Haverford College Haverford Scholarship

Faculty Publications

Biology

2015

The interaction of Saccharomyces paradoxus with its natural competitors on oak bark

Vienna Kowallik

Eric L. Miller

Duncan Greig

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.haverford.edu/biology_facpubs

Molecular Ecology (2015) 24, 1596-1610

The interaction of *Saccharomyces paradoxus* with its natural competitors on oak bark

VIENNA KOWALLIK,* ERIC MILLER*† and DUNCAN GREIG*‡

*Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology, August Thienemann Strasse 2, 24306, Plön, Germany, †Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9, UK, ‡Department of Genetics, Evolution, and Environment, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

Abstract

The natural history of the model yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae is poorly understood and confounded by domestication. In nature, S. cerevisiae and its undomesticated relative S. paradoxus are usually found on the bark of oak trees, a habitat very different from wine or other human fermentations. It is unclear whether the oak trees are really the primary habitat for wild yeast, or whether this apparent association is due to biased sampling. We use culturing and high-throughput environmental sequencing to show that S. paradoxus is a very rare member of the oak bark microbial community. We find that S. paradoxus can grow well on sterile medium made from oak bark, but that its growth is strongly suppressed when the other members of the community are present. We purified a set of twelve common fungal and bacterial species from the oak bark community and tested how each affected the growth of S. paradoxus in direct competition on oak bark medium at summer and winter temperatures, identifying both positive and negative interactions. One Pseudomonas species produces a diffusible toxin that suppresses S. paradoxus as effectively as either the whole set of twelve species together or the complete community present in nonsterilized oak medium. Conversely, one of the twelve species, Mucilaginibacter sp., had the opposite effect and promoted S. paradoxus growth at low temperatures. We conclude that, in its natural oak tree habitat, S. paradoxus is a rare species whose success depends on the much more abundant microbial species surrounding it.

Keywords: bacteria, competition, ecology, fungi, natural history, Saccharomyces

Received 12 December 2014; revision received 13 February 2015; accepted 18 February 2015

Introduction

The ability of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to transform grape juice into wine has made it an integral component of human culture, and fermentation can be considered an ancient form of biotechnology (Walker 1998). In more recent times, *S. cerevisiae* has also become one of the best-studied laboratory model organisms. In grape juice or in sugar-rich laboratory media, *Saccharomyces* yeasts ferment anaerobically, even when oxygen is available for aerobic respiration. This trait, known as the 'Crabtree effect', has two potential benefits: it allows more rapid (but less energetically efficient) growth than

Correspondence: Vienna Kowallik, Fax: +49 4522 763 260; E-mails: kowallik@evolbio.mpg.de or Vienna.kowallik@gmx.de aerobic respiration and it produces toxic ethanol which might inhibit natural competitors. Additionally, ethanol can later be consumed using aerobic respiration, and some of the energy wasted in fermentation can be recovered (Piskur et al. 2006; Goddard 2008). It has been proposed that the Crabtree effect evolved when fermentable fruit sugars became abundant following the radiation of angiosperms about 100 million years ago (Piskur et al. 2006). Initially, S. cerevisiae was thought to be a domesticated species, artificially selected by humans over the last 10 000 years to make alcoholic drinks and to raise bread (Vaughan-Martini & Martini 1995). However, recent phylogenetic analysis reveals the existence of a wild S. cerevisiae population in addition to clades associated with both grape wine and rice wine (Fay & Benavides 2005). Wild populations of

© 2015 The Authors. *Molecular Ecology* Published byJohn Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. *S. cerevisiae* have also been identified in primeval forests, far from human influence (Wang *et al.* 2012). Because of its use as a model organism, we know the basic biology of *S. cerevisiae* very well, but its natural history in the wild is almost entirely a mystery (Greig & Leu 2009).

Attempts to study S. cerevisiae in the wild are complicated by domestication. Whilst wild populations certainly exist, there is a risk that any individuals isolated from a natural source may have originated from human fermentations, or have recently interbred with domesticated strains. Researchers interested in the ecology and natural history of yeast therefore usually focus on the closest known relative of S. cerevisiae, Saccharomyces paradoxus (Replansky et al. 2008). The two species are phenotypically nearly indistinguishable 'sibling species' (Naumov 1987), sharing the same profiles of assimilation and fermentation of organic compounds (Vaughan-Martini & Martini 1998), suggesting that they evolved in similar environments, and indeed they can be found in sympatry in nature (Sniegowski et al. 2002; Sampaio & Gonçalves 2008). However, S. paradoxus is not domesticated and is not found in human alcoholic fermentations. S. paradoxus is therefore an ideal subject for natural studies, both for its own sake and for inferring the ecology and natural history of wild S. cerevisiae, which is expected to be similar (Replansky et al. 2008).

Oak trees are widely thought to be the principle natural habitat for S. paradoxus, S. cerevisiae and other Saccharomyces species (Sniegowski et al. 2002; Replansky et al. 2008; Sampaio & Gonçalves 2008). Given how well adapted S. cerevisiae is to growth at high density in sugar-rich fermenting grape juice, it is surprising that it lives on the relatively nutrient-poor surface of a tree, but nevertheless oaks are the main source of wild yeast for researchers. A recent survey of all S. paradoxus strains available from culture collections comprised 65 isolates from oak trees or from soil under oaks, 15 isolates from maple trees and none from other sources (Bozdag & Greig 2014). Despite this apparent association with oak, Saccharomyces is not detected in the majority of oak samples. Zhang et al. (2010) found Saccharomyces in only 24% of New Zealand oak samples. On oaks and surrounding soils in North America, 23% of samples contained S. cerevisiae or S. paradoxus (Sniegowski et al. 2002). S. paradoxus occurred in 0%, 10% and 28% of oak samples from southern England, depending on the tree and the sampling date (Koufopanou et al. 2006). Repeated sampling of 86 oak trees gave an overall success rate of 8%, but 70% of trees never vielded positive samples (Johnson et al. 2004). A survey of different Mediterranean oaks and closely related trees belonging to the Fagaceae family found Saccharomyces in over 70% of Quercus pyrenaica and Quercus faginea

samples, but the same isolation methods were successful on 45% of Quercus garryana samples from Canada and on just 8% of Quercus robur samples from Canada and Germany (Sampaio & Gonçalves 2008). A more recent survey of Canadian trees found S. paradoxus in 12% of samples coming from trees belonging to the Fagaceae family (mostly oak species) and in 4% of maple samples (Charron et al. 2014). The low and variable rates of detection of S. paradoxus on oak, as well as the contrast between oak and the artificial winemaking environment in which S. cerevisiae thrives, raise the possibility that oak is not the main habitat for *S. paradoxus*. We have successfully isolated S. paradoxus from oak, larch, beech and spruce trees in the same forest (V. Kowallik & D. Greig, unpublished data). But we know of only one published study that uses a standardized, well-described sampling protocol that allows the abundance of yeast on oak bark to be compared directly to other potential habitats: Sampaio & Gonçalves (2008) found that oaks and other closely related species in the family Fagaceae were about three times more likely to yield Saccharomyces than other tree species. An unbiased, systematic survey of the abundance of wild yeast across a broader range of potential habitats would be very useful, but many wild yeast researchers want isolates for genetic analysis and so are not motivated to quantify yeast abundance in different habitats, or to sample new habitats in which yeast may not be discovered. Further, the way in which yeast is typically isolated from environmental samples may give little indication of its true abundance.

