


One-Pot Asymmetric Synthesis of Alkylidene 1-Alkylindan-1-ols Using Brønsted Acid and Palladium Catalysis

Réka J. Faggyas, Ewen D. D. Calder, Claire Wilson, and Andrew Sutherland*

WestCHEM, School of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, The Joseph Black Building, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A one-pot catalytic enantioselective allylboration/Mizoroki–Heck reaction of 2-bromoaryl ketones has been developed for the asymmetric synthesis of 3-methyleneindanes bearing a tertiary alcohol center. Brønsted acid-catalyzed allylboration with a chiral BINOL derivative was followed by a palladium-catalyzed Mizoroki–Heck cyclization, resulting in selective formation of the *exo*-alkene. This novel protocol provides a concise and scalable approach to 1-alkyl-3-methyleneindan-1-ols in high enantiomeric ratios (up to 96:4 er). The potential of these compounds as chiral building blocks was demonstrated with efficient transformation to optically active diol and amino alcohol scaffolds.



INTRODUCTION

Chiral indan-1-ols are an important structural motif,¹ used as key intermediates for the synthesis of biologically active substances, including anistatin [1 (Figure 1)],² a sesquiterpene




Figure 1. Structures of anistatin (1) and tripartin (2).

toxin from the seeds of the Japanese star anise, and dopamine reuptake blockers for the treatment of cocaine abuse.³ Chiral indan-1-ols are also found as core components of natural products, such as tripartin (2), a demethylase inhibitor, isolated from a *Streptomyces* species associated with dung beetle larva.⁴

Because of their importance, a number of approaches have been developed for the preparation of chiral indan-1-ol derivatives.¹ Recent efforts have focused on the synthesis of optically active alkylidene indan-1-ols bearing a secondary alcohol center. These have been prepared from benzaldehyde derivatives using asymmetric allylation reactions followed by a metal-catalyzed C–C bond forming reaction.⁵ One-pot methods have also been reported. For example, the groups of Schmalz⁶ and Fukuzawa⁷ described a one-pot asymmetric synthesis of 3-methyleneindan-1-ols from *o*-formylaryl iodides and triflates by sequential Sakurai-type allylstannylation and Mizoroki–Heck reaction in the presence of chiral *P,P*-ligands (e.g., Scheme 1a). More recently, we reported the one-pot synthesis of optically active 3-methyleneindan-1-ols from benzaldehydes using allylboration, catalyzed by a chiral

binaphthyl-derived phosphoric acid, followed by a Mizoroki–Heck reaction (Scheme 1b).⁸ This process was found to be general for both electron-deficient and electron-rich benzaldehydes, giving 3-methyleneindan-1-ols in excellent yields and high enantioselectivity.

While there are numerous methods for the synthesis of 3-methyleneindan-1-ols from benzaldehydes, the more challenging analogous synthesis of 3-methyleneindan-1-ols with a tertiary alcohol center is less well-known.⁹ Only two general methods for the racemic synthesis of these compounds have been reported. A multistep approach has been described involving the preparation of allyl-substituted tertiary bromobenzyl alcohols, followed by a Mizoroki–Heck reaction,¹⁰ while Grigg and co-workers developed a one-pot synthesis of tertiary-substituted 3-methyleneindan-1-ols by the palladium-catalyzed reaction of 2-bromoaryl ketones with allene.¹¹ There is only one example of an asymmetric synthesis of a 1-alkyl-3-methyleneindan-1-ol, described by Oestreich and co-workers, who used a desymmetrizing intramolecular Mizoroki–Heck reaction of an *o*-triflate-derived diallylated benzyl alcohol (Scheme 1c).^{12,13} This gave the tertiary-substituted 3-methyleneindan-1-ol in 35% yield and 46% ee.


Because of a lack of methods for accessing optically active 1-alkyl-3-methyleneindan-1-ols, we were interested in developing a general and concise approach for the synthesis of these compounds from readily available 2-bromoaryl ketones. Herein, we now report the one-pot synthesis of 1-alkyl-3-methyleneindan-1-ols from 2-bromoaryl ketones using a combination of an asymmetric allylboration, followed by a Mizoroki–Heck reaction (Scheme 1d). The ease of synthesis has also allowed the investigation of these compounds as synthetic intermediates

Received: September 11, 2017


Published: October 6, 2017

Scheme 1. Methods for the Asymmetric Synthesis of 3-Methyleneindan-1-ols


a) One-Pot Asymmetric Allylstannylation and Mizoroki-Heck Reaction




b) One-Pot Asymmetric Allylboration and Mizoroki-Heck Reaction



c) Desymmetrizing Intramolecular Mizoroki-Heck Reaction



d) This Work: One-Pot Asymmetric Synthesis of Alkylidene 1-Alkylindan-1-ols



for the preparation of more functionalized diol and amino alcohol-derived Indane scaffolds.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There are relatively few methods for the efficient catalytic asymmetric allylation of aryl ketones,^{14–19} and thus, we began our initial studies by screening various allylboronic esters and chiral Brønsted acids that would allow the effective allylation of 2-bromoaryl ketones. Crucially, conditions that would be compatible with a Mizoroki–Heck reaction were required. As we had previously shown that chiral binaphthyl-derived phosphoric acids could promote asymmetric allylation of 2-bromobenzaldehydes,⁸ these were initially investigated for the catalytic allylation of 2-bromoacetophenone (**3a**) using allylboronic acid pinacol ester (**5**) (Table 1). However, neither unsubstituted phosphoric acid **7** nor sterically congested (*R*)-TRIP-PA **8**²⁰ promoted allylation (entries 1 and 2). 3,3'-Dibrominated (*S*)-BINOL catalyst **9**, developed by Schaus and co-workers for asymmetric allylation of aryl ketones, was next investigated.^{15b,c} While allylation with **5** showed no reaction (entry 3), a 21% yield was obtained using allyldioxaborinane **6** and *t*-BuOH as the solvent (entry 4). To achieve the same result in toluene (required for the one-pot process), an increase in catalyst loading of **9** to 7.5 mol % was required (entry 5). Further optimization, involving longer reaction times and an increase in temperature, eventually yielded the allylated product in quantitative yield (entry 7). Analysis of **4** by chiral HPLC indicated an enantiomeric ratio (er) of 98:2.

Conditions were then investigated for the one-pot, two-step synthesis of (1*S*)-2,3-dihydro-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (**S-10a**) from 2-bromoacetophenone (**3a**) using the (*S*)-3,3'-

Table 1. Asymmetric Allylation of 2-Bromoacetophenone (**3a**)

entry	allylborane	chiral ligand	time (h)	yield (%) ^a
1	5	7	20	0
2	5	8	20	0
3	5	9	24	0
4 ^b	6	9	24	21
5 ^c	6	9	24	25
6 ^c	6	9	72	67
7 ^{c,d}	6	9	120	100

^aIsolated yield of **4**. ^b*t*-BuOH was used as the solvent. ^cHere, 7.5 mol % **9** was used. ^dThe reaction temperature was increased to 35 °C.

Br₂-BINOL-catalyzed allylation, followed by a Mizoroki–Heck reaction (Table 2). The first attempt involved the use of


Table 2. Optimization of the One-Pot Procedure

entry	palladium catalyst	time (h)	yield (%) ^a	S-10a:S-11a ^b
1	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	24	57	2:1
2	PdCl ₂ (dppf)	24	77	1.5:1
3 ^c	PdCl ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂	18	90	5:1

^aCombined yield of **S-10a** and **S-11a**. ^bRatio determined by analysis of the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture. ^cN₂H₄.H₂O (0.4 equiv) was added with a Pd catalyst.

Pd(PPh₃)₄ to catalyze the Mizoroki–Heck reaction. While this gave indanol **S-10a** as the major product, *endo*-alkene isomer **S-11a** was also formed (entry 1).²¹ An improvement in the overall yield of the one-pot, two-step process was achieved using PdCl₂(dppf); however, this resulted in a lower ratio of **S-10a** to **S-11a** (entry 2). The most selective catalyst was found to be PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (entry 3). In combination with the reductant hydrazine hydrate, this led to a 5:1 **S-10a**:**S-11a** ratio in 90% overall yield. Analysis of the products by chiral HPLC showed a 96:4 er. Purification by column chromatography allowed the isolation of **S-10a** in 75% overall yield.


