



THE LONDON SCHOOL  
OF ECONOMICS AND  
POLITICAL SCIENCE ■

## **Narratives of crisis in the periphery of São Paulo: place and political articulation during Brazil's rightward turn**

**LSE Research Online URL for this paper:** <http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/104160/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

### **Article:**

Richmond, Matthew Aaron (2020) Narratives of crisis in the periphery of São Paulo: place and political articulation during Brazil's rightward turn. *Journal of Latin American Studies*. ISSN 0022-216X

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022216X20000012>

---

### **Reuse**

Items deposited in LSE Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the LSE Research Online record for the item.

**Narratives of crisis in the periphery of São Paulo: Place and political articulation during the rightward turn**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Latin American Studies</i>
Manuscript ID	JLAS-18-07-006.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Country Focus:	Brazil
Disciplines:	Sociology, Politics, Geography
Keywords:	Peripheries, Political attitudes, Crisis, Brazil, Place, Elections

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## **Narratives of crisis in the periphery of São Paulo: Place and political articulation during the rightward turn**

### **Abstract**

Between 2014 and 2018, a period marked by major political and economic upheaval, Brazilian politics shifted sharply to the right. Presenting qualitative research conducted over 2016-17, this article examines this process from the perspectives of residents of a peripheral São Paulo neighbourhood. Analysis is presented of three broad groups of respondents, each of which mobilised a distinct narrative framework for interpreting the crisis. Based on this, I argue that the rightward turn in urban peripheries does not embody a significant ideological shift, but rather long-term transformations of place and the largely contingent ways these articulate with electoral politics.

**Key words:** Brazil; Peripheries; Place; Political attitudes; Crisis; Subjectivity; Workers' Party.

### *Introduction*

On October 28<sup>th</sup>, 2018, after a bitter and highly polarized election campaign, far-right congressman Jair Bolsonaro of the *Partido Social Liberal* (Social Liberal Party, *PSL*) defeated his opponent, Fernando Haddad of the leftist *Partido dos Trabalhadores* (Workers' Party, *PT*), to become President of Brazil. Bolsonaro's run-off victory, winning 55% of valid votes, completed a dramatic rightward turn in Brazilian electoral politics since 2014, when former president Dilma Rousseff had achieved re-election to begin a fourth successive term of *PT*-led government.

The period between the two elections was widely regarded as one of unrelenting economic and political crisis. In 2016, against the backdrop of a severe recession, corruption investigations and mass street protests, Rousseff was controversially impeached on charges of manipulating

public accounts. She was replaced by her Vice President Michel Temer of the conservative *Partido do Movimento Democrático Brasileiro* (Brazilian Democratic Movement Party, *PMDB*), whose already low approval ratings plummeted further as the economy failed to recover and allegations of corruption against him emerged. In April 2018, former president Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva was convicted on dubious corruption charges, preventing him from running as the *PT*'s candidate in the upcoming election, despite him being the clear favourite. This cleared the way for Bolsonaro, then a distant second in the opinion polls, who surged into a formidable lead during a short and unusual election campaign in which he survived an assassination attempt, eventually defeating Lula's replacement, Haddad.

Given the volatility of this period, the contingency of events and a general weakness of party identification in Brazil, it is difficult to gauge how far the electoral shift from the *PT* to Bolsonaro between 2014 and 2018 reflects a deep and durable swing to the right in public opinion and voting alignments. The challenge is even greater when we look at the diverse social groups who eventually came to vote for Bolsonaro. Election eve polling indicated that while he led heavily among higher-income voters, he had also attracted significant numbers of those on lower incomes.<sup>1</sup> In regional terms the divide was clearer, with the wealthy Southeast region voting overwhelmingly for Bolsonaro, while the poorer Northeast heavily backed the *PT*. However, within this broad landscape, the low-income peripheries of wealthier metropolises like São Paulo stand out as distinctive.<sup>2</sup> Unlike middle-class voters in these same regions, who

---

<sup>1</sup> G1, 'Datafolha de 27 de outubro para presidente por sexo, idade, escolaridade, renda, região e religião', 27 October 2019, <https://g1.globo.com/politica/eleicoes/2018/eleicao-em-numeros/noticia/2018/10/27/datafolha-de-27-de-outubro-para-presidente-por-sexo-idade-escolaridade-renda-regiao-e-religiao.ghtml> [accessed 9/3/19]

<sup>2</sup> The peripheries of Rio de Janeiro, Belo Horizonte and Porto Alegre show roughly similar voting trends between 2002 and 2018. See: Alberto Carlos Almeida, *O voto do brasileiro* (Rio de Janeiro: Editora Record, 2018); Estadão, 'Como votou sua vizinhança? Explore o mapa mais detalhado das eleições', <https://www.estadao.com.br/infograficos/politica,como-votou-sua-vizinhanca-explore-o-mapa-mais-detalhado-das-eleicoes,935858> [accessed 9/3/19]

had long voted against the *PT*, and low-income voters in the Northeast, who mostly still voted for the Party in 2018, the election confirmed the peripheries as key swing areas in Brazil. They had been an important part of the winning electoral coalitions of Presidents Lula (2003-2010) and Dilma (2011-2016), but had now swung heavily behind Bolsonaro.

Despite their importance and distinctiveness within Brazil's electoral landscape, little research has examined changing political attitudes in the peripheries of wealthier Brazilian cities. This article contributes to this task. Based on qualitative research conducted in a neighbourhood in the periphery of São Paulo over the course of 2016 and 2017, it explores how respondents experienced the crisis and interpreted it politically. Contrary to claims that the rightward electoral turn in peripheries reflects an ideological shift towards more individualistic and/or socially conservative attitudes,<sup>3</sup> the empirical data paints a far more nuanced picture.

The argument focuses on two aspects in particular. Firstly, there was relative consensus among respondents in their emphasis on issues such as squeezed incomes, poor public services and urban insecurity, and complaints about the inability and unwillingness of the political class to address them. Secondly, however, there was significant diversity in the way these concerns translated into electoral preferences. To dig into these differences, I identify three groups of respondents – labelled '*angry workers*', '*disgruntled citizens*' and '*nostalgic strugglers*' – each of which mobilised a distinct narrative framework for interpreting the crisis. I argue that respondents' adhesion to one or other of these frameworks can be traced to individuals' life experiences and networks of sociability, both heavily linked to place, and that these frameworks also articulate with mainstream political debates in uneven and unpredictable ways. As such, I propose that the rightward turn in peripheries should be understood as

---

<sup>3</sup> For example, Fundação Perseu Abramo, *Percepções e valores políticos nas periferias de São Paulo* (São Paulo: Pesquisas FPA, 2017)

resulting from a particular constellation of social and institutional conditions and their contingent interaction with developments in the sphere of official politics.

The remainder of the article is structured into four sections. The next section provides an overview of recent debates on social structure and political attitudes in Brazil. The following section discusses the ways in which long-term transformations have altered social and institutional arrangements in peripheries, placing this in the context of arguments about the importance of *place* and of *political articulation* for electoral outcomes. In the subsequent section I present my empirical analysis. First I offer a brief explanation of the research methods, followed by an overview of the research site, its social characteristics and recent electoral trends. I then present interview material, analysing the three sub-groups already identified. This is followed by a discussion section that draws out the key implications for how we understand the formation of political attitudes in urban peripheries today, and a conclusion outlining the article's contribution to debates about what has driven Brazil's rightward turn.

### *Social structure and political subjectivity in Brazil*

In recent years, there have been wide-ranging debates about social transformations in Brazil and their implications for political attitudes and alignments.<sup>4</sup> These tended have to focus on the impacts that economic growth and the *PT*'s redistributive policies during the 2000s had on Brazil's social structure. Income-based measures suggested that large numbers of poor Brazilians had risen into the '*classe c*' (located between the 50<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the income ladder), interpreted by some as the emergence of a 'new middle class' (NMC) in

---

<sup>4</sup> Maria H. T. Almeida and Fernando H. Guarnieri, 'Towards a (poor) middle-class democracy? Upward mobility and politics under Lula and Dilma', in Peter R. Kingstone and Timothy J. Power (eds.) *Democratic Brazil Divided* (Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 2016), pp. 175-90; Fabrício H. Chagas Bastos, 'Electoral realignment and economic change among Brazil's "new middle class"', *Latin American Politics and Society*, 57: 3 (2015), pp. 147-54.

