

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

# Edinburgh Research Explorer A multistage sequencing strategy pinpoints many novel and candidate disease alleles for orphan Disease Emery-Dreifuss Muscular Dystrophy and Supports Gene Misregulation as its Pathomechanism

**Citation for published version:** Meinke, P, Kerr, A, Czapiewski, R, de las Heras, J, Wehnert, M, Schirmer, E, Harris, E, Kölbel, H & Muntoni, F 2020, 'A multistage sequencing strategy pinpoints many novel and candidate disease alleles for orphan Disease Emery-Dreifuss Muscular Dystrophy and Supports Gene Misregulation as its Pathomechanism', *EBioMedicine*, vol. 51, 102587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.11.048

# **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.11.048

# Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

**Document Version:** Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Published In: EBioMedicine** 

## General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



#### EBioMedicine 51 (2020) 102587

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# EBioMedicine





## Research paper

# A multistage sequencing strategy pinpoints novel candidate alleles for Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy and supports gene misregulation as its pathomechanism



Peter Meinke<sup>a,b</sup>, Alastair R.W. Kerr<sup>a</sup>, Rafal Czapiewski<sup>a</sup>, Jose I. de las Heras<sup>a</sup>, Charles R. Dixon<sup>a</sup>, Elizabeth Harris<sup>c</sup>, Heike Kölbel<sup>d</sup>, Francesco Muntoni<sup>e,f</sup>, Ulrike Schara<sup>d</sup>, Volker Straub<sup>c</sup>, Benedikt Schoser<sup>b</sup>, Manfred Wehnert<sup>g</sup>, Eric C. Schirmer<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Wellcome Centre for Cell Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

<sup>b</sup> Friedrich Baur Institute at the Department of Neurology, University Hospital, LMU Munich, Germany

<sup>c</sup> John Walton Muscular Dystrophy Research Centre, Newcastle University and Newcastle Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

<sup>d</sup> Department of Pediatric Neurology, Developmental Neurology and Social Pediatrics, University of Essen, Germany

<sup>e</sup> Dubowitz Neuromuscular Centre, University College London Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, London, UK

<sup>f</sup> 1 NIHR Great Ormond Street Hospital Biomedical Research Centre, Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, University College London, & Great Ormond

Street Hospital Trust, London, UK

<sup>g</sup> Institute of Human Genetics, University of Greifswald (retired), Greifswald, Germany

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received 14 October 2019 Revised 18 November 2019 Accepted 26 November 2019 Available online 17 December 2019

Keywords:

Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy Nuclear envelope Nuclear envelope transmembrane protein primer library Orphan disease

### ABSTRACT

*Background:* As genome-wide approaches prove difficult with genetically heterogeneous orphan diseases, we developed a new approach to identify candidate genes. We applied this to Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystro-phy (EDMD), characterised by early onset contractures, slowly progressive muscular wasting, and life-threatening heart conduction disturbances with wide intra- and inter-familial clinical variability. Roughly half of EDMD patients are linked to six genes encoding nuclear envelope proteins, but the disease mechanism remains unclear because the affected proteins function in both cell mechanics and genome regulation.

*Methods*: A primer library was generated to test for mutations in 301 genes from four categories: (I) all known EDMD-linked genes; (II) genes mutated in related muscular dystrophies; (III) candidates generated by exome sequencing in five families; (IV) functional candidates — other muscle nuclear envelope proteins functioning in mechanical/genome processes affected in EDMD. This was used to sequence 56 unlinked patients with EDMD-like phenotype.

*Findings:* Twenty-one patients could be clearly assigned: 18 with mutations in genes of similar muscular dystrophies; 3 with previously missed mutations in EDMD-linked genes. The other categories yielded novel candidate genes, most encoding nuclear envelope proteins with functions in gene regulation.

*Interpretation:* Our multi-pronged approach identified new disease alleles and many new candidate EDMD genes. Their known functions strongly argue the EDMD pathomechanism is from altered gene regulation and mechanotransduction due to connectivity of candidates from the nuclear envelope to the plasma membrane. This approach highlights the value of testing for related diseases using primer libraries and may be applied for other genetically heterogeneous orphan diseases.

*Funding:* The Wellcome Trust, Muscular Dystrophy UK, Medical Research Council, European Community's Seventh Framework Programme "Integrated European –omics research project for diagnosis and therapy in rare neuromuscular and neurodegenerative diseases (NEUROMICS)".

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

#### 1. Introduction

E-mail address: e.schirmer@ed.ac.uk (E.C. Schirmer).

Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy (EDMD) is a rare neuromuscular disorder affecting ~0.3–0.4 in 100,000 people [1,2]. EDMD patients present typically in childhood with early contractures of elbows and Achilles' tendons, progressive wasting of lower leg and upper arm

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.11.048

2352-3964/© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Prof. Dr. Eric C. Schirmer, The Wellcome Centre for Cell Biology; University of Edinburgh, Kings Buildings; Michael Swann Building, Room 5.22; Max Born Crescent; Edinburgh, EH9 3BF, UK.

#### **Research in context**

#### Evidence before this study

Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy (EDMD) is a genetically heterogeneous orphan disease with clinical variability presenting even in family members carrying the same mutation. The lack of large pedigrees in combination with its genetic heterogeneity (~50% of patients are solved with mutations in 6 genes), clinical variability, several known modifier genes, limited patient numbers, and an unsolved pathomechanism make the usage of genome-wide association sequencing studies ineffective. EDMD also presents a conundrum in that all previously known alleles are widely expressed nuclear envelope (NE) proteins, so how a muscle focused pathology is achieved remains obscure. Moreover the proteins involved have known functions in nuclear/cellular mechanical stability, genome regulation, and cell cycle regulation, so that the EDMD pathomechanism remains unclear.

#### Added value of this study

The new fully solved and candidate alleles encode proteins that form a physical connection from the plasma membrane to the nuclear envelope, further elaborating genome regulation and mechanosignal transduction as the pathomechanism and supporting the validity of new candidates. This connectivity together with that several of the new candidate alleles are muscle-specific resolves the conundrum of how a muscle-focused pathology could come from widely-expressed proteins. The range of proteins that can be disrupted through these connections moreover can help explain the clinical variability and also the overlaps to similar muscular dystrophies. Notably, the larger set of candidates from the exome sequencing step in our approach likely contains also modifying alleles that may be revealed with further use of the primer library.

#### Implications of all the available evidence

If this patient cohort is representative of the general patient population this approach likely solves >90% of the ~50% remaining genetically unsolved patients, and in doing so strongly implicates altered gene regulation and mechanotransduction as its pathomechanism. Furthermore, in addition to the potential application of this approach to other genetically heterogeneous orphan diseases, the primer library once generated provides a better and cheaper sequencing strategy for newly diagnosed patients compared to traditional Sanger sequencing of one linked gene at a time. The EDMD patient association has noted a problem with the time from clinical presentation to diagnosis that will be resolved with the wider application of this primer library and allow earlier interventions to improve disease management.

muscles, and later development of cardiac conduction defects and, in a proportion of cases, dilated cardiomyopathy [3]. Features vary considerably in clinical presentation, leading to the usage 'Emery-Dreifuss-like syndromes' [4,5]: patients from the same pedigree can show remarkable phenotypic variation [6]. Consistent with this variation, EDMD is also genetically variable: ~half of Emery–Dreifuss-like syndrome cases are linked to mutations in genes encoding 6 nuclear envelope proteins (emerin, lamin A, nesprin 1, nesprin 2, SUN1 and FHL1 [7–11]). Variants in desmin and the nuclear envelope proteins SUN1 and SUN2 have been reported to modify the EDMD phenotype [10,12]. Roughly half of clinically diagnosed patients remain unlinked [13].

The strong nuclear envelope link raised the possibility that remaining unlinked patients might also have mutations in nuclear envelope proteins. The nuclear envelope is linked to >30 inherited diseases and syndromes [14], each with distinct tissue-specific pathologies: for example different lamin A mutations cause muscular dystrophies, neuropathy, lipodystrophy, and multisystemic disorders. How these widely expressed nuclear envelope proteins yield tissue-specific pathologies remains unresolved, but one hypothesis is that tissue-specific nuclear envelope partners mediate the tissue-specificity of effects [15].

