

Construction of k -Hyperideals by P -Hyperoperations

H. Hedayati, R. Ameri*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Science,

University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran

e-mail : {h.hedayati, ameri}@umz.ac.ir

Abstract

In this note we present a method to construction new k -hyperideals from given k -ideals of a semiring R by using of the P -hyperoperations. Then we investigate the relationship between them. In particular, we describe all k -hyperideals of the semihyperring of the nonnegative integers.

Keywords: (semi)hyperring, k -(hyper)ideal, P -hyperoperation, weak distributive

1

1 Introduction

Hyperstructures theory was born in 1934 when Marty [12] defined hypergroups as a generalization of groups. Also Wall in 1937 defined the notion of cyclic hypergroup. This theory has been studied in the following decades and nowadays by many mathematicians. A short review of the theory of hypergroups appears in [2]. A recent books [2], [3] and [15] contain a wealth of applications. There are applications

^{1*} Correspondence Author

to the following subjects: geometry, hypergraphs, binary relations, combinatorics, codes, cryptography, probability, groups, rational algebraic functions and etc. One of the several contexts which they arise is hyperring. First M. Krasner studied hyperrings, which is a triple $(R, +, \cdot)$, where $(R, +)$ is a canonical hypergroup and (R, \cdot) is a semigroup, such that for all $a, b, c \in R$, $a(b + c) = ab + ac$, $(b + c)a = ba + ca$ ([10]).

The notion of k -ideals in ordinary semirings was introduced by D. R. Latore in 1965 ([11]). Also M. K. Sen and others worked on one-sided k -ideals and maximal k -ideals of semirings ([14], [16]).

The authors in [6] introduced the notion of k -hyperideals in the sense of Krasner and obtained some related results about this notion. We now follow [6] to introduce a method to construct new k -hyperideals from given k -ideals.

In section 2 of this paper, we gather all the preliminaries of (semi)hyperrings and k -(hyper)ideals which will be used in the next sections. In section 3, we represent some methods for construction semihyperrings from semirings by P -hyperoperations and then we investigate the relationship between their k -hyperideals and k -ideals. As an important result of this section, all k -hyperideals of the nonnegative integers \mathbb{N}^* as a semihyperring, constructed by P -hyperoperations, are described. In section 4, we characterize the k -hyperideals of product of semihyperrings which are made by P -hyperoperations and a family of semirings.

2 Preliminaries

A map $\circ : H \times H \longrightarrow P_*(H)$ is called *hyperoperation* or *join operation*. A *hypergroupoid* is a set H with together a (binary) hyperoperation \circ . A hypergroupoid (H, \circ) , which is associative, that is $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z, \forall x, y, z \in H$ is called a *semihypergroup*.

A *hypergroup* is a semihypergroup such that $\forall x \in H$ we have $x \circ H = H = H \circ x$, which is called *reproduction axiom* (see [2]).

Let H be a hypergroup and K be a nonempty subset of H . Then K is said to be

a *subhypergroup* of H if itself is a hypergroup under hyperoperation "o" restricted to K . Hence it is clear that a subset K of H is a subhypergroup if and only if $aK = Ka = K$, under the hyperoperation on H .

Definition 2.1. A hyperalgebra $(R, +, \cdot)$ is called a *semihyperring* if and only if

- (i) $(R, +)$ is a semihypergroup;
- (ii) (R, \cdot) is a semigroup;
- (iii) $\forall a, b, c \in R, a.(a + b) = a.b + a.c$ and $(b + c).a = b.a + c.a$.

Remark. In Definition 2.1, if we replace (iii) by

$$\forall a, b, c \in R, a.(a + b) \subseteq a.b + a.c \text{ and } (b + c).a \subseteq b.a + c.a,$$

we say that R is a *weak distributive* semihyperring.

A semihyperring R is called with *zero element*, if there exists an unique element $0 \in R$ such that $0 + x = x = x + 0$ and $0x = 0 = x0$ for all $x \in R$.

