



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

The geography of biodiversity change in marine and terrestrial assemblages

Citation for published version:

Blowes, SA, Supp, SR, Antão, LH, Bates, A, Bruelheide, H, Chase, JM, Moyes, F, Magurran, A, Mcgill, B, Myers-smith, IH, Winter, M, Bjorkman, AD, Bowler, DE, Byrnes, JEK, Gonzalez, A, Hines, J, Isbell, F, Jones, HP, Navarro, LM, Thompson, PL, Vellend, M, Waldock, C & Dornelas, M 2019, 'The geography of biodiversity change in marine and terrestrial assemblages', *Science*, vol. 366, no. 6463, pp. 339-345. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw1620>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1126/science.aaw1620](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaw1620)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Science

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



The geography of biodiversity change in marine and terrestrial assemblages

Authors: Shane A. Blowes^{1,2,*†} and Sarah R. Supp^{3,*†}, Laura H. Antão^{4,5,6}, Amanda Bates⁷, Helge Bruelheide^{1,8}, Jonathan M. Chase^{1,2}, Faye Moyes⁴, Anne Magurran⁴, Brian McGill⁹, Isla H. Myers-Smith¹⁰, Marten Winter¹, Anne D. Bjorkman¹¹, Diana E. Bowler¹, Jarrett E.K. Byrnes¹², Andrew Gonzalez¹³, Jes Hines^{1,14}, Forest Isbell¹⁵, Holly P. Jones¹⁶, Laetitia M. Navarro^{1,8}, Patrick L. Thompson¹⁷, Mark Vellend¹⁸, Conor Waldock¹⁹, Maria Dornelas^{4*}

Affiliations:

- 10 ¹German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv), Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Germany
²Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Institute of Computer Science, Halle (Saale), Germany
³Data Analytics Program, Denison University, Granville, OH, USA
⁴Centre for Biological Diversity, School of Biology, University of St. Andrews, St. Andrews, UK
⁵Department of Biology and CESAM, Universidade de Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal
- 15 ⁶Research Centre for Ecological Change, Organismal and Evolutionary Biology Research Programme, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
⁷Department of Ocean Sciences, Memorial University of Newfoundland, Newfoundland, Canada
⁸Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Institute of Biology / Geobotany and Botanical Garden, Halle (Saale), Germany
- 20 ⁹School of Biology and Ecology, University of Maine, Orono, ME, USA
¹⁰School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
¹¹Senckenberg Gesellschaft für Naturforschung, Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BiK-F), Frankfurt am Main, Germany
¹²Department of Biology, University of Massachusetts Boston, Boston, MA, USA
- 25 ¹³Department of Biology, Quebec Centre for Biodiversity Science, McGill University, Montreal, Qc, Canada
¹⁴Leipzig University, Institute of Biology, Leipzig, Germany
¹⁵Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA
¹⁶Department of Biological Sciences and Institute for the Study of the Environment, Sustainability, and Energy, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL, USA
- 30 ¹⁷Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
¹⁸Département de biologie, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada
¹⁹Ocean and Earth Science, National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK & Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London, UK.
- 35 *Correspondence to: Shane Blowes (sablowes@gmail.com), Sarah Supp (supps@denison.edu), and Maria Dornelas (maadd@st-andrews.ac.uk).

†Contributed equally.

Abstract: Human activities are fundamentally altering biodiversity. Projections of declines at the global scale are contrasted by highly variable trends at local scales, suggesting biodiversity change may be spatially structured. Here, we examined spatial variation in species richness and composition
5 change using over 50,000 biodiversity time series from 239 studies. We find clear geographic variation in biodiversity change. Rapid compositional change is prevalent, with marine biomes exceeding and terrestrial biomes trailing the overall trend. Assemblage richness is not changing on average, although locations exhibiting increasing and decreasing trends of up to ~20% per year were found in some marine studies. At local scales, widespread compositional reorganization is most often
10 decoupled from richness change, and biodiversity change is strongest and most variable in the oceans.

One Sentence Summary: Mapping biodiversity change shows changes in marine systems outpace those in terrestrial systems, and loss is most prevalent in the tropics.

Main Text: Humans are reshaping biodiversity patterns. Against a background of elevated extinction rates (1, 2), local biodiversity change results from multiple interacting drivers that influence the abundance and distribution of species. Different regions of the globe are projected to experience different trends in biodiversity change, particularly due to variation in the strength of drivers such as land-use intensity (3) and climate change (4). There are widespread changes in the identities of
20 species that live in any one location (species composition), whereas shifts in the numbers of species (species richness) show mixed patterns, with increasing, decreasing, or static trends (5-9). However, the spatial distribution of the locations most affected is unknown. Here, we map biodiversity change, in terms of species richness and composition, to uncover the geography of biodiversity change. Our analysis compares assemblage time series across the marine, terrestrial and freshwater realms,
25 different biomes, and latitudinal bands (i.e., polar, temperate, and tropical).

Both biodiversity and its change are unevenly distributed on the planet (10, 11) and unevenly sampled (12-15). Species densities typically decline drastically from the tropics to the poles, and the identities

of species differ across continents and oceans. Hence, knowing which locations are undergoing different types of net change in biodiversity is critical to understand how biodiversity is changing globally. Detecting geographic variation in biodiversity trends will not only improve our understanding of how global biodiversity is changing but also inform conservation prioritization.

5 Specifically, by identifying the regions of the planet that are changing more, we will be better placed to make informed decisions about the spatial distribution of biodiversity vulnerability, and about where to prioritize reactive (such as restoration) and proactive (protection) conservation actions (16). In addition, quantifying this spatial distribution will refine hypotheses about the drivers of biodiversity change.

10

Spatial patterns in biodiversity change are the combined result of species changing their distributions, entering and leaving local communities, going extinct or speciating. These processes are affected by many drivers, which themselves are spatially heterogeneous (17, 18), and differ between the marine and terrestrial realms (19). For example, spatial overlap between climate change and other drivers of

15

change is greater in the marine realm than in the terrestrial realm (19). Moreover, species sensitivities to climate change (i.e., temperature increases) are also greater in the marine realm (20, 21). When coupled with ecological differences between realms, such as fewer barriers to dispersal and greater colonization rates in marine ecosystems (22, 23), these differences may result in greater compositional change in marine compared to terrestrial assemblages (21). Therefore, we predict biodiversity in the

20

marine realm has changed more than in the terrestrial realm. Importantly, changes in community composition are not necessarily associated with changes in species richness if species gains and losses are approximately balanced (5-9, 24, 25). However, in regions where land-use intensity is high (26) or where range sizes contract in response to climate change (27), species extirpations could result in a decrease in species richness. Conversely, in regions subject to high rates of species introductions (28-

25

31), high connectivity, or where ranges expand (32, 33) or species are broadly favored by land-use change (34), species richness could increase. Hence, we expect there to be variation in biodiversity change across different biomes and geographical regions of the planet. There may also be latitudinal

differences in biodiversity change. For example, tropical regions are entering climatic conditions with no present-day equivalents (35), and intensification of land-use change is more recent than in temperate regions (36), therefore richness loss may be more prevalent and more extreme in tropical latitudes. Here we examine whether biodiversity change differs in magnitude between the realms, and
5 if strong geographic patterns exist across realms, latitudes or regions in the changes to species richness and composition.

We examined geographic variation in patterns of change in both species richness and composition using local assemblage time series from across the globe (37, 38, Fig. S1). The BioTIME database is
10 currently the largest compilation of assemblage time series, and our analysis included 239 independent studies (Supplementary Table 1). As spatial extent varied considerably among studies, we used a gridding method (96 km² hexagonal cells; (39) to partition the 126 studies that had multiple sampling locations and large spatial extents (38); 113 studies were not partitioned because they were contained within a single grid cell. This resulted in 51,932 unique local assemblage time series, with
15 each time series comprised of samples from only one study. This means that important study-level considerations (e.g., sampling method) were consistent within each time series. Following further filtering by sampling completeness and standardization by sample-based rarefaction (38), these time series became the lowest level in our hierarchical models of temporal trends. Temporal extent and start date vary substantially within these data: time series span from the late 1800s to the present,
20 though most data come from the past 40 years (Fig. S2), and we examined the sensitivity of our results to this heterogeneity.

Biodiversity trends across the globe

25 To examine geographic patterns in biodiversity change we quantified realm, latitudinal and regional departures from the overall trends of richness and composition change using hierarchical generalized linear models. We first nested the cell-level time series within the 239 original studies to control for

effects of sampling methods and non-independence of cell-level time series that came from a single study. Throughout our analysis we control for not having the same taxa sampled everywhere by including taxon in our models. Our first model, the biome-taxon (BT) model, nested studies into 9 taxonomic-habitat groupings that were further nested within 48 biomes (defined by the Ecoregions of the World datasets available from *The Nature Conservancy* website; http://maps.tnc.org/gis_data.html; TNC terrestrial regions dataset, (40-43); this resulted in 321 biome-taxon-study combinations grouped within 105 unique biome-taxon combinations. The 48 biomes, including 33 marine (41), 10 terrestrial (44) and 5 freshwater (42), represent geographic regions of the world and allowed us to characterize spatial patterns as biome-level departures from the overall trend of biodiversity change for each realm. The taxonomic-habitat groupings, dictated by specifications in the original studies, were amphibians, benthos, birds, fish, invertebrates, mammals, marine invertebrates/plants, plants, and multiple taxa, and were included to contrast and control for differences in trends among taxa within the different biomes. We examined the robustness of our biome-taxon models, and the spatial patterns they identified, by fitting two complementary hierarchical models with simpler geographic structures. Here we focus on the simplest model (referred to as the realm-latitude-taxon model), and present a model of intermediate complexity in the supplement (38). All models grouped cells within studies at the lowest level, and the realm-latitude-taxon model grouped studies into 29 unique combinations of realm (marine, terrestrial, freshwater), latitude (polar, temperate, tropical), and taxonomic-habitat group, allowing us to characterize variation in biodiversity change for taxon groups across broad latitudinal bands within each realm. The different geographic structure meant that this model included 271 studies when we applied our threshold of three cell-level time series per realm-latitude-taxon group (38). Results of all models were qualitatively consistent, both in terms of the overall trends they estimated, and at the lowest levels (i.e., the study- and cell-level: Fig. S3), suggesting that our inferences are largely robust to differences in how we examined for geographic patterns. Additionally, we found our results relatively insensitive to the heterogeneity in temporal extent of the data and did not detect systematic effects of the number of years sampled, temporal duration, start year, or the initial species richness on the estimates of rates of change (Fig. S7, S8).

Our biome-taxon model results show that variation in biodiversity change is greater in the marine versus the terrestrial and freshwater realms. The overall average of richness change was not statistically distinguishable from zero globally, or for any individual biome (Fig. 1). The magnitude of positive departures from the overall trend was greater among marine biomes (range of median biome departure: -0.0003 - 0.001, $n = 33$; Fig. 1A) compared with terrestrial and freshwater biomes (-0.0007 - 0.0001, $n = 15$; Fig. 1B), but richness trends did not vary substantially among biomes ($\sigma_{\text{Biome}} = 0.004$) or for taxon groups within biomes ($\sigma_{\text{Biome-taxon}} = 0.003$). Instead, the main level of variation was at the study level ($\sigma_{\text{Biome-taxon-study}} = 0.04$), where specific studies exhibited species richness increases or decreases of up to 20% per year in the marine realm and up to 10% in the terrestrial realm (Fig. S9). Twenty-three marine, five terrestrial and two freshwater studies showed significant species richness losses, while thirty-one marine and nine terrestrial showed significant gains. These results were consistent with the realm-latitude-taxon model that showed change centered on zero for all latitudinal bands (Fig. 2A), with the greatest variation observed in the marine realm at the study-level, particularly in polar and tropical latitudes (Fig. 2B). Data limitations from tropical systems remain in our assemblage time series data (e.g., no tropical freshwater assemblages), precluding some direct comparison between realms (see also Fig. S1). The high rates of change we observed in the marine tropics (Fig. 2B) are consistent with predictions that tropical marine species will be relatively sensitive to extreme heat events, because they are closer to their physiological limits (20, 21), in addition to overexploitation, pollution, and other threats occurring in the marine tropics (36).

