# Gamma Modules

R. Ameri, R. Sadeghi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Science University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran *e-mail: ameri@umz.ac.ir* 

#### Abstract

Let R be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. We introduce the notion of gamma modules over R and study important properties of such modules. In this regards we study submodules and homomorphism of gamma modules and give related basic results of gamma modules.

Keywords:  $\Gamma$ -ring,  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module, Submodule, Homomorphism.

# 1 Introduction

The notion of a  $\Gamma$ -ring was introduced by N. Nobusawa in [6]. Recently, W.E. Barnes [2], J. Luh [5], W.E. Coppage studied the structure of  $\Gamma$ -rings and obtained various generalization analogous of corresponding parts in ring theory. In this paper we extend the concepts of module from the category of rings to the category of  $R_{\Gamma}$ -modules over  $\Gamma$ -rings. Indeed we show that the notion of a gamma module is a generalization of a  $\Gamma$ -ring as well as a module over a ring, in fact we show that many, but not all, of the results in the theory of modules are also valid for  $R_{\Gamma}$ -modules. In Section 2, some definitions and results of  $\Gamma - ring$  which will be used in the sequel are given. In Section 3, the notion of a  $\Gamma$ -module M over a  $\Gamma - ring R$  is given and by many example it is shown that the class of  $\Gamma$ -modules is very wide, in fact it is shown that the notion of a  $\Gamma$ -module is a generalization of an ordinary module and a  $\Gamma - ring$ . In Section 3, we study the submodules of a given  $\Gamma$ -module. In particular, we that L(M), the set of all submodules of a  $\Gamma$ -module M constitute a complete lattice. In Section 3, homomorphisms of  $\Gamma$ -modules are studied and the well known homomorphisms (isomorphisms) theorems of modules extended for  $\Gamma$ -modules. Also, the behavior of  $\Gamma$ -submodules under homomorphisms are investigated.

## 2 Preliminaries

Recall that for additive abelian groups R and  $\Gamma$  we say that R is a  $\Gamma$  - ring if there exists a mapping

$$: R \times \Gamma \times R \longrightarrow R$$
$$(r, \gamma, r') \longmapsto r\gamma r'$$

such that for every  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , the following hold:

- (i)  $(a+b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c;$ 
  - $a(\alpha + \beta)c = a\alpha c + a\beta c;$  $a\alpha(b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c;$

(*ii*) 
$$(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha (b\beta c)$$
.

A subset A of a  $\Gamma$ -ring R is said to be a *right ideal* of R if A is an additive subgroup of R and  $A\Gamma R \subseteq A$ , where  $A\Gamma R = \{a\alpha c | a \in A, \alpha \in \Gamma, r \in R\}$ .

A *left ideal* of R is defined in a similar way. If A is both right and left ideal, we say that A is an *ideal* of R.

If R and S are  $\Gamma$ -rings. A pair  $(\theta, \varphi)$  of maps from R into S such that i)  $\theta(x+y) = \theta(x) + \theta(y)$ ;

*ii*)  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism on  $\Gamma$ ;

 $iii) \ \theta(x\gamma y) = \theta(x)\varphi(\gamma)\theta(y).$ 

is called a *homomorphism* from R into S.

### 3 $R_{\Gamma}$ -Modules

In this section we introduce and study the notion of modules over a fixed  $\Gamma$ -ring.

**Definition 3.1.** Let R be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. A (left)  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module is an additive abelian group M together with a mapping  $: : R \times \Gamma \times M \longrightarrow M$  (the image of  $(r, \gamma, m)$  being denoted by  $r\gamma m$ ), such that for all  $m, m_1, m_2 \in M$  and  $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma, r, r_1, r_2 \in R$  the following hold:

 $(M_1) \quad r\gamma(m_1 + m_2) = r\gamma m_1 + r\gamma m_2;$ 

$$(M_2) \quad (r_1 + r_2)\gamma m = r_1\gamma m + r_2\gamma m;$$

$$(M_3) \quad r(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)m = r\gamma_1m + r\gamma_2m;$$

$$(M_4)$$
  $r_1\gamma_1(r_2\gamma_2m) = (r_1\gamma_1r_2)\gamma_2m.$ 

A right  $R_{\Gamma}$  – module is defined in analogous manner.

**Definition 3.2.** A (left)  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module M is *unitary* if there exist elements, say 1 in R and  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ , such that,  $1\gamma_0 m = m$  for every  $m \in M$ . We denote  $1\gamma_0$  by  $1_{\gamma_0}$ , so  $1_{\gamma_0}m = m$  for all  $m \in M$ .

**Remark 3.3.** If M is a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module then it is easy to verify that  $0\gamma m = r0m = r\gamma 0 = 0_M$ . If R and S are  $\Gamma$ -rings then an  $(R, S)_{\Gamma}$ -bimodule M is both a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and right  $S_{\Gamma}$ -module and simultaneously such that  $(r\alpha m)\beta s = r\alpha(m\beta s) \quad \forall m \in M, \forall r \in R, \forall s \in S$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

In the following by many examples we illustrate the notion of gamma modules and show that the class of gamma module is very wide.

**Example 3.4.** If R is a  $\Gamma$ -ring, then every abelian group M can be made into an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module with trivial module structure by defining

 $r\gamma m = 0 \quad \forall r \in R, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \forall m \in M.$ 

**Example 3.5.** Every  $\Gamma$ -ring R, is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module with  $r\gamma(r, s \in R, \gamma \in \Gamma)$  being the  $\Gamma$ -ring structure in R, i.e. the mapping

$$: R \times \Gamma \times R \longrightarrow R.$$
$$(r, \gamma, s) \longmapsto r. \gamma. s$$

**Example 3.6.** Let M be a module over a ring A. Define  $\ldots : A \times R \times M \longrightarrow M$ , by (a, s, m) = (as)m, being the R-module structure of M. Then M is an  $A_A$ -module.

**Example 3.7.** Let M be an arbitrary abelian group and S be an arbitrary subring of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the ring of integers. Then M is a  $\mathbb{Z}_S$ -module under the mapping

$$:: \mathbb{Z} \times S \times M \longrightarrow M$$
$$(n, n', x) \longmapsto nn'x$$

**Example 3.8.** If R is a  $\Gamma$ -ring and I is a left ideal of R. Then I is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module under the mapping  $\ldots : R \times \Gamma \times I \longrightarrow I$  such that  $(r, \gamma, a) \longmapsto r\gamma a$ .

