## **Georgia Southern University**

# Digital Commons@Georgia Southern

Association of Marketing Theory and Practice Proceedings 2020

Association of Marketing Theory and Practice **Proceedings** 

2020

# Consumer Response to Foundations and Firms When Firms Own or Sponsor a Foundation

Amanda Swift Murray State University, aswift3@murraystate.edu

Ismail Karabas Murray State University, ikarabas@murraystate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/amtpproceedings\_2020



Part of the Marketing Commons, and the Nonprofit Administration and Management Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Swift, Amanda and Karabas, Ismail, "Consumer Response to Foundations and Firms When Firms Own or Sponsor a Foundation" (2020). Association of Marketing Theory and Practice Proceedings 2020. 30. https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/amtp-proceedings\_2020/30

This conference proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the Association of Marketing Theory and Practice Proceedings at Digital Commons@Georgia Southern. It has been accepted for inclusion in Association of Marketing Theory and Practice Proceedings 2020 by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Georgia Southern. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@georgiasouthern.edu.

# **Consumer Response to Foundations and Firms When Firms Own or Sponsor a Foundation**

## **Amanda Swift**

Murray State University

## Ismail Karabas

Murray State University

#### **ABSTRACT**

Corporate philanthropy is not a new concept. Practices have been around for centuries, a more modern understanding of it has evolved into corporate social responsibility (CSR) (Carroll, 1999). As more and more consumers are asking for companies to be more involved in making the world better, CSR has become increasingly more popular in the business world. CSR goes beyond having a positive mission, it is businesses holding themselves accountable for their own actions, which can take many forms ranging from philanthropic efforts, like supporting a cause, to increasing sustainability (Carroll, 1999). Besides doing well for the world, consumers will pay more if there is a social benefit with what is being purchased (Furlow, 2011). Recently, corporate foundations, have become a popular way to utilize CSR as a way to enhance public appearance. Although, corporate foundations only make up a small percentage (5%) of all foundations out there, they account for a larger amount of the contributions to foundations (11%) (Rey-Garcia, Sanzo-Perez, & Alarez-Gonzalez, 2018). Many well-known companies have started their own corporate foundations, like Walmart and Wells Fargo Bank. Both companies gather some proceeds and give it to the foundation that the companies set up, and then that money is used as grants or gifts for causes established when the foundations were created. These corporate foundations, bearing the names of the companies, are then able to do well for the companies. Because so many are practicing CSR, businesses have to find ways to practice CSR that set themselves apart from competition.

The purpose of this research is twofold. First, by using experiments, we aim to explore purchase intentions toward corporations that either partner with a foundation or develop their own foundation. Second, we are interested in donation likelihood toward foundations that are created by a corporation or partnered with a corporation. This research is necessary for providing strategic insights to corporations toward the better CSR strategy (own a foundation vs. partner with a foundation). Also, investigating consumer response to foundations' strategic positioning in the marketplace will allow optimizing consumer contributions to the cause. Corporations in general are seen as more competent, especially when it comes to money (Aaker, Vohs & Mogliner, 2010), so consumers will trust them more with their money. Brand trust leads to higher purchase intentions. Our hypotheses are (H<sub>1</sub>) that corporate foundations will lead to more perceived trust towards the foundation thus creating a positive effect on (H<sub>1a</sub>) purchase intentions towards the corporation and (H<sub>1b</sub>) on donation likelihood towards the foundation. It is a common misconception that nonprofits have no money because the name says no profits, because of this it is more likely that consumers will believe that a company has more money to give to a cause,

thus creating a more perceived impact on the cause. We also propose that  $(H_2)$  corporate foundations will lead to more perceived impact of the foundation thus creating a positive effect on  $(H_{2a})$  purchase intentions and  $(H_{2b})$  donation likelihood.

Using Amazon Mechanical Turk (MTurk; N = 143; 35% female; median age = 32, mean age = 34.54, age range = 18 - 69; 79.7% Caucasian), we tested our hypotheses with a single-factor between-subjects experiment (CSR-type: *founded by* vs. *sponsored by*). Participants imagined coming across a flyer that belongs to the Literacy for All (LFA) foundation that was either *founded by* or *sponsored by* the company Books Co. After reviewing the flyer, participants completed the measures of donation likelihood, perceived impact of the foundation, perceived trustworthiness of the foundation, and purchase intentions toward Books Co. The study ended with an attention check question (i.e., measuring whether participants knew Books Co. was a company) and demographics.

Excluding participants who thought Books Co. was a foundation has left us with 93 participants. The results of PROCESS Model 4 (Hayes, 2018) showed that the indirect effect of CSR-type on purchase intentions was significant through perceived trustworthiness ( $H_{1a}$ ) and perceived impact of the foundation ( $H_{2a}$ ) (in parallel) as the confidence intervals did not include zero (trustworthiness:  $\beta$  = -.16, SE = .09, 95% CI: -.3583, -.0055; impact:  $\beta$  = -.21, SE = .14, 95% CI: -.5404, -.0060). In addition, tests of  $H_{1b}$  and  $H_{2b}$  showed that the indirect effect of CSR-type on donation likelihood was significant through perceived impact ( $\beta$  = -.44, SE = .25, 95% CI: -.9486, -.0052), but not through perceived trustworthiness ( $\beta$  = -.13, SE = .11, 95% CI: -.3832, .0308).

Supporting  $H_{1a}$  and  $H_{2a}$ , the results suggest that firms may generate higher purchase intentions by establishing their own foundation than sponsoring another foundation. This is due to higher (consumer) perceptions of trust and impact of a corporate foundation than a foundation that is being sponsored by a company. Supporting  $H_{2b}$ , results revealed that consumers are more likely to donate to corporate foundations than foundations sponsored by a company, due to higher perceived impact of corporate foundations. Results did not support  $H_{1b}$ .

This indicates that with the right resources a corporation can start its own foundation and that can be a potentially viable way to practice CSR. Our results are important because not only can corporate foundations be a viable option in increasing trust with consumers, but they can also be seen by consumers as making some impact on the cause. We contribute to research on CSR practices and confirm previous research that it can increase purchase intentions. The implications are that when wanting to practice CSR activities, corporate foundations should be considered and should carry more weight than sponsoring a foundation.

#### REFERENCES

Carroll, A.B. (1999), "Corporate Social Responsibility," Business & Society, 38 (3), 268-295.

Furlow, N.E. (2011), "Find us on Facebook: How Cause Marketing has Embraced Social Media," *Journal of Marketing Development and Competitiveness*, 5 (6), 61-64.

Hayes, A.F. (2018). *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A Regression-Based Approach* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

Rey-Garcia, M., Sanzo-Perez, M.J., and Álvarez-González, L.I. (2018), "To Found or to Fund? Comparing the Performance of Corporate and Noncorporate Foundations," *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 47 (3), 514–536.

#### **ABOUT THE AUTHORS**

**Amanda Swift** is in the Honors College at Murray State University. She will be graduating in the Spring of 2020 with a bachelor's degree in Marketing. She intends to use her degree in the non-profit sector.

**Ismail Karabas** is an Assistant Professor of Marketing in the Department of Management, Marketing, Business Administration, and Logistics and Supply Chain Management at Murray State University. Ismail teaches principles of marketing and integrated marketing communications. Ismail's research focuses on services marketing and advertising.