Inferring the abundance of S. paradoxus on oak, or another potential habitat, from the proportion of samples in which it has been detected is problematic. Many authors only need examples of wild yeast for population genetic analysis and so do not report standardized sampling procedures that would permit comparisons between studies. But the main problem is the method by which yeast is isolated from the samples. Typically, an environmental sample, such as a piece of oak bark, is incubated for a few days in a sugar-rich liquid medium, which is sometimes spiked with ethanol. These 'enrichment culture' conditions, which approximate the winemaking process, can favour the growth of Crabtree-positive Saccharomyces over other microbial species, allowing the yeast to outcompete them and to dominate the culture so that it can easily be purified. However, the successful isolation of Saccharomyces from an enrichment culture gives no indication as to the number of Saccharomyces cells in the original sample: the Saccharomyces that takes over an enrichment culture may, in principle, be derived from a single yeast cell in the original sample, or they might already be the dominant microbe in the sample. Worse, the failure of an enrichment culture to yield *Saccharomyces* cannot be taken to mean that it was absent from the sample: it is possible that it was present but was outcompeted by other species. Variation in the proportion of enrichment cultures that successfully yield *Saccharomyces* may therefore reflect variation in the microbial community, rather than in *Saccharomyces* abundance.

Given these uncertainties, we decided to investigate the perceived association between yeast and oak, comparing traditional culturing methods, which suffer from well-known biases (Kell et al. 1998) including those discussed above, to culture-free high-throughput environmental sequencing, which suffers from different set of potential biases (e.g. Polz & Cavanaugh 1998; DeSantis et al. 2005; Feinstein et al. 2009; Delmont et al. 2011). As far as we know, this is the first description of this wellknown wild yeast habitat using environmental metagenomics, although another recent study used the method to examine the domestic S. cerevisiae community in its vineyard habitat (Taylor et al. 2014). We first surveyed local oak trees for S. paradoxus using an enrichment culture isolation method. We then tested the sensitivity and repeatability of the enrichment assay by attempting to re-isolate known numbers of oak-isolated cells that we 'spiked' into samples that had previously yielded no yeast. We used these data to estimate the average density of S. paradoxus on the oak trees we sampled. Finding the density to be low, and given apparent disparity between the winery and the oak environment, we tested how well wild S. paradoxus could grow on medium containing only oak bark extract. We found that it grew well in sterile monoculture, but was strongly inhibited by the natural microbial community on the bark. We determined the composition of this community by high-throughput sequencing the microbial metagenome of oak bark from trees containing S. paradoxus by enrichment culture. We found no Saccharomyces sequences among the samples, confirming both the very low frequency of S. paradoxus within the community and the effectiveness of enrichment culturing method for detecting. To investigate the influence of more common microbial species on the success of S. paradoxus, we competed a suite of representative members of the microbial community directly with S. paradoxus in both solid and liquid oak bark extract medium at summer (26 °C) and winter (5.5 °C) temperatures, finding both positive and negative interactions.

Materials and methods

Isolation of S. paradoxus from oak

Sampling Plön oaks. We sampled a set of 22 oak trees in Plön, northern Germany on 26–28 October 2010 and

on 13–14 January 2011 (see Table S1, Supporting information). We used two sampling methods: a sterilized increment hammer which removed ~4-mm-diameter plugs of bark, and sterile cotton buds moistened with sample solution (20% glycerol, 0.1% Tween 20) and rubbed against the bark in a ~4-mm-diameter spot. Samples were taken in groups of four in a 10 cm by 10 cm square; samples in groups of eight were taken directly above and below the vertices of this square. We resampled the same part of each tree on both occasions. Each bark sample (plugs, or the heads of the cotton buds) was vortexed and stored at -80 °C in 2 mL sample solution.

To make enrichment cultures, we first thawed and mixed each sample. A 100 µL of each sample was added to 900 µL of ME (malt extract medium: 5% malt extract, 0.4% lactic acid w/v) and to 900 μL YEPD (1% veast extract, 2% peptone, 2% glucose). Enrichment cultures were incubated whilst shaking at room temperature for 2 days and tested for the presence of tetradforming yeast by spreading 5 µL onto sporulation agar (2% potassium acetate, 0.22% yeast extract, 0.05% glucose, 0.087% complete amino acid mix, 2.5% agar) and incubating for 2 days at room temperature before examining for tetrads using a microscope. Enrichment cultures containing tetrad-forming yeasts were purified by streaking to single colonies. Candidate colonies were tested to see whether they would mate with a haploid S. paradoxus tester strain [ho::KMX, lys5-], identifying them as members of the Saccharomyces sensu stricto group, and whether the resulting cross could produce viable meiotic spores, identifying them as S. paradoxus. This application of the biological species concept to yeast was originally developed by Naumov (1987) and successfully resolved the phenotypically indistinguishable Saccharomyces sensu stricto species (Naumov et al. 2000, 2010; for a current review of the Saccharomyces sensu stricto species see Boynton & Greig 2014).

Determining the sensitivity of ME enrichment culture assay on Plön oaks. We constructed oak bark enrichment cultures containing known numbers of *S. paradoxus* tetrads to test the sensitivity of our enrichment protocol. Tetrads are four haploid spores in an ascus and are built under starvation via meiosis by diploid cells. These spores are dormant and resistant, but germinate into metabolically active haploid gametes when returned to rich medium. As it is likely that we isolate *S. paradoxus* tetrads from oak bark, we decided to use tetrads in the sensitivity assay because other microbes present in the oak wash could outcompete *S. paradoxus* in the time the tetrads need to germinate.

We thawed and mixed together all the sample solutions from January 2011 that did not test positive for S. paradoxus. We inoculated 120 900 µL ME cultures with 100 µL from this pool of previously negative sample solutions to create 'unspiked' cultures. We tested for the presence of S. paradoxus (see 1.1 above). Simultaneously, we tested 264 additional enrichment cultures that were initiated in the same way but which were also 'spiked' with S. paradoxus. To do this, we grew eleven strains of S. paradoxus (previously isolated from the oak tree: see 1.1 above) overnight in liquid YEPD. We serially diluted the cultures and plated onto YEPD agar plates to determine the density by colony counts. Simultaneously, we diluted to 10^{-6} and used 10 µL to inoculate each 'spiked' culture with the overnight culture, for 24 'spiked' cultures for each of the eleven S. paradoxus strains. This resulted in adding an average of 1.7 tetrads (range 1.3-2.8 cells) per spiked culture (see Table S1, Supporting information).

'Spiked' cultures that tested positive therefore contained *S. paradoxus* from either of two sources: from cells already present in the pool of previously negative sample solutions or from the diluted culture cells used to spike the samples. Using a Poisson distribution, we determined the probability that each enrichment culture was spiked with at least one cell. To determine the sensitivity of the assay, we used the following calculation:

a = observed proportion of 'spiked' enrichment cultures testing positive for *S. paradoxus*

b = probability of any enrichment culture testing positive if *S. paradoxus* cells present

c = Poisson-derived probability of a 'spiked' enrichment culture contains at least one cell of added *S. paradoxus*

d = probability that 'unspiked' enrichment culture contains *S. paradoxus*

*b***d* is therefore the proportion of unspiked cultures that resulted in testing positive.

Proportion observed positive culture = Probability of 'spiked' culture containing at least 1 cell * Probability of detecting if cells are present +Probability of 'spiked' cultures not containing at least 1 cell * Probability of detecting if cells are present * probability that 'unspiked' cultures contain cells.

a = (c * b) + (1 - c) * (b * d)

Therefore, the probability of detecting any *S. paradoxus* cell, if present, is given by:

 $b = ([a - (b^* d)] / c) + (b^* d)$

We also used a maximum-likelihood model as a second way to estimate the probability of detecting any *S. paradoxus* cell, if present (see Table S1 and Appendix S1, Supporting information).

Sampling Nehmten oaks. We focused on four oak trees (*Quercus robur*) in an old mixed oak/beech/spruce/ larch forest in Nehmten, northern Germany for all the

following experiments on the oak microbial community. On 9 January 2013, we took 48 samples from each tree using wet cotton swabs but by putting the intact cotton swab directly in 1 mL ME. We streaked the ME samples onto sporulation medium and purified colonies. Because we intended to later use genetic and metagenomic sequencing methods to determine the microbial community (see 3.1 and 3.2, below) of these oak trees, individual *S. paradoxus* isolates were confirmed by Sanger sequencing the ITS region (see 3.1 below), rather than by mating to a tester strain as previously (1.1). There is good agreement between molecular and biological species definitions for *Saccharomyces* sensu stricto species (Naumov *et al.* 2000).