Brazil.<sup>5</sup> Liberal commentators adopting such arguments in Brazil and other “emerging” economies have claimed they the NMC represents a quasi-revolutionary force that uses its growing influence to demand an end to corruption, the deepening of democracy and/or liberalising economic reforms.<sup>6</sup> A similar argument was made in a study of disillusioned former *PT* voters in the peripheries of São Paulo, in which it was argued that a new ideology of “popular liberalism” had taken hold, characterised by a declining working-class solidarity and increasingly meritocratic and pro-market values.<sup>7</sup>

However, the NMC thesis has been heavily criticised on a number of fronts. Income-based measures neglect other key aspects of class, such as educational qualifications and occupation. Once these are included, changes to the social structure during this period appear far less significant.<sup>8</sup> Rather than a NMC, ‘new working class’ seemed to more effectively capture the shift, in which workers had seen their incomes and consumption rise without significant changes to their life trajectories. Meanwhile, the labour conditions experienced by this new working class had become increasingly precarious, continuing a trend since the 1990s.<sup>9</sup> Critiques along such lines could produce quite different patterns of political behaviour within the *classe c*. Souza, for example, argued that the precariously employed new working class

---

<sup>5</sup> Marcelo Neri, *A nova classe média: o lado brilhante da base da pirâmide* (Rio de Janeiro: Fundação Getúlio Vargas, 2011).

<sup>6</sup> Frances Fukuyama, ‘The middle-class revolution’, *The Wall Street Journal*, 28 June 2013, available at <https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424127887323873904578571472700348086> [accessed 23/02/18]; *The Economist*, ‘The new middle classes rise up: Marx’s revolutionary bourgeoisie finds its voice again’, 3 September 2011, available at <https://www.economist.com/briefing/2011/09/03/the-new-middle-classes-rise-up> [accessed 04/07/18]

<sup>7</sup> Fundação Perseu Abramo, *Percepções e valores*

<sup>8</sup> Márcio Pochman, *Nova classe média? O trabalho social na base da pirâmide social brasileira* (São Paulo: Boitempo, 2012); Jessé Souza, *Os batalhadores brasileiros: nova classe média ou nova classe trabalhadora?* (Belo Horizonte: Editora UFMG, 2012).

<sup>9</sup> Ruy Braga, ‘Para onde vai o precariado brasileiro? Sindicalismo e hegemonia no Brasil contemporâneo’, *Perseu*, 10 (2013), pp. 35-54

retained a value system that prized solidarity, predicting that this would lead them to continue backing the *PT* and its redistributive programme.<sup>10</sup> For Braga, by contrast, the ‘precariat’ was becoming increasingly frustrated with the social settlement of the Lula era, leading to a rise in industrial unrest even during the boom years.

Others have addressed similar questions from the perspective of political parties and shifting electoral alignments. For example, Singer’s influential thesis proposed that an important shift occurred in the *PT*’s voter base between Lula’s first victory in 2002 and his re-election in 2006, moving away from the traditional middle classes based in the Southeast and South of the country, and towards a ‘sub-proletariat’ disproportionately living in the Northeast region.<sup>11</sup> For Singer, this reflected a shift in the *PT*’s electoral strategy towards a ‘conservative pact’ that combined redistributive social and economic policies for the poor with the preservation of traditional elite economic and institutional power. However, this pact would fall apart under Dilma Rousseff’s presidency as the economic situation deteriorated from 2014 onwards and both elites and, eventually, many lower-income voters abandoned the *PT*.<sup>12</sup>

In accounting for these electoral realignments it is also important to consider the growth of ideological forces ranged against *PT*. According to Almeida, recent years have seen a ‘conservative wave’ in Brazil, consisting of diverse elements that ultimately converged in Bolsonaro’s winning candidacy.<sup>13</sup> He identifies four distinct but interrelated tendencies: (1) an economic one, aimed at reducing state social spending and ideologically framed around values

---

<sup>10</sup> Souza, *Os batalhadores brasileiros*, pp. 199-257

<sup>11</sup> André Singer, *Os sentidos do lulismo: reforma gradual e pacto conservador* (São Paulo: Companhia das Letras, 2012); See also Oswaldo E. do Amaral and Timothy J. Power, ‘The PT at 35: Revisiting scholarly interpretations of the Brazilian Workers’ Party’, *Journal of Latin American Studies*, 48 (2015), pp. 147-71

<sup>12</sup> André Singer, *O Lulismo em crise: uma quebra cabeça do período Dilma (2011-2016)* (São Paulo: Companhia das Letras, 2018)

<sup>13</sup> Ronaldo de Almeida, ‘Bolsonaro presidente: Conservadorismo, evangelismo e a crise brasileira’, *Novos Estudos CEBRAP*, 38: 1, pp. 185-213



of individual effort and private initiative; (2) a moral one, seeking the defense of the traditional family and opposed to the liberalisation of cultural norms and the extension of women's and LGBT rights; (3) a security one, demanding more repressive measures in response to widespread concerns about crime and insecurity; (4) and a social one, expressed in a growing intolerance of political differences in interpersonal relationships. The clearest expression of the latter is the rise in '*antipetismo*', referring to individual animosity towards the *PT* that overrides positive support for another party or programme.<sup>14</sup> Although these different strands have distinct dynamics and are visible to greater or lesser degrees within different parts of the population, there are elements that cut across them. These include a unifying "anti-corruption" discourse, encompassing diverse grievances and a general sense that things in the country are getting worse. Almeida also notes the significant overlap between these themes and issues prioritized by Brazil's growing Evangelical movement, such as the so-called 'gospel of prosperity', which prizes individual material enrichment through entrepreneurial activity, and opposition to cultural liberalism. This seeming affinity appears to be reflected in the fact that Evangelicals favoured Bolsonaro over Haddad by a rate of more than two-to-one.<sup>15</sup>

#### *Urban peripheries, place and political articulation*

While offering important insights, such analyses at the national level do not fully capture the specificities of social and political dynamics in urban peripheries. Instead, it is necessary to understand these as *places* that possess particular histories and contemporary conditions which mean that they relate to broader trends in particular ways. Peripheries were primarily settled through processes of 'peripheral urbanisation', whereby residents built their own homes and

---

<sup>14</sup> An interesting aspect of this phenomenon is that many *antipetistas* identify as "independent" voters and express a preference for broadly centre-left policies, suggesting that has more to do with negative perceptions of the *PT* than ideological objections to their policy agenda. See André Borges and Robert Vidigal, 'Do lulismo ao antipetismo? Polarização, partidarismo e voto nas eleições presidenciais brasileiras', *Opinião Pública*, 24: 1 (2018), pp. 53-84

<sup>15</sup> Almeida, 'Bolsonaro presidente', p. 206

neighbourhoods.<sup>16</sup> The expansion of these areas between the 1950s and 1980s rested on a particular social formation, described by Feltran as the “project” of the laborer’s family.<sup>17</sup> These families, characterised by a sharply gendered division of labour and headed by low-paid but stably employed manual workers, were able to gradually save up to buy plots of land, build homes and raise children who would eventually be better off than their parents.

As explained by Caldeira, the politics of peripheral urbanisation were “transveral” to the state.<sup>18</sup> In order to gain access to essential public infrastructure and services, residents had to either mobilise in social movements or work through clientelistic networks, creating incentives for collective organisation and meaning that citizenship was at stake in the very process of urbanisation. During the 1980s, these processes flowered as neighbourhood movements, trade unions and radical grassroots Catholic organisations rooted in the peripheries, along with emergent left-wing political parties, played a decisive role in driving forward Brazil’s long process of redemocratisation.

However, as recounted by Feltran, since the 1990s conditions in peripheries have been dramatically transformed by a range of “dislocations”.<sup>19</sup> First, as discussed previously, there has been a dislocation in the world of work, with the decline of Fordist production and concomitant rise in unemployment and precarity. This has destabilised the “project” of intergenerational social mobility in peripheries, even if after 2003 the *PT*’s social programs significantly reduced poverty and created new opportunities for individual social mobility. Second, popular Catholic religiosity has been challenged by the dramatic growth of Pentecostal

---

<sup>16</sup> Teresa P. R. Caldeira, ‘Peripheral urbanization: autoconstruction, transversal logics, and politics in cities of the global south’, *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 35: 1 (2017), pp. 3-20

<sup>17</sup> Gabriel S. Feltran, *Fronteiras de Tensão: Política e Violência nas Periferias de São Paulo* (São Paulo: Editora UNESP, 2011)

<sup>18</sup> Caldeira, ‘Peripheral urbanization’

<sup>19</sup> Feltran, *Fronteiras de Tensão*

denominations, characterised by different institutional arrangements and forms of worship that appear less compatible with progressive agendas. While such churches have expanded hugely in peripheries, it is nonetheless important to note that their worshippers appear to be highly fluid in their religious practices and to circulate between different denominations, challenging the common perception of Evangelicals as religiously dogmatic.<sup>20</sup>

A third dislocation is that social movements have been weakened in terms of their ability to represent the peripheral population, even if they remain active and gained significant influence under *PT* governments. This is partly due to processes of institutionalisation and partly due to shifting needs in peripheries, away from basic urban infrastructure and services and towards more complex challenges like improving education and security. The fourth significant change in peripheries, meanwhile, is the dramatic growth of illegal markets and violence.<sup>21</sup> In the case of São Paulo, following a huge increase in violence during the 1990s, the *Primeiro Comando da Capital* (First Command of the Capital, *PCC*) criminal faction consolidated its power in the peripheries during the 2000s, leading to a substantial fall in homicides. However, although the *PCC* regulates the world of organized crime with relative efficiency, the persistence of low-level criminality and of conflict between criminals and police continue to feed widespread feelings of insecurity among residents of São Paulo's peripheries.

