

1 **No differences between beetroot juice and placebo on competitive 5-km running performance: A**

2 **double-blind, placebo-controlled trial**

3 Philip Hurst¹, Samantha Saunders² and Damian Coleman¹

4¹School of Human and Life Sciences, Canterbury Christ Church University, Canterbury, UK

5² Human Performance, Defence Security Analysis Division, Defence Science and Technology

6Laboratory (DSTL), Porton Down, UK.

7

8

9 **Running head:** Beetroot juice and competitive running performance

10

11 **Corresponding author**

12 Dr Philip Hurst

13 School of Human and Life Sciences

14 Canterbury Christ Church University

15 Canterbury

16 Kent, CT1 1QU

17 United Kingdom

18 Telephone +44 (0)1227 921466

19 Email philip.hurst@canterbury.ac.uk

20Abstract

21We examined the effect of beetroot juice on endurance running performance in “real-world”
22competitive settings. One-hundred recreational runners (54% male; mean \pm standard deviation, age =
2333.3 \pm 12.3 years, training history = 11.9 \pm 8.1 years, hours per week training = 5.9 \pm 3.5) completed a
24quasi-randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of 5-km competitive time-trials.
25Participants performed four trials separated by one week in the order of pre-baseline, two
26experimental, and one post-baseline. Experimental trials consisted of the administration of 70-mL
27nitrate rich beetroot juice (containing \sim 4.1 mmol of nitrate, Beet It Sport[®]) or nitrate depleted
28placebo (containing \sim 0.04 mmol of nitrate, Beet It Sport[®]) 2.5 hours prior to time-trials. Time to
29complete 5-km was recorded for each trial. No differences were shown between pre- and post-
30baseline ($P = 0.128$, CV = 2.66%). The average of these two trials is therefore used as baseline.
31Compared to baseline, participants ran faster with beetroot juice (mean differences = 22.2 \pm 5.0 s, $P <$
320.001, $d = 0.08$) and placebo (22.9 \pm 4.5 s, $P < 0.001$, $d = 0.09$). No differences in times were shown
33between beetroot juice or placebo (0.8 \pm 5.7 s, $P < 0.875$, $d = 0.00$). These results indicate that an
34acute dose of beetroot juice does not improve competitive 5-km time-trial performance in
35recreational runners compared to placebo.

36**Keywords:** dietary nitrate, ecological validity, ergogenic aids, nutrition, sport supplements

38Introduction

39Dietary nitrate supplementation increases plasma nitrate and nitrite via nitric oxide synthase
40independent pathway (Kapil et al., 2010) and has been shown to reduce blood pressure (Vanhatalo et
41al., 2010), adenosine triphosphate utilisation, phosphocreatine degradation (Bailey, Fulford, et al.,
422010), the oxygen cost of submaximal exercise (Muggeridge et al., 2013; Wylie et al., 2016) and
43improve sport performance (Hoon et al., 2013; McMahon et al., 2017). In the last decade, there has
44been an exponential increase in research investigating the ergogenic effects of dietary nitrate rich
45products, such as beetroot juice (Hoon et al., 2013; Jones, 2014; McMahon et al., 2017).

46Dietary nitrate supplementation is a popular ergogenic aid amongst athletes of all abilities (Garthe &
47Maughan, 2018; Maughan et al., 2018). While a growing body of research has investigated the effects
48of dietary nitrate in elite athletes (Cermak, Gibala, et al., 2012; Cermak, Res, et al., 2012; Peeling et
49al., 2015), most research has sampled recreational cohorts (Hoon et al., 2013; McMahon et al., 2017).
50Bailey, Winyard, et al. (2010) examined the effects of dietary nitrate on time-to-exhaustion during
51graded step exercise in recreationally active participants (N = 7) and reported improvements of 16%
52compared to placebo. Similarly, Vanhatalo et al. (2010) reported that both acute (one day) and
53chronic (15 days) 0.5-L dietary nitrate supplementation improved steady-state $\dot{V}O_2$ during moderate-
54intensity exercise by ~4% in healthy participants (N = 8) and Jodra et al. (2020) showed that
55consumption of a 70-mL beetroot juice shot improved peak power-output during a Wingate test by
564% in recreationally trained participants (N = 15).