We previously identified several muscle-specific nuclear envelope transmembrane proteins (NETs) [16]. Of the previously linked proteins emerin, nesprin 1, nesprin 2, SUN1, SUN2, and Tmem43 are all NETs, but these are widely expressed. Several of the muscle-specific NETs identified could contribute muscle specificity to either of the two principly hypothesized EDMD pathomechanisms: mechanical instability and disruption of gene expression. NETs Tmem214 and KLHL31 track with microtubules on the nuclear surface [16] while NET5/Samp1 contributes actin and centrosome interactions [17]. NETs Tmem38A, WFS1, NET39/PLPP7 and, again, Tmem214 and NET5/Samp1 all affect 3D gene positioning with corresponding effects on gene expression [18,19]. Many of the genes under muscle-specific NET regulation are recruited to the nuclear periphery to be more tightly shut down during myogenesis and encode proteins that are antagonistic to myogenesis or are from alternative differentiation pathways such as adipogenesis. Knockdown of the muscle-specific NETs results in these genes being de-repressed, suggesting a possible gene misregulation mechanism to disease pathology. The potential of gene mispositioning contributing to disease is further underscored by knockdown of Tmem38A, WFS1, and NET39/PLPP7 blocking myotube fusion [18]. Functional overlap of these muscle-specific NETs supports the possibility of their working in a common pathway towards EDMD pathophysiology, making them good candidates for mediators of EDMD muscle pathology at the same time as being novel candidates for causative EDMD alleles.

Therefore, we elected to sequence the genes encoding these musclespecific NETs in unlinked EDMD patients using a primer library. However, for greater surety, we expanded this primer library to also re-check previously linked genes with complete gene sequencing for possible promoter mutations and to test for mutations in genes linked to related muscular dystrophies. Finally, to also search for candidate alleles in a completely unbiased manner, we performed exome sequencing in families for which material from enough members was available for linkage analysis and added these candidates also to the primer library (Fig. 1a).

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Patient materials

All patient DNA used for sequencing was obtained from blood samples. RNA was obtained from deltoid muscle from the family 1 index patient and matching control. The sources of patient samples were: the Muscle Tissue Culture Collection (MTCC) at the Friedrich-Baur-Institut (Department of Neurology, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany); the Institute of Human Genetics, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; the MRC Centre for Neuromuscular Disorders Biobank (CNDB) in London; the Department of pediatric Neurology, Developmental Neurology and Social Pediatrics at the University of Essen; the Rare Diseases biological samples biobank at the Dubowitz Neuromuscular Centre, Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Trust, London, UK.

#### 2.2. Ethical approval and consent to participate

All materials were obtained with written informed consent of the donor at the CIND, the CNDB or the MTCC. Ethical approval for the Newcastle MRC Centre Biobank for Neuromuscular Diseases is covered by REC reference 08/H0906/28+5 and IRAS ID 118,436 and MTA CT-2166, that of the Rare Diseases biological samples biobank for research to



**Fig. 1.** Methodology and top candidate mutations from exome sequencing. (a) Flow chart describing the study methodology. (b) Pedigrees of the 5 families used for the initial exome sequencing with top candidate mutations listed in the adjacent boxes (MAF = minor allele frequency). The presented top candidate mutations were identified in all sequenced affected family members, but not in unaffected family members. Sequenced individuals: yellow; males: square; females: circles; patients: filled black. The presented top candidate mutations were identified in all sequenced affected family members, but not in unaffected family members, but not in unaffected family members.

facilitate pharmacological, gene and cell therapy trials in neuromuscular disorders is covered by REC reference 06/Q0406/33 with MTA reference CNMDBBL63 CT-2925/CT-1402, and for this particular study was obtained from the West of Scotland Research Ethics Service (WoSRES) with REC reference 15/WS/0069 and IRAS project ID 177,946.

#### 2.3. Exome, RNA, and genome sequencing

Genome: 15X clean depth coverage using 90PE Illumina HiSeq2000 technology. RNA-Seq: total RNA from biopsy tissue with rRNA depletion and random-primed cDNA preparation and PE100 sequencing on a Hi-Seq2000 platform with 20 million reads minimum (Otogenetics Corporation, Norcoss, USA).

Exome: Sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq and raw data processed with CASAVA 1.8.

#### 2.4. Fluorescence in situ hybridisation

Mutations were generated by Agilent Site-Directed mutagenesis. Plasmids encoding tagged Tmem38a, PLPP7 and mutants were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) into C2C12 cells (ATCC, VA, USA) cultured at 37 °C, 5% CO2 in DMEM containing 20% FBS, 50 U/ mL penicillin and 10 mg/mL streptomycin. Fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation (FISH) experiments were performed as described in [20].

#### 2.5. Primer library construction, processing and sequencing

A SureSelect<sup>XT</sup> Custom 1.638 Mbp target enrichment library (5190–4817) containing 25,036 oligonucleotide probes against H. sapiens hg19 GRCh37 sequence as of February 2009 was prepared by Agilent for use with Illumina multiplexed sequencing platforms. Patient genomic DNA was isolated from blood and prepared for sequencing using the SureSelect<sup>QXT</sup> Reagent Kit (G9681B) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Recommended minimum sequencing per sample was 327.793 Mbp and an average of 3427,092 was obtained with a range from 442,125 to 7066,507 using 125 base paired-end sequencing on a Hi-Seq2500.

#### 2.6. Bioinformatics and analysis

Variant analysis was performed using the Genome Analysis toolkit [GATK] v2.7–2 [21] and picard tools v1.74 (http://broadinstitute.



**Fig. 2.** Primer library composition and gene ontology (GO) functions/localisations of all candidate genes from the four categories contributing to the primer library construction and for the top candidates identified after primer library sequencing. (a) Composition of the primer library with number of genes from each of the four categories used in its construction (upper panel) and number of patients solved/with likely candidates from the different categories after primer library sequencing (lower panel). (b) Presence in muscle nuclear envelopes for the starting library in comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining candidate genes after primer library sequencing (lower panel). (b) Presence in muscle nuclear envelopes for the starting library in comparison to the overall genome organisation, cytoskeleton, and genome organisation and cytoskeleton combined functions involvement for the starting library in comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to the overall genome (upper panel) and of the remaining comparison to th

github.io/picard/) using GATK Best Practices recommendations [22,23] against human genome assembly hg19. The allele frequencies of variants were cross-referenced with gnomAD version 2.1 [24] using both the genome and exome datasets.

RNA-Seq: STAR v2.1.1 [25] was used to map reads to the hg19 reference genome, samtools v0.1.19 [26] was used for file conversion. Deeptools v1.5.1 [27] was used for downstream analysis.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Sequencing EDMD families

Whole exome sequencing was performed in 12 EDMD patients and 12 unaffected individuals from 5 families with large enough pedigrees for linkage analysis (Fig. 1b), finding over 250,000 variants compared to the reference sequence. Variants were filtered using criteria: (a) phenotype co-segregation and modes of inheritance for each family; (b) selecting for SNP frequencies <1%, and filtering for <0.05%; (c) affecting coding sequence; (d) function/tissue-expression of the encoded protein *e.g.* >2-fold higher expression in muscle compared to other tissues. Filtering yielded 213 candidate genes for families 2–5 (Supplemental Table S1).

Family 1 yielded no convincing candidates. As this family had the largest pedigree, we postulated that an unaffected individual was a carrier who had not yet presented or had a distinct sporadic form of disease. Dropping younger individuals who may have not yet presented clinically failed to yield candidates; therefore, genome and transcriptome sequencing was performed on the index patient, resulting in 33 additional candidates (Supplemental Tables S2 and S3). The combined exome, genome and RNA sequencing yielded a total of 252 candidates from the five families.

#### 3.2. Primer library sequencing

A primer library was generated containing (I) the 8 previouslylinked EDMD gene ORFs plus the whole genes for *LMNA* and *EMD* (that together account for ~40% of linked alleles), (II) 25 genes from similar muscular dystrophies, (III) the 252 exome sequencing candidates, and (IV) 16 functional candidates, mostly muscle-specific nuclear envelope proteins (Fig. 2a; Supplemental Table S4). Sequencing was performed on 56 additional unlinked clinically diagnosed EDMD patients unrelated to each other, obtaining on average 3427,092 reads per patient. The data were analysed for genes carrying mutations that changed the coding sequence (nonsense, missense, splice sites) with expected altered protein function (*e.g.* non-conservative substitutions) and SNP frequencies <0.05% (Supplemental Table S5).

Candidate mutations were found in all four categories. Of category I previous EDMD-linked genes, *LMNA* had mutations in three patients that were missed in standard diagnostics (p.R41H, p.R249Q, p. G535fs\*; Table 1). These mutations were determined as causative based on similarity to previously linked *LMNA* mutations. Previously EDMD-associated genes *SYNE1*, *SYNE2*, *SUN1* and *TMEM43* also had mutations; however, minor allele frequencies and their combination with other mutations made them unlikely as causative alleles excepting *SYNE1*. Modifying effects, nonetheless, cannot be excluded. No mutations were found in *LMNA* or *EMD* non-coding regions.