A semihyperring R is called *additive commutative*, if $x + y = y + x, \forall x, y \in R$.

A semihyperring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is called a *hyperring* provided $(R, +)$ is a canonical hypergroup.

Definition 2.2. A hyperring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is called

- (i) *commutative* if $a.b = b.a$ for all $a, b \in R$;
- (ii) *with identity*, if there exists an element, say $1 \in R$, such that $1.x = x.1 = x$ for all $x \in R$.

Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a hyperring, a nonempty subset S of R is called a *subhyperring* of R if $(S, +, \cdot)$ is itself a hyperring.

Definition 2.3. A subhyperring I of a hyperring R is said to be a (resp. *right*) *left hyperideal* of R provided that (resp. $x.r \in I$) $r.x \in I$ for all $r \in R$ and for all $x \in I$. We say that I is a *hyperideal* if I is both a left and right hyperideal.

Definition 2.4.[11] Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a semiring. A nonempty subset I of R is called a *left k -ideal* of R , if I is a left ideal of R and for $a \in I$ and $x \in R$ we have

$$a + x \in I \text{ or } x + a \in I \implies x \in I.$$

Similarly a right k -ideal is defined. A two sided k -ideal or simply a k -ideal is both a left and right k -ideal. We denote I as k -ideal (resp. ideal) of R by $I \triangleleft_k R$ (resp. $I \triangleleft R$).

In the sequel, by R we mean a semihyperring, unless otherwise specified.

Definition 2.5.[6] Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a (weak distributive) semihyperring. A nonempty subset I of R is called

(i) a *left* (resp. *right*) *hyperideal* of R if and only if

(a) $(I, +)$ is a semihypergroup of $(R, +)$; and

(b) $rx \in I$ (resp. $xr \in I$), for all $r \in R$ and for all $x \in I$.

(ii) a *hyperideal* of R if it is both left and right hyperideal of R . The hyperideal I of R is denoted by $I \triangleleft_h R$.

(iii) a *left k -hyperideal* of R , if I is a left hyperideal of R and for $a \in I$ and $x \in R$ we have

$$a + x \approx I \text{ or } x + a \approx I \implies x \in I,$$

where by $A \approx B$ we mean $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

(iv) Similarly a right k -hyperideal is defined. A two sided k -hyperideal or simply a k -hyperideal is both a left and right k -hyperideal. We denote I as k -hyperideal of R by $I \triangleleft_{k.h} R$.

3 Construction of k -hyperideals by P -hyperoperations

In this section we apply three kinds of P -hyperoperations (which were introduced for H_v -structures in [15]) to construct semihyperrings from semirings. Then we investigate the relationship between their k -hyperideals and k -ideals .

Definition 3.1. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be semiring and $\emptyset \neq P \subseteq R$. We define two hyperoperations as follows

$$x \oplus_c y = \{x + t + y \mid t \in P\},$$

$$x \odot y = x.y = xy,$$

which \oplus_c is called *centre P-hyperoperation*.

Proposition 3.2. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be semiring and $P \subseteq R$ be a nonempty such that $PR \subseteq P$ and $RP \subseteq P$, then (R, \oplus_c, \odot) is a weak distributive semihyperring.

Proof . First, we show (R, \oplus_c) is a semihypergroup. For this we prove that

$$(x \oplus_c y) \oplus_c z = x \oplus_c (y \oplus_c z).$$

For $x, y, z \in R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \in (x \oplus_c y) \oplus_c z &\implies \exists a_1 \in x \oplus_c y, a \in a_1 \oplus_c z \\ &\implies \exists t_1, t_2 \in P, a = a_1 + t_1 + z, a_1 = x + t_2 + y \\ &\implies a = x + t_2 + y + t_1 + z \\ &\implies a = x + t_2 + b, b = y + t_1 + z \in y \oplus_c z \\ &\implies a \in x \oplus_c b, b \in y \oplus_c z \\ &\implies a \in x \oplus_c (y \oplus_c z) \\ &\implies (x \oplus_c y) \oplus_c z \subseteq x \oplus_c (y \oplus_c z). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain that

$$(x \oplus_c y) \oplus_c z \supseteq x \oplus_c (y \oplus_c z).$$

Clearly (R, \odot) is a semigroup, since (R, \cdot) is a semigroup and $x \odot y = xy$.