57While data suggests dietary nitrate can improve sport performance (Hoon et al., 2013; Jones, 2014;
58McMahon et al., 2017), there are three limitations that characterise the literature. First, studies often
59assess performance in tightly controlled laboratories (Hoon et al., 2013; McMahon et al., 2017) and it
60is unknown whether the effects are similar in real-world competitive events. Second, testing often
61takes place in isolation with participants performing alone. It is well known that improvements in
62performance are shown during competition than exercising alone (Cooke et al., 2011; Corbett et al.,

632012; Williams et al., 2015). It is therefore understandable to suggest that the beneficial effects of
64dietary nitrate and competition may not be additive and less marked during competition. Third,
65although studies may be sufficiently powered, two meta-analyses (Hoon et al., 2013; McMahon et al.,
662017) report that studies investigating the effectiveness of dietary nitrate on sport performance often
67use small sample sizes (mean N = 11), which limit the detection of meaningful changes on
68performance (Burke & Peeling, 2018).

69Given the above, and to progress knowledge and understanding of the effectiveness of dietary nitrate
70on sport performance, we aimed to determine the effect of dietary nitrate in the form of beetroot
71juice on sport performance during a competitive time-trial using a sufficiently large sample. We used
72parkrun® as our time-trial event, which has shown to be a highly reliable measure of 5-km running
73performance (CV = 0.95%; Hurst & Board, 2017). Since 2004, parkrun has established weekly, free, 5-
74km running events that take place in more than 650 locations globally, with some events hosting over
751000 runners (parkrun, 2020). We used a double-blind, quasi-randomised, placebo-controlled trial to
76investigate the effect of an acute dose of beetroot juice on time to complete a 5-km parkrun time-
77trial. We hypothesised that beetroot juice would improve time to complete 5-km compared to
78baseline and placebo.

79**Methods**

80The reporting of the current study followed the Proper Reporting of Evidence in Sport & Exercise
81Nutrition Trials (PRESENT) 2020 checklist (Betts et al., 2020).

82*Participants*

83One-hundred recreational runners were recruited to the study. Of these participants, 25 did not
84complete all trials and five reported injuries affecting their performance. These were removed leaving
85a final sample size of 70. Demographics for participants are shown in Table 1. A minimum sample size
86of 66 was calculated to detect a medium effect of beetroot juice on time to complete a 5-km time-
87trial. This sample was determined by power analysis using the G*Power v3.1 software (Faul et al.,

882009), using a repeated measures ANOVA design, in which significance was set at 0.05, power (1-
89beta) at 95%, and given that effect sizes greater 0.2 are considered potentially beneficial for sport
90performance (Hopkins et al., 1999), the effect size (F) at 0.2.

91Inclusion criteria stipulated that participants had to be 18 years or over, passed a health questionnaire
92and have no indication of a physical injury. In addition, Hurst and Board (2017) reported that
93participants with greater familiarity of the parkrun course are more likely to improve test-retest
94reliability and reduce the coefficient variation (CV) of the performance measure. Thus, inclusion
95criteria stipulated that participants had completed two or more parkruns in the last four weeks and
96five or more in the preceding six months. The average number of parkruns participants performed at
97the time of recruitment was 24 ± 21 .

98*Design*

99We used a within-participant, quasi-randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to determine
100the effects of an acute dose of beetroot juice on competitive 5-km running performance. Participants
101performed four trials separated by one week in the order of pre-baseline, two experimental, and one
102post-baseline. In experimental trials, participants were randomly allocated (1:1 ratio, no blocking or
103stratification) to receive beetroot juice or placebo using a computer-generator programme
104(www.randomization.org).