**Example 3.9.** Let R be an arbitrary commutative  $\Gamma$ -ring with identity. A polynomial in one indeterminate with coefficients in R is to be an expression  $P(X) = a_n X^n + a_2 X^2 + a_1 X + a_0$  in which X is a symbol, not a variable and the set R[x] of all polynomials is then an abelian group. Now R[x] becomes to an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module, under the mapping

$$: R \times \Gamma \times R[x] \longrightarrow R[x]$$
$$(r, \gamma, f(x)) \longmapsto r.\gamma.f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r\gamma a_i) x^i.$$

**Example 3.10.** If R is a  $\Gamma$ -ring and M is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module. Set  $M[x] = \{\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i \mid a_i \in M\}$ . For  $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j$  and  $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$ , define the mapping

$$: R[x] \times \Gamma \times M[x] \longrightarrow M[x]$$
$$(g(x), \gamma, f(x)) \longmapsto g(x)\gamma f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m+n} (a_k \cdot \gamma \cdot b_k) x^k.$$

It is easy to verify that M[x] is an  $R[x]_{\Gamma}$ -module.

**Example 3.11.** Let I be an ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring R. Then R/I is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module, where the mapping  $: : R \times \Gamma \times R/I \longrightarrow R/I$  is defined by  $(r, \gamma, r' + I) \longmapsto (r\gamma r') + I$ .

**Example 3.12.** Let M be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module,  $m \in M$ . Letting  $T(m) = \{t \in R \mid t\gamma m = 0 \forall \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ . Then T(m) is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module.

**Proposition 3.12.** Let R be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and (M, +, .) be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module. Set  $Sub(M) = \{X \mid X \subseteq M\}$ , Then sub(M) is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module.

**proof.** Define  $\oplus$  :  $(A, B) \mapsto A \oplus B$  by  $A \oplus B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$  for  $A, B \in sub(M)$ . Then  $(Sub(M), \oplus)$  is an additive group with identity element  $\emptyset$  and the inverse of each element A is itself. Consider the mapping:

$$\circ: R \times \Gamma \times Sub(M) \longrightarrow sub(M)$$
$$(r, \gamma, X) \longmapsto r \circ \gamma \circ X = r\gamma X,$$

where  $r\gamma X = \{r\gamma x \mid x \in X\}$ . Then we have

(i) 
$$r \circ \gamma \circ (X_1 \oplus X_2) = r \cdot \gamma \cdot (X_1 \oplus X_2)$$
  
=  $r \cdot \gamma \cdot ((X_1 \setminus X_2) \cup (X_2 \setminus X_1)) = r \cdot \gamma \cdot (\{a \mid a \in (X_1 \setminus X_2) \cup (X_2 \setminus X_1)\}$   
=  $\{r \cdot \gamma \cdot a \mid a \in (X_1 \setminus X_2) \cup (X_2 \setminus X_1)\}.$ 

And

$$r \circ \gamma \circ X_1 \oplus r \circ \gamma \circ X_2 = r \cdot \gamma \cdot X_1 \oplus r \cdot \gamma \cdot X_2$$
$$= (r \cdot \gamma \cdot X_1 \backslash r \cdot \gamma \cdot X_2) \cup (r \cdot \gamma \cdot X_2 \backslash r \cdot \gamma \cdot X_1)$$

$$= \{r \cdot \gamma \cdot x \mid x \in (X_1 \setminus X_2)\} \cup \{r \cdot \gamma \cdot x \mid x \in (X_2 \setminus X_1)\}.$$

$$= \{r \cdot \gamma \cdot x \mid x \in (X_1 \setminus X_2) \cup (X_2 \setminus X_1)\}.$$
(ii)  $(r_1 + r_2) \circ \gamma \circ X = (r_1 + r_2) \cdot \gamma \cdot X$ 

$$= \{(r_1 + r_2) \cdot \gamma \cdot x \mid x \in X\} = \{r_1 \cdot \gamma \cdot x + r_2 \cdot \gamma \cdot x \mid x \in X\}$$

$$= r_1 \cdot \gamma \cdot X + r_2 \cdot \gamma \cdot X = r_1 \circ \gamma \circ X + r_2 \circ \gamma \circ X.$$
(iii)  $r \circ (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \circ X = r \cdot (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \cdot X$ 

$$= \{r \cdot (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \cdot x \mid x \in X\} = \{r \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot x + r \cdot \gamma_2 \cdot x \mid x \in X\}$$

$$= r \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot X + r \cdot \gamma_2 \cdot X = r \circ \gamma_1 \circ X + r \circ \gamma_2 \circ X.$$
(iv)  $r_1 \circ \gamma_1 \circ (r_2 \circ \gamma_2 \circ X)$ 

$$= \{r_1 \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot (r_2 \circ \gamma_2 \circ x) \mid x \in X\}$$

$$= \{r_1 \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot (r_2 \cdot \gamma_2 \cdot x) \mid x \in X\} = \{(r_1 \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot r_2) \cdot \gamma_2 \cdot x \mid x \in X\} = (r_1 \cdot \gamma_1 \cdot r_2) \cdot \gamma_2 \cdot X.$$
Conclusing 2.12. If is Proposition 2.12, we define  $\varphi$  by  $A \oplus B$ ,  $\{a + b| a \in A\}$ 

**Corollary 3.13**. If in Proposition 3.12, we define  $\oplus$  by  $A \oplus B = \{a + b | a \in A, b \in B\}$ . Then  $(Sub(M), \oplus, \circ)$  is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module.

**Proposition 3.14.** Let  $(R, \circ)$  and  $(S, \bullet)$  be  $\Gamma$ -rings. Let (M, .) be a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and right  $S_{\Gamma}$ -module. Then  $A = \{ \begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} \mid r \in R, s \in S, m \in M \}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $A_{\Gamma}$ -module under the mappings

$$\begin{pmatrix} & \star : A \times \Gamma \times A \longrightarrow A \\ \begin{pmatrix} r & m \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}, \gamma, \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & m_1 \\ 0 & s_1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} r \circ \gamma \circ r_1 & r.\gamma.m_1 + m.\gamma.s_1 \\ 0 & s \bullet \gamma \bullet s_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proof**. Straightforward.