We now prove weak distributivity, that is

$$\begin{aligned} x \odot (y \oplus_c z) &\subseteq (x \odot y) \oplus_c (x \odot z) \\ &= xy \oplus_c xz. \end{aligned}$$

For this we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \in x \odot (y \oplus_c z) &\implies \exists a_1 \in y \oplus_c z, a = x \odot a_1 = xa_1 \\ &\implies \exists t \in P, a = xa_1, a_1 = y + t + z \\ &\implies a = x(y + t + z) \\ &= xy + xt + xz \in xy \oplus_c xz \quad (RP \subseteq P) \\ &\implies x \odot (y \oplus_c z) \subseteq xy \oplus_c xz. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we conclude that $(y \oplus_c z) \odot x \subseteq yx \oplus_c zx$. \square

Definition 3.3. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a semiring and $\emptyset \neq P \subseteq R$. We define the following hyperoperations

$$x \oplus_r y = \{x + y + t \mid t \in P\}, \quad x \oplus_l y = \{t + x + y \mid t \in P\},$$

$$x \odot y = xy,$$

which \oplus_r and \oplus_l are called *right P-hyperoperation* and *left P-hyperoperation* respectively.

Proposition 3.4. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a semiring and $P \subseteq R$ be a nonempty such that $PR \subseteq P$ and $RP \subseteq P$ and $x + P = P + x$, for all $x \in R$. Then (R, \oplus_r, \odot) and (R, \oplus_l, \odot) are weak distributive semihyperrings.

Proof. First, we prove that

$$(x \oplus_r y) \oplus_r z = x \oplus_r (y \oplus_r z).$$

For this we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \in (x \oplus_r y) \oplus_r z &\implies \exists a_1 \in x \oplus_r y, a \in a_1 \oplus_r z \\ &\implies \exists t_1, t_2 \in P, a_1 = x + y + t_1, a = a_1 + z + t_2 \\ &\implies \exists t_1, t_2 \in P, a = x + y + t_1 + z + t_2 \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

also we have

$$\begin{aligned} b \in x \oplus_r (y \oplus_r z) &\implies \exists b_1 \in y \oplus_r z, b \in x \oplus_r b_1 \\ &\implies \exists w_1, w_2 \in P, b_1 = y + z + w_1, b = x + b_1 + w_2 \\ &\implies \exists w_1, w_2 \in P, b = x + y + z + w_1 + w_2 \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

From (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a = x + y + t_1 + z + t_2 &= x + y + z + w_1 + t_2, \exists w_1 \in P \quad (z + P = P + z) \\ &\implies a \in x \oplus_r (y \oplus_r z) \quad (\text{by (2)}) \\ &\implies (x \oplus_r y) \oplus_r z \subseteq x \oplus_r (y \oplus_r z). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove that

$$(x \oplus_r y) \oplus_r z \supseteq x \oplus_r (y \oplus_r z).$$

Clearly (R, \odot) is semigroup, since (R, \cdot) is a semigroup. In a similar way to the Proposition 3.2 we can prove weak distributivity. Therefore (R, \oplus_r, \odot) is a weak distributive semihyperring. Analogously we can prove that (R, \oplus_l, \odot) is a weak distributive semihyperring. \square

Remark. In Propositions 3.2 and 3.4, if we replace the conditions $RP \subseteq P$ and $PR \subseteq P$ by $rP = P = Pr$ for all $r \in R$, then (R, \oplus_c, \odot) and (R, \oplus_r, \odot) and (R, \oplus_l, \odot) become semihyperring.