105*Supplementation*

106Participants consumed concentrated nitrate rich beetroot juice (containing ~ 4.1 mmol of nitrate; Beet
107it, James White Drinks Ltd., Ipswich, UK) and nitrate depleted beetroot juice (organic beetroot juice
108containing ~ 0.04 mmol of nitrate; Beet it, James White Drinks Ltd., Ipswich, UK). Pharmacokinetic data
109report that plasma nitrate peaks between 2.5 – 3 hours after ingestion of a single dose of beetroot
110juice (Webb et al., 2008), thus on the day of experimental trials, participants were instructed to
111consume 70-mL of the supplement 2.5 hours before the beginning of the trial. Both supplements
112were indistinguishable in taste and smell. Pilot testing with six participants not involved in the main

113study, were unable to identify which supplement had been ingested. The packaging of both
114supplements were identical in appearance, which were marked by a researcher with a unique code
115(i.e. “X” or “Y”) for random assignment. One researcher, who was not involved with any experimental
116testing, knew which codes corresponded to each supplement. To ensure that the placebo blind had
117been effective, a manipulation check was conducted after each experimental time-trial. Participants
118were asked to state what supplement they had received by selecting one of three options: 1) beetroot
119juice; 2) placebo and; 3) don’t know. Participants also indicated what time they had taken the shot, if
120any habitual practices in training and diet had changed leading up to the trial and if any other factors
121(e.g. motivation to perform the trial as fast as possible, weather conditions and injuries) affected their
122performance on the day of the trial.

123*Procedure*

124Ethical approval was granted by the lead author’s Institutional Ethics Committee (ref: 14/SAS/189)
125and parkrun’s Ethics Committee in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Participants were
126recruited to the study in person and informed about the study’s aim, that participation was voluntary,
127and that all data collected would be used for research purposes only. After reading the information
128sheet and completing a health questionnaire, written informed consent was obtained.

129All trials were performed on a Saturday morning at 09:00 at the same location in Kent, United
130Kingdom between April and May 2015. Ambient conditions were recorded using publicly available
131data (<https://www.wunderground.com/>) collected by The Weather Company (IBM, Atlanta, Georgia,
132USA). Minimal differences were reported for all time-trials (temperature = $11.2 \pm 1.8^{\circ}\text{C}$; humidity = 66
133 $\pm 4\%$; and windspeed = 14.6 ± 2 km/hr). Participants were instructed to keep exercise and nutritional
134habits the same, refrain from alcohol 24 hours preceding the trial, high intensity exercise 48-hours
135prior to the trials and requested not to consume other sport supplements not associated with the
136study. Participants were instructed to run the 5-km as fast as possible. Trials were performed
137alongside other runners not involved with the trial. Volunteer parkrun officials recorded completion

138times with data extracted from the official website at a later date (parkrun, 2020). Upon completion,
139participants reported to the research team who provided instructions for the next trial.

140Data analysis

141Time to complete 5-km for baseline trials were inputted into an online reliability spreadsheet to
142estimate reliability of pre- and post-baseline trials. Data was log transformed to reduce nonuniform
143errors and Pearson correlation (r), the intraclass correlation (ICC) and CV provided estimates of
144reliability. The r coefficient was interpreted as trivial (<0.1), small (0.3), moderate (0.5), large (0.7),
145nearly perfect (0.9) and perfect (1.0; Hopkins, 2015). The ICC was interpreted as low (0.20), moderate
146(0.50), high (0.75), very high (0.90) and extremely high (0.99; Hopkins, 2015). A paired samples t -test
147was conducted to determine systematic differences in performance between baseline trials.

