and tumor samples. Alignment files generated by Tophat2 were used for SNV detection using SAMtools [13] and Varscan [14] with the following parameters: map quality>15, PHRED quality score>10, coverage>8 reads, P value threshold for calling variant = 0.01 and minimum supporting reads at a position to call variant = 2. We used ANNOVAR [15] for annotation of the called variants and SAMtools view was used to visualize the aligned reads in the region of TP53.

Real-time PCR

Total RNA isolation was performed as mentioned above. cDNA synthesis and real-time quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reactions (qRT-PCR) were performed as previously described [16]. QuantStudio 7 instrument (Applied Biosystems, USA) along with PowerUP SYBR Green Master Mix (A25742, Fisher) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions. The PCR conditions were as follows: one cycle at 50°C for 2 min, one cycle at 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min, followed by a melting curve from 60°C to 95°C. Primers were designed using the Primer Express program version 1.5 (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA), and obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA, USA). Primer sequences were as follows: TP53

5' Primer TCAACAAGATGTTTTGCCAACTG

3' Primer ATGTGCTGTGACTGCTTGTAGATG

P21

5' Primer TGTCCGTCAGAACCCATGC

3' Primer AAAGTCGAAGTTCCATCGCTC

100 nM primers for GUSB RNA (RealTimePrimers.com) were used as an endogenous control for each of the cDNA samples. Comparative Ct method was used to analyze the qRT-PCR. In the comparative Cm method the QuantStudio 7 software measures amplification of the gene of interest (target) and of GUSB in each cDNA sample. Measurements are normalized using the endogenous control. The software determines the relative quantity (RQ) of target in each sample by comparing normalized target levels in each sample (LC25-RT, LC26-RT, or LCAS-RT) to normalized target quantity in the reference sample (LC25-R, LC26-R, or LCAS-R).

Immunohistochemistry

Formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue and RG cell pellets generated in our previously published study [8] were used for histological and immunohistochemical analyses. At least two slides (5μ m thick) from each FFPE tumor sample were used for the analysis of each antibody presented in this study using standard immunohistochemical methods (see S2 Table). The immunohistochemical panel comprised the following antibodies: Anti-Ki-67 (RM-9106, Rabbit monoclonal, 1:200, Thermo scientific), POU5F1/OCT3/4 (LS-B85, Rabbit polyclonal, 1:300, LSBio), Anti-Nestin (ab105389, Rabbit monoclonal, 1:30, abcam), Anti- Sox2 (ab97959, Rabbit polyclonal, 1:200, abcam), Anti-Vimentin (NBP1-97671, Mouse monoclonal, 1:500, Novus Biologicals), Anti-c-MYC (ab32072, Rabbit monoclonal[Y69], 1:500, abcam), Anti-c-MYC-(Phospho S62) (ab185656, Rabbit monoclonal, 1:500, abcam), Anti-MAX (ab101271, Rabbit polyclonal, 1:1000, abcam), Anti-HIF-1 α (ab82832, Rabbit polyclonal, 1:100, abcam), Anti-HIF-2 α (ab73895, Rabbit polyclonal, 1:250, abcam), Anti-p53 (LS-B7722, Rabbit polyclonal, 1:200, LSBio), Anti-YB1 (ab12148, Rabbit polyclonal, 1:750, abcam), Anti-MDM2 (LS-C199239, Rabbit polyclonal, 1:100, LS Bio).

RG cell culturing under hypoxic conditions

RG cells were cultured for 24 days under the same conditions, except for the concentration of O_2 , which was either alternated hypoxic (16 days—5% O_2 , 4 days—20% O_2 , 4 days—5% O_2) or normoxic (20% O_2). LC26-10R is one of the clones of the LC26-R cell line.

Western blotting

Whole cell lysates were prepared using M-PER[™] Mammalian Protein Extraction Reagent plus protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). After centrifugation at 13K rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C, the protein concentration of the supernatant was determined using a Pierce[™] 660nm Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) and 40µg of total protein loaded per well of a Novex 4–12% NuPAGE Bis-Tris gel (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). After electrophoresis, the proteins were transblotted onto a nitrocellulose blotting membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) and the proteins detected using Anti-HIF- 2α (ab73895, Rabbit polyclonal, 1:250, abcam), Anti-POU5F1/OCT3/4 (LS-B85, Rabbit polyclonal, 1:300, LSBio), Anti- c-MYC-(Phospho S62) (ab185656, Rabbit monoclonal, 1:500, abcam), Anti- Sox2 (ab97959, Rabbit polyclonal, 1:200, abcam), goat anti-rabbit antibody linked to horseradish peroxidase (sc2030 Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX) and ECL substrate (Western Bright ECL, Advansta, Menlo Park, CA). The blot was then stripped and probed for GAPDH or Beta-actin protein using a mouse monoclonal antibody (GAPDH: SC365062, Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX, Beta-actin: MA5-15739, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA), goat anti-mouse antibody linked to horseradish peroxidase (sc2005 Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX) and ECL substrate. Protein levels were estimated by densitometry using ImageJ software and the differences in HIF-2a, Oct3/4, c-MYC-(Phospho S62) and Sox2 proteins calculated after correcting for protein loaded per lane using the GAPDH or Beta-actin protein control.