Following optimization of the one-pot process for the preparation of indanol **S-10a**, we explored the scope of the one-pot method with a range of 2-bromoaryl ketones. These were prepared in a straightforward manner from commercially available 2-bromobenzaldehydes (Scheme 2). Addition of a

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 2-Bromoaryl Ketones^a

^aIsolated yields are shown. ^bPDC was used to prepare ketone **3h**.

Grignard reagent gave the corresponding secondary alcohols that were then oxidized to the 2-bromoaryl ketones using either manganese oxide or a PDC/silica mixture. This allowed the scalable synthesis of a wide range of 2-bromoaryl ketones in good yields over the two steps. An additional substrate bearing a 5-nitro group (**3g**) was prepared in 54% yield by direct nitration of 2-bromoacetophenone (**3a**).²²


Having produced a series of 2-bromoaryl ketones, we then applied these to the one-pot allylboration and Mizoroki–Heck process (Scheme 3). Initially, we wanted to demonstrate that either indanol enantiomer could be prepared using either (*S*)- or (*R*)-3,3'-Br₂-BINOL. Therefore, the one-pot process with 2-bromoacetophenone (**3a**) was repeated using (*R*)-3,3'-Br₂-BINOL. As expected, this gave similar results, with indanol **R-10a** produced with a 96:4 er and isolated in 70% overall yield. 2-Bromoaryl methyl ketones with various aryl substituents were then subjected to the one-pot process using (*S*)-3,3'-Br₂-BINOL. Substrates with electron-rich aryl substituents gave the two isomeric indanols in high yields over the two steps, with the major (1*S*)-3-methyleneindanols **10a–c** produced with a high er (from 89.5:10.5 to 96:4) and easily isolated in good yields (61–75%). The exception to these general results was observed for methoxy-derived indanols **10d** and **11d**. While (1*S*)-3-methyleneindanol **10d** was formed with a high er and isolated in a reasonable 47% overall yield, a modest 2:1 ratio of alkene isomers was generated from the one-pot process. In this case, we believe the methoxy substituent facilitates isomerization in the presence of the Pd(0) catalyst following completion of the Mizoroki–Heck reaction. It should be noted that other studies that have prepared electron-rich, racemic 1-alkyl-3-methyleneindanol-1-ols by a single-step Mizoroki–Heck reaction have been complicated by the formation of an indene byproduct, formed via dehydration.¹⁰ In this study, using the asymmetric one-pot process, no dehydration was observed with analogous substrates (e.g., **3c**). Electron-deficient 2-bromoaryl ketones were then investigated and also found to be substrates for this process. While halogenated analogues **10e** and **10f** were formed with a high er and isolated in good overall yields, nitro-substituted (1*S*)-3-methyleneindanol **10g** was formed in only 19% yield, demonstrating a limitation of this approach. Interestingly, pyridine analogue **11h** was isolated solely as the *endo*-alkene isomer, in 63% yield. In this case, isomerization of the *exo*-alkene is likely facilitated by

Scheme 3. Scope of the One-Pot Two-Step Process^a

^aRatio of isomers **10** and **11** determined by analysis of the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture. Enantiomeric ratio determined by chiral HPLC. ^bThe one-pot process was performed using (*R*)-3,3'-Br₂-BINOL.

coordination of the Pd(0) catalyst with the adjacent nitrogen atom. This study also briefly investigated the scope of the ketone side chain. Use of the one-pot process with 2-bromoaryl ethyl ketone **3i** gave a high overall yield of the indanol products with (1*S*)-3-methyleneindanol **10i** produced with a 94:6 er and isolated in 59% yield. However, increasing the size of the side chain with an isopropyl group (**3j**) completely inhibited the allylation step. While (*S*)-3,3'-Br₂-BINOL-catalyzed allylations have been performed with a range of alkyl-substituted aryl ketones,^{15b,c} compounds with both a bulky alkyl group and a 2-bromoaryl substituent are not substrates for this reaction.

In the next stage of this project, we wanted to demonstrate that the optically active 1-alkyl-3-methyleneindanols that can now be accessed using our concise one-pot approach could be further functionalized to generate chiral synthetic building blocks. In a preliminary study, we have shown that the methylene unit of the indanols can be used to incorporate a second stereogenic center through an oxidation and reduction sequence (Scheme 4). Initially, multigram quantities of **S-10a** were produced by scale-up of the one-pot process. During these reactions, it was found that the catalyst loading of both (*S*)-3,3'-Br₂-BINOL **9** and PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ could be decreased to 5 mol %, while still maintaining the er (96:4) and high yield (72%) of **S-10a**. Ozonolysis of **S-10a** under standard conditions gave indanone **14** in 81% yield. Indanone **14** is a structural analogue of tripartin [**2** (Figure 1)], a natural product from bacteria

Scheme 4. Synthesis of 3-Hydroxy- and 3-Aminoindanols^a^aIsolated yields are shown.

associated with the dung beetle *Copris tripartitus*.⁴ Various borohydride reagents were next investigated for reduction of **14**. The most selective was sodium triacetoxyborohydride, and while the use of this required a prolonged reaction time, diol **15** was isolated as a single diastereomer in 75% yield. The highly selective nature of sodium triacetoxyborohydride was used for reductive amination of indanone **14**. With various amines, this gave corresponding 3-aminoindanols **16a–d** as single diastereomers in high yields (78–84%). It was proposed that reduction of indanone **14** and the corresponding imines was achieved via a directed mechanism, involving coordination of the reducing agent to the 1-hydroxyl group, followed by reduction of the same face of the ketone/imine. This was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis of diol **15** and morpholine derivative **16d**, which clearly show the *anti* relationship of the C-1 hydroxyl and C-3 hydroxyl/amine groups.²³

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, a one-pot asymmetric allylboration and Mizoroki–Heck reaction of 2-bromoaryl ketones has been developed for the concise synthesis of optically active 1-alkyl-3-methyleneindanes bearing a tertiary alcohol center. As well as generating these compounds with high enantiomeric ratios, the method is scalable and can be used to access either enantiomer. Now that a general procedure for the preparation of these compounds has been established, the synthetic utility of these highly functional scaffolds can be realized. We have shown that these compounds can be readily converted to hydroxyl and amine chiral building blocks in a highly diastereoselective manner. Investigation of further applications of these chiral 3-methyleneindanes is currently underway.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All reagents and starting materials were obtained from commercial sources and used as received. All dry solvents were purified using a solvent purification system. All reactions were performed in oven-dried glassware under an atmosphere of argon unless otherwise stated. Brine refers to a saturated solution of sodium chloride. Flash column chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (40–63 μm). Aluminum-backed plates precoated with silica gel 60F₂₅₄ were used for thin layer chromatography and were visualized with a UV lamp or by staining with potassium permanganate. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a NMR spectrometer at either 400 or 500 MHz, and data are reported as follows: chemical shift in parts per million relative to tetramethylsilane or the solvent as the internal standard (CDCl_3 , δ

7.26, or CD_3OD , δ 3.31), multiplicity (s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet or overlap of nonequivalent resonances, integration). ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a NMR spectrometer at either 101 or 126 MHz, and data are reported as follows: chemical shift in parts per million relative to tetramethylsilane or the solvent as the internal standard (CDCl_3 , δ 77.0, or CD_3OD , δ 49.0), multiplicity with respect to hydrogen (deduced from DEPT experiments, C, CH, CH_2 , or CH_3). IR spectra were recorded on a FTIR spectrometer; wavenumbers are indicated in inverse centimeters. Mass spectra were recorded using electron impact, chemical ionization, or electrospray techniques. HRMS spectra were recorded using a dual-focusing magnetic analyzer mass spectrometer. Melting points are uncorrected. Optical rotations were determined as solutions irradiating with the sodium D line ($\lambda = 589 \text{ nm}$) using a polarimeter. $[\alpha]_D$ values are given in units of 10^{-1} degree square centimeter per gram. Chiral HPLC methods were calibrated with the corresponding racemic mixtures.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Secondary Alcohols (13). To a stirred solution of a 2-bromoaldehyde (1 equiv) in dry diethyl ether (2 mL/mmol) at -78°C under an argon atmosphere was added dropwise a solution of methylmagnesium bromide (1 M in dibutyl ether, 2 equiv), ethylmagnesium bromide (1 M in THF, 2 equiv), or isopropylmagnesium bromide (1 M in THF, 2 equiv). After addition, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0°C and stirred for 3 h. The reaction was then quenched with a saturated solution of aqueous ammonium chloride (10–15 mL/mmol). The product was extracted using diethyl ether ($3 \times 20 \text{ mL/mmol}$). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ($2 \times 20 \text{ mL/mmol}$), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification of the crude material using silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with diethyl ether in petroleum ether gave the secondary alcohols.