To examine changes in species composition, we partitioned total Jaccard dissimilarity, calculated as the dissimilarity between the initial year and each subsequent year of a time series, into the additive components of turnover and nestedness (45). These trends describe directional compositional change relative to the initial assemblage, and the decomposition examines whether changes in community composition were due to the original species in assemblages being replaced by new species (turnover), or if assemblages were becoming smaller subsets of themselves or growing to include new species alongside the original species (nestedness). Overall, we found rates of turnover were positive

and much greater (0.028; 90% credible interval: 0.023-0.032; Fig. 3) than the rates of change in nestedness (0.006; 0.006-0.007; Fig. S12). Compositional change was dominated by species replacement within assemblages, with approximately 28% of species being replaced per decade. Variation at the biome level was much greater for turnover ($\sigma_{\text{Biome}} = 0.01$) compared to species richness, resulting in stronger geographic patterns and revealing further differences between marine and terrestrial realms. Three marine biomes (warm temperate northwest and southwest Atlantic, and northwest Australian shelf) had rates of turnover greater than the overall trend. In contrast, three terrestrial biomes (temperate broadleaf and mixed forests, temperate conifer forests, and tropical and subtropical moist broadleaf forests) had rates of turnover slower than the global trend, and most terrestrial biomes showed negative departures from the global average (Fig. 3B). Positive departures from the overall trend in terrestrial and freshwater biomes were found in aquatic systems: large lakes, mangroves and polar freshwaters. These trends of directional compositional change are highly unlikely to have arisen simply from random assemblages being drawn from relatively constant regional species pools. Simulations show that such a process has a median slope of zero for both turnover and nestedness change (38, Fig. S10). Additionally, we identified that higher rates of compositional change in marine and freshwater biomes were associated with a higher proportion of assemblages undergoing complete turnover (Fig. S13), were robust to our choice of error distribution (Fig. S14), and whether comparisons were made to the initial assemblage or between assemblages at consecutive time points (38, Fig. S15, S16).

20

Linking richness and composition change

To examine the relationship between changes in species richness and changes in composition, we plotted the dominant component of composition change (turnover or nestedness) for each biome-taxon-study combination against species richness change (46, Fig. 5A, B). When turnover is the dominant component, this relationship shows how quickly new species are replacing original species, and whether or not these arrivals are associated with changes to the number of species. At the study-level, rates of turnover exceeded nestedness change for more than 97% of biome-taxon-study

combinations (313/321; Fig. 5B, C). Among these studies, approximately 23% (57/313) exhibited trends different from zero for both turnover and species richness rates (Fig. 5C), with a relatively balanced distribution of 23 cases of species richness losses, and 34 with gains; we note that we did not adjust for multiple comparisons, though they are less of a problem when comparing partially pooled estimates from hierarchical models (47). When nestedness is the dominant component, this relationship shows how fast assemblages are changing to become smaller subsets or growing to include new species alongside the species initially observed. Among the eight biome-taxon-study combinations where nestedness exceeded turnover change (8/321; Fig. 5B, C), only two showed rates of nestedness and richness trends different from zero, with one losing and one gaining species. Our combined results for turnover and species richness change support recent studies reporting that different components of biodiversity change, such as composition shifts and species richness, are largely uncoupled (5, 7-9). In fact, we find high rates of turnover are associated with the full spectrum of richness changes.

15 **Discussion**

Compositional change dominated by species turnover is the most striking and prevalent form of biodiversity change across the globe, and was characterized by strong geographic structure. Only marine biomes were found to have faster rates of compositional change than the overall trend, whereas only terrestrial biomes were observed to trail the overall compositional trend. Moreover, marine studies exhibited greater variation in rates of compositional turnover. We also found that most studies, across all biomes and realms, showed considerable replacement of species through time without associated species richness changes. This finding, using the largest global dataset to date, is consistent with the assertion that species richness trends are often uncoupled from species replacement, and thus insufficient alone, for fully capturing how biodiversity might change (9). The consistent pattern of species replacement is likely underpinned by a diverse suite of drivers impacting different study sites, regions, and realms. Such reorganization independent of changes to the number of species is also consistent with the presence of regulatory mechanisms for species richness. Community regulation of species richness is widespread (48), and may be driven, for example, by

shared resources (49). Contemporary pressures such as introduced species (29-31), replacement of localized specialists by widespread generalists (50, 51), range shifts in response to environmental change (22, 52), or local warming (53, 54) may also help explain our finding of widespread composition change associated with variable richness change at the study level.

5

Rates of species richness change and turnover were higher in absolute magnitude and more variable in the marine realm, with maximum turnover rates in marine biomes twice those observed for terrestrial biomes. Higher rates of turnover in the marine realm are consistent with predictions for species responses based on greater sensitivities to increased temperatures (20, 21) coupled with fewer barriers to dispersal in marine systems (22, 23), though attribution to specific drivers is beyond the scope of analyses presented here. Further, if assemblages are more spatially heterogeneous in marine compared to terrestrial systems, then this too may contribute to our finding of higher temporal turnover in marine assemblages (55). Although we did not find strong contrasting trends for specific taxonomic groups within biomes, environmental differences between the realms (unrelated to dispersal and connectivity), or life history differences among taxa could also underpin some of the patterns in turnover detected at the realm and regional scales. For example, in the temperate marine realm, mammals had lower turnover than invertebrates, which is consistent with other findings showing long-lived taxa exhibit less rapid temporal turnover (55).

10

15

20

25

Amid widespread variation in biodiversity trends, we found that tropical marine regions have a higher proportion of studies exhibiting biodiversity change at the extremes of richness gains, losses and turnover (Fig. 2B, 4, S9). Hence, although we find higher magnitude changes in the tropics, this result contrasts with our prediction that we would find mostly richness losses. The tropics, which harbor the majority of biological diversity, are generally considered to be where biodiversity is most threatened on the planet (36). Moreover, in the context of climate change, there are likely fewer species available to replace those species lost in tropical zones that have entered no-analog warm temperature conditions (56, 57). If these trends are maintained, this could lead to a dramatic restructuring of

biodiversity, with potentially severe consequences for ecosystem functioning across biomes and changes to the latitudinal diversity gradient, significantly altering the planet's biogeography. We note, however, that such a pattern of biotic attrition would be expected to be accompanied by a larger contribution of the nestedness component to community compositional change than we found here (Fig. 5, S12). BioTIME includes relatively few tropical datasets (37), despite being the largest compilation of biodiversity time-series currently available, and further data collection in these areas is needed to be able to confidently assess trends in the tropics. Furthermore, biodiversity monitoring overall is lacking for many regions of the planet, e.g., the deep ocean. The geographical variation we uncover highlights the critical importance of improving the spatial coverage of biodiversity monitoring to better estimate global biodiversity change.

We identify hotspots of biodiversity change, that is, key areas that represent extremes for biodiversity trends. As conservation has moved towards systematically identifying regions in need of protection (58), global maps of conservation priorities according to different criteria have been developed (16, 59). Our study provides an important criterion for targeting conservation action: a global map of current rates of biodiversity change. In addition to the marine tropics, marine biomes in the western Atlantic and Northwest Australia are undergoing rates of replacement higher than the global average. These regions are, therefore, currently undergoing the most dramatic rates of change and should be prioritized for reactive conservation measures. In contrast, several forest biomes (e.g., temperate broadleaf and mixed, temperate conifer) have slower rates of replacement than the global average. As these regions appear to be undergoing less change, we speculate that proactive conservation measures are likely to be more appropriate. Specific conservation actions always need to be tailored to the locations and taxa, but our study provides the global and regional context in which individual locations are immersed.

The global heterogeneity in biodiversity change is underpinned by geographic variation. We find spatial variation in species gains and losses is greater than taxonomic variation. This spatial variation suggests that statements about biodiversity loss need to be conditional on context and location. On

average, local species richness change across the globe does not differ from zero, but there are many locations gaining or losing species. Species replacement is ubiquitous and also spatially structured. Determining whether the spatial variation uncovered is related to differences among communities in their degree of exposure and vulnerability to drivers of biodiversity change is an important next step.

5 Nevertheless, our results show that, while the entire planet is undergoing biodiversity change, the direction and magnitude of change differs across geographic regions.

References and Notes:

- 10 1. S. L. Pimm *et al.*, The biodiversity of species and their rates of extinction, distribution, and protection. *Science* **344**, (2014).
2. V. Proença, H. M. Pereira, in *Encyclopedia of Biodiversity (Second Edition)*, S. A. Levin, Ed. (Academic Press, Waltham, 2013), pp. 167-176.
3. H. M. Pereira *et al.*, Scenarios for global biodiversity in the 21st Century. *Science* **330**, 1496-1501 (2010).
- 15 4. J. García Molinos *et al.*, Climate velocity and the future global redistribution of marine biodiversity. *Nature Clim. Change* **6**, 83-88 (2016).
5. M. Dornelas *et al.*, Assemblage time series reveal biodiversity change but not systematic loss. *Science* **344**, 296-299 (2014).
- 20 6. M. Vellend *et al.*, Global meta-analysis reveals no net change in local-scale plant biodiversity over time. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**, 19456-19459 (2014).
7. S. R. Supp, S. K. M. Ernest, Species-level and community-level responses to disturbance: a cross-community analysis. *Ecology* **95**, 1717-1723 (2014).
8. R. Elahi *et al.*, Recent trends in local-scale marine biodiversity reflect community structure and human impacts. *Current Biology* **25**, 1938-1943 (2015).
- 25 9. H. Hillebrand *et al.*, Biodiversity change is uncoupled from species richness trends: consequences for conservation and monitoring. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **55**, 169-184 (2018).
10. E. R. Pianka, Latitudinal gradients in species diversity: a review of concepts. *The American Naturalist* **100**, 33-46 (1966).
- 30 11. M. L. Rosenzweig, *Species Diversity in Space and Time*. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
12. A. Gonzalez *et al.*, Estimating local biodiversity change: a critique of papers claiming no net loss of local diversity. *Ecology* **97**, 1949-1960 (2016).
- 35 13. M. Vellend *et al.*, Estimates of local biodiversity change over time stand up to scrutiny. *Ecology* **98**, 583-590 (2017).
14. L. Tydecks, J. M. Jeschke, M. Wolf, G. Singer, K. Tockner, Spatial and topical imbalances in biodiversity research. *PLOS ONE* **13**, e0199327 (2018).
15. C. Meyer, P. Weigelt, H. Kreft, Multidimensional biases, gaps and uncertainties in global plant occurrence information. *Ecology Letters* **19**, 992-1006 (2016).
- 40 16. T. M. Brooks *et al.*, Global biodiversity conservation priorities. *Science* **313**, 58-61 (2006).

17. C. J. Ellis, Predicting the biodiversity response to climate change: challenges and advances. *Systematics and biodiversity* **9**, 307-317 (2011).
18. B. S. Halpern *et al.*, Spatial and temporal changes in cumulative human impacts on the world's ocean. *Nature Communications* **6**, 7615 (2015).
- 5 19. D. Bowler *et al.*, The geography of the Anthropocene differs between the land and the sea. *bioRxiv*, (2018).
20. J. M. Sunday, A. E. Bates, N. K. Dulvy, Thermal tolerance and the global redistribution of animals. *Nature Climate Change* **2**, 686 (2012).
21. M. L. Pinsky, A. M. Eikeset, D. J. McCauley, J. L. Payne, J. M. Sunday, Greater vulnerability to warming of marine versus terrestrial ectotherms. *Nature* **569**, 108-111 (2019).
- 10 22. E. S. Poloczanska *et al.*, Global imprint of climate change on marine life. *Nature Clim. Change* **3**, 919-925 (2013).
23. R. M. May, Biological diversity: differences between land and sea. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, London. Series B.* **343**, 105-111 (1994).
- 15 24. J. H. Brown, S. K. M. Ernest, J. M. Parody, J. P. Haskell, Regulation of diversity: maintenance of species richness in changing environments. *Oecologia* **126**, 321-332 (2001).
25. M. Dornelas *et al.*, A balance of winners and losers in the Anthropocene. *Ecology Letters* **22**, 847-854 (2019).
26. T. Newbold *et al.*, Global effects of land use on local terrestrial biodiversity. *Nature* **520**, 45 (2015).
- 20 27. R. K. Colwell, G. Brehm, C. L. Cardelús, A. C. Gilman, J. T. Longino. Global warming, elevational range shifts, and lowland biotic attrition in the wet tropics. *Science* **322**, 258-261 (2008).
28. M. van Kleunen *et al.*, Global exchange and accumulation of non-native plants. *Nature* **525**, 100 (2015).
- 25 29. D. F. Sax, S. D. Gaines, Species diversity: from global decreases to local increases. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **18**, 561-566 (2003).
- 30 30. M. Winter *et al.*, Plant extinctions and introductions lead to phylogenetic and taxonomic homogenization of the European flora. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**, 21721-21725 (2009).
31. M. Winter *et al.*, The role of non-native plants and vertebrates in defining patterns of compositional dissimilarity within and across continents. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **19**, 332-342 (2010).
32. C. Parmesan, G. Yohe, A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature* **421**, 37 (2003).
- 35 33. J. Lenoir, J. C. Svenning, Climate-related range shifts – a global multidimensional synthesis and new research directions. *Ecography* **38**, 15-28 (2015).
34. L. Fahrig, Ecological responses to habitat fragmentation per se. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **48**, 1-23 (2017).
- 40 35. J. W. Williams, S. T. Jackson, J. E. Kutzbach, Projected distributions of novel and disappearing climates by 2100 AD. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **104**, 5378-5742 (2007).
36. J. Barlow *et al.*, The future of hyperdiverse tropical ecosystems. *Nature* **559**, 517-526 (2018).
37. M. Dornelas *et al.*, BioTIME: A database of biodiversity time series for the Anthropocene. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **27**, 760-786 (2018).
- 45 38. S. A. Blowes, et al., Supplementary Materials for "The geography of biodiversity change in marine and terrestrial assemblages". (2019).