Gene category II of related muscular dystrophies yielded 18 patients with mutations considered causative. Four of these patients had combinations of a missense and frameshift mutation in *CAPN3* (Table 1). *GBE1* mutations were found in four other patients: three

missense and one splice-site. VCP and likely recessive TTN were mutated in two patients each; however, TTN mutation patients also carried SYNE1 mutations. Genes with one patient carrying likely disease-causing mutations were COL6A1, CAV3, DMD, ANO5, DYSF and POMT1. The DMD mutation created a stop codon at codon three, resulting in possible usage of an alternative start codon and a milder phenotype than Duchenne [28]. For ANO5, DYSF and POMT1 the respective patients had two mutations, consistent with the reported inheritance (autosomal recessive for MD-20/ANO5 and unknown for MD-21/DYSF and MD-23/POMT1; Table 1). However, lacking DNA from the parents we could not perform segregation studies.

Category III genes from exome sequencing were elevated to "likely-causative" candidates if also mutated in multiple patients within the primer library cohort based on the assumption that causative genes will be independently mutated in multiple patients. The top candidates were INTS1, ANK2, XIRP1 and USP34. Heterozygous ANK2 mutations were identified in family 5 plus six cohort patients with no other obvious disease-causing mutations and so were most likely causative (Table 2). Causation is similarly likely for other genes; however, in some patients there were additional candidate alleles identified. Heterozygous INTS1 was mutated in four members of family 3 plus five cohort patients, four of whom had no mutation in already associated genes (Table 2). The last patient, MD-23, additionally carried two POMT1 mutations; however, it is unclear if the likely recessive POMT1 mutations affected one allele or both so causation remains undetermined. Other good category IV candidates were USP34 (heterozygous mutations in exome sequenced family 2) and XIRP1 (mutated in families 2 and 4), each with mutations in an additional five patients. Some patients had additional mutations in already associated genes, but if these other mutations were causative then modifying effects for the new candidates are still possible.

Several category IV functional/tissue candidate genes were mutated in 16 of the 56 primer library cohort patients. These were WFS1 (4 patients), TMEM201 (3 patients), TMEM38A (3 patients), PLPP7 (2 patients), TMEM214 (2 patients), LPCAT3 (1 patient), KLHL31 (1 patient), and BVES (1 patient). Of these, three patients with TMEM38A mutations, two patients with TMEM214 mutations, one patient with an LPCAT3 mutation and one patient with a BVES mutation were clearly the top candidates with no other reasonable candidates identified and patient MD-32 carried mutations in both TMEM38A and PLPP7. Other mutations identified were in association with other possible candidates that included likely causative mutations in GBE1, COL6A1, LMNA and TTN (details in Table 1). The patient with the combined LMNA and TMEM201 mutations had a very early age of onset (1 year), suggesting that both mutations contribute to the more severe (congenital) phenotype as the LMNA mutation has not been associated with congenital muscular dystrophy.

All in all, sequencing the 56 additional patients with the primer library found mutations in only a subset of the 252 candidates from the exome sequencing and this subset is expected to be much higher confidence because causative genes are more likely to be also mutated in other EDMD patients. In contrast, mutations were found in 19 of 25 related muscular dystrophies and in 11 of 16 functional candidates; so a strong enrichment for these candidate pools was observed (Fig. 2a).

#### 3.3. Nuclear envelope links

All previously linked EDMD genes encode nuclear envelope proteins. The functional candidates were also biased towards genes

candidate genes after primer library sequencing (lower panel) in percent, showing an enrichment for the combined category in the top candidate alleles. (d) Interactive network of remaining candidate genes after library sequencing based on STRING (Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins, https://string-db.org/) interactions (high confidence) showing that most candidates are linked to other candidates and that these form connections from the nuclear envelope to the plasma membrane. These connections are consistent with possible mechanotransduction from the extracellular region to the nuclear envelope being the core disrupted function in EDMD. Different described localisations of proteins are displayed by colour-coding (based on GO-terms and/or experimental evidence through identification in muscle nuclear envelope proteomics datasets<sup>20</sup>).

### **Table 1** Solved Patients.

ID	<u>Se</u> <u>x</u>	<u>Comments/Clin</u> <u>ical issues</u>	<u>CK in</u> <u>U/I</u>			Ĩ	Mutation		Age of Onset	at Examination	luscle wasting	Contractures	art involvement	Inheritance	
				<u>Gene</u>	<u>cDNA</u>	<u>Protein</u>	known disease causing	<u>MAF</u>	<u>SIFT</u>	`	Age	Σ		Hea	
MD-	м	EDMD phenotype with contractures, no cardiac arrhythmia, rigd spine syndrome	1200	CAPN3	c.245C>T c.1043delG	p.P82L p.G348Vfs*4	ar, LGMD (CM080126) ar, LGMD (CD050834)	0.00006	tolerated (0.96)	6	16	yes	yes	no	ar
MD- 4	F	LGMD, contractures	3000	CAPN3 CAPN3	c.1468C>T c.550delA	p.R490W p.T184Rfs*36	ar, LGMD (CM950194) ar, LGMD (CD951640)	0.00009	deleterio us (0) deleterio	20	28	yes	yes	no	ar
									us (0)						
				SUNI	C.281G>A	р.к94н		0.0004	(0.34)						
				САРМЗ	c.1468C>T	p.R490W	ar, LGMD (CM950194)	0.00009	deleterio us (0)						
MD-	F	LGMD,	7000	САРМЗ	c.549delA	p.T184Rfs*36	ar, LGMD (CD951640)	0.0003	deleterio us (0)	8	23	yes	yes	no	ar
5		contractures		AKAP6	c.2725C>A	p.P909T		0.00003	tolerated (0.11)						
				SYNE3	c.401T>G	p.V134G		0.000004	deleterio us (0.02)						
		contracures,		САРМЗ	c.145C>T	p.R49C	ar, LGMD (CM076055)	0.00002							
MD- 41		non- consanguineou s, sporadic	norma I	CAPN3	c.1821- 1825del	p.R608Kfs*23		novel		cong enital	50	not doc	yes	no	spor adic
MD- 11	м	EDMD phenotype with	1000	LMNA	c.122G>A	p.R41H		n.a.	deleterio us (0)	2	15	yes	yes	yes	AD
		contractures,		SYNE2	c.16178C>T	p.A5393V		0.001	tolerated						

		cardiac							(1)						
		arrhythmia, WPW syndrome		ТМЕМ4 3	c.934C>T	p.R312W		0.004							
MD- 19	F	EDMD phenotype, pacer	200	LMNA SYNE2	<b>c.1606delG</b> c.20161G> A	<b>p.E536Kfs*12</b>		novel 0.001	tolerated (1)	35	55	yes	no	yes	un know n
		contractures and cardiac		LMNA	c.746G>A	p.R249Q	AD, EDMD (CM000737)	n.a.	deleterio us (0)						
MD- 37		conduction defect, non- consanguineou s, sporadic	370	тмем2 01	c.44G>C	p.G15A		novel	deleterio us (0)	1	24	yes	yes	yes	spor adic
MD- 13	м	mild LGMD, myalgia	2200	DMD	c.9G>A	p.W3*	xr, BMD (CM031161)	n.a.		30	35	yes	no	no	un know n
MD-	м	moderate muscle wasting,	700	VCP	c.476G>A	p.R159H	AD, IBMPFD (CM057568)	0.000008	tolerated (0.17)	60	72	yes	no	no	AD
17		hIBMFTD Paget		USP34	c.2963T>C	p.L988P		novel	deleterio us (0)						
				COL6A 2	c.2795C>T	p.P932L	AD, BTHLM (CM076126)	0.002	tolerated (0.39)						
				VCP	c.17A>T	p.D6V		novel	tolerated (0.09)						
MD-	м	myalgia, proximal	700	SYNE2	c.2669C>A	p.T890K		novel	tolerated (0.09)	45	54	yes	no	yes	AD
12		weakness, arrhythmia		SYNE2	c.2647- 2A>T			0.00003							
				XIRP1	c.4648A>T	p.11550F		novel	deleterio us (0)						
				XIRP1	c.3612G>T	p.W1204C		novel	deleterio us (0.02)						
MD-	F	EDMD	600	GBE1	c.691+2T>	splice donor	AD, GSD4 (CS100318)	0.001		27	72	yes	yes	no	un