Results

RNA-Seq profiles of tumors from the CNS-PNET animal model cluster with those derived from patients' CNS-PNETs

Histopathological analyses of the tumors from our model revealed hallmarks of neuroectodermal origin that are characteristic of CNS PNET [8]. We sought to validate this observation by applying unsupervised hierarchical clustering of RNA-seq data from three of our CNS-PNET model tumors (LC25-RT, LC26-RT, and LCAS-RT) along with ten CNS-PNETs, ten glioblastomas (GBM) and ten low-grade gliomas (LGG), from publicly available RNA-seq data (see Materials and Methods). We also applied a supervised hierarchical clustering analysis of the same dataset using a list of 225 TFs and receptors, which are consistently expressed in our tumors at intermediate or high levels (similar to or higher than the average level of expression observed for house-keeping genes; S1 Table). Additionally, we used a list of genes that have been identified as predictive markers of PNET according to Picard's molecular classification [4]. Based on the unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis, our tumors clustered with the CNS-PNET group (S1 Fig). Applying Picard's molecular signature, our tumors clustered with the mesenchymal and oligo-neural subtypes of CNS-PNET (Fig 1). Interestingly, the clustering analysis of TFs and receptors revealed that our tumors are closest to the primitive-neural subgroup of CNS-PNETs (samples 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9; see Fig 1 and Fig 2).

The CNS-PNET model tumors are enriched with markers of NSC selfrenewal

There is evidence that brain tumorigenesis can be driven by alterations occurring in neural stem cells (NSCs) that render them transformed to brain tumor-initiating cells (BTICs) [17, 18]. In particular, presumably transformed RG cells have been considered to function as putative BTICs in ependymomas [18]. Histologically, divergent differentiation of CNS-PNET, which is reflected by variable amounts of neuronal, ependymal, and glial cellular components within the tumor, may be interpreted as indicative of the presence of both NSCs and BTICs in these tumors, and that the latter may be responsible for its development [2]. Notwithstanding all supportive evidence, however, it should be underscored that the presence of cells with tumor-initiating properties does not necessarily imply causality for tumorigenesis, nor does it exclude the role of other cells. The existing data simply serve to demonstrate that such tumors indeed harbor cells that have the capability of initiating tumors.

The initiation of tumors in our CNS-PNET model by orthotopic transplantation of RG cells suggested the presence of BTICs amidst the RG cell population, which would be responsible





doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173106.g001

for the onset of tumor formation. Using our CNS-PNET model, we validated the expression of the NSC self-renewal markers SOX2 (S1 and S2 Tables, Fig 3E and 3F), Nestin, and Vimentin, which are also considered as BTIC markers [8, 16, 17, 19–23], including in CNS-PNET [7, 24] (S2 Table, Fig 3C, 3D, 3G and 3H). Remarkably, Oct3/4 (POU5F1), which was recently described as a new BTIC marker [19], is also expressed in our tumor model (Fig 3B, S1 and S2 Tables). It is conceivable that, in our model, the expression of markers associated with self-

PLOS ONE





Fig 2. Supervised hierarchical clustering analysis of our CNS-PNET model tumors (transcription factors and receptors). List of the 225 transcription factors and receptors (S1 Table), which are consistently expressed in our tumors at intermediate or high level of expression (similar or higher than average house-keeping gene expression level).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173106.g002

renewal of NSC/BTIC in tumor cells might be responsible for maintenance of the primitive neuroectodermal phenotype [8]. It is also noteworthy that the presence of these markers in the majority of the tumor cells (Fig 3, S2 Table) correlates with the rapid progression to large, aggressively disseminating undifferentiated tumors documented in our model [8]. However, as aforementioned, the mere presence of these markers cannot be deemed sufficient to render them functional BTICs. Such possibility will be the subject of future investigation.

HIF-1 α and 2 α stabilization, up-regulation of OCT3/4 and MYCC provide a plausible mechanism for tumorigenesis in our CNS-PNET model

We hypothesize that hypoxia is one of the micro-environmental factors involved in the onset of tumorigenesis in our model [8], as it is a critical component of stem cell niche function, keeping the undifferentiated phenotype of stem/precursor cells [25, 26]. Since our RG cells represent the early stages of primitive neuro-ectoderm differentiation [16], and the stem cells that normally reside in the 3rd sub-ventricular zone correspond to adult NSCs, it is conceivable that while protective for the adult NSC, hypoxia might trigger tumorigenesis of the orthotopically transplanted RG cells that are at a significantly earlier stage in ontogenesis. Low oxygen level could lead to stabilization of HIF-1 α and 2 α in parental RG cells. This, in turn, would activate BTIC marker OCT3/4, a direct target of HIF-2 α , along with BTIC marker SOX2, and the critical pluripotent stem cell inducer–MYCC, an onco-protein whose increased expression plays a central role in multiple aspects of tumor cell biology [25–32]. Interestingly, HIF-1 α , which is involved



Fig 3. BTIC markers in our CNS-PNET model tumors. A: Ki67, 20X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) B: Oct3/4, 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) C: Nestin 20x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: Nestin 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) TU-tumor; P-parenchyma; V-ventricle; CP-choroid plexus.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173106.g003

in mediation of the hypoxic responses in the majority of cell types, is expressed in our tumor model along with SOX2 and OCT3/4 (S1 Table). Therefore, we reason that altogether, these events could provide a plausible mechanism for tumorigenesis in our model.