39. R. Barnes, dggridR: Discrete Global Grids. R package version 2.0.3. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dggridR> . (2018).
40. D. M. Olson, E. Dinerstein, The Global 200: Priority ecoregions for global conservation. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical garden*, 199-224 (2002).
- 5 41. M. D. Spalding *et al.*, Marine ecoregions of the world: a bioregionalization of coastal and shelf areas. *BioScience* **57**, 573-583 (2007).
42. R. Abell *et al.*, Freshwater ecoregions of the world: a new map of biogeographic units for freshwater biodiversity conservation. *AIBS Bulletin* **58**, 403-414 (2008).
43. TNC, terrestrial ecoregions dataset: The Nature Conservancy. tnc_terr_ecoregions. *The Nature Conservancy, Arlington, VA, vector digital data. 14 December 2009.*
10 <http://maps.tnc.org> , (2009).
44. D. M. Olson *et al.*, Terrestrial ecoregions of the world: a new map of life on earth. A new global map of terrestrial ecoregions provides an innovative tool for conserving biodiversity. *BioScience* **51**, 933-938 (2001).
- 15 45. A. Baselga, Partitioning the turnover and nestedness components of beta diversity. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **19**, 134-143 (2010).
46. C. Albouy, F. Guilhaumon, M. B. Araújo, D. Mouillot, F. Leprieur, Combining projected changes in species richness and composition reveals climate change impacts on coastal Mediterranean fish assemblages. *Global Change Biology* **18**, 2995-3003 (2012).
- 20 47. A. Gelman, J. Hill, M. Yajima, Why we (usually) don't have to worry about multiple comparisons. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness* **5**, 189-211 (2012).
48. N. J. Gotelli *et al.*, Community-level regulation of temporal trends in biodiversity. *Science Advances* **3**, e1700315 (2017).
49. J. H. Brown, S. M. Ernest, J. M. Parody, J. P. Haskell, Regulation of diversity: maintenance of species richness in changing environments. *Oecologia* **126**, 321-332 (2001).
- 25 50. I. Le Viol *et al.*, More and more generalists: two decades of changes in the European avifauna. *Biology letters* **8**, 780-782 (2012).
51. L. Alvarez-Filip, M. J. Paddack, B. Collen, D. R. Robertson, I. M. Côté, Simplification of Caribbean reef-fish assemblages over decades of coral reef degradation. *PLoS One* **10**, e0126004 (2015).
- 30 52. R. D. Batt, J. W. Morley, R. L. Selden, M. W. Tingley, M. L. Pinsky, Gradual changes in range size accompany long-term trends in species richness. *Ecology Letters* **20**, 1148-1157 (2017).
53. P. H. Flanagan, O. P. Jensen, J. W. Morley, M. L. Pinsky, Response of marine communities to local temperature changes. *Ecography* **42**, 214-224 (2019).
- 35 54. H. Hillebrand, J. Soininen, P. Snoeijs, Warming leads to higher species turnover in a coastal ecosystem. *Global Change Biology* **16**, 1181-1193.
55. S. L. Collins *et al.*, Temporal heterogeneity increases with spatial heterogeneity in ecological communities. *Ecology* **99**, 858-865 (2018).
- 40 56. M. T. Burrows *et al.*, Geographical limits to species-range shifts are suggested by climate velocity. *Nature* **507**, 492-495 (2014).
57. M. L. Pinsky, B. Worm, M. J. Fogarty, J. L. Sarmiento, S. A. Levin, Marine taxa track local climate velocities. *Science* **341**, 1239-1242 (2013).
58. C. R. Margules, R. L. Pressey, Systematic conservation planning. *Nature* **405**, 243 (2000).
- 45 59. N. Myers, R. A. Mittermeier, C. G. Mittermeier, G. A. B. da Fonseca, J. Kent, Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* **403**, 853 (2000).

Supplementary Materials

60. A. Chao, L. Jost, Coverage-based rarefaction and extrapolation: standardizing samples by completeness rather than size. *Ecology* **93**, 2533-2547 (2012).
61. N. J. Gotelli, R. K. Colwell, Estimating species richness. *Biological diversity: frontiers in measurement and assessment* **12**, 39-54 (2011).
62. A. Baselga *et al.*, betapart: Partitioning beta diversity into turnover and nestedness components. *R package version 1.5.1*, <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/betapart/betapart.pdf>, (2018).
63. P.-C. Bürkner, brms: An R Package for Bayesian Multilevel Models Using Stan. *2017* **80**, 28 (2017).
64. A. Gelman, D. B. Rubin, Inference from iterative simulation using multiple sequences. *Statistical science* **7**, 457-472 (1992).
65. A. Gelman *et al.*, *Bayesian data analysis*. (Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2013).
66. RCoreTeam. (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, <http://www.R-project.org/>, Vienna, Austria, 2018).
67. B. Carpenter *et al.*, Stan: A probabilistic programming language. *Journal of statistical software* **76**, (2017).
68. B. J. Cardinale, A. Gonzalez, G. R. H. Allington, M. Loreau, Is local biodiversity declining or not? A summary of the debate over analysis of species richness time trends. *Biological Conservation*, (2018).
69. S. L. Webb, S. E. Scanga, Windstorm disturbance without patch dynamics: twelve years of change in a Minnesota forest. *Ecology* **82**, 893-897 (2001).
70. L. Zachmann, C. Moffet, P. Adler, Mapped quadrats in sagebrush steppe: long-term data for analyzing demographic rates and plant-plant interactions. *Ecology* **91**, 3427-3427 (2010).
71. C. Widdicombe, D. Eloire, D. Harbour, R. Harris, P. Somerfield, Long-term phytoplankton community dynamics in the Western English Channel. *Journal of Plankton Research*, fbp127 (2010).
72. C. E. Widdicombe, D. Harbour, R. Harris, Time series of phytoplankton abundance and composition at station L4 in the English Channel from 1988 to 2009. *PANGAEA* **754335**, 0-0 (2010).
73. R. Holmes, F. Sturges, Bird community dynamics and energetics in a northern hardwoods ecosystem. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, 175-200 (1975).
74. R. T. Holmes, T. W. Sherry, Assessing population trends of New Hampshire forest birds: local vs. regional patterns. *The Auk*, 756-768 (1988).
75. R. T. Holmes, T. W. Sherry, Thirty-year bird population trends in an unfragmented temperate deciduous forest: importance of habitat change. *The Auk* **118**, 589-609 (2001).
76. R. T. Holmes, T. W. Sherry, F. W. Sturges, Bird Community Dynamics in a Temperate Deciduous Forest: Long-Term Trends at Hubbard Brook. *Ecological Monographs* **56**, 201-220 (1986).
77. F. W. Preston, Time and space and the variation of species. *Ecology* **41**, 611-627 (1960).
78. K. J. Gaston, T. M. Blackburn. (Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, England, 2000).
79. G. Beven, Changes in breeding bird populations of an oak-wood on Bookham Common, Surrey, over twenty-seven years. *London Naturalist* **55**, 23-42 (1976).
80. D. W. Gibbons, J. B. Reid, R. A. Chapman, *The new atlas of breeding birds in Britain and Ireland: 1988-1991*. (T & AD Poyser London, 1993).
81. B. Stone *et al.*, Population estimates of birds in Britain and in the United Kingdom. *British Birds* **90**, 1-22 (1997).
82. P. Lack, *The atlas of wintering birds in Britain and Ireland*. (A&C Black, 2010).
83. P. Standley, N. Bucknell, A. Swash, I. Collins, The Birds of Berkshire. Berkshire Atlas Group. *Reading, UK*, (1996).

84. M. Williamson, in *Symposium of the British Ecological Society*. (1987).
85. C. B. Halpern, C. Dyrness, "Plant succession and biomass dynamics following logging and burning in the Andrews Experimental Forest Watersheds 1 and 3, 1962-Present". Long-Term Ecological Research. Forest Science Data Bank, Corvallis. **Available at:**
 5 **<http://andrewsforest.oregonstate.edu/data/abstract.cfm?dbcode=TP073>**, accessed 2012. (2010).
86. C. B. Halpern, J. A. Lutz, Canopy closure exerts weak controls on understory dynamics: a 30-year study of overstory–understory interactions. *Ecological Monographs* **83**, 221-237 (2013).
87. C. B. Halpern, J. A. Lutz, "Canopy closure exerts weak controls on understory dynamics: a
 10 30-year study of overstory–understory interactions". **Available at: Dryad DigitalRepository, doi:10.5061/dryad.1q88j**, accessed 2013 (2013).
88. A. J. Brooks, Moorea Coral Reef LTER: Coral Reef: Long-term Population and Community Dynamics: Fishes. **Available at: knb-lter-mcr.6.54 doi:10.6073/pasta/d688610e536f54885a3c59d287f6c4c3**, accessed 2016 (2016).
- 15 89. A. J. Brooks, "MCR LTER: Coral Reef: Long-term Population and Community Dynamics: Fishes". Moorea Coral Reef. **Available at: <http://mcr.lternet.edu/cgi-bin/showDataset.cgi?docid=knb-lter-mcr.6>**, accessed 2012.
90. M. Williamson, The land-bird community of Skokholm: ordination and turnover. *Oikos*, 378-384 (1983).
- 20 91. W. L. Vickery, T. D. Nudds, Detection of Density-Dependent Effects in Annual Duck Censuses. *Ecology* **65**, 96-104 (1984).
92. NERC, "Fluctuations and long-term trends in the relative densities of tetraonid populations in Finland, 1964-77." NERC Centre for Population Biology, Imperial College. The Global Population Dynamics Database v2.0. . **Available at:**
 25 **<https://www.imperial.ac.uk/cpb/gpdd2/secure/register.aspx>**, accessed 2012.
93. H. Lindén, P. Rajala, Fluctuations and long-term trends in the relative densities of tetraonid populations in Finland, 1964-77. *Finnish Game Research* **39**, 13-34 (1981).
94. NERC, "A transect survey of small land carnivore and red fox populations on a subarctic fell in Finnish Forest Lapland over 13 winters". NERC Centre for Population Biology, Imperial
 30 College, The Global Population Dynamics Database v2.0. **Available at:**
<http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/cpb/databases/gpdd>, accessed 2012.
95. E. Pulliainen, A transect survey of small land carnivore and red fox populations on a subarctic fell in Finnish Forest Lapland over 13 winters. *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, 270-278 (1981).
96. P. Grant, An 11-year study of small mammal populations at Mont St. Hilaire, Quebec.
 35 *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **54**, 2156-2173 (1976).
97. P. Grant, "An 11-year study of small mammal populations at Mont St. Hilaire, Quebec". NERC Centre for Population Biology, Imperial College. The Global Population Dynamics Database v2.0. **Available at: <http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/cpb/databases/gpdd>**, accessed 2012 (1976).
- 40 98. M. R. B. Willig, C. P. , "El Verde Grid long-term invertebrate data: Luquillo Long Term Ecological Research Site Database: Data Set 107". **Available at:**
<http://luq.lternet.edu/data/luqmetadata107/7427> accessed 2016. (2016).
99. M. Friggens, "Sevilleta LTER Small Mammal Population Data", Albuquerque, NM: Sevilleta Long Term Ecological Research Site Database: SEV008. **Available at:**
 45 **<http://sev.lternet.edu/data/sev-8>**, accessed 2012 (2008).
100. N. LTER, "NTLFI02 North Temperate Lakes LTER: Fish Abundance 1981 - current". North Temperate Lakes Long Term Ecological Research program, NSF. Center for Limnology, University of Wisconsin-Madison. **Available at:**
 50 **<https://lter.limnology.wisc.edu/dataset/north-temperate-lakes-lter-fish-abundance-1981-current>**, accessed 2012.
101. R. B. Waide, Bird abundance - point counts. Long Term Ecological Research Network. **Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/0d96957379936a038ebbbcc6135b2fab>**, accessed 2012. (2010).