While these socio-spatial transformations in peripheries have been well documented, few studies have directly examined their implications for residents' political attitudes. One exception is the aforementioned study by the Fundação Perseu Abramo, which argued that a rise in consumerism and individualism during the *PT* years led many peripheral voters to adopt increasingly pro-market attitudes and thus to eventually abandon the *PT*'s redistributionist

---

<sup>20</sup> See Ronaldo de Almeida, 'Religião na metrópole paulista', *Revista Brasileira de Ciências Sociais*, 19: 56, pp. 15-27

<sup>21</sup> Feltran, *Fronteiras de Tensão*

agenda. A couple of recent ethnographic studies, however, suggests that the reality is more complex. Rocha, for example, found that that support for the *PT* among many residents in the neighbourhood of Brasilândia in São Paulo's North Zone remained strong. However, it largely rested on "symbolic" dimensions, of past associations and a personal identification with the figure of Lula, rather than an active presence of the Party in the area or people attributing material improvements in their lives to *PT* policies.<sup>22</sup> Rocha questions the sustainability of this support, especially given that older residents are far more likely than young people to retain such symbolic identifications. Based on research in the periphery of Porto Alegre, meanwhile, Pinheiro-Machado and Scalco argue that many young men who participated in Brazil's consumer economy during the boom years became increasingly frustrated as opportunities were foreclosed by the economic crisis.<sup>23</sup> At the same time, feeling their status threatened by the growing empowerment of young women and directly experiencing the effects of crime and insecurity in their everyday lives, many embraced the candidacy of Bolsonaro as representing the restoration of a threatened (masculine) order.

The small number of studies on this issue to date offer means there is only limited evidence of how broad trends interacted with local and contingent factors to reshape political attitudes in peripheries during Brazil's rightward turn. However, analyses of electoral ruptures elsewhere can offer further tools for analysis. McQuarrie, for example, argues that in order to understand Donald Trump's victory in the 2016 US election we must look to transformations that produced a localised 'revolt of the Rust Belt'.<sup>24</sup> McQuarrie argues that long-term deindustrialisation not

---

<sup>22</sup> Camila Rocha, 'Petismo e lulismo na periferia de São Paulo: uma abordagem qualitativa', *Opinião Pública* 24: 1 (2018), pp. 29-52

<sup>23</sup> Rosana Pinheiro-Machado and Lucia M. Scalco, 'Da esperança ao ódio: A juventude periférica bolsonarista', in Esther S. Gallego (ed.) *O Ódio como Política: A Reinvenção das Direitas no Brasil* (São Paulo: Boitempo, E-book edition, 2018).

<sup>24</sup> Michael McQuarrie, 'The revolt of the Rust Belt: place and politics in the age of anger', *The British Journal of Sociology* 68: S1 (2017), S120-152

only produced rising unemployment and poverty, but also served to weaken embedded relationships between rustbelt voters and the Democratic Party, which had been mediated by declining trade unions. Trump was able to take advantage of the erosion of Democratic support by politicising economic decline through a campaign that combined attacks on migrants with gestures towards economic nationalism. Thus, while objective and subjective transformations of place had created new political opportunities, it was only the *articulation* of this by a political actor that ultimately produced an electoral impact.

This is reminiscent of long-standing analyses, broadly within the Gramscian tradition, about how changing social conditions can be exploited by political actors to produce ideological ruptures, particularly at moments of crisis. Hall famously offered such an account of the rise of Thatcherism in Britain, explaining how economic crisis was increasingly experienced by many “through the themes and representations [...] of a virulent, emergent “petty-bourgeois” ideology”.<sup>25</sup> Rather than a natural consequence of the crisis, Hall argued this process was the product of active political and ideological work to “disarticulate old formations, and to rework their elements into new configurations”.<sup>26</sup> Echoing such a view, de Leon et al. argue that:

ethnoreligious, economic, and gender differences, among others, have no natural political valence of their own and thus do not, on their own steam, predispose mass electorates to do anything. Nor do certain kinds of crisis (such as economic) have an elective affinity for certain parties (for example, socialist, fascist, or religious). Whether variation in religious affiliation becomes politically salient in times of war or recession, for instance, depends in part on whether parties articulate it as a matter of contention.<sup>27</sup>

---

<sup>25</sup> Stuart Hall, ‘The great moving right show’, *Marxism Today*, January (1979), p. 15.

<sup>26</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>27</sup> Cedric de Leon, Manali Desai and Cihan Tuğal, *Building Blocs: How Parties Organize Society* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2015).

That is to say that changing social and material conditions experienced in particular places can become politicised in different ways depending on how they are framed and understood. The analysis that follows identifies both the constraining effects of current social conditions in peripheries, which tend to produce significant consensus among residents on a range of issues, but also major variations in how these are politicised and thus how they translate into electoral outcomes.

### *Narratives of crisis and political attitudes in Fazenda da Juta*

#### *Research methods*

My fieldwork was carried out in Fazenda da Juta, a neighbourhood of around 38,000 residents in the district of Sapopemba (IBGE, 2010) in São Paulo's East Zone (See Figure 1). In-depth, semi-structured interviews were conducted with 39 residents, alongside further interviews with key informants from local schools, churches, community organisations, health centres and the police. All respondents were identified via snowballing and although I did not use formal sampling, I sought balance and representativeness in terms of key demographic variables like age, gender, religion, race, income and housing type. Interviews typically lasted between 45 and 90 minutes and covered a range of themes, including individual biographies, daily routines, attitudes towards the neighbourhood and broader discussions on diverse social and political issues. The research also involved participant observation via more informal forms of engagement with residents and regular participation in activities run by local community organisations.

#### *Figure 1. Location of Fazenda da Juta*

<FIGURE 1>

The research was conducted between March 2016 and November 2017, a period that saw a series of major political events which were widely discussed in the national media and in everyday conversations. These diverse, often confusing developments provided an extremely dynamic backdrop to the fieldwork.<sup>28</sup> However, the ways in which respondents discussed these issues and events provided insights into how they assimilated such new information into more stable worldviews. In what follows, I first provide some general information about the research site and present quantitative data of its social characteristics and recent electoral trends. I then present interview material, through the discussion of three broad groups of respondents identified. Although focused on a small number of individual cases, these provide insights into recurring themes and discourses in the research and are reflective of broader findings.

### *The neighbourhood of Fazenda da Juta: An overview*

#### *History, demography and social characteristics*

Fazenda da Juta (henceforth “Juta”) was settled via a complex and often conflictual process of urbanisation during the 1980s and 1990s, which involved numerous land occupations and *mutirão* projects backed by social movements.<sup>29</sup> While the construction of the neighbourhood occurred under precarious social conditions, today Juta is relatively well-served by public infrastructure, and also benefits from a strong network of local community organisations with origins in the housing movements. However, it also faces many of the challenges common across São Paulo’s peripheries: high levels of vulnerability, poor quality schools, patchy healthcare provision, and insecurity generated by both criminal actors and police.

---

<sup>28</sup> Dates are provided for each interview cited.

