

1 **Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in terrestrial ecosystems: Its impact on plant communities and**  
2 **consequences across trophic levels**

3

4 **Carly J. Stevens<sup>1\*</sup>, Thomas I. David<sup>1,2</sup>, Jonathan Storkey<sup>2</sup>**

5 <sup>1</sup>Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK.

6 <sup>2</sup> Sustainable Agriculture Sciences, Rothamsted Research, West Common, Harpenden AL5 2JQ, UK.

7

8 **Abstract**

9 1. The global nitrogen cycle has been greatly perturbed by human activities resulting in elevated  
10 nitrogen deposition in many parts of the world. The threat nitrogen deposition poses to ecosystem  
11 function and biodiversity is increasingly recognised.

12 2. In terrestrial systems, impacts on the plant community are mainly through eutrophication and soil  
13 acidification. Interactions with secondary environmental drivers such as extreme weather and  
14 disease are also key mechanisms.

15 3. Impacts on consumers can be caused by changes in the quality or quantity of food as a result of  
16 changes in food plant chemistry or species composition, changes in vegetation structure leading to a  
17 change in the availability of prey species, nesting sites or cooled microclimates, or changes in the  
18 phenology of plants leading to causing phenological asynchrony.

19 4. Primary consumers have received considerably less research attention than plants but negative  
20 impacts have been observed for both folivorous insects and pollinators. Mammal herbivores have  
21 received little research attention.

1 5. New analysis of changes in plant traits along a gradient of nitrogen deposition in the UK shows  
2 that plants pollinated by large bees were negatively associated with N deposition whilst low pH was  
3 associated with lower nectar production, reduced occurrence of plants pollinated by long-tongued  
4 insects, and a reduction in plants with larger floral units.

5 6. Very few studies have investigated the effects on secondary consumers, but those that have  
6 suggest that there are likely to be negative impacts.

7 7. This review identifies considerable knowledge gaps in the impacts of N deposition on higher tropic  
8 levels and highlights that for many groups, knowledge of N deposition impacts is patchy at best.  
9 Evidence that has been collected suggests that there are likely to be impacts on primary and  
10 secondary consumers making this a priority area for investigation.

11

12 Keywords: Atmospheric nitrogen deposition; consumers, folivorous insects, herbivores; pollinators;  
13 primary producers

14

15 \*Tel: 01524 510211; Email: [C.Stevens@lancaster.ac.uk](mailto:C.Stevens@lancaster.ac.uk)

16

## 1 **1. Introduction**

2 Anthropogenic activities produce over half of the nitrogen (N) fixed globally (Fowler *et al.* 2013;  
3 Kanakidou *et al.* 2016). In 1850, 15% of N came from anthropogenic sources but by 2005 this had  
4 increased to 60%, in 2050 the proportion is expected to remain similar (Kanakidou *et al.* 2016). Much  
5 of this increase has been driven by a global demand for food (Tilman *et al.* 2011; Fowler *et al.* 2013).  
6 Industrial fertiliser production through the Haber-Bosch process and biological fixation of N in  
7 agricultural systems together account for 80 percent of anthropogenic N fixation. The remaining 20  
8 percent of anthropogenic N fixation comes from combustion, primarily within internal combustion  
9 engines and industrial power plants (Fowler *et al.* 2013). These increases in the global production of  
10 N have resulted in large changes in fluxes of N. Over the last century atmospheric deposition of N  
11 has doubled, increasing from 1.9 Tg N per year in 1900 to 3.8 Tg N per year in 2000 (Sutton *et al.*  
12 2011).

13 Globally, the highest levels of N deposition can be seen in highly populated regions; particularly  
14 North America, Europe and parts of Asia. In Asia fertilizer N production and biological N fixation in  
15 cultivated crops is almost twice that of the next highest region (Europe) whereas N production by  
16 energy production is highest in North America. In the future, areas of high deposition are likely to  
17 become more widespread encompassing larger parts of Asia together with South America and Africa  
18 (Galloway *et al.* 2004).

19 This excess N in the environment has a wide range of impacts on the functions and processes  
20 occurring in the atmosphere, oceans, and terrestrial habitats. Over the last three decades the threat  
21 posed to biodiversity and ecosystem function by atmospheric N deposition has been increasingly  
22 recognised (Bobbink *et al.* 2010). However, much of our current understanding is focussed on how N  
23 deposition impacts upon primary producers, in particular plants (see below). There are few studies  
24 demonstrating impacts on other trophic levels. By reviewing published literature and re-analysing

1 published data this manuscript aims to review how atmospheric N deposition impacts upon plant  
2 communities and how these impacts could have consequences for higher tropic levels.

### 3 **2. Impacts of nitrogen deposition on above-ground primary producers**

4 The impacts of N deposition on plants and their communities have been widely researched and,  
5 although knowledge gaps remain, the understanding of the mechanisms and the likely outcome of  
6 increasing N deposition are known for many terrestrial habitats (Bobbink *et al.* 2010).

#### 7 *Direct toxicity*

8 The majority of mechanisms for impact, even on primary producers, are indirectly mediated by  
9 changes in soil chemistry and other abiotic and biotic interactions. The exception to this is direct  
10 toxicity of N gasses and aerosols. Evidence for direct toxicity of N is limited to locations with high  
11 atmospheric concentrations of forms of ammonia such as close to a large source (Bobbink *et al.*  
12 2010). Toxicity is thought to occur through disruption of electron transport in chloroplasts and it  
13 typically leads to yellowing of leaves, reduced growth (particularly of roots) and necrosis (van der  
14 Eerden 1982; Pearson & Stewart 1993). Sensitivity to ammonia toxicity is species specific and related  
15 to a range of abiotic factors with toxicity typically estimated to occur above concentrations of  $75 \mu\text{g}$   
16  $\text{m}^{-3}$  (van der Eerden 1982). It should be noted that critical levels for ammonia for higher plants is  $3$   
17  $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  and for bryophytes and lichens is  $1 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$  (Cape *et al.* 2009) due to the potential for other  
18 effects. Toxicity from ammonia and ammonium can also occur if N accumulates in the soil leading to  
19 poor root and shoot development (Roelofs *et al.* 1996).

#### 20 *Nitrogen enrichment*

21 The most commonly cited impact on plant communities is ecosystem N enrichment. Because N can  
22 be an important limiting resource in the soil (Vitousek & Howarth 1991), the addition of N via  
23 atmospheric deposition has the potential to increase biomass production. Consequently it can  
24 change species composition as more nutrient demanding species increase over those that are stress

1 tolerant and adapted to low nutrient condition outcompeting them for light and other resources  
2 (Hautier, Niklaus & Hector 2009; Ceulemans *et al.* 2017a). It also impacts soil N cycling. Impacts of N  
3 enrichment have been observed in a large number of N addition experiments (e.g. Bobbink &  
4 Willems 1987; Clark & Tilman 2008; Horswill *et al.* 2008) as well as regional surveys (e.g. Stevens *et*  
5 *al.* 2010; Van den Berg *et al.* 2011). Typically, species that have been observed to decline are those  
6 that are small in stature and slow growing such as *Campanula rotundifolia* (Stevens *et al.* 2006; Van  
7 den Berg *et al.* 2011), *Thymus polytrichus* (Carroll *et al.* 2003) and those that lose their competitive  
8 advantage gained by expending resources to get N by other mechanisms such as *Drosera*  
9 *rotundifolia* (Millett *et al.* 2012) and *Lotus corniculatus* (Stevens *et al.* 2011). Seedling recruitment  
10 may also be impaired (e.g. Ceulemans *et al.* 2017a). Enriched soil conditions can also lead to an  
11 increase in the prevalence of invasive species (e.g. Corbin & D'Antonio 2004) and higher growth  
12 rates associated with elevated N deposition has been linked to increased sensitivity to extreme  
13 weather such as frost (Caporn, Ashenden & Lee 2000), wind (Gulke *et al.* 1998) and drought  
14 (Friedrich *et al.* 2012).

#### 15 *Soil Acidification*

16 The addition of N can have other impacts on soil chemistry. For instance, soil acidification can occur  
17 where is dissolved in rainwater to form nitric acid and through plant uptake of N leading reductions  
18 in soil pH. Changing pH also has implications for the chemical availability of metals and other  
19 nutrients in the soil (Tyler & Olsson 2001). Reductions in soil pH and consequent changes in soil  
20 chemistry can impact on the pool of species suited to growing in the soil with a smaller pool of  
21 species found in soils with a low pH (Schuster & Diekmann 2003). Impacts of acidification have  
22 primarily been observed in poorly buffered soils where the soil pH is already acidic (Maskell *et al.*  
23 2010). Aluminium toxicity is one potential impact of soil acidification (Dise & Wright 1995).

