

Brindisino, F and Matteuzzi, I and Bury, J and McCreesh, K and Littlewood, C (2020) Rotator cuff disorders: A survey of current (2018) Italian physiotherapy practice. Physiotherapy Practice and Research, 41 (1). pp. 11-22. ISSN 2213-0683

Downloaded from: http://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/625658/

Version: Accepted Version

Publisher: IOS Press

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3233/PPR-190141

Please cite the published version

https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk

#### ROTATOR CUFF DISORDERS: A SURVEY OF CURRENT (2018) ITALIAN PHYSIOTHERAPY PRACTICE

#### 1 ABSTRACT

2

BACKGROUND: Shoulder pain is a common musculoskeletal complaint and disorders
of the rotator cuff (RC) are widely regarded as the most common cause. Where clinical
care is required, physiotherapists play an integral role. Previous studies have reported
physiotherapy practice across other countries as a means of determining current
practice and understanding whether practice changes over time in response to
emerging research evidence.

9 AIM: To investigate the practice of Italian physiotherapists, in order to determine
 10 current practice for the assessment and management of RC disorders.

METHODS: A cross sectional online survey. A 20-item questionnaire based on one clinical vignette was developed using Survey Monkey Software. Data were analyzed descriptively and difference in proportions between those with a specific education compared to those with no specific education (i.e. "Master's Degree," "1-2 days Training Course" or "Other) were analysed using the chi-squared test.

RESULTS: A total of 805 participants accessed the survey with a total of 436 completed responses (54%). Fifty-five percent of respondents were male (239/436). Seventy-one percent of respondents (309/436) reported having specific education with regards to RC disorders. The methods of diagnosing RC disorders were variable across the respondents as well as the requests for imaging (184/436, 42%), the adoption of manual therapy techniques (251/436, 58%), the duration of treatment (231/436, 53% visit the patient six times at least) and the administration of physical

examination test (175/436, 40%). Advice (279/436, 64%) and some form of exercise
therapy (268/436, 62%) are the most popular choices of treatment.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION: This current study is the first which described Italian physiotherapy practice for RC disorders. Italian physiotherapy practice is in line with other European countries. Italian physiotherapy practice also aligns with current recommendations with regards to minimal use of imaging and prescription of therapeutic exercise. However, there is heterogeneity across the responses particularly with regards to use of manual therapy, physical examination tests, and duration of treatment.

Furthermore this study is a platform for future research to explore whether Italian physiotherapy practice changes over time.

- 34
- 35

KEYWORDS: Evidence-Based Practice, Exercise Therapy, Physical Therapy
 Modalities, Rotator Cuff

#### 38 INTRODUCTION

Shoulder pain is one of the most common musculoskeletal disorders, with up to 26% of the general population complaining of pain over a one month period in the last year [1]. Rotator cuff (RC) disorders, account for 44% to 80% of all shoulder complaints [2], regardless of age and level of activity [3]. Clinically RC disorder can present with pain, weakness and functional difficulties during activities of daily living and sport [4,5]. Moreover, for many patients this is not a self-limiting problem, with 50% of patients reporting ongoing symptoms 12 months after onset [6].

46 Physiotherapists play an integral role in the management of people with RC disorders and, given the burden of this problem, it is vital that physiotherapists evolve their 47 practice and incorporate research evidence as it emerges. One way of understanding 48 current practice is to undertake a survey. Bury and Littlewood [4] undertook such a 49 survey of UK physiotherapy practice in 2016 as a follow-up to a previous survey [5] to 50 describe practice and understand how practice has evolved over time in line with 51 current recommendations. These surveys reported that practice had evolved between 52 2011 and 2016 and this evolution was in line with current recommendations [7,8]. 53

The evidence based for the management of RC disorders is limited but current 54 recommendations include minimal use of imaging, unless red flag pathology (e.g. 55 humeral head dislocation or fracture) is expected or the patient does not respond to 56 treatment as expected, and prescripion of progressive therapeutic exercise, although 57 the specifics of this prescription remain less clear. There are clear limitations of 58 commonly used physical examination tests in informing a diagnosis or prognosis [7,8] 59 To our knowledge, no similar survey has been undertaken in relation to Italian 60 physiotherapy practice for RC disorders. Therefore, the aim of this current survey is to 61

investigate the practice of Italian physiotherapists for RC disorders, in order to determine current physiotherapy practice and to compare this with practice in other European countries. Furthermore we will analyze differences between respondents classified as having a "specific education" (i.e. physiotherapists that attended specific training courses in the management of RC disorders) and those with "no specific education" when evaluating treatment prescription by respondents in this survey.

68

#### 69 METHODS

#### 70 Study Design

The cross-sectional online anonymous survey developed by Bury and Littlewood [4] was adapted, with the permission of the authors, to the Italian language and modified further. Three questions were added to the demographic information, in order to better characterize the personal and educational background of the respondents: age of the respondents, gender and level of qualification.