<sup>29</sup> *Mutirões* are co-operative housing projects in which residents, organised through social movements, pressure authorities for the provision of land, materials and technical support, and then carry out the actual building work themselves. Juta’s *mutirão* projects in the 1990s became a famous example of this form of popular housing production. See Matthew A. Richmond and Moisés Kopper, ‘Housing movements and the politics of worthiness at the margins’, *Latin American Perspectives* (forthcoming)

Although last conducted only in 2010, the National Census offers important insights into the general profile of Juta's population and the ways it changed during the Lula era. Table 1 shows the percentage of households that fell into different minimum wage bands (the income measure used in the census) in both 2000 and 2010. It reveals that the proportion with incomes of less than two minimum wages rose in both Juta and São Paulo between 2000 and 2010, while the proportion of those in all higher brackets fell. This would seem to indicate that the population became poorer. However, given the large increase in the minimum wage during this period, the opposite is in fact true.<sup>30</sup> Using inflation adjusted values, we can see that while more than half of Juta's residents earned more than R\$510 per month (the minimum wage) in 2010, this would have been closer to a third in 2000. While more than 20% earned over R\$1020 (two minimum wages) in 2010, this would have been well under 10% in 2000.

*Table 1. Real monthly household income per capita (for all household members aged 10+) in minimum wages for Fazenda da Juta and the municipality of São Paulo, 2000 and 2010 (% of households)<sup>31</sup>*

**<TABLE 1>**

*Source:* Elaborated by author from *IBGE Censo 2000* and *IBGE Censo 2010 (Amostra)*

As shown in Table 2, rising incomes and the falling cost of many consumer goods allowed for a major expansion in consumption during this period. For example, the proportion of households with a washing machine or laptop increased dramatically, although the increase for more expensive goods like cars was far smaller.

*Table 2. Possession of consumer goods in Fazenda da Juta, 2000 and 2010 (% of households)*

---

<sup>30</sup> The minimum wage in 2000 was R\$151 per month, but by 2010 had risen to R\$510. If we adjust the 2000 rate in line with inflation over the intervening period it rises to R\$294.77. This means that in real terms the minimum wage increased by around 73% between 2000 and 2010. See Table 1.

<sup>31</sup> 2000 values are recalculated against the values for August 2010 (the month to which the census refers), adjusted for accumulated inflation over the intervening period of 95.2%. This figure was calculated using data from the *Índice Nacional de Preços ao Consumidor* (INPC) via the site *Portal Brasil* (<https://www.portalbrasil.net/inpc.htm>).



**<TABLE 2>**

*Source:* Elaborated by author from *IBGE Censo 2000* and *IBGE Censo 2010 (Amostra)*.

Notwithstanding increased incomes and consumption between 2000 and 2010, there is little evidence that Jutá's class structure changed significantly. As shown in Table 3, the percentage of directors/senior managers and technical workers barely changed, while the number of professionals grew only slightly. Calculating the percentages of service and manual workers is more difficult due to definitional changes in the census. Most of those falling into the category of 'elementary occupations' in 2010 (jobs like domestic workers and security guards) would likely have been included within the aggregated category of 'service workers' in 2000, thus showing a small increase overall. Meanwhile, the categories, in the 2000 census, of 'industrial production' and 'maintenance' (aggregated as 'industrial workers' in Table 3) are likely to roughly correspond to the categories 'skilled manual workers' and 'machine operators' in 2010. If so, it seems that industrial employment declined significantly over the period (even if part of this population may be contained within category of 'ill-defined occupations', which grew). This means the main trends in Jutá between 2000 and 2010 were a decline in industrial employment, alongside small increases in the numbers of service and professional workers.

*Table 3. Occupational groups in Fazenda da Jutá, 2000 and 2010 (% of employed individuals aged 10+)*

**<TABLE 3>**

*Source:* Elaborated by author from *IBGE Censo 2000* and *IBGE Censo 2010 (Amostra)*.

\* 'Other occupations' includes agricultural, military, police and 'ill-defined' occupations

### *Electoral trends*

Although the challenges of gathering electoral data at a small scale make it difficult to track electoral shifts in Jutá, it is possible to do so for the wider area of Sapopemba. Table 4 shows that in presidential elections Sapopemba's voters gradually shifted away from the *PT* during

the Party's 13 years in power, while support for the mainstream right-wing *PSDB* steadily grew between 2002 and 2014. Surprisingly, the key shift away from the *PT* appears to have occurred not between the elections of 2010 and 2014 as the economy stalled, but between 2006 and 2010 when growth for the most part remained strong. In 2018, the vote share for the *PT* in Sapopemba fell to an all-time low of under 24%, less than half the level achieved in 2002, even if Bolsonaro's vote percentage is also slightly lower than that achieved by Aécio Neves in 2014. The difference is made up by a large number of abstentions and spoiled ballots, which account for almost a third of total votes.

*Table 4. Presidential results (second rounds) for Brazil, São Paulo and Sapopemba, 2002-2018*<sup>32 33</sup>

**<TABLE 4>**

*Source:* Elaborated by author from *Portal de Estatísticas do Estado de São Paulo* and Election Resources on the Internet: Federal Elections in Brazil<sup>34</sup>

\* Abstention and spoiled ballots

It is also worth noting that in November 2016, during my fieldwork, the *PT* were heavily defeated in São Paulo's mayoral election, with the incumbent Fernando Haddad (who would go on to be the *PT*'s presidential candidate in 2018) losing in the first round to João Doria of the *PSDB*. In Sapopemba, the results were similar to that of the city as a whole, with around 35% voting for Doria, just 10% for Haddad, and slightly less than 20% voting for other

<sup>32</sup> For the years 2002 and 2006, the data for Sapopemba refers to the electoral zone of Sapopemba. From 2010 onwards, it refers to two zones, Sapopemba and Teotônio Vilela, which, after rezoning, occupied the same territory. For these years, the results for the two zones were aggregated and averaged. It should be noted that this territory covers most of the district of Sapopemba, but is not identical to it. However, it does include Juta, which has fallen within the Teotônio Vilela electoral zone since 2010.

<sup>33</sup> Shaded cells identify the winning candidates.

<sup>34</sup> Portal de Estatísticas do Estado de São Paulo, Fundação Sistema Estadual de Análise de Dados (SEADE), Governo do Estado de São Paulo, available at [http://produtos.seade.gov.br/produtos/eleicoes/candidatos/index.php?page=ele\\_sel&back=1](http://produtos.seade.gov.br/produtos/eleicoes/candidatos/index.php?page=ele_sel&back=1) [accessed 21/02/19]; Election Resources on the Internet: Federal Elections in Brazil – Results Lookup, available at <http://electionresources.org/br/president.php?election=2018&state=BR> [accessed 21/02/19].

candidates.<sup>35</sup> Given the high number of abstentions and spoiled ballots (which were slightly higher than the winning vote share), Doria was able to pass the 50% threshold to avoid a run-off. This would thus fit with a general trend of declining support for the *PT* in Sapopemba. Overall, we can say that Sapopemba began, in 2002, being considerably more pro-*PT* than Brazil, but ended up being even less so than São Paulo.

While this seems to imply a widespread rejection of the *PT*, interview data painted a somewhat different picture. On the whole, participants tended not to single out the *PT*, but rather to express disapproval of the political class as a whole. They typically described politicians as corrupt and self-interested, dismissing differences between political parties and holding them collectively responsible for Brazil's crisis. The word "crisis" was invariably volunteered by respondents unprompted, and used flexibly to encompass unemployment and other economic difficulties, corruption scandals, failing public services and insecurity. As such, the notion of "crisis" and the figure of the corrupt politician appeared to be key pillars around which respondents organised their understandings of contemporary Brazilian society and what they believed was wrong with it. The following quote from Joice<sup>36</sup>, a 22-year-old web developer, was typical:

I think they're all the same. I don't know. I might be talking nonsense, but for me they're all the same. It's like one comes in and things get worse, then another comes in and it gets even worse. So we're kind of going through... it's doesn't stop being a crisis whether it's Dilma or Temer... they just walk off together hand in hand, it's the same thing. I think they're there just to show that they're in power, and that's it. But stop taking what's ours by right. They're not going to stop doing that. I think we have the right to something,

---

<sup>35</sup> Portal de Estatísticas, SEADE

<sup>36</sup> Interview conducted 27/06/16. Pseudonyms are used throughout.

and they're not going to stop blocking that. Why? For their own benefit, to fill their own pockets. So I don't think that will ever change.

These kinds of negative attitudes towards politicians were reflected in low levels of political engagement. Most respondents claimed to still vote in presidential elections, and almost all who were old enough had voted for Lula at least once (in 2002 and/or 2006). However, in recent elections, few had voted with any enthusiasm. With the exception of a few activists who were involved with local social movements and community organisations broadly aligned to the *PT* and wider left, none of the respondents was a member of a political party or had recently attended protests. This included the few respondents who identified as Bolsonaro supporters, suggesting that even those who had decisively embraced the rightward turn had not been actively mobilised.