To begin to investigate our hypothesis we tested if HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α proteins, which are known to be degraded in normoxic conditions [33], are expressed in the tumor cells. Indeed, immunostaining revealed that the majority of tumor cells exhibit nuclear expression of HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α (Fig 4E-4H, S2 Table), along with SOX2 and OCT3/4, as stated above.

Remarkably, we also found MYCC as being highly expressed in our tumors (Fig 4A, S1 and S2 Tables). In adult tissues, MYCC expression is usually low and restricted to cells with proliferative potential [34]. To activate or repress transcription consistently, MYCC has to be stabilized by phosphorylation at the N-terminus of Ser 62, which is known to protect the MYC protein from proteasomal degradation [35]. The stabilized form of MYCC is also highly expressed in our tumors (Fig 4B, S2 Table). Moreover, MYCC has to heterodimerize with a partner protein, MYC-associated protein X (MAX) [36], which is also abundantly expressed in our model (Fig 4C and 4D, S1 and S2 Tables).

Next, we tested the response of the RG cells to a prolonged exposure to low oxygen conditions, monitoring the expression level of HIF-2 α , OCT3/4, SOX2, and c-MYC-(Phospho S62) by western blot, using GAPDH or Beta-actin proteins as endogenous controls. Consistent with the aforementioned hypothesis, all these proteins were up-regulated after exposure to hypoxia (Fig 5, S2 Fig).

Accumulation of stabilized p53 is observed in our CNS-PNET tumor model

Hypoxic microenvironment has been implicated in genetic instability [37, 38]. It increases the frequency of mutations in cell culture as well as in animal tumor models [39]. Consequently,



Fig 4. cMYC, c-MYC-(Phospho S62), HIF-1α, HIF-2α in our CNS-PNET model tumors. A: cMYC 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) B: c-MYC-(Phospho S62) 20X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) C: MAX 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: MAX 20X (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) E: HIF-1α 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) F: HIF-1α 20X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) G: HIF-2α 5X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) H: HIF-2α 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) TU-tumor; P-parenchyma; V-ventricle; N-necrosis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173106.g004



Fig 5. Western blot. Protein quantitative difference between the RG cells grown for 24 days in normoxic versus hypoxic conditions. The quantitative differences of the proteins calculated after the correction for actual protein loaded per lane using the GAPDH protein control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173106.g005

PLOS ONE

hypoxia could inactivate the function of the key tumor-suppressor gene TP53, which would reduce hypoxia-induced cell death and allow the survival of cells with cumulative DNA damage [40]. Mutations of the TP53 gene are detected in many different types of human cancer [41–43], with the majority of them being missense mutations within the DNA-binding core domain [44]. However, the pathways responsible for these molecular alterations have yet to be identified.

Remarkably, p53 is overexpressed in our model (Fig 6B and 6C, S1 and S2 Tables) with more than 90% of tumor cells showing nuclear expression of the protein. In contrast, it is expressed in less than 10% of the parental RG cells (Fig 6A). This pattern of p53 immunoexpression in the tumors is indicative of the presence of mutant p53 protein. As wild-type p53 protein is relatively unstable it is virtually undetectable by immunohistochemistry, while the mutant p53 becomes stabilized, and accumulates in the nucleus, thereby making its detection by immunohistochemistry possible [45]. Surprisingly, comparative sequence analysis of RNA-Seq data derived from TP53 in the parental RG and tumor cells in our model did not reveal any SNVs or indels (data not shown, see Material and Methods). It is conceivable, however, that the documented stabilization of p53 might be due to an aberrant posttranslational modification, which is known to suppress p53 functional activities, including growth arrest and transcriptional activation of target genes [46], as the stabilization of p53 is depicted in actively invading tumor cells (Fig 6B and 6C digital inserts). Notably, we found the transcription factor YB1 being expressed at high levels in our tumor model (Fig 6D, S1 and S2 Tables). YB1 expression in the cytoplasm of tumor cells is consistent with the functional inactivation of TP53, as nuclear translocation of YB1 would require expression of wild-type p53 [47, 48]. YB1 is an essential marker of tumorigenesis as its expression significantly correlates with tumor stage and patient prognosis for many human tumors, including glioblastoma and medulloblastoma [49-53]. The aberrant modification of p53 protein might also render resistance to MDM2 mediated degradation [46], despite the elevated level of MDM2 in the tumor tissue (Fig 6E and 6F, S2 Table). MDM2 is involved in ubiquitination and proteasome degradation of wild-type p53 protein, and considered as one of the key regulators of p53 stability [46]. Of note, the mRNA level of TP53 is reduced in the tumor (S3A Fig), along with the mRNA level of P21 (S3B Fig)-a direct target of active p53 [48], which might indicate the functional integrity of MDM2 as it is known to block TP53 transcription [54, 55]. Nevertheless, at this point





Fig 6. Expression of TP53, YB1 and MDM2 in our CNS-PNET model tumors A. TP53 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R) B: TP53 40x, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) C: TP53 40x, insert- 5X digital (LC26-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) D: YB-1 40X, insert- 5X digital (LCAS-R-12 weeks post-injection) TU-tumor; P-parenchyma; V-ventricle.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0173106.g006

we can't unequivocally prove the functional inactivation of the stabilized p53, which will be the subject of a follow up study.