Moreover, a question to better qualify the respondent's specialization was added: it was "Do you have a specific education in the management of rotator cuff disorders?", to which the participants had four mutually exclusive answer options: "No", "Yes, Master's Degree", "Yes, 1-2-days Training Course", or "Yes, Other".

Furthermore the open question regarding the instructions given to the patient when prescribing exercises, was changed in some of its parts to make it as clear as possible for the respondents. In particular, the item "Frequency" was split into "Daily frequency" and "Weekly frequency", the item "Position" was changed into "Position of exercise execution" and the item "Quality of movement" became "Characteristics of the movement (velocity, fluidity, ...)".

As per the original survey which focused on RC disorders, a clinical scenario detailing a patient reporting typical signs and symptoms of a RC disorder (Table 1, left column) was maintained and translated in Italian (Table 1, right column). This is a recognized way to elicit responses that, as closely as possible, stimulate thought aligned to the decision making process of everyday clinical practice.

91 TABLE 1 HERE

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the ASL of Lecce (Italy), with protocol number 16 of the 21st February 2018. The full version of the survey is available as Supplementary material.

#### 95 Sampling and recruitment

The inclusion criterion was physiotherapists based in Italy. Potential participants were reached through different sources: Facebook, e-mail and Whatsapp, using the researchers' profiles and contacts and an e-mail newsletter promoted by Italian Physiotherapists Association.

In the instructions for filling out the survey there was the specific request that the survey
itself was only for physiotherapists. There was also the request to complete the survey
only once and we incorporated the function that there could only be one completion
per browser or per e-mail address.

104 Informed consent was implied through completion of the survey.

#### 105 Sample size

In this current survey, our approach was to obtain the maximal number of responsesin a defined time period. This approach is reflective of other similar surveys [4,9].

108 Data collection

Based on previous surveys, a one month was set recognising that most responses to surveys occur within the first one to two weeks [4]. The survey was available via Survey Monkey from the 25th of February 2018 and was closed 2 weeks later, because no further requests to complete the survey were apparent after this time. No reminders for survey completion were sent.

114

#### 115 Data analysis

Data were analyzed using Microsoft Excel. Descriptive statistics are reported. The 116 remaining results were analyzed considering two primary divisions: the first between 117 those having a specific education in RC disorders (SE) and those who had no specific 118 education (NSE), the second comparing (inside the SE group) those specialized 119 through a Master's Degree, those specialized through a 1-2 days Training Course (TC) 120 and those specialized through other means (O). Differences in the proportions of 121 responses between these groups were analyzed using the chi-squared test, completed 122 using "R". 123

#### 124 **RESULTS**

A total of 805 participants accessed the survey. The respondents who were not physiotherapists based in Italy (n=11) were automatically excluded. Software can not show the response rate of all the questionnaires. For this reason, authors decided to ignore the entire survey questionnaire even if only a single question was unanswered. That is, further 358 were excluded due to incomplete compilation of the survey. The remaining 436 (54%) surveyed were used in the data analysis (Figure 1).

131 FIGURE 1 HERE

The majority of respondents (n = 228/436; 52%;) were under 30 years and are male (239/436; 55%), received their degree less than ten years previously (314/436; 72%) and were private practitioners (299/436; 69%).

135 The demographic details of the respondents are presented in table 2 along with 136 educational levels.

137

138 TABLE 2 HERE

Following this descriptive detail, the participants were asked to respond to a series offurther practice related questions.

All of the 436 respondents stated that the patient described in table 1 could be treatedwithin physiotherapy.

143

# 144 Would you request any further information or undertake any further clinical 145 tests?

The results of this guestion are summarized in Figure 2 and Figure 3. Eight percent of 146 147 respondents (34/436) would not request any further information or undertake any further clinical tests. Thirty-eight percent (167/436) stated that they would request 148 149 further subjective information and 40% (175/436) would carry out further physical examination tests. Forty-two percent of respondents (184/436; 33% SE, 57% NSE) 150 would request further investigation (e.g. X-ray, ultrasound scan, MRI); of these, a 151 significantly lower proportion belonged to the SE group (p < 0.05) and, in particular to 152 Master's degree professionals (p < 0.05). Thirty-eight percent (165/436; 48% SE, 22% 153 NSE) would undertake further rehabilitation classification, e.g. the shoulder symptom 154

modification procedure (SSMP) [10] with a significantly greater prevalence of those with a SE (p < 0.05) and particularly those with an Master's degree (p < 0.05).

One hundred and ninety-two respondents (44%) qualified their answers. Regarding subjective information, the most common requests concerned about red flags screening, previous trauma, characterization of pain, associated symptoms, information about the onset, lifestyle, psychosocial screening, and previous treatments and their outcomes.