Growth of S. paradoxus on oak nutrients

We made oak bark infusion from the four local oak trees (above, section 1.3) as described by Belotte *et al.* (2003) for soil media preparation. Briefly, we placed 10 g samples of oak bark in sterile tea bags and incubated them in 150 mL sterile water for 24 h at room temperature. We sterilized oak bark infusion using one of three methods: autoclaving, filtration with a 0.22- μ m filter and adding 0.4% G418 antibiotic (ENZO Life Sciences). To make oak bark infusion agar, we added 6% sterile agar for a final concentration of 1.5% agar.

For the growth study in sterile and unsterile conditions, we engineered strain Sp-Plön by inserting the KanMX4 cassette into both copies of its HO gene, thereby making it resistant to the G418 antibiotic (Goldstein & McCusker 1999). We grew Sp-Plön in ME overnight and determined the initial density through colony counts. A 30 µL of diluted culture (containing approximately 100 cells) was added to tubes containing 3 mL of the following 5 agar conditions: filter-sterilized oak bark infusion, heat-sterilized oak bark infusion, oak bark infusion with 0.4% G418, unsterilized oak bark infusion and YEPD. The inoculated tubes were incubated at room temperature for seven days. Growth on the surface of the agar in each tube was washed off with 1 mL H₂O, diluted and plated onto G418 agar plates (YEPD supplemented with 0.04% G418), which effectively suppress the growth of all microbes except the resistant strain Sp-Plön. We calculated the number of divisions Sp-Plön went through using the Malthusian parameter ln(final number of cells/initial number of cells)/ln(2) (Lenski et al. 1991).

Identification of oak micro-organisms

Identifying common oak micro-organisms by culturing. We serially diluted unsterile oak bark infusions from the four local oak trees (see 2, above), plating 100μ L of

© 2015 The Authors. Molecular Ecology Published byJohn Wiley & Sons Ltd.

 10^{-1} , 10^{-2} , 10^{-3} and 10^{-4} dilutions onto full-strength YEPD agar and 10% YEPD agar (0.1% yeast extract, 0.2% peptone, 0.2% glucose). Plates were incubated at room temperature and scored every second day for 10 days. We counted morphologically identical colonies and purified each morphotype by restreaking to new plates of the same nutrient concentration.

DNA was extracted from purified colonies using the MasterPureTM Yeast DNA Purification Kit (Epicentre) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Bacteria were identified by amplifying and Sanger sequencing the 16S region using Universal8f (AGAGTTTGA TCCTGGCTCAG) (Turner et al. 1999) and Universal1492r (ACGGCTACCTTGTTACGACTT) primers (Weisburg et al. 1991). Fungi were identified by amplifying and sequencing the ITS region using ITS1f (CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA) (Gardes & Bruns 1993) and ITS4 (TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC) (White et al. 1990). Taxonomy was determined with NCBI BLAST search using Geneious Pro v. 6.1.6. Altogether, we sequenced 48 colonies from YEPD and 36 from 10% YEPD.

High-throughput sequencing of the oak microbiome. We used 454 sequencing of the 16S and ITS regions of microbial communities from four oak trees to determine species composition without culturing biases. The sampling scheme illustrated in Fig. 2 was devised to allow us to compare the microbial community in oak infusion with the total microbial bark community, to examine microbial variation within and between trees and to compare the sampling methods (small whole bark pieces and infusions made out of bark). We made a 300 mL oak infusion from each tree as described in section 2 with 20 g of oak bark. Infusion pellets were created by centrifugation. We also sampled four individual whole pieces of oak bark of approximately 1 g (~1 cm² external surface) from Tree 1 and one piece from each of the other three trees. We ground bark pieces and infusion pellets using a bead-beating machine (Precellys[®] Peqlab). DNA was extracted from all samples using the Soil DNA Kit from Omega Bio-Tek according to the manufacturer's protocol. The resulting ten DNA pellets were resuspended in 20 µl TE buffer and sent to LGC Genomics (GmbH, Berlin, Germany) for amplification of the fungal ITS (ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2) sequences using ITS1f (CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA) and ITS4 (TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC) primers (Gardes & Bruns 1993; White et al. 1990) and of the bacterial 16S rRNA (V1 to V5) sequences using GM3 (AGAGTTT GATCMTGGC) and 926R (CCGTCAATTCMTTTGAGT TT) primers (Muyzer et al. 1995, 1996). PCR conditions were 30 cycles, 30 s 95 °C, 30 s 50 °C and 60 s 72 °C, performed with the polymerase Kapa2G Enzym (Kapa Biosystems). The DNA from each sample was pooled and run on a 1/8 PicoTiterPlate on 454 GS FLX+ Titanium sequencer (Roche). A total of 127 694 ITS and 134 630 16S sequence reads were obtained.

16S sequences were analysed with the software package MOTHUR version 1.31.2 (Schloss et al. 2009). Raw reads were processed by trimming the primer sequences and any terminal sections with a mean quality score of 35 using a 50-bp sliding window. Resulting sequences <500 nucleotides were discarded. We removed homopolymers with greater than ten repeats using the trim.seqs command. Reads were randomly sequenced from both the forward and the reverse sites, so we used only the overlapping regions, reducing the average length of the sequences to ~330 bp. Sequences were then aligned to the comprehensive seed database from SILVA (Pruesse et al. 2007) downloaded on 29 April 2013. All potentially chimeric sequences were identified and removed with the mothur embedded de novo uchime function (Edgar et al. 2011). Sequence classification was performed using the mothur implementation of naive Bayesian classification based on the RDP Classifier (Wang et al. 2007) version 9, with a threshold bootstrap value of 70% for each taxonomic level. We removed all sequences classified as 'mitochondria', 'chloroplast', 'archaea', 'eukaryota' or 'unknown'. To allow fair comparisons of the microbial diversity to be made between the ten oak tree samples, we normalized the remaining sequences from every sample to 4000 sequences per sample. We created a distance matrix of aligned sequences and clustered them into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% sequence similarity using the average neighbour clustering algorithm. We analysed a total of 40 000 bacterial reads, from which 3604 OTUs were detected on 97% identity level.

ITS sequence reads were also quality processed using mothur. Reads were trimmed to a minimum length of 350 bp with the same parameters as described above. Chimeric sequences were detected and removed, and the sequence classification performed as described for the 16S sequences. We removed all sequences classified as 'chloroplast', 'archaea', 'bacteria' or 'unknown'. Each sample was normalized by subsampling to 4000 sequences per sample. For taxonomic classification, we used the same parameters as described for the 16S sequences and the 'dynamic' mothur release from 08.12.2013 of the UNITE database containing 40 679 representative sequences (RepS) and 2 441 reference sequences (RefS). All reference sequence sets represent a nonredundant version of all fungal rDNA ITS sequences in the current UNITE+INSD (International Nucleotide Sequence Databases) release of circa 350 000 sequences clustered approximately at the species level. All OTUs composed of two or more sequences are referred to as species hypotheses (SHs) (Kõljalg *et al.* 2013). The 'dynamic' representative sequence file contains varying threshold values. Additionally, we used the taxonomy file associated with each individual sequence of the UNITE database with minor reformatting. We did not align our ITS sequences to the database as our ITS sequences are too variable in length for a global alignment. We used instead the 'pairwise.seqs' command in mothur for the OTU-based analysis with ignoring the penalization of the sequence ends. We analysed a total of 40 000 fungal reads, from which 2881 OTUs were detected on 97% identity level.

We calculated Shannon's diversity indices and community similarities to investigate whether samples differ in microbial diversity according to sampling method and/or tree location. All statistical tests were performed with the statistical software R Version 0.98.977 (R Core Team 2012) based on OTUs at 97% similarity. We investigated whether sampling effort accounted for all OTUs present using intersample rarefaction curves produced using mothur with 1000 randomizations (Fig. S1A,B, Supporting information). Within-sample diversity (alpha diversity) was calculated using Shannon's index (Shannon & Weaver 1949) using the VEGAN package in R (Oksanen et al. 2013) (Fig. S2A,B, Supporting information). We verified that our data were normally distributed before testing for significant differences in alpha diversity using *t*-tests.