Discussion

As PNET transcriptome profiles from recent studies exhibit a significant overlap with those observed for a number of brain tumor types [5], it is conceivable that cell origin or onset of tumorigenesis are also shared in these tumors, which make our model potentially relevant to a broad range of brain tumors, including a variety of embryonal tumors, beyond CNS-PNET.

The fact that tumors in our model can be consistently generated in only 4–8 weeks, rapidly progressing to large, aggressively disseminating tumors point to the robustness of the molecular mechanisms underlying tumorigenesis in our model. Such a behavior may suggest the occurrence of rather few discrete alterations of major functional significance, most likely of epigenetic nature [56]. Admittedly highly speculative, one possible scenario may be that the hypoxic micro-environment of the 3rd sub-ventricular zone triggers tumorigenic epigenetic alterations in our RG cells, whose transcription and epigenetic landscapes represent the early stages of primitive neuro-ectoderm differentiation [15]. The presence of RG—BTIC markers such as SOX2, Vimentin, Nestin, and of BTIC marker OCT3/4 also suggest that our CNS-PNETs might arise directly from the orthotopically transplanted RG, or from its early progeny, which, based on our hypothesis, would undergo transformation to become BTICs, thus initiating tumorigenesis. It is also conceivable that the MYCC onco-protein plays a central role in this process.

MYCC is evolutionarily conserved [57], and its role as a signal transducer linking the extracellular and intracellular domains may track back to single-cell organisms [58]. It is a highly multifunctional transcription factor [59–61], which acts as a general amplifier in the regions of open chromatin at the time of MYCC activation [62]. Deregulated expression of MYCC in cancer commonly occurs through constitutive activation of upstream signaling pathways. [63].

Overexpression of MYCC enhances HIF-1 α accumulation under hypoxic conditions [64]. Moreover, the dysregulated expression of MYCC synergizes with HIFs to form the tumor metabolic phenotype that is described as aerobic glycolysis [65], and to promote MYCC -induced anchorage-independent growth and cell proliferation [64]. MYCC is essential not only for tumor initiation and progression but also for tumor maintenance [66–72]. As with HIFs, the dysregulated expression of MYCC could also synergize with the loss of the tumor suppressor TP53, which in turn would induce cellular proliferation and tumorigenesis [73], particularly in NSCs [74]. A recently published study revealed significant association of TP53 mutation and MYC gene family up-regulation at relapse in medulloblastoma patients [75].

Despite the fact that altered expression of the MYCC oncogene occurs in ~70% of human tumors, the specific mechanism that affects MYCC in each tumor type is still largely unknown [76, 32]. Moreover, a comprehensive assessment of the MYCC target genes in different brain tumors is also missing. Such a comprehensive identification of MYCC target genes might constitute the basis for a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying MYCC-driven tumorigenesis, and for development of new therapeutic strategies. Our model might be exploited to facilitate such analyses and to decode PNET's specific mechanism of MYCC dysregulation. Lastly, it might also be used to validate experimental drugs targeting MYCC, which are likely to aid in combined therapy regimens [77–79].

Fundamental questions remain regarding the mechanisms by which hypoxia induces genetic alterations (such as TP53 mutation), controls cell proliferation, and contributes to the altered metabolic phenotype of cancer cells. Furthermore, it remains to be determined if hypoxia is indeed responsible for triggering tumorigenesis in our model, which will be the object of future investigations. These studies are likely to prove relevant to the broad range of tumors that are affected by hypoxia.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we showed that the presence of stabilized HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α , up-regulation of MYCC, and accumulation of stabilized p53 constitute hallmarks of CNS-PNET in our model, and we speculate that such alterations might represent the basis for tumorigenesis in this tumor model. We also put forth the hypothesis that these three events might be interdependent, and that hypoxia might be one of the initiating micro- environmental factors underlying tumorigenesis in our CNS-PNET model.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. A clustering analysis of RNA seq. data from the three of our CNS-PNET model tumors of different origin, along with ten PNETs, ten glioblastomas (GBM) and ten low grade gliomas (LGG) from publicly available RNA seq. domains. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Western blot. Protein quantitative difference (triplicate) between the RG cells grown for 24 days in normoxic versus hypoxic conditions. The quantitative differences of the proteins calculated after the correction for actual protein loaded per lane using the Beta-actin protein control. OCT3/4 protein quantitative difference was meagured as a duplicate due to unspecific background signal in the area of the protein band in normoxia conditions from one of the experiments. (TIF)

S3 Fig. Real-time PCR. A: TP53 relative quantity (RQ) in LCAS-RT compared to LCAS-R, B: P21 relative quantity (RQ) in LCAS-RT compared to LCAS-R. (TIF)

S1 Table. Table of TF and receptors: 225 transcription factors and receptors, which were consistently expressed in our tumors at intermediate or high level of expression (similar or higher than average house-keeping gene expression level). TF-Transcription Factor. (DOCX)

S2 Table. Formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue used for the immunohistochemical analyses: X indicates the FFPE tumor tissue, which was analyzed with the Abs presented in the study. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Jose Hernandez, Yekaterina Komarov and Jianping Xie from Stanley Manne Children's Research Institute, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago for providing support with the animal study. We also thank Yulia Kostenko from the Department of Cancer Biology & Pharmacology, University of Illinois College of Medicine, Peoria for providing support with the RT-PCR study.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: SM STS MBS.