Further physical examination tests that would be carried out included observation, trigger point palpation, muscle strength tests and specific tests (cuff integrity, impingement). Special orthopaedic tests were mentioned by one-third of respondents (57/192, 30%), who less frequently belonged to the SE group and to the Master's degree subgroup (22% Master's degree, 24% TC, 29% O).

167 FIGURES 1 and 2 HERE

#### 168 Which management strategies would you typically recommend for this patient?

Figure 4 and Figure 5 highlight that treatment for patients with RC disorders was varied 169 among the respondents. Advice and education was most commonly prescribed 170 (279/436; 64%) as well as exercise therapy in all forms. Within the types of exercises, 171 62% (268/436) would include scapular stabilization exercises, 45% (197/436) isometric 172 173 exercises, 41% (180/436) isotonic exercises and 33% (143/436) a global kinetic chain approach. A significantly higher proportion of respondents with a NSE (p = 0.04) would 174 include isotonic exercises compared to those with SE, but inside the SE group this 175 176 choice is particularly common among the Master's degree professionals (63% p = 0.0004). 177

Another popular treatment option was mobilization (251/436, 58%; 52% SE; 67% NSE)
with less of those respondents in the SE group choosing this option (p = 0.003).
Massage (including deep friction massage/soft-tissue release) would be utilised by
41% (179/436) of respondents. Only 6% (26/436) of all physiotherapists would suggest
referral for further investigation.

183

184 FIGURES 4 and 5 HERE

185

# 186 When prescribing exercises, what instructions do you generally give to the 187 patient?

Less than 40% (174/436) responded to this open-ended, non-mandatory question. In this case a qualitative analysis of the answers was undertaken. In relation to pain, the majority of respondents would expect it to be present while exercising. Exercising in the pain-free range was expressed by 41% of the respondents (179/436), of which a higher proportion belonged to the NSE group. Moreover, among the SE group components, exercising in the pain-free range was less supported by Master's degree physiotherapists (19%).

195 Regarding load, most respondents (105/350, 30%) suggested gradual progression 196 when loading and adjusting it with respect to the patient's abilities (76/350, 22%) and 197 under the guidance of acceptable levels of pain (62/350, 18%).

In relation to repetitions, most respondents would indicate up to 10 times (143/366, 39%) and/or up to three sets (89/366, 24%) and often to be guided by fatigue (65/366, 18%). Less frequently recommended were up to 15 repetitions (56/366, 15%), and guided by pain (24/366, 7%).

In terms of frequency, the most common response was two times per day (123/358,

<sup>203</sup> 34%), followed by once (87/358, 24%) and three times per day (68/358, 19%).

The majority of respondents suggested exercising every day (158/346, 46%). There was no overall difference between SE and NSE groups,

In terms of position of exercise execution, the majority of respondents preferred
exercises in standing (130/334, 39%). Some chose a comfortable position in order to
control compensation (41/334,12%) or making it functional to the provocative task
(39/334,12%) or even changing position following a gravity progression (21/334, 6%).
The functional approach was supported mostly by the members of the SE group and
in particular by the Master's degree professionals (23/84, 27%).

In relation to the characteristics of movement a slow (187/321, 58%), fluid (102/321, 32%) and controlled (87/321, 27%) movement was preferred in most cases. Slowness and movement control were more frequently mentioned by the NSE group, while the SE group and especially those with a Master's degree, emphasised fluidity and preferred exercises at variable speed.

217 Concerning the onset of fatigue, most respondents consider it to be necessary to 218 progression (53/260, 20%) or at least normal when performing the last repetitions 219 (27/260, 10%). On the contrary, the need to reduce repetitions or propose rest when 220 fatigue appears (101/260, 39%) was less frequently expressed.

In relation to progression of exercises, the majority of respondents stated that they would progress as pain allows (74/232,32%) or as fatigue allows (41/232, 18%) and by increasing the load (72/232, 31%).

224

#### 225 What advice would you typically offer this patient?

Italian physiotherapists would choose to offer a wide variety of advice about different
topics: advice about a home exercise program (382/436, 88%) was the most popular
choice followed by the use of written advice about self-management (157/436, 36%)
and counseling about relative rest and movement (163/436, 37%).

230

#### After the initial assessment, how would you typically deliver this treatment?

232 The results of this question are summarized in Table 3. The majority of the respondents stated a preference for a combination of face-to-face appointments and self-233 234 management at home (350/436, 80%; 86% SE; 72% NSE) with this choice significantly more prevalent in NSE group members (p = 0.005). A lower percentage of total 235 respondents chose only individual face-to-face appointments (146/436, 36%: 27% SE: 236 44% NSE), with this choice significantly more prevalent in SE group members (p = 237 0.0002). SE group members were more likely to choose "Home Exercise Programme" 238 (p=0.01) and "Written advice on Self Management" (p=0.02) as advice for this kind of 239 patient, than those with NSE. (Figure 6). 240

241 FIGURE 6 HERE

#### How many times and how long would you typically expect to see this patient?

The results of these questions are summarized in Table 3. The majority of respondents would see this patient 5-6 times (119/436, 27%) and over a six week period of time with no statistically significant difference between groups (p = 0.08). A three month treatment program was indicated only by 19% of all respondents (84/436); of which a greater percentage were SE professionists (p = 0.001) and predominantly members of the Master's degree subgroups (37/107, 35% Master's degree; p = 0.02).