### *Three narratives of the crisis*

#### *Angry workers*

The group I label as “angry workers” were the largest and most diverse in terms of age, gender, race, occupation and religious practice. These respondents had little direct engagement with politics and their understandings seemed heavily shaped by television coverage. They expressed a strong aversion to politicians, were angered by corruption, and complained about high taxes. However, they also identified strongly as “workers”, framing this as their primary imagined community. As such, they tended to understand political corruption primarily through the lens of inequality, seeing it as a mechanism for the transfer of wealth from workers to politicians, whom they understood as part of a broader elite. While often critical of the *PT* they tended to express residual support for Lula and showed equal if not greater antipathy towards the other main parties.

Within this group, it was common for aversion to politics to be expressed in terms of general bewilderment. Many framed politics as something that made little sense, but was clearly a dirty business practiced by immoral people. Dona Maíra<sup>37</sup>, a retired secretary, aged 61, summed up such a view:

I don't understand anything about politics. I'd like to understand, you know. When it starts, on the television, one starts talking, and then another, talking, talking, and I say "my God, now I don't understand anything". Did you see it the other day, one of them insulting another one? What language! [...] For me, politics is basically them stealing. I'd like to understand, but it's very complicated you know.

Dona Maíra understood the outcome of politics as being large-scale theft, but felt ill-equipped to understand the mechanisms through which this occurred. She had trouble distinguishing between different politicians and parties and was unfamiliar with the formal processes of political decision-making. However, as she continued to speak, Dona Maíra's critique soon moved onto the terrain of class inequality:

There's nothing good about them, nothing. Did you see there's going to be a raise? For who? For them! [...] And the poor pensioners, when they get a raise it's five per cent! If you earn a thousand, or a minimum salary, what does that get you? What's ten per cent on top of one thousand? It's nothing, just one hundred *reais*! [...] I'd like to understand why they only do things to benefit themselves. Why don't they think of the worker class... the working class? I hate it, I don't like politics. That why I don't go to protests, all that business, because it's all politics.

Dona Maíra may have struggled to understand the procedural aspects of politics, but she was in no doubt as to its consequences. These she understood via a simple comparison of the salaries

---

<sup>37</sup> Interview conducted 08/06/16

of deputies, which had just been increased, to workers' pensions, which were being maintained at a level she felt was undignified. Based on her embedded knowledge of the costs of everyday life in the periphery, Dona Maíra felt able to assert not only the immorality, but also the social injustice of the actions of the political class as a whole. Although not accustomed to using the language of class, she was able to invoke the "working class" as the group to which she belonged and which she believed was the primary victim of the way politics operated in the country.

Rejane<sup>38</sup>, a 53-year-old seamstress, offered a similar diagnosis, describing politics as a kind of machine producing the enrichment of a few individuals at the expense of the wider population. This system provided both effective impunity for the political class, and the guarantee that resulting economic costs would be borne by the taxpayer:

Let me tell you something, I'd like to have, like, fifteen minutes on the television. Because it's not fair... for people who work, who haven't stolen anything... I say stealing, because that's what it is... to pay someone else's bill. Because if I steal [...] you have to come to me. To me, because I did it. Isn't that right? It's like the government. The politicians stole, and then they tell the citizen, the worker, to pay more for their electricity bills, to pay more tax, while they evade it. And of those who stole, who goes to prison? They're punished, but they get to stay at home. If a poor person steals a hat, are they punished? Yes, they go to prison. So there's a difference between the politician and the poor worker, there's a difference.

The narrative of political corruption pursued by anti-government protest movements around 2015-16 has been understood by many as suppressing a discourse of social inequality and class conflict, replacing it with a neoliberal framing that contrasts honest tax-payers with a corrupt

---

<sup>38</sup> Interview conducted 29/03/17

and wasteful state.<sup>39</sup> As the discourses of Dona Máira and Rejane indicate, the reality among voters in the peripheries appears more complex. There is clearly a view of a corrupt political class exploiting the tax-and-spend functions of the state for its own ends. However, residents of Jataí also, frequently and unprompted, deployed the language of class and social justice when discussing these themes. For Rejane, increased taxes were the mechanism by which wealth was transferred to the political class, but she saw the worker, rather than an undifferentiated aggregation of taxpayers, as the primary victim. The inherent inequality of the criminal justice system, in which the poor are punished while the rich are let off lightly for stealing on a far greater scale, is the clearest manifestation of the double standards that she believes run through Brazilian society. She also offers a solution that is framed in terms of radical redistribution:

Let's take what they bought, with stolen money, and let's sell it. Because there are a lot of people who want to buy it. Let's take the mansion, the second home and everything and let's sell what he stole to pay the state. For us, the workers, because no one has done anything for us, for our pocket, in fact they only take from us. So let's take from them, and sell it to pay the debt.

While Rejane's analysis of corruption echoes some of the themes central to Almeida's 'conservative wave', she frequently returned to questions of social inequality and justice and these seemed to provide the moral foundation of her arguments. For example, she proved quite immune to the arguments being promoted by the Temer government and much of the media about the economic necessity of raising the retirement age. Instead, she clearly interpreted the reform as a deliberate attack on the working class:

---

<sup>39</sup> Almeida, 'Bolsonaro presidente'

They thought Dilma was bad, it's worse now! She left the retirement at 45 working years, Temer raised it to 49. What's that, do we have to go out and work in nappies?! While politicians have a good pension with few years of service. It's such an injustice!

As this suggests, complaints about high taxes should not in themselves be taken as evidence of pro-market attitudes. Rather, tax liabilities were just one part of a kind of mental accounting that respondents performed to determine whether politics was working for them. In these accounts, a dignified salary, a pension, other forms of social security, access to decent services, and everyday living costs were also factored in. What unites these is the question of the quality of everyday life in the peripheries and the perception of whether or not it was improving. This was to be contrasted with perceptions of the lifestyles of corrupt politicians.

Vanderlei,<sup>40</sup> a 56-year-old administrative worker at a car company, offered a view similar to Rejane's, understanding corruption as money stolen from taxpayers by politicians:

**Vanderlei:** I follow the news you know, Mateus, and I get so angry with the news we've been hearing recently. I myself didn't know how much robbery there's been in our country, from our deputies, the president, all this misbehaviour. You see, our country is in such a crisis, in such a situation, and really it's them who created it. Taking our own money, our taxes, it's absurd. This can't happen in our country, it can't, you understand?

**Matthew:** Do you think that all politicians are the same? Or are some worse than others?

**Vanderlei:** No at this point, almost all of them. It could be anyone. Though I'm not sure. After I heard from people, after I heard, I don't know how much... people say the theft was all Lula. I earned well in the era of Lula's presidency, I earned money. I earned ok.

---

<sup>40</sup> Interview conducted on 21/07/17



He reduced the IPI,<sup>41</sup> he reduced it, especially in my area. I can't say anything, I earned money, I had at least four good years.

Even if he was unsure about possible differences between politicians, Vanderlei attributed his “four good years” to Lula, and was skeptical about claims that Lula and the *PT* were *more* corrupt than other politicians and parties. Like other *angry workers* he was highly cynical about the motivations for Dilma Rousseff's impeachment and believed that the situation had only worsened under Temer. He also appeared to identify with Lula, identifying his status as a fellow worker, who, like Vanderlei, had moved from the Northeastern state of Pernambuco to São Paulo at a young age. He also recognised Lula's efforts to expand opportunities for the poor, which did clearly distinguish him from other politicians:

I'll tell you, he opened up university for everyone who didn't have it before. They don't like that, those politicians from the *PMDB*, the *PDS* and everything, they only want to help who? Their own kids. And he gave it to the poor, black, white, dark-skinned. And that was one of Lula's great virtues, cause he was a Northeasterner from the countryside and he came to work, and he overcame everything and made it. So they get angry as hell about that. Because the majority of people... the billionaires are a minority and they want to eat everything. And the workers... That's it, that's why they get so angry.

Although *angry workers* like Vanderlei felt deeply disaffected, they refused to accept the narrative that the *PT* was solely responsible for the “crisis”. Indeed, many acknowledged the Party as the only one that had meaningfully improved their lives, and some continued to see Lula as a fellow worker, even if he had become tainted by politics. However, it was very much an open question whom these respondents would vote for by the time of the next election.