## P. Meinke et al. / EBioMedicine 51 (2020) 102587

6		phenotype with			с										know
		mild contractures,		SYNE2	c.2647- 2A>T			0.00003							n
		Polyglucosan bodies		WFS1	c.1316T>G	p.F439C		0.00009	deleterio us (0)						
				GBE1	c.1382T>C	p.V461A		novel	tolerated (0.25)						
				ττΝ	c.107635C >T	p.Q35879*		0.00002							
MD- 22	F	distal myopathy	400	ττΝ	c.22027C> T	p.Q7343*		n.a.		16	34	yes	no	no	AD
				PLPP7	c.275T>A	р.М92К		novel	deleterio us (0)						
				USP34	c.7411C>T	p.H2471Y		0.00003	deleterio us (0.03)						
MD- 25	F			GBE1	c.2017G>A	p.A673T		0.005	tolerated (0.11)	4 mths	17	yes	no	yes	un know
				ТМЕМЗ 8А	c.739G>A	p.V247M		0.001	tolerated (0.67)						n
		contractures and mild		GBE1	c.839G>A	p.G280D		0.004	deleterio us (0)						
MD- 34		cardiomyopath y, non-	240	DYSF	c.5698- 5699del	p.S1900Qfs*1 4	Miyoshi myopathy (CD982604)	0.00004		childho od	39	not doc	yes	yes	spor adic
		consanguineou s, sporadic		ТМЕМ4 3	c.934C>T	p.R312W		0.01							
				TTN	c.40787- 2A>G			novel							
MD-		EDMD		ΤΤΝ	c.9047del	p.M3016*		novel		un	un				un
18	М	phenotype	n.d.	TTN	c.72409T> C	p.S24137P		novel		known	know n	yes	yes	yes	know n
				SYNE1	c.16843G> A	p.E5615K		novel	tolerated (0.08)						
MD- 44		contractures and cardiac	2700	TTN	c.107377+1 G>A			0.00001		20	41	not doc	yes	yes	spor adic

		conduction defect, non-		ττΝ	c.104952A >C	p.E34984D		0.00002							
		consanguineou s, sporadic		TTN	c.87529A> T	p.K29177*		novel							
				SYNE1	c.4562G>A	p.R1521Q		0.00004	tolerated (0.08)						
				SUN1	c.608C>T	p.A203V		0.002	tolerated (0.31)						
				ТМЕМ2 01	c.1789G>A	p.G597S		0.00001	deleterio us (0.02)						
		EDMD-like		COL6A 1	c.2166dup	p.1723Hfs*7		novel							
MD-	м	rigid-spine syndrome,	500	COL6A 1	c.1784delA	p.E595Gfs*7		0.00000		3 mths	20	yes	yes	no	ar
		Bethlem		COL6A 1	c.1786A>G	p.1596V		0.00000	tolerated (0.57)						
				WFS1	c.1675G>A	p.A559T		0.001	tolerated						
									(0.8)						
MD-			15000	ANO5	c.191dup	p.N64Kfs*15	ar, LGMD (Cl101059)	0.001		25	47				
20	IVI		15000	ANO5	c.1391C>A	p.A464D	ar, LGMD (CM137896)	n.a.	us (0.02)	25	47	yes	no	no	ar
MD-				DYSF	c.3065G>A	p.R1022Q	ar, LGMD (CM090628)	0.014							un
21	М		200	DYSF	c.3992G>T	p.R1331L	ar, Dysferlinopathy (CM103814)	0.016	tolerated (0.51)	20	23	yes	no	no	know n
				POMT1	c.1545C>G	p.S515R		0.0006	tolerated (0.27)						un
MD- 23	м	axial weakness	500	POMT1	c.1838G>A	p.R613H		0.0006	tolerated (0.11)	10	20	yes	no	no	know n
				INTS1	c.2395T>C	p.V770A		novel	tolerated (0.32)						
MD- 43	F	contracures, AD family history	500- 800	CAV3	c.136G>A	p.A46T	AD, LGMD (CM012082)	n.a.	deleterio us (0)	child hood	34	not doc	yes	no	AD

Dark green: known disease associated genes (EDMD or similar MDs) with likely disease causing mutation (category 2 and 3). Light green: known disease associated genes (EDMD or similar MDs) with unlikely disease causing mutation or two genes of similar likelihood to be the causative disease allele (category 2 and 3).

Yellow: functional candidate gene mutations (category 1). Purple: mutations in genes from the family sequencing (category 4).

Table 2Patients with Candidate Genes.

ID	<u>Se</u> <u>x</u>	<u>Comments/Clini</u> <u>cal issues</u>	<u>CK in</u> <u>U/I</u>		1			Age of Onset	at Examination	uscle wasting	Contractures	ırt involvement	Inheritance		
				<u>Gene</u>	<u>cDNA</u>	<u>Protein</u>	known disease causing	MAF	<u>SIFT</u>		Age	Σ		Неа	
MD-2	м	EDMD	1500-	DYSF	c.1369G>A	p.E457K	ar, MMD (CM074148)	0.008		2	16	ye	ye	no	ar
		phenotype	2000	Trim32	c.1802A>G	p.H601R		novel		-	10	s	s	110	u
				PLEC	c.10562C>T	p.T3521M		0.00003	deleterio us (0)						
			200-	COL6A2	c.1769C>T	p.T590M	AD, BTHLM (CM1310895)	0.0004	deleterio us (0.02)			ye			un
MD-3	F	distal LGMD	800	ATP12A	c.9+1G>A			0.001		38	58	s	no	no	kno
				XIRP1	c.3763C>T	p.P1255S		0.002	deleterio us (0)						wn
				115034	c 1965T	n D7V		novel	deleterio						
				00101	0.13071	P.071		nover	us (0.01)						
				FKTN	c.559G>A	p.G187S		0.00002	deleterio us (0.02)						
MD-7	F	EDMD phenotype	350	KCNJ12	c.109C>A	p.H37N		novel	tolerated (0.11)	2	10	ye s	ye s	not doc	ar
				ANK2	c.11791G>A	p.E3931K		0.003	deleterio us (0.03)						
				COL6A3	c.9508G>A	p.G3170R		0.0002							
				COL6A3	c.1024G>A	p.V342M		0.001							
MD-9	F	Affected father with pacemaker	350	Tmem21 4	c.536G>A	p.R179H		0.0002	deleterio us (0.04)	28	48	ye s	no	not doc	AD
				SYNE3	c.2024G>A	p.R675Q		0.0004	tolerated (1)						
MD-		EDMD phenyotpe,		COL6A2	c.2102C>A	p.T701N		novel	deleterio us (0.01)			ye	ye		
10	М	Father pacemaker	1000	AGRN	c.1123G>T	p.A375S		0.005	tolerated (0.44)	5	46	S	S	no	AD
				PLEC	c.5638G>A	p.A1880T		0.0005	tolerated						

									(0.11)						
				AKAP6	c.2663C>A	p.T888N		0.00002	tolerated (0.15)						
				ATP12A	c.1897G>A	p.G633S		0.0002	deleterio us (0)						
				USP34	c.4387A>G	p.S1463G		novel	tolerated (0.14)						
				DYSF	c.3191_319 6dup	p.A1064_E 1065dup	LGMD (Cl105954)?	0.039							
				PLEC	c.12601G>A	p.E4201K		0.001	deleterio us (0.01)						un
MD- 14	м	EMD phenotype mild	2000	LEMD3	c.263G>T	p.G88V		0.000000	tolerated (0.19)			ye s	no		kno wn
				RYR3	c.1508G>C	p.G503A		0.000004	tolerated (0.3)						
				ANK2	c.6228G>T	p.K2076N		0.001	deleterio us (0.05)						
MD- 15	м	EDMD phenotype mild	400	WFS1	c.1294C>G	p.L432V		0.004	deleterio us (0.01)	4	6	ye s	no	no	un kno wn
MD- 16	М	Hemiatrophia totalis, Parry- Romberg	245	FKRP	c.456C>G	p.S152R		0.0005	tolerated (0.51)	12	43	ye s	no	no	un kno wn
MD- 24		Bethlem phenotype, recently confirmed <i>STIM1</i> mutation		AGRN	c.4966C>T	p.R1656W		0.001	deleterio us (0)			ye s	ye s		un kno wn
		SPS		PLEC	c.2648G>A	p.R883H		0.001	tolerated (0.22)						
MD- 26	F	scapuloperoneal syndrome	200	WFS1	c.2611G>A	p.V871M		0.008	tolerated (0.16)	45	70	ye s	no	no	ar
				AKAP6	c.3335G>A	p.G1112E		0.001	deleterio us (0.01)						