Data curation: SM YB.

Formal analysis: SM YB.

Funding acquisition: MBS.

Investigation: SM STS YB NVM JB IM.

Methodology: SM.

Project administration: SM.

Resources: SM MBS.

Software: YB RVD.

Supervision: SM.

Validation: SM STS YB JB.

Visualization: SM STS YB JB.

Writing – original draft: SM STS.

Writing - review & editing: SM STS TT MBS.

References

1. Pomeroy SL, Tamayo P, Gaasenbeek M, Sturla LM, Angelo M, McLaughlin ME, et al. Prediction of central nervous system embryonal tumour outcome based on gene expression. Nature 2002; 415, 436– 442. doi: 10.1038/415436a PMID: 11807556

- Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, Cavenee WK, Burger PC, Jouvet A, et al. The 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system. Acta Neuropathol. 2007; 114, 97–109. doi: 10.1007/ s00401-007-0243-4 PMID: 17618441
- Dolecek TA, Propp JM, Stroup NE, Kruchko C. CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain and central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2005–2009. Neuro Oncol. 2013; 15, 646– 647.
- Picard D, Miller S, Hawkins CE, Bouffet E, Rogers HA, Chan TS, et al. Markers of survival and metastatic potential in childhood CNS primitive neuro-ectodermal brain tumours: an integrative genomic analysis. Lancet Oncol. 2012; 13, 838–848. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70257-7 PMID: 22691720
- Sturm D, Orr BA, Toprak UH, Hovestadt V, Jones DT, Capper D, et al. New Brain Tumor Entities Emerge from Molecular Classification of CNS-PNETs. Cell 2016; 164, 1060–1072. doi: 10.1016/j.cell. 2016.01.015 PMID: 26919435
- Behdad A, Perry A. Central nervous system primitive neuroectodermal tumors: a clinicopathologic and genetic study of 33 cases. Brain Pathology 2010; 20, 441–450. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3639.2009.00314.x PMID: 19725831
- Liu Z, Zhao X, Wang Y, Mao H, Huang Y, Kogiso M, et al. A patient tumor-derived orthotopic xenograft mouse model replicating the group 3 supratentorial primitive neuroectodermal tumor in children. Neuro-Oncology 2014; 16, 787–799. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/not244 PMID: 24470556
- Malchenko S, Sredni ST, Hashimoto H, Kasai A, Nagayasu K, Xie J, et al. A Mouse Model of Human Primitive Neuroectodermal Tumors Resulting from Microenvironmentally-Driven Malignant Transformation of Orthotopically Transplanted Radial Glial Cells. PLoS One 2015;
- Trapnell C, Roberts A, Goff L, Pertea G, Kim D, Kelley DR, et al. Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. Nat. Protocols 2012; 7, 562–578. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2012.016 PMID: 22383036
- Anders S., Pyl P.T., Huber W. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 2014; 31, 166–169. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638 PMID: 25260700
- Anders S. & Huber W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. Genome Biol. 2010; 11, R106. doi: 10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106 PMID: 20979621
- Trapnell C. DG, Sauvageau M, Goff L, Rinn JL, Pachter L. Differential analysis of gene regulation at transcript resolution with RNA-seq. Nat. Biotechnol. 2013; 31, 46–53. doi: <u>10.1038/nbt.2450</u> PMID: 23222703
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, et al. The Sequence Alignment/ Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics.2009; 25, 2078–2079. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352 PMID: 19505943
- Koboldt DC, Chen K, Wylie T, Larson DE, McLellan MD, Mardis ER, et al.VarScan: variant detection in massively parallel sequencing of individual and pooled samples. Bioinformatics.2009; 25, 2283–2285 doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp373 PMID: 19542151
- Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010; 38, e164. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkg603 PMID: 20601685
- Malchenko S, Xie J, Bonaldo MF, Vanin EF, Bhattacharyya BJ, Belmadani A, et al. Onset of rosette formation during spontaneous neural differentiation of hESC and hiPSC colonies. Gene 2014; 534, 400–407. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2013.07.101 PMID: 23954875
- Hemmati HD, Nakano I, Lazareff JA, Masterman-Smith M, Geschwind DH, Bronner-Fraser M, et al. Cancerous stem cells can arise from pediatric brain tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100, 15178–15183. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2036535100 PMID: 14645703
- Manoranjan B, Garg N, Bakhshinyan D, Singh SK. The Role of Stem Cells in Pediatric Central Nervous System Malignancies. In Stem Cell Biology in Neoplasms of the Central Nervous System, Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 2015; 853:49–68,
- Seymour T, Nowak A, Kakulas F. Targeting Aggressive Cancer Stem Cells in Glioblastoma. Front Oncol. 2015; 20, 159.
- Andoniadou CL, Gaston-Massuet C, Reddy R, Schneider RP, Blasco MA, Le Tissier P, et al. Identification of novel pathways involved in the pathogenesis of human adamantinomatous craniopharyngioma. Acta Neuropathol. 2012; 124, 259–271. doi: 10.1007/s00401-012-0957-9 PMID: 22349813
- 21. Wiese C, Rolletschek A, Kania G, Blyszczuk P, Tarasov KV, Tarasova Y, et al. Nestin expression–a property of multi-lineage progenitor cells? Cell Mol LifeSci. 2004; 61, 2510–2522.
- 22. Sakurada K, Saino M, Mouri W, Sato A, Kitanaka C, Kayama T. Nestin expression in central nervous system germ cell tumors. Neurosurg Rev. 2008; 31, 173–176. doi: 10.1007/s10143-007-0115-3 PMID: 18092184