102. R. B. Waide, "Bird abundance - point counts. El Verde Field Station, Puerto Rico: Luquillo Long Term Ecological Research Site Database: Data Set 23". **Available at:** <http://luq.lter.net.edu/data/luqmetadata23>, accessed 2012. (2010).
- 5 103. S. Ernest, T. J. Valone, J. H. Brown, Long-term monitoring and experimental manipulation of a Chihuahuan Desert ecosystem near Portal, Arizona, USA. *Ecology* **90**, 1708-1708 (2009).
104. R. Condit, Tropical forest census plots: Methods and results from Barro Colorado Island, Panama and a Comparison with other plot. *Springer Verlag and RG Landes Company, Berlin*, (1998).
- 10 105. R. Condit *et al.*, The importance of demographic niches to tree diversity. *Science* **313**, 98-101 (2006).
106. R. Condit, R. A. Chisholm, S. P. Hubbell, Thirty years of forest census at Barro Colorado and the importance of immigration in maintaining diversity. *PloS one* **7**, e49826 (2012).
107. R. Condit *et al.*, Dataset: Barro Colorado Forest Census Plot Data (Version 2012). (2012).
- 15 108. R. Condit *et al.*, Tree species abundance through time in tropical forest census plots, Panama. *DataONE Dash, Dataset*, **Available at:** <https://doi.org/10.15146/R3MM4V>, (2018).
109. S. P. Hubbell, R. Condit, R. B. Foster, "Barro Colorado Forest Census Plot Data ". **Available at:** <https://ctfs.arnarb.harvard.edu/webatlas/datasets/bci>, accessed 2012. (2005).
- 20 110. N. W. Moore, The development of dragonfly communities and the consequences of territorial behaviour: a 27 year study on small ponds at Woodwalton Fen, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom. *Odonatologica* **20**, 203-231 (1991).
111. N. W. Moore, "The development of dragonfly communities and the consequences of territorial behaviour: A 27-year study on small ponds at Woodwalton Fen, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom". NERC Centre for Population Biology, Imperial College. The Global Population Dynamics Database Version 2.0. **Available at:**
- 25 **<http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/cpb/databases/gpdd>**, accessed 2012. (1991).
112. CWAC, "Coordinated Waterbird Counts (CWAC) - AfrOBIS". . **Available at:** **<http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=603>**, accessed 2012.
113. M. a. C. Management, "Marine and Coastal Management - Copepod Surveys - AfrOBIS". **Available at:** **<http://www.iobis.org/>**, accessed 2012.
- 30 114. P. I. Mitchell, Newton, S. F., Ratcliffe, N. & Dunn, T. E. , Seabird 2000. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough, UK. **Available at:** **<http://www.iobis.org/>**, accessed 2012.
115. B. Vanholder, "Belgian Migrating Lepidoptera". NERC Centre for Population Biology, Imperial College. The Global Population Dynamics Database v2.0. . **Available at:**
- 35 **<https://www.imperial.ac.uk/cpb/gpdd2/secure/register.aspx>**, accessed 2012 (1997).
116. T. N. Ratkova, "Phytoplankton of the White Sea, Barents Sea, Amundsen & Nansen Basins". Institute of Oceanology, Academy of Sciences of Russia, Moscow, Russia; Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks. **Available at:** **http://www.arcodiv.org/Database/Plankton_datasets**, accessed 2012.
- 40 117. K. Kosobokova, "White Sea Zooplankton". Shirshov Institute of Oceanology, Moscow; Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks. **Available at:** **<http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=4488>**, accessed 2012.
118. D. A. Scott, T. S. English, "Copepoda collected from Fletcher's Ice Island (T-3) in the Canadian Basin of the Arctic Ocean. Technical Report No. 240, Reference M69-62, ,"
- 45 (University of Washington and Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks., 1969).
119. D. A. Scott, T. S. English, "Arctic copepod Zooplankton Ice Island T3". **Available at:** **http://www.arcodiv.org/Database/Data_overview.html#**, Accessed 2012.
- 50 120. C. G. Pautzke, "Arctic Copepods T3 & AIDJEX Ice Islands". . **Available at:** **http://www.arcodiv.org/Database/Plankton_datasets**, accessed 2012.
121. C. G. Pautzke, "Copepoda collected from the Canada Basin Arctic Ocean; Fletcher's Ice Island (T-3) 1970-1972 and AIDJEX, 1975". Phytoplankton Primary Production Below Arctic Ocean Pack Ice: An Ecosystems Analysis. PhD Thesis University of Washington; Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks., Accessed 2012.

122. G. E. Hopky, M. J. Lawrence, D. B. Chipertzak, "Beaufort Sea NOGAP1 Zooplankton - NOGAP B1, Zooplankton Data from the Canadian Beaufort Sea Shelf, 1984-1985". Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks. **Available at:** http://www.arcodiv.org/Database/Plankton_datasets, accessed 2012.
- 5 123. G. E. Hopky, M. J. Lawrence, D. B. Chipertzak, "Beaufort Sea NOGAP2 Zooplankton - NOGAP B2, Zooplankton Data from the Canadian Beaufort Sea Shelf, 1987-1988". Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks. **Available at:** http://www.arcodiv.org/Database/Plankton_datasets, accessed 2012.
- 10 124. G. E. Hopky, M. J. Lawrence, D. B. Chipertzak, "Beaufort Sea NOGAP3 Zooplankton - NOGAP B3, Zooplankton Data from the Canadian Beaufort Sea Shelf, 1986". Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks. **Available at:** http://www.arcodiv.org/Database/Plankton_datasets, accessed 2012.
125. H. Rees, M. Pendle, R. Waldock, D. Limpenny, S. Boyd, "A comparison of benthic biodiversity in the North Sea, English Channel and Celtic Seas - Epifauna". Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science; Burnham Laboratory, UK. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=51>, accessed 2012. (1999).
- 15 126. H. Rees, M. Pendle, R. Waldock, D. Limpenny, S. Boyd, A comparison of benthic biodiversity in the North Sea, English Channel, and Celtic Seas. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **56**, 228-246 (1999).
- 20 127. MEDITS, "MEDITS seabird surveys 1999 / 2000 / 2002". Mediterranean Institute for Advanced Studies (IMEDEA) In: OBIS-SEAMAP. **Available at:** <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=1979>, accessed 2012. (2011).
128. M. Louzao *et al.*, Oceanographic habitat of an endangered Mediterranean procellariiform: implications for marine protected areas. *Ecological Applications* **16**, 1683-1695 (2006).
- 25 129. M. L. Zettler, Macrozoobenthos baltic sea (1980-2005) as part of the IOW-Monitoring. Institut für Ostseeforschung Warnemünde, Germany. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=2289>, accessed 2012. (2005).
130. K. P. Robinson, "CRRU (Cetacean Research and Rescue Unit) Cetacean sightings in Scotland waters". **Available at:** <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=2819>, accessed 2012. (2010).
- 30 131. K. P. Robinson *et al.*, The summer distribution and occurrence of cetaceans in the coastal waters of the outer southern Moray Firth in northeast Scotland (UK). *Lutra* **50**, 19 (2007).
132. N. A. Milchakova, Ryabogina, V. G. & Chernyshova, E. B., "Macroalgae of the Crimean coastal zone (the Black Sea, 1967-2007)". Sevastopol, IBSS. **Available at:** <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=2690>, accessed 2012. (2011).
- 35 133. W. Addinck, M. de Kluijver, North Sea observations of Crustacea, Polychaeta, Echinodermata, Mollusca and some other groups between 1986 and 2003. Expert Centre for Taxonomic Identification (ETI), the Netherlands. **Available at:** <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/data-catalog?module=dataset&dasid=1037>, accessed 2012 (2003).
- 40 134. N. Derezuyk, "Phytoplankton of the Ukrainian Black Sea shelf (1985-2005)". **Available at:** <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=2694>, accessed 2012.
135. C. Bakker, P. Herman, M. Vink, in *The Oosterschelde Estuary (The Netherlands): a Case-Study of a Changing Ecosystem*. (Springer, 1994), pp. 79-100.
- 45 136. C. Bakker, P. M. J. Herman, Phytoplankton in the Oosterschelde before, during and after the storm-surge barrier (1982-1990). Netherlands Institute of Ecology; Centre for Estuarine and Marine Ecology, Netherlands. EurOBIS Data. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=505>, accessed 2013 (1990).
- 50 137. C. Bakker, P. M. J. Herman, M. Vink, Changes in seasonal succession of phytoplankton induced by the storm-surge barrier in the Oosterschelde (S.W. Netherlands). *Journal of Plankton Research* **12**, 947-972 (1990).
138. DEPM, "Zooplankton in the Bay of Biscay (1995-2004, yearly DEPM surveys)". **Available at:** <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=2774>, accessed 2012.
- 55

139. BSTS, "Baltic Seabirds Transect Surveys", Institute of Ecology of Vilnius University - OBIS-SEAMAP Available at: <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=1971>, accessed 2012.
140. A. Naumov, "Benthos of the White Sea. A database". White Sea Biological Station, Zoological Institute RAS. Available at: <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/component/imis/?module=dataset&dasid=2769>, accessed 2012.
141. H.-J. Hirche *et al.*, Structure and function of contemporary food webs on Arctic shelves: A panarctic comparison: The pelagic system of the Kara Sea—Communities and components of carbon flow. *Progress in Oceanography* **71**, 288-313 (2006).
142. H.-J. Hirche *et al.*, Structure and function of contemporary food webs on Arctic shelves: A panarctic comparison: The pelagic system of the Kara Sea—Communities and components of carbon flow. *Originator: B. Meon and E.-M. Nöthig* Available at: <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=4396>, accessed 2012 (2006).
143. E. L. Markhaseva, A. A. Golikov, T. A. Agapova, A. A. Beig, Archives of the Arctic Seas Zooplankton. Available at: <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=4470>, accessed 2012. (1985).
144. J. Wacasey, E. Atkinson, L. Derick, A. Weinstein, Zoobenthos data from the southern Beaufort Sea. Fisheries and Environment Canada. Fisheries and Marine Service Data report 41, Arctic Biological Station fisheries and Marine Service Department of Fisheries and the Environment; Arctic Ocean Diversity, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks., (1977).
145. J. Wacasey, E. Atkinson, L. Derick, A. Weinstein, "Zoobenthos data from the southern Beaufort Sea.". Available at: <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=4461>, accessed 2012.
146. T. Siferd, Central and Arctic Multi-Species Stock Assessment Surveys. OBIS Canada Digital Collections. Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada (OBIS Canada). Available at: <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=2293>, accessed 2012 (2010).
147. P. A. Henderson, The long-term study of the fish and crustacean community of the Bristol Channel. Available at <http://www.pisces-conservation.com/>, accessed 2013.
148. P. A. Henderson, A. E. Magurran, Direct evidence that density-dependent regulation underpins the temporal stability of abundant species in a diverse animal community. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **281**, (2014).
149. P. A. Henderson, A. E. Magurran. (Dryad Data Repository, 2014). doi: <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.3090c>
150. E. Woehler, "Seabirds of the Southern and South Indian Ocean - Australian Antarctic Data Centre". Available at: <http://www.iobis.org>, accessed 2012.
151. R. Ostler, Marine Nature Conservation Review (MNCR) and associated benthic marine data held and managed by JNCC-EurOBIS. *Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Centre for Ecology and hydrology, Aberdeenshire, UK.* (Available at <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/datacatalog>).
152. NIWA, "South Western Pacific Regional OBIS Data Asteroid Subset", NIWA (National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research - New Zealand) MBIS (Marine Biodata Information System) accessed through South Western Pacific OBIS. Available at: <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=219>, accessed 2012.
153. P. Pugh, Discovery Collections Midwater Database. National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, UK. Available at: <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=12>, accessed 2012.
154. NODC, "South TX Outer Continental Shelf and MI, AL, and FL Outer Continental Shelf benthic organism sampling 1974-1978". US National Oceanographic Data Center, Silver Spring, Maryland, USA. Available at http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/data_search_and_access/participants.html, accessed 2012. (2011).
155. D. Clark, B. Branton, DFO Maritimes Research Vessel Trawl Surveys, OBIS Canada Digital Collections. *Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada, OBIS Canada*, (2007).