To determine whether the samples are more similar according to sampling method or source tree, we first calculated the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, a statistic used to quantify the community compositional differences between different sites. The Bray-Curtis dissimilarity is ranged between 0 and 1, where 0 indicates the sites have the same composition (share all the species) and 1 indicates that the sites are completely different and do not share any species. To visualize and interpret these differences, we used the ordination technique nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS), which uses rank orders based on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, to collapse the multidimensional dissimilarity matrix into two arbitrary dimensions, so that it can be plotted. Bray-Curtis dissimilarities were calculated using mothur, and NMDS plots were produced using VEGAN package in R. We further tested for significant differences ('location effect') between method, tree and interaction between method and tree using analysis of dissimilarity (ADONIS), implemented in VEGAN. ADONIS is a multidimensional analysis of variance on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix.

Selection of oak microbes for competition experiments. We selected the twelve most common bacteria and fungi (six isolates each) isolated from the culturing assay (3.1,

above) to represent a simplified and experimentally tractable oak community for further competition experiments with S. paradoxus. We wanted to determine the abundance of these representative, culturable organisms within the oak bacterial and fungal microbiomes. This is not possible on the taxonomic 454 output because of the well-known problem of classifying a given sequence to the species level, especially for the bacterial 16S rRNA sequences (Fox et al. 1992), but also for many fungal ITS sequences (Schoch et al. 2012). So we determined the frequency of the specific ITS sequences of each our cultured species within the oak metagenome by building 'minor databases' containing our high-quality forward and reverse Sanger sequences and then searching these databases for the 454 16S or ITS sequences of the cultured species. We used the mothur implementation of naive Bayesian classification based on the RDP Classifier (Wang et al. 2007), with a threshold bootstrap value of 90% for the taxonomic level. We also searched the database of ITS reads for the presence of Saccharomyces sequences.

Competition experiments between S. paradoxus and representative oak micro-organisms

To test how different species within the oak bark microbiome affect the growth of *S. paradoxus*, we competed it directly against the twelve representative microbial species (see 3.3, above) on both liquid and solid oak infusion medium (see 2, above) at summer (26 °C) and winter (5.5 °C) temperatures.

The S. paradoxus strain we used, Sp-Nehmten, was isolated from one of the four focal trees (Tree 2) used for the initial oak infusion growth experiments (see 2, above) and for the analysis of the oak microbiome (see 3, above). We engineered this strain to be resistant to the antibiotic G418 by replacing both its homologous copies of the HO gene with the KanMX4 cassette (Goldstein & McCusker 1999). For liquid experiments at 26 °C, all twelve representative microbes as well as strain Sp-Nehmten were separately grown in 2 mL filter-sterilized oak infusion at 26 °C for seven days with shaking. All cultures were diluted 10^{-2} and 15 μ L of the Sp-Nehmten culture was then transferred into 12 new tubes each containing 2 mL filter-sterilized oak infusion, followed by 15 μ L of one of each of the twelve microbes. Three further tubes were prepared as controls: one was inoculated with 15 µL of Sp-Nehmten alone, one was inoculated with 15 µL of Sp-Nehmten into unsterilized oak bark medium, and one was inoculated with 15 µL of Sp-Nehmten as well as 15 µL of a mix of all the twelve microbial species. Tubes were mixed, and a sample was serially diluted and plated onto G418 agar plates to yield single colonies, which were counted to determine the initial number of *S. paradoxus* cells. Tubes were incubated at 26 °C for 4 days with shaking, and then a second sample was taken from each tube and plated on G418 as before to determine the change in density of Sp-Nehmten. For the experiment in liquid at 5.5 °C, the incubations were conducted with shaking for 20 days. For the experiments on solid, tubes containing 2 mL oak infusion combined with 0.5 mL 6% agar were used without shaking, washed and plated (see 2, above). All experiments were independently replicated three times.

Halo assays

We performed halo assays (inhibition assays) to visualize how patches of each of the twelve representative microbes affected the local growth of a lawn of Sp-Nehmten. We grew all representative oak microorganisms individually to saturation in oak infusion as described in 4, above. A 200 µL of an overnight culture of Sp-Nehmten was pelleted by microcentrifugation, resuspended in 1.9 mL of 0.75% soft agar at 45 °C and plated onto a petri dish of YEPD agar. Attempts to visualize haloes on solid oak medium did not work, presumably because the low nutrient level in oak medium does not support large enough colonies, so instead we also compared haloes on 10% YEPD agar, which presumably lies somewhere between laboratory YEPD medium and natural oak bark in its nutrient richness. We then placed 5 µL of each of the twelve cultures at equally spaced intervals around the plate and incubated at 26 °C and 5.5 °C. We examined the plate daily for evidence of growth interference on the Sp-Nehmten lawn.

Results

Isolation of S. paradoxus from oak

Plön oaks. Overall, 14 of 352 primary samples from 22 Plön oak trees yielded wild S. paradoxus yeast (Table S1, Supporting information). We found the increment hammer and swab methods each yielded seven isolates. The positive samples came from only 9 of the 22 trees, but we did not find significant differences among the trees in their probability of yielding isolates (P = 0.0522, Fisher's exact test on a 22×2 contingency table); however, given the low rate of positive samples, we had limited statistical power to detect tree-to-tree variation. Given how close the P-value was to being critical, it seems that a future survey with more samples per tree might reveal tree-to-tree variation in S. paradoxus abundance. YEPD yielded 10 positive samples compared to 4 positive samples in ME, but this difference was not significant (P = 0.175, Fisher's exact test on a 2×2

contingency table), again as expected given the low power of the test. We decided to use ME for the remainder of this study, as this is one of the standard media for *Saccharomyces* isolation enrichment culture (Naumov *et al.* 1992; Johnson *et al.* 2004) and better prevented growth of filamentous fungi.

Sensitivity of malt extract enrichment culture assay. The 120 'unspiked' enrichment cultures inoculated with 100 µL from a pool of oak bark washes that had not previously vielded S. paradoxus vielded 14 further isolates of S. paradoxus (11.7%, see Table S1, Supporting information). In contrast, S. paradoxus was re-isolated from 243 (92%) of the 264 'spiked' enrichment cultures. We calculated the sensitivity of the assay (e.g. the probability of detecting a spiked cell when present, averaged across the eleven strains used for spiking, see Methods 1.2), as approximately 1, that is we expect all tetrads present to be detected. Using the Poisson distribution, the average estimated sensitivity was 1.1 (range 0.88-1.26) and using the maximum-likelihood model the estimated average sensitivity was 1.39 (range 0.60-2.30). Probabilities higher than 1 can best be explained by error associated with estimating the proportion of cultures with at least 1 spiked yeast tetrad. Also, probabilities higher than 1 could have resulted if tetrads in the 'spiked' cultures formed colonies on solid medium at a lower frequency than germination and growth in the liquid enrichment cultures used in the sensitivity assays.

Nehmten oaks. We focused on four oak trees in Nehmten for the remaining experiments. We took 48 bark samples from each of the four trees. *S. paradoxus* was isolated from three of the samples from Tree 2, two samples from Tree 3 and none of the samples from trees 1 and 4. We found no significant variation among the four Nehmten oak trees in the proportion of positive samples (P = 0.177, Fisher's exact test on a 4×2 contingency table).

Growth of S. paradoxus on oak nutrients

Figure 1 shows how the natural microbiota in oak bark affected the growth of Sp-Plön, a wild *S. paradoxus* genetically modified to be resistant to the antibiotic G418. The average number of Sp-Plön cell doublings on nonsterilized oak bark infusion is 3.2, but this increases to 10.9 cell doublings when G418 is added, or when the medium is autoclaved (11.9 cell doublings) or filtered (13 cell doublings). These three sterilization treatments significantly improved growth compared to unsterile medium (P = 0.0024, pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum test with Bonferroni correction). Filtered medium supported significantly better growth than either autoclaved



Fig. 1 The effects of sterilization on growth success of *Saccharomyces paradoxus*. Number of divisions of *S. paradoxus* on untreated, G418-amended, autoclaved and filtered solid oak infusion medium and in addition on solid high-sugar laboratory medium at room temperature after seven days. The plotted means are from nine measurements. Error bars indicate the standard errors of the means.