---

<sup>41</sup> ‘*Imposto sobre Produtos Industrializados*’ (tax on manufactured products)

At one point during our conversation, Vanderlei took me by surprise. He told me that if Lula was cleared of corruption charges and was able to run he would consider voting for him again. However, if Lula was found guilty he was not sure who he would vote for (he had not heard of Bolsonaro at the time of the interview). If this were to happen, he thought that the best option for the country might be military intervention. In seconds, Vanderlai had gone from defending a central figure of Brazil's redemocratisation, to advocating the return of authoritarian rule. From the perspective of partisan debates this may seem highly contradictory, but in terms of what Vanderlai wanted from politics there was no contradiction at all. Aside from his anger at political corruption, he was also concerned about security, which he discussed in very localised terms. He was particularly exercised about the behaviour of young men who sold drugs at the entrance of a small favela opposite his house. Having served in the military as a young man, Vanderlei felt that young people no longer had the kind of discipline necessary to maintain order in society. During our interview he tended to speak in the language of an *angry worker*, but at times he drifted into the kind of discourse typical of those I call "*disgruntled citizens*".

### *Disgruntled citizens*

These respondents were far fewer in number than *angry workers*, almost all were male and most attended Evangelical churches,<sup>42</sup> however they were diverse in terms of age, race and occupation. They tended to be fairly well informed about, or at least interested in, politics, often getting news and information via social media as well as television. However, none was a member of a political party or had recently attended a protest. These respondents tended to consistently produce conservative discourse, especially when discussing issues such as corruption and security, and were explicitly *antipetista*, tending to blame the *PT* more than other parties for the crisis. However, they were almost equally disapproving of the other

---

<sup>42</sup> While most *disgruntled citizens* identified as Evangelical, not all Evangelicals were in this group, also appearing in the other two.

mainstream parties. They tended to frame their political views in ways that emphasised the nation, and law and order, rather than class conflict, typically referring to an imagined community of “citizens”, rather than workers. However, when discussing the social policy agenda implemented by the *PT* they often shifted towards a more inequality-based discourse.

Like Vanderlai, Anderson<sup>43</sup>, a 44-year-old Uber driver and volunteer with a drug rehabilitation programme run by his Evangelical church, seemed to shift between different ideological frames during our interview, though with the difference that he adopted a firm and explicit *antipetista* stance. Anderson had previously voted for Lula, but became increasingly exasperated with the Party and had voted for Aécio Neves in 2014, “to get rid of Dilma”. He had also been in favour of Rousseff’s impeachment, however he had hoped it would lead to fresh elections rather than the accession of Temer, whom he believed was as bad as his predecessor. When discussing politics, Anderson repeated several far-right tropes. This included a revisionist account of Brazil’s dictatorship, arguing that it had saved Brazil from “turning into Cuba” and had been misrepresented by “opinion formers and artists” who falsely claimed they’d been persecuted. On the other hand, when he started to speak about questions closer to home, his language notably softened. Anderson had voted for Doria in the 2016 mayoral election (“to get rid of Haddad, who only cared about installing speed cameras so he could fine us”). However, he was unconvinced by Doria’s first year in office, saying that he had not delivered on campaign promises like improving access to healthcare. He complained that like a previous *PSDB* Mayor, Gilberto Kassab, Doria favoured big business over ordinary people. Similarly, when asked for his view on the *PT*’s legacy in office, he offered a surprisingly nuanced, and, if anything, left leaning critique:

---

<sup>43</sup> Interview conducted 29/08/17

I think we can say the *PT* were 50%. They got lots of people into university, which you couldn't do [before]. You have to say that. [...] But other things [too] that I always say... they did good things, they reduced the *IPI* for people to be able to buy a television, right, a car. But on the other hand, they didn't think about other things. For me, healthcare wasn't very good, during their administration, it wasn't very good. Where they could have done other things, they only reduced the price of a television.

We can see that despite his explicit anti-*PT* positioning, Anderson performs a similar kind of accounting to that of the *angry workers*. The *PT* had enabled people like him to access higher education and consumer markets that were previously beyond their reach. However, they had not made sufficient progress in improving vital public services. These critiques along with generalised anger against all the major parties on grounds of corruption, was leading him to take an interest in Bolsonaro. Anderson claimed not to know enough about Bolsonaro yet to make a final decision, but at least considered him to be something new:

Look, I want a new government, so the new option there is Bolsonaro. [I'm] thinking seriously about him, because *PMDB*, *PSDB*, *PT*, right, *PMDB*, all of them, they're all together, you know? If we want change, what do we have to do? Put new people there! Not to put in a new deputy, a councillor, because he's going to pave my street, or install street lamps on my street, for the neighbourhood... [but] for the country, you know.

Although concerned about educational opportunities and public services for lower-income groups, Anderson's reference to the good of the "country" seemed to override these questions. That is to say, the considerations that informed his political outlook were not radically different to those of the *angry workers*. The difference was that he was willing to subordinate these concerns to his desire for a "new" political actor, and his identification of the "country", rather than the working class, as his primary imagined community.

This distinction was even clearer in my interview with Fernando<sup>44</sup>, a 49-year-old security guard and regular attendee of a Pentecostal church. Whereas Anderson was not yet sure whom he would support against the *PT*, Fernando was clear about his preferred alternative. He had previously voted for both Lula and Dilma, but had become increasingly exasperated with the *PT* due to what he saw as its special treatment of particular groups, including convicts, non-whites and sexual minorities:

For example, the *Bolsa Presídio* [Prisoner's Grant], the age of criminal responsibility, racial quotas, prejudice. Because the law is for individuals, not for groups. It's individuals, men, in the general sense, who have to submit to the law, not a created group. The gay group, the lesbian group, religious groups. No, the law is the law. We're all equal before the law. So that's enough. You don't need to have laws for groups if we're all equal. [...] The *PT* divided the country in groups. It turned the country against itself. It was the *PT* itself that created this whole issue of prejudice, of all these fights over what people think.

Based on his belief that the *PT* had undermined the unity of the country, Fernando openly declared his preference for military intervention so that order and unity could be re-established. Failing this, he would vote for the candidate whom he believed embodied these values: Bolsonaro.

Like Anderson, though, Fernando had far more nuanced views when it came to questions of economic and social policy. Indeed, much of his own life history revolved around struggling and eventually managing to access crucial public services. Fernando lived with his wife and two teenage daughters in a small but comfortable apartment in which they had been rehoused a few years earlier from their previous home in a precarious land occupation. He had suffered

---

<sup>44</sup> Interview conducted 21/08/17

for years from a debilitating disease though was treated within the public health system and eventually cured. Today, one of his daughters benefits from a higher education *ProUni* grant, a programme introduced by the *PT*. Indeed, Fernando expressed support for *ProUni* and also, with reservations, the *Bolsa Família* conditional cash transfer programme:

*ProUni* is excellent, because it helps people on low incomes enter university, you understand? So any kind of grant that helps people to learn, I'm in favour. Because it's benefiting the people, the financially less well-off. So if there's a grant to incentivise an individual to study, to facilitate the training of that person, I'm in favour. Now [*Bolsa Família*] I'm in favour too, but as long as there's better supervision of who receives it [...] because lots of people don't need it.

Fernando propounded values of equality and meritocracy, combining elements traditionally associated with both left and right. He agreed with the mainstream left-wing diagnosis that in a highly unequal society poverty reduction and social mobility require significant state-led redistribution. However, he rejected non-economic criteria such as race and sexuality as legitimate grounds for government support, viewing this as anti-meritocratic. Perhaps most emphatically, he argued the state was ineffective and permissive in responding to crime, citing his family's forced daily coexistence with drug dealers in their previous home in the land occupation. For Fernando, reasserting the rule of law, as he put it, overrode every other consideration.

As this suggests, the views of *disgruntled citizens* like Anderson and Fernando may seem contradictory from the perspective of ideological debates, but they make a lot of sense in the context of everyday life in a place like Juta. For example, Fernando's combination of left- and right-wing policy preferences is firmly rooted in his own experiences of having benefitted from the *PT*'s health, education and housing policies, but also of having worked hard to survive and raise two daughters in a context of limited opportunities and everyday risks. Similar patterns

can be observed among many other Juta residents, including those who occupy a polar opposite position on the political spectrum.

### *Nostalgic strugglers*

It is instructive to compare the views of *disgruntled citizens*, to another with which, on face value, they should have little in common; a group I refer to as *nostalgic strugglers*. These respondents were more likely to be older, female and to identify as Catholic (although there were also Evangelicals fitting this profile). Many had settled in Juta in the 1980s and 1990s when they had participated in radical housing movements and some continued to be involved with the influential local network of community organisations that had evolved out of these movements. *Nostalgic strugglers* were more politicised than average, some regularly attended demonstrations, and they invariably voted for the *PT* (or other leftist parties). However, they were often also critical of the *PT*, usually not on grounds of corruption, but rather for having distanced itself from the grassroots and cosied up to elites. Perhaps more surprisingly, despite their strong political identifications, they found themselves at odds with important aspects of the discourse and policy agenda of the contemporary left.