156. C. REA, "Algal Quadrante Images in the Pacific Ocean 2002-2008". Coral Reef Ecosystem Division (CRED), Pacific Island Fisheries Sciences Center, National Marine Fisheries Service. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=1577>, accessed 2012 (2011).
- 5 157. CRED, "Towed-Diver Fish Biomass Surveys in the Pacific Ocean 2000-2010". Coral Reef Ecosystem Division (CRED), Pacific Island Fisheries Sciences Center, National Marine Fisheries Service. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=1581>, accessed 2012 (2011).
- 10 158. CRED, "Rapid Ecological Assessments of Coral Population in the Pacific Ocean 2007-2010". Coral Reef Ecosystem Division (CRED), Pacific Island Fisheries Sciences Center, National Marine Fisheries Service. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=1578>, accessed 2012 (2011).
159. S. Sherman, "Maine Department of Marine Resources Inshore Trawl Survey, 2000-2009". Maine Department of Marine Resources, Maine. **Available at:** http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/data_search_and_access/datasets.html, accessed 2012. (2010).
- 15 160. F. B. Sumner, R. C. Osburn, L. J. Cole, B. M. Davis, A biological survey of the waters of Woods Hole and vicinity. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org>, accessed 2012.
161. F. B. Sumner, R. C. Osburn, L. J. Cole, B. M. Davis, *A biological survey of the waters of Woods Hole and vicinity*. (Govt. Print. Off., 1913), vol. 31.
- 20 162. M. Reichert, "MARMAP Chevron Trap Survey 1990-2009". SCDNR/NOAA MARMAP Program, SCDNR MARMAP Aggregate Data Surveys, The Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment, and Prediction (MARMAP) Program, Marine Resources Research Institute, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources U.S.A. **Available at:** http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/data_search_and_access/participants.html, accessed 2012. (2009).
- 25 163. M. Reichert, "MARMAP Neuston Nets 1990-2009". SCDNR/NOAA MARMAP Program, SCDNR MARMAP Aggregate data surveys, The Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment, and Prediction (MARMAP) Program, Marine Resources Research Institute, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources U.S.A. **Available at:** http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/data_search_and_access/participants.html, accessed 2012. (2010).
- 30 164. M. Reichert, "MARMAP Florida Antillean Trap Survey 1990-2009". SCDNR/NOAA MARMAP Program, SCDNR MARMAP Aggregate Data Surveys, The Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment, and Prediction (MARMAP) Program, Marine Resources Research Institute, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources U.S.A. **Available at:** http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/data_search_and_access/participants.html, accessed 2012. (2009).
- 35 165. L. Madin, E. Horgan, Zooplankton Sampled with 10m2MOCNESS Net in Georges Bank 1995-1999. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, USA: U.S. GLOBEC Data Management Office. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=2339>, accessed 2012 (2006).
- 40 166. W. Sackett, University of Texas - Austin; Marine Science Institute. Benthic organism data from the South Texas Outer Continental Shelf (STOCS) and the Mississippi, Alabama, and Florida (MAFLA) Outer Continental Shelf studies from 16 May 1974 to 20 February 1978 (NODC Accession 8500179). Version 2.2. National Oceanographic Data Center, NOAA. accessed 2012. (2011).
- 45 167. T. Björnberg, On the marine free-living copepods off Brazil. *Boletim do Instituto oceanográfico* **13**, 03-142 (1963).
168. F. L. Silveira, R. M. Lopes, "On the Marine Free-Living Copepods off Brazil -WSAOBIS". Western South Atlantic OBIS, São Paulo. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org>, accessed 2012. (2008).
- 50 169. REVIZEE, "Previous_fisheries_REVIZEE_Program", accessed through Tropical and Subtropical Western South Pacific OBIS. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=2411>, accessed 2012.
170. REVIZEE, "South Score / Pelagic and Demersal Fish Database II". **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=105>, accessed 2012.
- 55 171. R. Á. Bernardes, *Peixes da Zona Econômica Exclusiva da região sudeste-sul do Brasil: levantamento com armadilhas, pargueiras e rede de arrasto de fundo*. (Edusp, 2005).

172. R. A. Bernardes *et al.*, *Prospecção pesqueira de recursos demersais com armadilhas e pargueiras na Zona Econômica Exclusiva da Região Sudeste-Sul do Brasil*. (Instituto Oceanográfico, 2005).
- 5 173. R. Escribano, Manríquez, K. & Godoy, F., "Copepoda-COPAS Center (COPAS_CPD1) - Planktonic copepods from the Chilean Humboldt Current System - Eastern South Pacific Regional Node of OBIS (ESPOBIS)". Available at: <http://www.iobis.org>, accessed 2012. (2006).
174. P. Hidalgo *et al.*, Patterns of copepod diversity in the Chilean coastal upwelling system. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* **57**, 2089-2097 (2010).
- 10 175. SWP, "South Western Pacific Regional OBIS Data Bryozoan Subset". Accessed through South Western Pacific OBIS. Available at: <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=221>, accessed 2012.
176. NIWA, "South Western Pacific Regional OBIS Data provider for the NIWA Marine Biodata Information System". Ocean Biogeographic Information System. Occurrence Dataset. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.15468/zuuiyu>, accessed 2012.
- 15 177. B. Danis, Van de Putte, A., Youdjou, N. & Segers, H. (Editors), "The Antarctic Biodiversity Information Facility". Available at: <http://www.biodiversity.aq>, accessed 2013.
178. J. Sicinski, R. Bamber, "Admiralty Bay Benthos Diversity Data Base (ABBED). Pycnogonida". Available at: <http://www.scarmarbin.be>, accessed 2012. (2008).
- 20 179. J. Sicinski, M. Blazewicz-Paszkowycz, "Admiralty Bay Benthos Diversity Data Base (ABBED). Cumacea". Available at: site <http://www.scarmarbin.be>, accessed 2012. (2008).
180. J. Sicinski, M. Blazewicz-Paszkowycz, "Admiralty Bay Benthos Diversity Data Base (ABBED). Tanaidacea". Available at: site <http://www.scarmarbin.be>, accessed 2012. (2008).
- 25 181. CMarZ, "CMarZ (Census of Marine Zooplankton)-Asia Database". Accessed through OBIS-SCAR-MarBIN. Available at: <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=1500>, accessed 2012.
182. EPA, "EPA'S EMAP Database". U.S. Environmental Protection Agency through its Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP). Available at: <http://www.iobis.org>, accessed 2012. Also available at <https://archive.epa.gov/emap/archive-emap/web/html/index-2021.html>
- 30 183. NPRB, "The Observer Program database", accessed through the OBIS-USA North Pacific Groundfish Observer (North Pacific Research Board). Available at: <http://www.iobis.org>, accessed 2012. .
- 35 184. G. M. Ruiz *et al.*, North American Sessile Marine Invertebrate Survey. Available at: <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=106>, accessed 2012 (2005).
185. A. Diamond, A. Gaston, R. Brown, Converting PIROP Counts of Seabirds at Sea to Absolute Densities. Progress Notes No 164. *Canadian Wildlife Service, Ottawa*, (1986).
- 40 186. F. Huettmann, An ecological GIS research application for the northern Atlantic-The PIROP database software, environmental data sets and the role of the internet. *Riekert/Tochtermann*, (1998).
187. PIROP, "PIROP Northwest Atlantic 1965-1992 - OBIS SEAMAP". Available at: <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=2245>, accessed 2012.
188. R. G. Brown, Atlas of eastern Canadian seabirds. (1975).
- 45 189. P. N. Halpin *et al.*, OBIS-SEAMAP: The world data center for marine mammal, sea bird, and sea turtle distributions. *Oceanography* **22**, 104-115 (2009).
190. A. Read, P. Halpin, L. Crowder, B. Best, E. Fujioka, OBIS-SEAMAP: mapping marine mammals, birds and turtles. *World Wide Web electronic publication*. <http://seamap.env.duke.edu>. Accessed **15**, (2009).
- 50 191. J. R. Jahncke, C., "CalCOFI and NMFS Seabird and Marine Mammal Observation Data, 1987-2006". California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations (CalCOFI) and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) cruises, 1987-2006 - OBIS SEAMAP. Available at: <http://www.iobis.org>, accessed 2012. (2006).

192. C. Rintoul, B. Langabeer-Schlagenhauf, K. Hyrenbach, K. Morgan, W. Sydeman, Atlas of California Current marine birds and mammals: Version 1. *Unpublished Report, PRBO Conservation Science, Petaluma, CA*, (2006).
- 5 193. P. Yen, W. Sydeman, S. Bograd, K. Hyrenbach, Spring-time distributions of migratory marine birds in the southern California Current: Oceanic eddy associations and coastal habitat hotspots over 17 years. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* **53**, 399-418 (2006).
- 10 194. P. P. Yen, W. J. Sydeman, K. D. Hyrenbach, Marine bird and cetacean associations with bathymetric habitats and shallow-water topographies: implications for trophic transfer and conservation. *Journal of Marine Systems* **50**, 79-99 (2004).
195. BMMROOS, "Bahamas Marine Mammal Research Organisation Opportunistic Sightings - OBIS SEAMAP". **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org>, accessed 2012.
196. POPA, "cetacean, seabird, and sea turtle sightings in the Azores area 1998-2009 - OBIS SEAMAP". . **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=4257>, accessed 2012.
- 15 197. P. Amorim *et al.*, Spatial variability of seabird distribution associated with environmental factors: a case study of marine Important Bird Areas in the Azores. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **66**, 29-40 (2008).
198. M. Machete, R. Santos, in *Proceedings of the 5th International Fisheries Observer Conference*. (2007), pp. 15-18.
- 20 199. T. Morato *et al.*, Evidence of a seamount effect on aggregating visitors. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **357**, 23-32 (2008).
200. JAMSTEC, "Marine Biological Sample Database, JAMSTEC" (OBIS_JAPAN). **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=2289>, accessed 2012.
- 25 201. M. Kennedy, J. Spry, Atlantic Zone Monitoring Program Maritimes Region plankton datasets. *Fisheries and Oceans Canada-BioChem archive. OBIS Canada, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada*, (2011).
202. J. A. Boutillier, "Pacific Shrimp Trawl Survey". In: ShrimpTrawl Bio database, Fisheries and Oceans Canada PBS Shellfish Data Unit. OBIS Canada Digital Collections, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org>, accessed 2012. (2007).
- 30 203. ECNA, "East Coast North America Strategic Assessment Project, Groundfish Atlas for the East Coast of North America". **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org>, accessed 2012.
204. E. Wade, Snow crab research trawl survey database (Southern Gulf of St. Lawrence, Gulf region, Canada) from 1988 to 2010. *OBIS Canada, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada*, (2011).
- 35 205. J. M. Tremblay, B. Branton, DFO Maritimes Research Vessel Trawl Surveys, OBIS Canada Digital Collections. *Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada, OBIS Canada*, (2007).
206. CMAR_ANACC, "Great Barrier Reef Seabed Biodiversity Study 2003-2006" (CMAR_ANACC), accessed through OBIS-Australia. **Available at** <http://www.iobis.org>, accessed 2012.
- 40 207. NCCOS, "National Benthic Infaunal Database (NBID)". NOAA/NOS/NCCOS/CCEHBR/Coastal Ecology Program. NOAA's Ocean Service, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS). **Available at:** <https://data.noaa.gov/dataset/national-benthic-infaunal-database-nbid>, accessed 2012. (2003).
- 45 208. USVI, "St. John, USVI Fish Assessment and Monitoring Data (2002 - Present)", Silver Spring, MD Publisher: NOAAs Ocean Service, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS). National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association (NOAA)-National Ocean Service (NOS)-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS)-Center for Coastal Monitoring and Assessment (CCMA)-Biogeography Team. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=1672>, accessed 2012 (2007).
- 50 209. USVI, "St. Croix, USVI Fish Assessment and Monitoring Data (2002 - Present)", Silver Spring, MD Publisher: NOAAs Ocean Service, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS). National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association (NOAA)-National Ocean Service
- 55

- (NOS)-National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science (NCCOS)-Center for Coastal Monitoring and Assessment (CCMA)-Biogeography Team. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=1673>, accessed 2012 (2007).
- 5 210. NEFSC, "Benthic Database (OBIS-USA)", Northeast Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, U.S. Department of Commerce. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=1694>, accessed 2012 (2010).
211. AADC, "Whale Catches in Southern Ocean". OBIS - Australian Antarctic Data Centre. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org>, accessed 2013.
- 10 212. J. Jones, J. Miller, "Spatial and temporal distribution and abundance of moths in the Andrews Experimental Forest, 1994 to 2008". H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest. Forest Science Data Bank, Corvallis. **Available at:** <http://andrewsforest.oregonstate.edu/data/abstract.cfm?dbcode=SA015>, accessed 2013.
- 15 213. USGS, Patuxent Wildlife Research Center "North American Breeding Bird Survey" ftp data set, version 2014.0. **Available at:** <ftp://ftpext.usgs.gov/pub/er/md/laurel/BBS/DataFiles/>, accessed 2013.
214. J. J. Moore, C. M. Howson, "Survey of the rocky shores in the region of Sullom Voe, Shetland, A report to SOTEAG from Aquatic Survey & Monitoring Ltd", Cosheston, Pembrokeshire. 29 p. **Available at:** <http://www.soteag.org.uk>, accessed 2013.
- 20 215. DATRAS, ICES Scottish West Coast Survey For Commercial Fish Species 1985-2013. **Available at** <https://datras.ices.dk>, accessed 2014. (2013).
216. DATRAS, ICES Baltic International Trawl Survey For Commercial Fish Species (1991 - 2013). **Available at** <https://datras.ices.dk>, accessed 2013 (2013).
217. K. T. Shao, J. Lin, C.-H. Wu, H.-M. Yeh, T.-Y. Cheng, "A dataset from bottom trawl survey around Taiwan". **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org>, accessed 2013. (2012).
- 25 218. C. Fletcher, A. R. Kassim, Pasoh Forest Dynamics Plot Data. **Available at:** <http://www.ctfs.si.edu/site/Pasoh/>, accessed 2013.
219. R. Sukumar, Mudumalai Forest Dynamics Plot Data. **Available at:** <http://www.ctfs.si.edu/site/Mudumalai/>, accessed 2013.
- 30 220. S. Degraer *et al.*, "Macrobenthos: Long term trends in the macrobenthos of the Belgian Continental Shelf." Oostende, Belgium. **Available at:** <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/data-catalog?module=dataset&dasid=145>, accessed 2013. (2006).
221. DATRAS, "Fish trawl survey: Scottish Rockall Survey for commercial fish species. ICES Database of trawl surveys (DATRAS)." The International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen. **Available at:** <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/data-catalog?%3Fmodule=dataset&dasid=2767>, accessed 2013. (2010).
- 35 222. DATRAS, "Fish trawl survey: Northern Irish Ground Fish Trawl Survey. ICES Database of trawl surveys (DATRAS)." The International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen. **Available at:** <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/data-catalog?%3Fmodule=dataset&dasid=2764>, accessed 2013. (2010).
- 40 223. DATRAS, "Fish trawl survey: Irish Ground Fish Survey for commercial fish species. ICES Database of trawl surveys (DATRAS)." The International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen. **Available at:** <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/data-catalog?%3Fmodule=dataset&dasid=2762>, accessed 2013. (2010).
- 45 224. DATRAS, "Fish trawl survey: ICES French Southern Atlantic Bottom Trawl Survey for commercial fish species. ICES Database of trawl surveys (DATRAS)." The International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen. **Available at:** <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/data-catalog?%3Fmodule=dataset&dasid=2759>, accessed 2013. (2010).
225. DATRAS, "Fish trawl survey: ICES Beam Trawl Survey for commercial fish species. ICES Database of trawl surveys (DATRAS)." The International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen. **Available at:** <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/data-catalog?%3Fmodule=dataset&dasid=2761>, accessed 2013. (2010).
- 50 226. DATRAS, "Fish trawl survey: ICES North Sea International Bottom Trawl Survey for commercial fish species. ICES Database of trawl surveys (DATRAS)." The International Council for the Exploration of the Sea, Copenhagen. **Available at:** <http://www.emodnet-biology.eu/data-catalog?%3Fmodule=dataset&dasid=2763>, accessed 2013. (2010).
- 55