148Data was analysed using SPSS version 24.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY) and tested for homogeneity of variance,
149normal distribution and outliers. Ratings of supplement assignment (correct, incorrect) were analysed
150using Chi-square (χ^2). Cramer's V was used as the effect size and interpreted as 0.10, 0.30 and 0.50,
151for a small, medium and large effect, respectively (Cohen, 2013). Repeated measures analysis of
152variance (ANOVA) was conducted to analyse effects of time between conditions. Greenhouse-Geisser
153epsilon was reported when sphericity was violated. Partial eta-squared (η^2) is reported as the effect
154size, with values of 0.02, 0.13 and 0.26 indicating small, medium and large effects respectively (Cohen,
1551992). Post-hoc Least Significant Difference (LSD) tests were used to examine differences between
156conditions and Cohen's d (d) was calculated with values 0.2, 0.5 and 0.8 indicating small, medium and
157large effects, respectively (Cohen, 1992). Data is reported as means \pm standard error of the mean
158(SEM) and 95% confidence intervals. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

159Results

160Preliminary analyses

161 Times were similar between pre- and post-baseline (mean differences = 16.15 ± 1.47 s, 95% CI = -4.80
162 to 37.10 s, $P = 0.128$, $r = 0.95$, ICC = 0.95, CV = 2.66%). The average of these two time-trials was thus
163 used to measure baseline.

164 *Main analyses*

165 Results of χ^2 tests indicated that participants did not accurately guess whether they were given
166 beetroot juice or placebo ($\chi^2 = 49.352$, $P = 0.457$, Cramer's $V = 0.09$). All participants reported to
167 consume the supplement 2.5 hours before the start of the time-trial for each condition and none
168 reported differences in training and nutritional routines leading up to the trials or factors affecting
169 their performance (i.e. injuries, motivation and weather).

170 Mean times for each condition are shown in figure 1. Repeated measures ANOVA revealed a
171 significant effect for 5-km time between each condition ($F_{2, 138} = 13.075$, $P < 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 0.159$).
172 Compared to baseline, participants ran faster in the beetroot (mean differences = 22.2 ± 5.0 s, 95% CI
173 = 12.2 to 32.1 s $P < 0.001$, $d = 0.08$) and placebo (22.9 ± 4.5 s, 95% CI = 13.9 to 32.0 s, $P < 0.001$, $d =$
174 0.09) conditions. No differences in times were reported between beetroot and placebo (0.8 ± 5.7 s,
175 95% CI = -10.6 to 12.1 s, $P = 0.875$, $d = 0.00$).

176 **Discussion**

177 This study was a first to use a double-blind, quasi-randomised, placebo-controlled trial to determine
178 the effect of an acute dose of beetroot juice on competitive 5-km running performance in
179 recreational runners. Our results indicate that compared to baseline, beetroot juice improves
180 performance by on average 22.2 seconds (1.4%). However, when compared to a placebo,
181 performance did not change, with mean differences reported at 0.8 seconds (0.05%). Collectively,
182 results suggest that an acute dose of beetroot juice does not improve 5-km performance in
183 recreational runners.

184 While meta-analyses report beneficial effects of beetroot juice on endurance performance (Hoon et
185 al., 2013; McMahon et al., 2017), we found that beetroot juice does not improve time to complete a

1865-km time-trial. These results are similar to Cermak, Res, et al. (2012) and de Castro et al. (2019) who
187reported that compared to placebo, beetroot juice supplementation does not improve 1-hour cycling
188time-trial and time to complete 10-km running trial performance, respectively. More recent research
189(Jodra et al., 2020; Jonvik et al., 2018; Shannon et al., 2017) has reported that beetroot juice is more
190likely to affect shorter (e.g. 1500-m running) than longer distance (e.g. 10,000-m running) events.
191Shannon et al. (2017) suggest that dietary nitrate supplementation increases the recruitment of type
192II muscle fibres and augments blood flow and oxygen delivery. The increase in local blood flow is
193argued to decrease metabolic perturbations such as PCr degradation and adenosine diphosphate
194(ADP) accumulation (Vanhatalo et al., 2011), increase muscle force production and ultimately
195performance (Coggan et al., 2015). Thus, these effects are less likely to impact endurance
196performance. Given the results of our study, beetroot juice may have little effect on 5-km running
197time-trial performance.

