

# THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

# Edinburgh Research Explorer Pliocene–Pleistocene sedimentary and geomorphologic development of the Vasilikos river catchment, S Cyprus, in relation to uplift of the Troodos ophiolite and climate-related changes

#### Citation for published version:

Murray, H & Robertson, AH 2019, 'Pliocene–Pleistocene sedimentary and geomorphologic development of the Vasilikos river catchment, S Cyprus, in relation to uplift of the Troodos ophiolite and climate-related changes', Geological Magazine, pp. 1-30. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756819001134

#### **Digital Object Identifier (DOI):** 10.1017/S0016756819001134

#### Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

**Document Version:** Peer reviewed version

**Published In:** Geological Magazine

#### **General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Pliocene-Pleistocene sedimentary and geomorphologic development of the Vasilikos river
 catchment, S Cyprus in relation to uplift of the Troodos ophiolite and climate-related
 changes

4

### 5 HANNAH MURRAY & ALASTAIR H. F. ROBERTSON

- 6
- 7 School of GeoSciences,
- 8 Grant Institute,
- 9 University of Edinburgh,
- 10 James Hutton Road, Edinburgh,
- 11 EH9 3FE,
- 12 UK
- 13

## 14 Abstract

15 The Pleistocene development of the Vasilikos River exemplifies the interaction of focused, 16 tectonically-induced surface uplift and climate-influenced changes. The resulting sediments 17 are well exposed in Vasilikos Quarry and in the main river catchment farther east. An 18 important erosional surface incises the highest-level (oldest) fluvial conglomerates, down into 19 Late Pliocene-Early Pleistocene open-marine mudrocks (Nicosia Formation), allowing 20 integration with the circum-Cyprus sedimentary-geomorphic development (F1-F4 stages). To 21 determine where the quarry deposits lie in relation to the Vasilikos river catchment, the fluvial 22 deposits were mapped and valley profiles were constructed, revealing four main episodes, each 23 associated with incision and distinctive fluvial deposition. Source lithology strongly influenced 24 channel morphology, infill and adjacent slope-sediment (colluvium) composition. Paleosols, 25 particularly red-brown terra rossa, developed on abandoned fluvial terraces and adjacent 26 hillslopes, especially overlying F3 surfaces. The combined evidence allows close correlation 27 of the Vasilikos river and quarry deposits. Relatively coarse (chalky conglomerate/breccia) and 28 fine-grained colluvium (calcareous silt--Cyprus harvara) developed especially on lower 29 hillslopes following incision (mainly above F2 and F3 surfaces). Based on regional 30 comparisons, overall sediment aggregation ended during the Early Pleistocene. The F1-F2 31 surfaces and deposits are inferred to be Middle Pleistocene, the F3 ones later Middle 32 Pleistocene and the F4 ones near the Middle-Late Pleistocene boundary. Geomorphology and 33 deposition were tectonically forced during strong, focussed Early-Mid Pleistocene surface 34 uplift. Coarse clastic ruff-off and paleosol development (terra rossa) and related sediment 35 aggradation are inferred to have increased during warm, humid periods. Late Pleistocene 36 geomorphology and deposition were more influenced by climatic change, with semi-perennial

37	stream flow, rapid sediment aggradation and paleosol (terra rossa) development during warm,
38	humid periods (interglacials). Cooler (glacial) periods enhanced fluvial incision, sediment
39	bypassing and hillslope colluvial processes (e.g. frost shattering, downslope creep and mass
40	flow) when sediment transport (by-passing) exceeded sediment supply. Neotectonic faulting
41	affected the catchment but did not greatly affect geomorphology or sediment supply. Although
42	climate/climate change (and eustatic sea-level change) had an important influence tectonics is
43	interpreted as the fundamental driver of geomorphological development and fluvial
44	sedimentation, with implications for other areas, regionally to globally.
45	
46	
47	Key words: Troodos Massif, river terraces, alluvium, colluvium, paleosols, fluvial processes
48	
49	

#### 50 **1. Introduction**

51 The processes and timing of orogenic uplift in relation to clastic sedimentation and slope 52 development are topics of fundamental geological importance (Blum & Törnqvist, 2000; Allen, 53 2008; Bridgland & Westaway, 2008; Whittaker, 2012; Jamieson & Beaumont, 2013; D'Arcy 54 & Whitaker, 2014; Jia et al. 2015). The Eastern Mediterranean region is very well suited for 55 such studies (Maklin et al. 2002) because major uplift has taken place during the last 3 Ma, 56 notably within and around Anatolia (Glover & Robertson 1998; Maddy et al. 2008; Seyrek et al. 2008; Cosentino 2012; Schildgen et al. 2012, 2014; Duman et al. 2017; Fig. 1). Cyprus is 57 58 of particular interest because of the rapid uplift of both the Troodos Massif in the centre and the Kyrenia Range in the north of the island, mainly during the Pleistocene (McCallum & 59 60 Robertson, 1990; Poole & Robertson, 1991; Kinnaird et al. 2011; Weber et al. 2011; 61 Palamakumbura et al. 2016; Palamakumbura & Robertson, 2016a). A range of proximal to 62 distal, continental to marine facies are exposed around the periphery of the Troodos Massif 63 (Poole et al. 1990; Poole & Robertson, 2000) (Fig. 1, inset), which is a key area for the study 64 of clastic sedimentation and geomorphology related to uplift (Poole & Robertson, 1998; Main 65 et al. 2016). The Troodos Massif is widely accepted to have been located in a supra-subduction ('fore-arc') setting during Neogene time related to diachronous continental Collison of the 66 67 African and Eurasian plates (Robertson, 1990; Anastasakis & Kelling, 1991; Zitter et al. 2005; 68 Kinnaird & Robertson, 2013), although other tectonic models have been proposed (Sage & 69 Letouzey, 1990; Harrison et al. 2004; Calon et al. 2005).

70 A key requirement for uplift-related geomorphological and sedimentological studies is a 71 good understanding of the geology and structure of the Troodos Massif. Previous studies 72 indicate that the main uplift of the Troodos Massif was focussed on Mount Olympos, resulting 73 in overall radial drainage and clastic sediment distribution, as indicated by paleocurrent data 74 (Poole & Robertson, 1998, 2000). Because the Troodos ophiolite is well mapped (Constantinou, 1995), sediment provenance in many areas around the Troodos Massif can be 75 76 related to specific outcrops, with known erosional properties (e.g. chert vs. basalt) and 77 predictable distances of transport. Fluvial and marine terraces have been traced generally 78 around the Troodos Massif without marked disruption or abrupt changes in height, suggesting 79 that the Troodos Massif was uplifted essentially as a coherent structural unit (Poole *et al.* 1990; 80 Harrison et al. 2013). Some other fore-arc settings, in contrast, are highly fault-segmented. For 81 example, in the fore arc of the Island of Crete, Pleistocene sediments are offset by major 82 margin-parallel, high-angle extensional faults which have created syn-tectonic sedimentary

basins (Caputo *et al.* 2010; Gallen *et al.* 2014). Similarly, the adjacent Messenia Peninsula, SW
Peloponnese, is also strongly fault segmented (Kourampas & Robertson, 2000; Fountoulis *et al.* 2014).

86 Although the Troodos Massif has risen as a relatively coherent entity, this has been modified 87 by neotectonic faulting in some areas. The most notable of these is the Polis graben of west Cyprus, which has continued to be highly active during Late Miocene to Recent time 88 89 (Robertson, 1977a; Payne & Robertson, 1995; Balmer et al. 2017). In addition, coastal SE 90 Cyprus is cut by numerous high-angle c. E-W faults that are related to regional strike-slip 91 faulting (Soulas, 2002; Soulas & Geoter Consortium, 2005; Kinnaird, 2008; Harrison et al. 92 2013; Kinnaird & Robertson 2013). Neotectonic faulting could therefore have significantly 93 affected the geomorphology and sedimentation in some areas.

94 In this paper, we specifically consider the example of the Vasilikos river catchment in 95 southern Cyprus (Fig. 2). This is a classic area for study because geomorphic terraces, slopes, 96 fossil soils (paleosols) and fluvial deposits are all well developed in successive stages during 97 the Pleistocene. Pleistocene fluvial deposits, termed Fanglomerate, were initially mapped along 98 the southern margin of the Troodos Massif (Morel, 1960) and interpreted as alluvial fans 99 derived from the Troodos ophiolite and its sedimentary cover (Bagnall, 1960). Gomez (1987) 100 identified four terrace levels within the Vasilikos river valley and suggested that younger 101 terraces are located at progressively lower topographic levels as a result successive incision. 102 The Vasilikos river valley is known to have been affected by neotectonic faulting in some areas 103 (Soulas 2002; Kinnaird & Robertson, 2013). This raises the question as to whether such 104 faulting could have had a significant effect on the geomorphology of the river catchment and 105 thus on clastic sediment supply.

Around the Troodos Massif as a whole the oldest Pleistocene fluvial sediments have been successively incised by younger fluvial sediments as surface uplift proceeded (Poole *et al.* 1990; Poole & Robertson, 1991). This resulted in four main stages of fluvial accumulation, that were termed F1 (oldest) to F4 (youngest), as identified in many areas (Poole & Robertson, 2000; Main *et al.* 2016).

111 On the other hand, a detailed study of the much-visited, large Vasilikos Quarry (Fig. 2) near 112 the SW periphery of the lower Vasilikos river catchment has been described and interpreted, 113 alternatively as an essentially two-phase, *aggrading* fluvial succession (Waters *et al.* 2010). 114 The sediments in the quarry are very well exposed, allowing individual depositional units (e.g. 115 fore-set bedding; channels) to be observed in three dimensions (Waters *et al.* 2010). The 116 present interpretation of the facies exposed in the quarry contrasts with the evidence of 117 successive down-cutting during the Pleistocene, as inferred in many other areas (Poole et al. 118 1990; Poole & Robertson, 1991; Main et al. 2016). Waters et al. (2010) inferred that alluvial 119 fan deposits, dominated by conglomerates and sandstones, lie disconformably above open-120 marine silty mudstones of the Late Pliocene-Early Pleistocene Nicosia Formation. The base of 121 this alluvial fan above the Nicosia Formation is located at c. 50 ASL (above sea level). A 122 caliche sample from the upper part of the alluvial succession yielded a U-series age of c. 59 ka 123 (Waters et al. 2010), which implies remarkably rapid uplift since that time, averaging 84 cm/ka. 124 The authors concluded that a major alluvial fan aggraded during the late Pleistocene over c. 125 120,000 years, generally equivalent to MIS (marine isotope stage) 5 to MIS 1. This compares 126 with uplift rates of  $\sim 24$  cm/ka for the Early to Mid-Pleistocene and  $\sim 5$  cm/ka for the Late 127 Pleistocene time periods, based on dating of south-Cyprus coastal marine terraces using 128 solitary coral (Poole et al. 1990).

129 The apparent discrepancy in inferred uplift rates between coastal southern Cyprus generally 130 and Vasilikos Quarry specifically raises the question as to whether the quarry could have been 131 strongly uplifted by neotectonic faulting (and any other related neotectonic processes; e.g. folding), which if valid, could have also had a major effect on the geomorphology and clastic 132 133 sediment supply in the adjacent Vasilikos river catchment. Neotectonic faulting is known to 134 have affected the Vasilikos river catchment (Soulas, 2002; Soulas & Geoter Consortium, 2005; 135 Kinnaird, 2008; Kinnaird & Robertson 2013; this study-see below). However, it is uncertain 136 whether this faulting significantly affected uplift rates in any part of the Vasilikos river catchment. Alternatively, the reported c. 59 ka caliche age might not be correct. 137

To test the existing alternative interpretations, it is necessary to correlate the sedimentary record in the Vasilikos Quarry with the combined geomorphological and sedimentary development of the adjacent Vasilikos river catchment within the regional temporal framework.

142

Here, we report new field evidence of a hitherto unknown, major angular discontinuity within 143 144 the Pleistocene succession in the Vasilikos Quarry. As a result, the local fluvial stratigraphy 145 can be re-interpreted as recording successive phases of downcutting, as reported elsewhere 146 around the Troodos Massif (Poole et al. 1990; Poole & Robertson, 1991; Main et al. 2016). 147 During the present work, fluvial terraces within the Vasilikos river valley were found to be 148 much more extensive than previously documented, allowing three-dimensional relationships 149 to be determined through time. Successive phases of development (from the coast up-150 catchment for c. 15 km) can be recognised by an integration of geomorphological and 151 sedimentological evidence related to successive fluvial incision. This allows the Vasilikos river 152 valley and the adjacent quarry sediments to be correlated for the first time. This has wider 153 implications for other areas of Cyprus and the Eastern Mediterranean region, including the 154 relative role of local neotectonic faulting compared to more regional-scale uplift in controlling 155 geomorphology and related sedimentation. In addition, climatic change (and potentially sea 156 level change) have also had an important influence on the geomorphology and sediment 157 deposition within the Vasilikos river catchment, as elsewhere in Cyprus, and an attempt is 158 made to unravel the relative roles of these processes.

- 159
- 160

#### 2. Methods and nomenclature

161 To understand the facies and facies distribution, detailed field observations and sedimentary 162 logs were made within and around Vasilikos Quarry, and also within the adjacent Vasilikos 163 river catchment (mainly south of Vasilikos Dam), where possible (Fig. 2). River terraces within 164 the catchment were mapped by using a combination of topographic maps, Geographical 165 Information Systems (GIS) (using ArcGIS 10.1) and Google Earth satellite imagery. Ten-metre 166 contours were taken from the 1:25,000 Geological Survey Department map of the area 167 (Pantazis, 1966), converted to a digital elevation matrix (DEM), and used to generate a series 168 of cross-sectional valley profiles that highlight geomorphological surfaces. The overall 169 Pleistocene development of the Vasilikos river catchment was then determined using the 170 combined geomorphological and sedimentary evidence, in turn allowing a detailed correlation 171 with the logged sequences in Vasilikos Quarry. In addition to fluvial sediments, paleosols, 172 colluvium and secondary deposits (e.g. caliche) shed light on climate and climatic change. 173 Many studies focus on individual facies, whereas here we have been able to establish a 174 developing geomorphological framework as a basis to interpret the inter-relations of the 175 different sediment types as large-scale uplift and incision have proceeded during the 176 Pleistocene.

In this study, we follow the nomenclature of Poole & Robertson (1991), in which the topographically highest of the non-marine conglomerates (Fanglomerate Group) is termed F1 (i.e. Fanglomerate 1). Topographically lower conglomerates are correspondingly termed F2, F3 and F4. However, no relative ages were initially assumed. Each of these conglomerates form the four main terrace levels within the Vasilikos river valley that were originally identified by Gomez (1987). Elsewhere in the Kyrenia Range, N Cyprus where marine and non-marine terraces are thicker and more extensive the geomorphological surfaces underlying the overlying the deposits have been identified and classified separately (Palamakumbura et al.
2016 a, b; Palamakumbura and Robertson 2016 a). However, within the Vasilikos river
catchment the fluvial terraces are mainly restricted to thin, discontinuous remnants of valley
fill (of similar heights above sea level) and thus a single F1-F4 classification suffices.

Any interpretation of sedimentation in and around the Vasilikos river catchment must also take account of several types of associated finer-grained deposits, of both primary and secondary origin, two of which have local Cyprus names:

- First there is harvara, a term used in Cyprus for surficial (primary) sedimentary deposits (Bellamy & Jukes-Brown, 1905). Harvara is a form of colluvium related to hillslope adjustment. Unconsolidated calcareous sediment predominates, with variable amounts of detrital material, locally including rock clasts (Pantazis, 1967, 1973). Harvara is commonly interbedded with brownish grey to reddish-coloured paleosols, some of which correspond to the widespread Mediterranean terra rossa (Schaetzl & Andreson, 2005).
- Secondly, the fluvial terraces are commonly capped by a calcareous crust of secondary origin termed kafkalla (Pantazis, 1967, 1973). Kafkalla is preferentially developed above carbonate rocks and sediments. Two types commonly occur; first, calcrete, a cemented surface with or without clasts and secondly, caliche which is typically nodular or pipe shaped. Although preferentially developed above carbonate rocks and sediments, caliche and calcrete can also occur within and above ophiolite-derived sandstone and conglomerate.

Kafkalla (calcrete and caliche), harvara (colluvium) and paleosols (e.g. terra rossa) encode
important geomorphological and climatic information and therefore play an important role in
understanding of the Vasilikos catchment.

- 206
- 207

#### 3. Vasilikos Quarry and adjacent natural exposure

Below, we focus on the successive sedimentary events that can be inferred from the Vasilikos
Quarry. However, we begin by outlining the upward sedimentary passage from the Pliocene
than can be inferred from an adjacent natural escarpment.

- 211
- 212

#### 3.1.Exposures in the vicinity of Vasilikos Quarry

Key sedimentary information comes from within and adjacent to Vasilikos Quarry (Fig. 2). An overall Late Pliocene-Early Pleistocene succession is well-exposed along a WNW-ESE trending topographic escarpment, c. 1.8 km northwest of the quarry (Fig. 3 a, b). Localised exposures of Pliocene-Pleistocene sediments in this area have been referred to as the Mari basin

(JE McCallum, unpub. PhD thesis, Univ. Edinburgh, 1989). The local succession begins with 217 218 Messinian evaporites (locally gypsum breccias) that are exposed near the Limassol-Nicosia 219 highway to the west (Fig. 3 a). The evaporites are unconformably overlain by a relatively thin 220 (c. 60 m) succession of marine mudrocks of the Nicosia Formation (Henson, 1949; Ducloz, 221 1964; JE McCallum, unpub. PhD thesis, Univ. Edinburgh, 1989). The base of the succession 222 includes clastic intervals and is followed by marine silts of Early Pliocene age, in which 223 ostracod fauna suggest open-marine shelf-depth accumulation (JE McCallum, unpub. PhD 224 thesis, Univ. Edinburgh, 1989). This is followed by a lenticular body of coarse clastic 225 sediments (termed the Vasilikos Formation by JE McCallum (unpub. PhD thesis, Univ. 226 Edinburgh, 1989) (Fig. 3 b), which have been interpreted to represent the overall progradation 227 of a fan-delta towards the SE (JE McCallum, unpub. PhD thesis, Univ. Edinburgh, 1989; p. 228 179-182). A series of braided streams and gravelly, to sandy bars, was inferred and related to 229 tectonically-driven fluvial incision. The conglomeratic facies occurs between the Pliocene-230 Early Pleistocene Nicosia Formation and the Pleistocene Fanglomerate Group, suggesting an 231 Early Pleistocene age for these deposits (JE McCallum, unpub. PhD thesis, Univ. 232 Edinburgh, 1989).

233 The overall upward transition from fine to coarse-grained sediments was studied during this 234 work to facilitate facies comparisons with the Vasilikos Quarry and the adjacent river valley. 235 Marine mudrocks are overlain by four-main laterally persistent units of sand/conglomerate, 236 which become generally coarser and more laterally extensive upwards (Fig. 3 a, b). Interbedded marls become more sand-rich upwards and contain reworked oyster shells (mostly 237 238 fragmentary). The conglomerates are lenticular (up to 2 m thick by up to 10 m wide) and are 239 matrix-supported. Clasts are mainly well-rounded and were mostly (c. 70-80%) derived from 240 the Paleogene chalks of the Lefkara Formation that overlie the Troodos ophiolite. Other clasts 241 were mainly derived from ophiolitic diabase, which is relatively resistant to breakdown during 242 erosion and fluvial transport. The Lefkara Formation chalk clasts are, on average, distinctly 243 larger (up to c. 1 m) than the ophiolite-derived ones (10s of cm) in any given conglomerate 244 depositional unit. The finer-grained conglomerates (pebblestones) are more matrix-rich and 245 include localised, poorly developed clast imbrication which is variably orientated. Locally 246 measured northerly paleoflow hints at the presence of meandering streams. The thicker more 247 laterally continuous conglomerates that occur towards the top of the marine succession (Fig. 3 248 b) exhibit patchy reverse clast grading, irregularly distributed outsized clasts (mostly chalk) 249 and variable matrix abundance, features that indicate accumulation by high-energy mass-flow 250 processes. In addition, these conglomerates are relatively rich in diabase clasts, together with a few gabbro and ultramafic rock clasts, indicating an increased contribution from the relatively
 far-removed intrusive rocks of the Troodos ophiolite. The above conglomeratic sediments
 accumulated in small channels wiswwwthin a shallow-marine fan delta.