**Example 3.15.** Let  $(R, \circ)$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. Then  $R \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \{(r, s) \mid r \in R, s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is an left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module, where  $\oplus$  addition operation is defined  $(r, n) \oplus (r', n') = (r +_R r', n +_{\mathbb{Z}} n')$  and the product  $\cdot : R \times \Gamma \times (R \oplus \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow R \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  is defined  $r' \cdot \gamma \cdot (r, n) \longrightarrow (r' \circ \gamma \circ r, n)$ .

**Example 3.16**. Let R be the set of all digraphs (A digraph is a pair (V, E) consisting of a finite set V of vertices and a subset E of  $V \times V$  of edges) and define addition on Rby setting  $(V_1, E_1) + (V_2, E_2) = (V_1 \cup V_2, E_1 \cup E_2)$ . Obviously R is a commutative group since  $(\emptyset, \emptyset)$  is the identity element and the inverse of every element is itself. For  $\Gamma \subseteq R$ consider the mapping

$$: R \times \Gamma \times R \longrightarrow R$$
$$(V_1, E_1) \cdot (V_2, E_2) \cdot (V_3, E_3) = (V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3, E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3 \cup \{V_1 \times V_2 \times V_3\}),$$

under condition

$$(\emptyset, \emptyset) = (\emptyset, \emptyset) \cdot (V_1, E_1) \cdot (V_2, E_2)(V_1, E_1) \cdot (\emptyset, \emptyset) \cdot (V_2, E_2)$$
  
=  $(V_1, E_1) \cdot (\emptyset, \emptyset) \cdot (V_2, E_2)$   
=  $(V_1, E_1) \cdot (V_2, E_2) \cdot (\emptyset, \emptyset).$ 

It is easy to verify that R is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module.

**Example 3.17**. Suppose that M is an abelian group. Set  $R = M_{mn}$  and  $\Gamma = M_{nm}$ , so by definition of multiplication matrix subset  $R_{mn}^{(t)} = \{(x_{ij}) \mid x_{tj} = 0 \forall j = 1, ..., m\}$  is a right  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module. Also,  $C_{mn}^{(k)} = \{x_{ij}\} \mid x_{ik} = 0 \forall i = 1, ..., n\}$  is a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module.

**Example 3.18.** Let  $(M, \bullet)$  be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module over  $\Gamma$ -ring (R, .) and  $S = \{(a, 0) | a \in R\}$ . Then  $R \times M = \{(a, m) | a \in R, m \in M\}$  is an  $S_{\Gamma}$ -module, where addition operation is defined by  $(a, m) \oplus (b, m_1) = (a +_R b, m +_M m_1)$ . Obviously,  $(R \times M, \oplus)$  is an additive group. Now consider the mapping

$$\circ: S \times \Gamma \times (R \times M) \longrightarrow R \times M$$
$$((a,0),\gamma,(b,m)) \longmapsto (a,0) \circ \gamma \circ (b,m) = (a.\gamma.b, a \bullet \gamma \bullet m)$$

Then it is easy to verify that  $R \times M$  is an  $S_{\Gamma}$ -module.

**Example 3.19** Let R be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and (M, .) be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module. Consider the mapping  $\alpha : M \longrightarrow R$ . Then M is an  $M_{\Gamma}$ -module, under the mapping

$$\circ: M \times \Gamma \times M \longrightarrow M$$
$$(m, \gamma, n) \longmapsto m \circ \gamma \circ n = (\alpha(m)).\gamma.n.$$

**Example 3.20**. Let  $(R, \cdot)$  and  $(S, \circ)$  be  $\Gamma$ - rings. Then

(i) The product  $R \times S$  is a  $\Gamma$ - ring, under the mapping

 $((r_1, s_1), \gamma, (r_2, s_2)) \longmapsto (r_1 \cdot \gamma \cdot r_2, s_1 \circ \gamma \circ s_2).$   $(ii) \text{ For } A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} \mid r \in R, s \in S \right\} \text{ there exists a mapping } R \times S \longrightarrow A, \text{ such that}$   $(r, s) \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } A \text{ is a } \Gamma\text{- ring. Moreover, } A \text{ is an } (R \times S)_{\Gamma}\text{- module under the}$ mapping

$$(R \times S) \times \Gamma \times A \longrightarrow A ((r_1, s_1), \gamma, \begin{pmatrix} r_2 & 0 \\ 0 & s_2 \end{pmatrix}) \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \cdot \gamma \cdot r_2 & 0 \\ 0 & s_1 \circ \gamma \circ s_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Example 3.21.** Let  $(R, \cdot)$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. Then  $R \times R$  is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and  $(R \times R)_{\Gamma}$ - module. Consider addition operation  $(a, b) + (c, d) = (a +_R c, b +_R d)$ . Then  $(R \times R, +)$  is an additive group. Now define the mapping  $R \times \Gamma \times (R \times R) \longmapsto R \times R$  by  $(r, \gamma, (a, b)) \longmapsto (r \cdot \gamma \cdot a, r \cdot \gamma \cdot b)$  and  $(R \times R) \times \Gamma \times (R \times R) \longrightarrow R \times R$  by  $((a, b), \gamma, (c, d)) \longmapsto (a \cdot \gamma \cdot c + b \cdot \gamma \cdot d, a \cdot \gamma \cdot d + b \cdot \gamma \cdot c)$ . Then  $R \times R$  is an  $(R \times R)_{\Gamma}$ - module.

### 4 Submodules of Gamma Modules

In this section we study submodules of gamma modules and investigate their properties. In the sequel R denotes a  $\Gamma$ -ring and all gamma modules are  $R_{\Gamma}$ -modules

**Definition 4.1.** Let (M, +) be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module. A nonempty subset N of (M, +) is said to be a (left)  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M if N is a subgroup of M and  $R\Gamma N \subseteq N$ , where  $R\Gamma N = \{r\gamma n | \gamma \in \Gamma, r \in R, n \in N\}$ , that is for all  $n, n' \in N$  and for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma, r \in R$ ;  $n - n' \in N$  and  $r\gamma n \in N$ . In this case we write  $N \leq M$ .

**Remark 4.2.** (*i*) Clearly  $\{0\}$  and M are two trivial  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodules of  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module M, which is called trivial  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodules.