- Khan Z, Shervington A, Munje C, Shervington L. The complexity of identifying cancer stem cell biomarkers. Cancer Invest. 2013; 31, 404–411. doi: 10.3109/07357907.2013.802800 PMID: 23758188
- 24. Kahlert UD, Bender NO, Maciaczyk D, Bogiel T1, EE, Eberhart CG, et al. CD133/CD15 defines distinct cell subpopulations with differential in vitro clonogenic activity and stem cell-related gene expression profile in in vitro propagated glioblastoma multiforme-derived cell line with a PNET-like component. Folia Neuropathol 2012; 50 357–368. PMID: 23319191
- Mohyeldin A, Garzon-Muvdi T, Quinones-Hinojosa A. Oxygen in stem cell biology: a critical component of the stem cell niche. Cell Stem Cell. 2010; 7, 150–161. doi: <u>10.1016/j.stem.2010.07.007</u> PMID: 20682444
- Keith B, Simon MC. Hypoxia-inducible factors, stem cells, and cancer. Cell. 2007; 129, 465–472. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.04.019 PMID: 17482542
- Palma CS, Tannous MA, Malta TM, Russo EMS, Covas DT, Picanço-Castro V. Forced expression of OCT4 influences the expression of pluripotent genes in human mesenchymal stem cells and fibroblasts. Genetics and Molecular Research 2013; 12, 1054–1060. doi: <u>10.4238/2013.April.2.22</u> PMID: 23613252
- Pelengaris S, Khan M, Evan G I. Suppression of Myc induced apoptosis in beta cells exposes multiple oncogenic properties of Myc and triggers carcinogenic progression. Cell 2002; 109, 321–334. PMID: 12015982
- Vafa O, Wade M, Kern S, Beeche M, Pandita TK, Hampton GM, et al. c-Myc can induce DNA damage, increase reactive oxygen species, and mitigate p53 function: a mechanism for oncogene-induced genetic instability. Mol. Cell 2002; 9, 1031–1044. PMID: 12049739
- Pouyssegur J, Dayan F, Mazure NM. Hypoxia signalling in cancer and approaches to enforce tumour regression. Nature. 2006; 441, 437–443. doi: 10.1038/nature04871 PMID: 16724055
- Covello KL, Kehler J, Yu H, Gordan JD, Arsham AM, Hu CJ, et al. HIF-2alpha regulates Oct-4: effects of hypoxia on stem cell function, embryonic development, and tumor growth. Genes Dev. 2006; 20, 557–570. doi: 10.1101/gad.1399906 PMID: 16510872
- Dang CV, Kim JW, Gao P, Yustein J. The interplay between MYC and HIF in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2008; 8, 51–56. doi: 10.1038/nrc2274 PMID: 18046334
- Brahimi-Horn MC, Chiche J, Pouyssegur J. Hypoxia signaling controls metabolic demand. Curr.Opin. Cell Biol. 2007; 19, 223–229. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2007.02.003 PMID: 17303407
- Marcu K B, Bossone SA, Patel AJ. myc function and regulation. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 1992; 61, 809– 860. doi: 10.1146/annurev.bi.61.070192.004113 PMID: 1497324
- Sears R, Nuckolls F, Haura E, Taya Y, Tamai K, Nevins JR. Multiple Ras-dependent phosphorylation pathways regulate Myc protein stability. Genes & Development 2000; 14, 2501–2514
- Adhikary S, Eilers M. Transcriptional regulation and transformation by Myc proteins. Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2005; 6, 635–645.
- Huang LE, Bindra RS, Glazer PM, Harris AL. Hypoxia-induced genetic instability—a calculated mechanism underlying tumor progression. J. Mol. Med. 2007; 85, 139–148. doi: 10.1007/s00109-006-0133-6 PMID: 17180667
- Bristow RG, Hill RP. Hypoxia and metabolism. Hypoxia, DNA repair and genetic instability. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2008; 8, 180–192. doi: 10.1038/nrc2344 PMID: 18273037
- Reynolds TY, Rockwell S, Glazer PM. Genetic instability induced by the tumor microenvironment. Cancer Res. 1996; 56, 5754–5757. PMID: 8971187
- Graeber TG, Osmanian C, Jacks T, Housman DE, Koch CJ, Lowe SW, et al. Hypoxia-mediated selection of cells with diminished apoptotic potential in solid tumours. Nature 1996; 379, 88–91. doi: 10. 1038/379088a0 PMID: 8538748
- Bennett WP, Hollstein MC, Hsu IC, Sidransky D, Lane DP, Vogelstein B, et al. Mutational spectra and immunohistochemical analyses of p53 in human cancers. Chest 1992; 101, 19S–20S. PMID: 1541189
- Nigro JM, Baker SJ, Preisinger AC, Jessup JM, Hostetter R, Cleary K, et al Mutations in the p53 gene occur in diverse human tumour types. Nature 1989; 342, 705–708. doi: <u>10.1038/342705a0</u> PMID: <u>2531845</u>
- Hollstein M, Sidransky D, Vogelstein B, Harris CC. p53 mutations in human cancers. Science 1991; 253, 49–53. PMID: <u>1905840</u>
- 44. Hainaut P, Hollstein M. p53 and human cancer: the first ten thousand mutations. Adv. Cancer Res. 2000; 77, 81–137. PMID: 10549356
- 45. Yemelyanova A, Vang R, Kshirsagar M, Lu D, Marks MA, Shih IeM. Immunohistochemical staining patterns of p53 can serve as a surrogate marker for TP53 mutations in ovarian carcinoma: an