254 Upwards, there is a relatively abrupt change (over < 10 cm) to more laterally continuous, 255 less matrix-rich, clast-supported conglomerates. The abundance of ophiolite-derived clasts 256 increases further to c. 50%, with the remainder still being chalk from the Lefkara Formation. 257 The conglomerate includes 'floating' intraclasts, up to 2 m in size, that are composed of sandy 258 and silty sediments, as exposed within the underlying marine succession. Sandy sediments 259 directly beneath these conglomerates in places exhibit extensive soft-sediment deformation, 260 including disharmonic folding. These conglomerates include reworked oyster shells and are 261 therefore likely to be still shallow-marine in origin. These conglomerate are interpreted as 262 having accumulated along a rapidly prograding delta front with erosion and disruption of 263 underlying finer-grained material. There is then a break in the succession owing to downcutting 264 by later-Pleistocene non-marine deposits (F2 unit; see below). The highest levels of the escarpment (above the level of Fig. 3 a,b) are made up of very coarse conglomerates, similar 265 266 to those exposed in the highest topographic levels of Vasilikos quarry (F1 unit; see below), 267 capped by a well-developed calcretised surface. In terms of facies and topographic height 268 above sea level, these conglomerates also correlate with the F1 fluvial conglomerate, as 269 exposed on ridges to the northeast of the Limassol-Nicosia highway (see below).

270 The lower, marine, channelised part of the succession in the escarpment (Fig. 3 a, b) is 271 similar to the marine fan-delta system (Kakkaristra Formation), which transitionally overlies 272 the Nicosia Formation in the Mesaoria Basin, north and northeast of the Troodos Massif 273 (McCallum & Robertson, 1995). The laterally persistent, non-marine conglomerates which 274 form the highest levels of the succession are correlated with the Fanglomerate Group, as in the 275 Mesaoria Basin (Ducloz, 1964; McCallum & Robertson, 1995). In the Mesaoria Basin, there 276 is an intervening unit of fluvial conglomerates (Apolos Formation) which is very thin (several 277 metres) or absent in the escarpment, which is suggestive of relatively rapid marine regression.

- 278
- 279

#### 3.2.Exposures within Vasilikos Quarry

The exposures in the escarpment (Fig. 3 a, b) can be traced southeastwards through abandoned quarries and correlated with the succession in the southwest face of Vasilikos Quarry (Fig. 4). 283 Within the quarry, the lowest exposed deposits (Fig. 5, face A) are fine-grained, grey/light 284 brown, silty calcareous mudrocks of the Nicosia Formation (Fig. 5, faces A-C). These are fine-285 grained, grey/light brown, silty calcareous mudrocks that dip gently towards the east  $(10/126^\circ)$ , 286 08/104°, 10/113°, 07/080°). These sediments have been dated as Late Pliocene-Early 287 Pleistocene using planktonic foraminifera (G. inflata Biozone; i.e. c. 1.8-2.1 Ma) (Waters et al. 288 2010). The mudrocks also contain abundant shallow-marine shell fragments including 289 abundant gastropods and bivalves (averaging 3 mm in size), and also small (<1 cm) intraclasts 290 of Nicosia Formation mudrock. *Thalassinoides* trace fossils (c. 1 cm wide elongate traces) are 291 well-developed. Upwards in face A (Fig. 6, log A), lenses of unconsolidated conglomerate 292 appear, mainly comprising small (c. 1 cm), well-sorted ophiolite-derived clasts (99% of the 293 total), in a matrix of medium to coarse-grained sand. These conglomerates can be correlated 294 with the lower level of the coarse shallow-marine facies (Kakkaristra Formation equivalents) 295 in the escarpment described above (Fig. 3 a, b).

296 Upwards in the succession, there is a clearly defined sedimentary contact with an interval of 297 clast-rich, matrix-supported lenticular conglomerates, c. 10 m thick (Fig. 6, log A; mid part). 298 The matrix of these conglomerates is fine to medium-grained, dark brown/yellow sand. The 299 clasts are sub-rounded, larger than those beneath (> 4 cm), and again mainly ophiolite-derived 300 (> 85% of the total). Gabbro and diabase clasts are conspicuous (up to 15% of the total), 301 together with chalk and chalk-chert clasts, mainly from the Lefkara Formation. Overall, the 302 clasts are moderately sorted and increase in relative abundance upwards. Conglomerate lenses 303 become thicker and more numerous compared to finer-grained intercalations. The 304 conglomerates are characterised by large-scale cross-bedding (up to 1 m in amplitude). 305 Paleocurrent indicators (cross-bedding and clast imbrication) indicate flow towards the SE.

There are also lenses of medium-grained sand (up to c. 50 cm thick) that generally thin southwards (Fig. 5, face B), suggesting the existence of channel margins in this direction. Where present, intercalated mudrocks contain scattered clasts that have a vague alignment parallel to bedding (orientated 09/102°, 10/114°). No fossils were noted in the above conglomeratic interval and non-marine deposition is likely, broadly equivalent to the (much thicker) Apolos Formation in the Mesaoria Basin (McCallum & Robertson, 1995).

The succession in the southwest face of the quarry (Fig. 6, log A; upper part) terminates with very coarse conglomerates that can be correlated with the F1 unit (Fanglomerate Group) at the top of the escarpment section. The F1 conglomerate in the quarry ranges from very poorly sorted to moderately-sorted and is predominantly matrix-supported (Fig. 7 a). However, clastsupported lenses (> 80% of the clasts) are also widespread. Overall, the clasts are subangular to angular and vary in size from < 1 cm to > 100 cm (average 6 cm). Individual conglomerate lenses vary in clast size and composition. Chalk clasts vary from 15-45% of the total. The remainder of the clasts are ophiolite-derived, mostly gabbro and diabase, and are generally more rounded than the chalk clasts. Progradational (Gilbert-type) foresets (0.5-2 m thick), are locally well-developed in the southwest corner of face C (Fig. 7 b). Large-scale cross-bedding and associated clast imbrication indicate paleoflow towards the SE. The uppermost c. 4 m of the conglomerate, beneath the modern erosional surface, is heavily calichified.

324 A key finding is that the F1 conglomerate is abruptly terminated by a laterally persistent, 325 inclined erosion surface that is reported here for the first time. This surface dips eastwards at 326 up to c. 40° (Figs. 6, sections; 7 c). Crucially, this surface can be traced across quarry faces C 327 and D to the base of face E, where it cuts into the Nicosia Formation; i.e. over a vertical height 328 of c. 40 m from the unconformable contact with the Nicosia Formation to the top of the 329 Pleistocene exposure (Fig. 5). The succession that unconformably overlies the Nicosia 330 Formation in the northerly part of the quarry (Fig. 5 faces C and D) does not therefore represent 331 the oldest part of the Pleistocene succession but rather the result of later-stage large-scale 332 incision. The presence of an erosional unconformity explains the unexpectedly abrupt contact 333 between the Nicosia Formation mudrocks and Pleistocene conglomerates, which contrasts 334 strongly with the actual marine, to non-marine facies transition that is exposed both in the west 335 of the quarry (Fig. 6, log A). The probable reason why this key inclined erosion surface 336 (unconformity) was not reported before is that is not clearly visible from the access track in the 337 east.

338 The inclined erosion surface forms the base of a composite conglomerate and sand-339 dominated sedimentary package, which being the second oldest after the F1 conglomerate, is 340 termed the F2 conglomerate. Within this interval, the base of the local succession decreases in 341 angle of dip over several tens of metres away from the unconformity (towards the NE) and the 342 clast size decreases (Figs. 4, 6 (section and inset 1). Ophiolite-derived clasts, mostly diabase, 343 predominate near the unconformity, with a higher percentage of Lefkara Formation chalk clasts 344 farther away. Many of these clasts appear to have been reworked directly from the F1 345 conglomerate. Compared to the underlying F1 conglomerates, which contain little matrix, the 346 F2 conglomerates have a buff to pinkish, silty to sandy calcareous matrix.

The F2 sedimentary package is made up of three distinct depositional intervals from the base upwards: a predominantly conglomeratic interval, a sandier unit, and then another mostly conglomeratic interval (Figs. 5, 6 (section and inset 1), 6 (log B, lower part) and 7 d-f). 350 The lower, predominantly conglomerate interval, as well exposed at the base of face D; Fig. 351 5) is c. 5 m thick and made of a clast-rich, clast-supported conglomerate, in which a coarse-352 gained matrix is locally present. Although still large, the average clast size (average 10 cm) is 353 less than that within the F1 conglomerate. This lower interval of the F2 conglomerate is poorly 354 sorted, with mainly sub-angular clasts that indicate imbrication, and thus paleoflow, towards 355 the SE, in agreement with Waters et al. (2010). The majority of the clasts are gabbro (40%), 356 chalk (35-40%) and diabase (20%), with a small percentage (<5%) of plagiogranite. Diabase 357 clasts tend to be relatively small (4 cm) and subrounded, whereas associated chalk clasts are 358 larger (c. 10 cm) and commonly tabular (elongate in outcrop).

359 Above the lowest conglomerate interval in the F2 deposit there is a relatively sharp 360 transition (tens of cm) to a second conglomerate-dominated interval (Fig. 6 section, 7d) that 361 can be observed in both long-profile (Fig. 5, face E) and cross-section (Fig. 5, face D). Along 362 face E, sand and conglomerate are interbedded (Fig. 7 d). Medium to coarse-grained, lenticular 363 sands, with lithic fragments (<1 mm), increase in relative abundance towards the south (from 364 10-20% to 80%). Where the sand is dominant, the intercalated conglomerate lenses extend 365 laterally for 2-15 m, vary in thickness (commonly 20-30 cm) and pinch out locally. Within 366 these conglomerate lenses, the clasts are moderately well-sorted by size and composition. The 367 clasts are predominantly ophiolite-derived (60-80%), with gabbro and diabase clasts being 368 particularly dominant. Paleocurrent data (clast imbrication and cross bedding) within the 369 conglomerate and sand lenses again indicate flow towards the SE. Planar-dipping foresets (50 370 cm-scale) occurs towards the top of Fig. 5, face E. On face D, the same interval reappears as 371 discontinuous lenses of conglomerate (1-2 m thick x up to c. 4 m long), within a background 372 of medium to coarse-grained sand. The clasts there are small (1-2 cm), subrounded, well-sorted 373 and fine upwards, with an increasing percentage of matrix upwards (i.e. between the individual 374 coarse-grained lenses). Towards the northwest, on Fig. 5 face C, this sand-conglomerate 375 interval is in direct erosional contact with the Nicosia Formation mudrocks beneath.

376 The contact between the second interval and the upper more laterally continuous, 377 predominantly conglomeratic interval is highly irregular, including a 3-4 metre-deep, scoured 378 channel (Fig. 7 f) that is located on Fig. 5, face E. This upper conglomeratic interval is 379 dominated by disorganised, clast-supported conglomerate, with poorly to moderately sorted, 380 subrounded clasts (average 5 cm; maximum > 46 cm), again demonstrating imbrication 381 towards the SE. Overall, c. 80% of the clasts are ophiolite-derived (mainly gabbro and diabase) 382 and c. 20% chalk. However, the individual conglomerate lenses contain different proportions 383 of clast lithologies. The clast size and relative clast abundance gradually decrease upwards,

with a corresponding increase in coarse-grained sandy matrix, as exposed along Fig. 5 faces C
and D. Towards the west, the upper conglomerate package transgresses the Nicosia Formation
with a sharp, irregular contact that can be traced upwards towards the south until an erosional
contact with the F1 conglomerate (Fig. 6, section).

388 The above mainly coarse-grained package (F2) is followed by a sharp (several cm) but 389 undulating change to a strongly contrasting, sub-horizontal-stratified finer-grained sedimentary 390 interval (Fig. 6, section). The lower few metres of this are dominated by buff-coloured 391 calcareous sands and silts (Fig. 6, log B; see also supplementary material for photographs). 392 Above this, sandy sediment is intercalated with occasional conglomerate lenses (1-5 m thick) 393 that contain moderately to well-sorted, subrounded clasts, set in a sandy matrix. Higher in the 394 succession, the sediments change to dark brown/grey. Clasts diminish and then disappear. 395 Above, there is a westward-thickening interval that is dominated by brownish to reddish layers 396 (c. 17 m thick) that are interpreted as paleosols. These sediments alternate with pale grey/buff-397 coloured, calcareous silts (Fig. 6, log B; see also supplementary material for photographs). The 398 paleosols contain occasional small (< 1 cm) chalk clasts, charcoal fragments (up to 5 cm long 399 x 3 cm thick) and roots/rootlets (up to 4-5 cm long x 2-3 cm thick), particularly in the 400 stratigraphically higher layers. The uppermost paleosol interbed (2-3 m thick) is very dark 401 brown/red and intensifies in colour upwards to the present-day erosion surface (Fig. 6, Log B; 402 see also supplementary material for photograph). This deposit is unusually coarse-grained, 403 poorly sorted, and contains subangular to subrounded clasts (maximum 4.6 cm by average 2 404 cm). These clasts are randomly orientated, irregularly distributed and made up of 60% chalk 405 and 40% ophiolitic rocks.

406 Within the paleosols as a whole (along Fig. 5, face C), the relative size (0.5 cm to 8 cm) and 407 abundance (<5% - c. 65%) of clasts relative to brown-coloured matrix increases for over 120 408 m laterally towards the SW. The clasts are predominantly ophiolite-derived (75-80%), 409 moderately sorted, vary from rounded to angular, range in size from < 1 cm to 40 cm, and 410 generally fine upwards. In contrast, the interbedded pale grey/buff-coloured intervals are 411 generally thicker (> 1m) and finer-grained than the brownish/reddish paleosols and contain 412 occasional small (< 1 cm) clasts and roots (up to 6 cm long x 6 mm wide), together with 413 common caliche nodules (see supplementary material).

Of particular note, the lowermost brown paleosol, which grades upwards into fine to medium-grained grey/buff-coloured silt (c. 20 cm thick), includes well-developed caliche nodules towards the top, one of which was dated at 59 ka using the U-Series whole-rock method (Waters *et al.* 2010). The caliche nodules are fine-grained, hard, and contain numerous small black specs of inferred organic matter, up to c. 3 mm in size (average c.1 mm). The caliche
also contains detrital grains of quartz, calcite, basic igneous rocks and bioclastic material
(reworked) within a very fine-grained brown, muddy matrix, as seen in thin section.

421 In summary, Vasilikos Quarry and the adjacent escarpment document a Late Pliocene-Early 422 Pleistocene aggrading marine succession (marine fan delta), passing upwards into thin, only 423 locally exposed, non-marine conglomerates (non-marine fan delta). These sediments are 424 overlain by very coarse fluvial conglomerates representing the major fluvial package (F1). This 425 is, in turn, strongly incised into by a major lenticular conglomerate-sandstone package (F2), 426 which is, in turn, directly overlain by grey/buff-coloured calcareous silts and reddish to 427 brownish paleosols. Evidence from the Vasilikos river valley, discussed below, allows all of 428 these deposits to be correlated throughout the catchment.

429

#### 430 **4. Vasilikos river catchment**

431 The geomorphology of the Vasilikos river valley (Fig. 8) is first outlined to provide a 432 framework for the description and interpretation of the associated Pleistocene sediments. 433 Several geomorphological surfaces within the Vasilikos river catchment can be identified as 434 sub-horizontal features that vary in width, length and altitude, referred to here as terraces. 435 Although discontinuous, both down-catchment and in cross-profile, some of the terraces can be correlated throughout the catchment, allowing the identification of four main 436 437 geomorphological surfaces. These terraces are associated with locally preserved F1, F2, F3 438 and F4 deposits, with F1 being the highest and F4 the lowest, closest to the modern-day 439 Vasilikos river course. However, correlation with the F1-F2 units exposed in Vasilikos Quarry 440 is not initially assumed but will be demonstrated in the Discussion.

441

442

#### 4.1.Geomorphology of Vasilikos river catchment

443 From its source at c. 1200 m ASL (above sea level), Vasilikos River flows approximately 444 southeastwards over the Troodos Sheeted Dyke Complex for c. 7.8 km, and then turns east for 445 c. 4.5 km (Fig. 2). The river flows over the Arakapas Fault and then sub-parallel to the 446 lithologically variable Arakapas Fault Zone (South Troodos Transform Fault Zone) for c. 6 447 km. This highly tectonised ophiolitic belt (Fig. 9 a) includes serpentinised ultramafic rocks 448 (e.g. dunite, websterite, wherlite), gabbro (layered and massive), sheeted dykes, basaltic pillow 449 lavas and small volumes of volcaniclastic rocks (Pantazis, 1966; MacLeod and Murton, 1993). 450 In its lower reaches (as defined here) the river flows SSE over ophiolite extrusive rocks, near very localised Fe-Mn sediments (umbers) and radiolarites (Perapedhi Formation), and then
over extensive outcrops of chalk, marl and chert of the Maastrichtian-Oligocene Lefkara
Formation (Robertson, 1976, 1977b). The river then flows over soft-weathering marl, chalk
and Messinian-aged gypsum of the Miocene Pakhna and Kalavasos Formations, and finally
mudrocks of the Pliocene-Early Pleistocene Nicosia Formation (Pantazis, 1966) (Figs. 2, 8).

456 Topographic profiles (Fig. 10) of the present-day valley were constructed to help indicate 457 the distribution of geomorphological surfaces. The profiles were constructed from near the 458 south coast for c. 10 km northwards as far as Vasilikos Dam (Fig. 8). Geomorphological 459 surfaces cannot be traced directly northwards beyond this because there is an abrupt change 460 to an area of immature dendritic drainage with little evidence of preserved terraces (Figs. 8, 9 461 a). However, mature erosional surfaces and related sediments (e.g. conglomerates and 462 paleosols) reappear closer to the river source, especially within the Arakapas Fault Zone. The 463 northward change from an immature to a more mature drainage pattern suggests the presence 464 of one or more northward-retreating knick points which have yet to reach the E-W-trending 465 Arakapas Fault Zone (although this will not be considered further here).

466 For descriptive purposes, the stretch of the valley that was studied in detail (south of 467 Kalavasos Dam; i.e. lower reaches) is here subdivided into three distinctive geomorphological 468 segments, namely the upper reaches (Fig. 10 a-d), the middle reaches (Fig. 10 e-f), and the 469 lower reaches (Fig. 10 g-j) of the Vasilikos river catchment. Profiles a-c (Fig. 10) indicate that 470 the valley is wide in the upper reaches (over c. 5 km), with moderately steep slopes. Three 471 geomorphological surfaces are separated, vertically by a total of c. 100 m. Further south, the 472 channel narrows with the Asgata Potamos tributary coming in from the NW (Fig. 10 d). In the 473 middle reaches, including Kalavasos village (Figs. 8, 10 e, f), the river channel passes through 474 a steep gorge (c. 70 high x up to 800 m wide). This narrowing corresponds to the river passing 475 through the relatively resistant chert-bearing interval of the Paleogene Lefkara Formation, 476 suggesting a lithological control on fluvial incision (see Discussion). Geomorphological 477 surfaces within the gorge are restricted to narrow (several metre-wide) low-amplitude (several 478 metre-high) benches or ribs. In the lower reaches, south of Kalavasos village (Fig. 10 g-j), the active channel cuts into relatively soft Miocene Pakhna Formation chalks and marls and the 479 480 valley widens greatly (to c. 1,200 m wide). Three to four major geomorphological surfaces in 481 this interval are separated, vertically by 10s of metres. However, small slope irregularities 482 locally exist between these marked surfaces, suggesting a complex and variable incision history 483 (e.g. Fig. 10d, f for F3; a for F2).