1-(2'-Bromo-4'-methylphenyl)ethan-1-ol (13b). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 2-bromo-4-methylbenzaldehyde (**12b**) (0.40 g, 2.0 mmol) and a methylmagnesium bromide solution (4.0 mL, 4.0 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 1-(2'-bromo-4'-methylphenyl)ethan-1-ol (**13b**) (0.42 g, 98%) as a colorless oil: IR (neat) 3335, 2974, 1609, 1488 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.47 (d, $J = 6.5 \text{ Hz}$, 3H), 1.95 (d, $J = 3.5 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 5.21 (qd, $J = 6.5, 3.5 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 7.15 (br d, $J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 7.35 (br d, $J = 0.9 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 7.46 (d, $J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}$, 1H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 20.7 (CH_3), 23.6 (CH_3), 69.0 (CH), 121.6 (C), 126.4 (CH), 128.7 (CH), 133.1 (CH), 138.9 (C), 141.5 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 237 (MNa^+ , 100); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{11}^{79}\text{BrNaO}$ (MNa^+) 236.9885, found 236.9882.

1-(2'-Bromo-4',5'-methylenedioxyphenyl)ethan-1-ol (13c).²⁴ The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 2-bromo-4,5-methylenedioxybenzaldehyde (**12c**) (0.69 g, 3.0 mmol) and a methylmagnesium bromide solution (6.0 mL, 6.0 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 1-(2'-bromo-4',5'-methylenedioxyphenyl)ethan-1-ol (**13c**) (0.63 g, 86%) as a colorless oil that solidified upon standing to give a white solid: mp $51-53^\circ\text{C}$ (lit.²⁴ $52-53^\circ\text{C}$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.43 (d, $J = 6.4 \text{ Hz}$, 3H), 1.87 (d, $J = 3.3 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 5.18 (qd, $J = 6.4, 3.3 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 5.97 (d, $J = 1.6 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 5.98 (d, $J = 1.6 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 7.09 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 23.6 (CH_3), 69.1 (CH), 101.7 (CH_2), 106.6 (CH), 111.8 (C), 112.4 (CH), 138.1 (C), 147.4 (C), 147.8 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 269 (MNa^+ , 100), 267 (98).

1-(2'-Bromo-5'-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-ol (13d).¹⁰ The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 2-bromo-5-methoxybenzaldehyde (**12d**) (0.44 g, 3.0 mmol) and a methylmagnesium bromide solution (6.0 mL, 6.0 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 1-(2'-bromo-5'-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-ol (**13d**) (0.63 g, 90%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature:¹⁰ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.47 (d, $J = 6.4 \text{ Hz}$, 3H), 1.96 (d, $J = 3.4 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 5.19 (qd, $J = 6.4, 3.4 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 6.69 (dd, $J = 8.8, 3.1 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 7.16 (d, $J = 3.1 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 7.39 (d, $J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}$, 1H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 23.6 (CH_3), 55.5 (CH_3), 69.2 (CH), 111.8 (C), 112.0 (CH), 114.7 (CH),

133.2 (CH), 145.8 (C), 159.4 (C); MS (EI) m/z 232 (M^+ , 39), 230 (40).

1-(2'-Bromo-5'-fluorophenyl)ethan-1-ol (13e). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 2-bromo-5-fluorobenzaldehyde (**12e**) (0.61 g, 3.0 mmol) and a methylmagnesium bromide solution (6.0 mL, 6.0 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 1-(2'-bromo-5'-fluorophenyl)ethan-1-ol (**13e**) (0.58 g, 88%) as a colorless oil: IR (neat) 3323, 2974, 1580, 1465 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.47 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 3H), 2.05 (d, $J = 3.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.14–5.23 (m, 1H), 6.86 (ddd, $J = 8.6, 8.0, 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.34 (dd, $J = 9.8, 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.46 (dd, $J = 8.6, 5.2$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 23.5 (CH₃), 69.1 (CH), 114.0 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-F}} = 24.0$ Hz, CH), 115.3 (C), 115.9 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-F}} = 22.8$ Hz, CH), 133.8 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-F}} = 7.8$ Hz, CH), 147.0 (d, $^3J_{\text{C-F}} = 6.7$ Hz, C), 162.5 (d, $^1J_{\text{C-F}} = 247.2$ Hz, C); MS (EI) m/z 218 (M^+ , 38), 205 (82), 203 (100), 175 (16), 152 (38), 123 (11), 96 (60); HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_8\text{H}_8^{79}\text{BrFO}$ (M^+) 217.9743, found 217.9731.

1-(2'-Bromo-5'-chlorophenyl)ethan-1-ol (13f). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 2-bromo-5-chlorobenzaldehyde (**12f**) (0.66 g, 3.0 mmol) and a methylmagnesium bromide solution (6.0 mL, 6.0 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 1-(2'-bromo-5'-chlorophenyl)ethan-1-ol (**13f**) (0.68 g, 96%) as a colorless oil: IR (neat) 3336, 2976, 1449 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.47 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 3H), 2.01 (d, $J = 3.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.18 (qd, $J = 6.4, 3.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.11 (dd, $J = 8.4, 2.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, $J = 2.6$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 23.6 (CH₃), 69.0 (CH), 119.2 (C), 127.0 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 133.7 (CH), 134.1 (C), 146.5 (C); MS (EI) m/z 236 (M^+ , 38), 234 (29), 223 (24), 221 (100), 219 (81), 112 (56), 75 (27); HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_8\text{H}_8^{81}\text{Br}^{35}\text{ClO}$ (M^+) 235.9425, found 235.9430.

1-(2'-Bromo-3'-pyridyl)ethan-1-ol (13h). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 2-bromopyridine-3-carboxaldehyde (**12h**) (1.00 g, 5.40 mmol) and a methylmagnesium bromide solution (11.0 mL, 10.8 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 1-(2'-bromo-3'-pyridyl)ethan-1-ol (**13h**) (0.94 g, 87%) as a yellow oil: IR (neat) 3410, 2932, 1651, 1389 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.47 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 3H), 3.76 (br s, 1H), 5.12–5.20 (m, 1H), 7.28 (dd, $J = 7.6, 4.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.93 (dd, $J = 7.6, 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 8.16 (dd, $J = 4.8, 2.0$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 23.7 (CH₃), 67.9 (CH), 123.4 (CH), 135.8 (CH), 140.9 (C), 142.6 (C), 148.4 (CH); MS (ESI) m/z 224 (MNa^+ , 98); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_7\text{H}_8^{79}\text{BrNNaO}$ (MNa^+) 223.9681, found 223.9674.

1-(2'-Bromophenyl)propan-1-ol (13i).²⁵ The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 2-bromobenzaldehyde (**12a**) (1.0 mL, 8.0 mmol) and an ethylmagnesium bromide solution (16 mL, 16 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 1-(2'-bromophenyl)propan-1-ol (**13i**) (1.40 g, 81%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature:²⁵ ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.01 (td, $J = 7.4, 0.8$ Hz, 3H), 1.65–1.90 (m, 2H), 1.95–2.05 (m, 1H), 5.01 (dt, $J = 8.0, 4.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.12 (br t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.33 (br t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.49–7.56 (m, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 10.1 (CH₃), 30.6 (CH₂), 74.2 (CH), 122.2 (C), 127.4 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 128.7 (CH), 132.6 (CH), 143.6 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 239 (MNa^+ , 95), 237 (100).

1-(2'-Bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ol (13j).²⁶ The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 2-bromobenzaldehyde (**12a**) (1.0 mL, 8.0 mmol) and an isopropylmagnesium bromide solution (16 mL, 16 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 1-(2'-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ol (**13j**) (0.91 g, 57%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature:²⁶ ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 0.95 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 3H), 0.97 (d, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 3H), 1.85 (br s, 1H), 2.00–2.14 (m, 1H), 4.86 (d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.09–7.15 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.36 (m, 1H), 7.50 (td, $J = 7.6, 1.6$ Hz, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 16.8 (CH₃),

19.5 (CH₃), 34.0 (CH), 77.6 (CH), 122.7 (C), 127.4 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 132.6 (CH), 142.9 (C); MS (EI) m/z 230 (M^+ , 8), 228 (10), 187 (81), 185 (100), 172 (17), 157 (9), 105 (9), 84 (23), 77 (43).