#### 24 *Plant biochemistry*

1 Increased soil N and consequent increases in N uptake by plants can lead to changes in plant  
2 biochemistry. Changes in the tissue N content of plant tissues have been widely reported in higher  
3 and lower plants (e.g. Pitcairn *et al.* 1998; Hicks *et al.* 2000). In a review of 25 N addition studies  
4 Throop and Lerdau (2004) found that foliar N concentration increased in all but one case.  
5 Furthermore, a review of 106 long-term studies on litter decomposition found that trees growing in  
6 high N deposition areas have higher N concentrations in foliar litter than those growing in low N  
7 deposition areas (Berg & Meentemeyer 2002). This in turn can make plants more susceptible to  
8 herbivory. This is an important impact on secondary consumers but also has implications for species  
9 composition as some species are preferentially grazed. Other changes such as concentrations and  
10 ratios of photosynthetic pigments (Arroniz-Crespo *et al.* 2008), amino acids (Throop & Lerdau 2004)  
11 and enzyme activity (Hogan *et al.* 2010) have also been observed.

### 12 **3. Impacts on higher trophic levels**

13 In their review of mechanisms for the effects of increased N deposition on fauna Nijssen *et al.* (2017)  
14 identify nine pathways by which N deposition can impact on fauna. The first two of these, change in  
15 soil pH and trace element availability, and increase in plant biomass leading to chemical stress for  
16 fauna, are largely limited to impact in aquatic systems or moist terrestrial ecosystems. Other  
17 pathways occurring in both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems and are: disturbed nutrient balance in  
18 plants leading to a change in food plant quality; changes in plant species composition leading to a  
19 change in food plant quality; changes in species composition leading to a change in food plant  
20 quantity; higher and more homogenous vegetation structure leading to a decrease in the availability  
21 of prey and host species; higher and more homogenous vegetation structure leading to cooler and  
22 moister microclimates; an increase in plant biomass leading to cooler and moister microclimates;  
23 and finally an increase in plant biomass leading to a decrease in reproductive habitat. An additional  
24 possible mechanism is changes in plant phenology meaning that the timing of resource availability is  
25 not matched to demand (Throop & Lerdau 2004; Cleland *et al.* 2006).

### 1 3.1 Impacts of nitrogen deposition on above-ground primary consumers

#### 2 *Folivorous insects*

3 One of the groups most likely to be impacted by N deposition are folivorous insects and as such,  
4 there is a relatively large body of evidence. The most likely mechanisms for N deposition impacts on  
5 insect herbivores are changes in the quality and availability of host plant tissues (Throop & Lerdau  
6 2004).

7 As described above, there is a large body of evidence linking foliar N concentration with N  
8 deposition (e.g. Pitcairn, Fowler & Grace 1995; Hicks *et al.* 2000; Berg & Meentemeyer 2002; Throop  
9 & Lerdau 2004). These changes in N content of food plants can be linked to increased herbivore  
10 performance with increased survivorship, development, growth, and reproductive rates linked to  
11 higher N (e.g. Slansky Jr. & Feeny 1977; White 1993; Throop & Lerdau 2004; Audusseau, Kolb & Janz  
12 2015; Pöyry *et al.* 2017). For example, Slansky Jr. and Feeny (1977) report positive relationships  
13 between food N content and larval growth rate of the cabbage butterfly *Pieris rapae*. A different  
14 widely known example of insects responding positively to N concentrations in plant tissues is that of  
15 *Lochmaea suturalis* (heather beetle). Like a number of insect herbivores, the heather beetle is prone  
16 to periodic outbreaks and between 1915 and 1980 they occurred approximately every 20 years.  
17 However after 1980 the frequency of outbreaks increased which has been linked to elevated tissue  
18 N concentrations in *Calluna vulgaris* (heather). Heather beetle had a devastating effect on Dutch  
19 heathlands leading to large declines in heather cover (Brunsting & Heil 1985; Bobbink, Hornung &  
20 Roelofs 1998). Relationships are not always straight forward. For instance, in the butterfly *Lycaena*  
21 *tityrus* higher growth rates and decreased development times were observed at high N levels.  
22 However, because pupal and larval mortality was high and there was a reduction in adult size there  
23 was no net benefit to the butterflies (Fischer & Fiedler 2000).

1 Relationships between N content and grazer growth and development are also not observed in all  
2 species. Audusseau et al. (2015) report a positive correlation between N content and development  
3 time to pupal stage and pupal mass in *Aglais urticae*, a nettle feeding butterfly. However, this was  
4 not observed in two other nettle feeding species. One reason why impacts may not be seen in some  
5 species is that most insects have an optimal N concentration for host foliage and this differs  
6 between species. Throop (2002) found that *Trichoplusia ni* (cabbage looper) larvae grown on  
7 *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* (common ragweed) had greatest survival under the highest foliar N (6.76%  
8 N) whereas larvae of a leaf beetle, *Ophraella communa*, showed highest survival at the lowest foliar  
9 N concentration (2.20% N). Another factor can be the impacts related to the concentration of  
10 carbon-based secondary defensive chemicals. A review by Throop and Lerdau (2004) showed that six  
11 of seven simulated N deposition studies showed a positive relationship between N availability and  
12 the concentration of carbon-based secondary defensive chemicals. Further impacts may be  
13 mediated by changes in nutrient stoichiometry impacting on behaviour or development, for example  
14 *Gryllus texensis* (Texas field cricket) mate attraction signalling is controlled by the percentage of  
15 phosphorus present in the body (Bertram, Schade & Elser 2006).

16 Abundance of insect herbivores has also been linked to increased N in insect herbivore food sources.  
17 For example, herbivore abundance, and particularly the abundance of sawflies and a rachis mining  
18 lepidopteran, increased along with *Pteridium aquilinum* (bracken) biomass with N fertilisation  
19 (Eatough Jones, Fenn & Paine 2011). The abundance of sucking insects, leafrollers and plutellids, on  
20 *Abies concolor* (California black oaks) also responded positively to N fertilisation at a polluted site in  
21 California (Eatough Jones, Paine & Fenn 2008). Increases in abundance of herbivores considered pest  
22 species have also been observed. For instance, *Dendroctonus brevicomis* (western pine beetle)  
23 activity increased 20% and tree mortality increased 8% with 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> applied at a site with  
24 low levels of background pollution in southern California. Impacts of increased herbivore abundance  
25 and performance are not always sufficient to cancel out the positive effects of fertilisation on food  
26 plants. Throop (2005) found that in *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* positive influences of N deposition on



1 root and seed biomass were generally suppressed by herbivory whereas shoot biomass was not  
2 suppressed.

3 The studies reviewed above focus on the effect of N deposition on individual invertebrate species  
4 through changes in the quality of their food plant. However, the shifts in plant community  
5 composition in response to increasing soil fertility and decreasing pH as a result of N deposition will  
6 also be expected to have an impact on the overall abundance and diversity of the invertebrate  
7 community. It would not be possible to quantify these effects by studying all the trophic interactions  
8 at the species level, however inferences can be made about the quality of primary production  
9 provided to consumers using data on the functional composition of the plant community (Lavorel *et al.*  
10 *2013*). In terms of the functional 'effect traits' that would be expected to determine resource  
11 quality, there is evidence in the literature for the importance of two leaf traits, leaf dry matter  
12 content (LDMC, g/g) and specific leaf area (SLA, g/m<sup>2</sup>) in determining the response of invertebrate  
13 communities (Perez-Harguindeguy *et al.* 2003; Storkey *et al.* 2013; Storkey *et al.* 2015a). Plant  
14 communities dominated by species with a high SLA and low LDMC are predicted to be more  
15 palatable and support proportionally more invertebrates. A previous analyses of the effect of  
16 increasing atmospheric N deposition on the functional composition of vegetation communities  
17 focussing on the suite of response traits (*sensu* Lavorel & Garnier 2002) associated with resource  
18 competition (Helsen *et al.* 2014) concluded that grasslands with higher N loads had a decreased  
19 functional diversity and an increase in the proportion of clonal graminoids. Grasses tend to have a  
20 higher LDMC and lower SLA than forbs and their increased dominance in environments with high N  
21 deposition could potentially decrease the overall value of the community to invertebrate herbivores.  
22 Monophagous species are particularly vulnerable to changes in plant tissue chemistry but even  
23 species that do not show a strong preference for a specific plant species, concentrations of N in plant  
24 tissues are species specific so changes in plant species composition can have important implications  
25 for food quality (Berg & Meentemeyer 2002). In Sweden long-term monitoring of butterfly species

1 composition showed that species dependent on nutrient-poor vegetation decreased while species  
2 dependent on vegetation more typical of nutrient-rich conditions tended to increase (Ockinger *et al.*  
3 2006). Furthermore, in a survey of 1450 plots Konvicka *et al.* (2003) found that plots where  
4 *Euphydryas aurinia* (marsh fritillary) was present had lower Ellenberg N scores than ones where it  
5 was absent (Konvicka, Hula & Fric 2003). Finally Schirmel and Fartmann (2014) found that  
6 threatened butterfly species (*Hipparchia semele*, *Plebeius argus*) were negatively affected by an  
7 increase in grass cover and vegetation density.