484 Overall, four distinct types of geomorphological surface are identified, as shown on the 485 same-scale topographic profiles with terrace conglomerates added (Fig. 11). Where sediments 486 are present, the terrace surfaces correspond to the tops of the sedimentary infills of incised 487 fluvial channels.

The upper reaches are dominated by three terrace levels at c. 300, 200, 75 m ASL (Fig. 11 ad). On its southwest side, the highest level of the valley locally corresponds to the F1 geomorphological surface with rare preserved conglomerates (Fig. 8). However, on the northeast side of the valley the F1 surface is marked by a break in slope at c. 310 m ASL without preserved fluvial conglomerates. There are hints of an older (unstudied) remnant surface above this (Fig. 11a).

494 Below the remnant F1 surface, hillslopes are initially steep but shallow downwards into 495 sub-horizontal surfaces, with a marked break in slope, corresponding to a distinct surface at c. 496 220 m ASL (Fig. 11 a). This second surface is associated with coarse conglomerates, defined 497 as the F2 terrace, which are semi-continuous on the western slopes of the catchment for > 3 km 498 (Figs. 8, 11 a-d). Colluvial deposits (i.e. slope-related unconsolidated sediment) are very well 499 developed at topographic heights between the F2 terrace and the F3 terrace (around 150 m 500 ASL), especially in the upper and middle reaches, between Kalavasos and Vasilikos Dam (Fig. 501 9 c).

502 Directly southeast of Vasilikos Dam (Fig. 8), the modern river channel lies within a narrow 503 (c. 20 m-wide), steep-sided (c. 20 m-deep) gorge (Fig. 11 b). Above the gorge, the topography 504 broadens out greatly, with a narrow (< 20 m) but well-defined terrace on the southwest side of 505 the valley and a much wider (c. 500 m) undulating, discontinuous terrace on the northeast side 506 of the valley. This extensive surface represents the F3 terrace at c. 75 m ASL. Unlike the F2 507 terrace, the F3 terrace is in places laterally continuous for > 100-200 m, particularly on the 508 northeast side of the valley, where it is dissected by small tributary channels (Figs. 8, 9 b).

509 Within the upper reaches, the F3 terraces can also be traced from the Vasilikos Valley, near 510 Kalavasos, northwestwards for several kilometres up the adjacent Asgata Potamos tributary 511 valley (Figs. 8, 10 d). The highest elevations in this segment of both valleys (c. 270 m ASL) 512 correspond to a series of ridges that are correlated with the F1 terrace. Major breaks in slopes 513 occur at the same heights (c. 200 and c. 140 m ASL) in both valleys and are associated with 514 similar geomorphological surfaces which are correlated with the F2 and F3 surfaces. On the 515 floor of Asgata Potamos valley, a series of narrow (<15 m wide), sloping surfaces occur 5-6 m 516 above the active channel and are continuous up-valley for c. 2 km. These localised surfaces 517 correlate with lower, wider (c. 200 m), gently sloping, better-developed surfaces in the main Vasilikos valley. These features, which first appear at c. 130 m ASL and are continuous downcatchment, are interpreted as the F4 surface, which becomes progressively better developed in the lower reaches. This overall evidence suggests that the two paleovalleys, despite differing lengths and source lithologies underwent a similar geomorphological and sedimentary development.

In the middle reaches (Figs. 8, 11 e-f), the overall valley height decreases from c. 180 m to c. 135 m ASL. The F2 is the highest preserved surface (c. 120 m ASL) on the eastern side of the valley (Fig. 11 f). Between the upper and middle reaches, the valley narrows from c. 3 km to c. 1.5 km and as a result the F1 and F2 surfaces are much closer together than in the upper reaches.

528 Near Kalavasos, the valley is constricted, steep-sided and retains only localised remnants of 529 the F3 and F4 terraces (Figs. 9 d, 10 e). F2 deposits are rarely preserved as a narrow, 530 discontinuous rib on the west side of the valley (Fig. 8). F3 and F4 terraces are more widely 531 preserved but lack identifiable geomorphological surfaces on both sides of the valley. The F4 532 surface is well preserved as a continuous feature (c. 150 m wide) on the western margin of the 533 valley, although it decreases in width from c. 150 m to < 20 m towards Kalavasos as the channel 534 narrows (Figs. 8, 10e). Beyond where the river leaves the confinement (Figs. 8, 11 f), the F3 535 terrace re-appears as a well-preserved feature (c. 70 wide) on the western side of the valley. 536 The F3 terrace is also present there as a narrow (c. 20 m), upward-sloping remnant on the 537 valley's eastern side (c. 100 m ASL) (Figs. 8, 10 f). The F4 terrace is only locally preserved on 538 the western side of the valley, increasing to c. 75 m in width.

539 The lower reaches of the Vasilikos Valley are broader and flatter (Fig. 10 g-j). The F1 surface 540 is patchily preserved as a remnant surface on the western side of the valley, above Mari village 541 (c. 115 m ASL) (Figs. 8, 10 h). Although discontinuous (Fig. 9 e), the F2 remnant terrace forms 542 the highest surface within the valley in the vicinity of Tenta (c. 95 m ASL) (Fig. 10 g). In 543 contrast, the F3 terrace is increasingly well-preserved down-catchment, enlarging from 544 remnant surfaces at Tenta (Figs. 8, 10 g), to wide (c. 65 m in the east vs. c. 600 m in the west), 545 gently-dipping terraces near Mari, at c. 50 m ASL (Fig. 10 i). The upper surface of the F3 546 terrace is generally characterised by a series of paleosols, which overlie F3 clastic deposits, 547 culminating in dark red/brown paleosols on both sides of the valley (Fig. 11 i-j). The position 548 of the top of the upper terraces on the west side of the valley (Fig. 10 j) is indeterminate owing 549 to quarrying. The extensive paleosols on the F3 surfaces are very similar to those exposed in 550 Vasilikos Quarry (see above). None of the other surfaces expose similar bright reddish551 coloured paleosols although reddish to brownish paleosols occur at several different level in 552 the paleo-valley including the late Pleistocene, intercalated with harvara (colluvium).

In the wide lower reaches (Figs. 9 f, 10 g-j), the F4 terrace is well-established near the valley floor, c. 4-5 m above the present-day channel on both sides of the valley. In this segment, there is a marked change in slope gradient from sub-horizontal to steeply dipping between the F3 and F4 terraces (Fig. 11 f). The present-day channel meanders in the lower reaches.

- 557
- 558

#### 4.2. Vasilikos river deposits

The sequential river deposits are classified according to the geomorphological surface that they overlie, conformably and are illustrated on the geological map (Fig. 8), same-scale simplified topographic profiles (Fig. 11a-f) and photographs (Figs. 13, 14).

562

563

#### 4.2.1 F1-related facies

564 Deposits associated with the F1 terrace are limited to the middle reaches, close to Kalavasos. 565 NW of Kalavasos (Fig. 12.F1 a), the F1 (c. 4.5 m thick x c. 20 m across) is conglomerate with 566 poorly sorted, sub-angular clasts, set in an abundant fine-grained (calcretised) matrix. The 567 conglomerate occur as very small (unmappable) discontinuous lenses, up to 3 m long x 40 cm 568 thick (Fig. 13 a). The clasts are small (average c. 1-2 cm) and become better-sorted upwards. 569 Larger clasts (maximum 8 cm) mainly occur near the base of individual lenses. The clasts 570 exhibit weakly developed imbrication towards the SE. Most of the clasts are ophiolite-derived 571 (c. 70%), with the remainder (c. 30%) being chalk from the Lefkara Formation. The 572 sedimentary clasts are usually relatively small (average c. 1 cm), elongate and angular.

573 The F1 terrace deposits SW of Kalavasos (Fig. 11 f), although restricted to the western 574 margin of the Vasilikos River (on either side of distributaries) are more extensive (c. 3-4 m 575 thick x 35 m across), and differ in facies from the conglomerates farther northwest. The contact 576 between the Lefkara Formation bedrock and the conglomerate is locally steep and highly 577 irregular, indicating fluvial incision into bedrock. (1-2 m thick) (Fig. 13 b). The overlying 578 conglomerate is covered by prograding foresets (c. 30 cm thick) that vary from matrix to clast-579 supported and are calcrete-cemented. The dip locally steepens towards the bedrock. The clasts 580 are poorly to moderately sorted, sub-angular to rounded, and coarsen upwards (< 1 cm at the 581 base vs. > 10 cm at the top). Individual lenses vary in size and composition, with typically 65-582 70% ophiolite-derived clasts and c. 30% Lefkara Formation clasts. Clast imbrication is absent, 583 although occasional large clasts (up to c. 47 cm) are aligned parallel to bedding. On the

hillslope, c. 20 metres below intact exposures, large (up to 2 m-thick), steeply inclined bodies
of calcrete-cemented conglomerate are interpreted as slip-blocks from the terrace above (Fig.
13 c). The F1 fluvial deposits were therefore incised into bedrock in some places and the fluvial
deposition was strongly influenced by the stream-bed topography.

- 588
- 589

#### 4.2.2. F2-related facies

590 Preservation of the F2 terrace deposits increases down-catchment. In the upper reaches, the 591 deposits are restricted to near Vasilikos Dam, exemplified by a thin (c. 80 cm), localised 592 exposure on the southwest side of the valley (Fig. 11 a). This conglomerate comprises well-593 cemented clasts (70%) within a white chalky matrix (30%), and includes small (average 1 cm; 594 maximum 3 cm), angular, predominantly (c. 95%) ophiolite-derived clasts. At the equivalent 595 height on the northeast side of the valley there is a contrasting, thicker poorly sorted 596 conglomerate (up to 20 m thick), which is dominated by chalk clasts (>c. 95%) within a very 597 fine-grained chalky matrix. This deposit overlies weathered pillow lavas with an irregular, 598 undulating contact. Lenticular bedding is visible (c. 30 cm scale), with subangular to angular 599 clasts (< 0.5 cm to > 10 cm). Similar-sized clasts are concentrated within individual 600 conglomerate lenses. This chalk-rich conglomerate is interpreted as a texturally immature 601 colluvial deposit which overlies an inward-sloping erosional surface (see below).

Lower on the hillside, indistinctly bedded, clast-poor (30%) conglomerate is exposed 30 m in altitude below the colluvium mentioned above (Fig. 12.F2 a, 13 e). This dips towards the SW (15/195°, 14/201°, 15/198°) and has a smaller proportion of chalk clasts (75%) versus ophiolite-derived clasts (25%), compared to the colluvium. The clasts are relatively large (4-5 cm maximum 57 cm), poorly to moderately sorted, subangular to angular, and locally imbricated towards the SW.

608 In the middle reaches, both north and south of Kalavasos (Fig. 12.F2 b), the F2 deposit is a 609 represented by conglomerate, up to 18 m thick, with a calcretised upper surface. This 610 conglomerate overlies the Lefkara Formation with a gently undulating, steep-sided contact 611 (Fig. 13 d). The clasts fine upwards, from an average of c. 4 cm at the base, to c. 2 cm at the top, although outsized clasts (up to 30 cm) are present throughout. The base of the 612 613 conglomerate is clast-supported, with a fine-grained, grey, silty matrix that increases in relative 614 abundance upwards. The clasts are subrounded, to rounded, and poorly to moderately sorted, 615 with weakly developed imbrication towards the SE. The clasts were derived from the ophiolite 616 (c. 65%) and from the Lefkara Formation (c. 35%).

617 In the lower reaches, near Mari, F2 conglomerates (c. 5 m thick), cemented by calcrete, 618 directly overlie the Pliocene-Early Pleistocene Nicosia Formation (Fig. 12.F2 c). The 619 conglomerate is irregular downslope, forming undulations (1-3 m in amplitude). Clast-620 supported near the base, this conglomerate becomes increasing matrix-supported upwards. The 621 clasts are poorly to moderately sorted and grade upwards, with smaller (1-2 cm) than average-622 sized clasts (c. 6 cm), towards the top of the deposit. Smaller clasts (c. 3 cm) infill depressions 623 in the bedrock, whereas larger ones (maximum 38 cm) are distributed randomly throughout the 624 conglomerate.

- 625
- 626

#### 4.2.3. F3-related facies

627 The F3 deposits, up to 40 m thick, are well-preserved within all three reaches of the Vasilikos 628 river catchment. In the upper reaches, the basal contact with the underlying ophiolitic pillow 629 lavas undulates gently (Fig. 12.F3 a) and steepens towards the northeast margin of the 630 exposure. This conglomerate varies from clast-supported to matrix-supported. The matrix is 631 grey, medium-grained sand with lithic fragments. Increasingly clast-supported intervals occur 632 both towards the base and the top of the deposit. The clasts are poorly, to moderately sorted, 633 fine upwards (average 18-20 cm at the base versus 1-3 cm at the top) and are imbricated 634 towards the SE. The uppermost conglomerate contains well-developed caliche (c. 3 m thick). 635 In the upper reaches the deposits occur at several topographical levels along the eastern side of 636 the valley, but these are man-made (agricultural) benches within the same F3 deposit.

637 A small tributary channel on the western side of the valley is infilled with typical F3 638 conglomerate (Fig. 8, just NE of profile c). The lower levels (1.5 m) of the deposit are similar 639 to those on the opposite, eastern side of the valley (Fig. 14 a, lower unit). Large (average 5-6 640 cm; maximum 17 cm), subangular to angular chalk clasts (5-10%) are locally concentrated in 641 the uppermost c. 40 cm of the deposit. The uppermost levels (c. 1 m-thick) of this deposit 642 contains > 95% chalk clasts, which are small (4 cm; maximum 28 cm), subangular to angular, 643 and poorly sorted, within a fine-grained chalky matrix, together with occasional much larger 644 ophiolite-derived clasts that are likely to be reworked (Fig. 14 a, upper unit). The proportion 645 of chalk clasts increases laterally from to east to west, away from the valley axis (from 90-646 15%).

647 Within the middle reaches (e.g. east of Kalavasos), the basal contact of the F3 648 conglomerate undulates. The conglomerate, which is up to c. 40 m-thick, is clast-rich and 649 matrix-supported. The matrix is fine-grained, silty, lithic fragment-rich and increases upwards 650 within individual depositional units. Clasts are generally poorly sorted, to moderately sorted, and imbricated towards the S or SE. The clasts fine upwards (c. 7 cm at base versus. c. 2 cm at the top), and change from rounded to subangular on average upwards. The uppermost conglomerate (c. 5 m) is rich in caliche, as seen on the west side of the valley south of Kalavasos (Fig. 11f). There is general increase in chalk and chalk-chert clasts (Lefkara Formation) in the middle reaches (Fig. 12.F3 b-c), averaging 30% to 45%, compared to the upper reaches.

657 Also, within the middle reaches, the F3 conglomerates are typically overlain by colluvial deposits. These are weakly bedded (5-10 cm scale), made up of c. 95-100% chalk clasts, with 658 659 the addition of occasional gypsum clasts downstream of the *in situ* outcrop (Fig. 12.F3 b-c). 660 The chalk clasts vary in size (0.5 cm to > 10 cm), are randomly orientated, mostly highly 661 angular, poorly to moderately sorted, and set within a fine-grained, sandy, silty or chalky matrix 662 (Fig. 14 d). Weak clast imbrication towards the SW is locally developed near the base of the deposit (Fig. 12.F3 b). West of Kalavasos, colluvium near the underlying F3 fluvial 663 664 conglomerate contains subangular to subrounded ophiolite-derived clasts that increase in size (up to 6-7 cm) and relative abundance (up to 40%) towards the east (axially). 665

666 A small slope-cut of relatively fine-grained colluvium (harvara; see below), together with 667 reddish brown palaeosol (poorly developed terra rossa) was previously studied by Schirmer 668 (1998) and later by Kinnaird et al. (2013) along the SW edge of Kalavasos village (c. 150 m 669 south of the village church). The sampled section is c. 5 m high, up a track off the main road 670 through the village (GPS UTM 34°46'.08''N; 33°.17.47"E). The site is c. 30 m above the valley 671 floor where the slope toe begins to flatten. Re-examination of the exposure indicates that it 672 begins with brownish recessive-weathering paleosol (Gondéssa soil) from which a radiocarbon 673 age of 31.970 ka was determined. An OSL (optically stimulated luminescence) age estimate of 674  $29.82 \pm 2.11$  ka was obtained from this paleosol (Kinnaird et al. 2013). This is followed, with 675 a transition over several centimetres, by buff-white harvara (c. 2.9 m thick) with poorly-sorted 676 angular clasts (< 5 cm in size), mainly chalk. Scattered clasts of chert (formed by replacement 677 of chalk) are also present, up to 15 cm in size and a few rounded basalt pebbles (< 4 cm in 678 size). Transitionally above (over several centimetres) there is then a second paleosol (Lower 679 Tsiáko Soil), which is distinctly brown with smaller clasts than the paleosol beneath, followed 680 by a second harvara (up to 80 cm thick) with scattered relatively large clasts (up to 35 cm in 681 size), and then a third (laterally discontinuous) paleosol (Upper Tsiáko Soil). Further harvara 682 follows (up to c. 1.5 m thick), from which charcoal near the base was radiocarbon dated at 683 27.44 ka  $\pm$  1.6ka (Schirmer, 1998). Reworking of organic matter from the directly underlying 684 paleosol can be considered although this would not significantly change the depositional age.

The section ends with redeposited paleosol and harvara with a caliche capping.

686 In the lower reaches, the well-cemented F3 conglomerate (10 m thick) is matrix-supported, 687 although with clast-supported lenses (Fig. 12.F3 d). The matrix is fine to medium-grained sand, 688 with lithic fragments. Most clasts are poorly to moderately sorted. Clasts of similar size and 689 composition are sorted into lenses (c. 2-3 m long x c. 20 m high), with better sorting in the 690 clast-supported lenses. Clasts vary in size (averaging 4-6 cm), but generally fine upwards from 691 a maximum (38 cm) near the base. Exposures near Mari contain 60-70% ophiolite-derived 692 clasts and 30-40% chalk clasts, which are imbricated towards the SE. Downstream, the 693 proportion of ophiolitic versus chalk clasts decreases (to c. 50%), with south-directed clast 694 imbrication (Fig. 14 c). The calichified top of the F3 deposit forms an extensive sub-horizontal 695 geomorphological surface which underlies modern-day agricultural fields.

696 The F3 conglomerates near Mari are overlain by highly distinctive paleosols on both sides 697 of the valley (Figs. 6 inset 2). Where best developed on the east side of the valley (Figs. 12.F3 698 d, 14b), the contact between the F3 deposit and the paleosols above is irregular and locally 699 undulating due to erosion. The paleosols are locally intercalated with lenticular conglomerates. 700 Dark brown to grey, fine-grained, poorly consolidated paleosol alternate with paler, 701 white/yellow fine-grained silts (Fig. 14b, upper part). The silts contain numerous small (< 1 702 mm) lithic fragments and occasional caliche horizons. Relatively large clasts (> 1 cm) are 703 scattered through both the darker and paler-coloured deposits. Clasts of similar size, 704 composition and angularity characterise specific horizons. The uppermost horizon is a very 705 dark brown/red fine-grained paleosol which continues downstream above caliche-rich 706 conglomerate.

- 707
- 708

#### 4.2.4. F4-related facies

709 In the upper reaches, the F4 (and/or younger) deposits are restricted to clasts within the modern 710 channel. F4 deposits in the middle and lower reaches are largely fine-grained, grey to light 711 brown silt which supports rich farmland. Where they first appear widely, directly south of 712 Kalavasos (Fig. 12.F4 a), the silts include small (average 1-2 cm; maximum 8 cm), scattered, 713 subrounded to subangular clasts, mostly chalk (Fig 14 e). The relative abundance and size of 714 the clasts increases southwards (Fig. 12.F4 b), averaging 2-3 cm opposite Tenta. Larger clasts 715 (maximum 25 cm) tend to be concentrated in lenses towards the top of the deposit. The clasts 716 are sub-angular, moderately to well-sorted, and have localised imbrication towards the S-SE. 717 Excavated pits in the lower Vasilikos Valley have revealed 3-5 layers (each several cm-thick), 718 composed of silt with intercalated calcareous layers (Gomez, 1987).