General Procedure A for Oxidation to 2-Bromoaryl Ketones (3). To a stirred solution of a secondary alcohol (1 equiv) in chloroform (3 mL/mmol) at room temperature was added manganese(IV) oxide (10 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h under reflux. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, which was washed with diethyl ether (2 \times 15 mL/mmol). The filtrate was then concentrated. The crude product was purified using silica gel flash column chromatography, eluting with diethyl ether in petroleum ether to give the corresponding ketone derivatives.

General Procedure B for Oxidation to 2-Bromoaryl Ketones (3). To a stirred solution of a secondary alcohol (1 equiv) in dichloromethane (5 mL/mmol) at room temperature was added a homogeneous mixture of PCC and silica gel (1:1 by mass, 3 equiv). The resulting suspension was stirred for 2 h. The mixture was filtered through a pad of silica gel, which was washed with dichloromethane (2 \times 30 mL/mmol). The filtrate was then concentrated. The crude product was purified using silica gel flash column chromatography, eluting with diethyl ether in petroleum ether to give the corresponding ketone derivatives.

1-(2'-Bromo-4'-methylphenyl)ethan-1-one (3b).²⁷ The reaction was performed according to general procedure A using 1-(2'-bromo-4'-methylphenyl)ethan-1-ol (**13b**) (0.42 g, 2.0 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 1-(2'-bromo-4'-methylphenyl)ethan-1-one (**3b**) (0.33 g, 79%) as a yellow oil. Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature:²⁷ ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.62 (s, 3H), 7.17 (dd, $J = 8.0, 0.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.45 (d, $J = 0.8$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 21.0 (CH₃), 30.2 (CH₃), 119.3 (C), 128.2 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 134.5 (CH), 138.1 (C), 142.9 (C), 200.7 (C); MS (CI) m/z 215 (MH^+ , 48), 213 (51), 136 (100), 71 (33), 69 (35).

1-(2'-Bromo-4',5'-methylenedioxyphenyl)ethan-1-one (3c).²⁸ The reaction was performed according to general procedure B using 1-(2'-bromo-4',5'-methylenedioxyphenyl)ethan-1-ol (**13c**) (0.50 g, 2.0 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 1-(2'-bromo-4',5'-methylenedioxyphenyl)ethan-1-one (**3c**) (0.39 g, 79%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature:²⁸ ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 2.61 (s, 3H), 6.04 (s, 2H), 7.03 (s, 1H), 7.05 (s, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 30.3 (CH₃), 102.5 (CH₂), 109.3 (CH), 112.0 (C), 113.9 (CH), 134.3 (C), 147.4 (C), 150.4 (C), 199.5 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 267 (MNa^+ , 100), 265 (99).

1-(2'-Bromo-5'-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-one (3d).¹⁰ The reaction was performed according to general procedure A using 1-(2'-bromo-5'-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-ol (**13d**) (0.62 g, 2.7 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 1-(2'-bromo-5'-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-one (**3d**) (0.46 g, 74%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature:¹⁰ ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 2.63 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 6.85 (dd, $J = 8.8, 3.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.98 (d, $J = 3.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 30.3 (CH₃), 55.6 (CH₃), 109.1 (C), 114.2 (CH), 117.9 (CH), 134.6 (CH), 142.3 (C), 158.9 (C), 201.3 (C); MS (EI) m/z 230 (M^+ , 54), 228 (55), 215 (99), 213 (100), 187 (17), 185 (17), 172 (14), 157 (15), 78 (18), 63 (35).

1-(2'-Bromo-5'-fluorophenyl)ethan-1-one (3e).¹⁰ The reaction was performed according to general procedure A using 1-(2'-bromo-5'-fluorophenyl)ethan-1-ol (**13e**) (0.55 g, 2.5 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 1-(2'-bromo-5'-fluorophenyl)ethan-1-one (**3e**) (0.40 g, 74%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature:¹⁰ ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 2.64 (s, 3H), 7.04 (ddd, $J = 8.8, 7.6, 3.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.18 (dd, $J = 8.4, 3.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.58 (dd, $J = 8.8, 4.9$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 30.1 (CH₃), 113.1 (d, $^4J_{\text{C-F}} = 3.2$ Hz, C), 116.1 (d, $^2J_{\text{C-F}} = 24.1$ Hz,

CH), 119.1 (d, $^2J_{C-F} = 22.5$ Hz, CH), 135.4 (d, $^3J_{C-F} = 7.8$ Hz, CH), 142.9 (d, $^3J_{C-F} = 5.8$ Hz, C), 161.4 (d, $^1J_{C-F} = 250.3$ Hz, C), 199.9 (C); MS (EI) m/z 218 (M^+ , 35), 216 (36), 203 (98), 201 (100), 175 (33), 173 (34), 94 (58), 86 (38), 84 (60).

1-(2'-Bromo-5'-chlorophenyl)ethan-1-one (3f).²⁴ The reaction was performed according to general procedure A using 1-(2'-bromo-5'-chlorophenyl)ethan-1-ol (**13f**) (0.43 g, 1.8 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 1-(2'-bromo-5'-chlorophenyl)ethan-1-one (**3f**) (0.33 g, 78%) as a yellow oil. Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature:²⁴ 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 2.63 (s, 3H), 7.28 (dd, $J = 8.5, 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, $J = 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 30.2 (CH_3), 116.8 (C), 128.9 (CH), 131.8 (CH), 133.8 (C), 135.0 (CH), 142.7 (C), 199.9 (C); MS (EI) m/z 234 (M^+ , 45), 232 (33), 219 (100), 217 (77), 191 (39), 189 (24), 110 (16), 84 (12), 75 (33).

1-(2'-Bromo-3'-pyridyl)ethan-1-one (3h). To a stirred solution of 1-(2'-bromo-3'-pyridyl)ethan-1-ol (**13h**) (0.940 g, 4.70 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) at room temperature was added a solution of PDC (2.65 g, 7.05 mmol) in DMF (5 mL). The resulting suspension was stirred for 18 h, filtered through a pad of Celite, washed with diethyl ether (2 \times 30 mL), and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was then purified using silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) to give 1-(2'-bromo-3'-pyridyl)ethan-1-one (**3h**) (0.69 g, 74%) as a yellow oil: IR (neat) 1697, 1566, 1389, 1273 cm^{-1} ; 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 2.68 (s, 3H), 7.36 (dd, $J = 7.6, 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.75 (dd, $J = 7.6, 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 8.45 (dd, $J = 4.7, 2.0$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 30.3 (CH_3), 122.7 (CH), 137.4 (CH), 138.1 (C), 138.5 (C), 151.4 (CH), 199.8 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 200 (MH^+ , 100); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C_8H_7BrNO (MH^+) 199.9706, found 199.9710.

2'-Bromopropiophenone (3i).²⁵ The reaction was performed according to general procedure B using 1-(2'-bromophenyl)propan-1-ol (**13i**) (1.30 g, 6.00 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 2'-bromopropiophenone (**3i**) (1.15 g, 90%) as a yellow oil. Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature:²⁵ δ_H (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 1.21 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 2.93 (q, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.25–7.31 (m, 1H), 7.33–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.57–7.62 (m, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 8.1 (CH_3), 36.1 (CH_2), 118.5 (C), 127.4 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 131.3 (CH), 133.6 (CH), 142.0 (C), 205.1 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 237 (MNa^+ , 98) 235 (100).

1-(2'-Bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-one (3j).²⁶ The reaction was performed according to general procedure B using 1-(2'-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-ol (**13j**) (0.90 g, 3.9 mmol). Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave 1-(2'-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-one (**3j**) (0.73 g, 83%) as a yellow oil. Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature:²⁶ 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 1.20 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 6H), 3.32 (sept, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.31 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.39 (m, 1H), 7.57–7.62 (m, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 18.1 (2 \times CH_3), 40.2 (CH), 118.7 (C), 127.2 (CH), 128.1 (CH), 131.0 (CH), 133.4 (CH), 142.1 (C), 208.7 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 251 (MNa^+ , 98) 249 (100).