### P. Meinke et al. / EBioMedicine 51 (2020) 102587

				FHOD1	c.2714G>A	p.R905Q		0.001	tolerated (0.06)						
MD-				COL6A3 SYNE1	c.8009C>T c.19729C>T	p.A2670V		0.0002	 deleterio			VA			
27	F	distal myopathy	300	TTN	c.73705G>C	p.V24569L		0.0002		15	25	s	no	no	AD
				RYR3	c.7249A>G	p.I2417V		0.001	(0.06)						
				CAPN3	c.1678A>G	p.T560A		0.00002	deleterio us (0)						
MD-		distal myopathy: Nemaline-		PLEC	c.1715C>T	p.A572V		0.00002	tolerated (0.12)			ve			un
28	м	Myopathy, regional	200	Lmo7	c.2797G>A	p.A933T		0.0001	deleterio us (0.03)	6	48	S	no	no	kno wn
		ichthyosis		KCNJ12	c.127C>T	p.R43C		0.00004	deleterio us (0)						
				KCNJ12	c.758dupT	p.F255Lfs*		0.001							
						19									
				SYNE1	c.18137C>T	p.T6046M		0.00002	deleterio us (0)						
MD-	F	LGMD	up to 10.00	LEMD3	c.689G>C	p.R230T		novel	tolerated (0.11)	33	47	ye	no	no	un kno
29			0	INTS1	c.820C>T	p.R274C		0.001	tolerated (0.07)			S			wn
				TTN	c.39624A>C	р.К13208 N		n.a.							
				DYSF	c.1877C>T	p.M626T		0.001	tolerated (1)						
MD-		distal myonathy	200	DYSF	c.3191_319 6dup	p.A1064_E 1065dup	LGMD (CI105954)?	0.039			72	ye	20	20	40
30	171		500	PLEC	c.424C>T	p.R142W		0.0003	deleterio us (0.01)	,	13	S	110	10	
				MAGI1	c.977C>A	p.T326K		novel	deleterio us (0)						

### P. Meinke et al. / EBioMedicine 51 (2020) 102587

MD- 31	F	ad MFM	500	SYNE2 ATP12A XIRP1 INTS1	c.12659A>C c.1663A>G c.1055G>A c.4282C>T	p.Q4220P p.T555A p.R352Q p.P1399L		0.000004 0.0002 0.0002 0.00007	tolerated (0.17) tolerated (0.47) tolerated (0.62) tolerated (0.18)	32	46	ye s	no	no	AD
MD- 32	М	LGMD asymmetric, no heart involvement	500	DYSF COL6A3 PLEC PLEC TMEM38 A	c.3191_319 6dup c.3852C>A c.7678G>A c.4697C>T c.778G>A	p.A1064_E 1065dup p.F1284L p.A2560T p.S1566L p.D260N	LGMD (CI105954)?	0.039 0.001 0.001 0.00005 0.002	 tolerated (0.22) deleterio us (0.04) tolerated (0.62)	40	70	ye s	no	no	un kno wn
				PLPP7 INTS1	c.755G>C c.5707C>T	p.R252P p.P1874L		novel 0.000006	deleterio us (0)						
MD- 33	М	SMA-like		TTN PCNT WDR43 ANK2	c.92755C>T c.4571C>G c.1075A>G c.11791G>A	p.R30919 W p.P1524R p.I359V p.E3931K		0.0002	tolerated (0.23) tolerated (0.18) deleterio us (0.03)	24	65	ye s	no	no	nn
MD- 35		contractures and mild cardiomyopathy, non- consanguineous,	4000	DYSF COL6A3 AGRN	c.509C>A c.4156G>A c.1528G>A	p.A170E p.E1386K p.G510S	ar, Dysferlinopathy (CM053208) AD, BTHLM (CM050230)	0.004	tolerated (0.97)	20	27	no t do c	ye s	yes	spo r adi c

13

	sporadic													
MD- 36	contractures and cardiac conduction defect, non- consanguineous, sporadic	500- 1000	SYNE2	c.17191C>T	p.R5731C		0.00004	tolerated (0.15)	20s	66	no t do c	ye s	yes	spo r adi c
MD- 38	contractures and cardiac conduction defect, non- consanguineous,	norm al	LPCAT3 XIRP1	c.805C>T c.3442G>A	p.R269C p.V1148M		0.000004	deleterio us (0) deleterio us (0.01)	cong enital	56	no t do c	ye s	yes	spo r adi c
MD- 39	sporadic contracures, non- consanguineous, sporadic	1300	DMD DYSF FKTN	c.1252A>T c.3967C>G c.373G>A	p.T418S p.Q1323E p.G125S	Walker-Warburg	0.002	 tolerated (0.31)	early childhoo d	21	no	ye s	no	un kno wn
			COL6A3 PDE4DIP PDE4DIP ANK2	c.4510C>T c.5486C>T c.4063C>T c.4744C>T	p.R1504W p.S1829F p.R1355* p.R1582W	syndrome?	0.001 0.0001 0.0001 0.002	deleterio us (0.03)  deleterio us (0.01)	-					
MD- 40	contracures, non- consanguineous, sporadic	norm al	Col6A3 SUN1 TTN TTN ATP12A ANK2	c.3419C>T c.278A>C c.93768_93 769dup c.107840T> A c.349A>G c.31231C>A	p.T1140M p.Q93P p.K31257L fs*6 p.I35947N p.I117V p.T3744N		0.0004 0.004 novel 0.000004 0.00001	  tolerated (0.1) tolerated	5	46	ye s	ye s	no	spo r adi c

			INTS1	c.4969C>T	p.R1657C		0.00007	deleterio us (0)						
	contractures and		DYSF	c.3065G>A	p.R1022Q	ar, LGMD (CM090628)	0.014							
MD-	cardiac		COL6A2	c.1552C>T	p.P518S		0.003	tolerated			no t	ve		spo r
42	arrhythmia, non-	3000	COL6A3	c.4727G>A	p.R1576Q		0.004		adult	42	do	s	yes	adi
	sporadic		WDR43	c.366T>G	p.S122R		0.0003	tolerated (0.21)			с			с
	contractures and		DYSF	c.3992G>T	p.R1331L	Dysferlinopathy (CM103814)	0.016	tolerated (0.51)						
MD-	possible mild cardiac		TRIM32	c.558G>C	p.Q186H		0.001	deleterio us (0.04)			no t	ye		spo r
45	involvement, non-	1000	SUN1	c.335C>T	p.T112M		0.0002	tolerated	20s	46	do	s	yes	adi
	consanguineous, sporadic		BVES	c.275A>G	p.D92G		novel	(0.16) deleterio			с			с
MD-			Sece	c 7920>6	n 1264M		0.00006	us (0.01)	3 mths	5	VO	VO	20	
		1	3000	0.7920-0	p.12041vi		0.00000	deleterio		5	ye	ye	110	un
46								us (0.02)			S	S		kno
			COL6A1	c.347G>A	p.S116N	AD, BTHLM (CM050211)?	0.031							wn
			ITGA7	c.824G>A	p.R275H		0.033							
			<u>ТМЕМ20</u> 1	c.52G>A	p.G18S		novel	tolerated (0.86)						
MD-			COL6A2	c.316G>A	p.E106K	AD, BTHLM (CM050217)?	0.002	tolerated (1)	1	28	ye	ye	VOS	un
47			RYR2	c.1939C>T	p.R647C		0.0001	deleterio us (0.01)		20	S	s	yes	wn
			POMT1	c.1233C>A	p.D411E		0.035							
								deleterio						
MD-			RYR2	c.2444C>T	p.P815L		0.00003	us (0)			ye	ye		un
48			USP34	c.3938G>T	p.G1313V		novel	deleterio us (0)	5	21	s	s	no	kno wn
			ANK2	c.9854T>C	p.I3285T		0.009	deleterio us (0.05)						
MD-			SGCA	c.371T>C	p.I124T	LGMD	0.00006	deleterio	2	9	ye	ye	no	AD