719 The F4 deposits in the lower reaches (Fig. 12.F4 c) comprises two distinct intervals, a lower 720 (c. 80 cm-thick) clast-rich muddy, matrix-supported conglomerate, and an upper (c. 70 cm 721 thick) fine-grained, muddy paleosol that is similar to the F4 deposits farther up-valley. Clasts 722 within the lower unit (Fig. 14 f) are small (average 3 cm), but with occasional outsize clasts 723 (up to 47 cm). The clasts are poorly, to moderately sorted and sub-angular, to sub-rounded, 724 with weakly developed imbrication towards the SE.

725 The axis of the F4 channel was incised downwards by up to c. 6 m, followed by alluvial 726 deposition between 5400 and 5010 B.C. (Gomez, 1987). Downcutting to within 2 m of the 727 present floodplain took place during post-Byzantine times, as documented elsewhere in 728 southern Cyprus (Gifford, 1978).

729 In summary, the combined geomorphological and sedimentary evidence demonstrates four 730 main stages of Pleistocene geomorphological and sedimentary development (F1-F4) which can 731 now be compared with those recognised in Vasilikos Quarry.

- 732
- 733
- 734

# 5. Discussion

#### 5.1. Correlation of the Vasilikos quarry and valley deposits

735 The two independently determined stratigraphic schemes can be correlated based on three 736 main related lines of evidence.

737 1. Geomorphology. The top of the F1 terrace, as seen in Vasilikos Quarry represents the highest 738 preserved geomorphological surface, as does the F1 throughout the Vasilikos river catchment 739 (Figs. 10, 11). These F1 surfaces can be visually correlated from the escarpment c. 1.8 km NW 740 of the quarry (Fig. 3 b), across a topographic depression that is occupied by the Limassol-741 Nicosia highway, to the northward-rising topography (towards Kalavasos). The F1 surfaces in 742 both areas are heavily calcretised, representing prolonged subaerial exposure during which 743 erosional and depositional processes mainly took place at lower topographic levels.

744 2. Stratigraphy. Of the four stages of development in the Vasilikos river valley (F1-F4), two of 745 these (F1 and F2) can be recognised on lithological grounds in Vasilikos Quarry. However, the 746 F3 and F4 conglomerates are not developed at Vasilikos Quarry. This reflects post-F2 fluvial 747 incision which located these younger deposits at lower topographic levels near the modern 748 river channel (c. 1 km to the east). The river channel is likely to have migrated eastwards after 749 the F2 deposition as no equivalent of the relatively thick F2 conglomerates is preserved on the 750 opposing eastern margin of the modern river channel.

751 3. Sedimentology. The F1-F3 deposits show many similar features in both the lower reaches 752 of the river valley and the quarry. The F1 deposits in both areas are sheet-like, extensively 753 distributed at relatively high topographic levels and include, on average, the largest clasts, 754 mainly of ophiolite-derived rocks. The incised F2 deposits in both areas also show marked 755 similarities in both areas, including erosive bases, lenticular (channelised) morphology, 756 sedimentary structures, clast size, clast maturity, matrix type and relative abundance. The 757 intense brown to dark reddish-coloured paleosols are highly distinctive and occur only on the 758 F3 fluvial deposits in Vasilikos Valley and also depositionally above the F2 conglomerates in 759 the quarry. The occurrence of these paleosols in the quarry above the F2 conglomerates is at first sight anomalous because F3 deposits (with overlying paleosols) are incised into F2 760 761 conglomerates in the river valley. However, this can be explained by accumulation of the 762 paleosols on the upper, westerly slopes of F3-related paleovalley (Fig. 8), well away from the, 763 then active channel. Fluvial material derived from the main river channel is absent, and the 764 material accumulated as soils on a weathering and eroding landscape. Similar-coloured 765 paleosols developed on valley slopes adjacent to the F3-aged river channel, especially in the 766 mid to upper reaches of Vasilikos catchment, especially north of Kalavasos.

- 767
- 768

#### 5.2. Geomorphological development of the Vasilikos river catchment

769 In general, the valley profiles have deepened and narrowed through time, especially in the 770 middle and upper reaches (Fig. 10), representing successive stage of incision. However, the 771 channel generally widened and shallowed in the lower reaches, down to the modern coast, 772 largely reflecting the relatively soft rheology of the underlying mudrock (Nicosia Formation). 773 The geomorphological development of the headwaters of the Vasilikos river catchment to 774 the north of Vasilikos Dam was not studied in detail. However, for > 1 km north of the dam 775 the F1-F4 terraces are replaced by a young dendritic drainage pattern (Figs. 8, 9 a). This feature 776 could represent the development of markedly steeper slopes above relatively resistant 777 ophiolitic rocks (e.g. diabase/gabbro) with correspondingly increased incision and erosion of 778 pre-existing terrace deposits. Structural control (relative uplift) could have a similar effect 779 although there is no direct evidence of this (see below). Farther northwest, within the Arakapas 780 Fault Zone (Fig. 2), the Vasilikos River flows down more gentle slopes, possibly isolated above 781 one or more knick points (although this remains to be tested). Within the Arakapas Fault Zone, 782 well-developed erosional surfaces re-appear close to the present-day Vasilikos River. 783 Morphologically, these are similar to the F3 surfaces south of Vasilikos Dam. The associated

deposits are mainly thin (< 5 m) ophiolite-derived conglomerates with a reddish sandy matrix,</li>
covered by distinctive, reddish terra rossa paleosols.

786 South of Vasilikos Dam, the dominant control on the geomorphology within the upper, 787 middle and the lower reaches is interpreted to be lithology, as supported by the geological map 788 (Fig. 8) and the topographic profiles (Figs. 10, 11). The upper reaches (Fig. 11 a-c) are 789 underlain by the Lower Pillow Lavas of the Troodos ophiolite near Kalavasos Dam, and by the 790 Upper Pillow lavas towards Kalavasos (Pantazis, 1966). The Lower Pillow Lavas are 791 predominantly massive and pillowed flows with much fragmental material (lava breccia and 792 hyaloclastite) that erodes readily. The F3 deposits directly overlie the Lower Pillow Lavas on 793 the east side of the valley, where they have been largely removed by small tributary channels. 794 In contrast, the F3 deposits are better preserved on the west side of the valley where the bedrock 795 is more resistant to erosion. The Upper Pillow Lavas, although composed of comparable 796 extrusive lithologies, are generally more indurated in this area as a result of hydrothermal 797 alteration and sea-floor weathering and support locally steeper valley slopes (Pantazis, 1966), 798 as reported from the north-Troodos Akaki river catchment (Main et al. 2016).

799 In the middle reaches (Fig. 11 e-f), the bedrock is dominated by chalks of the latest 800 Cretaceous-Oligocene Lefkara Formation (Fig. 2). The Vasilikos river valley gradually 801 narrows southwards culminating in a pronounced constriction (just south of Kalavasos), which 802 is coincident with erosionally resistant bedded and nodular chert making up the Middle Lefkara 803 Formation (Pantazis, 1966). Incision of this highly resistant interval resulted in steep channel 804 margins, leaving only a narrow preserved rib of F2 deposits on the steep westerly slope and a 805 thin strip of F3 deposits on the lower eastern valley slope, without a preserved F3 terrace. The 806 local development of the F4 terrace on the lowest west side of the valley is interpreted as the 807 inner bend of a small meander within the previously incised valley, where locally reduced 808 stream velocities resulted in the fluvial aggradation.

The lower reaches (Fig. 11 g-j), where the valley broadens and shallows, are underlain, initially by the soft-weathering limestones, marls, chalks and local evaporites of the Miocene Pakhna Formation, and farther down-catchment by mudrocks and marls of the Pliocene-Early Pleistocene Nicosia Formation. Asymmetrical topographic profiles contrast with further upstream (Fig. 11 a, b, c, e). This, and the marked variation in the width of the preserved F4 terraces points to meandering of the river channel in this area.

815

816 *5.3.Fluvial processes* 

817 Poorly to moderately sorted, clast-rich, matrix-supported conglomerates with outsized clasts 818 (> 1m) are best represented by the F1 conglomerates (Fig. 7 a), which are interpreted as very 819 high-energy mass-flow accumulations; i.e. debris-flows and hyperconcentrated-flow deposits 820 (Harvey et al. 2005; Waters et al. 2010). Spasmodic flash floods introduced packages of 821 similar-sized clasts of similar composition, although individual depositional units vary (see 822 'Provenance', below). Such flash floods were highly erosive, as inferred from their irregular, 823 eroded basal contacts (Fig. 13 b). In the Vasilikos river catchment bedrock chalks were locally 824 scoured into to form depressions that were infilled by foresets, marking the base of high-energy 825 conglomerates. North of Kalavasos, (Fig. 13 a), clasts in the F1 conglomerates are relatively 826 small, well-sorted and lenticular, with some clast imbrication. These conglomerates were 827 deposited from a weaker flow with a higher degree of traction current activity compared to the 828 coarser deposits preserved farther south. The finer chalk-rich conglomerates are likely to have 829 accumulated in a relatively marginal position where stream energy was reduced. 830 Conglomerates, which are relatively well-sorted and show clast imbrication, generally to the 831 S-SE, represent the accumulation from sustained traction-flow processes (Collison, 1996; 832 Waters et al. 2010). Locally developed tabular foresets, mainly in the F1 and F2 conglomerates 833 (Fig. 7 e) are indicative of foreset progradation during short periods of high-energy, sustained 834 flow when clasts rapidly infilled local accommodation space (Miall, 1996). Sorting, clast size 835 and imbrication commonly decrease upwards within individual depositional units (Fig. 7 f, 836 12.F3 a), pointing to waning flow, particularly in the upper levels of the F3 fluvial deposits 837 within the Vasilikos river valley. The fluvial processes previously identified within Vasilikos 838 Quarry (Waters et al. 2010) are also largely applicable to the equivalent-aged river valley 839 deposits (and so will not be discussed again here).

840

841 *5.4. Alluvial settings* 

Most of the margins of the F1 channels are not preserved owing to extensive erosion of the 842 843 older terrace deposits. However, discrete conglomerate-filled channels are locally preserved 844 within the F2 deposits, and more commonly within the F3 and F4 deposits (Figs. 8, 11). These 845 are all interpreted as relatively marginal facies because the coeval channel axis sediments were 846 mostly eroded during subsequent incision events. The sedimentary structures and lithologies 847 of the conglomerates are generally indicative of braided or semi-braided stream accumulation 848 (Miall, 1996; Jones et al. 2001), including the F1 and F2 deposits in Vasilikos Quarry (Fig. 6). 849 Paleocurrent evidence (mainly clast imbrication) from the F1-F3 deposits indicates consistent

flow to the S/SE and does not confirm meandering flow in our F2 conglomerate in Vasilikos Quarry (cf. Waters *et al.* 2010). On the other hand, geomorphological differences or either side of the channel in the southern reaches of the Vasilikos River, as far north as near Kalavasos (Figs. 10, 11) suggest that some of the F4 deposits are likely to have accumulated from a meandering river. The relatively well-sorted silts, especially the thin, laterally extensive F4 sheet-like deposits in the lower reaches can be interpreted as overbank deposits related to channel avulsion (Nichols & Fisher, 2007).

857 The aggrading F2 succession in Vasilikos Quarry is of particular interest (Figs. 5, 6). This is 858 interpreted as a remnant of a relatively wide and deep channel that was cut into the F1 859 conglomerates. The eastern part of this channel is not preserved owing to subsequent F3 860 downcutting and relocation of the active channel to the east. The dipping erosional surface 861 (Figs. 5, 6, 7 c) represents a section through this F2 channel western margin. The steep, 862 undulating nature of the basal (near axial) contact resulted from erosion into the Nicosia 863 Formation. Following this incision, three-phase aggradation took place (all within F2), two of poorly sorted, clast-rich, clast or matrix-supported, lenticular conglomerates, and one of 864 865 medium to coarse-grained sands, interspersed with isolated conglomerate channels. The highly 866 erosive base of the upper conglomeratic unit (Fig. 6) is suggestive of a high-energy, flashy 867 mode of emplacement. Both of these conglomerate intervals exhibit stream-flow 868 characteristics, such as size sorting and clast imbrication, that suggest a strong component of 869 perennial flow during deposition (Waters et al. 2010). The two main conglomeratic intervals 870 are interpreted to have accumulated during periods of relatively high-magnitude stream-flood 871 events. The markedly lenticular nature of the sand and conglomerate lenses within both of the 872 conglomeratic packages (Fig. 6) resulted from the switching of channels within an overall 873 semi-braided setting. The decreasing clast size, clast imbrication and local tabular foreset 874 bedding point to less flashy, more sustained perennial flow in the upper levels of both of the 875 conglomeratic intervals. The stacked morphology of some isolated conglomerate channels, 876 mainly between the two conglomeratic intervals (Fig. 6), indicates repeated short-term cutting 877 and filling of relatively immobile channels. However, other adjacent similar-sized channels 878 were more mobile. The transition from the uppermost F2 unit to the overlying paleosols 879 (equivalent to F3) is interpreted to represent waning flow. The aggrading F2 unit in the quarry 880 demonstrates a variable flow evolution within a single major incised depositional package, 881 which is also likely to be applicable to the less well preserved terrace deposits within the 882 Vasilikos valley.

883 Coarse grained F3-aged sediments are well developed in the Vasilikos Valley, in contrast 884 to the Vasilikos Quarry where they are absent, owing to narrowing of the incision, resultant 885 channel abandonment and relocation of the active channel eastwards after F2 accumulation 886 ended. The irregular, undulating nature of the base of the F3 infill in the Vasilikos Valley (Fig. 887 12.F3 a-b) is indicative of erosional downcutting. The immature textures and lenticular 888 character (Fig. 12.F3 c-d) of the conglomerates are indicative of high-energy flash floods 889 interspersed with periods of during more sustained background flow. The smaller clasts 890 observed at the top of the infill (Fig. 12.F3 a-d) indicate decreasing energy during waning flow, 891 prior to channel abandonment.

The mainly silty, sheet-like infill of the F4 deposits mainly represent overbank deposits from the axial channel that probably meandered, and was later incised to its present level in several stages (Gomez, 1987). During and after F4 deposition large volumes of coarse material, as exposed in the present-day river bed, continued to bypass the coastal plain and accumulate offshore.

897

#### 898 *5.5.Sediment provenance*

899 Around the western, northern and eastern peripheries of the Troodos Massif, the outcrops are 900 distributed in a broadly radial pattern, with increasingly higher-level units outwards 901 (Constantinou, 1995). As a result, for any given clast lithology (e.g. diabase; chert), in many 902 areas (e.g. Akaki river catchment; Main et al. 2016) the provenance of the clasts can be 903 specified based on lithology (i.e. minimum/maximum distance of transport). However, this 904 straightforward approach breaks down in the Vasilikos river catchment because the outcrops 905 of ophiolitic rocks to the north of Kalavasos Dam are variably distributed, owing to the highly 906 tectonised nature of the E-W-trending Arakapas Fault Zone and the Limassol Forest area to the 907 south (Fig. 2). Despite this limitation, the derivation of sedimentary rock clasts within the 908 Vasilikos river catchment can be related to the well-organised southward-younging 909 Maastrichtian-Pliocene stratigraphy (Bagnall, 1960; Pantazis, 1966) (Fig. 8).

910 Clasts of the same range of lithologies occur in all of the F1-F4 deposits, which suggests that 911 the source area exposures did not change significantly during the development of the Vasilikos 912 River. There is a higher proportion of ophiolitic clasts in the upper reaches, with chalk clasts 913 first appearing in the middle reaches, where the river starts to flow over the Lefkara Formation 914 (Fig. 8). There is variable mixing of relatively far-travelled ophiolite-derived clasts (mostly 915 diabase and microgabbro) and more locally-derived sedimentary clasts (Fig. 12). The ophiolite916 derived clasts are mostly well-rounded and smooth-surfaced (Fig. 14 c, f) compared to the 917 chalk clasts (Lefkara Formation) that are commonly subangular to angular, blocky, or elongate 918 (Fig. 14 c, d). These differences in textural maturity represent a combination of transport 919 distance, abrasion during overall transport and the tendency of some sedimentary rocks, 920 especially bedded chert, to erode into highly resistant angular-sided, tabular clasts (e.g. Fig. 14 921 c, top left). In agreement, studies of recent Cyprus beach conglomerates show that chert is 922 strongly over-represented (by volume) compared to source outcrops, indicating the very high 923 preservational potential of chert (Garzanti et al. 2000). Similar preferential chert preservation 924 is represented by the local abundance of chert clasts in some of the Vasilikos valley 925 conglomerates. In addition, there is some evidence of multi-cycle erosion and transport during 926 which some clasts from higher terraces were reworked into lower ones (e.g. in Vasilikos 927 quarry, Fig. 6 inset). This particularly results in anomalously large or well-rounded clasts of 928 ophiolitic rocks (mostly diabase) (e.g. Fig. 14 a), as also noted in the N-Troodos Akaki river 929 catchment (Main et al. 2016).

930 Clast counting of the F1 conglomerate in Vasilikos Quarry previously indicated a 931 predominance of diabase/microgabbro compared to basalt and sedimentary rocks, with only 932 minor basic intrusive rocks and negligible ultramafic rocks (Poole, 1992; Poole & Robertson, 933 1998). The F2 conglomerates, where previously sampled, comprised a more even distribution 934 of the above rock types (Poole, 1992; Poole & Robertson, 1998). Within the Vasilikos Valley, 935 diabase and microgabbro clasts dominate, together with rare gabbro, very rare ultramafic rocks 936 (weathered serpentinite) and rare, localised jasper (iron-rich chert) related to the Troodos 937 extrusive rocks (Fig. 13 f). The relative clast abundances reflect the widespread availability of 938 erosionally resistant diabase and gabbro from the higher reaches of the Vasilikos River, north 939 of Kalvassos Dam (Fig. 8). On the other hand, despite their widespread outcrop basaltic clasts 940 are sparse other than directly overlying the ophiolitic extrusive rocks. This reflects the tendency 941 of the basalt to weather mainly to fine-grained silt-sized material, as reported in the north-942 Troodos Akaki catchment (Main et al. 2016). Despite the existence of a large outcrop of 943 serpentinised rocks that begins c. 2 km NW of the dam site (Pantazis, 1967), ultramafic 944 ophiolitic rock clasts are notably sparse, reflecting alteration to soft-weathering serpentinite.

- 945
- 946 *5.6.Paleosol development*

947 Both the Vasilikos river valley and Vasilikos Quarry are characterised by widespread 948 development of brownish to reddish paleosols (Fig. 6; see also supplementary material). In the 949 Vasilikos Valley, strongly reddish terra rossa-type paleosols occur within and directly 950 overlying the F3 fluvial deposits (Fig. 14 b). Paleosols occur elsewhere in the relative 951 chrononology (e.g. late Pleistocene) near Kalavasos (Schirmer, 1998; Kinnaird et al. 2013). 952 However, these are mainly brownish rather than reddish and less well-developed. The facies 953 similarities and position in the stratigraphy support a correlation of the very well-developed, 954 intensely red terra rossa paleosols in the quarry with the F3 deposition (and associated 955 geomorphical surfaces) in the valley. Similar terra rossa paleosols are reported from the same 956 relative stratigraphic level (equivalent to F3) in the north-Troodos Akaki canyon (Main et al. 957 2016) and the Kyrenia Range (Palamakumbura & Robertson, 2016a).