1-(2'-Bromo-5'-nitrophenyl)ethan-1-one (3g).²² 1-(2'-Bromophenyl)ethan-1-one (**3a**) (0.54 mL, 4.0 mmol) was added to a solution of potassium nitrate (0.50 g, 5.0 mmol) in concentrated sulfuric acid (4 mL) at 0 $^\circ C$. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1.5 h. The reaction was quenched with water (5 mL) and the mixture extracted with dichloromethane (2 \times 15 mL), dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) yielded 1-(2'-bromo-5'-nitrophenyl)ethan-1-one (**3g**) (0.53 g, 54%) as a white powder: mp 86–87 $^\circ C$ (lit.²² 85–87 $^\circ C$); 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 2.69 (s, 3H), 7.83 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, $J = 8.7, 2.7$ Hz, 1H), 8.31 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 30.1 (CH_3), 123.8 (CH), 125.9 (CH), 126.2 (C), 135.2 (CH), 142.4 (C), 147.1 (C), 198.7 (C); MS (EI) m/z 245 (M^+ , 27), 243 (27), 230 (100), 182 (22), 151 (28), 105 (17), 75 (44).

B-Allyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane (6).^{15c} Trimethylborate (5.6 mL, 50 mmol) was dissolved in dry diethyl ether (50 mL) under an argon atmosphere and cooled to -78 $^\circ C$. An allylmagnesium bromide solution (50 mL, 1 M in diethyl ether) was added dropwise over 0.5 h. After addition, the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at -78 $^\circ C$ and then acidified at 0 $^\circ C$ with a 3 M aqueous solution of hydrochloric acid (60 mL). The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3 \times 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo* to approximately 100 mL. To this solution was added 1,3-propanediol (3.6 mL, 50 mmol) as well as oven-dried 4 Å molecular sieves (10 g), and the resulting mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. The molecular sieves were filtered and washed with diethyl ether (2 \times 50 mL). The solvent was removed *in vacuo*, and the crude product was then dissolved in *n*-pentane (100 mL). The resulting cloudy suspension was filtered through a pad of Celite, washed, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification by silica gel flash chromatography eluting with 35% diethyl ether in *n*-pentane gave a pale yellow oil. Further purification by short-path Kugelrohr distillation gave B-allyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane (**6**) (4.0 g, 63%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature:^{15c} 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 1.63 (br d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 1.93 (quin, $J = 5.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.98 (t, $J = 5.5$ Hz, 4H), 4.88 (ddt, $J = 10.0, 2.4, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.92 (ddt, $J = 15.2, 2.4, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.85 (ddt, $J = 15.2, 10.0, 7.6$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 22.0 (d, $^1J_{C-B} = 64.6$ Hz, CH_2), 27.3 (CH_2), 61.9 (2 \times CH_2), 113.9 (CH_2), 135.5 (CH); MS (CI) m/z 127 (MH^+ , 12), 113 (32), 103 (44), 97 (40), 85 (83), 71 (100), 69 (71).

(2S)-2-(2'-Bromophenyl)pent-4-en-2-ol (4).¹⁰ B-Allyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane (**6**) (0.026 mL, 0.21 mmol) and (S)-(-)-3,3'-dibromo-1,1'-bi-2-naphthol (**9**) (0.0050 g, 0.011 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (1 mL) and stirred for 0.1 h at 35 $^\circ C$ in an oven-dried microwave vial. A solution of 1-(2'-bromophenyl)ethan-1-one (**3a**) (0.020 mL, 0.15 mmol) in distilled toluene (0.75 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 days at 35 $^\circ C$ under an argon atmosphere. Purification by flash column chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) gave (2S)-2-(2'-bromophenyl)pent-4-en-2-ol (**4**) (0.036 g, 100%) as a colorless oil. Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature:¹⁰ $[\alpha]_D^{31} -34.3$ (c 1.2, $CHCl_3$); 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 1.72 (s, 3H), 2.62 (s, 1H), 2.64 (br dd, $J = 14.0, 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.29 (ddt, $J = 14.0, 6.3, 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.06–5.19 (m, 2H), 5.55 (dddd, $J = 17.0, 10.1, 8.4, 6.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.10 (td, $J = 7.8, 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.30 (td, $J = 7.8, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.58 (dd, $J = 7.8, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.70 (dd, $J = 7.8, 1.7$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 27.3 (CH_3), 45.1 (CH_2), 74.7 (C), 119.4 (CH_2), 120.0 (C), 127.4 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 133.7 (CH), 135.1 (CH), 145.0 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 265 (MNa^+ , 100), 263 (97). The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiralcel OD-H column (95:5 hexane/*i*-PrOH, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min): $t_{minor} = 1.78$ min, $t_{major} = 1.96$ min; 98:2 er.

General Procedure for the One-Pot Asymmetric Synthesis of 1-Alkyl-1-indanols. B-Allyl-1,3,2-dioxaborinane (**6**) (1.4 equiv) and (S)-(-)-3,3'-dibromo-1,1'-bi-2-naphthol (**9**) (7.5 mol %) were dissolved in distilled toluene (5 mL/mmol) and stirred for 0.1 h at 35 $^\circ C$ in an oven-dried microwave vial. A solution of 2'-bromoaryl ketone (1.0 equiv) in toluene (5 mL/mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 days at 35 $^\circ C$. Bis(triphenylphosphine)-palladium(II) dichloride (7.5 mol %), potassium carbonate (2 equiv), and hydrazine monohydrate (0.4 equiv) were added to the reaction mixture. The vial was sealed and heated to 135 $^\circ C$ for 18 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with diethyl ether (2 mL/mmol), filtered through a pad of Celite, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification of the crude product using silica gel flash chromatography eluting with diethyl ether or ethyl acetate in petroleum ether or ethyl acetate in hexane gave the corresponding 1-alkyl-1-indenols.

(1S)-1,3-Dimethylinden-1-ol (S-11a) and (1S)-2,3-Dihydro-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (S-10a).¹⁰ The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 1-(2'-bromophenyl)ethan-1-one (**3a**) (0.067 mL, 0.50 mmol). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash chromatography eluting with

10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) to yield first (1*S*)-1,3-dimethylinden-1-ol (**S-11a**) (0.012 g, 15%) and then (1*S*)-2,3-dihydro-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (**S-10a**) (0.060 g, 75%) as colorless oils, which solidified upon standing. Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature.¹⁰ Data for (1*S*)-1,3-dimethylinden-1-ol (**S-11a**): mp 95–97 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} +55.9$ (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.58 (s, 3H), 1.67 (s, 1H), 2.06 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 3H), 5.99 (q, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (br d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (td, *J* = 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (td, *J* = 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (br d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.7 (CH₃), 23.8 (CH₃), 81.1 (C), 119.3 (CH), 121.2 (CH), 126.3 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 137.7 (CH), 138.9 (C), 143.0 (C), 150.0 (C); MS (ESI) *m/z* 183 (MNa⁺, 100). The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiralcel OD-H column (95:5 hexane/ⁱPrOH, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min): *t*_{major} = 2.43 min, *t*_{minor} = 2.80 min; 96:4 er. Data for (1*S*)-2,3-dihydro-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (**S-10a**): mp 79–81 °C; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} +10.9$ (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.59 (s, 3H), 1.95 (s, 1H), 2.88 (dt, *J* = 16.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (dt, *J* = 16.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (t, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.52 (t, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.39–7.44 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.52 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 28.1 (CH₃), 49.4 (CH₂), 78.7 (C), 104.5 (CH₂), 120.6 (CH), 122.9 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 139.4 (C), 145.9 (C), 150.4 (C); MS (ESI) *m/z* 183 (MNa⁺, 100). The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiralcel OD-H column (95:5 hexane/ⁱPrOH, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min): *t*_{major} = 2.58 min, *t*_{minor} = 2.96 min; 96:4 er.