8 Dense swards caused by N deposition have the potential to reduce soil temperatures and create  
9 cooler microclimates within vegetation (WallisDeVries & Swaay 2006; van Noordwijk *et al.* 2012).  
10 Thermophilous organisms that develop in the spring, such as butterflies hibernating eggs or larvae,  
11 are particularly sensitive to microclimate cooling. Observational studies in Europe have  
12 demonstrated comparatively greater declines in countries with oceanic climates and high N  
13 deposition (WallisDeVries & Swaay 2006). A combination of experiments and observational studies  
14 pointed towards microclimate cooling as a cause of declines in populations of the wall brown  
15 butterfly (*Lasiommata megera*) (Klop, Omom & WallisDeVries 2015). Soil temperature is very  
16 important for some ant species and van Noodwijk *et al.* (2012) suggested microclimate cooling could  
17 be impacting ant populations in calcareous grasslands in The Netherlands if management is not  
18 adapted to take account of additional N. Other studies have indicated that the interaction between  
19 N deposition and management may be a factor in the decline of carabids in dry dune grasslands  
20 (Nijssen *et al.* 2001) and in serpentine grasslands (Weiss 1999).

21 Very few studies have considered potential impacts of N deposition on phenology however Throop  
22 and Ler dau (2004) suggest that because many folivores prefer new leaf tissues and N fertilisation can  
23 increase the rate of leaf development. This is an area in need of further investigation. Pöyry *et al.*  
24 (2017) suggest that in future we may see increased dominance of large, dispersive, dietary generalist  
25 or those specialised on nitrophilous plant species and those that reproduce more than once per

1 year. Using 25 years of records for The Netherlands Wallisdevries and Swaay (2017) have  
2 demonstrated this is the case, showing that there has been an increase in insect species from more  
3 productive environments, of mobile species with high reproductive capacity and rapid larval  
4 development.

5

#### 6 *Pollinators*

7 The decline of insect pollinators in recent decades is due to a multitude of factors of which loss of  
8 foraging habitat is a major contributor (Biesmeijer *et al.* 2006; Goulson, Lye & Darvill 2008; Potts *et*  
9 *al.* 2010; Vanbergen & initiative 2013) but the role of N deposition on driving the decline in habitat  
10 quality for pollinators has received relatively little attention. Nitrogen can impact plant-pollinator  
11 interactions via a number of mechanisms, these can be grouped into two of the key pathways  
12 suggested by Nijssen, WallisDeVries & Siepel (2017); i) changes in food plant quantity (through shifts  
13 in plant community composition), ii) nutritional quality, in addition a third pathway via changes to  
14 phenology. Competitive exclusion of more stress-tolerant plant species has been shown to reduce  
15 the functional diversity of flowering plants (Helsen *et al.* 2014) with associated impacts on pollinator  
16 diversity (Potts *et al.* 2003; Biesmeijer *et al.* 2006). As N deposition increases, *Fabaceae*, forbs, and  
17 insect-pollinated plants have been shown to decrease (Stevens *et al.* 2010; Helsen *et al.* 2014;  
18 Storkey *et al.* 2015b). However, some valuable nectar and pollen resources, such as *Taraxacum*  
19 *officinale* and *Cirsium arvense* (Baude *et al.* 2016; Hicks *et al.* 2016), have high Ellenberg N scores  
20 and could respond positively to eutrophication. Therefore, overall impact on resources for flower-  
21 visiting insects is as yet unpredictable and subject to specific conditions.

22 Atmospheric N deposition also has the potential to alter flowering phenology (and the amount and  
23 availability of pollen and nectar rewards as a consequence); potentially causing phenological  
24 asynchrony (Rafferty & Ives 2011). However, the effect of N deposition on flowering phenology  
25 represents a knowledge gap and the few studies show high variability in species response to soil  
26 fertilisation. For instance, forb flowering times have been observed to accelerate (Cleland *et al.*

1 2006), delay (Xia & Wan 2013; Liu *et al.* 2017), or show no response (Cleland *et al.* 2006; Xia & Wan  
2 2013; Liu *et al.* 2017). The bloom duration time has also shown similar inter-species variation (Xia &  
3 Wan 2013). Although some studies on single, isolated species have shown accelerated flowering  
4 phenology (Hoover *et al.* 2012), this does not necessarily describe field-realistic responses as there is  
5 no effect of competition between plants.

6 Several studies have found an enhanced energy output on floral production in response to increased  
7 N application, manifested in a greater number of flowers (Muñoz *et al.* 2005; Burkle & Irwin 2009;  
8 Hoover *et al.* 2012; Viik *et al.* 2012) and larger floral units (Burkle & Irwin 2009; Hoover *et al.* 2012).  
9 However, as with other pathways, there seems to be inter-species variation in responses (Burkle &  
10 Irwin 2009).

11 Nitrogen deposition and soil acidification can influence plant-pollinator interactions by affecting  
12 food quality; specifically, nectar and pollen. Even if a plants population remains stable under N  
13 deposition, there may be changes in how effectively it can supply nutrition to flower-visiting insects.  
14 Burkle and Irwin (2009), using two montane study species, found increased nectar production in  
15 *Ipomopsis aggregata* but not *Linum lewisii*. Furthermore, Viik *et al.* (2012) found synthetic fertilisers  
16 increased the nectar production of spring oilseed rape. In contrast, increased soil N was found to  
17 reduce the overall nectar-sugar reward to pollinators in a lepidoptera-pollinated orchid, *Gymnadenia*  
18 *conopsea* (Gijbels, Van den Ende & Honnay 2014). Even if the total sugar reward of nectar or pollen  
19 is unaffected, the composition of sugars can be altered by N addition (Hoover *et al.* 2012; Ceulemans  
20 *et al.* 2017b). However, this is also species-specific, with other plant species not showing a change in  
21 sugar composition (Gijbels *et al.* 2015).

22 Although sugar is the main component of nectar, amino acids and other secondary compounds can  
23 affect the choices and health of pollinators. Soil nutrient enrichment has been shown to alter the  
24 concentration and composition of amino acids in nectar. Studies have shown fertilisation  
25 consistently increases glutamine (Gardener & Gillman 2001; Gijbels, Van den Ende & Honnay 2014;

1 Ceulemans *et al.* 2017b), and often increase asparagine (Gijbels, Van den Ende & Honnay 2014;  
2 Ceulemans *et al.* 2017b). Ceulemans *et al.* (2017b) also found increased asparagine in the pollen of  
3 *Succisa pratensis*, an N-sensitive species. Interestingly, Gardener and Gillman (2001) observed that  
4 soil enrichment increased production of glutamine and proline and decreased production of GABA in  
5 the nectar of *Agrostemma githago*. These three amino-acids share a common biosynthetic pathway  
6 (from  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate), so is suggestive of an underlying mechanism through which nectar amino-  
7 acid production responds to fertilisation.