227. M. Reichert, "MARMAP Fly Net 1990-2009". SCDNR/NOAA MARMAP Program, SCDNR MARMAP Aggregate Data Surveys, The Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment, and Prediction (MARMAP) Program, Marine Resources Research Institute, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources USA. **Available at:** <http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/>, accessed 2013. (2010).
228. M. Reichert, "MARMAP Yankee Trawl 1990-2009". SCDNR/NOAA MARMAP Program, SCDNR MARMAP Aggregate data surveys, The Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment, and Prediction (MARMAP) Program, Marine Resources Research Institute, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources USA. **Available at:** <http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/>, accessed 2013. (2010).
229. NMFS, "Northeast Fisheries Science Center Bottom Trawl Survey Data (OBIS-USA)." NOAA's National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Northeast Fisheries Science Center. Woods Hole, Massachusetts, USA. **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=1435>, accessed 2013. (2005).
230. M. F. Harmon, J., "Long-term growth, mortality and regeneration of trees in permanent vegetation plots in the Pacific Northwest, 1910 to present." Long-Term Ecological Research. Forest Science Data Bank, Corvallis. **Available at:** <http://andrewsforest.oregonstate.edu/data/abstract.cfm?dbcode=TV010>, accessed 2012. (2012).
231. HMANA, "Hawk Migration Association of North America (HMANA)". **Available at:** <http://www.hmana.org/>, accessed 2012.
232. NatureCounts, "Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas (2001-2005): point count data." NatureCounts, a node of the Avian Knowledge Network. Bird Studies Canada. **Available at:** <http://www.birdscanada.org/birdmon/>, accessed 2012.
233. USFS, "Landbird Monitoring Program (UMT-LBMP)." US Forest Service. **Available at:** <http://www.avianknowledge.net/>, accessed 2012.
234. NatureCounts, "Maritimes Breeding Bird Atlas (2006-2010): point count data." NatureCounts, a node of the Avian Knowledge Network. Bird Studies Canada. **Available at:** <http://www.birdscanada.org/birdmon/>, accessed 2012.
235. NatureCounts, Bird Studies Canada "Marsh Monitoring Program." NatureCounts, a node of the Avian Knowledge Network. **Available at:** <http://www.birdscanada.org/birdmon/>, accessed 2012. (2012).
236. L. A. Viereck, K. Van Cleve, F. S. Chapin, R. W. Ruess, T. N. Hollingsworth, Vegetation Plots of the Bonanza Creek LTER Control Plots: Species Count (1975 - 2004). Environmental Data Initiative. **Available at:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/8dd0e1ac48e2f82b51adabfd3c62ae2>, accessed 2012 (2005).
237. J. Cavender-Bares, P. B. Reich, Shocks to the system: community assembly of the oak savanna in a 40-year fire frequency experiment. *Ecology* **93**, (2012).
238. P. Reich, D. Wedin, S. Hobbie, M. Davis, "Experiment 133 - Effect of Burning Patterns on Vegetation in the Fish Lake Burn Compartments - Shrub Survey". Cedar Creek Ecosystem Science Reserve. **Available at:** <http://www.cedarcreek.umn.edu/research/data/experiment?e133>, accessed 2012.
239. E. Shochat, M. Katti, P. Warren, "Point count bird censusing: long-term monitoring of bird distribution and diversity in central Arizona-Phoenix: period 2000 to 2011". Central Arizona-Phoenix Long-Term Ecological Research. Global Institute for Sustainability, Arizona State University. **Available at:** <https://caplter.asu.edu/data/data-catalog/?id=46>, accessed 2012. (2004).
240. R. Ohmart, D. Pearson, M. Hostetler, M. Katti, T. Hulen, "Transect bird survey with data synthesis from multiple transects in the central Arizona-Phoenix area: period 1998 to 2000." Central Arizona-Phoenix Long-Term Ecological Research. Global Institute for Sustainability, Arizona State University. **Available at:** <https://caplter.asu.edu/data/data-catalog/?id=43>, accessed 2012. (2003).
241. S. S. Hale *et al.*, Coastal ecological data from the Virginian Biogeographic Province, 1990–1993. *Ecology* **83**, 2942-2942 (2002).

242. W. O. McLarney, J. Meador, J. Chamblee, "Upper Little Tennessee River Biomonitoring Program Database." Coweeta Long Term Ecological Research Program. **Available at:** https://coweeta.uga.edu/dbpublic/dataset_details.asp?accession=4045, accessed 2012. (2010).
- 5 243. E. Gaiser, Macrophyte count data collected from Northeast Shark Slough, Everglades National Park (FCE) from September 2006 to Present. Environmental Data Initiative. **Available at:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/effd9e98134913af21b670febebd6233> accessed 2012 (2010).
- 10 244. J. Trexler, "Consumer Stocks: Fish, Vegetation, and other Non-physical Data from Everglades National Park (FCE), South Florida from February 2000 to Present." Florida Coastal Everglades LTER Program. **Available at:** http://fcelter.fiu.edu/data/core/metadata/EML/?datasetid=LT_CD_Trexler_001, accessed 2012. (2007).
- 15 245. D. Williams, "Pelagic Fish Observations 1968-1999." Australian Antarctic Data Centre. **Available at:** <http://www.gbif.org/dataset/85b0a82a-f762-11e1-a439-00145eb45e9a>, accessed 2012.
- 20 246. D. Foster, B. Von Holle, T. Parshall, "Land Use on the Southern New England and New York Coasts 1600-2001. Harvard Forest Data Archive: HF044." The Harvard Forest Long Term Ecological Research Program. **Available at:** <http://harvardforest.fas.harvard.edu:8080/exist/xquery/data.xq?id=hf044>, accessed 2013. (2006).
247. J. J. Battles, Johnson, C., Hamburg, S., Fahey, T., Driscoll, C. & Likens, G., "Forest Inventory of a Northern Hardwood Forest: Watershed 6 2002." The Hubbard Brook Ecosystem Study LTER Program. **Available at:** <http://www.hubbardbrook.org/data/dataset.php?id=35>, accessed 2012. (2003).
- 25 248. J. J. Battles, Fahey, T. & Cleavitt, N., "Forest Inventory of a Northern Hardwood Forest: Watershed 6 1965, Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest." The Hubbard Brook Ecosystem Study LTER Program. **Available at:** <http://www.hubbardbrook.org/data/dataset.php?id=29>, accessed 2016.
- 30 249. J. J. Battles, Fahey, T. & Cleavitt, N., "Forest Inventory of a Northern Hardwood Forest: Watershed 6 1977, Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest." The Hubbard Brook Ecosystem Study LTER Program. **Available at:** <http://www.hubbardbrook.org/data/dataset.php?id=30>, accessed 2016.
- 35 250. J. J. Battles, Fahey, T. & Cleavitt, N., "Forest Inventory of a Northern Hardwood Forest: Watershed 6 1987, Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest." The Hubbard Brook Ecosystem Study LTER Program. **Available at:** <http://www.hubbardbrook.org/data/dataset.php?id=32>, accessed 2016.
- 40 251. J. J. Battles, Fahey, T. & Cleavitt, N., "Forest Inventory of a Northern Hardwood Forest: Watershed 6 1992, Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest." The Hubbard Brook Ecosystem Study LTER Program. **Available at:** <http://www.hubbardbrook.org/data/dataset.php?id=33>, accessed 2016.
- 45 252. J. J. Battles, Fahey, T. & Cleavitt, N., "Forest Inventory of a Northern Hardwood Forest: Watershed 6 1997, Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest." The Hubbard Brook Ecosystem Study LTER Program. **Available at:** <http://www.hubbardbrook.org/data/dataset.php?id=34>, accessed 2016.
- 50 253. J. J. Battles, Fahey, T. , N. Cleavitt, "Forest Inventory of a Northern Hardwood Forest: Watershed 6 1982, Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest." The Hubbard Brook Ecosystem Study LTER Program. **Available at:** <http://www.hubbardbrook.org/data/dataset.php?id=31>, accessed 2016.
- 55 254. J. J. Battles, Fahey, T. & Cleavitt, N., "Forest Inventory of a Whole Tree Harvest: Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest Watershed 5, 1982, pre-harvest." The Hubbard Brook Ecosystem Study LTER Program. **Available at:** <http://www.hubbardbrook.org/data/dataset.php?id=36>, accessed 2012. (2003).
255. J. J. Battles, Fahey, T. & Cleavitt, N., "Forest Inventory of a Whole Tree Harvest: Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest Watershed 5, 1990, 7 years post-harvest." The Hubbard Brook

- Ecosystem Study LTER Program. **Available at:**
<http://www.hubbardbrook.org/data/dataset.php?id=37>, accessed 2016. (2013).
- 5 256. J. J. Battles, Fahey, T. & Cleavitt, N., "Forest Inventory of a Whole Tree Harvest: Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest Watershed 5, 1994, 10 years post-harvest." The Hubbard Brook Ecosystem Study LTER Program. **Available at:**
<http://www.hubbardbrook.org/data/dataset.php?id=38>, accessed 2016. (2013b).
- 10 257. J. J. Battles, Fahey, T. & Cleavitt, N., "Forest Inventory of a Whole Tree Harvest: Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest Watershed 5, 1999, 15 years post-harvest." The Hubbard Brook Ecosystem Study LTER Program. **Available at:**
<http://www.hubbardbrook.org/data/dataset.php?id=39>, accessed 2016. (2013c).
- 15 258. K. B. Gido, "Fish population on selected watersheds at Konza Prairie - CFP01." Konza Prairie LTER Program. **Available at:**
<http://www.konza.ksu.edu/KNZ/pages/data/Knzdsdetail.aspx?datasetCode=CFP01>, accessed 2012.
- 20 259. R. Lathrop, "Madison Wisconsin Lakes Zooplankton 1976 - 1994." North Temperate Lakes Long Term Ecological Research Program, Center for Limnology, University of Wisconsin-Madison. **Available at:** <http://lter.limnology.wisc.edu/dataset/madison-wisconsin-lakes-zooplankton-1976-1994>, accessed 2013. (2000).
- 25 260. N. LTER, "North Temperate Lakes LTER: Zooplankton - Madison Lakes Area 1997 - current." North Temperate Lakes Long Term Ecological Research Program, Center for Limnology, University of Wisconsin-Madison. **Available at:**
<http://lter.limnology.wisc.edu/dataset/north-temperate-lakes-lter-zooplankton-madison-lakes-area-1997-current>, accessed 2013. (2011).
- 30 261. E. Muldavin, "Pinon Juniper Net Primary Production Quadrat Data from the Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge, New Mexico: 1999-2001." Sevilleta Long Term Ecological Research Program. . **Available at:** <http://sev.lternet.edu/data/sev-187>, accessed 2013.
- 35 262. E. Muldavin, "Pinon-Juniper (Core Site) Quadrat Data for the Net Primary Production Study at the Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge, New Mexico (2003-Present)." Sevilleta Long Term Ecological Research Program. **Available at:** <http://sev.lternet.edu/node/1718>, accessed 2013.
- 40 263. R. Condit, "Sherman Forest Dynamics Plot, Panama." The Center for Tropical Forest Science. Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute. **Available at:**
<http://www.ctfs.si.edu/site/Sherman/>, accessed 2013.
- 45 264. A. Paquette *et al.*, Lac Croche understory vegetation data set (1998–2006). *Ecology* **88**, 3209-3209 (2007).
- 50 265. F. Day, "Long-term N-fertilized vegetation plots on Hog Island, Virginia Coastal Barrier Islands, 1992-2014." Virginia Coast Reserve Long-Term Ecological Research Project. **Available at:** <http://www.vcrlter.virginia.edu/cgi-bin/showDataset.cgi?docid=knb-lter-vcr.106>, accessed 2013. (2010).
- 55 266. F. P. Day, C. Conn, E. Crawford, M. Stevenson, Long-term effects of nitrogen fertilization on plant community structure on a coastal barrier island dune chronosequence. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 722-730 (2004).
267. NatureCounts, Bird Studies Canada "BC Coastal Waterbird Survey (2004)." NatureCounts, a node of the Avian Knowledge Network. **Available at:**
<http://www.birdscanada.org/birdmon/>, accessed 2012. (2012).
268. M. Stevens, "Trekvis - Migratory fishes in the river Scheldt." Research Institute for Nature and Forest (INBO). **Available at:** <http://www.gbif.org/dataset/b2d0f29e-4614-4001-93c8-f651878a86d2>, accessed 2014. (2010).
269. H. Chen *et al.*, Long-term monitoring dataset of fish assemblages impinged at nuclear power plants in northern Taiwan. *Scientific data* **2**, 150071 (2015).
270. L. Rudstam, "Zooplankton survey of Oneida Lake, New York, 1964 – 2012", KNB Data Repository. **Available at:** <https://knb.ecoinformatics.org/#view/kgordon.17.56>, accessed 2016. (2008).
271. Z. Shi *et al.*, Evidence for long-term shift in plant community composition under decadal experimental warming. *Journal of Ecology* **103**, 1131-1140 (2015).