#### TABLE 3 HERE

250

#### 251 Would you expect this patient to recover with the prescribed physiotherapy?

The most common opinion on this question was that the patient would recover with three months of physiotherapy (332/436, 76%), while a smaller amount of respondents suggested six months (87/436, 20%).

255

#### 256 What would be your main treatment goals for this patient?

This was an open-ended question and a qualitative analysis of the answers was undertaken. Eighty-two percent (357/436) of the respondents reported at least one treatment goal. The most recurrent themes were pain reduction, patient education and increase in the pain-free range of motion.

261

#### 262 Would you consider referring this patient for a surgical opinion?

The vast majority of respondents stated that they would not consider a surgical opinion (271/436, 62%). Among the SE subgroups the Master's degree professionals were significantly more likely to not refer the patient (83/107, 78% Master's degree; p =0.0008) compared to the other SE physiotherapists.

- 267 Referral was considered because of failure of conservative treatment (102/163, 63%),
- or for severe functional impairment (23/163, 14%).

#### 270 Do you think that research would benefit your practice with regard to rotator cuff

#### 271 disorders?

The vast majority of respondents considered that further research would benefit their practice (395/436, 91%). In this case a qualitative analysis of the answers was undertaken: one hundred and fifty five respondents suggested areas for further research such as ideal exercise parameters, prognostic factors and the role of fascial dysfunctions in the onset and maintenance of RC disorders.

277

#### 278 **DISCUSSION**

This study provides new information regarding Italian physiotherapists' clinical practice in relation to disorders of the RC and represents a starting point to understand how Italian physiotherapy practice might change over time.

This survey reports that Italian physiotherapy practice aligns with current recommendations with regards to minimal use of imaging, and prescription of advice and therapeutic exercise that are the most popular choices of treatment.

Exercise is currently recommended as the mainstay of physiotherapy practice for the management of RC disorders [8]. The findings of this survey reflect current recommendations [8-12]. However, there is heterogeneity with regards to other factors including use of physical examination tests for diagnosing RC disorders and use of manual therapy in management.

There are many differences between physiotherapists with SE and NSE, and some of these are significant. Physiotherapists with SE responded more in line with current recommendations, than those with NSE, indicating that further specialist education provides a beneficial effect in terms of the implementation of evidence based practice.

This differential response is similar to other European surveys of current physiotherapypractice [9].

In relation to clinical assessment and treatment, the results of the present study elicit 296 297 several observations. In the clinical assessment 40% of respondents stated that they would have carried out further physical examination tests. Although comments 298 included screening of the cervical/thoracic spine, observation and palpation, one-third 299 300 of respondents, mainly those with NSE, expressly mentioned performing specific orthopaedic tests. These findings are in keeping with the UK survey [4], but in contrast 301 with current evidence that indicates the poor validity and reliability of these tests 302 303 [11,12].

Furthermore, 42% of the respondents would request further investigation (X-ray, MRI, 304 ultrasound scan) and a significantly lower proportion of these have a specific education 305 (33%) and followed a Master's degree (8%). The utility of diagnostic imaging in patients 306 with atraumatic shoulder pain is challenged by current evidence due to the presence 307 308 of abnormal morphology in asymptomatic individuals [13,14,15]. These findings, 309 similar to the results found in the UK by Littlewood et al. [5] more than in 2016 [4], suggest mainly that professionals who did not have a Master's degree are more likely 310 to rely on imaging to make a diagnosis. 311

Thirty-eight percent of physiotherapists in the current study would use a rehabilitation classification, for example the SSMP, in their clinical assessment, particularly those with a SE and mainly Master's degree ones (respectively 48% and 73%). This might reflect the clear limitations of many current approaches to the assessment of atraumatic shoulders, however the validity and reliability of these classification systems requires further investigation, which is currently conflicting [15].