958 In the quarry, the transition between clastic deposition and paleosols (Fig. 6 log b; see also 959 supplementary material) is interpreted as the result of soil formation, alternating with fluvial 960 sand accumulation, prior to channel abandonment. Southward-thickening of the paleosols 961 suggests more extensive soil formation away from the river channel. In places, small (< several 962 metre-deep) steep-sided channels cut the paleosols and are infilled with a mixture of reworked 963 (rounded) ophiolite-derived clasts and locally derived (highly angular) Lefkara Formation 964 chalk clasts (see supplementary material). The uppermost, darkest red (most iron-rich) paleosol 965 is indicative of highly favourable conditions for soil formation and/or a relatively long period 966 of accumulation. The paleosols in the quarry developed on the very gentle upper slopes of the 967 F3 river channel that was by then located well to the east and at a lower topographic level. 968 Partially eroded F1 conglomerate to the southwest contributed texturally mature, ophiolite-969 derived clasts (mostly diabase) to the locally overlying paleosol (Fig. 6, section, west end). 970 Elsewhere, clasts are scattered through the paleosols; these are randomly orientated and range 971 from well-rounded to highly angular. The clasts are likely to have been washed downslope 972 individually during wet periods, without sorting. Where present, localised small conglomerate-973 filled channels within the paleosols in the quarry are interpreted as high-energy flash-flood 974 events, which mainly reworked clasts from the F1 or F2 conglomerates.

975 In general, local topography strongly influenced paleosol morphology and thickness, varying 976 from thin sheets on flat areas (e.g. lower reaches), to locally thickened lenses in some hillslope 977 areas (middle and upper reaches). The paleosols in the quarry are thicker (several metres) and 978 darker in colour (more iron-rich) compared to most of those overlying the F3 deposits and 979 related surfaces in the Vasilikos Valley; these are relatively thin (tens of cm), grey-brown to 980 reddish (Fig. 14 b). This is probably because a relatively long time was available for paleosol 981 formation in the distal valley slope after major channel abandonment (i.e. quarry), 982 uninterrupted by major fluvial input or mass-wasting processes. In addition, bright red paleosols developed on correlative F3 surfaces including ophiolite-derived conglomerate in the
higher reaches of the Vasilikos river catchment, within the Arakapas Transform Fault Zone
(see supplementary material).

986 Paleosols are well known to be climate-influenced. Relatively, warm, moist periods 987 generally favoured the formation of brownish/reddish terra rossa-type paleosols (Kraus, 1999; 988 Schaetz & Anderson, 2005; Celma et al. 2015). The presence of roots/rootlets and charcoal 989 within the paleosols is indicative of a well-developed vegetation cover, with an increasing 990 number of root traces up-sequence as the soils became more developed (see supplementary 991 material). The terra rossa could include a significant proportion of aeolian dust as elsewhere in 992 the Mediterranean region (e.g. Mallorca, Spain; Muhs et al. 2010), although geochemical 993 analysis is needed to test this possibility.

994

#### 995 *5.7.Colluvium formation*

996 Landscapes tend towards equilibrium stability (Hurst *et al.* 2012). As a result, material tends 997 to move from high to lower topographic elevations, particularly on valley sides. Hillslope 998 readjustment processes have therefore played an important role in the development of the 999 Vasilikos Valley, especially in the topographically steeper upper to middle reaches. The 1000 readjustment-related deposits are of two types, one associated with the F1 and F2 surfaces 1001 which is conglomeratic (e.g. Nemec & Kazanzi, 1999) and one with the F3-F4 surfaces, which 1002 is mainly composed of silt-sized material (harvara).

- 1003
- 1004

#### 5.7.1. Conglomeratic colluvium

1005 Chalky conglomeratic or breccia-like colluvium (> 20 m thick) overlies the F2 erosion surface 1006 in the upper reaches near Vasilikos Dam (Fig. 15 b). This material was derived from the 1007 Lefkara Formation (Fig. 8) that is exposed on the upper, easterly slopes of the Vasilikos river 1008 catchment (c. 2 km away and > 100 m topographically higher). This colluvial conglomerate is 1009 matrix-rich (75%), poorly sorted and contains > 95% angular to subangular chalk clasts, 1010 without imbrication (Fig. 15 c). In places, the deposits fill depressions in the underlying 1011 extrusive igneous rocks, indicating that that they were crudely channelised.

1012 Chalky conglomeratic colluvium also forms exposures (up to c. 0.5 km across) above ophiolitic 1013 lavas elsewhere within the upper reaches of the Vasilikos Valley (Pantazis, 1967). This chalky 1014 conglomerate, like the exposure near the dam, appears to have mainly formed post-F2 incision 1015 but pre-F3 incision. In addition, comparable conglomeratic colluvium forms widespread exposures (up to c. 2 km across) on the southward-facing slopes of the Arakapas Transform
Fault valley (c. 7 km N of the dam). In this area, source the Lefkara Formation chalks were
subsequently eroded leaving thick (tens of metres) chalky conglomerates, isolated from their
source outcrop.

1020 The chalky conglomerates and breccias as a whole accumulated in response to erosion of 1021 relatively soft-weathering chalk, followed by downslope movement by mass-flow processes. 1022 Erosive power was sufficiently strong to incise locally into basaltic lavas, although these likely 1023 to have been strongly weathered (Fig. 15 a). After incision of the F2 paleovalley, which 1024 increased sediment accommodation space, the higher valley slopes underwent mass wasting of 1025 chalk to form the clast to matrix-supported conglomerate/breccia which ten accumulated on 1026 the F2 erosion surface. Remnants of this coarse colluvial material remain after later incision 1027 and erosion (F3, F4).

1028 Within the middle reaches of the Vasilikos Valley, the steeply-sloping margins of the incised 1029 F3 surface are mantled by fallen blocks of conglomerate that were locally derived from the 1030 topographically higher F2 terrace. In many places, for example, southwest of Kalavasos, there 1031 is a clear change in slope beneath the F2 surface, which is initially steep and then flattens out 1032 downwards. The slope change corresponds to the occurrence of numerous irregularly-1033 distributed conglomerate blocks (up to 10 m long x < 5 m thick). The blocks are lithologically 1034 similar to the F2 conglomerate that is locally preserved above. These F2 conglomerates were 1035 armoured by calcrete, incised (related to F3 downcutting), and then locally collapsed 1036 downslope as detached blocks. Mass-wasting of blocks of lithified conglomerate have therefore 1037 played a role in slope adjustment, at least locally.

- 1038
- 1039

## 5.7.2. Silty and marly colluvium

1040 Sloping F3 surfaces are commonly mantled by variably dipping, variably stratified, impure 1041 marls and silts (harvara), which are locally interbedded with matrix-supported conglomerates 1042 and/or grey to brown paleosols (Fig. 15 d). These deposits are very widespread, especially on 1043 the western side of the valley, in the middle reaches. The deposits range from thin (typically 1044 several metres), irregular veneers, especially on upper slopes, to lenticular slope cones (tens of 1045 metres thick) where valley re-entrants merge with valley floors. Downslope and axially, the 1046 colluvial deposits are increasingly mixed with fluvial conglomerate. The broad, sloping F3 1047 valley surfaces were particularly well-suited to harvara accumulation and were preserved from 1048 Holocene erosion related to their topographic position above the modern-day river channel.

The harvara formed preferentially on carbonate-rich bedrock (Schirmer, 1998). However, it can also be reworked downslope onto other lithologies, as seen in the Vasilikos Valley near the dam, where it overlies ophiolitic rocks. Harvara commonly forms debris cones at the toes of valley slopes (< 10 m thick), although can also occur as thin sheets or lenses on more gently inclined surfaces.

Individual stratified harvara deposits, as well exposed at Kalavasós Márkou (see above), begin with calcareous silt with rock fragments, interpreted to represent unstable slopes with limited binding vegetation. The presence of scattered relatively large, angular clasts in some of the harvara points to 'flashy' coarse sediment supply. Harvara layers then fines-upwards into more homogeneous silty marl that is interpreted to have accumulated under more stable slope conditions.

1060 The paleosols in Vasilikos Quarry are interbedded with thicker intervals of pale calcareous 1061 silts, commonly with rootlets and variably calichified (Figs. 6, 15 e). These sediments are 1062 interpreted as poorly developed paleosols, mixed with terrigenous material, that accumulated 1063 on a gently sloping land surface away from the active river channel.

1064 Harvara in Cyprus has been interpreted as mainly the product of slow periglacial mass 1065 wasting (solifluction), coupled with down-slope mass movement. Initially strong, but waning 1066 climatically-controlled erosion-redeposition characterised glacials, was followed by humic soil 1067 formation on a forested land surface during interglacials (Schirmer, 1998). During glacial 1068 periods, mechanical erosion (e.g. freeze thaw; frost shattering) provided a large amount of 1069 immature angular material, explaining the presence of relatively large (outsized) angular clasts 1070 (e.g. Lefkara Formation chalk) within the harvara, compared to generally smaller and more 1071 texturally mature (rounded) clasts within the overlying terra rossa when the landscape was 1072 more vegetated. Harvara therefore developed cyclically as climate changed, varying in 1073 thickness and facies according to the duration and intensity of climatic downturms and the 1074 prevailing slope conditions. A similar influence of vegetation on run-off is inferred from other 1075 areas including the Late Quaternary of the Sparta area, Greece (Pope & Wilkinson, 2005).

1076 During harvara accumulation hill slopes approached or exceeded 30°, which favoured 1077 sediment transport by dominantly non-linear processes such as mass flow and downslope 1078 creep. Sediments that moved downslope by such diffusive processes where shielded from 1079 chemical weathering that commonly affected subaerially exposed material.

Aeolian processes are likely to have contributed to harvara formation (Schirmer, 1998), which is consistent with the abundance of Pleistocene aeolianites in adjacent areas (e.g. Israel coast plain) (Frechen *et al.* 2004). However, the Cyprus harvara is not necessarily equivalent to fine-grained colluvium in general, which is believed to have formed at various times andunder varying climatic conditions (Nemec & Kazancı, 1999).

1085 Taken together, the chalky conglomerate/breccia and the finer-grained colluvium (harvara) 1086 were influenced by a range of processes including slope angle (and slope change), sediment 1087 accommodation space (related to incision), climate (and climate change) and source rock. 1088 Source rock was important especially the outcrops of Maastrichtian-Paleocene marly chalks 1089 which are particularly soft-weathering and supplied large volumes of chalky material. 1090 Relatively steep slopes were also important in concentrating colluvium in slope toes above 1091 terraces (especially F3). Chalks are also extensive in the NE-Troodos Akaki catchment (Main 1092 et al. 2016). However, there, chalky colluvium is minimal mainly because the adjacent slopes 1093 (e.g. F3) were much more subdued.

- 1094
- 1095

#### 5.8. Calcrete and caliche formation

1096 The fluvial terraces are capped by a calcareous crust (kafkalla; see Nomenclature), which is 1097 generally thickest (up to several metres) and most pervasive on the topographically highest and 1098 thus older terraces (F1 and F2) (Fig. 13 c), reflecting prolonged subaerial (or near-subaerial) 1099 exposure. The uppermost levels of the conglomerate terraces are commonly cemented by 1100 calcrete (up to several metres thick), with or without a kafkalla capping. Beneath this, the matrix of the conglomerates at all levels commonly contains caliche nodules (see 1101 1102 Supplementary material). Nodular caliche is widespread especially within the F3 and F4 finer-1103 grained fluvial sediments.

1104 Caliche forms in a semi-arid climate with seasonal rainfall, similar to today (Arakel, 1982). 1105 Recent studies of other areas (e.g. Sorbas basin, Spain) suggest that suitable climatic conditions 1106 for caliche formation (similar to today) existed during several Pleistocene warm intervals, prior 1107 to the Holocene (MIS 1-5) (Candy et al. 2006; Candy & Black, 2009). Caliche is also reported from areas of high, but seasonal rainfall and relatively high temperate (Retallack, 2001). Given 1108 1109 that caliche relates to fluid flow, precipitation and clastic sediment replacement it may form 1110 over a prolonged time period, and might even develop cyclically as climatic conditions change 1111 assuming that it remains within the seasonally affected profile. Whole-rock radiometric ages 1112 of caliche therefore need to be treated with some caution.

- 1113
- 1114

5.9. Development of the Vasilikos catchment through time

1115 Combined with the quarry exposure, the river valley geomorphology and deposits allow the 1116 progressive development of the Vasilikos river catchment to be reconstructed (Figs. 16, 17; 1117 Table 1).

1118 The scene was set during the early, focussed uplift of the Troodos Massif (Poole & 1119 Robertson, 1991). As a result, fully marine shelf deposition of the Pliocene-early Pleistocene 1120 Nicosia Formation (mud/marl) gave way to sand and conglomerate lenses, interbedded with 1121 bioturbated marine marls, rich in macrofossils. The interval records the progradation of a 1122 shallow-marine fan delta generally towards the SE (McCallum, 1989), although with hints of 1123 meandering streams. This was followed by a thin and probably short-lived interval of non-1124 marine fan-delta accumulation. Each conglomerate lens is likely to represent a flash flood 1125 event, with the higher proportions of generally larger clasts representing deposition from the 1126 highest energy flows.

1127 The regionally distributed F1 conglomerate (Fanglomerate Group) accumulated during very 1128 rapid uplift of Troodos Massif. This background tectonic control coincided with a humid 1129 climate that facilitated major bedrock erosion, coarse clastic sediment supply and development 1130 of sheet-outwash fans, radially around the Troodos Massif (Poole and Robertson, 1991, 2000). 1131 Erosion and associated incision can be accentuated during times of climate deterioration and 1132 vegetation loss, as inferred in Mallorca (Spain) during the last 140 ka (Rose et al. 1999). Studies 1133 in other areas (e.g. Makran Range) suggest on the other hand that incision and terrace 1134 abandonment were accentuated during the transition to, and also during, warm, humid periods 1135 (Bridgland & Westaway, 2008, 2009; Wegmann & Pazzaglia, 2009; Kober et al. 2013).

The F1 conglomerates, as in the Vasilikos Quarry and in the highest fluvial terraces in the Vasilikos river catchment, conform to the previously documented overall radial distribution pattern around the Troodos Massif (Poole & Robertson, 1991, 2000). The paleocurrent evidence, including the foresets in the F1 and F2 deposits of the quarry and the clast imbrication in all of the fluvial terraces studied indicate dominant flow towards the SE, which is in keeping with uplift and erosion centred on Mount Olympos (Poole & Robertson, 1991, 2000).

The topography of the F1 fluvial distributary in the lower reaches is envisaged as a broad, shallow semi-braided channel, which transported very coarse material from the ophiolitic hinterland via a series high-energy traction and mass-flow events (Figs. 16 a, 17 a). The mid to higher reaches of the F1 distributary cannot be inferred owing to lack of sufficient deposits. The F2 deposits accumulated in a discrete, constrained channel that was incised into the F1 deposits or into bedrock (Figs. 16 b, 17 b). This is specifically demonstrated in Vasilikos Quarry, where the F2 conglomerates cut through the F1 conglomerates into Late Pliocene1149 Early Pleistocene marine marls/mudrocks of the Nicosia Formation (Figs. 5, 6). The F2 1150 conglomerates extend into the upper reaches of the Vasilikos river valley (Fig. 11), where the 1151 pre-existing, relatively broad F1 channel was incised by a narrower, steeper-sided channel. 1152 Further indications of the F2 channel-filling processes come from the quarry, where the lower 1153 of the two aggrading conglomeratic intervals (Figs. 5, 6) shows evidence of deposition near 1154 the threshold of a change between braided and channellised deposits. In some places, these 1155 conglomerates have similar depositional features to the F1 conglomerates, with lateral 1156 continuity for tens of metres or more and evidence of sandy and pebbly bars. However, in 1157 places conglomerates are strongly channelised and stacked vertically or obliquely.

The F3 surfaces in the Vasilikos Valley record profound erosion which removed most of the pre-existing conglomerates, leaving only fringing fluvial deposits as terraces (Figs. 16 c, 17 c). In the middle and upper reaches of the catchment, the F3 channel incised pre-existing channels. In contrast, in the lower reaches, above softer lithologies (Pakhna and Nicosia formations), the channel narrowed and deepened into bedrock. The western margin of the F2 channel infill, represented by the quarry outcrop, was abandoned and covered by mainly finegrained deposits in the form of alternating paleosols and pale calcareous silts.

1165 After F3 deposition, further incision created a range of geomorphologies and deposits (Figs. 1166 16 d, 17 d). A slot-like gorge was incised in the upper reaches, with minimal preserved 1167 sediment (Figs. 10, 11). A comparable, but deeper (c. 25 m) slot-like gorge formed during 1168 equivalent late-stage geomorphological development of the Akaki canyon, NE Troodos margin 1169 (Main et al. 2016), and has also been noted elsewhere around the Troodos Massif (unpublished 1170 data). The finer-grained F4 deposits of the mostly represent overbank deposits, probably from a meandering channels, which spilled over a broad, low-amplitude paleovalley in the lower 1171 1172 reaches, south of Kalavasos. The modern-day channel in the lower reaches ranges from a 1173 shallow, well-constrained channel (south of Kalavasos) to a broader, less-constrained semi-1174 braided channel towards the coast.

- 1175
- 1176

#### 5.10. Major controls of erosion and aggradation

Long-term tectonic uplift focussed on Mt. Olympos was the dominant control of geomorphology and fluvial deposition (Poole & Robertson, 1991). However, shorter term climatic changes (on Milankovitch time scales) also played a key role (Poole & Robertson, 2000; Waters *et al.* 2010). Similar climatic influence is inferred for many other areas undergoing tectonic uplift, including Calabria (Massari *et al.* 2007) and south-central Australia 1182 (Quigley *et al.* 2007). Eustatic sea-level change is also likely to have played a role by lowering 1183 the base level of erosion, particularly at the near-coast quarry site (now c. 40-80 m ASL). 1184 Eustatic sea-level oscillations of up to c. 130 m took place during the last glacial maximum 1185 (19,000 and 30,000 years ago) (Lambeck et al. 2002) and presumably affected all areas of 1186 coastal Cyprus. The incised F4 channels, including the slot-like gorge in the upper reaches 1187 (south of the dam) could relate to northward retreat of a sea-level-change-induced nick point, 1188 similar to that suggested for the NE-Troodos Akaki river catchment (Main et al. 2016). 1189 Elsewhere in the Mediterranean, fan deposition on the Cabo de Gata coast, SE Spain is 1190 interpreted to have responded to base-level change with increased erosion and incision 1191 (Harvey, 2002).

- 1192
- 1193

## 5.11. Timing of erosion and aggradation

1194 Absolute age constraints on the direct age of the non-marine Pleistocene surfaces and deposits 1195 within the Vasilikos river catchment unfortunately remain very limited, restricted to two 1196 radiocarbon dates and three OSL ages estimates of palaesols, together with supporting OSL 1197 age profiling (Kinnaird et al. 2013), and one whole-rock U-Series caliche age (Waters et al. 1198 2010) of debateable significance (see below). Despite these limitations, some older ages can 1199 be suggested for the earlier deposits mainy based on magnetostratigraphy of deposits in 1200 southern Cyprus and correlations with radiometrically dated shallow-marine, coastal 1201 carbonates.

1202 Paleomagnetic studies of Pleistocene fine-grained deposits from the western, southern and 1203 eastern periphery of the Troodos Massif indicate a normal magnetic polarity for both the fluvial 1204 and littoral-marine sediments as a whole (Kinnaird et al. 2011; Weber et al. 2011) (i.e. post-1205 781 ka; Cohen et al. 2013). The F2 and F1 deposits (and by extension the associated surfaces) 1206 are not dated anywhere in Cyprus, largely owing to the absence of preserved aragonitic coral 1207 suitable for dating of correlative shallow-marine deposits. The older deposits (F1 and F2) 1208 originally inferred to be early-mid Pleistocene (Poole & Robertson, 1998, 2000) are now 1209 redefined as Middle Pleistocene age, following the reclassification of the Pliocene-Pleistocene 1210 boundary (from 1.806 Ma to 2.588 Ma to) (see Cohen et al., 2013). However, it can be assumed 1211 that these two major incision-aggradation cycles correspond to major sea-level excursions prior 1212 to MIS 7, of mid-Middle Pleistocene age, potentially MIS 9, MIS 11 and possibly older (see 1213 Palamakumbura et al. 2016 b). Large-scale fluvial conglomerate accumulation (pre-F1, F1 and 1214 F2) therefore took place during Early-Middle Pleistocene time when the aggrading marine to

non-marine fan-delta system is included. Although younger, the two correlative aggrading
conglomerate intervals (F2) in the quarry are also inferred to be of mid-Middle Pleistocene age.
The reported 59 ka (Late Pleistocene) whole-rock age (Late Pleistocene) of caliche from the
upper level of these conglomerates (Waters et al. 2010) therefore appears to be too young, and
is re-interpreted as a diageneticage.