Theorem 3.5. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a semiring with zero and P be the same as Proposition 3.2 such that $0 \in P$. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the k -ideals of $(R, +, \cdot)$ containing P and k -hyperideals of (R, \oplus_c, \odot) .

Proof. Let I be a k -ideal of $(R, +, \cdot)$ containing P . First we prove that $I \triangleleft_h (R, \oplus_c, \odot)$. Suppose that $x, y \in I$, we prove $x \oplus_c y \subseteq I$. For this we have

$$\begin{aligned} z \in x \oplus_c y &\implies \exists t \in P \subseteq I, z = x + t + y \\ &\implies z = x + t + y \in I \quad (\text{since } x, t, y \in I) \\ &\implies x \oplus_c y \subseteq I. \end{aligned}$$

Also if $r \in R$ and $x \in I$, then $r \odot x = rx \in I$, since $I \triangleleft (R, +, \cdot)$. Thus I is a hyperideal of (R, \oplus_c, \odot) . We now prove that $I \triangleleft_{k,h} (R, \oplus_c, \odot)$. For $r \in R$ and $x \in I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} r \oplus_c x \approx I &\implies \exists z \in r \oplus_c x \approx I \\ &\implies \exists t \in P, z = r + t + x, z \in I \\ &\implies r + t + x \in I, t + x \in I \\ &\implies r \in I \quad (\text{since } I \triangleleft_k (R, +, \cdot)) \\ &\implies I \triangleleft_{k,h} (R, \oplus_c, \odot). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that $I \triangleleft_{k,h} (R, \oplus_c, \odot)$. We prove that I is a k -ideal of $(R, +, \cdot)$ containing P . For this we have

$$\begin{aligned} x, y \in I &\implies x \oplus_c y \subseteq I && (I \triangleleft_h (R, \oplus_c, \odot)) \\ &\implies \forall t \in P, x + t + y \in I \\ &\implies x + y \in I && (0 \in P). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} r \in R, x \in I &\implies r \odot x \in I && (I \triangleleft_h (R, \oplus_c, \odot)) \\ &\implies rx \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} r + x \in I, x \in I &\implies r + 0 + x \in I, x \in I && (0 \in P) \\ &\implies r \oplus_c x \approx I, x \in I \\ &\implies r \in I && (I \triangleleft_{k,h} (R, \oplus_c, \odot)) \\ &\implies I \triangleleft_k (R, +, \cdot). \end{aligned}$$

We have $0 \oplus_c 0 \subseteq I$, then $\{0 + t + 0 \mid t \in P\} \subseteq I$, therefore $P \subseteq I$. \square

Theorem 3.6. Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a semiring with zero and P be the same as Proposition 3.4 such that $0 \in P$. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between k -ideals of $(R, +, \cdot)$ containing P and k -hyperideals of $((R, \oplus_l, \odot)) (R, \oplus_r, \odot)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5 by some manipulation. \square

Examples. (i) Let \mathbb{N} be the set of natural numbers and $2\mathbb{N} = \{2, 4, 6, 8, \dots\}$. Clearly $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot)$ is a semiring and $2\mathbb{N}$ is a k -ideal of $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot)$. Now if $P = \{4, 8, 12, 16, \dots\} \subseteq 2\mathbb{N}$, then it is easy to verify that $(\mathbb{N}, \oplus_c, \odot)$ is a weak distributive semihyperring, where for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$m \oplus_c n = \{m + k + n \mid k \in P\} \quad \text{and} \quad m \odot n = mn.$$

Thus $2\mathbb{N}$ is a k -hyperideal of $(\mathbb{N}, \oplus_c, \odot)$.

(ii) Let $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{N}^*[x] = \{f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$. Clearly $(\mathbb{N}^*[x], +, \cdot)$ is a semiring and $\langle x \rangle = \{f(x) \in \mathbb{N}^*[x] \mid a_0 = 0\}$ is a k -ideal of $(\mathbb{N}^*[x], +, \cdot)$ generated by x . Set $P = \langle x^m \rangle$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, $0 \in P \subseteq \langle x \rangle$. Then by Propositions 3.2 and 3.5, $(\mathbb{N}^*[x], \oplus_c, \odot)$ is a weak distributive semihyperring and $\langle x \rangle$ is a k -hyperideal of $(\mathbb{N}^*[x], \oplus_c, \odot)$.