(*ii*) Consider R as  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module. Clearly, every ideal of  $\Gamma$ -ring R is submodule, of R as  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let M be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module. If N is a subgroup of M, then the factor group M/N is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module under the mapping  $\ldots R \times \Gamma \times M/N \longrightarrow M/N$  is defined  $(r, \gamma, m + N) \longmapsto (r.\gamma.m) + N.$ 

**Proof**. Straight forward.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let N be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodules of M. Then every  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M/N is of the form K/N, where K is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M containing N.

**Proof.** For all  $x, y \in K, x + N, y + N \in K/N$ ;  $(x + N) - (y + N) = (x - y) + N \in K/N$ , we have  $x - y \in K$ , and  $\forall r \in R \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \forall x \in K$ , we have

$$r\gamma(x+N) = r\gamma x + N \in K/N \Rightarrow r\gamma x \in K.$$

Then K is a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule M. Conversely, it is easy to verify that  $N \subseteq K \leq M$  then K/N is  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M/N. This complete the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 4.5**. Let M be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and I be an ideal of R. Let X be a nonempty subset of M. Then

 $I\Gamma X = \{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \gamma_i x_i \mid a_i \in Ir_{\gamma i} \in \Gamma, x_i \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ is an } R_{\Gamma}\text{-submodule of } M.$ **Proof.** (i) For elements  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \alpha_i x_i$  and  $y = \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{a'_j \beta_j y_j}$  of  $I\Gamma X$ , we have

$$x - y = \sum_{k=1}^{m+n} b_k \gamma_k z_k \in I \Gamma X.$$

Now we consider the following cases:

Case (1): If  $1 \le k \le n$ , then  $b_k = a_k, \gamma_k = \alpha_k, z_k = x_k$ .

 $Case(2): \text{ If } n+1 \leq k \leq m+n, \text{ then } b_k = -a'_{k-n}, \gamma_k = \beta_{k-n}, z_k = y_{k-n}. \text{ Also}$ (ii)  $\forall r \in R, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \forall a = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i x_i \in I\Gamma X, \text{ we have } r\gamma x = \sum_{i=1}^n r\gamma(a_i \gamma_i x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (r\gamma a_i) \gamma_i x_i. \text{ Thus } I\Gamma X \text{ is an } R_{\Gamma}\text{-submodule of } M. \square$ 

**Corollary 4.6.** If M is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and S is a submodule of M. Then  $R\Gamma S$  is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M.

Let  $N \leq M$ . Define  $N : M = \{r \in R | r\gamma m \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \ \forall m \in M \}.$ 

It is easy to see that N: M is an ideal of  $\Gamma$  ring R.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let M be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and I be an ideal of R. If  $I \subseteq (0:M)$ , then M is an  $(R/I)_{\Gamma}$ -module.

**proof.** Since R/I is  $\Gamma$ -ring, define the mapping  $\bullet : (R/I) \times \Gamma \times M \longrightarrow M$  by

 $(r + I, \gamma, m) \longmapsto r\gamma m$ . The mapping • is well-defined since  $I \subseteq (0 : M)$ . Now it is straight forward to see that M is an  $(R/I)_{\Gamma}$ -module.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 4.8**. Let R be a  $\Gamma$ -ring, I be an ideal of R, and (M, .) be a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module. Then  $M/(I\Gamma M)$  is an  $(R/I)_{\Gamma}$ - module.

**Proof.** First note that  $M/(I\Gamma M)$  is an additive subgroup of M. Consider the mapping

 $\gamma \bullet (m + I\Gamma M) = r.\gamma.m + I\Gamma M$ 

 $) Nowitiss traight forward to see that Misan (R/I)_{\Gamma}-module. \square$ 

**Proposition 4.9.** Let M be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and  $N \leq M$ ,  $m \in M$ . Then

 $(N:m) = \{a \in R \mid a\gamma m \in N \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is a left ideal of R.

Proof. Obvious.

**Proposition 4.10.** If N and K are  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodules of a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module M and if A, B are nonempty subsets of M then:

(i)  $A \subseteq B$  implies that  $(N : B) \subseteq (N : A)$ ;

(*ii*) 
$$(N \cap K : A) = (N : A) \cap (K : A);$$

(*iii*)  $(N:A) \cap (N:B) \subseteq (N:A+B)$ , moreover the equality hold if  $0_M \in A \cap B$ .

**proof.** (i) Easy.

(*ii*) By definition, if  $r \in R$ , then  $r \in (N \cap K : A) \iff \forall a \in Ar \in (N \cap K : a) \iff \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$ ;  $r\gamma a \in N \cap K \iff r \in (N : A) \cap K : A)$ . (*iii*) If  $r \in (N : A) \cap (N : B)$ . Then  $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \forall a \in A, \forall b \in B, r\gamma(a + b) \in N$  and  $r \in (N : A + B)$ .

Conversely,  $0_M \in A + B \Longrightarrow A \cup B \subseteq A + B \Longrightarrow (N : A + B) \subseteq (N : A \cup B)$  by(i).

Again by using  $A, B \subseteq A \cup B$  we have  $(N : A \cup B) \subseteq (N : A) \cap (N : B)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Definition 4.11.** Let M be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and  $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq M$ . Then the generated

 $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M, denoted by  $\langle X \rangle$  is the smallest  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M containing

X, i.e.  $\langle X \rangle = \cap \{N | N \leq M\}$ , X is called the generator of  $\langle X \rangle$ ; and  $\langle X \rangle$  is

finitely generated if  $|X| < \infty$ . If  $X = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$  we write  $\langle x_1, ..., x_n \rangle$  instead

 $\langle \{x_1, ..., x_n\} \rangle$ . In particular, if  $X = \{x\}$  then  $\langle x \rangle$  is called the *cyclic submodule* of

M, generated by x.

**Lemma 4.12.** Suppose that M is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module. Then

(i) Let  $\{M_i\}_{i\in I}$  be a family of  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodules M. Then  $\cap M_i$  is the largest

 $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M, such that contained in  $M_i$ , for all  $i \in I$ .

(*ii*) If X is a subset of M and  $|X| < \infty$ . Then

 $\langle X \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i x_i + \sum_{j=1}^{k} r_j \gamma_j x_j | k, m \in \mathbb{N}, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \gamma_j \in \Gamma, r_j \in R, x_i, x_j \in X \right\} \,.$ 

**Proof.** (i) It is easy to verify that  $\cap_{i \in I} M_i \subseteq M_i$  is a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M. Now suppose

that  $N \leq M$  and  $\forall i \in I, N \subseteq M_i$ , then  $N \subseteq \cap M_i$ .