272. P. F. Thomsen *et al.*, Resource specialists lead local insect community turnover associated with temperature – analysis of an 18-year full-seasonal record of moths and beetles. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **85**, 251-261 (2016).
- 5 273. M. Reichert, “MARMAP Blackfish Trap Survey 1990-2009”. SCDNR/NOAA MARMAP Program. SCDNR MARMAP Aggregate Data Surveys. The Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment, and Prediction (MARMAP) Program. Marine Resources Research Institute. South Carolina Department of Natural Resources USA. **Available at:** <http://www.usgs.gov/obis-usa/>, accessed 2013. (2010).
- 10 274. N. LTER, “North Temperate Lakes LTER: Zooplankton - Trout Lake Area 1982 - current.” North Temperate Lakes Long Term Ecological Research Program, Center for Limnology, University of Wisconsin-Madison. **Available at:** <https://lter.limnology.wisc.edu/dataset/north-temperate-lakes-lter-zooplankton-trout-lake-area-1982-current>, accessed 2013.
- 15 275. N. LTER, “North Temperate Lakes LTER: Phytoplankton - Madison Lakes Area 1995 - current.” North Temperate Lakes Long Term Ecological Research Program, Center for Limnology, University of Wisconsin-Madison. **Available at:** <https://lter.limnology.wisc.edu/dataset/north-temperate-lakes-lter-phytoplankton-madison-lakes-area-1995-current>, accessed 2013.
- 20 276. K. D. Woods, Multi-decade, spatially explicit population studies of canopy dynamics in Michigan old-growth forests. *Ecology* **90**, 3587-3587 (2009).
277. F. Lloret, J. Penuelas, M. Estiarte, Experimental evidence of reduced diversity of seedlings due to climate modification in a Mediterranean-type community. *Global Change Biology* **10**, 248-258 (2004).
- 25 278. D. C. Reed, “SBC LTER: Reef: Kelp forest community dynamics: Abundance and size of giant kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*), ongoing since 2000”. Santa Barbara Coastal LTER. **Available at:** <http://sbc.lternet.edu/cgi-bin/showDataset.cgi?docid=knb-lter-sbc.18> **doi:10.6073/pasta/d90872297e30026b263a119d4f5bca9f**, accessed 2016 (2014a).
- 30 279. D. C. Reed, “SBC LTER: Reef: Kelp forest community dynamics: Fish abundance”. Santa Barbara Coastal LTER. **Available at:** <http://sbc.lternet.edu/cgi-bin/showDataset.cgi?docid=knb-lter-sbc.17> **doi:10.6073/pasta/e37ed29111b2fddffc08355252b8b8c7**, accessed 2016 (2014b).
- 35 280. D. C. Reed, “SBC LTER: Reef: Kelp forest community dynamics: Invertebrate and algal density”. Santa Barbara Coastal LTER. **Available at:** <http://sbc.lternet.edu/cgi-bin/showDataset.cgi?docid=knb-lter-sbc.19> **doi:10.6073/pasta/cd4cf864efecd69891dfe1d73b9ac9c3**, accessed 2016 (2014c).
- 40 281. D. C. Reed, “SBC LTER: Reef: Kelp forest community dynamics: Cover of sessile organisms, Uniform Point Contact”. Santa Barbara Coastal LTER. **Available at:** <http://sbc.lternet.edu/cgi-bin/showDataset.cgi?docid=knb-lter-sbc.15> **doi:10.6073/pasta/f906c91e98c2a5fe752dfa0ccdc8895f**, accessed 2016 (2014d).
282. R. A. Davis, T. S. Doherty, Rapid recovery of an urban remnant reptile community following summer wildfire. *PloS one* **10**, e0127925 (2015).
283. V. A. Karatayev, A. Y. Karatayev, L. E. Burlakova, L. G. Rudstam, Eutrophication and Dreissena invasion as drivers of biodiversity: A century of change in the mollusc community of Oneida Lake. *PloS one* **9**, e101388 (2014).
- 45 284. SEFSC, "Southeast Fisheries Science Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. NOAA Southeast Fishery Science Center (SEFSC) Fisheries Log Book System (FLS) Commercial Pelagic Logbook Data". **Available at:** <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=1496>, accessed 2012.
- 50 285. CMAR, "CSIRO Marine Data Warehouse - OBIS Australia", CSIRO Division of Marine and Atmospheric Research (CMAR), Australia. **Available at** <http://www.iobis.org>, accessed 2012.
286. Rothamsted, "Rothamsted Park Grass Experiment. Over 100 years of Park Grass" Accessed 2016.
- 55 287. T. C. Bonebrake *et al.*, Warming threat compounds habitat degradation impacts on a tropical butterfly community in Vietnam. *Global Ecology and Conservation* **8**, 203-211 (2016).

288. L. Vu, Diversity and similarity of butterfly communities in five different habitat types at Tam Dao National Park, Vietnam. *Journal of Zoology* **277**, 15-22 (2009).
289. G. J. Edgar, R. D. Stuart-Smith, Systematic global assessment of reef fish communities by the Reef Life Survey program. *Scientific Data* **1**, 140007 (2014).
- 5 290. R. Carpenter, "MCR LTER: Coral Reef: Long-term Population and Community Dynamics: Other Benthic Invertebrates, ongoing since 2005". Moorea Coral Reef LTER, knb-lter-mcr.7.28. **Available at: doi:10.6073/pasta/8e7b3a0c7a8bf315739921861cc79d10**, accessed 2016. (2015).
291. P. B. Adler, W. R. Tyburczy, W. K. Lauenroth, Long-term mapped quadrats from Kansas prairie: demographic information for herbaceous plants. *Ecology* **88**, 2673-2673 (2007).
- 10 292. L. Dapporto, Core and satellite butterfly species on Elba island (Tuscan Archipelago, Italy). A study on persistence based on 120 years of collection data. *Journal of insect conservation* **13**, 421-428 (2009).
293. D. Landis, S. Gage, Insect Populations via Sticky Traps at KBS-LTER. **Available at: http://lter.kbs.msu.edu/datatables/67**, accessed 2016 (2014).
- 15 294. A. Joern, CGR02 Sweep Sampling of Grasshoppers on Konza Prairie LTER watersheds (1982-present). Environmental Data Initiative. **Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/7060b2c244229a37e3bfc8c18f14ad02**, accessed 2016 (2016).
- 20 295. J. L. Jonas, A. Joern, Grasshopper (Orthoptera: Acrididae) communities respond to fire, bison grazing and weather in North American tallgrass prairie: a long-term study. *Oecologia* **153**, 699-711 (2007).
296. T. E. Barreto, Patterns and processes affecting the dynamics and structure of seasonally semi-deciduous forests in SE of Brazil. University of Campinas, PhD thesis. **Accessed 2016.**
- 25 297. B. Salami *et al.*, Influência de variáveis ambientais na dinâmica do componente arbóreo em um fragmento de Floresta Ombrófila Mista em Lages, SC. *Scientia Forestalis (IPEF)* **42**, 197-207. (2014).
298. S. Privett, R. Cowling, H. Taylor, Thirty years of change in the fynbos vegetation of the Cape of Good Hope Nature Reserve, South Africa. *Bothalia* **31**, 99-115 (2001).
- 30 299. W. Thuiller, J. A. Slingsby, S. D. Privett, R. M. Cowling, Stochastic species turnover and stable coexistence in a species-rich, fire-prone plant community. *PLoS One* **2**, e938 (2007).
300. H. Taylor, A vegetation survey of the Cape of Good Hope Nature Reserve. I. The use of association-analysis and Braun-Blanquet methods. *Bothalia* **15**, 245-258 (1984).
301. R. H. Wiley, "Population estimates of Appalachian salamanders". Coweeta LTER. **Available at: http://coweeta.uga.edu/eml/1044.xml**, accessed 2016.
- 35 302. B. W. Brook, L. W. Traill, C. J. Bradshaw, Minimum viable population sizes and global extinction risk are unrelated. *Ecology letters* **9**, 375-382 (2006).
303. NERC, "The Global Population Dynamics Database Version 2". Centre for Population Biology, Imperial College. **Available at: http://www.sw.ic.ac.uk/cpb/cpb/gpdd.html**, accessed 2016. (2010).
- 40 304. LTER, "The Main Cropping System Experiment (MCSE)". KBS LTER, Kellogg Biological Station. **Available at: http://lter.kbs.msu.edu/research/long-term-experiments/main-cropping-system-experiment/**, accessed 2016.
305. J. Merritt, Long Term Mammal Data from Powdermill Biological Station 1979-1999. Environmental Data Initiative. **Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/83c888854e239a79597999895bb61cfe**, accessed 2016 (1999).
- 45 306. E. Pollard, M. L. Hall, T. J. Bibby, Monitoring the Abundance of Butterflies 1976-1985. Research & survey in nature conservation. **Available at: http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-2614**, accessed 2016. (1986).
- 50 307. S. Sal, Á. López-Urrutia, X. Irigoien, D. S. Harbour, R. P. Harris, Marine microplankton diversity database. *Ecology* **94**, 1658-1658 (2013).
308. D. W. Kaufman, Seasonal summary of numbers of small mammals on 14 LTER traplines in prairie habitats at Konza Prairie. Konza Prairie Long-Term Ecological Research. **Available**