In the next theorem we describe all k -hyperideals of semihyperring of the natural numbers constructed by P -hyperoperation. For this we consider the semiring $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot)$ of natural numbers by usual ordinary operations.

Theorem 3.7. Let $0 \in P \subseteq \mathbb{N}^*$ and $P\mathbb{N}^* \subseteq P$ and $\mathbb{N}^*P \subseteq P$ and $P \subseteq I$. Then I is a k -hyperideal of $(\mathbb{N}^*, \oplus_c, \odot)$ if and only if there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $I = \{na \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, $I \triangleleft_{k,h} (\mathbb{N}^*, \oplus_c, \odot)$ if and only if $I \triangleleft_k (\mathbb{N}^*, +, \cdot)$. Also by Proposition 4.1 [14], $I \triangleleft_k (\mathbb{N}^*, +, \cdot)$ if and only if there exists $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $I = \{na \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$. \square

4 Product of k -hyperideals

In the sequel by $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$, we mean the *cartesian product* of the family $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$. It means

$$\prod_{i \in I} R_i = \{(x_i)_{i \in I} \mid x_i \in R_i\}.$$

Proposition 4.1. Let $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of semirings and $P_i \subseteq R_i$ be nonempty such that $R_i P_i \subseteq P_i$ and $P_i R_i \subseteq P_i$, for all $i \in I$. For $(x_i)_{i \in I}, (y_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} R_i$. Define

$$(x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c (y_i)_{i \in I} = \{(x_i + t_i + y_i)_{i \in I} \mid t_i \in P_i\},$$

$$(x_i)_{i \in I} \odot (y_i)_{i \in I} = (x_i y_i)_{i \in I}.$$

Then $(\prod_{i \in I} R_i, \oplus_c, \odot)$ is a weak distributive semihyperring.

Proof. First we show that $(\prod_{i \in I} R_i, \oplus_c)$ is a semihypergroup. For this we prove that

$$(x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c [(y_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c (z_i)_{i \in I}] = [(x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c (y_i)_{i \in I}] \oplus_c (z_i)_{i \in I}.$$

We have $A \in (x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c [(y_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c (z_i)_{i \in I}]$

$$\implies \exists t_i \in P_i, A \in (x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c (y_i + t_i + z_i)_{i \in I}$$

$$\implies \exists t'_i \in P_i, A = (x_i + t'_i + y_i + t_i + z_i)_{i \in I}$$

$$\implies A \in (x_i + t'_i + y_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c (z_i)_{i \in I}$$

$$\implies A \in [(x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c (y_i)_{i \in I}] \oplus_c (z_i)_{i \in I}$$

$$\implies (x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c [(y_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c (z_i)_{i \in I}] \subseteq [(x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c (y_i)_{i \in I}] \oplus_c (z_i)_{i \in I}.$$

In a similar way, we can prove the reverse inclusion. Therefore, $(\prod_{i \in I} R_i, \oplus_c)$ is a semihypergroup. Clearly $(\prod_{i \in I} R_i, \odot)$ is a semigroup. It is enough we prove weak distributivity. For this we should prove that

$$(x_i)_{i \in I} \odot [(y_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c (z_i)_{i \in I}] \subseteq (x_i y_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c (x_i z_i)_{i \in I}.$$