(*ii*) Suppose that the right hand in (b) is equal to D. First, we show that D is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule containing X.  $X \subseteq D$  and difference of two elements of D is belong to

 $D \ \text{ and } \forall r \in R \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \forall a \in D \text{ we have }$ 

$$r\gamma a = r\gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i x_i + \sum_{j=1}^{k} r_j \gamma_j x_j\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i (r\gamma x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} (r\gamma r_j) \gamma_j x_j \in D.$$

Also, every submodule of M containing X, clearly contains D. Thus D is the smallest

 $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodules of M, containing X. Therefore  $\langle X \rangle = D$ .  $\Box$ 

For  $N, K \leq M$ , set  $N + K = \{n + k | n \in N, K \in K\}$ . Then it is easy to see that M + Nis an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodules of M, containing both N and K. Then the next result immediately follows.

**Lemma 4.13.** Suppose that M is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and  $N, K \leq M$ . Then N + K is the smallest submodule of M containing N and K.

Set  $L(M) = \{N | N \leq M\}$ . Define the binary operations  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  on L(M) by

 $N \lor K = N + K$  and  $N \land K = N \cap K$ . In fact  $(L(M), \lor, \land)$  is a lattice. Then the next

result immediately follows from lemmas 4.12. 4.13.

**Theorem 4.13**. L(M) is a complete lattice.

## 5 Homomorphisms Gamma Modules

In this section we study the homomorphisms of gamma modules. In particular we investigate the behavior of submodules od gamma modules under homomorphisms.

**Definition 5.1**. Let M and N be arbitrary  $R_{\Gamma}$ -modules. A mapping  $f : M \longrightarrow N$  is a homomorphism of  $R_{\Gamma}$ -modules ( or an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphisms) if for all  $x, y \in M$  and

$$\forall r \in R, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ we have}$$
  
(i)  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y);$   
(ii)  $f(r\gamma x) = r\gamma f(x).$ 

A homomorphism f is monomorphism if f is one-to-one and f is epimorphism if f is onto. f is called *isomorphism* if f is both monomorphism and epimorphism. We denote the set of all  $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphisms from M into N by  $Hom_{R_{\Gamma}}(M, N)$  or shortly by  $Hom_{R_{\Gamma}}(M, N)$ . In particular if M = N we denote Hom(M, M) by End(M).

**Remark 5.2.** If  $f: M \longrightarrow N$  is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphism, then

 $Kerf = \{x \in M | f(x) = 0\}, Imf = \{y \in N | \exists x \in M; y = f(x)\} \text{ are } R_{\Gamma}\text{-submodules of } M.$ 

**Example 5.3.** For all  $R_{\Gamma}$ -modules A, B, the zero map  $0 : A \longrightarrow B$  is an

 $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphism.

**Example 5.4.** Let R be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. Fix  $r_0 \in \Gamma$  and consider the mapping

 $\phi: R[x] \longrightarrow R[x]$  by  $f \longmapsto f\gamma_0 x$ . Then  $\phi$  is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module homomorphism, because

 $\forall r \in R, \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ and } \ \forall f, g \in R[x]:$  $\phi(f+g) = (f+g)\gamma_0 x = f\gamma_0 x + g\gamma_0 x = \phi(f) + \phi(g) \text{ and}$  $\phi(r\gamma f) = r\gamma f\gamma_0 x = r\gamma \phi(f).$ 

**Example 5.5.** If  $N \leq M$ , then the natural map  $\pi : M \longrightarrow M/N$  with  $\pi(x) = x + N$  is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module epimorphism with  $ker\pi = N$ .

**Proposition 5.6.** If M is unitary  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and

 $End(M) = \{f : M \longrightarrow M | f \text{ is } R_{\Gamma} - homomorphism\}.$  Then M is an  $End(M)_{\Gamma}$ -module.

**Proof.** It is well known that End(M) is an abelian group with usual addition of functions. Define the mapping

$$: End(M) \times \Gamma \times M \longrightarrow M$$
$$(f, \gamma, m) \longmapsto f(1.\gamma.m) = 1\gamma f(m),$$

where 1 is the identity map. Now it is routine to verify that M is an  $End(M)_{\Gamma}$ -module. Lemma 5.7. Let  $f: M \longrightarrow N$  be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphism. If  $M_1 \leq M$  and  $N_1 \leq$ . Then

(i) 
$$Kerf \leq M$$
,  $Imf \leq N$ ;  
(ii)  $f(M_1) \leq Imf$ ;  
(iii)  $Kerf^{-1}(N_1) \leq M$ .

**Example 5.8.** Consider L(M) the lattice of  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodules of M. We know that (L(M), +) is a monoid with the sum of submodules. Then L(M) is  $R_{\Gamma}$ -semimodule

under the mapping

 $.: R \times \Gamma \times T \longrightarrow T, \text{ such that } (r, \gamma, N) \longmapsto r.\gamma.N = r\gamma N = \{r\gamma n | n \in N\}.$ 

**Example 5.9.** Let  $\theta : R \longrightarrow S$  be a homomorphism of  $\Gamma$ -rings and M be an  $S_{\Gamma}$ -module.

Then M is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module under the mapping  $\bullet : R \times \Gamma \times M \longrightarrow M$  by

 $(r, \gamma, m) \longmapsto r \bullet \gamma \bullet m = \theta(r)$ . Moreover if M is an  $S_{\Gamma}$ -module then M is a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module for  $R \subseteq S$ .

**Example 5.10.** Let (M, .) be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and  $A \subseteq M$ . Letting

 $M^A = \{f | f : A \longrightarrow M \text{ is a map}\}.$  Then  $M^A$  is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module under the mapping

$$\circ: R \times \Gamma \times M^A \longrightarrow M^A$$
 defined by  $(r, \gamma, f) \longmapsto r \circ \gamma \circ f = r\gamma f(a),$ 

since  $M^A$  is an additive group with usual addition of maps.