8

### 9 *Impact of nitrogen deposition on functional effect traits*

10 Previous analyses of the effect of increasing atmospheric N deposition on the functional composition  
11 of vegetation communities have focussed on a suite of response traits (sensu Lavorel & Garnier  
12 2002) that are associated with resource competition. Helsen *et al.* (2014) concluded that grasslands  
13 with higher N loads had a decreased functional diversity and an increase in the proportion of clonal  
14 graminoids. Some inferences can be drawn from these results in terms of the implications for higher  
15 trophic groups that use the plants as a food resource. However, in previous studies traits were not  
16 chosen with trophic effects in mind. In order to determine the value of a plant community to  
17 phytophagous invertebrates and pollinators we have conducted a traits analysis using data collected  
18 by Stevens *et al.* (2004). Briefly, Stevens *et al.* (2004) surveyed 68 acidic grasslands in the UK on a  
19 gradient of N deposition ranging from approximately 5 to 35 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. Data on relevant plant  
20 effect traits were taken from the following sources: nectar rewards delivered on an areas basis by  
21 the commonest flowering plants in the UK (Baude *et al.* 2016); flowering onset and duration (Fitter  
22 & Peat 1994; Rose & O'Reilly 2006) and a range of morphological flower traits (Table S1). In addition,  
23 two leaf traits, leaf dry matter content (LDMC, g g<sup>-1</sup>) and specific leaf area (SLA, g m<sup>-2</sup>) were included  
24 in the analysis as indicators of leaf palatability and potential to support phytophagous invertebrate

1 communities (Perez-Harguindeguy *et al.* 2003; Storkey *et al.* 2013; Storkey *et al.* 2015a). These data  
2 were obtained from the LEDA trait database (Kleyer *et al.* 2008).

3 As it is known that increasing fertility and decreasing pH favour graminoids over forbs and legumes  
4 (Stevens *et al.* 2006; Maskell *et al.* 2010; Storkey *et al.* 2015b), two separate analyses were  
5 conducted. Firstly, community weighted means (CWM) were calculated including all species in the  
6 community but excluding flower effect traits that are not relevant to grasses. This allowed the  
7 impact of the environmental variables on proportions of functional groups, leaf traits and also the  
8 overall provision of nectar and value to pollinators to be quantified. A second analysis was done that  
9 included all traits, including flower morphology but excluded the grasses to study the impact of  
10 changes in the environment on the provision of resource by the forb and legume community. As well  
11 as rate of N, sulphur deposition and topsoil pH, latitude, longitude and altitude were also included as  
12 explanatory variables in Generalised Linear Models. The categorical traits were rendered as factors  
13 with binomial CWM scores and modelled with a quasibinomial distribution to account for  
14 underdispersion. The continuous variables were modelled with a quasi distribution when necessary,  
15 to account for overdispersion. The models were simplified by step-wise removal of non-significant  
16 variables and by checking the model assumptions and histogram of studentised residuals.

17 The results of conducting GLM models with all plant species (Table 1) indicated that plants with a  
18 high SLA and plants pollinated by long-tongued insects and large bees (bumblebees, honeybees, and  
19 large solitary bees) are impacted negatively by decreasing pH and increasing N deposition. More  
20 generalist bee-pollinated plants, conversely, increased with lower pH; this may be because the  
21 response is a CWM, shown as a proportion, so if one functional group decreases, such as large bee-  
22 pollinated plants, the proportion of other groups will increase. Nevertheless, it shows that under N  
23 deposition and soil acidification, long-tongued flower-visitors and large bees such as bumblebees  
24 and honeybees will be impacted, but smaller bee species will still have available forage. Long-  
25 tongued bees have a narrower diet specificity, so are more likely to decline than short-tongue bees

1 and are a key conservation concern (Biesmeijer *et al.* 2006; Goulson, Lye & Darvill 2008). Therefore,  
2 this result may be particularly informative in understanding pollinator declines. Plants that are  
3 primarily pollinated by bumblebees, such as many *Fabaceae*, are furthermore shown to have highly  
4 nutritious pollen (Hanley *et al.* 2008). Therefore, the decline of plants pollinated by large bees may  
5 impact the total nutritional resource in an area undergoing heavy N deposition. The change in  
6 direction for the N\*S interaction indicates that effects of N and S are not truly additive indicating a  
7 likely combination of acidification and eutrophication as mechanisms.

8 The response of traits within the flowering communities (Table 2) reflects the positive effect of pH  
9 on nectar production, plants pollinated by long-tongued insects, plants with larger flowers, earlier  
10 flowering species, and both compound and zygomorphic flowers. N deposition decreases overall  
11 large bee-pollinated plants, and yellow flowers, and increases plants with white flowers and plants  
12 with brush-type inflorescences.

13 These results further emphasise the negative effect of N deposition and soil acidification on plants  
14 pollinated by long-tongued insects and large bees. This phenomenon is also expressed by the  
15 negative effect of soil acidification on zygomorphic flowers. Many plants with zygomorphic flowers,  
16 such as *Fabaceae* and *Lamiaceae*, are specialised to bee-pollination. The quantity of nectar produced  
17 also increases with pH; therefore soil acidification could cause areas to either be less attractive to  
18 pollinators or less able to support a pollinator community. Many compound flowers are rich nectar  
19 sources, such as *Taraxacum officinale* agg., *Senecio jacobea*, and *Centaurea nigra* (Baude *et al.* 2016;  
20 Hicks *et al.* 2016). The decline of compound flowers with soil acidification may explain the reduced  
21 nectar productivity with low pH. Brush-type blossoms, which increased with N deposition, are found  
22 on plants that encompass a range of value to pollinators; from *Plantago major* with no benefit to  
23 *Salix spp.* which are important early nectar and pollen sources for bees. Therefore, it is difficult to  
24 understand the consequences of this result without knowing which species are affected. Soil  
25 acidification reduced the proportion of plants with larger inflorescences, and also of earlier flowering

1 species, which may be important for bees establishing new colonies after hibernation. Another  
2 notable effect of N deposition is the increase of white flowers at the expense of yellow flowers.  
3 Where different published studies have quantified functional relationships for separate parts of the  
4 environmental driver → primary producer → consumer pathway, these can be combined in a  
5 conceptual model using the response and effect traits of each trophic level (Lavorel *et al.* 2013). This  
6 has been done for invertebrate herbivores and pollinators (figure 1) and could be used as a  
7 framework for a quantitative assessment of the whole system using structural equation modelling.  
8 However, such an analysis will depend on additional empirical data that are currently lacking in the  
9 literature specifically on the invertebrate traits that respond to functional shifts in primary  
10 producers.

#### 11 *Mammal herbivores*

12 There have been a number of studies that have considered interactions between N deposition and  
13 grazing animals but most of these studies have been focussed on the impacts on vegetation rather  
14 than the impact on the grazing animals (e.g. Pearce *et al.* 2010; Plassmann, Jones & Edwards-Jones  
15 2010). There is also a literature concerned with the health of domestic grazing animals and hay  
16 quality (Westwood, Bramley & Lean 2003). Since N deposition often increases graminoids and leaf N  
17 content it could be supposed that for many grazing animals, especially non-selective grazers, there is  
18 likely to be a benefit and many herbivores will preferentially graze fertilized plots in experiments  
19 (Nams, Folkard & Smith 1996).

20 As with insect herbivores, species most likely to decline are those that have a limited range of food  
21 sources and their food source is sensitive to N deposition. Horsehair lichens (*Bryoria* spp.) are very  
22 sensitive to N deposition and are thought to decline in occurrence from levels as low as 2.4 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>  
23 yr<sup>-1</sup>. These lichens provide majority of the winter diet and nesting material of the northern flying  
24 squirrel (*Glaucomys sabrinus*) and population declines have been linked to N deposition in the



1 Marine West Coast Forests Ecoregion. Horsehair lichens also constitute the bulk of winter forage for  
2 the woodland caribou (*Rangifer tarandus caribou*) (Clark *et al.* 2017).

### 3 **3.2 Secondary consumers**

4 The increased availability of N available to organisms could have far reaching impacts on food webs  
5 though altered energy transfer and trophic interactions (Meunier *et al.* 2016). With the paucity of  
6 studies investigating impacts on primary consumers it is no surprise that there are few studies which  
7 have investigated impacts on secondary consumers and further down the food chain. In the majority  
8 of studies that have been conducted the food source is insects although declines in horsehair lichens  
9 described above have been linked to populations of the northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis*  
10 *caurina*) as northern flying squirrel are a primary prey source (Clark *et al.* 2017).

11 Studies that have investigated links between N deposition and secondary consumers have found  
12 mixed responses. de Sassi and Tylianakis (2012) used N fertilisation (50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) across an  
13 altitudinal gradient in New Zealand to investigate impacts of N and climate on plants, herbivores and  
14 the parasitoids the herbivores host. They found significant effects of N on plants and marginally  
15 significant effects on the herbivores but no effect on parasitoids. However, in a long-term  
16 experiment in prairie grassland Hadad *et al.* (2000) found numerous impacts throughout the food  
17 chain. Herbivore richness responded negatively to reduced plant species richness but biomass  
18 increased. Plant litter biomass also increased leading to an increase in the richness and abundance  
19 of detritivores. Parasitoids showed reduced abundance in response to N addition as did predator  
20 species richness but omnivorous species showed no change in abundance or richness.