We have $A \in (x_i)_{i \in I} \odot [(y_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c (z_i)_{i \in I}]$

$$\implies \exists t_i \in P_i, A \in (x_i)_{i \in I} \odot (y_i + t_i + z_i)_{i \in I}$$

$$\implies A = (x_i(y_i + t_i + z_i))_{i \in I}$$

$$= (x_i y_i + x_i t_i + x_i z_i)_{i \in I}$$

$$\in (x_i y_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_c (x_i z_i)_{i \in I} \quad (R_i P_i \subseteq P_i).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.2. If $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of semirings and for all $i \in I$, $P_i \subseteq R_i$ is nonempty such that $R_i P_i \subseteq P_i$ and $P_i R_i \subseteq P_i$ and $x_i + P_i = P_i + x_i$, for all $x_i \in R_i$, then $(\prod_{i \in I} R_i, \oplus_r, \odot)$ and $(\prod_{i \in I} R_i, \oplus_l, \odot)$ are weak distributive semihypergroup where

$$(x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_r (y_i)_{i \in I} = \{(x_i + y_i + t_i)_{i \in I} \mid t_i \in P_i\},$$

$$(x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_l (y_i)_{i \in I} = \{(t_i + x_i + y_i)_{i \in I} \mid t_i \in P_i\},$$

$$(x_i)_{i \in I} \odot (y_i)_{i \in I} = (x_i y_i)_{i \in I}.$$

Proof. First we prove that $(\prod_{i \in I} R_i, \oplus_r)$ is a semihypergroup. For this we prove that

$$(x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_r [(y_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_r (z_i)_{i \in I}] = [(x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_r (y_i)_{i \in I}] \oplus_r (z_i)_{i \in I}.$$

We have $A \in (x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_r [(y_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_r (z_i)_{i \in I}]$

$$\begin{aligned} &\implies \exists t_i \in P_i, A \in (x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_r (y_i + z_i + t_i)_{i \in I} \\ &\implies \exists t'_i \in P_i, A = (x_i + y_i + z_i + t_i + t'_i)_{i \in I} \\ &\implies \exists w_i \in P_i, A \\ &= (x_i + y_i + w_i + z_i + t'_i)_{i \in I} \quad (\text{since } z_i + P_i = P_i + z_i) \\ &\in (x_i + y_i + w_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_r (z_i)_{i \in I} \\ &\subseteq [(x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_r (y_i)_{i \in I}] \oplus_r (z_i)_{i \in I} \\ &\implies (x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_r [(y_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_r (z_i)_{i \in I}] \subseteq [(x_i)_{i \in I} \oplus_r (y_i)_{i \in I}] \oplus_r (z_i)_{i \in I}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that the reverse inclusion.

Clearly $(\prod_{i \in I} R_i, \odot)$ is a semigroup. Also the weak distributivity is obtained similar to the proof of Proposition 4.1. Therefore $(\prod_{i \in I} R_i, \oplus_r, \odot)$ is a semihyperring. Analogously we can prove that $(\prod_{i \in I} R_i, \oplus_l, \odot)$ is a weak distributive semihyperring. This completes the proof. \square

Remark. In Propositions 4.1 and 4.2, if we replace the conditions $R_i P_i \subseteq P_i$ and $P_i R_i \subseteq P_i$ by the condition $r_i P_i = P_i = P_i r_i$, for all $r_i \in R_i$ and for all $i \in I$, then $(\prod_{i \in I} R_i, \oplus_c, \odot)$, $(\prod_{i \in I} R_i, \oplus_r, \odot)$ and $(\prod_{i \in I} R_i, \oplus_l, \odot)$ will be semihyperrings.

Proposition 4.3. If $\{R_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a family of semirings and for all $j \in J$, $P_j \subseteq R_j$ is nonempty such that $R_j P_j \subseteq P_j$ and $P_j R_j \subseteq P_j$. Then I is a k -hyperideal of $(\prod_{j \in J} R_j, \oplus_c, \odot)$ if and only if $I = \prod_{j \in J} I_j$ such that $I_j \triangleleft_{k.h} (R_j, \oplus_{c_j}, \odot_j)$, where