(1*R*)-1,3-Dimethylinden-1-ol (R-11a) and (1*R*)-2,3-Dihydro-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (R-10a). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 1-(2'-bromophenyl)ethan-1-one (**3a**) (0.067 mL, 0.50 mmol) and (R)-(+)-3,3'-dibromo-1,1'-bi-2-naphthol (0.016 g, 0.040 mmol). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) to yield first (1*R*)-1,3-dimethylinden-1-ol (**R-11a**) (0.014 g, 18%) and then (1*R*)-2,3-dihydro-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (**R-10a**) (0.056 g, 70%) as colorless oils, which solidified upon standing. Melting point and spectroscopic data were as recorded for **S-11a** and **S-10a**. Additional data for **R-11a**: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} -56.2$ (c 0.5, CHCl₃). The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiralcel OD-H column (95:5 hexane/ⁱPrOH, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min): *t*_{minor} = 2.38 min, *t*_{major} = 2.75 min; 96:4 er. Additional data for **R-10a**: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} -13.0$ (c 1.0, CHCl₃). The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiralcel OD-H column (95:5 hexane/ⁱPrOH, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min): *t*_{minor} = 2.53 min, *t*_{major} = 2.94 min; 96:4 er.

(1*S*)-2,3-Dihydro-1,5-dimethyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (10b). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 1-(2'-bromo-4'-methylphenyl)ethan-1-one (**3b**) (0.10 g, 0.47 mmol). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash chromatography eluting with 8% ethyl acetate in hexane to yield (1*S*)-2,3-dihydro-1,5-dimethyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (**10b**) (0.050 g, 61%) as a colorless oil: IR (neat) 3352, 2969, 1644 cm⁻¹; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} +24.4$ (c 1.2, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.56 (s, 3H), 1.90 (s, 1H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.87 (dt, *J* = 16.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.93 (dt, *J* = 16.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (t, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.48 (t, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (br d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.32 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.4 (CH₃), 28.1 (CH₃), 49.6 (CH₂), 78.4 (C), 104.1 (CH₂), 121.0 (CH), 122.7 (CH), 130.1 (CH), 138.5 (C), 139.6 (C), 146.0 (C), 147.8 (C); MS (ESI) *m/z* 197 (MNa⁺, 100); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₂H₁₄NaO (MNa⁺) 197.0937, found 197.0933. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiralcel OD-H column (99:1 hexane/ⁱPrOH, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min): *t*_{minor} = 12.88 min, *t*_{major} = 13.57 min; 96:4 er.

(1*S*)-2,3-Dihydro-5,6-(methylenedioxy)-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (10c). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 1-(2'-bromo-4',5'-methylenedioxyphenyl)ethan-1-one (**3c**) (0.12 g, 0.50 mmol). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash chromatography eluting with 20% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) to yield (1*S*)-2,3-dihydro-5,6-(methylenedioxy)-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (**10c**) (0.071 g, 69%) as a colorless oil: IR (neat) 3403, 2970, 1474

cm⁻¹; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{30} +35.3$ (c 1.0, MeOH); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 1.45 (s, 3H), 2.86 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 4.89 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.94 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.95 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 6.90 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 27.6 (CH₃), 49.0 (CH₂), 77.4 (C), 99.6 (CH), 100.5 (CH₂), 101.4 (CH₂), 102.5 (CH), 133.4 (C), 145.3 (C), 146.0 (C), 148.7 (C), 149.0 (C); MS (ESI) *m/z* 227 (MNa⁺, 100); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₂H₁₂NaO₃ (MNa⁺) 227.0679, found 227.0672. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiralcel OD-H column (95:5 hexane/ⁱPrOH, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min): *t*_{minor} = 6.04 min, *t*_{major} = 6.59 min; 89.5:10.5 er.

(1*S*)-2,3-Dihydro-6-methoxy-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (10d).¹⁰ The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 1-(2'-bromo-5'-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-one (**3d**) (0.12 g, 0.50 mmol). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash chromatography eluting with 20% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) to yield (1*S*)-2,3-dihydro-6-methoxy-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (**10d**) (0.044 g, 47%) as a yellow oil. Spectroscopic data were consistent with the literature:¹⁰ $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{30} +30.8$ (c 1.0, MeOH); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 1.48 (s, 3H), 2.84 (dt, *J* = 16.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (dt, *J* = 16.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 4.90 (t, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (t, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 27.7 (CH₃), 49.1 (CH₂), 54.5 (CH₃), 77.6 (C), 100.4 (CH₂), 106.7 (CH), 115.2 (CH), 121.2 (CH), 132.1 (C), 145.9 (C), 152.5 (C), 160.9 (C); MS (EI) *m/z* 190 (M⁺, 84), 175 (100), 160 (10), 132 (11), 115 (14), 88 (12), 61 (17). The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiralcel OD-H column (95:5 hexane/ⁱPrOH, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min): *t*_{major} = 2.99 min, *t*_{minor} = 3.67 min; 93.5:6.5 er.

(1*S*)-2,3-Dihydro-6-fluoro-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (10e). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 1-(2'-bromo-5'-fluorophenyl)ethan-1-one (**3e**) (0.054 g, 0.25 mmol). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) to yield (1*S*)-2,3-dihydro-6-fluoro-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (**10e**) (0.020 g, 46%) as a colorless oil: IR (neat) 3356, 2970, 1643, 1605, 1481 cm⁻¹; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} +18.3$ (c 0.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 1.48 (s, 3H), 2.87 (dt, *J* = 16.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.92 (dt, *J* = 16.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (t, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.45 (t, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (ddd, *J* = 9.2, 8.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (dd, *J* = 8.6, 5.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 27.5 (CH₃), 48.9 (CH₂), 77.3 (d, ⁴*J*_{C-F} = 2.2 Hz, C), 102.5 (CH₂), 109.3 (d, ²*J*_{C-F} = 23.2 Hz, CH), 115.3 (d, ²*J*_{C-F} = 24.2 Hz, CH), 121.9 (d, ³*J*_{C-F} = 9.1 Hz, CH), 135.3 (d, ⁴*J*_{C-F} = 2.0 Hz, C), 145.3 (C), 153.3 (d, ³*J*_{C-F} = 7.1 Hz, C), 163.5 (d, ¹*J*_{C-F} = 247.5 Hz, C); MS (EI) *m/z* 178 (M⁺, 37), 163 (100), 133 (33), 88 (12), 61 (17); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₁H₁₁FO (M⁺) 178.0794, found 178.0801. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiralcel OD-H column (95:5 hexane/ⁱPrOH, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min): *t*_{major} = 10.50 min, *t*_{minor} = 12.92 min; 94:6 er.

(1*S*)-2,3-Dihydro-6-chloro-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (10f). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 1-(2'-bromo-5'-chlorophenyl)ethan-1-one (**3f**) (0.10 g, 0.43 mmol). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) to yield (1*S*)-2,3-dihydro-6-chloro-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (**10f**) (0.044 g, 52% yield) as a colorless oil: IR (neat) 3372, 2924, 1628, 1458 cm⁻¹; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} +19.5$ (c 1.2, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 1.48 (s, 3H), 2.89 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.08 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.52 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 27.5 (CH₃), 48.7 (CH₂), 77.4 (C), 103.7 (CH₂), 121.6 (CH), 123.1 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 134.0 (C), 137.9 (C), 145.3 (C), 152.8 (C); MS (EI) *m/z* 194 (M⁺, 76), 179 (100), 159 (71), 144 (23), 115 (43), 84 (18); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₁H₁₁³⁵ClO (M⁺) 194.0498, found 194.0491. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiralcel OD-H column (95:5 hexane/ⁱPrOH, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min): *t*_{major} = 5.33 min, *t*_{minor} = 5.72 min; 95:5 er.

(1S)-2,3-Dihydro-6-nitro-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (10g). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 1-(2'-bromo-5'-nitrophenyl)ethan-1-one (**3g**) (0.029 g, 0.10 mmol). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash chromatography eluting with 30% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) to yield (1S)-2,3-dihydro-6-nitro-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (**10g**) (0.0090 g, 19%) as a yellow oil: IR (neat) 3372, 2970, 1520, 1342 cm^{-1} ; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{30} +45.0$ (c 0.5, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 1.55 (s, 3H), 2.92–3.04 (m, 2H), 5.32 (t, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.78 (t, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 8.18 (dd, $J = 8.5, 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 8.26 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 27.5 (CH_3), 48.5 (CH_2), 77.2 (C), 108.0 (CH_2), 118.5 (CH), 121.2 (CH), 123.5 (CH), 144.8 (C), 145.3 (C), 148.4 (C), 152.3 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 228 (MNa^+ , 100); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{11}\text{NNaO}_3$ (MNa^+) 228.0631, found 228.0625. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiralcel OD-H column (93:7 hexane/ i PrOH, flow rate of 2.0 mL/min): $t_{\text{major}} = 3.84$ min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 4.18$ min; 93.5:6.5 er.