21 Several studies have investigated impacts of acidification on birds. Birds have a large requirement  
22 for calcium for reproduction but they do not store it. Invertebrates and snail shells are an important  
23 calcium sources and in acidified areas lower calcium levels have been observed in food sources  
24 (Ormerod *et al.* 1991; Graveland *et al.* 1994; Pabian & Brittingham 2007). In forests in the USA

1 acidification was observed to result in reduced snail abundance, bird abundance and bird richness  
2 (Pabian & Brittingham 2007) whilst reduced snail abundance, egg shell defects and clutch desertion  
3 in forest passerines was observed in The Netherlands (Graveland *et al.* 1994). Similar impacts have  
4 been observed for Dippers (*Cinclus cinclus*) who feed on freshwater invertebrates. Individuals  
5 feeding in acidified streams showed delayed laying, less clutches, smaller clutch and brood sizes, and  
6 slower nestling growth (Ormerod *et al.* 1991).

7 Impacts on birds have also been observed related to habitat deterioration. The red backed shrike  
8 (*Lanius collurio*) has declined across the Netherlands due to habitat loss but in some places these  
9 declines have been exacerbated by N deposition. Red backed shrike need a high diversity of pretty  
10 species which requires a heterogenous landscape. Due to scrub and grass invasion related to N  
11 deposition the coastal dune habitats in which they feed have become more homogenous reducing  
12 the availability of larger prey species (Dise *et al.* 2011). Similarly in the UK N deposition has been  
13 implicated in the decline of dotterel (*Charadrius morinellus*) where the moss-sedge heaths in which  
14 they live have been replaced by grass and sedge dominated communities (Hayhow *et al.* 2015).

15

#### 16 **4. Knowledge gaps and Research needs**

17 The impacts on N deposition on plants have been a focus of research attention for several decades,  
18 although knowledge gaps remain for less common habitats and species. There are also major  
19 knowledge gaps from some parts of the world where N deposition is likely to increase in the future  
20 (Phoenix *et al.* 2006). But there is generally a good understanding of potential mechanisms and  
21 impacts. This is not true of primary and secondary consumers. For insect herbivores and pollinators  
22 there is a patchy knowledge base which serves to indicate that there are very likely considerable  
23 impacts via a range of mechanisms. However, there is a clear need to build this evidence base to  
24 show the extent of these impacts across more species, habitats and regions and the relative

1 importance of different mechanisms of impact. This is particularly true of pollinators where recent  
2 population declines present a serious threat to food security (Biesmeijer *et al.* 2006).

3 There is a clear need for more research to estimate the extent of impacts of N deposition on  
4 mammal herbivores. The few studies that have considered this have indicated some likely impacts  
5 but these are correlative rather than experimental and have only considered a very small number of  
6 species. The further up the food chain we move the less studies have been conducted to investigate  
7 potential impacts of N deposition. This is partly because studies become more challenging to devise,  
8 conduct and interpret, and partly because awareness as N deposition as a potential driver is less.  
9 The few studies that have investigated secondary consumers indicate that there is a very clear need  
10 for further research on species in habitats where the plant community is negatively impacted by N  
11 deposition.

12 In terms of mechanisms there is a need to give greater consideration to the impacts of N on  
13 phenology and the implications this could have for consumers. Studies investigating the impact of N  
14 deposition on phenology have been very limited but indicate that there may be a response for at  
15 least some species. If flowering times are changed this could result in decoupling of resources and  
16 peak feeding times.

17

18 **Author contributions:** All authors contributed to writing the manuscript. Stevens collected data and  
19 David and Storkey performed analysis.

20

21 **Data availability:** Data used in this manuscript is published as part of a larger dataset in Ecological  
22 Archives, accession number E092-128.

## 1 **References**

- 2 Arroniz-Crespo, M., Leake, J.R., Horton, P. & Phoenix, G.K. (2008) Bryophyte physiological responses  
3 to, and recovery from, long-term nitrogen deposition and phosphorus fertilisation in acidic  
4 grassland. *New Phytologist*, **180**, 864-874.
- 5 Audusseau, H., Kolb, G. & Janz, N. (2015) Plant fertilization interacts with life history: Variation in  
6 stoichiometry and performance in nettle-feeding butterflies. *PLoS ONE*, **10**, e0124616.
- 7 Baude, M., Kunin, W.E., Boatman, N.D., Conyers, S., Davies, N., Gillespie, M.A.K., Morton, R.D.,  
8 Smart, S.M. & Memmott, J. (2016) Historical nectar assessment reveals the fall and rise of  
9 floral resources in Britain. *Nature*, **530**, 85-88.
- 10 Berg, B. & Meentemeyer, V. (2002) Litter quality in a north European transect versus carbon storage  
11 potential. *Plant and Soil*, **242**, 83-92.
- 12 Bertram, S.M., Schade, J.D. & Elser, J.J. (2006) Signalling and phosphorus: correlations between mate  
13 signalling effort and body elemental composition in crickets. *Animal Behaviour*, **72**, 899-907.
- 14 Biesmeijer, J.C., Roberts, S.P.M., Reemer, M., Ohlemuller, R., Edwards, M., Peeters, T., Schaffers,  
15 A.P., Potts, S.G., Kleukers, R., Thomas, C.D., Settele, J. & Kunin, W.E. (2006) Parallel declines  
16 in pollinators and insect-pollinated plants in Britain and the Netherlands. *Science*, **313**, 351-  
17 354.
- 18 Bobbink, R., Hicks, K., Galloway, J., Spranger, T., Alkemade, R., Ashmore, M., Bustamante, M.,  
19 Cinderby, S., Davidson, E., Dentener, F., Emmett, B., Erisman, J.W., Fenn, M., Gilliam, F.,  
20 Nordin, A., Pardo, L. & De Vries, W. (2010) Global assessment of nitrogen deposition effects  
21 on terrestrial plant diversity: a synthesis. *Ecological Applications*, **20**, 30-59.
- 22 Bobbink, R., Hornung, M. & Roelofs, J.G.M. (1998) The effects of air-borne nitrogen pollutants on  
23 species diversity in natural and semi-natural European vegetation. *Journal of Ecology*, **86**,  
24 717-738.
- 25 Bobbink, R. & Willems, J.H. (1987) Increasing dominance of *Brachypodium pinnatum* (L.) Beauv. in  
26 chalk grasslands: a threat to a species-rich ecosystem. *Biological Conservation*, **40**, 301-314.

- 1 Brunsting, A.M.H. & Heil, G.W. (1985) The role of nutrients in the interactions between a  
2 herbivorous beetle and some competing plant species in heathlands. *OIKOS*, **44**, 23-26.
- 3 Burkle, L. & Irwin, R. (2009) The effects of nutrient addition on floral characters and pollination in  
4 two subalpine plants, *Ipomopsis aggregata* and *Linum lewisii*. *Plant Ecology*, **203**, 83-98.
- 5 Cape, J.N., van der Eerden, L.J., Sheppard, L.J., Leith, I.D. & Sutton, M.A. (2009) Evidence for changing  
6 the critical level for ammonia. *Environmental Pollution*, **157**, 1033-1037.
- 7 Caporn, S.J.M., Ashenden, T.W. & Lee, J.A. (2000) The effect of exposure to NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> on frost  
8 hardiness in *Calluna vulgaris*. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, **43**, 111-119.
- 9 Carroll, J.A., Caporn, S.J.M., Johnson, D., Morecroft, M.D. & Lee, J.A. (2003) The interactions between  
10 plant growth, vegetation structure and soil processes in semi-natural acidic and calcareous  
11 grasslands receiving long-term inputs of simulated pollutant nitrogen deposition.  
12 *Environmental Pollution*, **121**, 363-376.
- 13 Ceulemans, T., Hulsmans, E., Berwaers, S., Van Acker, K. & Honnay, O. (2017a) The role of above-  
14 ground competition and nitrogen vs. phosphorus enrichment in seedling survival of common  
15 European plant species of semi-natural grasslands. *PLoS ONE*, **12**, e0174380.
- 16 Ceulemans, T., Hulsmans, E., Van den Ende, W. & Honnay, O. (2017b) Nutrient enrichment is  
17 associated with altered nectar and pollen chemical composition in *Succisa pratensis* Moench  
18 and increased larval mortality of its pollinator *Bombus terrestris* L. *PLoS ONE*, **12**, 1-15.
- 19 Clark, C.M., Bell, M.D., Boyd, J.W., Compton, J.A., Davidson, E.A., Davis, C., Fenn, M.E., Geiser, L.,  
20 Jones, L. & Blett, T.F. (2017) Nitrogen-induced terrestrial eutrophication: cascading effects  
21 and impacts on ecosystem services. *Ecosphere*, **8**, e01877.
- 22 Clark, C.M. & Tilman, D. (2008) Loss of plant species after chronic low-level nitrogen deposition to  
23 prairie grasslands. *Nature*, **451**, 712-715.
- 24 Cleland, E.E., Chiarello, N.R., Loarie, S.R. & Mooney, H.A. (2006) Diverse responses of phenology to  
25 global changes in a grassland ecosystem. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,  
26 **103**, 13740-13744.