immunohistochemical and nucleotide sequencing analysis. Modern Pathology 2011; 24, 1248–1253. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.2011.85 PMID: 21552211

- Zaika A, Marchenko N, Moll U M. Cytoplasmically "sequestered" wild type p53 protein is resistant to MDM2-mediated degradation. J. Biol. Chem. 1999; 274: 27474–27480 PMID: 10488081
- Homer C, Knight DA, Hananeia L, Sheard P, Risk J, Lasham A, et al. Y-box factor YB1 controls p53 apoptotic function. Oncogene 2005; 24, 8314–8325. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1208998 PMID: 16158057
- Zhang YF, Homer C, Edwards SJ, Hananeia L, Lasham A, Royds J, et al. Nuclear localization of Ybox factor YB1 requires wild-type p53. Oncogene 2003; 22, 2782–2794. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1206357 PMID: 12743601
- Kohno K, Izumi H, Uchiumi T, Ashizuka M, Kuwano M. The pleiotropic functions of the Y-box-binding protein, YB-1. BioEssays 2003; 25, 691–698. doi: 10.1002/bies.10300 PMID: 12815724
- Janz M, Harbeck N, Dettmar P, Berger U, Schmidt A, Jurchott K, et al. Y-box factor YB-1 predicts drug resistance and patient outcome in breast cancer independent of clinically relevant tumor biologic factors HER2, uPA and PAI-1. Int. J. Cancer 2002; 97, 278–282. PMID: 11774277
- Bargou RC, Jurchott K, Wagener C, Bergmann S, Metzner S, Bommert K, et al. Nuclear localization and increased levels of transcription factor YB-1 in primary human breast cancers are associated with intrinsic MDR1 gene expression. Nat. Med. 1997; 3, 447–450. PMID: 9095180
- Faury D, Nantel A, Dunn SE, Guiot MC, Haque T, Hauser P et al. Molecular profiling identifies prognostic subgroups of pediatric glioblastoma and shows increased YB-1 expression in tumors. J. Clin. Oncol. 2007; 25, 1196–1208. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2006.07.8626 PMID: 17401009
- Dey A, Robitaille M, Remke M, Maier C, Malhotra A, Gregorieff A, et al. YB-1 is elevated in medulloblastoma and drives proliferation in Sonic hedgehog-dependent cerebellar granule neuron progenitor cells and medulloblastoma cells. Oncogene 2016, 1–13.
- Chen J, Marechal V, Levine A J. Mapping of the p53 and mdm-2 interaction domains. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1993; 13, 4107–4114. PMID: 7686617
- Oliner JD, Pietenpol JA, Thiagalingam S, Gyuris J, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Oncoprotein MDM2 conceals the activation domain of tumour suppressor p53. Nature 1993; 362, 857–860. doi: 10.1038/ 362857a0 PMID: 8479525
- 56. Kozono D, Li J, Nitta M, Sampetrean O, Gonda D, Kushwaha DS. c-Myc: Dynamic epigenetic regulation of glioblastoma tumorigenicity through LSD1 modulation of MYC expression. PNAS 2015; 112, E4055–E4064. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1501967112 PMID: 26159421
- Gallant P. Myc/Max/Mad in invertebrates: the evolution of the Max network. Curr Top Microbiol. Immunol. 2006; 302, 235–253. PMID: 16620031
- Young SL, Diolaiti D, Conacci-Sorrell M, Ruiz-Trillo I, Eisenman RN, King N. Premetazoan ancestry of the Myc–Max network. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2011; 28, 2961–2971. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msr132 PMID: 21571926
- Grandori C, Cowley SM, James LP, Eisenman RN. The Myc/Max/Mad network and the transcriptional control of cell behavior. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 2000; 16, 653–699. doi: <u>10.1146/annurev.cellbio.16</u>. 1.653 PMID: 11031250
- Nasi S, Ciarapica R, Jucker R, Rosati J, Soucek L. Making decisions through Myc. FEBS Lett. 2001; 490,153–162. PMID: 11223030
- Albihn A, Johnsen JI, Henriksson MA. MYC in oncogenesis and as a target for cancer therapies. Adv. Cancer Res. 2010; 107, 163–224. doi: 10.1016/S0065-230X(10)07006-5 PMID: 20399964
- Nie Z, Hu G, Wei G, Cui K, Yamane A, Resch W, et al. c-Myc is a universal amplifier of expressed genes in lymphocytes and embryonic stem cells. Cell 2012; 151, 68–79. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.08. 033 PMID: 23021216
- Eilers M, Eisenman RN. Myc's broad reach. Genes Dev. 2008; 22, 2755–2766. doi: <u>10.1101/gad.</u> 1712408 PMID: 18923074
- Doe MR, Ascano J, Kaur M, Cole MD. Myc post-transcriptionally induces HIF1 protein and target gene expression in normal and cancer cells. Cancer Res. 2012; 72, 949–957. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-2371 PMID: 22186139
- Gordan JD, Thompson CB, Simon MC. HIF and c-Myc: sibling rivals for control of cancer cell metabolism and proliferation. Cancer Cell 2007; 12,108–113. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2007.07.006 PMID: 17692803
- **66.** Gabay M, Li Y, Felsher DW. MYC activation is a hallmark of cancer initiation and maintenance. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. 2014; 4, pii: a014241.
- Arvanitis C, Felsher DW. Conditional transgenic models define how MYC initiates and maintains tumorigenesis. Semin. Cancer Biol. 2006; 16, 313–317. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2006.07.012 PMID: 16935001