1220 The relative chronology of the F1-F4 deposits in the Vasilikos river catchment is similar to 1221 elsewhere around the periphery of the Troodos Massif (Poole & Robertson, 1998, 2000), 1222 notably the NE-Troodos Akaki river catchment (Main et al. 2016). In addition, similar stages 1223 of geomorphologic development have been established in the Kyrenia Range, north Cyprus 1224 (Palamakumbura et al. 2016 a, b; Palamakumbura & Robertson 2016 a, b). Shallow-marine 1225 terrace deposits, both around the periphery of the Troodos Massif and in the Kyrenia Range, 1226 have been radiometrically dated by means of U-series disequilibrium dating of Cladocora 1227 solitary coral, and then lithologically correlated with marine and continental deposits elsewhere 1228 around coastal Cyprus. Shallow-marine deposits that can be broadly correlated with the F3 1229 fluvial deposits in southern Cyprus have been dated at c. 185-192 ka, generally corresponding 1230 to MIS 7 (Poole et al. 1990), of later Mid-Pleistocene age. Comparable marine terraces in the 1231 Kyrenia range, N Cyprus have recently yielded more accurate ages of 243 and 131 ka 1232 (Palamakumbura *et al.* 2016 a), also within the age span of MIS 7. MIS 7 lasted for c. > 5001233 ka, with at least two main internal excursions, implying > 60 m of eustatic sea-level change 1234 within this time interval (Cohen et al. 2013), with pronounced geomorphological and 1235 depositional effects.

1236 In many coastal areas, MIS 7 marine terraces are covered by a veneer (commonly tens of 1237 centimetres thick) of shallow-marine carbonate rock (Poole & Robertson, 2000; 1238 Palamakumbura & Robertson, 2016 b). These littoral deposits are dated generally at c. 116-1239 130 ka and correlated with MIS 5e; i.e. related to the Last Interglacial (~129-116 ka) when 1240 sea levels are inferred to have been c. 6 m above modern day in many areas (Horton et al. 1241 2018). MIS 5 lasted for > 350 ka, with several high frequency oscillations (Cohen *et al.* 2013), 1242 which are again likely to be represented by small-scale geomorphological and depositional 1243 changes. For example, on the island of Mallorca (Spain), MIS 5e was associated with coastal 1244 erosion and eustatic sea-level rise (Rose et al. 1999). Comparable coastal erosion is seen around 1245 Cyprus, notably in the Larnaca area (Poole & Robertson, 2000).

1246 The long-lived, strongly fluctuating MIS 7 highstand (later Middle Pleistocene) facilitated 1247 extensive downcutting to form wide coastal terraces, as best developed in SW Cyprus (Poole 1248 & Robertson, 1991). The associated deep incision gave rise to a broad (but variable) valley and the accommodation space necessary for a wide range of clastic sediments, paleosols and colluvium, as observed throughout the Vasilikos river catchment. The similarly long-lived MIS 5 highstand (Middle-Late Pleistocene boundary) was followed by incision of the narrow, steepsided slot into the ophiolitic bedrock in the upper reaches and erosion of the broad shallow valley in the lower reaches (south of Kalavasos). In general, aggradation is likely to have occurred following strong incision, mainly during periods of high eustatic sea level (interglacials), as also inferred for the NE Troodos Akaki river catchment (Main et al. 2016).

1256 Charcoal from the paleosol in the lower part of the colluvium (harvara) at Kalavasós Márcou 1257 which overlies the F3 erosion surface yielded a radiocarbon age of 31, 970  $\pm$  910 a BP 1258 (Schirmer, 1998) and an OSL age estimate of  $29.82 \pm 2.11$  ka (Kinnaird *et al.* 2013). Charcoal 1259 from a brown-grey discontinuous parting higher up ('charcoal streak') in this section yielded 1260 an age of  $27.44 \pm 1.6$  ka (Schirmer, 1998). Paleosol from the lower part of a succession (c. 8) 1261 m thick) of alternating paleosols and harvara in another section, c. 1.2 km north of Kalavasos 1262 gave an OSL age estimate of  $9.97 \pm 2.08$  ka (Kinnaird *et al.* 2013). In addition, a sample from 1263 another section, which is located c. 200 m farther WNW (Vasilikos-Drapia) gave a 1264 significantly older age of 66.40  $\pm$ 4.07 ka. The sample was collected 2 m above the modern 1265 channel bed and at a slightly lower level than the younger sample.

1266 The Kalavasós Márkou harvara is similar to the widely distributed colluvium overlying the 1267 F3 surface (e.g. N of Kalavasos) which is therefore likely to be mainly late Pleistocene. 1268 However, the available stratigraphic and age evidence suggests that that harvara formed cyclically throughout the Pleistocene. The dated paleosols also formed a different times (> 65 1269 1270 ka - < 10 ka), at different levels of the valley slopes, especially above the F3 and/or F4 erosion 1271 surfaces. The Eastern Mediterranean experienced relatively wet conditions during c. 6,000-1272 5,400 BP, followed by drier conditions with short-lived more humid periods until the present 1273 day (Finné et al. 2011). Comparable results from SW Cyprus (Dhiarizos River) suggest that 1274 incision and subsequent alluvial aggradation took place synchronously in Cyprus over the last 1275 2000 ka (Deckers, 2005) and also elsewhere in the Mediterranean (Macklin et al. 2002).

- 1276
- 1277

## 5.12. Influence of neotectonic deformation

1278 Did neotectonic faulting played a significant role in Vasilikos Valley geomorphology and1279 sedimentation?

North of Kalavasos, the colluvium above the F3 surface exposes a local angular discordancewhich is likely to reflect contemporaneous fault-related tilting, followed by progradation of

1282 further colluvium (sub-horizontal) (Fig. 15 f). The fault-modified paleotopography in this area 1283 affected erosion and sediment deposition locally. However, there is little evidence that 1284 neotectonic faulting has had a significant regional influence on sediment supply or 1285 geomorphology in the Vasilikos river catchment, where instead, the development is similar to the periphery of the Troodos Massif as a whole. Most other faults deform units up to and 1286 1287 including the Messinian deposits. Some faults in the area appear to have been reactivated in 1288 response to NW-SE compression, associated with c. E-W left-lateral strike-slip during 1289 Pleistocene-Recent time. Such transpressional effects can broadly related to the westward 1290 tectonic escape of Cyprus from the colliding African and Eurasian plates (Soulas, 2003, Harrison et al. 2004; Kinnaird & Robertson, 2013). However, there is no known structural 1291 1292 evidence of major vertical fault offset which could have significantly affected the overall 1293 relative chronology of geomorphic terraces and deposits, including those in the Vasilikos 1294 Quarry.

- 1295
- 1296

#### 5.13. Wider implications

1297 Much Quaternary research focuses on trying to identify and isolate specific features (e.g. 1298 fluvial deposits; geomorphological slopes) that can then be studied in terms of the processes 1299 involved, quantitatively where possible (e.g. stream power; mass-flow). However, there is also 1300 considerable merit in detailed case histories through time where every geomorphic surface and 1301 deposit has its place, allowing detailed comparisons of the processes involved. Global survey 1302 of such processes indicates a preference for climatic-related explanations, representing 55% 1303 of documented examples compared to tectonic-related explanations that make up only 5% 1304 (Schanz et al. 2018). In this regard, the Vasilikos river catchment is firmly in the 'camp' of a 1305 dominantly tectonic control at least during the Early-Middle Pleistocene when most of the 1306 geomorphological surfaces and sediments discussed here were formed. However, the processes 1307 of tectonic uplift (including timing and rate), climate (and climatic change) and eustatic sea-1308 level change (especially in near-coastal areas) go hand-in-hand and interact to produce the 1309 landscape and deposits. The Vasilikos river catchment has the potential to emerge as a classic 1310 open-air laboratory for a wide range of related processes, especially if more accurate dating 1311 becomes possible in the future.

1312

#### 1313 **6.1. Conclusions**

The depositional and geomorphological setting of the isolated Pleistocene deposits
 exposed in the important (and much visited) Vasilikos Quarry in southern coastal Cyprus
 is resolved by establishing a stratigraphy within the quarry and also within the adjacent
 Vasilikos river valley, allowing an overall correlation of geomorphic surfaces and
 depositional units within the Vasilikos river catchment as a whole.

1319 2. The key to understanding of the stratigraphy of the deposits within Vasilikos Quarry is the
1320 discovery of a major angular unconformity which is incised through the highest-level
1321 (oldest) Pleistocene deposits into underlying fine-grained, open-marine Late Pliocene1322 Early Pleistocene deposits (Nicosia Formation).

The construction of E-W geomorphological profiles over c. 10 km N-S within the Vasilikos
 river catchment (using ArcGIS) allows four main stages of valley development be inferred,
 mainly involving successive fluvial incision, coarse clastic deposition, colluvium and
 paleosol development.

4. Fluvial conglomerates and associated sediments have been mapped through a large part of
the Vasilikos river catchment in the form of patchily preserved, ribbon-like terrace deposits,
which are indicative of four main successive cycles of fluvial aggradation (F1-F4) at
progressively lower topographic levels.

5. The quarry outcrop includes the distal, westerly margin of the F2-aged channellised fluvial
deposits. The channel was abandoned related to incision to form a new channel (F3) farther
east and at a lower topographic level.

- Mass-movement of calcareous material on sloping surfaces produced colluvial deposits
  ranging from chalky debris flows, mainly on a relatively high and thus old geomorphic
  surface (F2) to mainly lenticular calcareous silts (Cyprus harvara) which predominate
  above younger surfaces (mostly F3-aged), especially near slope bases.
- 1338 7. Correlation with comparable Pleistocene deposits elsewhere in Cyprus suggests that the
  pre-F1 (thin) fluvial deposits accumulated during the Early Pleistocene, the F1 and F2
  1340 conglomerates during the Middle Pleistocene, the F3 conglomerate during the Middle
  Pleistocene (c. 219-185 ka) and the F4 conglomerate near the Middle-Late Pleistocene
  boundary (c. 141-116 ka).
- Initial, Early Pleistocene uplift focussed of the Troodos Massif was characterised by overall
   SE-progradation of a regressive shallow-marine fan-delta, exemplifying tectonically forced
   regression. The overlying coarse, immature and widely distributed F1 conglomerates
   accumulated during an inferred humid interval of high run-off of coarse clastic sediment.
   This climatic perturbation was coeval with ongoing rapid surface uplift that was focussed

1348 on the core of the Troodos massif (Mt. Olympos). The F2 conglomerates accumulated 1349 within paleovalleys that were mainly incised into the F1 deposits during another humid 1350 period when rapid surface uplift was still continuing. The F3 conglomerate and associated 1351 colluvial deposits (harvara) and paleosols (terra rossa) accumulated during, or after, a 1352 prolonged period (c. 219-185 ka) of fluctuating eustatic sea-level and related glacio-eustatic 1353 climate change when the climate was largely warm and humid and when surface uplift was 1354 still continuing (possibly at a reduced rate). The F4 conglomerates and extensive silty 1355 overbank deposits mainly accumulated within a wide, broadly incised paleovalley within 1356 the coastal plain. These sediments may have aggraded during a humid period of high 1357 eustatic sea level (c. 141-116 ka). Clastic sediment bypassing to the offshore continued 1358 throughout the Pleistocene, especially during the Early-Middle Pleistocene when surface 1359 uplift was most intense.

9. Following incision episodes, channel infill took place during relatively humid periods by a
combination of high-energy stream-flood events, creating poorly sorted, commonly chaotic
conglomerates, and also by lower-energy sustained flow, producing more organised
conglomerates (including local Gilbert-type foresets).

10. The geomorphology of the Vasilikos valley was strongly influenced by lithology. Channels
are wider and broader where incised into relatively soft extrusive igneous rocks (weathered
basalt) and soft sedimentary rocks (marls and gypsum). Distinctive narrowing and
confinement of the river channel at Kalavasos coincides with highly resistant chert layers
within the Eocene interval of the Lefkara Formation. Slope evolution and colluvium were
also influenced by lithology, with chalk liberating the largest volumes of colluvium (both
fine and coarse-grained).

1371 11. The dominant control of catchment development was focussed tectonic uplift of the
1372 Troodos Massif, mainly during Early-Middle Pleistocene time (F1-F2 surfaces and fluvial
1373 deposits).

1374 12. The effects of surface uplift later waned, allowing climatic change and related glacio1375 eustasy to dominate the late Middle-Late Pleistocene (F3 and F4 surfaces and fluvial
1376 deposits).

1377 13. Neotectonic faulting in the Vasilikos river catchment had some influence on sedimentation
1378 and geomorphology but only locally on a small scale. Our new evidence is therefore
1379 consistent with the uplift of the adjacent Troodos Massif, essentially as one structurally
1380 coherent unit, in contrast to many other 'fore-arc' areas (e.g. S Crete) that were dissected
1381 by major high-angle faulting during the Pleistocene.

1382

#### 1383 Acknowledgements

The first author thanks Eric Fitton for acting as her field assistant during fieldwork in Cyprus, 1384 1385 and also the School of GeoSciences for part-funding travel and subsistence. The second author 1386 acknowledges the John Dixon memorial fund for financial assistance with the fieldwork in Cyprus. Romesh Palamakumbura participated in early reconnaissance fieldwork. We thank 1387 Dick Kroon and Louis Kinnear for helpful discussion in the field. Hülya Alçiçek, Timothy 1388 1389 Kinnaird and Louis Kinnear provided constructive comments on the manuscript. 1390

1391

#### 1392 **References**

- 1393 ALLEN, P. A. 2008. From landscape into geological history. *Nature* **451**, 274-276.
- ANASTASAKIS, G. & KELLING, G. 1991. Tectonic connection of the Hellenic and Cyprus arcs
  and related geotectonic elements. *Marine Geology* 97, 261–277.
- 1396 ARAKEL, A.V. 1982. Genesis of calcrete in Quaternary soil profiles, Hutt and Leeman Lagoons,
- 1397 Western Australia. Journal of Sedimentary Research 52, 109–125.
- BAGNALL, P. S. 1960. The geology and mineral resources of the Pano Lefkara-Larnaca area. *Geological Survey Department, Cyprus, Memoir* 5.
- 1400 BALMER, E., ROBERTSON, A., RAFFI, I. & KROON, D. 2018. Pliocene-Pleistocene sedimentary
- 1401 development of the syntectonic Polis graben, NW Cyprus: Evidence from facies analysis,
- 1402 nannofossil biochronology and strontium isotope dating. Geological Magazine, 1-29.
- 1403 doi:10.1017/S0016756818000286
- BELLAMY, C. V. & JUKES-BROWNE, A. J. 1905. *The geology of Cyprus*. Plymouth (W. Brendon& Son), 72 pp.
- BLUM, M. D. & TÖRNQVIST, T. E. 2000. Fluvial responses to climate and sea-level change: a
  review and look forward. *Sedimentology* 47, 2–48.
- BRIDGLAND, D. & WESTAWAY R. 2008. Climatically controlled river terrace staircases: a
  worldwide Quaternary phenomenon. *Geomorphology* 98, 285-315.
- 1410 CALON, T. J., AKSU, A. E. & HALL, J. 2005. The Oligocene-Recent evolution of the Mesaoria
- Basin (Cyprus) and its western marine extension, Eastern Mediterranean. *Marine Geology* 221,
  95-120.
- 1413
- 1414 CANDY, I. & BLACK, S. 2009. The timing of Quaternary calcrete development in semi-arid
  1415 southeast Spain: investigating the role of climate on calcrete genesis. *Sedimentary Geology*1416 **220**, 6–15.
- 1417
- 1418 CANDY, I., ROSE, J. & LEE, J. 2006. A seasonally 'dry' interglacial climate in eastern England
- 1419 during the Early–Middle Pleistocene: palaeopedological and stable isotopic evidence from
  1420 Pakefield, U.K. *Boreas* 35, 255–265.
- 1421

- 1422 CAPUTO, R., CATALANO, S., MONACO, C., ROMAGNOLI, G., TORTORICI, G. & TORTORICI, L.
  1423 2010. Active faulting on the island of Crete (Greece). *Geophysical Journal Internation* 183, 111–126.
- 1425
- CELMA, D. C., PIERUCCINI, P. & FARABOLLINI, P. 2015. Major controls on architecture,
  sequence stratigraphy and paleosols of Middle Pleistocene continental sediments ("Qc Unit"),
  eastern central Italy. *Quaternary Research* 83, 565–581.
- 1429
- COHEN, K. M., FINNEY, S. C., GIBBARD, P. L. & FAN, J. X., 2013. The ICS international
  chronostratigraphic chart: Episodes, 36, 199–204.
- 1432
- 1433 COLLINSON, J. D. 1996. Alluvial sediment sediments. In Sedimentary Environments: Processes,
- 1434 *Facies and Stratigraphy* (eds H. G. Reading), pp.37-82. Blackwell, Oxford.
- 1435
- 1436 CONSTANTINOU, G. 1995. Geological Map of Cyprus. Nicosia: Geological Survey Department1437 Cyprus.
- 1438
- 1439 COSENTINO, D., SCHILDGEN, T. F., CIPOLLARI, P., FARANDA, C., GLIOZZI, E., HUDÁČKOVÁ, N.,
- 1440 LUCIFORA, S. & STRECKER, M. R. 2012. Late Miocene surface uplift of the southern margin of
- the Central Anatolian Plateau, Central Taurides, Turkey. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 124, 133–145.
- 1443
- 1444 D'ARCY, M. & WHITTAKER, A. C. 2014. Geomorphic constraints on landscape sensitivity to 1445 climate in tectonically active areas. *Geomorphology* **204**, 336-381.
- 1446
- 1447 DECKERS, K. 2005. Post-Roman history of river systems in Western Cyprus: Causes and 1448 archaeological implications. *Journal of Mediterranean Archaeology* **18**, 155-181.
- 1449
- 1450 DUCLOZ, C. 1964. Revision of the Pliocene and Quaternary stratigraphy of the central
  1451 Mesaoria. *Annual Report of the Geological Survey Department*, 31-42 pp.
- 1452
- DUMAN, T. Y., ROBERTSON, A.H.F., ELMACI, H. & KARA, M. 2017. Palaeozoic-recent
  geological development and uplift of the Amanos Mountains (S Turkey) in the critically
  located northwesternmost corner of the Arabian continent, *Geodinamica Acta* 29, 103-138.