**Example 5.11**. Let(M, .) and (N,  $\bullet$ ) be  $R_{\Gamma}$ -modules. Then Hom(M, N) is a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module, under the mapping

 $\circ: R \times \Gamma \times Hom(M, N) \longrightarrow Hom(M, N)$  $(r, \gamma, \alpha) \longmapsto r \circ \gamma \circ \alpha,$ where  $(r \bullet \gamma \bullet \alpha)(m) = r\gamma\alpha)(m).$ 

**Example 5.12**. Let M be a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and right  $S_{\Gamma}$ -module. If N be an

 $R_{\Gamma}$ -module, then

(i) Hom(M, N) is a left  $S_{\Gamma}$ -module. Indeed

$$\circ: S \times \Gamma \times Hom(M, N) \longrightarrow Hom(M, N)$$
$$(s, \gamma, \alpha) \longrightarrow s \circ \gamma \circ \alpha: M \longrightarrow N$$
$$m \longmapsto \alpha(m\gamma s)$$

(*ii*) Hom(N, M) is right  $S_{\Gamma}$ -module under the mapping

$$\circ: Hom(N, M) \times \Gamma \times S \longrightarrow Hom(N, M)$$
$$(\alpha, \gamma, s) \longmapsto \alpha \circ \gamma \circ s: N \longrightarrow M$$
$$n \longmapsto \alpha(n).\gamma.s$$

**Example 5.13**. Let M be a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and right  $S_{\Gamma}$ -module and  $\alpha \in End(M)$  then  $\alpha$  induces a right  $S[t]_{\Gamma}$ -module structure on M with the mapping

$$\circ: M \times \Gamma \times S[t] \longrightarrow M$$
$$(m, \gamma, \sum_{i=0}^{n} s_i t^i) \longmapsto m \circ \gamma \circ (\sum_{i=0}^{n} s_i t^i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (m\gamma s_i) \alpha^i$$

**Proposition 5.14**. Let M be a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and  $S \subseteq M$ . Then

$$S\Gamma M = \{\sum s_i \gamma_i a_i \mid s_i \in S, a_i \in M, \gamma_i \in \Gamma\}$$
 is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of  $M$ .

**Proof**. Consider the mapping

$$\circ: R \times \Gamma \times (S\Gamma M) \longrightarrow S\Gamma M$$
$$(r, \gamma, \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \gamma_i a_i) \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i \gamma_i (r\gamma a_i).$$

Now it is easy to check that  $S\Gamma M$  is a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M.

**Example 5.16**. Let (R, .) be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. Let  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , the cyclic group of order 2.

For a nonempty subset A, set  $Hom(R, \mathbb{B}^A) = \{f : R \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}^A\}$ . Clearly  $(Hom(R, \mathbb{B}^A), +)$ 

is an abelian group. Consider the mapping

 $\circ: R \times \Gamma \times Hom(R, \mathbb{B}^A) \longrightarrow Hom(R, \mathbb{B}^A)$  that is defined

 $(r,\gamma,f)\longmapsto r\circ\gamma\circ f,$ 

where  $(r \circ \gamma \circ f)(s) : A \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}$  is defined by  $(r \circ \gamma \circ f(s))(a) = f(s\gamma r)(a)$ .

Now it is easy to check that  $Hom(R, \mathbb{B}^A)$  is an  $\Gamma$ -ring.

**Example 5.17.** Let R and S be  $\Gamma$ -rings and  $\varphi : R \longrightarrow S$  be a  $\Gamma$ -rings homomorphism.

Then every  $S_{\Gamma}$ -module M can be made into an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module by defining

 $r\gamma x \ (r \in R, \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in M)$  to be  $\varphi(r)\gamma x$ . We says that the  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module structure M is given by pullback along  $\varphi$ .

**Example 5.18.** Let  $\varphi : R \longrightarrow S$  be a homomorphism of  $\Gamma$ -rings then (S, .) is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module. Indeed

$$\circ: R \times \Gamma \times S \longrightarrow S$$
$$(r, \gamma, s) \longmapsto r \circ \gamma \circ s = \varphi(r).\gamma.s$$

**Example 5.19.** Let (M, +) be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module. Define the operation  $\circ$  on M by  $a \oplus b = b.a$ . Then  $(M, \oplus)$  is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module.

**Proposition 5.20.** Let R be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. If  $f: M \longrightarrow N$  is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphism and  $C \leq kerf$ , then there exists an unique  $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphism  $\overline{f}: M/C \longrightarrow N$ , such that for every  $x \in M$ ;  $Ker\overline{f} = Kerf/C$  and  $Im\overline{f} = Imf$  and  $\overline{f}(x+C) = f(x)$ , also  $\overline{f}$  is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -isomorphism if and only if f is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -epimorphism and C = Kerf. In particular  $M/Kerf \cong Imf$ .

**Proof.** Let  $b \in x + C$  then b = x + c for some  $c \in C$ , also f(b) = f(x + c). We know f is  $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphism therefore f(b) = f(x + c) = f(x) + f(c) = f(x) + 0 = f(x) (since  $C \leq kerf$ ) then  $\overline{f} : M/C \longrightarrow N$  is well defined function. Also  $\forall x + C, y + C \in M/C$ and  $\forall r \in R, \gamma \in \Gamma$  we have

(i) 
$$\bar{f}((x+C)+(y+C)) = \bar{f}((x+y)+C) = f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) = \bar{f}(x+C) + \bar{f}(y+C).$$
  
(ii)  $\bar{f}(r\gamma(x+C)) = \bar{f}(r\gamma x+C) = f(r\gamma x) = r\gamma f(x) = r\gamma \bar{f}(x+C).$ 

then  $\bar{f}$  is a homomorphism of  $R_{\Gamma}$ -modules, also it is clear  $Im\bar{f} = Imf$  and  $\forall (x+C) \in ker\bar{f}; \ x+C \in ker\bar{f} \Leftrightarrow \bar{f}(x+C) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in kerf$  then  $ker\bar{f} = kerf/C.$ 

Then definition  $\bar{f}$  depends only f, then  $\bar{f}$  is unique.  $\bar{f}$  is epimorphism if and only if f is epimorphism.  $\bar{f}$  is monomorphism if and only if  $ker\bar{f}$  be trivial  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M/C.

In actually if and only if Kerf = C then  $M/Kerf \cong Imf.\square$ 

Corollary 5.21. If R is a  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $M_1$  is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M and  $N_1$  is  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of  $N, f: M \longrightarrow N$  is a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphism such that  $f(M_1) \subseteq N_1$  then fmake a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphism  $\overline{f}: M/M_1 \longrightarrow N/N_1$  with operation  $m + M_1 \longmapsto f(m) + N_1$ .  $\overline{f}$  is  $R_{\Gamma}$ -isomorphism if and only if  $Imf + N_1 = N, f^{-1}(N_1) \subseteq M_1$ . In particular, if f is epimorphism such that  $f(M_1) = N_1, kerf \subseteq M_1$  then f is a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -isomorphism.