49						us (0)			s	S		
	SGCG	c.320C>T	p.S107L		0.000008	deleterio us (0)						
	COL6A1	c.347G>A	p.S116N	AD, BTHLM (CM050211)?	0.031							
	RYR3	c.6698G>A	p.R2233Q		0.001	tolerated (0.06)						
	RYR3	c.9254C>G	p.P3085R		0.0004	tolerated (0.27)						
	RYR2	c.3407C>T	p.A1136V		0.007	tolerated (1)						
	DYSF	c.509C>A	p.A170E	Dysferlinopathy (CM053208)	0.004	tolerated (0.97)						
MD-	COL6A1	c.347G>A	p.S116N	AD, BTHLM (CM050211)?	0.031				ye			un
50	COL6A2	c.2558G>A	p.R853Q	AD, BTHLM (CM050225)?	0.004	tolerated (0.18)	4 mths	13	s	no	yes	kno wn
	AGRN	c.5201G>A	p.R1734H		0.004	deleterio us (0)						
	TAAENA20					tolerated			1			
	A	c.778G>A	p.D260N		0.002	(0.62)						
	A XIRP1	c.778G>A c.802_805d up	p.D260N p.A269Vfs *6		0.002 novel	(0.62)						
MD-	A XIRP1 POMT1	c.778G>A c.802_805d up c.1233C>A	p.D260N p.A269Vfs *6 p.D411E		0.002 novel 0.035	(0.62) 			ve			un
MD- 51	A XIRP1 POMT1 COL6A1	c.778G>A c.802_805d up c.1233C>A c.347G>A	p.D260N p.A269Vfs *6 p.D411E p.S116N	AD, BTHLM (CM050211)?	0.002 novel 0.035 0.031	(0.62) 	2	14	ye s	no	yes	un kno wn
MD- 51	A XIRP1 POMT1 COL6A1 DMD	c.778G>A c.802_805d up c.1233C>A c.347G>A c.3595G>A	p.D260N p.A269Vfs *6 p.D411E p.S116N p.E1199K	AD, BTHLM (CM050211)?	0.002 novel 0.035 0.031 novel	(0.62)  	2	14	ye s	no	yes	un kno wn
MD- 51 MD- 52	A XIRP1 POMT1 COL6A1 DMD SYNE2	c.778G>A c.802_805d up c.1233C>A c.347G>A c.3595G>A c.3595G>A	p.D260N p.A269Vfs *6 p.D411E p.S116N p.E1199K p.E608G	AD, BTHLM (CM050211)?	0.002 novel 0.035 0.031 novel 0.000004	(0.62)   tolerated (0.08)	2	14	ye s ye	no ye s	yes	un kno wn ar
MD- 51 MD- 52	A XIRP1 POMT1 COL6A1 DMD SYNE2 TMEM43	c.778G>A c.802_805d up c.1233C>A c.347G>A c.3595G>A c.1823A>G c.351dup	p.D260N p.A269Vfs *6 p.D411E p.S116N p.E1199K p.E608G p.H118Afs *11	AD, BTHLM (CM050211)?	0.002 novel 0.035 0.031 novel 0.000004	(0.62)   tolerated (0.08) 	2	14	ye s ye s	no ye s	yes	un kno wn ar
MD- 51 MD- 52 MD- 53	A XIRP1 POMT1 COL6A1 DMD SYNE2 TIMEM43 SYNE1	<ul> <li>c.778G&gt;A</li> <li>c.802_805d</li> <li>up</li> <li>c.1233C&gt;A</li> <li>c.347G&gt;A</li> <li>c.3595G&gt;A</li> <li>c.1823A&gt;G</li> <li>c.351dup</li> <li>c.17347G&gt;C</li> </ul>	p.D260N p.A269Vfs *6 p.D411E p.S116N p.E1199K p.E608G p.H118Afs *11 p.E5783Q	AD, BTHLM (CM050211)?	0.002 novel 0.035 0.031 0.000004 0.000004	(0.62) (0.62) (0.62) (0.62) (0.62) (0.08) (0.08) (0.08) (0.08) (0.08)	2 6 8 mths	14 24 5	ye s ye s ye	no ye s	yes no no	un kno wn ar un kno wn
MD- 51 MD- 52 MD- 53 MD-	A XIRP1 POMT1 COL6A1 DMD SYNE2 TMEM43 SYNE1 SYNE1 FKTN	<ul> <li>c.778G&gt;A</li> <li>c.802_805d</li> <li>up</li> <li>c.1233C&gt;A</li> <li>c.347G&gt;A</li> <li>c.3595G&gt;A</li> <li>c.1823A&gt;G</li> <li>c.351dup</li> <li>c.17347G&gt;C</li> <li>c.116C&gt;T</li> </ul>	p.D260N p.A269Vfs *6 p.D411E p.S116N p.E1199K p.E608G p.H118Afs *11 p.E5783Q p.R56C	AD, BTHLM (CM050211)?	0.002 novel 0.035 0.031 0.000004 0.000004 0.000004	(0.62) (0.62) (0.62) (0.62) (0.62) (0.08) (0.08) (0.08) (0.08) (0.08) (0.02)	2 6 8 mths 8	14 24 5 19	ye s ye s ye s ye	no ye s no	yes no no	un kno wn ar un kno wn un

				PLEC	c.8497_851 6dup	p.L2839*	novel							wn
				PLEC	c.11708G>C	p.R3903P	0.000004	deleterio us (0.01)						
				PLEC	c.8917G>A	p.D2973N	0.0004	deleterio us (0.01)						
				SYNE1	c.25403G>A	p.R8468H	0.0002	tolerated (0.61)						
				ТМЕМ21 4	c.787G>A	p.G263S	0.001	deleterio us (0.02)						
MD- 55				AGRN	c.5353G>A	p.D1785N	0.006	tolerated (0.51)	8	16	ye s	ye s	no	un kno wn
MD- 56	М	FSHD1	400 U/L	TMEM38 A	c.778_780d el	p.D260del	0.005	 deleterio	15	74	ye s	no	no	un kno
				KLHL31	c.1817G>A	p.G606D	novel	us (0)						wn
				RYR3	c.5356G>A	p.G1786S	0.001	(0.67)						

Light green: known disease associated genes (EDMD or similar MDs) with unlikely disease causing mutation or two genes of similar likelihood to be the causative disease allele (category 2 and 3).

Yellow: functional candidate gene mutations (category 1).

Purple: mutations in genes from the family sequencing (category 4).

identified in the nuclear envelope by proteomics; however, there was no bias towards the nuclear envelope when selecting genes for the primer library from similar muscular dystrophies or from exome sequencing. Nonetheless, the majority of genes from similar muscular dystrophies encode proteins for which at least a subpopulation associates with the nuclear envelope (Fig. 2b). Interestingly, just considering the candidates from the exome sequencing in which mutations were also found in other patients from the primer library sequencing, the nuclear envelope portion increased from less than 10% to more than 40% - considerably more than the overall genome portion of 5.9% (Fig. 2b). Of note, the proteins encoded by genes linked to other muscular dystrophies such as COL6A1, CAV3, DYSF, DMD, TTN, and VCP and the strongest family sequencing candidates INTS1 and ANK2 were all found in nuclear envelope proteomics datasets [16,29]. While these could reflect either a separate pool in the nuclear envelope or connections that were maintained during nuclear envelope isolation, this suggests at least an indirect physical connection of these candidates to the nuclear envelope.

The two top argued mechanisms for how mutations in nuclear envelope proteins can cause pathology are mechanical instability and genome misregulation. Genes in different candidate categories contained Gene Ontology (GO)-terms for functions in gene regulation, cytoskeleton, and both together. Interestingly, the likely candidates from all categories were enriched for genes simultaneously linked to both gene regulation and cytoskeleton GO-terms compared to the overall genome (Fig. 2c). Such genes may be involved in mechanosignal transduction to the genome. Consistent with this idea, most of the proteins encoded by the final candidate genes interact with other candidates according to interactome studies and these interactions form a chain of connectivity between the nuclear envelope and the plasma membrane via cytoskeletal proteins that could support mechanotransduction to the genome (Fig. 2d).

#### 3.4. Confirmation of novel EDMD alleles

Thus far only the three LMNA mutations, the CAV3 and one of the CAPN3 (MD-43) mutations have been fully confirmed as insufficient numbers of family members have come to clinic for linkage analysis. Therefore, to test the likelihood that other mutations identified cause EDMD disease pathology, we tested two of the gene regulating NETs to determine if the mutations identified disrupt their normal functions in myogenic gene regulation. In keeping with this idea, for the 8 out of 16 functional NET candidates where mutations were found (6 of which have known gene regulation functions), nearly all mutations identified faced the nucleoplasm or were positioned where they could alter membrane topology (Fig. 3a). The two muscle-specific NETs we chose to test were PLPP7/NET39 and Tmem38A. Both recruit largely non-overlapping sets of genes to the nuclear periphery to enhance their repression and many of the genes targeted are antagonistic to myogenesis or from alternate (non-muscle) differentiation pathways [18]. Combined knockdown of PLPP7/NET39, TMEM38A and WFS1 blocked myogenesis, providing a logical route from their disruption to muscle disease pathology. Therefore, the PLPP7 and TMEM38A mutations were exogenously expressed in C2C12 myoblast



**Fig. 3.** Mutations in muscle gene-repositioning NETs affect their ability to recruit genes to the nuclear envelope (NE). (a) Schematic presentation of the topology of further muscle NETs and their mutations identified by the primer library sequencing. The lipid bilayers of the nuclear envelope are shown in dark grey and the lumen of the nuclear envelope in light grey. Transmembrane segments are thicker black rectangles and point mutations identified are shown in blue. The mutations identified are all positioned in nucleoplasmic regions where they could either interact with the genome or at transmembrane spans where they could disrupt protein topology and hence also genome interactions. (b) FISH showing the localisation of the *DDR2* gene (green) in C2C12 mouse myoblasts upon the expression of RFP-tagged wild type and mutant TMEM38A that can be seen in both cases to target to the nuclear envelope (red, upper panel). The cumulative frequency of the distance of the gene loci to the NE for each mutation compared to the wild type is shown under each image of the cells expressing the mutant NETs and a whisker plot summary for the distance to the NE of all mutations is given in the lower left corner. Both mutations block the ability of TMEM38A to reposition the *DDR2* locus to the NE. (c) FISH showing the localisation of the *PTN* gene (green) in C2C12 mouse myoblasts upon the expression of RFP-tagged wild type and mutant YLPP7 (red, upper panel). Cumulative frequency plots of the distance of the gene loci to the NE for each mutation and the summary for the distance to the NE of all mutations are given as in *B*. The mutations also affect the gene repositioning function of PLPP7. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

cells to determine if they could perform the previously shown gene positioning function of the wild-type in recruiting specific gene targets to the nuclear periphery for enhanced repression. Tmem38A normally repositions the DDR2 gene locus to the nuclear envelope to repress it during myogenesis, but with mutations p.N260D and p. N260del it fails to do so (Fig. 3b). Similarly, PLPP7/NET39 normally recruits the PTN gene locus to the nuclear envelope to repress it during myogenesis, but with mutation p.R252P it could not. PLPP7/ NET39 mutation p.M92K also affected the gene positioning, though apparently in the opposite direction which might also affect expression (Fig. 3c). Testing effects of both PLPP7 and TMEM38A mutations on nuclear morphology [30] did show that only TMEM38A p.N260D had a slight increase in numbers of abnormally shaped nuclei (Supplementary Fig. 1).