1456	
1457	FINNÉ, M., HOLMGREN, K., SUNDQVIST, H. S., WEIBERG, E. & LINDBLOM, M. 2011. Climate in
1458	the eastern Mediterranean, and adjacent regions, during the past 6000 years - a review. Journal
1459	of Archaeological Science <b>38</b> , 3153-3173.
1460	
1461	FOUNTOULIS, I., MARIOLAKOS, I. & LADAS, I. 2014. Quaternary basin sedimentation and
1462	geodynamics in SW Peloponnese (Greece) and late stage uplift of Taygetos Mt. Bolletino di
1463	Geofisica Teorica e Applicata 55, 303-324.
1464	
1465	FRECHEN, M., NEBER, A., TSATSKIN, A., BOENIGK, W. & RONEN, A. 2004. Chronology of
1466	Pleistocene sedimentary cycles in the Carmel coastal plain of Israel. Quaternary International
1467	<b>121</b> , 41–52.
1468	
1469	GALLEN, S., WEGMANN, K., BOHNENSTIEHL, D., PAZZAGLIA, F., BRANDON, M. & FASSOULAS,
1470	C. 2014. Active simultaneous uplift and margin-normal extension in a forearc high, Crete,
1471	Greece. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 398, 11-24.
1472	
1473	GARZANTI, E., ANDÒ, S. & SCUTELLÀ, M. 2000. Actualistic ophiolite provenance: the Cyprus
1474	case. Journal of Geology 108, 199–218.
1475	
1476	GIFFORD, J. A. 1978. Paleogeography of archaeological sites of the Larnaca lowland, south
1477	eastern Cyprus. Unpublished PhD University of Minnesota, 198 pp.
1478	
1479	GLOVER, C. & ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 1998. Role of regional extensional and uplift in the Plio-
1480	Pleistocene evolution of the Aksu Basin, SW Turkey. Journal of the Geological Society 155,
1481	365–388.
1482	
1483	GOMEZ, B. 1987. The Alluvial Terraces and Fills of the Lower Vasilikos Valley, in the Vicinity
1484	of Kalavasos Cyprus, Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers. New Series 12, 345-
1485	359.
1486	
1487	HARRISON, R. W., NEWELL, W. L., BATIHANLI, H., PANAYIDES, I., MCGEEHIN, J. P., MAHAN, S.
1488	A., OZHUR, A., TSIOLAKIS, E. & NECDET, M. 2004. Tectonic framework and Late Cenozoic

- tectonic history of the northern part of Cyprus: implications for earthquake hazards and
  regional tectonics, *Journal Asian Earth Sciences* 23, 191–210.
- 1491
- HARRISON, R. W., TSIOLAKIS, E., STONE, B. D., LORD, A., MCGEEHIN, J. P., MAHAN, S. A.,CHIRICO, P. 2013. Late Pleistocene and Holocene uplift history of Cyprus: implications for
- 1494 active tectonics along the southern margin of the Anatolian microplate. In *Geological*
- 1495 Development of Anatolia and the Easternmost Mediterranean Region (eds A. H. K. Robertson,
- 1496 O. Parlak & U. C. Ünlügenç), pp. 561-584. Geological Society of London, Special Publication1497 no. 372.
- 1498
- HARVEY, A. M. 2002. The role of base-level change in the dissection of alluvial fans: casestudies from southeast Spain and Nevada. *Geomorphology* 45, 67–87.
- 1501
- HARVEY, A. M., MATHER, A. E. & STOKES, M. 2005. Alluvial fans: geomorphology,
  sedimentology, dynamics introduction. A review of alluvial-fan research. In *Alluvial Fans:*
- 1504 Geomorphology, Sedimentology, Dynamics (eds A. M. Harvey, A. E. Mather, M. Stokes), pp.1-
- 1505 7. Geological Society of London, Special Publication vol. 251
- 1506
- HENSON, F. R. S., BROWNE, R. V. & MCGINTY, J. 1949. A synopsis of the stratigraphy and
  geological history of Cyprus. *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society* 105, 1–41.
- 1509
- 1510 HORTON, B. P., KOPP, R. E., GARNER, A. J., HAY, C. C., KHAN, N. S., ROY, K. & SHAW, T. A.
- 1511 2018. Mapping sea-level change in time, space, and probability. Annual Review of Environment
- 1512 and Resources 43, doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-102017-025826
- 1513
- HURST, M. D., MUDD, S. M., WALCOTT, R., ATTAL, M. & YOO, K. 2012. Using hilltop curvature
  to derive the spatial distribution of erosion rates. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 117, 1-19.
- 1516 1517
- JAMIESON, R. A. & BEAUMONT, C. 2013. On the origin of orogens. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 125, 1671-1702.
- 1520
- 1521 JONES, S. J., FROSTICK, L. E. & ASTIN, T.R. 2001. Braided stream and flood plain architecture:
- 1522 the Rio Vero Formation, Spanish Pyrenees. *Sedimentary Geology* **139**, 229-260.

1523

KINNAIRD, T. C. 2008. Tectonic and sedimentary response to oblique and incipient continental
 - continental collision the easternmost Mediterranean (Cyprus), Unpublished University of
 Edinburgh PhD thesis

1527

KINNAIRD, T. C., DIXON, J. E., ROBERTSON, A. H. F., PELTENBURG, E. & SANDERSON, D. C. W.
2013. Insights on topography development in the Vasilikos and Dhiarizos Valleys, Cyprus,
from integrated OSL and landscape studies, *Mediterranean Archaeology and Archaeometry*13, 49-62.

1532

KINNAIRD, T. C. & ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 2013. Tectonic and sedimentary response to subduction and oblique collision in southern Cyprus, easternmost Mediterranean region. In *Geological development of the Anatolian continent and Cyprus* (ed A. H. F. Robertson, O.

- Parlak & U. Ünlügenç), pp. 585-615. Geological Society London, Special Publication no. 372.
- KINNAIRD, T. C., ROBERTSON, A. H. F. & MORRIS, A. 2011. Timing of uplift of the Troodos
  Massif (Cyprus) constrained by sedimentary and magnetic polarity evidence. *Journal of the Geological Society of London* 168, 457-470.
- 1541

KOBER, F., ZEILINGER, G., IVY-OCHS, S., DOLATI, A., SMIT, J. & KUBIK, P. W. 2013. Climaticand tectonic control on fluvial and alluvial fan sequence formation in the Central Makran

1544 Range, SE-Iran. *Global Planet Change* **111**, 133–149. doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.09.003.

1545

KOURAMPAS, A. & ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 2000. Controls on Plio-Quaternary sedimentation
within an active forearc region: Messenia Peninsula (SW Peloponnese), S.Greece. In *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on the Geology of the Eastern Mediterranean* (eds I. Panayides, C. Xenophonotos & J. Malpas), pp. 255–285. Nicosia:
Geological Survey Department, Ministry of Agriculture and Natural Resources and
Environment.

1552

LAMBECK, K., ESAT, T. M. & POTTER, E. 2002. Links between climate and sea levels for the past three million years. *Nature* **419**, 199–206.

1555

- 1556 KRAUS, M. J. 1999. Paleosols in clastic sedimentary rocks: their geologic applications. *Earth-*1557 *Science Reviews* 47, 41–70.
- 1558
- 1559 JIA, L. Y., ZHANG, X. J., HE, Z. X., HE, X. L., WU, F. D., ZHOU, Y. Q., FU, L. Z. & ZHAO, J. X.
- 1560 2015. Late Quaternary climatic and tectonic mechanisms driving river terrace development in1561 an area of mountain uplift: a case study in the Langshan area, Inner Mongolia, northern China.
- 1562 *Geomorphology* **234**, 109-121.
- 1563
- MACKLIN, M. G., FULLER, I. C., LEWIN, J., MAAS, G. S., PASSMORE, D. G., ROSE, J.,
  WOODWARD, J. C., BLACK, S., HAMLIN, R. H. B. & ROWAN, J. S. 2002. Correlation of fluvial
  sequences in the Mediterranean basin over the last 200 ka and their relationship to climate
  change. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 21, 1633-1641.
- 1568
- MACLEOD, C. & MURTON, B.J. 1993. Structure and tectonic evolution of the Southern
  Troodos Transform Fault Zone, Cyprus, In: Prichard, H.M., Alabaster, T., Harris, N.B.W., and
  Neary, C.R. (eds) *Magmatic Processes and Plate Tectonics*. Geological Society, London,
  Special Publications, **76**, 41-176.
- 1573
- 1574 MADDY, D., DEMIR, T., BRIDGLAND, D. R., VELDKAMP, A., STEMERDINK, C., VAN DER SCHRIEK,
- 1575 T. & WESTAWAY, R. 2008. The Early Pleistocene development of the Gediz River, western
- 1576 Turkey: an uplift-driven, climate-controlled system? *Quaternary International* 189, 115–128.1577
- MAIN, C. E., ROBERSTON, A. H. F. & PALAMAKUMBURA, R. N. 2016. Pleistocene
  geomorphological and sedimentary development of the Akaki River catchment (northeastern
  Troodos Massif) in relation to tectonic uplift versus climatic change. *International Journal of Earth Science* 105, 463-485.
- 1582
- MCCALLUM, J. E. 1989. Sedimentation and tectonics of the Plio-Pleistocene of Cyprus,
  Unpublished University of Edinburgh PhD thesis
- 1585
- 1586 MCCALLUM, J. E., ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 1990. Pulsed uplift of the Troodos Massif-evidence
- 1587 from the Plio-Pleistocene Mesaoria Basin,. In Ophiolites-Oceanic Crustal analogues:
- 1588 proceeding of international symposium; "Troodos 1987" (eds J. Malpas, E. M. Moores, A.
- 1589 Panayiotou, C. Xenophontos), pp. 217-230. Geological Survey Department, Nicosia, Cyprus.

1570	
1591	MCCALLUM, J. E., ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 1995. Sedimentology of two fan delta systems in the
1592	Pliocene Pleistocene of the Mesaoria Basin, Cyprus. Sedimentary Geology 98, 215-244
1593	
1594	MASSARI, F., CAPRARO ,L. & RIO, C. D. 2007. Climatic modulation of timing of systems-Tract
1595	development with respect to sea-level changes (Middle Pleistocene of Crotone, Calabria,
1596	southern Italy). Journal of Sedimentary Research 77, 461-68.
1597	
1598	MIALL, A. D. 1996. The Geology of Fluvial deposits. Springer, Berlin.
1599	
1600	MOREL, S. W. 1960. The Geology and Mineral resources of the Apsiou-Akrotiri Area.
1601	Geological Survey Department, Cyprus. Memoir 7, Part ll, pp. 51-83
1602	
1603	MUHS, D. R., BUDAHN, J., AVILA, A., SKIPP, G., FREEMAN, J. & PATTERSON, D. A. 2010. The
1604	role of African dust in the formation of quaternary soils on Mallorca, Spain and implications
1605	for the genesis of Red Mediterranean soils. Quaternary Science Reviews 29, 2518-2543
1606	
1607	MURRAY, H. 2016. Pleistocene geological and geomorphic development of the Vasilikos
1608	Valley, Southeast Cyprus. University of Edinburgh Undergraduate masters dissertation,
1609	unpublished.
1610	
1611	NICHOLS, G. J. & FISHER, J. A. 2007. Processes, facies and architecture of fluvial distributary
1612	system deposits. Sedimentary Geology 195, 75–90.
1613	
1614	NEMEC, W. & KAZANCI, N. 1999. Quaternary colluvium in west-central Anatolia: Sedimentary
1615	facies and palaeoclimatic significance. Sedimentology 46, 139–170.
1616	
1617	PALAMAKUMBURA, R. N. & ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 2016A. Pleistocene terrace formation related
1618	to surface tectonic uplift: example of the Kyrenia Range lineament in the northern part of
1619	Cyprus. Sedimentary Geology 339, 46-67.
1620	
1621	PALAMAKUMBURA, R.N. & ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 2016B. Pliocene-Pleistocene sedimentary-
1622	tectonic development of the Mesaoria (Mesarya) Basin in an incipient, diachronous collisional

- setting: facies evidence from the north of Cyprus. *Geological Magazine*, published online 21
- 1624 December 2016. doi:10.1017/S0016756816001072.
- 1625
- 1626 PALAMAKUMBURA, R. N., ROBERTSON, A. H. F., KINNAIRD, T. C. & SANDERSON, D. C. W.
- 1627 2016A. Sedimentary development and correlation of Late Quaternary terraces in the Kyrenia1628 Range, northern Cyprus, using a combination of sedimentology and optical luminescence data.
- 1629 International Journal of Earth Sciences (Geologische Rundschau) 105, 439–62.
- 1630
- 1631 PALAMAKUMBURA, R.N., ROBERTSON, A. H. F., KINNAIRD, T. C., VAN CALSTERN, P., KROON,
- D. & TAIT, J. 2016B. Quantitative dating of Pleistocene deposits of the Kyrenia Range, northern
   Cyprus: implications for timings, rates of uplift and driving mechanisms. *Journal of the*
- 1634 *Geological Society*. doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.3260977.v1
- 1635
- PANTAZIS, T. M. 1967. *The geology and Mineral Resources of the Pharmakas-Kalavasis area.*Geological Survey Department, Cyprus, Memoir vol 8, pp. 190.
- 1638
- PANTAZIS, T. M. 1973. A study of the secondary limestones (Havara and Kafkalla) of Cyprus. *Geographical Chronicles II* 4, 12-39.
- 1641
- 1642 PAYNE, A. S. & ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 1995. Neogene suprasubduction zone extension in the
- 1643 Polis graben system, west Cyprus. *Journal of the Geological Society* **152**, 613–628.
- 1644
- POOLE, A. J. 1992. Sedimentology, neotectonics and geomorphology related to tectonic uplift
  and sea-level change: Quaternary of Cyprus, Unpublished University of Edinburgh PhD thesis
- POOLE, A. J. & ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 1991. Quaternary uplift and sea-level change at an active
  plate boundary, Cyprus, *Journal of the Geological Society* 148, 909-921.
- 1650
- 1651 POOLE, A. & ROBERTSON, A. 1998. Pleistocene Fanglomerate deposition related to uplift of the
- 1652 Troodos Ophiolite, Cyprus. In Proceedings ODP Scientific Results (eds A. H. F. Robertson,
- 1653 K-C. Emeis, C. Richter, A. Camerlenghi), pp.544-569, vol 160.
- 1654
- POOLE, A. J. & ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 2000. Quaternary marine terraces and aeolinites in coastal
  south and west Cyprus: implications for regional uplift and sea-level change. In *Proceedings*

- 1657 of the Third Internal Conference on the geology of the Eastern Mediterranean (eds I.
  1658 Panayides, C. Xenophontos, & J. Malpas), pp. 105-123.
- 1659

1660 POOLE, A. J., SHIMMIELD, G. B. & ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 1990. Late Quaternary uplift of the

1661 Troodos ophiolite, Cyprus: Uranium-series dating of Pleistocene coral. *Geology* 18, 894-897.1662

- POPE, R. J. J. & WILKINSON, K. N. 2005. Reconciling the roles of climate and tectonics in late
  quaternary fan development on the Spartan piedmont, Creece. In *Alluvial fans: geomorphology, sedimentology, dynamics* (eds A. M. Harvey, A. E. Mather & M. Stokes M),
  pp. 131-152. Geological Society London, Special Publication no. 251.
- 1667
- QUIGLEY, M.C., SANDIFORD, M. & CUPPER, M. L. 2007. Distinguishing tectonic from climatic
  controls on range-front sedimentation. *Basin Research* 19, 491–505.
- 1670
- 1671 RETALLACK, G. J. 2001. Soils of the past. An Introduction to Palaeopedology. Blackwell
  1672 Science, Oxford, 404 pp.
- 1673
- 1674 ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 1976. Pelagic chalks and calciturbidites from the Lower Tertiary of the
  1675 Troodos Massif. *Journal of Sedimentary petrology* 46, 1007-1016.
- 1676
- 1677 ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 1977A. Tertiary uplift history of the Troodos massif, Cyprus. *Geological*1678 Society of America Bulletin 12, 1763–72.
- 1679
- 1680 ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 1977B. The origin and diagensis of cherts from Cyprus. *Sedimentology*1681 24, 11-30.
- 1682
- 1683 ROBERTSON, A. H. F. 1990. Tectonic evolution of Cyprus. In Ophiolites Oceanic Crustal
- 1684 Analogues. Proceedings of the Symposium, 'Troodos 1987' (eds J. Malpas, E. M. Moores, A.
- Panayiotou & C. Xenophontos), pp.235-252. Geological Survey Department of Cyprus,Nicosia.
- 1687
- 1688 ROSE, J., MENG, X., WATSON, C. 1999. Palaeoclimate and palaeoenvironmental responses in
- 1689 the western Mediterranean over the last 140 ka: evidence from Mallorca, Spain. Journal of the
- 1690 *Geological Society* **156**, 435–448.

1691

- 1692 SAGE, L. & LETOUZEY, J. 1990. Convergence of the African and Eurasian plates in the Eastern 1693 Mediterranean. Petroleum and Tectonics in Mobile Belts, 49-68 1694 1695 SCHAETZL, R. J. & ANDERSON, S. 2005. Terra Rossa Soils of the Mediterranean Soils: Genesis 1696 and Geomorphology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 201 1697 1698 SCHILDGEN, T. F., COSENTINO, D., BOOKHAGEN, B., NIEDERMANN, S., YILDIRIM, C., ECHTLER, 1699 H., WITTMANN, H. & STRECKER, M. R. 2012. Multiphased uplift of the southern margin of the 1700 Central Anatolian plateau, Turkey: a record of tectonic and upper mantle processes. Earth and 1701 Planetary Science Letters **317-**318, 85-95. 1702 1703 SCHILDGEN, T. F., YILDIRIM, C., COSENTINO, D. & STRECKER, M. R. 2014. Linking slab break-1704 off, Hellenic trench retreat, and uplift of the Central and Eastern Anatolian plateaus. Earth 1705 Science Reviews 128, 147-168. 1706 1707 SCHANZ, S. A., MONTGOMERY, D. R., COLLINS, B. D., & DUVALL, A. R. 2018. Multiple paths 1708 to straths: A review and reassessment of terrace genesis. *Geomorphology* **312**, 12–23. 1709 1710 SCHIRMER, W. 1998. Havara on Cyprus-a surficial calcareous deposit. Eiszeitalter und 1711 *Gegenwart* **48**, 110–117. 1712 1713 SEYREK, A., DEMIR, T., PRINGLE, M., YURTMEN, S., WESTAWAY, R., BRIDGLAND, D., BECK, A. 1714 & ROWBOTHAM, G. 2008. Late Cenozoic uplift of the Amanos Mountains and incision of the 1715 Middle Ceyhan river gorge, southern Turkey; Ar-Ar dating of the Düzici basalt. 1716 Geomorphology 97, 321-355. 1717 1718 SOULAS, J. P. 2002. Active tectonics in southern Cyprus: fundamentals of seismic risk analysis. 1719 In Proceedings: Earthquake Risk Minimization; International Conference (eds G. Petrides, C. 1720 Chrysostomou, K. Kyrou & C. Hadjigeorgiou), pp. 38-62. Geological Survey Department, 1721 Ministry of Agriculture, Natural Resources and Environment in Cooporation with the Ministry 1722 of the Interiors and the Technical Chamber of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus.
- 1723

1724	SOULAS, J. P. & GEOTER CONSORTIUM. 2005. Study of Active Tectonics in Cyprus for Seismic
1725	Risk Mitigation. Geological Survey Department, Cyprus, Internal report no. GTR/CYP/1005-
1726	<b>170</b> , pp. 259.

1727

- WATERS, J., JONES, S. J. & ARMSTRONG, H. A. 2010. Climatic controls on late Pleistocene
  alluvial fans, Cyprus. *Geomorphology* 115, 228-251.
- 1730
- 1731 WEBER, J., SCHIRMER, W., HELLER, F. & BACHTADSE, V. 2011. Magnetostratigraphy of the
- Apalós Formation (early Pleistocene): evidence for pulsed uplift of Cyprus. *Geochemistry*, *Geophysics, Geosystems* 12, 1-13
- 1734
- WEGMANN, K. W. & PAZZAGLIA, F. J. 2009. Late Quaternary fluvial terraces of the Romagna
  and Marche Apennines, Italy: climatic, lithologic, and tectonic controls on terrace genesis in
  an active orogen. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 28, 137–165.
  doi:10.1016/j.quascirev.2008.10.006.
- 1739
- WHITTAKER, C. A. 2012. How do landscapes record tectonics and climate? *Lithosphere* 4, 160164.
- 1742
- ZITTER, T., HUGUEN, C. & WOODSIDE, J. 2005. Geology of mud volcanoes in the eastern
  Mediterranean from combined sidescan sonar and submersible surveys. *Deep-Sea Res. I* 52, 457-475.

1746

1747 **Table caption** 

Table 1. Summary of the facies/stratigraphy, main field evidence, interpretation and age estimates of the primary deposits exposed in the Vasilikos Quarry and the adjacent Vasilikos river catchment. Material formed by secondary (diagenetic) processes (e.g. caliche) is excluded.

1752

#### 1753 Figure captions

Figure 1. Outline tectonic map of the Eastern Mediterranean region including the location of
the Cyprus trench south of Cyprus (modified from Main *et al.* 2016). Inset: Outline map of
Cyprus showing the location of the Vasilikos Valley.

1757

Figure 2. Simplified geological map of the part of the southeastern Troodos Massif, the
Limassol Forest area and the Vasilikos River (box). Based on the 1:250,000 Geological Map
of Cyprus (Constantinou, 1995). Note also the locations of Vasilikos Quarry and Vasilikos
Dam.