(P = 0.0024) or G418-treated medium (P = 0.0024). Therefore, we used filtration for all further competition assays.

Identification of oak micro-organisms

Culturing. To determine a set of culturable microbial species to represent the oak microbiota, we plated unsterile oak infusion from the four local trees onto YEPD and 10% YEPD agar, purified the most common colony morphs by restreaking and genotyped them by sequencing their 16S rRNA (for bacteria) or ITS rRNA (for fungi) genes. We found the bacterial clones all contained one of 14 different 16S sequences, and the fungi all contained one of six different ITS sequences. Given the difficulty of classifying many microbes down to the species level using only 16S (Fox et al. 1992) or ITS (Schoch et al. 2012) sequences, we simply named each according to its genus (Fig. S1, Supporting information). We decided to use the six most frequently detected bacterial species (named as Burkholderia, Sphingomonas, Massilia, Mucilaginibacter, Pseudomonas I and Pseudomonas II) and all six fungal species (named as Umbelopsis, Rhodotorula, Cryptococcus, Penicillium I, Penicillium II and Penicillium III). Consistent with the low abundance of S. paradoxus determined from our enrichment isolation data, we did not find any Saccharomyces colonies during this screening.

High-throughput sequencing. We expected that culturing the oak bark microbiota would be highly biased, as

culture methods typically underestimate the size and diversity of microbial populations (Kell *et al.* 1998). We therefore used 454 sequencing of the unsterile oak bark infusion, and of whole oak pieces, from the four focal trees to better determine the microbial community.

Figure 2 shows how the trees were sampled and how the different samples varied in microbial composition. NMDS for both bacterial 16S (Fig. 2B; stress = 0.215; $R^2 = 0.785$) and fungal ITS (Fig. 2C; stress = 0.23; $R^2 = 0.817$) sequences showed that our samples clustered according to the method we used to sample the microbial community, rather than according to which tree the samples were taken from. Two-factor ADONIS supported this interpretation. For 16S sequences, we found a significant effect of the sampling method $(R^2 = 0.29, DF = 1, P$ -value =0.001), but not of tree $(R^2 = 0.11, DF = 1, P-value = 0.283)$ or interaction between method and tree ($R^2 = 0.06$, DF = 1, P-value = 0.724). Likewise for fungal ITS sequences, we found a significant effect of the sampling method ($R^2 = 0.31$, DF = 1, *P*-value = 0.009), but not of tree ($R^2 = 0.07$, DF = 1, *P*-value = 0.665) or interaction between method and tree ($R^2 = 0.05$, DF = 1, *P*-value = 0.938). Rarefaction curves for species richness showed that our sampling did not approach saturation (Fig. S2, Supporting information). Wilcoxon rank sum test showed that average fungal (ITS) alpha diversity was significantly higher in infusions than in whole bark samples (W = 24,P = 0.0095), but no significant difference was found for the 16S alpha diversity (W = 13, P = 0.914) (Fig. S3A,B, Supporting information).

Further analysis was conducted by pooling the sequences from the four oak infusion samples. Figure S4 in the supplemental material represents the bacterial (A) and fungal (B) composition of the oak infusion microbiota. We could identify sequences of all 12 of the representative bacteria and fungi selected in the culturing experiments (above) and used in the competition experiments (below). The frequencies of these sequences in the 454 data set of the total microbial communities are shown in Fig. S4 (Supporting information). No sequences corresponding to *Saccharomyces* were found in any sample.

Competition experiments

The ecological interactions between the tested species and *S. paradoxus* strongly depended on temperature. Effects range from killing (*Pseudomonas* I at 5.5 °C), through complete suppression of growth (*Pseudomonas* I at 26 °C), through neutrality (*Pencillium* II at 26 °C), to promotion of growth (*Mucilaginibacter* at 5.5 °C) (Fig. 3). On solid oak bark infusion medium, most species had the same effect on *S. paradoxus* as they did in liquid,



Fig. 2 Sampling scheme (A) From each of four oaks, one liquid infusion was prepared. In addition, three oak pieces were taken from one tree and one piece from each of the other oaks. DNA was extracted from all these samples, and the microbial community was determined by sequencing. Community differences at the 97% sequence OTU identity level were evaluated using NMDS of Bray-Curtis dissimilarities. This produced twodimensional approximations of the distances between the points for bacterial 16S (B) and fungal ITS (C) sequences. Each point symbolizes a single oak sample's community, and the two symbols indicate the methods used (infusion and piece). The ellipses represent the standard deviation around the centroids of the respective isolation method in NMDS distance.

but overall the effects were less extreme (Fig. S5, Supporting information). An exception is *Pseudomonas* II, which inhibits the yeast much more strongly on solid than in liquid medium (Fig. 3, Fig. S5, Supporting information). Overall, there was a greater variance in effects among the different species at 5.5 °C than at 26 °C, and many organisms showed almost no effect on *S. paradoxus* growth at 26 °C.

Halo assays

To visualize effects that the twelve different microbes had on *S. paradoxus* growth, we performed halo assays on YEPD at 26 °C and 5.5 °C (Fig. 4). The patch containing *Pseudomonas I* produced a large halo in the surrounding lawn of *S. paradoxus* at 5.5 °C, and a smaller one at 26 °C, consistent with its effects on *S. paradoxus* growth in liquid oak infusion medium (Fig. 3). Consistent with its behaviour on solid oak infusion medium (Fig. S5, Supporting information), *Pseudomonas* II also produced a visible halo at 5.5 °C. No other clear halo effects could be seen. On 10% YEPD medium, the halos are hard to see at 5.5 °C because *S. paradoxus* is not growing much, but the halos are bigger compared to YEPD and all competitors form visible colonies; similarly, the halo around *Pseudomonas I* at 5.5 °C is larger than at 26 °C (Fig. S6A,B, Supporting information).

Discussion

Saccharomyces paradoxus is present on oak bark at very low density

Our results confirm that enrichment culturing is a very sensitive method for detecting *S. paradoxus* on oak tree bark. Even though most of our experimental samples were spiked with an average of 1.7 cells of wild *S. paradoxus*, 92% of them scored positive – actually more than predicted, based on the Poisson distribution of spiked cells combined with the background rate of isolation of new strains. We can therefore confirm that the method is so sensitive that a sample testing negative is unlikely to contain *S. paradoxus*. Given this, we can estimate the average density of *S. paradoxus* cells on oak bark. We took 352 4-mm-diameter samples from the 22 Plön oak trees and used 5% of each to inoculate ME cultures, yielding 4 *S. paradoxus* isolates. Thus, the 221 mm² of oak bark we tested probably contained only 4 cells,



Fig. 3 Growth of Saccharomyces paradoxus relative to its growth in the absence of competition in liquid oak infusion medium. We used the initial and final G418 colony counts for each tube to calculate the average number of divisions Sp-Nehmten went through. We standardized each measure by dividing it by the number of divisions Sp-Nehmten went through when growing alone in the sterile control treatment of the same replicate block, to give a measure of the growth of Sp-Nehmten in each treatment relative to how well it can grow alone (the ratio of number of cell divisions; values >1 indicate that growth was promoted, values <1 indicate that growth was suppressed, values <0 indicate a net decline in cell numbers). The bars indicate the effect of the complete community ('unsterile'), the complete set of 12 representative microbes ('all') and the microbes tested individually. Error bars indicate the standard deviation across 3 replicates for each treatment.

suggesting that the density of *S. paradoxus* on the Plön trees is 1.81 cells per square centimetre of oak bark. Although *S. paradoxus* is very rare on oak compared to other microbial species, this low absolute density is nevertheless sufficient to support a substantial local population size. Whittaker & Woodwell (1967) estimate that there is at least 1 m^2 of tree bark above each square metre of forest floor, implying that the overall density

of cells is at least 2*10¹⁰ cells per square km of oak forest. The trees in our study were sampled in winter, and it is reasonable to expect that abundance of S. paradoxus changes seasonally, perhaps because nutrient availability on the bark changes, or because yeast migrate between oak bark and another habitat, or because yeast are consumed as a food source by insects. Our ability to wash S. paradoxus off oak bark into suspension also suggests that rain would carry the yeast from the tree to the leaf litter below. Any changes in seasonal abundance would provide valuable insights into the natural history of S. paradoxus. Our data did not show significant tree-to-tree variation in the probability of isolating S. paradoxus, either the 22 Plön oaks or the four Nehmten oaks, but the low proportion of positive samples offers us little statistical power to detect such variation.