198The null effects could also be explained by our main outcome variable. To help maximise the validity
199of our findings, we used an outdoor competitive 5-km time-trial. The physiological effects associated
200with beetroot juice may not influence performance as much during competitive time-trials than other
201factors (e.g. social comparisons, rewards for success and anxiety). While a 5-km parkrun may not
202produce the same psychophysiological response as the Olympics and World Championships, the
203results of our study are an important first step in identifying whether an acute dose of beetroot juice
204improves endurance performance in an ecological valid setting. Given that recreational runners
205arguably account for a substantial proportion of the consumer group for nutritional sport
206supplements (Maughan et al., 2018), our results highlight that the physiological effects of beetroot
207juice are unlikely to improve performance for this population. Instead, recreational runners should
208practice other methods that are more likely to benefit their performance in competitive settings (e.g.
209an improved training programme, nutritional strategy or psychological profile).

210It is important to consider the reliability of the performance measure when interpreting results. We
211reported improvements compared to baseline of 1.4% for both the beetroot juice and placebo
212condition. However, the CV of our measure was 2.66%. It is therefore likely that changes are
213attributable to systematic and random error. Similarly, the CV of our study is greater than previous
214research using a similar performance measure (CV = 0.95%; Hurst & Board, 2017). Reasons for the
215larger variance could be related to the time in-between baseline trials. Hurst and Board (2017)
216measured 5-km performance twice, separated by 1-week, whereas we separated baseline trials by 3-
217weeks. Although no differences were shown between baseline trials, it could be speculated that the
218greater time in-between trials increased the variance in our performance measure. This highlights the
219importance of measuring a further baseline time-trial after experimental trials to help identify
220systematic and random error of performance.

221While our performance measure is not as reliable as previous research (Hurst & Board, 2017), the
222performance measure still holds very good reliability (see Currell & Jeukendrup, 2008). Therefore, the
223results of our study are supported with high reliability and validity, and a large sample size. Generally,
224randomised controlled trials in sport and exercise employ small sample sizes and use outcome
225measurements in tightly controlled laboratories (Burke & Peeling, 2018). This approach can cause
226difficulties for researchers detecting meaningful changes in performance and translating the findings
227to applied practice. While challenges exist in recruiting adequate sample sizes and designing studies
228that are both reliable and valid, the results of this study highlight the opportunity for researchers to
229analyse the effects of interventions using a reliable and valid measure of running performance with a
230large sample. By using parkrun as our outcome measure, and recruiting a large sample, this study
231offers a clearer estimate of the true magnitude of changes in 5-km running performance after
232administration of an acute dose of beetroot juice.

233*Limitations and future research*

234 While the study has a number of strengths relating to the study design, sample size and outcome
235 measure, there were limitations. First, we measured the effect of a single acute dose of beetroot juice
236 (70-mL). There is evidence to suggest that chronic supplementation of beetroot juice may be more
237 beneficial for improving sport performance than acute supplementation (Jones, 2014; McMahon et
238 al., 2017). Future research should aim to determine the effect of chronic beetroot juice
239 supplementation on competitive 5-km running performance. Second, we did not control the content
240 of nitrate rich foods (e.g. beetroot, lettuce and spinach) in participants' diet. Those with a higher
241 nitrate rich diet may show reduced effects with beetroot juice supplementation than those with a low
242 nitrate rich diet (Jones, 2014; Jonvik et al., 2017). Prospective research should consider controlling for
243 the impact of the consumption of nitrate rich diets in their results. Third, while we recruited a large
244 sample size that were regular 5-km runners, they were not elite athletes. It is argued that the benefits
245 of beetroot juice supplementation are more likely to be shown for highly-trained competitive athletes
246 than recreational athletes due to the consequence of years of training adaptations and genetic factors
247 (Burke & Peeling, 2018). Future research should aim to sample more highly trained athletes to further
248 elucidate the effects of beetroot juice on competitive running performance. Fourth, given that our
249 outcome measure does not mimic the atmosphere, pressure and demands that may be experienced
250 during competitive events (e.g. national and international championships), and that athletes did not
251 adjust their training to "peak" for each trial, the "competitive" element of our study is limited. It
252 would be worthwhile to understand the effects of an acute dose of beetroot juice on running
253 performance during more competitive events.