- 1 Corbin, J. & D'Antonio, C.M. (2004) Competition between native perennial and exotic annual grasses:  
2 Implications for an historical invasion. *Ecology*, **85**, 1273-1283.
- 3 de Sassi, C. & Tylianakis, J.M. (2012) Climate change disproportionately increases herbivore over  
4 plant or parasitoid biomass. *PLoS ONE*, **7**, e40557.
- 5 Dise, N.B., Ashmore, M., Belyazid, S., Bleeker, A., Bobbink, R., De Vries, W., Erisman, J.W., Spranger,  
6 T., Stevens, C.J. & van den Berg, L. (2011) Nitrogen as a threat to European terrestrial  
7 biodiversity. *The European Nitrogen Assessment* (eds M.A. Sutton, C.M. Howard, J.W.  
8 Erisman, G. Billen, A. Bleeker, P. Grennfelt, H. van Grinsven & B. Grizzetti). Cambridge  
9 University Press, Cambridge.
- 10 Dise, N.B. & Wright, R.F. (1995) Nitrogen leaching from European forests in relation to nitrogen  
11 deposition. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **71**, 153-161.
- 12 Eatough Jones, M., Fenn, M.E. & Paine, T.D. (2011) The effect of nitrogen additions on bracken fern  
13 and its insect herbivores at sites with high and low atmospheric pollution. *Arthropod-Plant*  
14 *Interactions*, **5**, 163-173.
- 15 Eatough Jones, M., Paine, T.D. & Fenn, M.E. (2008) The effect of nitrogen additions on oak foliage  
16 and herbivore communities at sites with high and low atmospheric pollution. *Environmental*  
17 *Pollution*, **151**, 434-442.
- 18 Fischer, K. & Fiedler, K. (2000) Response of the copper butterfly *Lycaena tityrus* to increased leaf  
19 nitrogen in natural food plants: evidence against the nitrogen limitation hypothesis.  
20 *Oecologia*, **124**, 235-241.
- 21 Fitter, A.H. & Peat, H.J. (1994) The Ecological Flora Database. *Journal of Ecology*, **82**, 415-425.
- 22 Fowler, D., Coyle, M., Skiba, U., Sutton, M.A., Cape, J.N., Reis, S., Sheppard, L.J., Jenkins, A., Grizzetti,  
23 B., Galloway, J.N., Vitousek, P., Leach, A., Bouwman, A.F., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Dentener, F.,  
24 Stevenson, D., Amann, M. & Voss, M. (2013) The global nitrogen cycle in the twenty-first  
25 century. *Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society B* **368**, 1-12.

- 1 Friedrich, U., von Oheimb, G., Kriebitzsch, K. & Weber, M.S. (2012) Nitrogen deposition increases  
2 susceptibility to drought - experimental evidence with the perennial grass *Molinia caerulea*  
3 (L.) Moench. *Plant and Soil*, **353**, 59-71.
- 4 Galloway, J.N., Dentener, F.J., Capone, D.G., Boyer, E.W., Howarth, R.W., Seitzinger, S.P., Asner, G.P.,  
5 Cleveland, C.C., Green, P.A., Holland, E.A., Karl, D.M., Michaels, A.F., Porter, J.H., Townsend,  
6 A.R. & Vorosmarty, C.J. (2004) Nitrogen cycles: past, present, and future. *Biogeochemistry*,  
7 **70**, 153-226.
- 8 Gardener, M. & Gillman, M. (2001) The effects of soil fertilizer on amino acids in the floral nectar of  
9 corncockle, *Agrostemma githago* (Caryophyllaceae). *OIKOS*, **92**, 101-106.
- 10 Gijbels, P., Ceulemans, T., Van den Ende, W. & Honnay, O. (2015) Experimental fertilization increases  
11 amino acid content in floral nectar, fruit set and degree of selfing in the orchid *Gymnadenia*  
12 *conopsea*. *Oecologia*, **179**, 785-795.
- 13 Gijbels, P., Van den Ende, W. & Honnay, O. (2014) Landscape scale variation in nectar amino acid and  
14 sugar composition in a Lepidoptera pollinated orchid species and its relation with fruit set.  
15 *Journal of Ecology*, **102**, 136-144.
- 16 Goulson, D., Lye, G.C. & Darvill, B. (2008) Decline and conservation of bumblebees. *Review of*  
17 *Entomology*, **53**, 191-208.
- 18 Graveland, J., Van der Wal, R., van Balen, J.H. & Van Noordwijk, C.G.E. (1994) Poor reproduction in  
19 forrest passerines from decline of snail abundance on acidified soils. *Nature*, **368**.
- 20 Gulke, N.E., Andersen, C.P., Fenn, M.E. & Miller, P.R. (1998) Ozone exposure and nitrogen  
21 deposition lowers root biomass of ponderosa pine in the San Bernardino Mountains,  
22 California. *Environmental Pollution*, **103**, 63-73.
- 23 Haddad, N.M., Haarstad, J. & Tilman, D. (2000) The effects of long-term nitrogen loading on  
24 grassland insect communities. *Oecologica*, **124**, 73-84.

- 1 Hanley, M.E., Franco, M., Pichon, S., Darvill, B. & Goulson, D. (2008) Breeding system, pollinator  
2 choice and variation in pollen quality in British herbaceous plants. *Functional Ecology*, **22**,  
3 592-598.
- 4 Hautier, Y., Niklaus, P.A. & Hector, A. (2009) Competition for Light Causes Plant Biodiversity Loss  
5 After Eutrophication. *Science*, **324**, 636-638.
- 6 Hayhow, D.B., Ewing, S.R., Baxter, A., Douse, A., Stanbury, A., Whitfield, D.P. & Eaton, M.A. (2015)  
7 Changes in the abundance and distribution of a montane specialist bird, the Dotterel  
8 *Charadrius morinellus*, in the UK over 25 years. *Bird Study*, **62**, 443-456.
- 9 Helsen, K., Ceulemans, T., Stevens, C.J. & Honnay, O. (2014) Increasing Soil Nutrient Loads of  
10 European Semi-natural Grasslands Strongly Alter Plant Functional Diversity Independently of  
11 Species Loss. *Ecosystems*, **17**, 169-181.
- 12 Hicks, D.M., Ouvrard, P., Baldock, K.C.R., Baude, M., Goddard, M.A., Kunin, W.E., Mitschunas, N.,  
13 Memmott, J., Morse, H., Nikolitsi, M., Osgathorpe, L.M., Potts, S.G., Robertson, K.M., Scott,  
14 A.V., Sinclair, F., Westbury, D.B. & Stone, G.N. (2016) Food for pollinators: Quantifying the  
15 nectar and pollen resources of urban flower meadows. *PLoS ONE*, **11**, 1-37.
- 16 Hicks, W.K., Leith, I.D., Woodin, S.J. & Fowler, D. (2000) Can the foliar nitrogen concentration of  
17 upland vegetation be used for predicting atmospheric nitrogen deposition? Evidence from  
18 field surveys. *Environmental Pollution*, **107**, 367-376.
- 19 Hogan, E.J., Minnullina, G., Sheppard, L.J., Leith, I.D. & Crittenden, P.D. (2010) Response of  
20 phosphomonoesterase activity in the lichen *Cladonia portentosa* to N and P enrichment in a  
21 field manipulation experiment. *New Phytologist*, 911-925.
- 22 Hoover, S.E.R., Ladley, J.J., Shchepetkina, A.A., Tisch, M., Giese, S.P. & Tylianakis, J.M. (2012)  
23 Warming, CO<sub>2</sub>, and nitrogen deposition interactively affect a plant-pollinator mutualism.  
24 *Ecology Letters*, **15**, 227-234.