Some important caveats should be considered. Our population density estimate assumes that the cells were efficiently washed off the bark into suspension. If they adhere to the bark, then the true density could be much higher and placing bark pieces directly into the enrichment medium would yield more isolates. Further, the eleven strains we used for spiking the samples to determine the enrichment culture sensitivity had previously been isolated from the same trees using ME and thus may have genotypes that are amenable to these enrichment conditions. It is possible that more S. paradoxus cells were present on the bark samples, but were not selected by the enrichment culture method, either because their genotypes do not give them high fitness in the malt extract enrichment conditions or because they are in the form of spores that do not germinate rapidly enough in the conditions. Our estimate of the S. paradoxus population density therefore applies only to nonadhering cells of the type that can be isolated in malt extract medium. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that the density of such S. paradoxus cells on oak bark is this low, especially if oak bark is indeed the primary natural ecological niche of S. paradoxus.



Fig. 4 Halo assays on YEPD at 26 °C (left) and 5.5 °C (right). The numbers indicate the different competitors. 1 = *Pseudomonas* I; 2 = *Sphingomonas*; 3 = *Massilia*; 4 = *Burkholderia*; 5 = *Penicillium* I; 6 = *Pseudomonas* II; 7 = *Umbelopsis*; 8 = *Mucilaginibacter*; 9 = *Penicillium* III; 10 = *Cryptococcus*; 11 = *Rhodotorula*; 12 = *Penicillium* II.

© 2015 The Authors. Molecular Ecology Published byJohn Wiley & Sons Ltd.

The oak bark community

Both culturing and environmental sequencing are known to produce biased estimates of microbial community size and diversity. Microbes vary greatly in how well they grow in culture; indeed the majority of microbes in typical environmental samples cannot be cultured at all (Amann et al. 1995; Kell et al. 1998). Our enrichment cultures are a good demonstration of this phenomenon: the offspring of a single, spiked S. paradoxus strain dominates all the other microbial species initially present in environmental samples. Next generation sequencing provides some information independent of our ability to culture organisms, but there also exist some biases that can skew relative abundance information, such as DNA extraction bias (DeSantis et al. 2005; Feinstein et al. 2009; Delmont et al. 2011) and PCR bias (Polz & Cavanaugh 1998). Even DNA from dead organisms can be included in sequencing-based estimates of community compositions. Different operon copy numbers of ribosomal rRNA encoding genes lead to a biased abundance picture of organisms; for example, the 16S rRNA copies range from 1 to 15 depending on the bacterial species (Lee et al. 2009). Amplification bias according to the sequencing primers is recognized for bacteria (Engelbrektson et al. 2010; Schloss et al. 2011) as well as fungi. Some primers (e.g. ITS1-F, ITS1 and ITS5) show a bias towards amplification of basidiomycetes, whereas ITS2, ITS3 and ITS4, are biased towards ascomycetes (Bellemain et al. 2010). In our study, we tried to control some of these biases using different isolation methods from whole oak bark pieces and from oak bark infusion, and by sequencing in both directions.

Direct plating and culturing of oak bark infusion allowed us to identify 12 abundant microbial species with distinct colony morphologies to use for culturebased experiments (Fig. S1, Supporting information). A total of 454 sequencing confirmed that DNA from all these species except for Cryptococcus was present in the oak infusion metagenome (Fig. S4, Supporting information). Sequences from the 12 species were more common in DNA extracted from oak bark infusion than in DNA extracted from whole oak bark pieces, as expected, given that the species were cultured from infusion. Thus, sampling method affects the apparent community composition and indeed, we found significant differences in the sequence compositions between the whole oak bark pieces and the oak bark infusion, but not between one tree and another (Figs 2 and S3, Supporting information).

Although we analysed a total of 40 000 fungal reads, we did not find a single *Saccharomyces* sequence. This supports our enrichment culture results which show

that S. paradoxus is rare on oak tree bark and thus comprises only a tiny fraction of the total microbial community. Our results are comparable with the first study of the metagenome of vineyard grapes, which finds Saccharomyces sequences at a frequency of only one in 20 000 reads (Taylor et al. 2014). Considering how reliable winemaking is, it is remarkable to find that Saccharomyces species are so rare in both of their habitats, the natural oak tree habitat and even in the domestic winery habitat. Further, because we sequenced ground whole bark pieces as well as cells washed off into an infusion, we can be confident that we have not underestimated the abundance of S. paradoxus by missing cells that adhere to or are trapped within the oak bark matrix. The DNA we sequenced came from a total of 80 grams of bark processed by infusion and ~6 g processed by grinding whole bark. Our above estimates of 2-4 S. paradoxus cells per cm² of bark surface would suggest that the total sequenced sample should contain about 174 cells of S. paradoxus. However, the rarefaction curve (Fig. S2, Supporting information) clearly shows that we did not capture all the microbial sequence diversity within the sample. Greater sampling depth would be necessary to detect S. paradoxus reliably by environmental sequencing to determine its frequency within the total oak bark community. It is clear though that S. paradoxus is sparse on oak bark and a very rare member of the oak bark microbial community, at least in winter at this location in northern Germany.

Yeast growth on oak bark

The low density of S. paradoxus on oak bark prompts the question: can yeast actually grow there? Our results show that oak bark can indeed provide nutrients to support substantial growth of wild S. paradoxus (Fig. 1), strengthening the case that oak bark may be the natural niche for this species. Other authors have previously grown S. cerevisiae in sterilized oak infusion. Bell (2010) measured the effect on growth of systematic gene knockouts, and Giraldo-Perez & Goddard (2013) determined how a homing endonuclease affected growth rate and carrying capacity. As both authors measured growth using optical density, it is unclear how many cell divisions the medium supported, compared to normal laboratory media. However, oak infusion is clearly much poorer in nutrients than the standard laboratory medium YEPD. Glucose, sucrose and fructose are undetectably low in the bark of the oak Quercus robur (Sampaio & Gonçalves 2008), and Saccharomyces yeasts are not able to utilize cellulose directly as a carbon source (Van Rensburg et al. 1998). It is possible that S. paradoxus depends on the release of sugars from cellulose digestion by other members of oak bark communities, and our observation that a Mucilaginibacter species promotes the growth of S. paradoxus is consistent with this. Mucilaginibacter is a member in the family Sphingobacteriaceae, and different members of this genus have the ability to hydrolyse organic matter such as xylan, pectin and laminarin (Pankratov et al. 2007; Madhaiyan et al. 2010; Han et al. 2012). Mucilaginibacter are also known to produce large amounts of extracellular polysaccharides containing the sugars glucose, galactose, mannose and rhamnose and may thus provide a carbon source for Saccharomyces (Urai et al. 2008). Whilst the Mucilaginibacter species can promote the growth of S. paradoxus on oak, most other species we tested (Fig. 3), as well as the community as a whole (Fig. 1), strongly inhibit its growth. One of the representative species we tested, a Pseudomonad, not only inhibited the growth of S. paradoxus but actively killed it by producing a toxin (Figs 3 and 4). Species of Pseudomonas are known to produce a wide range of different antifungal metabolites such as phenazines and pyrrolnitrin (Leisinger & Margraff 1979). Thus, even within the small number of species we tested, we discovered several forms of ecological interactions with yeast. We know that diversity within communities both promotes and depends upon a wide range of ecological interactions (Boddy & Wimpenny 1992). Some microbes may produce inhibitory peptides, proteins or glycoprotein, such as killer toxins, and enzymes that can lyse the cell walls of other species (Fleet 2003) or making new sugars available through digesting polysaccarides (Deak 2006). We found that the strength of both positive and negative ecological interactions between yeast and members of its community also depend on a simple abiotic factor, temperature, raising the possibility that seasonal changes as well as climatic conditions in different geographic regions could have significant impact on the abundance, range and life history of S. paradoxus.