- Shachaf CM, Kopelman AM, Arvanitis C, Karlsson A, Beer S, Mandl S, et al. MYC inactivation uncovers pluripotent differentiation and tumour dormancy in hepatocellular cancer. Nature 2004; 431, 1112– 1117. doi: 10.1038/nature03043 PMID: 15475948
- Felsher DW, Bishop JM. Reversible tumorigenesis by MYC in hematopoietic lineages. Mol. Cell 1999; 4, 199–207. PMID: 10488335
- 70. Jain M, Arvanitis C, Chu K, Dewey W, Leonhardt E, Trinh M, et al. Sustained loss of a neoplastic phenotype by brief inactivation of MYC. Science 2002; 297, 102–104. doi: 10.1126/science.1071489 PMID: 12098700
- 71. Pelengaris S, Khan M, Evan GI. Suppression of Myc-induced apoptosis in β cells exposes multiple oncogenic properties of Myc and triggers carcinogenic progression. Cell 2002; 109, 321–334. PMID: 12015982
- 72. Karlsson A, Giuriato S, Tang F, Fung-Weier J, Levan G, Felsher DW. Genomically complex lymphomas undergo sustained tumor regression upon MYC inactivation unless they acquire novel chromosomal translocations. Blood 2003; 101, 2797–2803. doi: 10.1182/blood-2002-10-3091 PMID: 12517816
- 73. Beer S, Zetterberg A, Ihrie RA, McTaggart RA, Yang Q, Bradon N, et al. Developmental context determines latency of MYC-induced tumorigenesis. PLoS Biol 2004; 2: e332. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pbio.</u>0020332 PMID: 15455033
- 74. Pei Y, Moore CE, Wang J, Tewari AK, Eroshkin A, Cho YJ, et al. An Animal Model of MYC-Driven Medulloblastoma. Cancer Cell 2012; 21, 155–167. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2011.12.021 PMID: 22340590
- 75. Hill RM, Kuijper S, Lindsey JC, Petrie K, Schwalbe EC, Barker K, et al. Combined MYC and P53 Defects Emerge at Medulloblastoma Relapse and Define Rapidly Progressive, Therapeutically Targetable Disease. Cancer Cell 2015; 27, 72–84. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2014.11.002 PMID: 25533335
- Nilsson JA, Cleveland JL. Myc pathways provoking cell suicide and cancer. Oncogene. 2003; 22, 9007–9021. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1207261 PMID: 14663479
- 77. Soucek L, Whitfield J, Martins CP, Finch AJ, Murphy DJ, Sodir NM, et al. Modelling Myc inhibition as a cancer therapy. Nature 2008; 455, 679–683. doi: 10.1038/nature07260 PMID: 18716624
- 78. Soucek L, Whitfield JR, Sodir NM, Massó-Vallés D, Serrano E, Karnezis AN, et al. Inhibition of Myc family proteins eradicates KRas-driven lung cancer in mice. Genes Dev. 2013; 27, 504–513. doi: <u>10.1101/</u> gad.205542.112 PMID: 23475959
- **79.** Delmore JE, Issa GC, Lemieux ME, Rahl PB, Shi J, Jacobs HM, et al. BET Bromodomain Inhibition as a Therapeutic Strategy to Target c-Myc. Cell 2011; 146, 904–917. doi: <u>10.1016/j.cell.2011.08.017</u> PMID: 21889194