Concerning treatment, Italian physiotherapists choose a wide selection of interventions 318 319 with advice, education and some form of exercise the most common. These findings are in line with those of Bury and Littlewood [4] and current evidence, with studies 320 identifying exercise therapy as a promising treatment approach for RC disorders [7,11]. 321 The variability in exercise prescription parameters reported in this survey reflects 322 current uncertainty about the optimal approach [16]. For example, 40% would instruct 323 324 pain-free exercise, but the majority of respondents would expect some level of pain, mainly among physiotherapists with a SE and particularly with a Master's degree. This 325 is in line with Bury and Littlewood study [4] and showed that physiotherapists in these 326 327 two surveys are more likely to prescribe exercises into pain. Current evidence also 328 supports painful exercise in musculoskeletal disorders in the short-term [17], although further research is needed to investigate how it applies specifically to RC disorders. 329

Regarding the type of exercise, scapular exercises were most common, although isotonic, isometric and global kinetic chain exercises were also popular, reflecting the same research uncertainty mentioned above [18].

Italian physiotherapists would also include manual therapy techniques in their rehabilitation program, which is not currently supported by strong evidence in the long term [19,20], and was less frequently used as a modality by comparison in the UK survey [4]. One possible explanation for this, is that hands-on techniques still represent a core element of musculoskeletal physiotherapy practice appreciated by patients and widely taught in educational program and clinical professional development training in Italy [21].

With respect to advice, Italian physiotherapists would offer this on a wide variety of topics, among which "home exercise program" was the main one. Moreover, the most popular choice of treatment delivery was a combination of supervised treatment and a

home exercise program. These two findings reflect research evidence that supervised
and self-managed exercise do not differ [22,23]. Group exercise was mentioned by a
very small number of participants, interesting given the emerging evidence for the
effectiveness of physiotherapy–led group exercise in various musculoskeletal
conditions, including shoulder pain [24,25].

Concerning the treatment period, the majority of Italian physiotherapists would treat the patient up to a six-week period, while only around 20% for a three-month period. There is no unanimity in literature on this topic, though it appears that three months could be optimal for conservative treatment to reveal its efficacy [16].

352

#### 353 Strengths and limitations of this study

A strength of this paper is the large number of respondents and the vignette-based 354 methodologies that are used to examine decision making processes and clinical 355 judgments made by health professionals. Vignette studies have been recognized as a 356 "hybrid" methodology that inherits the external validity strengths of survey research 357 and the internal validity strengths of experimental methods, highly generalizable to real 358 world behavior among clinicians [26]. Another original strength of this study is that the 359 360 respondents were divided into those with SE, and with NSE, in order to understand if having a SE can lead to practice which more closely aligns to current 361 recommendations. Furthermore in the context of other studies, the current survey is 362 one of the largest that has been conducted worldwide in this clinical area with a wide 363 variety of respondents, but, despite this, there are some limitations. The number of 364 participants who failed to complete the survey was quite large (369/805; 46%). The 365 reasons for non-completion are unclear but the large number of participants who 366

367 completed and their varied backgrounds offers some reassurance with regard to the368 validity of these findings.

369

#### 370 Future research

The survey findings support the need for more high-quality studies to help establish a reliable method of assessment, define the parameters of exercise therapy and clarify the actual benefit of including therapies other than exercise in the rehabilitation protocol, which remains open to question by the current evidence base for RC disorders.

376

#### 377 CONCLUSION

378 This survey is the first to describe Italian physiotherapy practice for RC disorders.

The present study found that Italian physiotherapists are in line with current evidence in using mainly education, advice and exercise therapy, though without a consensus on the parameters of exercise prescription. It also found that physiotherapists with a specific education, and particularly those with a Master's degree, seem to be better aligned with current evidence for what concerns the assessment of these patients and less reliance on imaging or orthopedic tests.

385

#### 386 ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the ASL of Lecce (Italy), with protocol number 16 of the 21st February 2018.

- Informed consent of participant to the survey was implied through voluntary submission
- of the same survey, without the need of a written consent form

3	9	1
-	-	_

#### 392 CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

393 Not applicable

394

#### 395 CONFLICT OF INTEREST and SOURCE OF FUNDING

396 Authors decleares no competing interest, no conflict of interest or source of funding

397

#### 398 MANUSCRIPT CATEGORY

- 399 This paper is a Survey (Original research) and it is NOT based on a previous
- 400 communication to a society or meeting

401

#### 402 AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIAL

403 The full version of the survey is available as Supplementary material (See Appendix).

404

#### 405 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Heartfelt thanks are owed to Lorenza Maistrello, who was fundamental to perform the statistical analysis, to Marco Segat and Mattia Salomon who significantly contributed in sharing the survey and of course to all of the Italian physiotherapists who participated, making it all possible.