**proof.** We consider the mapping  $M \longrightarrow^{f} N \longrightarrow^{\pi} N/N_{1}$ . In this case;  $M_{1} \subseteq f^{-1}(N_{1}) = ker\pi f \ (\forall m_{1} \in M_{1}, \ f(m_{1}) \in N_{1} \Rightarrow \pi f(m_{1}) = 0 \Rightarrow m_{1} \in ker\pi f)$ . Now we use Proposition 5.20 for map  $\pi f : M \longrightarrow N/N_{1}$  with function  $m \longmapsto f(m) + N_{1}$  and submodule  $M_{1}$  of M.

Therefore, map  $\overline{f}: M/M_1 \longrightarrow N/N_1$  that is defined  $m + M \longmapsto f(m) + N_1$  is a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphism. It is isomorphism if and only if  $\pi f$  is epimorphism,  $M_1 = ker\pi f$ .

But condition will satisfy if and only if  $Imf + N_1 = N$ ,  $f^{-1}(N_1) \subseteq M_1$ . If f is epimorphism then  $N = Imf = Imf + N_1$  and if  $f(M_1) = N_1$  and  $kerf \subseteq M_1$  then

 $f^{-1}(N_1) \subseteq M_1$  so  $\bar{f}$  is isomorphism.

**Proposition 5.22**. Let B, C be  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodules of M.

(i) There exists a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -isomorphism  $B/(B \cap C) \cong (B+C)/C$ .

(*ii*) If  $C \subseteq B$ , then B/C is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M/C and there is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -isomorphism  $(M/C)/(B/C) \cong M/B .$ 

**Proof.** (i) Combination  $B \longrightarrow^{j} B + C \longrightarrow^{\pi} (B + C)/C$  is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphism with kernel=  $B \cap C$ , because  $ker\pi j = \{b \in B | \pi j(b) = 0_{(B+C)/C}\} = \{b \in B | \pi(b) = C\} = \{b \in B | b \in C\} = B \cap C$  therefore, in order to Proposition 5.20.,  $B/(B \cap C) \cong Im(\pi j)(\star)$ , every element of (B + C)/C is to form (b + c) + C, thus  $(b + c) + C = b + C = \pi j(b)$  then  $\pi j$  is epimorphism and  $Im\pi j = (B + C)/C$  in attention  $(\star)$ ,  $B/(B \cap C) \cong (B + C)/C$ .

(*ii*) We consider the identity map  $i: M \longrightarrow M$ , we have  $i(C) \subseteq B$ , then in order to

apply Proposition 5.21. we have  $R_{\Gamma}$ -epimorphism  $\bar{i}: M/C \longrightarrow M/B$  with  $\bar{i}(m+C) = m+B$  by using (i). But we know  $B = \bar{i}(m+C)$  if and only if  $m \in B$  thus  $ker \ \bar{i} = \{m+C \in M/C | m \in B\} = B/C$  then  $ker\bar{i} = B/C \leq M/C$  and we have  $M/B = Im\bar{i} \cong (M/C)/(B/C).\Box$ 

Let M be a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and  $\{N_i | i \in \Omega\}$  be a family of  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M. Then  $\cap_{i \in \Omega} N_i$  is a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M which, indeed, is the largest  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule Mcontained in each of the  $N_i$ . In particular, if A is a subset of a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -moduleM then intersection of all submodules of M containing A is a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M, called the submodule generated by A. If A generates all of the  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module, then A is a set of generators for M. A left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module having a finite set of generators is finitely generated. An element m of the  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule generated by a subset A of a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module

M is a *linear combination* of the elements of A.

If M is a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module then the set  $\sum_{i\in\Omega} N_i$  of all finite sums of elements of  $N_i$  is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M generated by  $\bigcup_{i\in\Omega} N_i$ .  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule generated by  $X = \bigcup_{i\in\Omega} N_i$  is

 $D = \{\sum_{i=1}^{s} r_i \gamma_i a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{t} n_j b_j | a_i, b_j \in X, r_i \in R, n_j \in \mathbb{Z}, \gamma_i \in \Gamma\} \text{ if } M \text{ is a unitary}$  $R_{\Gamma}\text{-module then } D = R\Gamma X = \{\sum_{i=1}^{s} r_i \gamma_i a_i | r_i \in R, \gamma_i \in \Gamma, a_i \in X\}.$ 

**Example 5.23**. Let M, N be  $R_{\Gamma}$ -modules and  $f, g : M \longrightarrow N$  be  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module homomorphisms. Then  $K = \{m \in M \mid f(m) = g(m)\}$  is  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M.

**Example 5.24.** Let M be a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and let N, N' be  $R_{\Gamma}$ - submodules of M. Set  $A = \{m \in M \mid m + n \in N' \text{ for some } n \in N\}$  is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module of M containing N'.

**Proposition 5.25**. Let  $(M, \cdot)$  be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ - module and M generated by A. Then there exists an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphism  $R^{(A)} \longrightarrow M$ , such that  $f \longmapsto \sum_{a \in A, a \in supp(f)} f(a) \cdot \gamma \cdot a$ .

**Remark 5.26**. Let R be a  $\Gamma$ - ring and let  $\{(M_i, o_i) | i \in \Omega\}$  be a family of left  $R_{\Gamma}$ modules. Then  $\times_{i \in \Omega} M_i$ , the Cartesian product of  $M_i$ 's also has the structure of a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module under componentwise addition and mapping

144

$$: R \times \Gamma \times (\times M_i) \longrightarrow \times M_i$$
$$(r, \gamma, \{m_i\}) \longrightarrow r \cdot \gamma \cdot \{m_i\} = \{ro_i \gamma o_i m_i\}_{\Omega}.$$

We denote this left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module by  $\prod_{i \in \Omega} M_i$ . Similarly,

 $\sum_{i\in\Omega} M_i = \{\{m_i\} \in \prod M_i | m_i = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many indices } i\} \text{ is a}$ 