(5S)-5,7-Dimethylcyclopenta[b]pyridin-5-ol (11h). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 1-(2'-bromo-3'-pyridyl)ethan-1-one (**3h**) (0.080 g, 0.40 mmol). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash chromatography eluting with 10% ethyl acetate in petroleum ether (40–60) to yield (5S)-5,7-dimethylcyclopenta[b]pyridin-5-ol (**11h**) (0.040 g, 63%) as a yellow oil: IR (neat) 3322, 2972, 1603, 1572, 1474 cm^{-1} ; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} +24.2$ (c 0.4, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 1.53 (s, 3H), 2.10 (d, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 3H), 6.36 (q, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.19 (dd, $J = 7.4, 5.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.74 (dd, $J = 7.4, 1.4$ Hz, 1H), 8.30 (dd, $J = 5.3, 1.4$ Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 10.4 (CH_3), 22.8 (CH_3), 77.7 (C), 120.7 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 138.8 (C), 143.1 (CH), 144.5 (C), 147.4 (CH), 161.9 (C); MS (EI) m/z 161 (M^+ , 75), 146 (100), 132 (12), 117 (14); HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{11}\text{NO}$ (M^+) 161.0841, found 161.0835. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiralcel OD-H column (95:5 hexane/ i PrOH, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min): $t_{\text{major}} = 11.95$ min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 18.05$ min; 92.5:7.5 er.

(1S)-2,3-Dihydro-1-ethyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (10i). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using 2'-bromopropiophenone (**3i**) (0.10 g, 0.47 mmol). The crude mixture was purified by silica gel flash chromatography eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) to yield (1S)-2,3-dihydro-1-ethyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (**10i**) (0.048 g, 59%) as a yellow oil: IR (neat) 3348, 2932, 1628, 1458 cm^{-1} ; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} +8.3$ (c 1.0, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 0.81 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 3H), 1.76 (dq, $J = 13.3, 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 1.88 (dq, $J = 13.3, 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.77 (dt, $J = 16.4, 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 2.96 (dt, $J = 16.4, 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.04 (t, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.49 (t, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.25–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.53 (m, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 7.5 (CH_3), 39 (CH_2), 45.7 (CH_2), 80.7 (C), 102.3 (CH_2), 120.0 (CH), 123.3 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 140.0 (C), 146.8 (C), 149.6 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 197 (MNa^+ , 100); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{14}\text{NaO}$ (MNa^+) 197.0937, found 197.0932. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC analysis with a chiralcel OD-H column (95:5 hexane/ i PrOH, flow rate of 1.0 mL/min): $t_{\text{major}} = 2.38$ min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 2.80$ min; 94:6 er.

(3S)-2,3-Dihydro-3-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-indanone (14). (3S)-2,3-Dihydro-1-methyl-3-(methylene)indan-1-ol (**S-10a**) (0.300 g, 1.87 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of dichloromethane (60 mL) and methanol (60 mL) and cooled to -78 °C. The reaction mixture was purged with oxygen, and then ozone was bubbled through until the clear solution turned blue. The excess ozone was purged with oxygen and then with argon. Triphenylphosphine (1.47 g, 5.61 mmol) was added portionwise to the mixture while it was being vigorously stirred. The reaction mixture was allowed to return to room temperature over 2 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting residue was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with 20% diethyl ether in petroleum ether (40–60) to give (3S)-2,3-dihydro-3-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-indanone (**14**) (0.250 g, 81%) as a yellow oil: IR (neat) 3393, 2972, 1701, 1603 cm^{-1} ; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} +96.9$ (c 1.0, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.73 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 1H), 2.91 (s, 2H), 7.44–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.68–7.75 (m, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 29.3 (CH_3), 53.8 (CH_2), 74.5 (C), 123.2 (CH), 123.6

(CH), 129.4 (CH), 135.4 (C), 135.6 (CH), 158.6 (C), 203.2 (C); MS (EI) m/z 162 (M^+ , 88), 147 (100), 129 (53), 115 (43), 91 (22), 84 (38), 77 (19); HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{10}\text{O}_2$ (M^+) 162.0681, found 162.0680.

(1S,3S)-2,3-Dihydro-1-(methyl)indan-1,3-diol (15). To a stirred solution of (3S)-2,3-dihydro-3-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-indanone (**14**) (0.020 g, 0.12 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (2 mL) were added sodium triacetoxyborohydride (0.038 g, 0.18 mmol) and acetic acid (0.0070 mL, 0.12 mmol) at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. Sodium triacetoxyborohydride (0.038 g, 0.18 mmol) and acetic acid (0.0070 mL, 0.12 mmol) were then added after 2 days. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for a total of 6 days and then the reaction quenched with a 1 M sodium hydroxide solution (2 mL). After phase separation, the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (2 \times 5 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification of the crude material using silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with 5% methanol in dichloromethane gave (1S,3S)-2,3-dihydro-1-(methyl)indane-1,3-diol (**15**) (0.015 g, 75% yield) as a white solid: mp 97–99 °C; IR (neat) 3331, 2967, 1092 cm^{-1} ; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} +48.5$ (c 0.6, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.76 (s, 1H), 1.90 (s, 1H), 2.10 (dd, $J = 13.5, 5.0$ Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, $J = 13.5, 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.41 (br t, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.45 (m, 4H); ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 28.7 (CH_3), 52.6 (CH_2), 73.6 (CH), 79.4 (C), 122.3 (CH), 124.5 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 144.3 (C), 147.4 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 187 (MNa^+ , 98); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{12}\text{NaO}_2$ (MNa^+) 187.0730, found 187.0730.

General Procedure for the Reductive Amination Reactions.

To a solution of (3S)-2,3-dihydro-3-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-indanone (**14**) (1 equiv) in 1,2-dichloroethane (2 mL/mmol) were added the amine (1.1–1.5 equiv) and sodium triacetoxyborohydride (1.4–1.5 equiv) at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. The same amounts of amine and hydride source were added after 2 days. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for ≤ 6 days and then the reaction quenched with a 1 M sodium hydroxide solution (2 mL/mmol). After phase separation, the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (2 \times 5 mL/mmol), dried (MgSO_4), filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification using silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with methanol in dichloromethane gave the 3-amino-substituted 2,3-dihydro-1-(methyl)indan-1-ols.

(1S,3S)-3-Benzylamino-2,3-dihydro-1-(methyl)indan-1-ol (16a). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using (3S)-2,3-dihydro-3-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-indanone (**14**) (0.032 g, 0.20 mmol) and benzylamine (0.024 mL, 0.22 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 days. Purification of the crude material using silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with 5% methanol in dichloromethane gave (1S,3S)-3-benzylamino-2,3-dihydro-1-(methyl)indan-1-ol (**16a**) (0.042 g, 84%) as a yellow oil: IR (neat) 3312, 2965, 1452 cm^{-1} ; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} +22.9$ (c 1.0, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.60–1.77 (m, 5H), 1.98 (dd, $J = 13.2, 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.59 (dd, $J = 13.2, 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.88 (d, $J = 13.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.93 (d, $J = 13.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.48 (t, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.44 (m, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 28.1 (CH_3), 50.4 (CH_2), 51.7 (CH_2), 59.8 (CH), 79.4 (C), 122.3 (CH), 124.5 (CH), 127.0 (CH), 128.2 (2 \times CH), 128.2 (CH), 128.5 (2 \times CH), 128.7 (CH), 140.5 (C), 145.0 (C), 147.4 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 254 (MH^+ , 100); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{20}\text{NO}$ (MH^+) 254.1539, found 254.1534.

(1S,3S)-2,3-Dihydro-3-(4'-methoxybenzyl)amino-1-(methyl)indan-1-ol (16b). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using (3S)-2,3-dihydro-3-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-indanone (**14**) (0.020 g, 0.12 mmol) and 4-methoxybenzylamine (0.018 mL, 0.014 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 days. Purification of the crude material using silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with 5% methanol in dichloromethane gave (1S,3S)-2,3-dihydro-3-(4'-methoxybenzyl)amino-1-(methyl)indan-1-ol (**16b**) (0.029 g, 84%) as a yellow oil: IR (neat) 3360, 2961, 1611, 1512 cm^{-1} ; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} +19.1$ (c 1.0, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.50–1.77 (m, 5H), 1.99 (dd, $J = 13.3, 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.60 (dd, $J = 13.3, 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.83 (d, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.88 (d, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.49 (t, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 1H),

6.83–6.91 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.43 (m, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 28.1 (CH_3), 50.4 (CH_2), 51.2 (CH_2), 55.3 (CH_3), 59.7 (CH), 79.4 (C), 113.8 (2 \times CH), 122.2 (CH), 124.4 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 128.7 (CH), 129.3 (2 \times CH), 132.6 (C), 145.1 (C), 147.3 (C), 158.7 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 284 (MH^+ , 100); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{22}\text{NO}_2$ (MH^+) 284.1645, found 284.1640.