$$x_j \oplus_{c_j} y_j = \{x_j + t_j + y_j \mid t_j \in P_j\},$$

$$x_j \odot_j y_j = x_j y_j.$$

Proof. (\implies) For all $j \in J$ define

$$I_j = \{x \in R_j \mid (x_i)_{i \in J} \in I, \exists x_i \in R_i, x = x_j\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} x, y \in I &\implies \exists x_i, y_i \in R_i, (x_i)_{i \in J}, (y_i)_{i \in J} \in I, x = x_j, y = y_j \\ &\implies (x_i)_{i \in J} \oplus_c (y_i)_{i \in J} \subseteq I \quad (I \triangleleft_h (\prod_{j \in J} R_j, \oplus_c, \odot)) \\ &\implies \forall t_i \in P_i, (x_i + t_i + y_i)_{i \in J} \in I \quad (\forall i \in J) \\ &\implies \forall t_j \in P_j, x + t_j + y \in I_j \\ &\implies x \oplus_{c_j} y \subseteq I_j. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} r_j \in R_j, x \in I_j &\implies \exists r_i \in R_i, (r_i)_{i \in J} \in \prod_{i \in J} R_i \text{ and } \exists x_i \in R_i, (x_i)_{i \in J} \in I, x = x_j \\ &\implies (r_i)_{i \in J} \odot (x_i)_{i \in J} \in I \quad (I \triangleleft_h (\prod_{i \in J} R_i, \oplus_c, \odot)) \\ &\implies (r_i x_i)_{i \in J} \in I \\ &\implies r_j x_j \in I_j \quad (\text{by definition of } I_j). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $I_j \triangleleft_h R_j$.

We now show that $I_j \triangleleft_{k,h} R_j$ for all $j \in J$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} r_j \in R_j, x_j \in I_j, r_j \oplus_{c_j} x_j \approx I_j &\implies \exists t_j \in P_j, r_j + t_j + x_j \in I_j \\ &\implies (r_j)_{j \in J} \oplus_c (x_j)_{j \in J} \approx I, \end{aligned}$$

where $(r_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} R_j, (x_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} I_j$. Then since $I \triangleleft_{k,h} (\prod_{j \in J} R_j, \oplus_c, \odot)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (r_j)_{j \in J} \in I &\implies r_j \in I_j, \forall j \in J \\ &\implies I_j \triangleleft_{k,h} R_j. \end{aligned}$$

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $I = \prod_{j \in J} I_j$ such that $I_j \triangleleft_{k,h} (R_j, \oplus_{c_j}, \odot_j)$. First we prove $I \triangleleft_h$

$(\prod_{j \in J} R_j, \oplus_c, \odot)$. Let $(x_j)_{j \in J}, (y_j)_{j \in J} \in I$, then

$$(x_j)_{j \in J} \oplus_c (y_j)_{j \in J} = \{(x_j + t_j + y_j)_{j \in J} \mid t_j \in P_j\} \subseteq \prod_{j \in J} I_j;$$

also we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_j \triangleleft_h (R_j, \oplus_{c_j}, \odot_j) &\implies \forall t_j \in P_j, x_j + t_j + y_j \in I_j \\ &\implies (x_j)_{j \in J} \oplus_c (y_j)_{j \in J} \subseteq I. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $(r_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} R_j$ and $(x_j)_{j \in J} \in I$, then $(r_j)_{j \in J} \odot (x_j)_{j \in J} = (r_j x_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} I_j$, since $r_j x_j \in I_j$ by hypothesis. We now prove that $I \triangleleft_{k.h} (\prod_{j \in J} R_j, \oplus_c, \odot)$. For this we have