 $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of  $\prod_{i \in \Omega} M_i$ . For each h in  $\Omega$  we have canonical  $R_{\Gamma}$ - homomorphisms  $\pi_h : \prod M_i \longrightarrow M_h$  and  $\lambda_h : M_h \longrightarrow \sum M_i$  is defined respectively by  $\pi_h :< m_i > \longmapsto m_h$ and  $\lambda(m_h) = < u_i >$ , where

$$u_i = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq h \\ m_h & i = h \end{cases}$$

The  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module  $\prod M_i$  is called the (external) direct product of the  $R_{\Gamma}$ - modules  $M_i$  and the  $R_{\Gamma}$ - module  $\sum M_i$  is called the (external) direct sum of  $M_i$ . It is easy to verify that if M is a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and if  $\{M_i | i \in \Omega\}$  is a family of left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -modules such that, for each  $i \in \Omega$ , we are given an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphism  $\alpha_i : M \longrightarrow M_i$  then there exists a unique  $R_{\Gamma}$ - homomorphism  $\alpha : M \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in \Omega} M_i$  such that  $\alpha_i = \alpha \pi_i$  for each  $i \in \Omega$ . Similarly, if we are given an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -homomorphism  $\beta_i : M_i \longrightarrow M$  for each  $i \in \Omega$  then there exists an unique  $R_{\Gamma}$ - homomorphism  $\beta : \sum_{i \in \Omega} M_i \longrightarrow M$  such that  $\beta_i = \lambda_i \beta$  for each  $i \in \Omega$ .

**Remark 5.27.** Let M be a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module. Then M is a right  $R_{\Gamma}^{op}$ -module under the

#### mapping

$$*: M \times \Gamma \times R^{op} \longrightarrow M$$
$$(m, \gamma, r) \longmapsto m * \gamma * r = r\gamma m.$$

**Definition 5.28.** A nonempty subset N of a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module M is subtractive if and only if  $m + m' \in N$  and  $m \in N$  imply that  $m' \in N$  for all  $m, m' \in M$ . Similarly, N is strong subtractive if and only if  $m + m' \in N$  implies that  $m, m' \in N$  for all  $m, m' \in M$ . **Remark 5.29**. (i) Clearly, every submodule of a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module is subtractive. Indeed, if N is a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of a  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module M and  $m \in M, n \in N$  are elements satisfying

 $m + n \in N$  then  $m = (m + n) + (-n) \in N$ .

(*ii*) If  $N, N' \subseteq N$  are  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodules of an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module M, such that N' is a subtractive

 $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of N and N is a subtractive  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M then N' is a subtractive

#### $R_{\Gamma}$ -module of M.

Note. If  $\{M_i | i \in \Omega\}$  is a family of (resp. strong) subtractive  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of a left

 $R_{\Gamma}$ -module M then  $\bigcap_{i \in \Omega} M_i$  is again (resp. strong) subtractive. Thus every  $R_{\Gamma}$ 

-submodule of a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module M is contained in a smallest (resp. strong) subtractive

 $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M, called its (resp. strong) subtractive closure in M.

**Proposition 5.30** Let R be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and let M be a left  $R_{\Gamma}$  -module. If N, N' and

 $N^{\prime\prime} \leq M$  are submodules of M satisfying the conditions that N is subtractive and

 $N'\subseteq N$  , then  $N\cap (N'+N'')=N'+(N\cap N'').$ 

**Proof.** Let  $x \in N \cap (N' + N'')$ . Then we can write x = y + z, where  $y \in N'$  and  $z \in N''$ . by  $N' \subseteq N$ , we have  $y \in N$  and so,  $z \in N$ , since N is subtractive. Thus  $x \in N' + (N \cap N'')$ , proving that  $N \cap (N' + N'') \subseteq N' + (N \cap N'')$ . The reverse containment is immediate.

**Proposition 5.31.** If N is a subtractive  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module M and if A is a nonempty subset of M then (N : A) is a subtractive left ideal of R.

**Proof.** Since the intersection of an arbitrary family of subtractive left ideals of R is again subtractive, it suffices to show that (N : m) is subtractive for each element m. Let  $a \in R$  and  $b \in (N : M)$  (for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ) satisfy the condition that  $a + b \in (N : M)$ . Then

 $a\gamma m + b\gamma m \in N$  and  $b\gamma m \in N$  so  $a\gamma m \in N$ , since N is subtractive. Thus

 $a \in (N:M).\Box.$ 

**proposition 5.32**. If I is an ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring R and M is a left  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module. Then

 $N = \{m \in M \mid I\Gamma m = \{0\}\}$  is a subtractive  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M.

**Proof.** Clearly, N is an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -submodule of M. If  $m, m' \in M$  satisfy the condition that m and m + m' belong to N then for each  $r \in I$  and for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we have

 $0 = r\gamma(m + m') = r\gamma m + r\gamma m'm' = r\gamma m'$ , and hence  $m' \in N$ . Thus N is subtractive.  $\Box$ 

**proposition 5.33.** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and let M be an  $R_{\Gamma}$ -module and there

exists bijection function  $\delta: M \longrightarrow R$ . Then M is a  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $M_{\Gamma}$ -module.

**Proof.** Define  $\circ: M \times \Gamma \times M \longrightarrow M$  by  $(x, \gamma, y) \longmapsto x \circ \gamma \circ y = \delta^{-1}(\delta(x) \cdot \gamma \delta(y))$ .

It is easy to verify that R is a  $\Gamma$ - ring. If M is a set together with a bijection function

 $\delta: X \longrightarrow R$  then the  $\Gamma$ -ring structure on R induces a  $\Gamma$ -ring structure  $(M, \oplus, \odot)$  on X

with the operations defined by  $x \oplus y = \delta^{-1}(\delta(x) + \delta(y))$  and

$$x \odot \gamma \odot y = \delta^{-1}(\delta(x) \cdot \gamma \cdot \delta(y)).\square$$

#### Acknowledgements

The first author is partially supported by the "Research Center on Algebraic Hyperstructures and Fuzzy Mathematics, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran".

### References

[1] F.W.Anderson ,K.R.Fuller , Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer Verlag ,New York ,1992. [2] W.E.Barens,On the Γ-ring of Nobusawa, Pacific J.Math.,18(1966),411-422. [3] J.S.Golan,Semirinngs and their Applications.[4] T.W.Hungerford ,Algebra.[5] J.Luh,On the theory of simple gamma rings, Michigan Math .J.,16(1969),65-75.[6] N.Nobusawa ,On a generalization of the ring theory ,Osaka J.Math. 1(1964),81-89.