410

#### 411 ACRONYMS

- 412 ADL = Activities of daily living
- 413 AIFI = Associazione Italiana Fisioterapisti (Italian Physiotherapists Association)
- 414 RC = Rotator cuff
- 415 SE = Specific education
- 416 NSE = No specific education
- 417 TC = Training Course
- 418 O = Other
- 419 SSMP = Shoulder Symptoms Modification Procedures
- 420 SAT = Scapular Assistance Test

#### 421 **REFERENCES**

- Luime JJ, Koes BW, Hendriksen IJ, et al. Prevalence and incidence of shoulder
  pain in the general population; a systematic review. Scandinavian Journal of
  Rheumatology. 2004; 33(2):73-81.
- 425 2- Kooijman M, Swinkels I, van Dijk C, Patients with shoulder syndromes in
  426 general and physiotherapy practice: an observational study. BMC
  427 Musculoskeletal Disorders. 2013; 8; 14:128. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-14-128
- Grant HJ, Arthur A, Pichora DR. Evaluation of Interventions for Rotator Cuff
  Pathology: a systematic review. Journal of Hand Therapy. 2004; 17:274–299.
  doi: 10.1197/j.jht.2004.02.013
- 431 4- Bury J, Littlewood C. Rotator cuff disorders: a survey of current (2016) UK
  432 physiotherapy practice. Shoulder and Elbow. 2018; 10, 52-61 doi:
  433 10.1177/1758573217717103
- Littlewood C, Lowe A, Moore J. Rotator cuff disorders: a survey of current UK
  physiotherapy practice. Shoulder & Elbow. 2012; 4;64-71
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-5740.2011.00164.x
- 437 6- Winters J, Sobel J, Groenier K, et al. The long-term course of shoulder
  438 complaints: a prospective study in general practice. Rheumatology.1999;
  439 38:160–3.
- Abdulla SY, Southerst D, Côté P, et al. Is exercise effective for the management
  of subacromial impingement syndrome and other soft tissue injuries of the
  shoulder? A systematic review by the Ontario Protocol for Traffic Injury
  Management (OPTIMa) Collaboration. Manual Therapy. 2015; 20(5), 646-56.
  doi: 10.1016/j.math.2015.03.013.

8- Blume C, Wang-Price S, Trudelle-Jackson E, et al. Comparison of eccentric and
concentric exercise interventions in adults with subacromial impingement
syndrome. International Journal Sports Physical Therapy. 2015; 10: 441–455.

- 9- Pieters L, Voogt L, Bury J, et al. Rotator CUFF disorders: A survey of current
  physiotherapy practice in Belgium and the Netherlands. Musculoskelet Sci
  Pract. 2019; 17; 43:45-51.
- 451 10- Lewis, JS. Rotator cuff tendinopathy/subacromial impingement syndrome:
  452 is it time for a new method of assessment? British Journal of Sports
  453 Medicine. 2009; 43: 259-264 doi: 10.1136/bjsm.2008.052183
- 11-Diercks R, Bron C, Dorrestijn O, et al. Guideline for diagnosis and treatment of
  subacromial pain syndrome. Acta Orthopaedica. 2014; 85: 314–322. doi:
  10.3109/17453674.2014.920991.
- 457 12- Hegedus EJ, Goode AP, Cook CE, et al. Which physical examination tests
  458 provide clinicians with the most value when examining the shoulder? Update of
  459 a systematic review with meta-analysis of individual tests. British Journal Sports
  460 Medicine. 2012; 46: 964–978. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2012-091066
- 461 13- Braman JP, Zhao KD, Lawrence RL, et al. Shoulder impingement revisited:
  462 evolution of diagnostic understanding in orthopedic surgery and physical
  463 therapy. Medical & Biological Engeneering & Computing. 2012; 52(3):211-9.
  464 doi: 10.1007/s11517-013-1074-1
- 465 14- Yamamoto A, Takagishi K, Kobayashi T, et al. Factors involved in the presence
  466 of symptoms associated with rotator cuff tears: a comparison of asymptomatic
  467 and symptomatic rotator cuff tears in the general population. Journal Shoulder
  468 Elbow Surgeon. 2012; 20: 1133–1137 doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2011.01.011

- 469 15- Girish G, Lobo LG, Jacobson JA, et al. Ultrasound of the shoulder:
  470 asymptomatic findings in men. American Journal of Roentgenology. 2011; 197:
  471 713–719. doi: 10.2214/AJR.11.6971
- 472 16- Meakins A, May S, Littlewood C. Reliability of the Shoulder Symptom
  473 Modification Procedure and association of within-session and between-session
  474 changes with functional outcomes. BMJ Open Sport Excercise Medicine. 2018;
  475 10;4(1) doi: 10.1136/bmjsem-2018-000342.
- 476 17- Littlewood C, Malliaras P, Chance-Larsen K. Therapeutic exercise for rotator
  477 cuff tendinopathy: a systematic review of contextual factors and prescription
  478 parameters. International Journal of Rehabilitation Research. 2015; 38(2):95479 106. doi: 10.1097/MRR.00000000000113
- 18- Smith BE, Hendrick P, Smith TO, ety al. Should exercises be painful in the
  management of chronic musculoskeletal pain? A systematic review and metaanalysis. British Journal Sports Medicine. 2017; 51(23):1679-1687. doi:
  10.1136/bjsports-2016-097383
- 19- Turgut E, Duzqun I, Baltaci G. Effects of Scapular Stabilization Exercise
  Training on Scapular Kinematics, Disability, and Pain in Subacromial
  Impingement: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Archives of Physical Medicine
  Rehabilitation. 2017;98(10):1915-1923.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2017.05.023
- 20- Hawk C, Minkalis AL, Khorsan R, et al. Systematic Review of Nondrug,
  Nonsurgical Treatment of Shoulder Conditions. Journal of Manipulative and
  Physiological Therapeutics. 2017; 40(5):293-319. doi:
  10.1016/j.jmpt.2017.04.001