1762

1763 Figure 3. Sedimentary log and photograph of the Late Pliocene-Early Pleistocene succession 1764 exposed in a natural escarpment, c. 1.8 km northwest of Vasilikos Quarry. a, Sedimentary log 1765 from near the Nicosia-Limassol old highway in the north, to the approximately NW-facing 1766 escarpment shown in b (based on JE McCallum, unpub. PhD thesis, Univ. Edinburgh, 1989 and this study). b, Transition from shelf-depth, Late Pliocene-Early Pleistocene fine-grained 1767 sediments (A) to Early Pleistocene coarse clastic sediments exposed in the escarpment above 1768 1769 (B). Note the mainly planar-bedded to low-angle cross-bedded sands (pale), interspersed with 1770 lenticular conglomerates (C). Total thickness shown=c. 30 m. The line of section in a, is c. 80 1771 m west of the end of the photograph (beyond a bend in the outcrop).

1772

Figure 4. Sketch map of Vasilikos Quarry showing the Pliocene and Pleistocene exposures.
Based on Google imagery and observations during this work. Key features and areas mentioned
within the quarry are indicated, including the key dipping erosion surface from the highest
conglomerates in the SW, down into the Mid-Late Pliocene marls/mudrocks (Nicosia
Formation).

1778

Figure 5. Schematic fence diagram illustrating well-exposed faces in Vasilikos Quarry. Thediagram shows five panels, A-E (the gap between D and E conceals a re-entrant in the quarry).

Note the distribution of the sedimentary packages and the key dipping erosion surface (angular
unconformity) cutting down through the F1 conglomerate into the Nicosia Formation to the
NE, overlain by F2 deposits.

1784

1785 Figure 6. Details of the sedimentary successions exposed at the southern and northern margins 1786 of Vasilikos Quarry. Sedimentary logs: Log A (left). Upward succession from the Pliocene 1787 facies of the Nicosia Formation, through thin marine, to non-marine lenticular conglomerates 1788 (Early Pleistocene), into the relatively thick and coarse F1 conglomerate; Log B (right). Two 1789 lenticular F2 conglomerate intervals, separated by a sandy interval, and overlain by regular-1790 bedded fine-grained silts and paleosols (F3 deposits). See Figs. 4 and 5 for locations. Sections 1791 SW-NE schematic section across part of the NE quarry face showing the Pliocene facies of the 1792 Nicosia Formation, overlain by F1 conglomerate and downcut by the major F2 channel; this 1793 was then infilled by the F2 clastic sediments and overlain by silts and paleosols (correlated 1794 with F3 deposits). Insets: Inset 1: detail of the erosional unconformity (channel margin) 1795 between the F1 and F2 conglomerates (clast size is exaggerated). Inset 2: Topographic profile 1796 of the entire Vasilikos Valley east of the quarry showing age-equivalent F2 conglomerates, 1797 overlain by fine-grained sediments, especially paleosols as in the quarry.

1798

1799 Figure 7. Field photographs of clastic sediments in Vasilikos Quarry. a, F1 conglomerate. 1800 Weakly stratified, poorly sorted, with near-randomly sorted clasts, including outsized blocks of ophiolite-derived diabase (A); SW face of upper quarry re-entrant; b, SE-prograding planar-1801 1802 bedded foresets (A) composed of relatively well-sorted pebbly conglomerate. Note the more 1803 extensive, relatively matrix-rich conglomerate above and below (B), as in a. NE face of same 1804 quarry re-entrant; c, F2 channel (A) eroded through F1 conglomerate (B) (see Fig. 6, enlarged 1805 inset). Note the pale, marly matrix and the poorly sorted clasts in the F2 deposit (C). Larger 1806 clasts are locally reworked from the F1 conglomerate; d, F2 channel infill; NE face of the 1807 quarry. The lower conglomeratic package (partly hidden by reeds) (A), is followed by a mainly 1808 sandy interval (poorly exposed) (B) and then by a higher, conglomeratic interval (C), as 1809 detailed below; total thickness of conglomerate=c. 16 m; e, Tabular cross-bedding (A) within 1810 pebbly conglomerate from the upper of the two aggrading intervals within the F2 deposits; 1811 viewed approximately at right angles to SE-directed palaeoflow; f, Conglomerate-filled small 1812 channel cut into fluvial silt (A). The silt was armoured by caliche before being incised. Note 1813 the weakly developed normal grading in the conglomerate infill (B); pen for scale; same unit 1814 as e.

1815

Figure 8. Map of the Vasilikos river catchment (within c. 15 km of the coast) showing bedrockunits, the distribution of Pleistocene sediments, the lines of geomorphological profiles (Figs.

- 1818 10, 11), and the locations of sedimentary logs. Geology based on Pantazis (1966).
- 1819

1820 Figure 9. Photographs of geomorphological features taken in the upper (a-c), middle (d) and 1821 lower (e-f) reaches of the Vasilikos Valley. Terrace levels are indicated. a, Terraces and 1822 surfaces are largely absent in the higher reaches above the dam because of the development of 1823 late Pleistocene dendritic drainage (A). View northwest towards the Arakapas Fault Zone 1824 (South Troodos Transform Fault Zone) with the Troodos mountains behind (B). b, Well-1825 preserved F1 and F2 terraces in the upper reaches (A). In this area, the F3 terrace is dissected 1826 by younger streams (B). View southwest along profile c in Fig. 8). c, Landscape readjustment 1827 in the upper reaches. F1 is the highest elevation. The valley sides curve gently down to the F2 1828 terrace, representing the next break in slope. There is then a steeper break in slope between the 1829 F2 and F3 levels on both sides of the valley. The F4 is represented by the valley floor. Note the 1830 decreasing vertical distance between each of the F1 to F4 terrace levels. View north from 1831 Kalavasos at profile d in Fig. 8). d, Constriction of the valley south of Kalavasos (A) 1832 (intermediate reaches), followed by widening and flattening downstream (B). F1 is the highest 1833 level, with the F2 as the next break in slope. The F3 terrace is not well preserved around 1834 Kalavasos. The fields and houses lie on the F4 terrace. View south from above Kalavasos at 1835 profile e in Fig. 8). e, F2, the highest preserved terrace level (lower reaches) tapers out down-1836 valley, merging with the F3 surface (A). F4 surfaces are well-developed on both sides of the 1837 modern channel (B) (fields). View from Mari looking east; between profile h and I in Fig. 8). 1838 f, F2 forms the highest terrace on the west side of the river valley (lower reaches). F3 forms a 1839 well- developed, wide terrace. The F4 terrace on both sides of the modern channel (A) is also 1840 well developed but narrower. View west along profile j in Fig. 8).

1841

Figure 10. Same-scale topographic profiles derived from ArcGIS showing large-scale changes
down-catchment (see Fig. 8 for locations). Note the locally preserved F1-F4 terraces (solid red
lines) and extrapolations (dashed blue lines).

1845

Figure 11. Comparative topographic profiles (the different scales highlight sediment
abundances down-catchment); a-d upper reaches; e-f middle reaches and g-j lower reaches.
The fluvial terrace deposits are marked as: (t) undifferentiated terraces; (d) Terraces associated

- with sediments; (s) Geomorphological surfaces without sediments: (r) Remnant or partially
  eroded terraces (r). See Fig. 10 for more detailed (same-scale) topographic profiles and Fig. 8
  for locations.
- 1852

Figure 12. Sedimentary logs of terrace deposits in the Vasilikos river catchment. The logs are identified by F number (F1-F4) and by small letter for different logs of each terrace. Locations are marked in Fig. 8. See text for explanation.

1856

Figure 13. Field photographs of key features of the F1 and F2 deposits in the Vasilikos river 1857 1858 catchment. a, Thin F1 conglomerate consisting of small (1-2 cm), poorly sorted, sub-angular, 1859 predominantly ophiolite-derived clasts in a fine-grained matrix. The conglomerate forms 1860 discontinuous (3 m), thin (40 cm) lenses (A) which are heavily calcretised; above Kalavasos 1861 along profile e in Fig. 8. b, Relatively thick, well-bedded conglomerate (F2). The contact (A) 1862 between the conglomerate and the Lefkara Formation bedrock is steep and highly irregular as 1863 a result of fluvial erosion. As a result of local slope increase, the conglomerate accumulated as 1864 prograding foresets (1-2 m) (B). Clasts are poorly to moderately sorted, sub-angular to rounded 1865 and coarsen upwards (C). View along profile f in Fig. 8. c, Inclined (23°) blocks (up to 2 m 1866 across) of calichified conglomerate on the hillside (A). The blocks were reworked from the 1867 topographically higher F1 conglomerate terrace as a result of landscape adjustment processes. 1868 View c. 20 m downhill from b; along profile f in Fig. 8. d, Contact between the F2 conglomerate (A) and the Lefkara Formation bedrock (B), above Kalavasos. The uppermost chalks below 1869 1870 are reworked as clasts in a fine-grained matrix, overlain, with a c. 45° contact, by moderately-1871 sorted conglomerate with a mixture of subrounded to rounded, predominantly ophiolite-1872 derived clasts (A); along profile e in Fig. 8. e, Matrix-rich, poorly to moderately-sorted, 1873 weakly-bedded conglomerate with c. 75% chalk clasts and c. 25% ophiolite-derived clasts. The 1874 beds dip (A) towards the southwest with local clast imbrication in this direction; east side of 1875 valley, just below the Kalavasos Dam; along profile a, Fig. 8). f, Matrix-rich, poorly sorted 1876 conglomerate with a marly matrix (A). The largest clasts (B) are ophiolitic diabase (e.g. near 1877 pen top), which were rounded and then, in some cases broken, prior to final deposition. The 1878 smaller clasts (C) are mainly silicified chalk (white) from the Lefkara Formation and jasper 1879 (D) related to the Troodos ophiolite. The clasts represent a concentrate of the most erosionally 1880 resistant lithologies; F2 conglomerate west of, and above, Kalavasos.

1881

1882 Fig. 14. Field photographs of key features of the F3 and F4 deposits in the Vasilikos river 1883 catchment. a, Two different conglomerates (contact marked by white dashed line). The lower 1884 conglomerate (A) (F3 terrace level) consists of subrounded, mostly ophiolite-derived clasts 1885 within a dark medium-grained sandy matrix. The upper conglomerate (B) is a more chalk-rich 1886 conglomerate containing subangular to angular chalk clasts within a fine-grained chalky 1887 matrix. Occasional outsized ophiolite-derived clasts (C) are likely to have been reworked from 1888 older terraces; small tributary in the upper reaches on the west side of the valley; profile c in 1889 Fig. 8. b, F3 conglomerate typical of the lower reaches (A) overlain by brown/reddish paleosols 1890 alternating with paler silty horizons interpreted as poorly developed cold-climate paleosols (B); 1891 west side of the valley, near Mari, profile I in Fig. 8. c, F3 conglomerate (lower reaches) made 1892 up of poorly to moderately sorted, subrounded clasts within a fine to medium-grained, lithic 1893 fragment-rich matrix. Clasts (c. 50% chalk and c. 50% ophiolite-derived) indicate paleoflow 1894 to the south; east side of the valley just below road; profile j in Fig. 8. d, F3 chalky colluvial 1895 deposit with c. 95% chalk clasts (middle reaches). The fabric is weakly stratified; clasts are 1896 subangular-angular, blocky, elongate and poorly to moderately sorted, with a fine chalky 1897 matrix; west side of the valley between Kalavasos and Tenta (see Fig. 8 for locations). e, Near-1898 basal F4 conglomerate (middle reaches) close to the active channel. The conglomerate is mud 1899 matrix-rich with small subrounded clasts (mostly chalk) (A). Paleoflow is to the south based 1900 on weakly developed clast imbrication (B); southern outskirts of Kalavasos, in fields along 1901 profile f in Fig. 8. f, F4 conglomerate (lower reaches) made up of small, subrounded to 1902 subangular, poorly to moderately sorted, clasts within a fine-grained, muddy matrix; close to 1903 active channel along profile j in Fig. 8.

1904

1905 Figure. 15. Field photographs of calcrete (caliche), colluvium paleosol in the Vasilikos river 1906 catchment. a, Pillow lava (A) overlain by poorly developed palaeosol (B) and then by chalky 1907 talus (C) (talus); north of Kalavasos Dam. b, Pillow lava (A) overlain by lenticular, poorly 1908 stratified chalky talus (B) that overlies the F2 erosion surface; derived from the Lefkara 1909 Formation outcrop on the ridge above (to the NE); view from Kalavasos Dam. c, Unsorted 1910 talus rich in angular clasts of bedded chert and chalk; derived locally from the Lefkara 1911 Formation (above the F3 erosion surface) on the east side of the steep-sided valley opposite 1912 Kalavasos. d, Pebbly colluvium (buff-grey) (harvara) at the base (A), overlain by greenish 1913 pebbly lenticular alluvium (B), then laterally continuous reddish pebbly paleosol (C) and 1914 finally by additional pebbly colluvium (D); overlying F3 surface, NW of Kalavasos. e, Buff-1915 coloured calcareous sandy silt (A), cut by rootlet (B); intercalation with reddish paleosol from the F3 unit in Vasilikos Quarry; upper NW face; interpreted as a poorly developed soil. f,
Lower units of harvara (pale) and paleosol (brownish) (A), overlain by less steeply dipping
harvara, paleosol and young superficial deposits (B). The probable explanation of the angular
discordance is back-tilting, then transgression of similar material; above the F3 surface; W
margin of paleovalley N of Kalavasos (outcrop since degraded).

Fig. 16. Interpretative maps showing the inferred stages of fluvial deposition in the Vasilikos
River and its tributary. a, F1: broad, weakly channelized; b, F2 Incised narrower channels; c,
F3 Further incised, narrower channels (only shown where known to have existed); d, F4:
Narrow further incised channel (only shown where known to have existed).

Fig. 17. Block diagram showing the restored development of the Vasilikos River and its fluvial
sediment infill. a, F1 Mid Pleistocene, broad semi-braided fluvial conglomerates; b, F2 Middle
Pleistocene, confined semi-braided to locally channelized fluvial conglomerates; c, F3 late
Mid-early Late Pleistocene, incised, confined fluvial deposits with marginal overbank deposits
and paleosols; d, F4 Late Pleistocene; further incision, confined fluvial deposits and overbank
silts.

# **Table 1**

Facies	Description	Interpretation	Age
Kakkaristra Formation (Vasilikos Quarry and nearby escarpment)	Discontinuous lenses of well sorted, matrix supported conglomerate containing small clasts (c. 1 cm) and marine fossils.	Marine fan delta associated with first uplift of the Troodos ophiolite.	Pliocene- Pleistocene boundary
Apolos Formation (Vasilikos Quarry and nearby escarpment)	Clast rich, moderately sorted matrix supported conglomerate containing larger (up to 1 m) clasts and no marine fossils.	Conglomerates of fluvial origin associated with the shedding of the cover of the Troodos ophiolite during its early uplift.	Pliocene- Pleistocene boundary
F1 massive conglomerates (Vasilikos Quarry and valley, profile f))	Poorly to moderately sorted, clast rich matrix supported conglomerate (with clast supported lenses) containing subangular, large clasts (c. 8 cm). Contains prograding foresets (0.5-2 m) and imbricate structures (SE paleoflow). Outcrops are heavily calcreted.	High energy mass flow accumulations, such as debris flows, produced by flash flood deposits. Highly erosive flows shown by undulating contacts and foresets infilling into accommodation space.	F1
F1 conglomerate lenses (Vasilikos valley, profile e)	Discontinuous lenses of poorly sorted conglomerate containing small (1-2 cm) clasts with weakly developed imbrication towards the SE.	Weaker flow with a more sustained traction flow, likely accumulated in a marginal position or at a higher level in the flow.	F1
F2 coarse conglomerate (Vasilikos Quarry and valley, profiles e and h)	Clast rich, clast supported conglomerate with a coarse grained matrix locally. Clasts are subangular to subrounded, poorly to moderately sorted, relatively large (averaging 6-10 cm) and grouped into lenses. Decreasing clast size up the intervals and deposits.	High energy mass flow accumulations, such as debris flows, produced by flash flood deposits. Highly erosive flows shown by undulating contacts. The lenses are produced through channel switching within a semi-braided setting. Graded bedding indicated waning energy of the flow towards the top.	F2
F2 sandy interval (Vasilikos Quarry)	Interbedded sand and conglomerate lenses viewed in cross section (face D) and long profile (face E). Sand is medium to coarse grained containing small lithic fragments (<1 mm). Conglomerate lenses have a stacked morphology and are discontinuous with small (1-2 cm), subrounded, well sorted clasts.	Stacked morphology of the channels represents repeated cutting and infilling of channels into a sandy background.	F2

F2 colluvium deposit	Chalk rich (90%) conglomerate within a fine	Texturally immature colluvial deposit formed as	F2
	grained chalky matrix. Clasts are poorly sorted	material moved downslope to readjust to steep	
	and subangular to angular with lenticular	valley sides.	
	bedding present		
F3 conglomerate (Vasilikos valley,	Poorly to moderately sorted matrix supported	Restricted to the Vasilikos valley indicating further	F3
profiles c, f, g and j)	conglomerate with clast supported lenses.	erosion in the valley and channel abandonment in	
	Clasts are imbricated towards the SE-SW and	the quarry. Deposits are indicative of high energy	
	decrease in size up each deposit.	flash flood with the imbrication suggesting a more	
		sustained background flow. Decreasing clast	
		suggest decreasing energy during the waning of the	
		flow.	
F3 paleosol (Vasilikos Quarry and	Dark brown, red coarse grained paleosol	Formed during warm, wetter periods.	F3
valley, profile i)	containing small (2 cm), subangular to	Roots/rootlets indicated overbank environment	
	subrounded occasional clasts. The palaeosol	increasing in dominance as the soils became more	
	intervals contain charcoal fragments and	developed. Clasts are likely to have moved	
	roots/rootlets (up to 4-5 cm long)	downslope from higher conglomerate levels during	
		wet periods.	
F3 harvara(Vasilikos Quarry and	Medium grained grey/buff coloured silts with	Soils formed during cooler periods. Caliche forms	F3
valley, profile i)	well-developed caliche nodules. Caliche	in semi-arid conditions with seasonal rainfall hence	
	nodules are fine grained and contain detrital	not contemporaneously.	
	grains and organic matter (c. 1mm)		
F4 conglomerate (Vasilikos valley,	Fine grained, grey to brown silt containing	Silty, sheet like deposits represent overbank	F4
profiles f, g and j)	scattered subrounded to subangular clasts (c. 2	deposits which have then been incised to the level	
	cm)	of the current channel.	

#### **Supplementary material**

1940 Field photographs of paleosol and caliche/calcrete in the Vasilikos river catchment. a, Diabase-1941 derived, matrix-supported conglomerate (A) from the headwaters of the Vasilikos River, 1942 Arakapas Transform Fault Belt (South Troodos Transform Fault Zone). The reddish matrix is 1943 interpreted as reworked paleosol (B). b, F2 conglomerate (A) transgressed by well-stratified 1944 alternations of reddish paleosols (B) and pale grey/buff calcareous silts (C). Both units are cut 1945 by small conglomerate-filled channels (D); F3 unit in Vasilikos Quarry; upper NW face. c, 1946 Detail of palaeosol (A) (same cutting as b), interbedded with grey/buff-coloured calcareous 1947 sandy silt (B). Small fluvial lenses occur in paler-coloured material. D, uppermost, exposed paleosol (terra rossa) (A) cut by small conglomerate-filled channel (B). Many of the clasts 1948 1949 (mostly diabase) were initially rounded then broken, consistent with redeposition from the 1950 topographically higher F1 conglomerate by a flash flood. E, Serpentinite with caliche-filled 1951 fissures; north of Kalavasos Dam. F, F1 Conglomerate cemented by calcrete; clasts are mostly 1952 gabbro and diabase; as a result, the cemented uppermost levels of, especially the older fluvial 1953 terraces were relatively resistant to erosion; upper west margin of catchment S of Kalavasos.