For example, Denise<sup>45</sup>, aged 57, was a retired cleaner who had participated in the original occupation of Juta and still lived in the home she had built herself, along with her son who was now studying at university. She proudly recounted marching down the Avenida Sapopemba with the other occupiers in the late-1980s, to defend themselves from eviction. Ever since, Denise had been a *PT* voter, identifying the Party as having supported her struggle to overcome poverty and eventually achieve stability and intergenerational social mobility. She believed that the impeachment of Dilma Rousseff had been a “coup” and condemned Brazil’s political and economic elites who had instigated it. Nonetheless, when the question of the *PT*’s policy

---

<sup>45</sup> Interview conducted 09/07/16

legacy arose, she began to express some reservations, particularly with regard to the *Bolsa Família* programme:

In the countryside, what happens? They receive *Bolsa Família*, and they take that little bit of money, they build a little house, and the family grows. And it's one child a year, the family grows, the family grows, because the money keeps coming. Work? Nothing! You understand? They don't work, and just live on that bit of money, and go on having kids. [...] I don't think that's right too, I don't think it's fair.

Denise was troubled by the idea that another of the PT's landmark policies, *Bolsa Família*, should allow poor people the option to live – comfortably, she believed – on state benefits. Her account, of a non-specific “countryside” (“*interior*” in Portuguese) appears to be influenced by stigmatizing media representations of poor rural areas. Nonetheless, what seems to make such narratives chime with Denise is that her own life experience of working hard for little financial reward. It seemed incongruous to her that a poor person should not have to work to survive.

This was further reinforced by Denise's discussion of Brazil's economic “crisis”, a claim that impressed her little:

On that subject I can speak very well. Twenty five years ago when I arrived here in São Paulo all we have to eat was chicken carcass, you know the bones of the chicken? [...] We made oil out of chicken carcass. As we had to do that, we used to ask at the butchers [saying it was] for the dogs, that it was to make food for the dogs. Because we were ashamed, cause it was dog food. So today, when people say to me “ah, crisis” [...]. Crisis! This isn't a crisis! Because crisis... you see parents today who go to the shopping centre and pay one thousand for a pair of trainers, a thousand *reais* for a cell phone, one thousand five hundred... just for their kid to be mugged on a street corner. Yeah, I see a parent who's clueless [...], that's never been through a crisis. Today I don't see crisis, I



see bad education, bad parenting. Because I know what it's like to work and not have money to buy bread. So crisis... it's over!

Denise's understanding of what constituted a "crisis" was learned during Brazil's period of hyper-inflation, when extreme poverty of the kind she experienced was widespread. That is to say, it pre-dates the more recent fall in absolute poverty and increased access to cheap consumer goods, but also shifts towards more precarious employment and rising costs in other areas. Despite her expressed commitment to social justice, the notion of poor people having access to consumer goods sat uneasily with her notion of what it means to "struggle".

Such a disconnect can also be detected in attitudes towards political engagement. Elena<sup>46</sup>, a social assistant at an community organisation in Juta who had been a militant in Juta's *mutirão* movement during the 1990s, spoke wistfully of a time when politics seemed to make more sense. Like other *nostalgic strugglers*, she frequently used the word "struggle", which for her had a powerful political meaning:

It was a beautiful struggle. In those days, that kind of beautiful struggle existed. There was unity, the people were united. So if you had money to travel you'd go to the protests or whatever, or if you didn't your colleagues would help you out. [...] If you didn't have money for food, the others got together. It was a beautiful struggle.

"Struggle" seemed to represent something central to Elena's political identity and values, combining solidarity, a clear political project, and a spirit of perseverance. By contrast she believed these qualities to be lacking in current forms of housing action, in particular in the various new informal occupations that had sprung up around Juta over previous years. She recounted a criticism she had just made of a colleague who was at risk of being evicted from her home in a land occupation:

---

<sup>46</sup> Interview conducted 26/04/17

I'm going to struggle for housing, but in what way are you going to struggle for housing? These days they want everything easy. In our day we knew things took time, it's something that takes years, it doesn't happen overnight. These days they want it for today. That's why there are lots of occupations. I was just having a go at the girl in the kitchen there. [...] She came and said to me that she can't sleep at night because she's so worried about being evicted, she has five children. I said this, but also Sheila, you don't want to struggle. [...] Today people want everything they desire, don't they? They desire lots of things, in the days when we struggled, it wasn't like that. Today people want everything in their hands. No-one wants to struggle anymore.

Elena continued to participate in social movements and regularly attended protests. However, like Denise, she felt estranged from some political causes that others on the left had taken up – such as *Bolsa Família* and the legitimacy of disorganised land occupations – and was unimpressed by those whom she believed were not *struggling*. Lessons she had learned over the life course about what constituted valid political objectives and legitimate means of pursuing them seemed to no longer apply. For those like Denise and Elena, political identification with the left and embeddedness within activist networks, seemed to act as a buffer against developing deeper sympathy for explicitly right-wing discourse. However, on some issues – in particular the question of welfare for the poor – their views were often further to the right than those who were gravitating towards Bolsonaro.

*Discussion: Place, political articulation and opinion formation in the peripheries*

The three broad groups identified do not exhaust the diversity encountered in Juta during the research. Further groups and subgroups could be identified, for example through closer analysis of the influence of important demographic variables like age, gender and race on political subjectivity. Furthermore, as the discussion has highlighted, the boundaries between the three

groups are highly porous, with some attitudes and discourses spanning across them while others do not. In fact, it is probably more helpful to think of them not as *groups* of discrete individuals at all, but rather as *narrative frameworks* available to individual subjects to adopt and, to some extent, combine. Notwithstanding these qualifications, these frameworks highlight several points that I believe are crucial for understanding contemporary processes of political subjectivity formation in Brazil's urban peripheries.

A first important observation is that many of the concerns highlighted by respondents, and the broad terms in which they are understood, were relatively consistent and based on widely shared experiences, many of them rooted in place. In particular, these revolved around squeezed incomes, poor public services and insecurity. This strong convergence suggests that concrete conditions and recent transformations in peripheries override abstract ideological motivations in determining attitudes on a wide range of social issues. When invited to discuss politics, respondents rarely spoke in abstract terms – for example, about meritocracy, the “free market” or sexual morality – themes which some have interpreted as key drivers of the rightward turn in the peripheries.<sup>47</sup> Rather they tended to speak about the concrete, everyday challenges they faced, drawing a contrast between these and a realm of official politics perceived as remote and unresponsive.

Within these broadly shared parameters, many of the variations that did exist could at times appear almost arbitrary. Dyed-in-the-wool *antipetistas* could express support for large parts of the *PT*'s policy legacy, while proud *petistas* could be deeply hostile to flagship *PT* policies. Those with no overriding partisan identification, positive or negative, combined heterogeneous elements in ways that best made sense to them. In other ways, however, clear patterns could be observed. The broad terms in which respondents framed their answers seemed to signal that

---

<sup>47</sup> Fundação Perseu Abramo, *Percepções e Valores*

a particular interpretive framework was being called into action. The framework deployed allowed for subjects to construct arguments around the issues or principles they ranked as most important, though without obliging them to completely abandon other issues that might not neatly fit. Such discursive strategies seem to offer citizens in the peripheries a way of dealing with the problem of a poor alignment between their needs and what mainstream politics has to offer them. Given that most politicians and parties appear to fall badly short, it is difficult for peripheral subjects to maintain a high degree of partisan loyalty or ideological consistency. Interpretive frameworks make it possible to harness diverse experiences and opinions into more coherent lines of argument, thus allowing at least the possibility of taking a position in relation to official politics beyond blanket rejection.

This raises the question of how individuals come to arrive at a particular framework. Based on the examples presented, this process appears to be the product of diverse influences operating over different scales and temporal horizons. Firstly, they clearly related to individual experiences and lessons learned over the life course, many of which were also associated with place. When justifying their views, respondents could emphasise experiences of winning improvements to their neighbourhood through social mobilisation, or the persistence of inadequate services. As this implies, while perceptions of place are heavily prescribed by concrete conditions, individual experiences of them may still vary significantly, leading to more positive or negative perceptions and, ultimately, different political positions.