- 492 21- Geri T, Viceconti A, Minacci M, et al. Manual therapy: Exploiting the role of
  493 human touch. Musculoskeletal Science and Practice. 2019; 25: 102044. doi:
  494 10.1016/j.msksp.2019.07.008
- 22- Steuri R, Sattelmayer M, Elsig S, et al. Effectiveness of conservative
  interventions including exercise, manual therapy and medical management in
  adults with shoulder impingement: a systematic review and meta-analysis of
  RCTs. British Journal Sports Medicine. 2017; 51(18):1340-1347. doi:
  10.1136/bisports-2016-096515
- Granviken F, Vasseljen O. Home exercises and supervised exercises are
   similarly effective for people with subacromial impingement: a randomised trial.
   Journal of Physiotherapy. 2015;61(3):135-41. doi: 10.1016/j.jphys.2015.05.014.
- 24- Littlewood C, Bateman M, Brown K, et al. A self-managed single exercise
  programme versus usual physiotherapy treatment for rotator cuff tendinopathy:
  a randomised controlled trial (the SELF study). Clinical Rehabilitation. 2016; 30:
  686–696. doi: 10.1177/0269215515593784
- 25-Barrett E, Conroy C, Corcoran M, et al. An evaluation of two types of exercise
   classes, containing shoulder exercises or a combination of shoulder and
   thoracic exercises, for the treatment of nonspecific shoulder pain: A case series.

510 Journal Hand Therapy. 2017; 31(3):301-307 doi: 10.1016/j.jht.2017.10.011

26-Evans SC, Roberts MC, Keeley JV, et al. Vignette methodologies for studying
clinicians'decision-making: Validity, utility, and application in ICD-11 field
studies. International Journal of Clinical and Health Psychology. 2015; 15, 160170 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijchp.2014.12.001

- 517 TABLE 1: The clinical scenario about a patient reporting typical signs and symptoms
- 518 of an Rotator Cuff disorders

Clinical scenario of a typical patient with a RC disorder (original)	Clinical scenario of a typical patient with a RC disorder (Italian translation)
A 54-year-old man presents to you with a 9- month history of right shoulder pain of gradual, insidious onset. The pain is located over the anterolateral aspect of his shoulder, with no radiation of symptoms. He describes the pain as intermittent, made worse by reaching up, lifting, reaching behind his back and lying on this side. Symptoms ease with rest. He has had no previous treatment or investigations for this problem so far and is otherwise in good general health. His occupation as a warehouse operative involves some heavy lifting onto shelves, which he is continuing to do. On examination, observation is unremarkable. Cervical spine range of movement is full and pain-free. Active shoulder movements are full, but with a painful arc on active abduction between 60" and 120". Passive shoulder movements are largely maintained. Isometric muscle testing produced pain on abduction and lateral rotation, with a power of 4/5 noted for both.	Un uomo di 54 anni si presenta alla sua attenzione per un dolore alla spalla destra che persiste da 9 mesi ed ha avuto un esordio insidioso e graduale. Il dolore è localizzato sull'aspetto anterolaterale della spalla, senza sintomi irradiati. Il paziente riferisce che i sintomi sono intermittenti ed aumentano con l'elevazione del braccio, il sollevamento di pesi, portando la mano dietro la schiena ed in posizione distesa sul fianco destro, mentre si attenuano con il riposo. Il paziente non ha effettuato altre indagini o altri trattamenti per questo problema prima d'ora e riferisce di essere in un buono stato di salute generale. Lavora come magazziniere, il che prevede il sollevamento di carichi pesanti su degli scaffali e non ha interrotto l'attività lavorativa. All'osservazione non emergono dati rilevanti. I movimenti cervicali attivi e passivi risultano completi e non evocano nessun sintomo. Anche il ROM attivo della spalla destra appare completo, ma presenta un arco doloroso fra i 60° e i 120° di abduzione. Il ROM passivo è complessivamente mantenuto. Si evoca dolore alla contrazione isometrica in abduzione e rotazione esterna e risultano entrambe deficitarie per quanto riguarda la forza, con un grading di 4/5 per entrambe.