Conclusions

Oak trees are widely considered to be the primary natural habitat for *S. paradoxus*, but it is possible that the special association between yeast and oak is actually an artefact due to various potential sampling biases. Here, we show that *S. paradoxus* is not only scarce on oak bark, but that it is also only a very rare member of the oak bark microbial community. Whilst we find that it can grow on nutrients present in oak bark, its growth is strongly suppressed by much more abundant microbial species. We do not know how the abundance of *S. paradoxus* on oak differs from place to place or from season to season, but it appears that the lifestyle of *S. paradoxus* in this habitat is very different from that of *S. cerevisiae* growing in fermenting wine must. Whilst *S. cerevisiae* rapidly consumes abundant grape sugars, growing to massive density until it dominates the community in a near laboratory-like monoculture, *S. paradoxus* in its oak habitat must eke out a living as a rare scavenger, its fate subject to numerous superior species. Given the physiological similarity between the two 'sibling' species (Vaughan-Martini & Martini 1998), it is probable that *S. cerevisiae* has an evolutionary history similar to that of *S. paradoxus*: indeed, both species can be found on the same oak trees (Sniegowski *et al.* 2002; Sampaio & Gonçalves 2008). It is therefore reasonable to suppose that the results we present here for *S. paradoxus* also apply to wild *S. cerevisiae* populations inhabiting oak.

Whilst our growth assays using the natural yeast community are necessarily highly simplified, they have helped us to identify positive, negative and neutral interactions that can be modulated easily by temperature. We wish to encourage more ecologists to study yeast, and we hope that yeast will become a useful model system for experimental ecology. Although quantifying the natural habitat of *S. paradoxus* is a daunting task, there are great potential benefits, both to yeast geneticists who would wish to better understand the environment in which their species evolved and to ecologists who might seek a tractable and genetically wellcharacterized model system.

Acknowledgements

We thank P. Boynton, D. Rogers, P. Dirksen, H. Schulenburg, M. Milinski, S. Ellendt, P. Rausch and J. Wang for advice, discussion or reading parts of the manuscript. The manuscript was greatly improved thanks to the efforts of the editor and three anonymous reviewers. Funding was provided by the Max Planck Society.

References

- Amann RI, Ludwig W, Schleifer KH (1995) Phylogenetic identification and in situ detection of individual microbial cells without cultivation. *Microbiological Reviews*, 59, 143–169.
- Bell G (2010) Experimental genomics of fitness in yeast. Proceedings of the Royal Society, B, 277, 1459–1467.
- Bellemain E, Carlsen T, Brochmann C, Coissac E, Taberlet P, Kauserud H (2010) ITS as an environmental DNA barcode for fungi: an in silico approach reveals potential PCR biases BMC. *Microbiology*, **10**, 189.
- Belotte D, Curien J-P, MacLean RC, Bell G (2003) An experimental test of local adaptation in soil bacteria. *Evolution*, **57**, 27–36.
- Boddy L, Wimpenny JWT (1992) Ecological concepts in food microbiology. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 73(Suppl), 23S– 38S.
- Boynton PJ, Greig D (2014) The ecology and evolution of nondomesticated *Saccharomyces* species. *Yeast*, **31**, 449–462.
- Bozdag GO, Greig D (2014) The genetics of a putative social trait in natural populations of yeast. *Molecular Ecology*, **23**, 5061–5071.

© 2015 The Authors. Molecular Ecology Published byJohn Wiley & Sons Ltd.

- Charron G, Leducq J-P, Bertin C, Dubé AK, Landry CR (2014) Exploring the northern limit of the distribution of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Saccharomyces paradoxus* in North America. *FEMS Yeast Research*, **14**, 281–288.
- Deak T (2006) Biodiversity and ecophysiology of yeasts. *The Yeast Handbook*, **2006**, 155–174.
- Delmont TO, Robe P, Cecillon S *et al.* (2011) Accessing the soil metagenome for studies of microbial diversity. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **77**, 1315–1324.
- DeSantis T, Stone C, Murray S, Moberg J, Andersen G (2005) Rapid quantification and taxonomic classification of environmental DNA from both Prokaryotic and Eukaryotic origins using a microarray. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, **245**, 271–278.
- Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R (2011) UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatic*, 27, 2194–2200.
- Engelbrektson A, Kunin V, Wrighton K, Chen F, Ochman H, Hugenholtz P (2010) Experimental factors affecting PCR-based estimates of microbial species richness and evenness. *The ISME Journal*, **4**, 642–647.
- Fay JC, Benavides JA (2005) Evidence for domesticated and wild populations of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *PLoS Genetics*, 1, 66–71.
- Feinstein LM, Sul WJ, Blackwood CB (2009) Assessment of bias associated with incomplete extraction of microbial DNA from soil. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 75, 5428–5433.
- Fleet GH (2003) Yeast interactions and wine flavor. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 86, 11–22.
- Fox GE, Wisotzkey JD, Jurtshuk P (1992) How close is close: 16s rRNA sequence identity may not be sufficient to guarantee species identity. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology*, **42**, 166–170.
- Gardes M, Bruns TD (1993) ITS primers with enhanced specificity for basidiomycetes - application to the identification of mycorrhizae and rusts. *Molecular Ecology*, **2**, 113–118.
- Giraldo-Perez P, Goddard MR (2013) A parasitic selfish gene that affects host promiscuity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **280**, 20131875.
- Goddard MR (2008) Quantifying the complexities of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae's* ecosystem engineering via fermentation. *Ecology*, **89**, 2077–2082.
- Goldstein A, McCusker J (1999) Three new dominant drug resistance cassettes for gene disruption in *Saccharomyces cere*visiae. Yeast, **15**, 1541–1553.
- Greig D, Leu J-Y (2009) Natural history of budding yeast. Current Biology, 19, R886–R890.
- Han S-I, Lee H-J, Lee H-R, Kim K-K, Whang K-S (2012) Mucilaginibacter polysacchareus sp nov, an exopolysaccharideproducing bacterial species isolated from the rhizoplane of the herb Angelica sinensis. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 62, 632–637.
- Johnson LJ, Koufopanou V, Goddard MR, Hetherington R, Schafer S, Burt A (2004) Population genetics of the wild yeast *Saccharomyces paradoxus. Genetics*, **166**, 43–52.
- Kell DB, Kaprelyants AS, Weichart DH, Harwood CR, Barer MR (1998) Viability and activity in readily culturable bacteria: a review and discussion of the practical issues. *Antonie* van Leeuwenhoek, **73**, 169–187.
- Kõljalg U, Nilsson RH, Abarenkov K et al. (2013) Towards a unified paradigm for sequence-based identification of Fungi. *Molecular Ecology*, 22, 5271–5277.