254 **Conclusion**

255 In conclusion, our results indicate that there is no difference in competitive 5-km time-trial
256 performance when participants ingest an acute dose of beetroot juice or an equivalent placebo. This
257 suggests that beetroot juice may not exert an ergogenic effect on 5-km running performance for
258 recreational runners. The results of this study are supported with high reliability and validity using a

259large sample size. Future research studies should consider using other parkrun events to investigate
260the effectiveness of other sport interventions.

261 Acknowledgments

262 We would like to thank Dr Katrina Taylor for assistance in the study, parkrun® for granting access to
263 recruit participants and data, and to the parkrun participants for their involvement in the study.

264

265 Declarations

266 Authors received no external funding for this research and declare no conflicts of interest.

267

268 Authorships

269 The study was designed by PH and SS; data were collected by PH and SS; data were analysed by PH;
270 data interpretation and manuscript preparation were undertaken by PH, SS and DC. All authors
271 approved the final version of the paper.

272

Reference list

273

274Bailey, S. J., Fulford, J., Vanhatalo, A., Winyard, P. G., Blackwell, J. R., DiMenna, F. J., Wilkerson, D. P.,
 275 Benjamin, N., & Jones, A. M. (2010). Dietary nitrate supplementation enhances muscle
 276 contractile efficiency during knee-extensor exercise in humans. *Journal of Applied Physiology*,
 277 *109*(1), 135-148.

278

279Bailey, S. J., Winyard, P. G., Vanhatalo, A., Blackwell, J. R., DiMenna, F. J., Wilkerson, D. P., & Jones, A.
 280 M. (2010). Acute L-arginine supplementation reduces the O₂ cost of moderate-intensity
 281 exercise and enhances high-intensity exercise tolerance. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, *109*(5),
 282 1394-1403.

283

284Betts, J. A., Gonzalez, J. T., Burke, L. M., Close, G. L., Garthe, I., James, L. J., Jeukendrup, A. E., Morton,
 285 J. P., Nieman, D. C., & Peeling, P. (2020). PRESENT 2020: Text Expanding on the Checklist for
 286 Proper Reporting of Evidence in Sport and Exercise Nutrition Trials. *International Journal of*
 287 *Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, *1*(aop), 1-12.

288

289Burke, L. M., & Peeling, P. (2018). Methodologies for investigating performance changes with
 290 supplement use. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, *28*(2), 159-
 291 169.

292

293Cermak, N. M., Gibala, M. J., & Van Loon, L. J. (2012). Nitrate supplementation's improvement of 10-
 294 km time-trial performance in trained cyclists. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and*
 295 *Exercise Metabolism*, *22*(1), 64-71.

296

297Cermak, N. M., Res, P., Stinkens, R., Lundberg, J. O., Gibala, M. J., & Van Loon, L. J. (2012). No
 298 improvement in endurance performance after a single dose of beetroot juice. *International*
 299 *Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, *22*(6), 470-478.

300

301Coggan, A. R., Leibowitz, J. L., Kadkhodayan, A., Thomas, D. P., Ramamurthy, S., Spearie, C. A., Waller,
 302 S., Farmer, M., & Peterson, L. R. (2015). Effect of acute dietary nitrate intake on maximal knee
 303 extensor speed and power in healthy men and women. *Nitric Oxide*, *48*, 16-21.

304

305Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin*, *112*(1), 155-159.

306

307Cohen, J. (2013). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Routledge.