(1S,3S)-3-Allylamino-2,3-dihydro-1-(methyl)indan-1-ol (16c). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using (3S)-2,3-dihydro-3-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-indanone (**14**) (0.020 g, 0.12 mmol) and allylamine (0.013 mL, 0.18 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 days. Purification of the crude material using silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with 5% methanol in dichloromethane gave (1S,3S)-3-allylamino-2,3-dihydro-1-(methyl)indan-1-ol (**16c**) (0.019 g, 78%) as a yellow oil: IR (neat) 3329, 2967, 1452 cm^{-1} ; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} +30.3$ (c 0.8, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.55–1.85 (m, 5H), 1.93 (dd, $J = 13.3, 6.7$ Hz, 1H), 2.60 (dd, $J = 13.3, 6.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.37 (dd, $J = 14.0, 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.42 (dd, $J = 14.0, 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.49 (t, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.13 (dd, $J = 10.5, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dd, $J = 17.0, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.98 (ddt, $J = 17.0, 10.5, 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.44 (m, 4H); ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 28.0 (CH_3), 50.2 (CH_2), 50.4 (CH_2), 59.7 (CH), 79.3 (C), 116.2 (CH_2), 122.3 (CH), 124.4 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 136.7 (CH), 144.8 (C), 147.3 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 204 (MH^+ , 100); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{18}\text{NO}$ (MH^+) 204.1383, found 204.1382.

(1S,3S)-2,3-Dihydro-1-methyl-3-(morpholino)indan-1-ol (16d). The reaction was performed according to the general procedure using (3S)-2,3-dihydro-3-hydroxy-3-methyl-1-indanone (**14**) (0.020 g, 0.12 mmol) and morpholine (0.016 mL, 0.18 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 6 days. Purification of the crude material using silica gel flash column chromatography eluting with 8% methanol in dichloromethane gave (1S,3S)-2,3-dihydro-1-methyl-3-(morpholino)indan-1-ol (**16d**) (0.022 g, 79%) as a light brown solid: mp 84–86 °C; IR (neat) 3410, 2961, 1452 cm^{-1} ; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{31} +74.8$ (c 0.9, CHCl_3); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.65–1.77 (m, 4H), 2.20 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.48 (dt, $J = 11.2, 4.4$ Hz, 2H), 2.53 (dt, $J = 11.2, 4.4$ Hz, 2H), 3.71 (t, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 4H), 4.54 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.27–7.42 (m, 4H); ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 27.5 (CH_3), 40.5 (CH_2), 49.2 (2 \times CH_2), 67.2 (CH), 67.4 (2 \times CH_2), 79.1 (C), 122.2 (CH), 125.5 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 142.5 (C), 147.6 (C); MS (ESI) m/z 234 (MH^+ , 100); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{20}\text{NO}_2$ (MH^+) 234.1489, found 234.1490.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.7b02287.

HPLC traces for all indanols and, ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra for all compounds (PDF)

X-ray data for compound **15** (CIF)

X-ray data for compound **16d** (CIF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: andrew.sutherland@glasgow.ac.uk

ORCID

Andrew Sutherland: 0000-0001-7907-5766

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the University of Glasgow (studentship to R.J.F.) and EPSRC (studentship to E.D.D.C., EP/P505534/1) is gratefully acknowledged.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Hong, B.-c.; Sarshar, S. *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* **1999**, *31*, 1.

(2) Loh, T.-P.; Hu, Q.-Y. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 279.

(3) Froimowitz, M.; Wu, K.-M.; Moussa, A.; Haidar, R. M.; Jurayj, J.; George, C.; Gardner, E. L. *J. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *43*, 4981.

(4) Kim, S.-H.; Kwon, S. H.; Park, S.-H.; Lee, J. K.; Bang, H.-S.; Nam, S.-J.; Kwon, H. C.; Shin, J.; Oh, D.-C. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 1834.

(5) For example, see: (a) Kündig, E. P.; Ratni, H.; Crousse, B.; Bernardinelli, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 1852. (b) Kesavan, S.; Panek, J. S.; Porco, J. A., Jr. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 5203. (c) Mirabdolbaghi, R.; Dudding, T. *Tetrahedron* **2012**, *68*, 1988.

(6) (a) Cvengroš, J.; Schütte, J.; Schlörner, N.; Neudörfl, J.; Schmalz, H.-G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 6148. (b) Schütte, J.; Ye, S.; Schmalz, H.-G. *Synlett* **2011**, *2011*, 2725.

(7) Tada, A.; Tokoro, Y.; Fukuzawa, S.-i. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 7905.

(8) Calder, E. D. D.; Sutherland, A. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 2514.

(9) For nongeneral examples, see: (a) Sasaoka, M.; Hart, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1979**, *44*, 368. (b) Yu, X.; Lu, X. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 4366.

(10) Mahendar, L.; Satyanarayana, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 2059.

(11) Gai, X.; Grigg, R.; Collard, S.; Muir, J. E. *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 1765.

(12) Oestreich, M.; Sempere-Culler, F.; Machotta, A. B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 149.

(13) The use of a desymmetrizing intramolecular Heck reaction for the racemic synthesis of a 1-allyl-3-methyleneindan-1-ol has also been reported: Coogan, M. P.; Pottenger, M. J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2005**, *690*, 1409.

(14) For a review, see: Yus, M.; González-Gómez, J. C.; Foubelo, F. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 7774.

(15) Using allylborane reagents: (a) Wada, R.; Oisaki, K.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 8910. (b) Lou, S.; Moquist, P. N.; Schaus, S. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 12660. (c) Barnett, D. S.; Moquist, P. N.; Schaus, S. E. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 8679. (d) Shi, S.-L.; Xu, L.-W.; Oisaki, K.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 6638. (e) Robbins, D. W.; Lee, K.; Silverio, D. L.; Volkov, A.; Torker, S.; Hoveyda, A. H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 9610.

(16) Using a Sakurai–Hosomi allylation: Wadamoto, M.; Yamamoto, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 14556.

(17) Using a Barbier-type allylation: Haddad, T. D.; Hirayama, L. C.; Taynton, P.; Singaram, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 508.

(18) Using a Nozaki–Hiyama–Kishi-type allylation: (a) Miller, J. J.; Sigman, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 2752. (b) Huang, X.-R.; Chen, C.; Lee, G.-H.; Peng, S.-M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2009**, *351*, 3089.

(19) Using allylstannane reagents: (a) Kii, S.; Maruoka, K. *Chirality* **2003**, *15*, 68. (b) Kim, J. G.; Waltz, K. M.; Garcia, I. F.; Kwiatkowski, D.; Walsh, P. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 12580.

(20) Jain, P.; Antilla, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 11884.

(21) Isomer **S-11a** is likely formed at the end of the Mizoroki–Heck reaction by isomerization of 3-methyleneindan-1-ol **S-10a** while complexed to the Pd catalyst. Similar results were observed by Mahendar and Satyanarayana (see ref 10)

(22) Cairns, A. G.; Senn, H. M.; Murphy, M. P.; Hartley, R. C. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 3742.

(23) For X-ray crystallography data, see the Supporting Information: ORTEP drawings of **15** and **16d** and cif files CCDC 1568110 and 1568111. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cambridge.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

(24) Thomé, I.; Besson, C.; Kleine, T.; Bolm, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 7509.

(25) Matsuda, T.; Shigeno, M.; Makino, M.; Murakami, M. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 3379.

(26) Kou, X.; Li, Y.; Wu, L.; Zhang, X.; Yang, G.; Zhang, W. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 5566.

(27) Mckinnon, D. M.; Abouzeid, A. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1991**, *28*, 347.

(28) Krishna, J.; Reddy, A. G. K.; Satyanarayana, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2014**, *55*, 861.