- Koufopanou V, Hughes J, Bell G, Burt A (2006) The spatial scale of genetic differentiation in a model organism: the wild yeast Saccharomyces paradoxus. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 361, 1941–1946.
- Lee ZMP, Bussema C, Schmidt TM (2009) *rrn*DB: documenting the number of rRNA and tRNA genes in bacteria and archaea. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **37**, D489–D493.
- Leisinger T, Margraff R (1979) Secondary metabolites of the fluorescent pseudomonads. *Microbiological Reviews*, 43, 422– 442.
- Lenski RE, Rose MR, Simpson SC, Tadler SC (1991) Long-term evolution in *Escherichia coli* I adaptation and divergence during 2000 generations. *American Naturalist*, **138**, 1315–1341.
- Madhaiyan M, Poonguzhali S, Lee J-S, Senthilkumar M, Lee KC, Sundaram S (2010) Mucilaginibacter gossypii sp nov and Mucilaginibacter gossypiicola sp nov, plant-growth-promoting bacteria isolated from cotton rhizosphere soils. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 60, 2451– 2457.
- Muyzer G, Teske A, Wirsen CO, Jannasch HW (1995) Phylogenetic relationships of *Thiomicrospira* species and their identification in deep-sea hydrothermal vent samples by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of 16S rDNA fragments. *Archives* of *Microbiology*, **164**, 165–172.
- Muyzer G, Hottentrager S, Teske A, Wawer C (1996) Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of PCR-amplified 16S rDNA A new molecular approach to analyze the genetic diversity of mixed microbial communities. In: *Molecular Microbial Ecology Manual* (eds Akkermans ADL, van Elsas JD, de Bruijn FJ), pp. 3441–3442. Kluwer Academic Publishing, Dordrecht.
- Naumov GI (1987) Genetic-basis for classification and identification of the ascomycetous yeasts. *Studies in Mycology*, 30, 469–475.
- Naumov G, Naumova E, Korhola M (1992) Genetic identification of naturalSaccharomyces sensu stricto yeasts from Finland, Holland and Slovakia. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, 61, 237–243.
- Naumov G, James S, Naumova E, Louis E, Roberts I (2000) Three new species in the Saccharomyces sensu stricto complex: Saccharomyces cariocanus, Saccharomyces kudriavzevii and Saccharomyces mikatae. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 50, 1931–1942.
- Naumov GI, Naumova ES, Masneuf-Pomarede I (2010) Genetic identification of new biological species Saccharomyces arboricolus Wang et Bai. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek International Journal of General and Molecular Microbiology, 98, 1–7.
- Oksanen J, Blanchet G, Kindt R *et al.* (2013) vegan: Community Ecology Package R package version 20-10. http://CRANRprojectorg/package=vegan.
- Pankratov TA, Tindall BJ, Liesack W, Dedysh SN (2007) Mucilaginibacter paludis gen nov, sp nov and Mucilaginibacter gracilis sp nov, pectin-, xylan- and laminarin-degrading members of the family Sphingobacteriaceae from acidic Sphagnum peat bog. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 57, 2349–2354.
- Piskur J, Rozpedowska E, Polakova S, Merico A, Compagno C (2006) How did *Saccharomyces* evolve to become a good brewer? *TRENDS in Genetics*, **22**, 183–186.
- Polz MF, Cavanaugh CM (1998) Bias in template-to-product ratios in multitemplate PCR. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 64, 3724–3730.

- Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K et al. (2007) SILVA: a comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. Nucleic Acids Research, 35, 7188–7196.
- R Core Team (2012) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.* R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Replansky T, Koufopanou V, Greig D, Bell G (2008) Saccharomyces sensu stricto as a model system for evolution and ecology. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 23, 494–501.
- Sampaio JP, Gonçalves P (2008) Natural populations of Saccharomyces kudriavzevii in Portugal are associated with oak bark and are sympatric with S cerevisiae and S paradoxus. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 74, 2144–2152.
- Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T et al. (2009) Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. Applied Environmental Microbiology, 75, 7537– 7541.
- Schloss PD, Gevers D, Westcott SL (2011) Reducing the Effects of PCR Amplification and sequencing artifacts on 16S rRNAbased studies. *PLoS ONE*, **12**, e27310.
- Schoch CL, Seifert KA, Huhndorf S et al. (2012) Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for Fungi. Proceedings of the National Academic Sciences of the United States of America, 109, 6241– 6246.
- Shannon CE, Weaver W (1949) The Mathematical Theory of Communication. University of Illinois Press, Urbana, Illinois.
- Sniegowski PD, Dombrowski PG, Fingerman E (2002) Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Saccharomyces paradoxus coexist in a natural woodland site in North America and display different levels of reproductive isolation from European conspecifics. FEMS Yeast Research, 1, 299–306.
- Taylor MW, Tsai P, Anfang N, Ross HA, Goddard MR (2014) Pyrosequencing reveals regional differences in fruit-associated fungal communities. *Environmental Microbiology*, 16, 2848–2858.
- Turner S, Pryer KM, Miao VPW, Palmer JD (1999) Investigating deep phylogenetic relationships among cyanobacteria and plastids by small subunit rRNA sequence analysis. *Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology*, 46, 327–338.
- Urai M, Aizawa T, Nakagawa Y, Nakajima M, Sunairi M (2008) Mucilaginibacter kameinonensis sp, nov, isolated from garden soil. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 58, 2046–2050.
- Van Rensburg P, Van Zyl WH, Pretorius IS (1998) Engineering yeast for efficient cellulose degradation. *Yeast*, **14**, 67–76.
- Vaughan-Martini A, Martini A (1995) Facts, myths and legends on the prime industrial microorganism. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology*, 14, 514–522.
- Vaughan-Martini A, Martini A (1998) Saccharomyces Meyen ex Reess. In: *The Yeasts: A Taxonomic Study* (eds Kurtzmann CP, Fell JW), pp. 733–746. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam.
- Walker GM (1998) Yeast Physiology and Biotechnology. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, England, 5.
- Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR (2007) Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*, 73, 5261–5267.

- Wang QM, Liu WQ, Liti G, Wang SA, Bai FY (2012) Surprisingly diverged populations of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in natural environments remote from human activity. *Molecular Ecology*, 21, 5404–5417.
- Weisburg WG, Barns SM, Pelletier DA, Lane DJ (1991) 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. *Journal* of *Bacteriology*, **173**, 697–703.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor JW (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: *PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications* (eds Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ), pp. 315–322. Academic Press, Inc, New York.
- Whittaker RH, Woodwell GM (1967) Surface area relations of woody plants and forest communities. *American Journal of Botany*, 54, 931–939.
- Zhang H, Skelton A, Gardner RC, Goddard MR (2010) Saccharomyces paradoxus and Saccharomyces cerevisiae reside on oak trees in New Zealand: evidence for migration from Europe and interspecies hybrids. FEMS Yeast Research, 10, 941–947.

V.K. and D.G. conceived the study. E.M. did the sampling on oaks in Plön, engineering of Sp-Plön strain and determined the sensitivity of malt extract enrichment culture assay. V.K. did sampling in Nehmten, engineering of Sp-Nehmten strain, culturing, 454 sequence analysis, experiments and statistics. D. G. and V.K. wrote the manuscript. E.M. edited the manuscript.

Data accessibility

The sequences determined in this study have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive under Accession no. SRP052992.

Mothur files; Taxonomic output and OTU tables for ITS and 16S sequences: Dryad doi:10.5061/dryad.c8n18.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Fig. S1 The relative abundance of bacteria [b] and fungi [f] colonies on YEPD and 10% YEPD cultured from oak infusions of four trees.

Fig. S2 (A): Rarefaction analysis of OTUs based on 97% identity between bacterial community diversity in oak infusion (blue) and on oak pieces (red).

Fig. S2 (B): Rarefaction analysis of OTUs based on 97% identity between fungal community diversity in oak infusion (blue) and on oak pieces (red).

Fig. S3 (A, B): Alpha diversity based on OTUs at 97% similarity, with the Shannon index for 16S (A) and ITS (B) sequences.

Fig. S4 (A, B): The taxonomic abundance on the genus level of fungi and bacteria in the four oak infusions.

Fig. S5 Growth of *S. paradoxus* relative to its growth in the absence of competition on solid oak infusion medium.

Fig. S6 (A, B): halo assay on 10% YEPD at 26 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ (left) and 5.5 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ (right).

 Table S1 Raw sampling and sensitivity data of enrichment culture assay including Poisson distribution and maximum likelihood model results

Appendix S1 Maximum likelihood model description