308

309Cooke, A., Kavussanu, M., McIntyre, D., & Ring, C. (2011). Effects of competition on endurance
 310 performance and the underlying psychological and physiological mechanisms. *Biological*
 311 *Psychology*, *86*(3), 370-378.

312

313Corbett, J., Barwood, M. J., Ouzounoglou, A., Thelwell, R., & Dicks, M. (2012). Influence of competition
 314 on performance and pacing during cycling exercise. *Medicine and Science in Sports and*
 315 *Exercise*, *44*(3), 509-515.

316

317Currell, K., & Jeukendrup, A. E. (2008). Validity, reliability and sensitivity of measures of sporting
318 performance. *Sports Medicine*, 38(4), 297-316.

319

320de Castro, T. F., Manoel, F. d. A., Figueiredo, D. H., Figueiredo, D. H., & Machado, F. A. (2019). Effect of
321 beetroot juice supplementation on 10-km performance in recreational runners. *Applied*
322 *Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism*, 44(1), 90-94.

323

324Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., & Lang, A.-G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using G* Power 3.1:
325 Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior Research Methods*, 41(4), 1149-1160.

326

327Garthe, I., & Maughan, R. J. (2018). Athletes and supplements: prevalence and perspectives.
328 *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 28(2), 126-138.

329

330Hoon, M. W., Johnson, N. A., Chapman, P. G., & Burke, L. M. (2013). The effect of nitrate
331 supplementation on exercise performance in healthy individuals: a systematic review and
332 meta-analysis. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 23(5), 522-
333 532.

334

335Hopkins, W. G. (2015). Spreadsheets for Analysis of Validity and Reliability. *Sportsci*, 19, 26-42.

336

337Hopkins, W. G., Hawley, J. A., & Burke, L. M. (1999). Design and analysis of research on sport
338 performance enhancement. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 31(3), 472-485.

339

340Hurst, P., & Board, E. (2017). Reliability of 5-km running performance in a competitive environment.
341 *Measurement in Physical Education and Exercise Science*, 21(1), 10-14.

342

343Jodra, P., Domínguez, R., Sánchez-Oliver, A. J., Veiga-Herreros, P., & Bailey, S. J. (2020). Effect of
344 Beetroot Juice Supplementation on Mood, Perceived Exertion, and Performance During a 30-
345 Second Wingate Test. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 15(2), 243-
346 248.

347

348Jones, A. M. (2014). Dietary nitrate supplementation and exercise performance. *Sports Medicine*,
349 44(1), 35-45.

350

351Jonvik, K. L., Nyakayiru, J., van Dijk, J.-W., Wardenaar, F. C., Van Loon, L. J., & Verdijk, L. B. (2017).
352 Habitual dietary nitrate intake in highly trained athletes. *International Journal of Sport*
353 *Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 27(2), 148-157.

354

355Jonvik, K. L., Nyakayiru, J., Van Dijk, J. W., Maase, K., Ballak, S., Senden, J., Van Loon, L., & Verdijk, L. B.
356 (2018). Repeated-sprint performance and plasma responses following beetroot juice
357 supplementation do not differ between recreational, competitive and elite sprint athletes.
358 *European Journal of Sport Science*, 18(4), 524-533.

359

360Kapil, V., Milsom, A. B., Okorie, M., Maleki-Toyserkani, S., Akram, F., Rehman, F., Arghandawi, S., Pearl,
361 V., Benjamin, N., & Loukogeorgakis, S. (2010). Inorganic nitrate supplementation lowers blood
362 pressure in humans: role for nitrite-derived NO. *Hypertension*, *56*(2), 274-281.

363

364Maughan, R. J., Burke, L. M., Dvorak, J., Larson-Meyer, D. E., Peeling, P., Phillips, S. M., Rawson, E. S.,
365 Walsh, N. P., Garthe, I., & Geyer, H. (2018). IOC consensus statement: dietary supplements
366 and the high-performance athlete. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise*
367 *Metabolism*, *28*(2), 104-125. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0020>

368

369McMahon, N. F., Leveritt, M. D., & Pavey, T. G. (2017). The effect of dietary nitrate supplementation
370 on endurance exercise performance in healthy adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
371 *Sports Medicine*, *47*(4), 735-756.