- 527 BRINDISINO F.

# 529 TABLE 2: Respondents demographics

_					
Age	Frequency	%			
20-25	85 19.5%				
26-30	143 32.8%				
31-35	82	18.8%			
36-40	55	12.6%			
>41	71 16.3%				
Gender		54.00/			
	239	54.8%			
Female	197	45.2%			
Level of education					
Bachelor's Degree	248	54.8%			
Master of Science Degree	32	6.2%			
PhD in Physiotherapy	2	0.4%			
Master's Degree	139	30.9%			
Other	39	7.7%			
Years from graduation					
<5	177	40.6%			
6-10	137	31.4%			
11-15	55	12.7%			
16-20	26	5.9%			
>20	41	9.4%			
Role/practice setting					
Hospital	59	8.5%			
Private practice	143	21.7%			
Freelance	299	56.6%			
Sports team	56	8.8%			
Teacher/researcher	18	2.1%			
Other	32	3.3%			
Specific education					
No	171	29.2%			
Master's Degree	107	24.5%			
1-2 days Training Course	160	36.7%			
Other	42	9.6%			

534

## 535 TABLE 3: Treatment parameters

	SE (	n=267)	NSE	(n=171)		Total
Treatment setting (n=436)	n	%	n	%	n	%
Face-to-face appointments	72	27.0%	76	44.4%	146	33.5%
Home-based program	2	0.8%	4	2.3%	6	1.4%
Face-to-face appointments and home-based						
program	229	85.8%	123	71.9%	350	80.3%
Group class(es)	8	3.0%	3	1.8%	11	2.5%
Other	3	1.1%	0	0.0%	3	0.7%
Number of times typically seen (n=436)	n	%	n	%	n	%
Once	1	0.4%	1	0.6%	2	0.5%
Twice	25	9.4%	13	7.6%	37	8.5%
3 or 4 times	36	13.5%	39	22.8%	75	17.2%
5 or 6 times	78	29.2%	42	24.6%	119	27.3%
7 or 8 times	41	15.4%	23	13.5%	64	14.7%
9 or 10 times	46	17.2%	28	16.4%	74	16.8%
More than 10 times	40	15.0%	25	14.6%	64	14.9%
Typical duration of treatment	n	%	n	%	n	%
Up to 3 weeks	35	13.0%	24	14.0%	59	13.5%
Up to 6 weeks	76	28.5%	63	36.8%	139	31.9%
Up to 8 weeks	64	24.0%	43	25.2%	106	24.3%
Up to 3 months	65	24.3%	20	11.7%	84	19.3%
Up to 6 months	5	1.9%	8	4.7%	13	3.0%
Up to 12 months	0	0.0%	2	1.2%	2	0.5%
Other	22	8.2%	11	6.4%	33	7.6%

NOTE: SE, respondents with a specific education in rotator cuff disorders; NSE,

respondents without a specific education in rotator cuff disorders.

538

```
548
```

FIGURE 1: FLOW CHART: partecipants inclusion criteria 549

550

- n = 805 Total respondents n = 11 Excluded because not physiotherapists based in Italy n = 358 Excluded because did not complete the survey N = 436Respondents included in data analysis NOTE: Identification of respondents included 559
- 561

560

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

# FIGURE 2: Q.9: Would you request any further information or undertake any further clinical tests?



NOTE: Q, Question number; SE, respondents who stated a specific education in
 rotator cuff disorders; NSE, respondents who stated no specific education in rotator
 cuff disorders; SSMP, Shoulder Symptom Modification Procedure; US, Ultrasound
 Imaging; MRI, Magnetic Resonance Imaging; \*, statistically significant difference.

#### 583 BRINDISINO F.

# FIGURE 3: Q.10, SE subgroup: Would you request any further information or undertake any further clinical tests?



NOTE: Q, Question number; MD, Master's Degree; TC, 1-2 days Training Course; O,
 Other; US, Ultrasound Imaging; MRI, Magnetic Resonance Imaging; SSMP, Shoulder
 Symptom Modification Procedure; \*, statistically significant difference.

# FIGURE 4: Q.11: Which management strategies would you typically recommend for this patient?



606

604

605

NOTE: Q, Question number; SE, respondents who stated a specific education in
 rotator cuff disorders; NSE, respondents who stated no specific education in rotator
 cuff disorders; Ex, Exercises; \*, statistically significant difference

610

611 BRINDISINO F.

## FIGURE 5: Q.11, SE subgroup: Which management strategies would you

613 typically recommend for this patient?

#### 





620	FIGURE 6: Q. 12, What advice would you typically offer this patient?
621	



NOTE: Q, question number; SE, respondents who stated a specific education on
 rotator cuff disorders; NSE, respondents who stated no specific education on
 rotator cuff disorders; S-M, self-management; HEP, home exercise program; \*,
 statistically significant difference.