#### 4. Discussion

Failure of high throughput genomic approaches to identify new disease alleles can at least in some cases be overcome by our multistage approach. This approach pinpointed candidates in part based on the preferential tissue focus of pathology and in part on the subcellular localisation of known alleles. Similarly applying filters in focusing candidates for such a multipronged approach can be applied to other genetically heterogeneous diseases.

With nearly half of EDMD cases previously linked to genes encoding 6 nuclear envelope proteins it was clear that EDMD is a nuclear envelope disease. This is strengthened by enrichment for nuclear envelope proteins amongst our top new candidate alleles. COL6A1, CAV3, DYSF, DMD, TTN, and VCP gene products were found in muscle nuclear envelopes [16]. As most of these proteins have previously been associated with the cytoskeleton or plasma membrane, their association with the nuclear envelope may be indirect through lamin-cytoskeletal connections. However, this association could also be due to splice variants that target to the nuclear envelope or specific translocation to the nucleus under certain conditions as has been shown for CAV3 family member caveolin 2. In this case, a caveolin 2 subpopulation translocates to the nucleus and interacts with lamin A to regulate histone modifications and gene expression [31].

The gene positioning defects for TMEM38A and PLPP7 mutations not only further link the nuclear envelope to EDMD, but also strengthen the idea that misregulation of myogenic gene expression is the primary cause of EDMD pathology. In addition to Tmem38A and Plpp7, the muscle NETs Tmem214, WFS1, and NET5/Samp1 all have gene-repositioning functions that contribute to gene regulation and the NET MAN1 affects gene regulation through its interactions with Smads as well as binding several chromatin partners [32]. The involvement of these muscle gene repositioning NETs, not only as novel causative alleles but also in mediating EDMD pathology caused by mutations in widely expressed nuclear envelope proteins, is further supported by WFS1, Tmem214, Tmem38A, and NET5/Samp1 being mislocalised in isolated differentiating EDMD muscle cells or muscle biopsy sections [33].

Of the previously EDMD-linked nuclear envelope proteins, Lamin A has both cytoskeletal and genome regulation functions; so its mutation could support both mechanical instability and genome misregulation hypotheses for EDMD pathophysiology [34-37]. Emerin interacts with actin supporting a cytoskeletal role, but it also has many reported contributions to genome regulation through its binding DNA condensing factors BAF and HDAC3, splicing factors, the transcription factor Lmo7, and the transcriptional repressor germ cell-less [38]. FLH1 is linked to signal transduction and splice variant FHL1B targets specifically to the nuclear envelope [39]. Moreover, FHL1 has been linked to other myopathies such as X-linked myopathy with postural muscle atrophy (XMPMA) [40] via its signal transduction function.

19

As signalling functions could affect both gene regulation and the cytoskeleton, these mechanisms toward pathology were considered equally likely; however, a gene mis-regulation mechanism is much more likely now with the new gene-repositioning candidate alleles identified. Though there are some other disparate functions reported for several of these NETs [16,41,42], WFS1, Tmem38A/TRIC-A, NET39/ PLPP7, Tmem214 and NET5/Samp1 are all at the nuclear envelope preferentially in muscle and all share a common function in directing gene-repositioning for regulation of gene expression during myogenesis [18]. That some of these muscle-specific NETs had overlap in their functions further supports the possibility of their working in a common pathway towards EDMD pathophysiology. Interestingly, Tmem214 regulated genes exhibited considerable overlap with WFS1, Tmem38A, and NET39 regulated genes, suggesting it functions in mechanosignal transduction, while the others each had principally unique gene targets. The links of candidate alleles to gene misregulation are the more compelling in this context because the different sets of genes regulated by WFS1, Tmem38A, and NET39 - all important in myogenesis - thus support the clinical variation observed in EDMD.

Our sequencing in patients diagnosed with an EDMD-like phenotype identified mutations in several genes linked to muscular dystrophies that share clinical features with EDMD. This might reflect incorrect diagnoses or their involvement in EDMD. The latter case seems likely, considering that COL6A1, CAV3, DYSF, DMD, TTN, and VCP gene products link to the nuclear envelope. Indeed, many of these gene products interact with one another in a way that could form a chain from the plasma membrane to the nuclear envelope (Fig. 2d). This also is compelling for the gene regulation pathomechanism as this chain could enable mechanosignal transduction to the nucleus.

Finally, as the families chosen for exome sequencing all had differences in presentation, there are likely additional mutations picked up in the primer library that may be disease modifiers and so might eventually be used as predictors of severity or other aspects of presentation such as cardiac involvement once further sequencing reveals better correlations. Thus it would be beneficial to continue using this primer library diagnostically both to find these correlations and because it is cheaper and faster than standard iterative Sanger sequencing for such a genetically variable disease to identify mutations in known linked genes. In general, this iterative multipronged approach, combining into a primer library a set of preliminary candidates from exome sequencing in which only sufficient pedigrees exist for partial linkage analysis together with candidates from related disorders and candidates specific to the tissue where pathology is manifested that are associated with linked organelles and functions, might be applied to a wider range of genetically heterogeneous orphan diseases where insufficient numbers of patients are available for standard genome and exome approaches to be effective. A further benefit of this primer library is the possibility to add additional genes at a later time point. This might become necessary when a better understanding of EDMD identifies more causative or modifying genes involved in the disease pathology.

#### **Funding sources**

Funding for this work was principally provided by Wellcome Trust Grants 095209, Muscular Dystrophy UK grant 18GRO-PG24-0248, and MRC grant MR/R018073/1 to ECS and 092076 for the Centre for Cell Biology. Funding was also provided by the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) "Integrated European –omics research project for diagnosis and therapy in rare neuromuscular and neurodegenerative diseases (NEUROMICS)" (grant agreement no. 2012-305121); the Muscular Dystrophy UK Grant on Gene Identification to FM, and the support of the MRC Neuromuscular Centre Biobank at UCL is also gratefully acknowledged. The funders played no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, interpretation, and writing of the report.

### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

Dr. Meinke reports personal fees from Greenovation Biopharmaceuticals, outside the submitted work: Dr. Harris reports grants from Muscular Dystrophy-UK, during the conduct of the study: Dr. Koelbel reports personal fees from Santhera, personal fees from Sarepta, personal fees from Biogen, personal fees from Avexis, outside the submitted work; Dr Schara reports personal fees for consulting from Alexion, Santhera, PTC, Ipsen, Biogen, Sarepta, Dynacure, Novartis, Avexis and Sanofi; Dr. Muntoni reports personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from Roche, personal fees from Biogen, personal fees from Avexis, personal fees from Sarepta, grants from Sarepta, outside the submitted work; Dr. Schoser reports Advisory boards: Amicus Therapeutics, Audentes Therapeutics, Nexien Biopharm, Lupin therapeutics, Sanofi Genzyme. Contracted research: Amicus therapeutics, Greenovation Biopharm, Sanofi Genzyme. Honoraria: Kedrion. Travel expenses: Sanofi Genzyme. Dr. Kerr, Dr. Czapiewski, Dr. de las Heras, Mr. Dixon, Dr. Straub, Dr. Wehnert and Dr. Schirmer have nothing to disclose.

#### Acknowledgements

We dedicate this study in honour of Alan E.H. Emery who not only described X-linked EDMD as a distinct disease in 1966 but also helped instigate the longest standing regular Laminopathy meeting in 2006. We further want to thank all patients and their families for their valuable contribution.

#### Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.11.048.