372

373Muggeridge, D. J., Howe, C. C., Spendiff, O., Pedlar, C., James, P. E., & Easton, C. (2013). The effects of
374 a single dose of concentrated beetroot juice on performance in trained flatwater kayakers.
375 *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, *23*(5), 498-506.

376

377parkrun. (2020). *parkrun*. Retrieved 21/08/2019 from <https://www.parkrun.org.uk/>

378

379Peeling, P., Cox, G. R., Bullock, N., & Burke, L. M. (2015). Beetroot juice improves on-water 500 m
380 time-trial performance, and laboratory-based paddling economy in national and
381 international-level kayak athletes. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise*
382 *Metabolism*, *25*(3), 278-284.

383

384Shannon, O. M., Barlow, M. J., Duckworth, L., Williams, E., Wort, G., Woods, D., Siervo, M., & O'Hara,
385 J. P. (2017). Dietary nitrate supplementation enhances short but not longer duration running
386 time-trial performance. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, *117*(4), 775-785.

387

388Vanhatalo, A., Bailey, S. J., Blackwell, J. R., DiMenna, F. J., Pavey, T. G., Wilkerson, D. P., Benjamin, N.,
389 Winyard, P. G., & Jones, A. M. (2010). Acute and chronic effects of dietary nitrate
390 supplementation on blood pressure and the physiological responses to moderate-intensity
391 and incremental exercise. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and*
392 *Comparative Physiology*, *299*(4), R1121-R1131.

393

394Vanhatalo, A., Fulford, J., Bailey, S. J., Blackwell, J. R., Winyard, P. G., & Jones, A. M. (2011). Dietary
395 nitrate reduces muscle metabolic perturbation and improves exercise tolerance in hypoxia.
396 *The Journal of physiology*, *589*(22), 5517-5528.

397

398Webb, A. J., Patel, N., Loukogeorgakis, S., Okorie, M., Aboud, Z., Misra, S., Rashid, R., Miall, P.,
399 Deanfield, J., & Benjamin, N. (2008). Acute blood pressure lowering, vasoprotective, and
400 antiplatelet properties of dietary nitrate via bioconversion to nitrite. *Hypertension*, *51*(3), 784-
401 790.

402

403Williams, E. L., Jones, H. S., Sparks, S. A., Marchant, D. C., Midgley, A. W., & Mc Naughton, L. R. (2015).
404 Competitor presence reduces internal attentional focus and improves 16.1 km cycling time
405 trial performance. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, *18*(4), 486-491.

406

407Wylie, L. J., de Zavallos, J. O., Isidore, T., Nyman, L., Vanhatalo, A., Bailey, S. J., & Jones, A. M. (2016).

408 Dose-dependent effects of dietary nitrate on the oxygen cost of moderate-intensity exercise:

409 Acute vs. chronic supplementation. *Nitric Oxide*, 57, 30-39.

410Tables

Table 1 Demographics of participants separated by gender

	Male	Female	Overall
<i>N</i>	38	32	70
Age (years)	34.4 ± 11.6	32.1 ± 12.9	33.3 ± 12.3
Training history (years)	11.8 ± 7.0	11.9 ± 9.5	11.9 ± 8.1
Hours per week training	6.3 ± 3.9	5.5 ± 3.1	5.9 ± 3.5
Number of parkruns	21 ± 18	28 ± 24	24 ± 21
Personal best (minutes: seconds)	23:02 ± 4:42	29:05 ± 3:51	25:48 ± 5:16

Note: data are mean ± standard deviation

411

412 **Figure**

413 **Figure 1.** Mean time to complete 5-km time-trials for each condition. Data are means \pm SEM. * = P

414 <0.001 vs. beetroot and placebo.