$$\begin{aligned} (r_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} R_j, (x_j)_{j \in J} \in I, (r_j)_{j \in J} \oplus_c (x_1, x_2) &\approx I \\ \implies \exists t_j \in P_j, (r_j + t_j + x_j)_{j \in J} \in I &= \prod_{j \in J} I_j \\ \implies \exists t_j \in P_j, r_j + t_j + x_j \in I_j, \forall j \in J & \\ \implies r_j \oplus_{c_j} x_j \approx I_j, r_j \in R_j, x_j \in I_j & \\ \implies r_j \in I_j \quad (I_j \triangleleft_{k.h} (R_j, \oplus_{c_j}, \odot_j)) & \\ \implies (r_j)_{j \in J} \in \prod_{j \in J} I_j. \quad \square & \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.4. Let $\{R_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a family of semirings. Suppose that $P_j \subseteq R_j$ be nonempty such that $R_j P_j \subseteq P_j$ and $P_j R_j \subseteq P_j$ and $x_j + P_j = P_j + x_j$, for all $x_j \in R_j$ and for all $j \in J$. Then I is a k -hyperideal of $(\prod_{j \in J} R_j, \oplus_r, \odot)$ (resp. $(\prod_{j \in J} R_j, \oplus_l, \odot)$) if and only if $I = \prod_{j \in J} I_j$ such that for all $j \in J$, $I_j \triangleleft_{k.h} (R_j, \oplus_{r_j}, \odot_j)$, (resp. $I_j \triangleleft_{k.h} (R_j, \oplus_{l_j}, \odot_j)$), where

$$x_j \oplus_{r_j} y_j = \{x_j + y_j + t_j \mid t_j \in P_j\},$$

$$x_j \oplus_{l_j} y_j = \{t_j + x_j + y_j \mid t_j \in P_j\},$$

$$x_j \odot_j y_j = x_j y_j.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.3. \square

References

- [1] R. Ameri, and M. M. Zahedi, "Hyperalgebraic System", Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 6 (1999) 21-32.
- [2] P. Corsini, "Prolegomena of Hypergroup Theory", second edition Aviani editor, (1993).
- [3] P. Corsini, and V. Leoreanu, "Applications of Hyperstructure Theory", Kluwer Academic Publications (2003).
- [4] D. Freni, " A New Characterization of the Derived Hypergroup via Strongly Regular Equivalences ", Communication In Algebra, Vol. 30, No. 8 (2002), pp. 3977-3989
- [5] H. Hedayati, and R. Ameri, " Fuzzy k -hyperideals ", Int. J. Pu. Appl. Math. Sci., Vol. 2, No. 2, (to appear).
- [6] H. Hedayati, and R. Ameri, " On k -Hyperideals of Semihyperrings", (to appear).
- [7] S. Ioudilis, Polygroups et certaines de leurs proprietes, Bull. Greek. Math. Soc., vol. 22 (1981) 95-103.
- [8] J. Jantosciak, "Transposition Hypergroups: Noncommutative Join Spaces", J. of Algebra , Vol. 187 (1997) 97-119.
- [9] J. Jantosciak, Homomorphism, Equivalences and Reductions in Hypergroups, Rivista di Matematica Pure ed Applicata, No. 9(1991) 23-47.
- [10] M. Krasner, "Approximation des Corps Values Complets de Caractéristique $P \neq 0$ Par Ceux de Caractéristique 0", Actes due Colloque d' Algebre Supérieure C.B.R.M, Bruxelles, (1965) 12-22.
- [11] D.R. Latore, "On h -ideals and k -ideals in hemirings", Pub. Math. Debrecen, 12 (1965) 219-226
- [12] F. Marty, "Surnue generaliz-ation de la notion de group", 8^{iem} cou Scandinaves Stockholm, (1934) 45-49.

- [13] I. G. Rosenberg, Hypergroups and Join Spaces determined by relations, Italian J. of Pure and Applied Math., N. 4, (1998), 93-101.
- [14] M. K. Sen, and M. R. Adhikari, " On Maximal k -ideals in Semirings", Proceedings of the American Mathematics Society, Vol. 118, No. 3, July 1993.
- [15] T. Vougiuklis, "Hyperstructures and their representations", Harmonic Press, Inc. (1994).
- [16] H. J. Weinert, and M. K. Sen, and M. R. Adhikari, " One-sided k -ideals and h -ideals in Semirings", Mathematica Pannonica, 7/1 (1996), 147-162.