- 1 Horswill, P., O'Sullivan, O., Phoenix, G.K., Lee, J.A. & Leake, J.R. (2008) Base cation depletion,  
2 eutrophication and acidification of species-rich grasslands in response to long-term  
3 simulated nitrogen deposition. *Environmental Pollution*, **155**, 336-349.
- 4 Kanakidou, M., Myriokfalitakis, S., Dasalakis, N. & Fanourgakis, G. (2016) Past, present and future  
5 atmospheric nitrogen deposition. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, **73**, 2039-2047.
- 6 Kleyer, M., Bekker, R.M., Knevel, I.C., Bakker, J.P., Thompson, K., Sonnenschein, M., Poschlod, P., van  
7 Groenendael, J.M., Klimes, L., Klimesova, J., Klotz, S., Rusch, G.M., Hermy, M., Adriaens, D.,  
8 Boedeltje, G., Bossuyt, B., Dannemann, A., Endels, P., Gotzenberger, L., Hodgson, J.G., Jackel,  
9 A.K., Kuhn, I., Kunzmann, D., Ozinga, W.A., Romermann, C., Stadler, M., Schlegelmilch, J.,  
10 Steendam, H.J., Tackenberg, O., Wilmann, B., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Eriksson, O., Garnier, E. &  
11 Peco, B. (2008) The LEDA Traitbase: a database of life-history traits of the Northwest  
12 European flora. *Journal of Ecology*, **96**.
- 13 Klop, E., Omom, B. & WallisDeVries, M.F. (2015) Impact of nitrogen deposition on larval habitats: the  
14 case of the Wall Brown butterfly *Lasiommata megera*. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, **19**,  
15 393-402.
- 16 Konvicka, M., Hula, V. & Fric, Z. (2003) Habitat of pre-hibernating larvae of the endangered butterfly  
17 *Euphydryas aurinia* (Lepidoptera:Nymphalidae): What can be learned from vegetation  
18 composition and architecture? *European Journal of Entomology*, **100**, 313-322.
- 19 Lavorel, S. & Garnier, E. (2002) Predicting changes in community composition and ecosystem  
20 functioning from plant traits: revisiting the Holy Grail. *Functional Ecology*, **16**, 545-556.
- 21 Lavorel, S., Storkey, J., Bardgett, R.D., de Bello, F., Berg, M.P., Le Roux, X., Moretti, M., Mulder, C.,  
22 Pakeman, R.J., Diaz, S. & Harrington, R. (2013) A novel framework for linking functional  
23 diversity of plants with other trophic levels for the quantification of ecosystem services.  
24 *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **24**, 942-948.

- 1 Liu, Y., Miao, R., Chen, A., Miao, Y., Liu, Y. & Wu, X. (2017) Effects of nitrogen addition and mowing  
2 on reproductive phenology of three early-flowering forb species in a Tibetan alpine meadow.  
3 *Ecological Engineering*, **99**, 119-125.
- 4 Maskell, L.C., Smart, S.M., Bullock, J.M., Thompson, K. & Stevens, C.J. (2010) Nitrogen Deposition  
5 causes widespread species loss in British Habitats. *Global Change Biology*, **16**, 671-679.
- 6 Meunier, C.L., Gundale, M.J., Sánchez, I.S. & Leiss, A. (2016) Impact of nitrogen deposition on forest  
7 and lake food webs in nitrogen-limited environments. *Global Change Biology*, **22**, 164-179.
- 8 Millett, J., Svensson, B.M., Newton, J. & Rydin, H. (2012) Reliance on prey-derived nitrogen by the  
9 carnivorous plant *Drosera rotundifolia* decreases with increasing nitrogen deposition. *New*  
10 *Phytologist*, **195**, 182-188.
- 11 Muñoz, A.A., Celedon-Neghme, C., Cavieres, L.C. & Arroyo, M.T.K. (2005) Bottom-up effects of  
12 nutrient availability on flower production, pollinator visitation, and seed output in a high-  
13 Andean shrub. *Oecologia*, **143**, 126-135.
- 14 Nams, V.O., Folkard, N.F.G. & Smith, J.N.M. (1996) Nitrogen fertilization simulates herbivory by  
15 snowshoe hares in the boreal forest. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **74**, 196-199.
- 16 Nijssen, M.E., Alders, K., van der Smissen, N. & Esselink, H. (2001) Effects of grass-encroachment and  
17 grazing management on carabid assemblages of dry dune grasslands. *Proceedings of the*  
18 *section Experimental and Applied Entomology of the Netherlands Entomological Society*  
19 *Amsterdam*, **12**, 113-120.
- 20 Nijssen, M.E., WallisDeVries, M.F. & Siepel, H. (2017) Pathways for the effects of increased nitrogen  
21 deposition on fauna. *Biological Conservation*, **212**, 423-431.
- 22 Ockinger, E., Hammarstedt, O., Nilsson, S.G. & Smith, H.G. (2006) The relationship between local  
23 extinctions of grassland butterflies and increased soil nitrogen levels. *Biological*  
24 *Conservation*, **128**, 564-573.

- 1 Ormerod, S.J., O'Halloran, J.O., Gribbin, S.D. & Tyler, S.J. (1991) The ecology of dippers *Cinclus*  
2 *Cinclus* in relation to stream acidity in upland Wales: Breeding performance, calcium  
3 physiology and nestling growth. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **28**, 419-433.
- 4 Pabian, S.E. & Brittingham, M.C. (2007) Terrestrial liming benefits birds in an acidified forest in the  
5 northeast. *Ecological Applications*, **17**, 2184-2194.
- 6 Pearce, I.S.K., Britton, A.J., Armitage, H.F. & Jones, B. (2010) Additive impacts of nitrogen deposition  
7 and grazing on a mountain moss-sedge heath. *Botanica Helvetica*, **120**, 129-137.
- 8 Pearson, J. & Stewart, G.R. (1993) Tansley Review No.56. The deposition of atmospheric ammonia  
9 and its effects on plants. *New Phytologist*, **125**, 283-305.
- 10 Perez-Harguindeguy, N., Diaz, S., Vendramini, F., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Gurvich, D.E. & Cabido, M.  
11 (2003) Leaf traits and herbivore selection in the field and in cafeteria experiments. *Austral*  
12 *Ecology*  
13 **28**, **28**, 642-650.
- 14 Phoenix, G.K., Hicks, W.K., Cinderby, S., Kuylensstierna, J.C.L., Stock, W.D., Dentener, F.J., Giller, K.E.,  
15 Austin, A.T., Lefroy, R.D., Gimeno, B.S., Ashmore, M.R. & Ineson, P. (2006) Atmospheric  
16 nitrogen deposition in world biodiversity hotspots: the need for a greater global perspective  
17 in assessing N deposition impacts. *Global Change Biology*, **12**, 470-476.
- 18 Pitcairn, C.E.R., Fowler, D. & Grace, J. (1995) Deposition of fixed atmospheric nitrogen and foliar  
19 nitrogen content of bryophytes and *Calluna vulgaris* (L.) Hull. *Environmental Pollution*, **88**,  
20 193-205.
- 21 Pitcairn, C.E.R., Leith, I.D., Sheppard, L.J., Sutton, M.A., Fowler, D., Munro, R.C., Tang, S. & Wilson, D.  
22 (1998) The relationship between nitrogen deposition, species composition and foliar  
23 nitrogen concentrations in woodland flora in the vicinity of livestock farms. *Environmental*  
24 *Pollution*, **102**, 41-48.