#### References

- Hughes MI, Hicks EM, Nevin NC, Patterson VH. The prevalence of inherited neuromuscular disease in Northern Ireland. Neuromuscul Disord 1996;6(1):69–73.
- [2] Norwood FL, Harling C, Chinnery PF, Eagle M, Bushby K, Straub V. Prevalence of genetic muscle disease in Northern England: in-depth analysis of a muscle clinic population. Brain 2009;132(11):3175–86.
- [3] Emery AE, Dreifuss FE. Unusual type of benign x-linked muscular dystrophy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1966;29(4):338–42.
- [4] Emery AE. Emery-Dreifuss syndrome. J Med Genet 1989;26(10):637-41 [Review].
- [5] Knoblauch H, Geier C, Adams S, Budde B, Rudolph A, Zacharias U, et al. Contractures and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in a novel FHL1 mutation. Ann Neurol 2010;67(1):136–40.
- [6] Bonne G, Mercuri E, Muchir A, Urtizberea A, Becane HM, Recan D, et al. Clinical and molecular genetic spectrum of autosomal dominant Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy due to mutations of the lamin A/C gene. Ann Neurol 2000;48 (2):170–80.
- [7] Bione S, Maestrini E, Rivella S, Mancini M, Regis S, Romeo G, et al. Identification of a novel X-linked gene responsible for Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy. Nat Genet 1994;8(4):323–7.
- [8] Bonne G, Di Barletta MR, Varnous S, Becane HM, Hammouda EH, Merlini L, et al. Mutations in the gene encoding lamin A/C cause autosomal dominant Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy. Nat Genet 1999;21(3):285–8.
- [9] Zhang Q, Bethmann C, Worth NF, Davies JD, Wasner C, Feuer A, et al. Nesprin-1 and -2 are involved in the pathogenesis of Emery Dreifuss muscular dystrophy and are critical for nuclear envelope integrity. Hum Mol Genet 2007;16 (23):2816–33.
- [10] Meinke P, Mattioli E, Haque F, Antoku S, Columbaro M, Straatman KR, et al. Muscular dystrophy-associated SUN1 and SUN2 variants disrupt nuclear-cytoskeletal connections and myonuclear organization. PLoS Genet 2014;10(9):e1004605.
- [11] Gueneau L, Bertrand AT, Jais JP, Salih MA, Stojkovic T, Wehnert M, et al. Mutations of the FHL1 gene cause Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy. Am J Hum Genet 2009;85(3):338–53.
- [12] Muntoni F, Bonne G, Goldfarb LG, Mercuri E, Piercy RJ, Burke M, et al. Disease severity in dominant Emery Dreifuss is increased by mutations in both emerin and desmin proteins. Brain 2006;129(5):1260–8.
- [13] Meinke P. Molecular genetics of Emery–Dreifuss muscular dystrophy. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2018.
- [14] Meinke P, Schirmer EC. The increasing relevance of nuclear envelope myopathies. Curr Opin Neurol 2016;29(5):651–61.

- [15] Wilkie GS, Schirmer EC. Guilt by association: the nuclear envelope proteome and disease. Mol Cell Proteomics 2006;5(10):1865–75.
- [16] Wilkie GS, Korfali N, Swanson SK, Malik P, Srsen V, Batrakou DG, et al. Several novel nuclear envelope transmembrane proteins identified in skeletal muscle have cytoskeletal associations. Mol Cell Proteomics 2011;10(1) M110 003129.
- [17] Osorio DS, Gomes ER. Connecting the nucleus to the cytoskeleton for nuclear positioning and cell migration. Adv Exp Med Biol 2014;773:505–20.
- [18] Robson MI, de Las Heras JI, Czapiewski R, Le Thanh P, Booth DG, Kelly DA, et al. Tissue-Specific gene repositioning by muscle nuclear membrane proteins enhances repression of critical developmental genes during myogenesis. Mol Cell 2016;62(6):834–47.
- [19] Zuleger N, Boyle S, Kelly DA, de las Heras JI, Lazou V, Korfali N, et al. Specific nuclear envelope transmembrane proteins can promote the location of chromosomes to and from the nuclear periphery. Genome Biol 2013 15;14(2):R14.
- [20] Zuleger N, Boyle S, Kelly DA, de las Heras JI, Lazou V, Korfali N, et al. Specific nuclear envelope transmembrane proteins can promote the location of chromosomes to and from the nuclear periphery. Genome Biol 2013;14(2):2013–4.
- [21] McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, et al. The genome analysis toolkit: a mapreduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res 2010;20(9):1297–303.
- [22] DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, Hartl C, et al. A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nat Genet 2011;43(5):491–8.
- [23] Van der Auwera GA, Carneiro MO, Hartl C, Poplin R, Del Angel G, Levy-Moonshine A, et al. From FastQ data to high confidence variant calls: the genome analysis toolkit best practices pipeline. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics 2013;43 11 0 1-33.
- [24] Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, Cummings BB, Alföldi J, Wang Q, et al. Variation across 141,456 human exomes and genomes reveals the spectrum of loss-offunction intolerance across human protein-coding genes. bioRxiv. 2019:531210.
- [25] Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 2013;29(1):15–21.
- [26] Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 2009;25(16):2078–9.
- [27] Ramirez F, Dundar F, Diehl S, Gruning BA, Manke T. deepTools: a flexible platform for exploring deep-sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 2014;42:W187–91.
- [28] Flanigan KM, von Niederhausern A, Dunn DM, Alder J, Mendell JR, Weiss RB. Rapid direct sequence analysis of the dystrophin gene. Am J Hum Genet 2003;72 (4):931–9.
- [29] Korfali N, Wilkie GS, Swanson SK, Srsen V, de Las Heras J, Batrakou DG, et al. The nuclear envelope proteome differs notably between tissues. Nucleus 2012;3 (6):552–64.
- [30] Filippi-Chiela EC, Oliveira MM, Jurkovski B, Callegari-Jacques SM, da Silva VD, Lenz G. Nuclear morphometric analysis (NMA): screening of senescence, apoptosis and nuclear irregularities. PLoS ONE 2012;7(8):e42522.
- [31] Jeong K, Kwon H, Lee J, Jang D, Pak Y. Insulin-response epigenetic activation of Egr-1 and JunB genes at the nuclear periphery by A-type lamin-associated pY19-Caveolin-2 in the inner nuclear membrane. Nucleic Acids Res 2015;43(6):3114– 27.
- [32] Liu J, Lee KK, Segura-Totten M, Neufeld E, Wilson KL, Gruenbaum Y. MAN1 and emerin have overlapping function(s) essential for chromosome segregation and cell division in caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003;100 (8):4598–603.
- [33] Mattioli E, Columbaro M, Jafferali MH, Schena E, Hallberg E, Lattanzi G. Samp1 mislocalization in Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy. Cells 2018;7(10).
- [34] Broers JL, Kuijpers HJ, Ostlund C, Worman HJ, Endert J, Ramaekers FC. Both lamin A and lamin C mutations cause lamina instability as well as loss of internal nuclear lamin organization. Exp Cell Res 2005;304(2):582–92.
- [35] Lammerding J, Hsiao J, Schulze PC, Kozlov S, Stewart CL, Lee RT. Abnormal nuclear shape and impaired mechanotransduction in emerin-deficient cells. J Cell Biol 2005;170(5):781–91.
- [36] Rowat AC, Lammerding J, Ipsen JH. Mechanical properties of the cell nucleus and the effect of emerin deficiency. Biophys J 2006;91(12):4649–64.
- [37] Hakelien AM, Delbarre E, Gaustad KG, Buendia B, Collas P. Expression of the myodystrophic R453W mutation of lamin A in C2C12 myoblasts causes promoter-specific and global epigenetic defects. Exp Cell Res 2008;314(8):1869–80.
  [38] Holaska JM, Wilson KL. An emerin "proteome": purification of distinct emerin-
- [38] Holaska JM, Wilson KL. An emerin "proteome": purification of distinct emerincontaining complexes from HeLa cells suggests molecular basis for diverse roles including gene regulation, mRNA splicing, signaling, mechanosensing, and nuclear architecture. Biochemistry 2007;46(30):8897–908.
- [39] Ziat E, Mamchaoui K, Beuvin M, Nelson I, Azibani F, Spuler S, et al. FHL1B interacts with lamin A/C and emerin at the nuclear lamina and is misregulated in Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy. J Neuromuscul Dis 2016;3(4):497–510.
- [40] Windpassinger C, Schoser B, Straub V, Hochmeister S, Noor A, Lohberger B, et al. An X-linked myopathy with postural muscle atrophy and generalized hypertrophy, termed XMPMA, is caused by mutations in FHL1. Am J Hum Genet 2008;82 (1):88–99.
- [41] Buch C, Lindberg R, Figueroa R, Gudise S, Onischenko E, Hallberg E. An integral protein of the inner nuclear membrane localizes to the mitotic spindle in mammalian cells. J Cell Sci 2009;122(12):2100–7.
- [42] Tao S, Yamazaki D, Komazaki S, Zhao C, Iida T, Kakizawa S, et al. Facilitated hyperpolarization signaling in vascular smooth muscle-overexpressing TRIC-A channels. J Biol Chem 2013;288(22):15581–9.