A second factor that appears to heavily influence the adoption of narrative frameworks, and which is also strongly tied to place, is the individual's network of sociability. The political identities of *nostalgic strugglers* seemed heavily linked to their involvement with left-aligned social movements and community organisations. Meanwhile, *disgruntled citizens* were disproportionately likely to regularly attend Pentecostal churches. In this case, there is clearly a strong amount of overlap between religious doctrine and core tenets of ultra-conservative

ideology, most notably in the values associated with the ‘gospel of prosperity’ and shared opposition to cultural liberalism.<sup>48</sup> However, in the heterogeneous and fluid institutional landscape of urban peripheries,<sup>49</sup> such relationships should not be viewed deterministically. Residents may frequent left-leaning community organisations or conservative churches without significantly absorbing their ideological tenets and political cultures. Rather than comprehensively moulding subjects then, they might better be understood as anchoring individuals to broad partisan identifications.<sup>50</sup> It is interesting to note that explicitly religious issues were rarely mentioned by *disgruntled citizens*, while *nostalgic strugglers*’ identification with the left seemed to be based more on concrete personal experiences and relationships than commitment to abstract principles. For most *angry workers*, personal experience, the influence of family, friends and mainstream media, and identification with the category of “worker”, seemed to substitute for exposure to more structured organisational influences.

However, even if shared socio-spatial conditions, individual life experiences and networks of sociability – all strongly tied to place – are crucial to the formation of political opinion in peripheries, these must still ultimately articulate in some way with the realm of formal politics, even if only at election time. It is here that the question of ‘political articulation’ becomes central. While most residents of peripheries may perceive politics as distant from their everyday lives, political actors can articulate certain matters of concern in ways that resonate. Where politicians can engage with and build upon existing framings and linguistic tropes – of “workers” or “citizens”, “struggle” or “order” – they may improve their chances of attracting support. At particular moments this may take a cathartic form, when everyday lived experience and attitudes that usually fail to be meaningfully represented publicly are suddenly articulated in mainstream debate. The victories of Lula, and, at least for some peripheral voters,

---

<sup>48</sup> Almeida, ‘Bolsonaro presidente’

<sup>49</sup> Feltran, *Fronteiras de tensão*

<sup>50</sup> Rocha, ‘Petismo e Lulismo’

Bolsonaro's victory in 2018, may have been experienced in this way. However, for most residents, elections are experienced as momentary choices between generally unappealing options, after which things largely remain the same.

*Conclusion: Rethinking the rightward turn*

The evidence from Fazenda da Juta suggests that *ideological* factors – understood as a consistent commitment to right-wing principles, whether articulated explicitly or implicitly – have played only a minor role in the rightward electoral turn in peripheries during the crisis. Even respondents who identified politically with Bolsonaro did not consistently echo his agenda, and certainly not with regard to themes such as meritocracy and marketisation. The consistency of concerns expressed by diverse respondents, in particular emphasizing squeezed incomes, poor public services and insecurity, suggests that the experience of living under particular socio-spatial conditions overrides ideological commitments. On many substantive issues a resident of the periphery would be more likely to agree with a neighbour who votes for a different party than with a middle-class Paulistano who happens to vote for the same one. As such, we should primarily look to factors associated with *place* and *political articulation*, rather than ideology, to understand the rightward turn.

If place provides the basis on which substantive issues are prioritised by respondents, how did a candidate who seemed to promote a largely elitist agenda manage to capture so many votes in the peripheries? It is true that Bolsonaro did not meaningfully articulate issues like the poor quality of public services and squeezed incomes. Indeed, he made almost no concrete economic or social policy offer to lower-income voters at all. On the other hand, his focus on the issue of corruption would have found fertile terrain. While largely viewed as a moral issue by the middle classes, corruption was commonly understood by respondents through the lens of inequality; as a means by which wealth was transferred from workers to the elite. Such

conceptual flexibility allowed Bolsonaro to articulate different, even contradictory, messages to these different constituencies, a crucial skill when trying to construct a diverse electoral coalition. Through his hardline discourse on security issues and, to a lesser extent, *Bolsa Família*,<sup>51</sup> he also fed into narratives that were already strongly present in peripheries. As compared to Bolsonaro's core upper-middle-class constituency, these issues take on very different meanings in a place like Juta, where anxieties about insecurity and the value attached to hard work are experienced as everyday personal struggles and form a core part of many people's identities. All of this is to say that Bolsonaro, whether intentionally or not, was able to articulate matters of concern in ways that would have resonated with significant numbers of peripheral voters, tapping into their changing experiences of place.

However, while such an understanding of political articulation revolves around the mediated discourse of distant political actors, the local institutional context through which such discourse flows and takes on practical meaning seems at least as important. Local institutions play a crucial role in either reinforcing or countering narratives and proposals articulated by politicians. As others have pointed out,<sup>52</sup> social movements, grassroots Catholic organisations and trade unions that played such a role in connecting the *PT* to peripheral populations have all lost space in peripheries over recent decades. Although Juta may have survived this process in better shape than most given its strong network of left-aligned community organisations, even there it is clear that many perceive the Party as being distant from their lives just like all the others. While Bolsonaro's ability to articulate some pertinent issues in peripheries in 2018 was highly contingent, such shifts in the social and institutional structure of life in peripheries seem more durable and indicate that it will be difficult for the *PT* to recover the ground it

---

<sup>51</sup> Having long been opposed to the programme, Bolsonaro's language softened during the election campaign, although he continued to emphasise fraud and to paint claimants as lazy.

<sup>52</sup> Feltran, *Fronteiras de Tensão*; Rocha, 'Petismo e Lulismo'

occupied at the height of its influence. Nonetheless, there is clearly still a large appetite in places like Juba for a politics of redistribution and improved public services. If there were not already a mass, left-wing party competing for votes in the peripheries, it would certainly be necessary to invent one.

Referee's copy only





Figure 1. Location of Fazenda da Juta

873x629mm (72 x 72 DPI)

Number of minimum salaries	2000			2010		
	Value (R\$)	Juta	São Paulo	Value (R\$)	Juta	São Paulo
< 1/2	< 145.04	17.6	10.0	< 255	23.2	17.0
1/2 – 1	145.04 – 294.77	25.8	14.3	255 – 510	25.4	20.5
1 – 2	294.77 – 589.53	28.8	22.9	510 – 1020	29.8	25.5
2 – 3	589.53 – 884.30	14.3	13.5	1020 – 1530	11.7	11.2
3 – 5	884.30 – 1473.84	9.7	14.6	1530 – 2550	7.5	10.2
> 5	> 1473.84	3.7	24.7	> 2550	2.4	15.5

Table 1. Real monthly household income per capita (for all household members aged 10+) in minimum wages for Fazenda da Juta and the municipality of São Paulo, 2000 and 2010 (% of households)

214x58mm (72 x 72 DPI)

<b>Item</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Television</b>	94.6	97.2
<b>Refrigerator</b>	96.7	98.8
<b>Washing machine</b>	50.7	79.5
<b>Laptop</b>	6.9	60
<b>Car</b>	34.9	39.1

Table 2. Possession of consumer goods in Fazenda da Juta, 2000 and 2010 (% of households)

91x47mm (72 x 72 DPI)

<b>Occupational group</b>	<b>2000</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Directors and senior managers</b>	2.2	3.2
<b>Professional</b>	2.5	6.2
<b>Intermediate technical</b>	7.1	6.3
<b>Administrative workers</b>	7.2	8.7
<b>Service workers</b>	38.1	19.6
<b>Unskilled occupations</b>		19.6
<b>Industrial workers</b>	41.3	
<b>Skilled manual workers</b>		10.7
<b>Machine operators</b>		16.6
<b>Other occupations*</b>	1.7	9.1

Table 3. Occupational groups in Fazenda da Juta, 2000 and 2010 (% of employed individuals aged 10+)

125x99mm (72 x 72 DPI)

	Brazil			São Paulo			Sapopemba		
	PT	Oppon.	A/S*	PT	Oppon.	A/S	PT	Oppon.	A/S
<b>2002 (Lula vs Serra)</b>	45.81	28.95	26.29	40.6	38.91	20.49	50.04	30.62	19.34
<b>2006 (Lula vs Alckmin)</b>	46.3	29.82	23.76	36.69	43.81	19.5	46.84	34.74	18.42
<b>2010 (Rousseff vs Serra)</b>	41.05	32.19	25.19	34.93	40.42	24.65	36.63	39.02	24.35
<b>2014 (Rousseff vs Neves)</b>	38.16	35.74	27.17	26.72	47.12	26.16	26.92	46.71	26.37
<b>2018 (Haddad vs Bolsonaro)</b>	31.93	39.24	28.83	26.77	40.80	32.43	23.72	43.76	32.51

Table 4. Presidential results (second rounds) for Brazil, São Paulo and Sapopemba, 2002-2018

195x60mm (72 x 72 DPI)