- 1 Plassmann, K., Jones, M.L.M. & Edwards-Jones, G. (2010) Effects of long-term grazing management  
2 on sand dune vegetation of high conservation interest. *Applied Vegetation Science*, **13**, 100-  
3 112.
- 4 Potts, S.G., Biesmeijer, J.C., Kremen, C., Neumann, P., Schweiger, O. & Kunin, W.E. (2010) Global  
5 pollinator declines: trends, impacts and drivers. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **25**, 345-  
6 353.
- 7 Potts, S.G., Vulliamy, B., Dafni, A., Ne'eman, G. & Willmer, P. (2003) Linking Bees and Flowers : How  
8 Do Floral Communities Structure Pollinator Communities? . *Ecology*, **84**, 2628-2642.
- 9 Pöyry, J., Carvalheiro, L.G., Heikkinen, R.K., Kühn, I., Kuussaari, M., Schweiger, O., Valtonen, A., van  
10 Bodegom, P.M. & Franzén, M. (2017) The effects of soil eutrophication propagate to higher  
11 trophic levels. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **26**, 18-30.
- 12 Rafferty, N.E. & Ives, A.R. (2011) Effects of experimental shifts in flowering phenology on plant-  
13 pollinator interactions. *Ecology Letters*, **14**, 69-74.
- 14 Roelofs, J.G.M., Bobbink, R., Brouwer, E. & De Graaf, M.C.C. (1996) Restoration ecology of aquatic  
15 and terrestrial vegetation on non-calcareous sandy soils in The Netherlands. *Acta Botanica*  
16 *Neerlandica*, **45**, 517-541.
- 17 Rose, F. & O'Reilly (2006) *The Wild Flower Key (Revised Edition)*. Frederick Warne, London.
- 18 Schirmel, J. & Fartmann, T. (2014) Coastal heathland succession influences butterfly community  
19 composition and threatens endangered butterfly species. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, **18**,  
20 111-120.
- 21 Schuster, B. & Diekmann, M. (2003) Changes in species density along the soil pH gradient - Evidence  
22 from German plant communities. *Folia Geobotanica*, **38**, 367-379.
- 23 Slansky Jr., F. & Feeny, P. (1977) Stabilization of the rate of nitrogen accumulation by larvae of the  
24 cabbage butterfly on wild and cultivated food plants. *Ecological Monographs*, **47**, 209-228.

- 1 Stevens, C.J., Dise, N.B., Gowing, D.J. & Mountford, J.O. (2006) Loss of forb diversity in relation to  
2 nitrogen deposition in the UK: regional trends and potential controls. *Global Change Biology*,  
3 **12**, 1823-1833.
- 4 Stevens, C.J., Dise, N.B., Mountford, J.O. & Gowing, D.J. (2004) Impact of nitrogen deposition on the  
5 species richness of grasslands. *Science*, **303**, 1876-1879.
- 6 Stevens, C.J., Duprè, C., Dorland, E., Gaudnik, C., Gowing, D.J.G., Bleeker, A., Diekmann, M., Alard, D.,  
7 Bobbink, R., Fowler, D., Corcket, E., Mountford, J.O., Vandvik, V., Aarrestad, P.A., Muller, S. &  
8 Dise, N.B. (2010) Nitrogen deposition threatens species richness of grasslands across Europe.  
9 *Environmental Pollution*, **158**, 2940-2945.
- 10 Stevens, C.J., Dupre, C., Gaudnik, C., Dorland, E., Dise, N.B., Gowing, D.J., Bleeker, A., Alard, D.,  
11 Bobbink, R., Fowler, D., Corcket, E., Vandvik, V., Mountford, J.O., Aarrestad, P.A., Muller, S. &  
12 Diekmann, M. (2011) Changes in species composition of European acid grasslands observed  
13 along a gradient of nitrogen deposition. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **22**, 207-215.
- 14 Storkey, J., Brooks, D., Haughton, A., Hawes, C., Smith, B.M. & Holland, J.M. (2013) Using functional  
15 traits to quantify the value of plant communities to invertebrate ecosystem service providers  
16 in arable lands. *Journal of Ecology*, **101**, 38-46.
- 17 Storkey, J., Doring, T., Baddeley, J., Collins, R., Roderick, S., Jones, H. & Watson, C.A. (2015a)  
18 Engineering a plant community to deliver multiple ecosystem services. *Ecological*  
19 *Applications*, **25**, 1034-1043.
- 20 Storkey, J., Macdonald, A.J., Poulton, P.R., Scott, T., Kohler, I.H., Schnyder, H., Goulding, K.W.T. &  
21 Crawley, M.J. (2015b) Grassland biodiversity bounces back from long-term nitrogen addition.  
22 *Nature*, **528**, 401-404.
- 23 Sutton, M.A., Howard, C.M., Erisman, J.W., Billen, G., Bleeker, A., Grennfelt, P., Van Grinsven, H. &  
24 Grizzetti, B. (2011) The European Nitrogen Assessment: Sources, effects and policy  
25 perspectives. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

- 1 Throop, H.L. (2002) Linking nitrogen deposition and herbivory: implications for insects, plants, and  
2 ecosystems. PhD, State University of New York at Stony Brook.
- 3 Throop, H.L. (2005) Nitrogen deposition and herbivory affect biomass production and allocation in  
4 an annual plant. *OIKOS*, **111**, 91-100.
- 5 Throop, H.L. & Lerda, M.T. (2004) Effects of nitrogen deposition on insect herbivory: implications  
6 for community and ecosystem processes. *Ecosystems*, **7**, 109-133.
- 7 Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Befort, B.L. (2011) Global food demand and the sustainable  
8 intensification of agriculture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **108**, 20260-  
9 20264.
- 10 Tyler, G. & Olsson, T. (2001) Concentrations of 60 elements in the soil solution as related to soil  
11 acidity. *European Journal of Soil Science*, **52**, 151-165.
- 12 Van den Berg, L.J.L., Vergeer, P., Rich, T.C.G., Smart, S.M., Guest, D. & Ashmore, M.R. (2011) Direct  
13 and indirect effects of nitrogen deposition on species composition change in calcareous  
14 grasslands. *Global Change Biology*, **17**, 1871-1883.
- 15 van der Eerden, L.J.M. (1982) Toxicity of ammonia to plants. *Agriculture and Environment*, **7**, 223-  
16 235.
- 17 van Noordwijk, C.G.E., Boer, P., Mabelis, A.A., Verberk, W.C.E.P. & Siepel, H. (2012) Life-history  
18 strategies as a tool to identify conservation constraints: A case-study on ants in chalk  
19 grasslands. *Ecological Indicators*, **13**, 303-313.
- 20 Vanbergen, A. & initiative, I.p. (2013) Threats to an ecosystem service: pressures on pollinators.  
21 *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **11**, 251-259.
- 22 Viik, E., Mänd, M., Karise, R., Lääniste, P. & Williams, I. (2012) The impact of foliar fertilization on the  
23 number of bees (Apoidea) on spring oilseed rape. *Zemdirbyste=Agriculture*, **99**, 41-46.
- 24 Vitousek, P.M. & Howarth, R. (1991) Nitrogen limitation on land and sea - How can it occur?  
25 *Biogeochemistry*, **13**, 87-115.

- 1 WallisDeVries, M.F. & Swaay, C.A.M. (2006) Global warming and excess nitrogen may induce  
2 butterfly decline by microclimatic cooling. *Global Change Biology*, **12**, 1620-1626.
- 3 WallisDeVries, M.F. & Swaay, C.A.M. (2017) A nitrogen index to track changes in butterfly species  
4 assemblages under nitrogen deposition. *Biological Conservation*, **212**, 448-453.
- 5 Weiss, S.B. (1999) Cars, cows, and checkerspot butterflies: Nitrogen deposition and management of  
6 nutrient-poor grassland for a threatened species. *Conservation Biology*, **13**, 1746-1486.
- 7 Westwood, C.T., Bramley, E. & Lean, I.J. (2003) Review of the relationship between nutrition and  
8 lameness in pasture-fed dairy cattle. *New Zealand Veterinary Journal*, **51**, 208-218.
- 9 White, T.C.E. (1993) *The inadequate environment: Nitrogen and the abundance of animals*. Springer-  
10 Verlag, Berlin.
- 11 Xia, J. & Wan, S. (2013) Independent effects of warming and nitrogen addition on plant phenology in  
12 the Inner Mongolian steppe. *Annals of Botany*, **111**, 1207-1217.
- 13
- 14

1 **Figure legends**

2 **Figure 1.** Conceptual model linking plant response and effect traits to invertebrate response traits  
3 for folivorous invertebrates and pollinators (after Lavorel *et al.* 2013). Plant response traits were  
4 derived from the analysis of Helsen *et al.* (2014); additional effect traits were identified from the  
5 results of the new analysis of the Stevens *et al.* (2004) data. Where a plant trait both responds to the  
6 environmental driver and has an effect on the next trophic level or where plant response traits are  
7 correlated with plant effect traits (represented by ~), the model predicts an indirect impact of the  
8 driver on invertebrate communities. The model should be viewed as conceptual tool to identify  
9 relevant traits and integrate data from disparate studies on individual linkages to generate  
10 hypotheses on the response of the whole system to given drivers. This could be further validated  
11 with additional data collected on the functional composition of invertebrate communities (for which  
12 information is lacking) using techniques such as structural equation modelling.

13