- at: <http://lter.konza.ksu.edu/content/csm01-seasonal-summary-numbers-small-mammals-14-lter-traplines-prairie-habitats-konza>, accessed 2016.
309. H. H. T. Prins, I. Douglas-Hamilton, Stability in a multi-species assemblage of large herbivores in East Africa. *Oecologia* **83**, 392-400 (1990).
- 5 310. J. Knops, D. Tilman, Successional Dynamics on a Resampled Chronosequence - Experiment 014. Cedar Creek Ecosystem Science Reserve. . Available at <http://www.cedarcreek.umn.edu/research/data/dataset?ghe014>, accessed 2016.
311. D. Lightfoot, R. L. Schooley, "SMES rodent trapping data, Small Mammal Exclosure Study". Jornada LTER. Available at: http://jornada.nmsu.edu/sites/jornada.nmsu.edu/files/data_files/JornadaStudy_086_sme_s_rodent_trapping_data_0.csv, accessed 2016.
- 10 312. D. Lightfoot, "Small Mammal Exclosure Study (SMES)". Sevilleta Long Term Ecological Research Program. Available at: <http://sev.lternet.edu/content/small-mammal-exclosure-study-smes-0>, accessed 2016.
- 15 313. R. Twilley, V. H. Rivera-Monroy, E. Castaneda, "Mangrove Forest Growth from the Shark River Slough, Everglades National Park (FCE), South Florida from January 1995 to Present". Florida Coastal Everglades LTER. <http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/bec6c029df692768f349106c69162df7>. Available at: http://fcelter.fiu.edu/data/core/metadata/?datasetid=LT_PP_Rivera_002, accessed 2016.
- 20 (2005).
314. SANParks, "Karoo National Park Census Data. 1994 - 2009". Available at: <http://datadryad.org/handle/10255/dryad.13079?show=full>, accessed 2016. (2011).
315. D. J. Wilgers, E. A. Horne, B. K. Sandercock, A. W. Volkmann, Effects of rangeland management on community dynamics of the herpetofauna of the tallgrass prairie. *Herpetologica* **62**, 378-388 (2006).
- 25 316. D. Lightfoot, "Jornada Grasshopper Data". Jornada Basin LTER. Available at: <http://jornada.nmsu.edu/lter/dataset/49712/view>, accessed 2016. (2007).
317. F. Farah *et al.*, Forest destructuring as revealed by the temporal dynamics of fundamental species—case study of Santa Genebra Forest in Brazil. *Ecological Indicators* **37**, 40-44 (2014).
- 30 318. D. P. Reagan, The response of Anolis lizards to hurricane-induced habitat changes in a Puerto Rican rain forest. *Biotropica*, 468-474 (1991).
319. F. Venturoli, J. M. Felfili, C. W. Fagg, Temporal evaluation of natural regeneration in a semideciduous secondary forest in Pirenópolis, Goiás, Brazil. *Revista Árvore* **35**, 473-483 (2011).
- 35 320. D. Kelt, P. Meserve, J. Gutiérrez, W. B. Milstead, M. Previtali, Long-term monitoring of mammals in the face of biotic and abiotic influences at a semiarid site in north-central Chile. *Ecology* **94**, 977-977 (2013).
321. D. Scott, B. Metts, S. Lance, "The Rainbow Bay Long-term Study". Available at: <http://srelherp.uga.edu/projects/rbay.htm>, accessed 2016.
- 40 322. C. H. Davies *et al.*, Over 75 years of zooplankton data from Australia. *Ecology* **95**, 3229-3229 (2014).
323. C. Halpern, "DEMO: Vegetation Data - Post-Harvest." Demonstration of Ecosystem Management Options. Forest Science Data Bank, Corvallis, OR. Available at: <http://andrewsforest.oregonstate.edu/data/abstract.cfm?dbcode=TP108>, accessed 2016.
- 45 (2015).
324. C. B. Halpern, J. Halaj, S. A. Evans, M. Dovčiak, Level and pattern of overstory retention interact to shape long-term responses of understories to timber harvest. *Ecological Applications* **22**, 2049-2064 (2012).
325. C. B. Halpern, D. McKenzie, Disturbance and post-harvest ground conditions in a structural retention experiment. *Forest Ecology and Management* **154**, 215-225 (2001).
- 50 326. C. B. Halpern, D. McKenzie, S. A. Evans, D. A. Maguire, Initial responses of forest understories to varying levels and patterns of green-tree retention. *Ecological Applications* **15**, 175-195 (2005).
327. G. D. Grossman, "Stream fish assemblage stability in a southern Appalachian stream at the Coweeta Hydrologic Laboratory from 1984 to 1995". Coweeta Long Term Ecological
- 55

- Research Program. **Available at:**
<http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/f0baf5f59c89f670e04f537f5cc05290>, accessed 2016.
 (2007).
- 5 328. B. K. Sandercock, “Variable distance line-transect sampling of bird population numbers in different habitats on Konza Prairie (1981 - 2009)”. Konza Prairie Long Term Ecological Research Program. **Available at:**
<http://www.konza.ksu.edu/knz/pages/data/KnzEntity.aspx?id=CBP011>, accessed 2016.
329. M. C. Mack, E. A. Schuur, M. S. Bret-Harte, G. R. Shaver, F. S. Chapin, Ecosystem carbon storage in arctic tundra reduced by long-term nutrient fertilization. *Nature* **431**, 440-443 (2004).
- 10 330. G. Shaver, “Above ground plant biomass a moist acidic tussock tundra experimental site, 1984, Artic LTER, Toolik Lake, Alaska”. **Available at:**
<http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/08a91cb2697f7cdc82d654e82b53c5c5>, accessed 2016.
 (2015).
- 15 331. G. R. Shaver, F. S. Chapin, Production: biomass relationships and element cycling in contrasting arctic vegetation types. *Ecological Monographs* **61**, 1-31 (1991).
332. G. D. Grossman, P. B. Moyle, J. O. Whitaker Jr, Stochasticity in structural and functional characteristics of an Indiana stream fish assemblage: a test of community theory. *American Naturalist*, 423-454 (1982).
- 20 333. Vermont Center for Ecostudies, J. D. Lambert, J. Hart, “Mountain Birdwatch 1.0”. KNB Data Repository, 10.5063/F1DN430G. accessed 2016. (2015).
334. G. D. Grossman, Dynamics and organization of a rocky intertidal fish assemblage: the persistence and resilience of taxocene structure. *American Naturalist*, 611-637 (1982).
- 25 335. S. Svensson, A. Thorner, N. Nyholm, Species trends, turnover and composition of a woodland bird community in southern Sweden during a period of fifty-seven years. *Ornis Svecica* **20**, 31-44 (2010).
336. D. Lightfoot, “Small Mammal Exclosure Study (SMES) Vegetation Data from the Chihuahuan Desert Grassland and Shrubland at the Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge, New Mexico (2006-2009)”. Long Term Ecological Research Network. **Available at:**
<http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/d80d5e2196cd11ef79df23ebe5a77c19>, accessed 2016.
 (2011).
- 30 337. F. R. Silva, “Brazil Dataset 1”, Universidade Federal de São Carlos. Accessed 2016.
338. G. Durigan, “Brazil Dataset 2”, Instituto Florestal, Floresta Estadual de Assis. Accessed 2016.
339. G. Durigan, “Brazil Dataset 3”, Instituto Florestal, Floresta Estadual de Assis. Accessed 2016.
- 35 340. G. Durigan, “Brazil Dataset 4”, Instituto Florestal, Floresta Estadual de Assis. Accessed 2016.
341. G. Durigan, “Brazil Dataset 5”, Instituto Florestal, Floresta Estadual de Assis. Accessed 2016.
342. C. R. Sanquetta, “Monitoring experiences at the Atlantic rainforest biome using permanent plots. (Experiencias de monitoramento no bioma mata atlantica com uso de parcelas permanentes)”, Universidade Federal Do Parana. Accessed 2016. (2008).
- 40 343. J. M. Sterza, L. L. Fernandes, Zooplankton community of the Vitória Bay estuarine system (Southeastern Brazil): Characterization during a three-year study. *Brazilian Journal of Oceanography* **54**, 95-105 (2006).
344. F. Carvalho, J. J. Zocche, R. Á. Mendonça, Morcegos (Mammalia, Chiroptera) em restinga no município de Jaguaruna, sul de Santa Catarina, Brasil. *Biotemas* **22**, 193-201 (2009).
- 45 345. C. Laguionie-Marchais *et al.*, Inter-annual dynamics of abyssal polychaete communities in the North East Pacific and North East Atlantic—A family-level study. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* **75**, 175-186 (2013).
346. C. Laguionie-Marchais, G. L. Paterson, B. J. Bett, K. L. Smith, H. A. Ruhl, Inter-annual species-level variations in an abyssal polychaete assemblage (Sta. M, NE Pacific, 4000m). *Progress in Oceanography* **140**, 43-53 (2016).
- 50 347. D. Billett, B. Bett, W. Reid, B. Boorman, I. Priede, Long-term change in the abyssal NE Atlantic: The ‘Amperima Event’ revisited. *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* **57**, 1406-1417 (2010).
348. D. Billett *et al.*, Long-term change in the megabenthos of the Porcupine Abyssal Plain (NE Atlantic). *Progress in Oceanography* **50**, 325-348 (2001).
- 55

349. L. A. Kuhnz, H. A. Ruhl, C. L. Huffard, K. L. Smith, Rapid changes and long-term cycles in the benthic megafaunal community observed over 24 years in the abyssal northeast Pacific. *Progress in Oceanography* **124**, 1-11 (2014).
- 5 350. H. A. Ruhl, K. L. Smith, Shifts in deep-sea community structure linked to climate and food supply. *Science* **305**, 513-515 (2004).
351. R. W. Myster, "Flooded forest plot sampling in Peru". Luquillo LTER. **Available at:** <http://luq.lternet.edu/data/luqmetadata169>, accessed 2016.
352. N. Norden, H. García, B. Salgado, "La Planada Forest Dynamics Plot". The Center for Tropical Forest Science. Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute. **Available at:** <http://www.ctfs.si.edu/site/La+Planada>, accessed 2016.
- 10 253. C. H. Davies *et al.*, A database of marine phytoplankton abundance, biomass and species composition in Australian waters. *Scientific data* **3**, (2016).
354. D. C. Hartnett, S. L. Collins, PVC02 Plant Species Composition on Selected Watersheds at Konza Prairie. Environmental Data Initiative. **Available at:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/7b6df00de4d0fcccfd344c02de9f9c62>, accessed 2017
- 15 (2016).
355. M. G. Bradford, H. T. Murphy, A. J. Ford, D. L. Hogan, D. J. Metcalfe, Long-term stem inventory data from tropical rain forest plots in Australia. *Ecology* **95**, 2362-2362 (2014).
356. P. Stapp, SGS-LTER Long-Term Monitoring Project: Small Mammals on Trapping Webs on the Central Plains Experimental Range, Nunn, Colorado, USA 1994 -2006, ARS Study Number 118. Environmental Data Initiative. **Available at:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/2e311b4e40fea38e573890f473807ba9>, accessed 2017
- 20 (2013).
357. J. G. Dickson, R. N. Conner, J. H. Williamson, Neotropical migratory bird communities in a developing pine plantation. *Proceedings on the Annual Conference. SEAFWA* **47**, 439-446
- 25 (1993).
358. D. C. Reed, "SBC LTER: Reef: Kelp Forest Community Dynamics: Fish abundance". Santa Barbara Coastal LTER. **Available at:** [doi:10.6073/pasta/e37ed29111b2fddffc08355252b8b8c7](https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/e37ed29111b2fddffc08355252b8b8c7), accessed 2016 (2014).
- 30 359. L. Tomiałojć, T. Wesołowski, Structure of a primaeval forest bird community during 1970s and 1990s (Białowieża National Park, Poland). *Acta Ornithologica* **31**, 133-154 (1996).
360. L. Tomiałojć, T. Wesołowski, Die Stabilität der Vogelgemeinschaft in einem Urwald der gemäßigten Zone: Ergebnisse einer 15jährigen Studie aus dem Nationalpark von Białowieża (Polen). *Beob* **91**, 73-110 (1994).
- 35 361. L. Tomiałojć, T. Wesołowski, W. Walankiewicz, Breeding bird community of a primaeval temperate forest (Białowieża National Park, Poland). *Acta ornithologica* **20**, 241-310 (1984).
362. T. Wesołowski *et al.*, 40 years of breeding bird community dynamics in a primeval temperate forest (Białowieża National Park, Poland). *Acta Ornithologica* **50**, 95-120 (2015).
363. T. Wesołowski, C. Mitrus, D. Czeszczewik, P. Rowiński, Breeding bird dynamics in a primeval temperate forest over thirty-five years: variation and stability in the changing world. *Acta Ornithologica* **45**, 209-232 (2010).
- 40 364. T. Wesołowski, P. Rowiński, C. Mitrus, D. Czeszczewik, Breeding bird community of a primeval temperate forest (Białowieża National Park, Poland) at the beginning of the 21st century. *Acta Ornithologica* **41**, 55-70 (2006).
- 45 365. T. Wesołowski, L. Tomiałojć, C. Mitrus, P. Rowiński, D. Czeszczewik, The breeding bird community of a primaeval temperate forest (Białowieża National Park, Poland) at the end of the 20th century. *Acta ornithologica* **37**, 27-45 (2002).
366. G. A. Hall, A long-term bird population study in an Appalachian spruce forest. *The Wilson Bulletin*, 228-240 (1984).
- 50 367. J. R. Goheen *et al.*, Piecewise disassembly of a large-herbivore community across a rainfall gradient: the UHURU experiment. *PLoS One* **8**, e55192 (2013).
368. T. R. Kartzinel *et al.*, Plant and small-mammal responses to large-herbivore exclusion in an African savanna: five years of the UHURU experiment. *Ecology* **95**, 787-787 (2014).

369. A. Enemar, B. Sjöstrand, G. Andersson, T. von Proschwitz, The 37-year dynamics of a subalpine passerine bird community, with special emphasis on the influence of environmental temperature and Epirrita autumnata cycles. *Ornis Svecica* **14**, 63-106 (2004).
- 5 370. H. A. Ruhl, N. B. Rybicki, Long-term reductions in anthropogenic nutrients link to improvements in Chesapeake Bay habitat. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 16566-16570 (2010).
371. T. J. Willis, "Hahei marine dataset (1997-2002), New Zealand fish". Institute of Marine Sciences, University of Portsmouth. Accessed 2016.
- 10 372. D. Lightfoot, "Lizard pitfall trap data (LTER-II, LTER-III)". Jornada Basin LTER. **Available at: <http://jornada.nmsu.edu/lter/dataset/49821/view>**, accessed 2016. (2013).
373. Monitoring Site 1000 Project, Biodiversity Center, M. o. E. o. Japan, "Monitoring site 1000 Coastal zone research - Tidal flat survey". (**HIG01.zip, downloaded from http://www.biodic.go.jp/moni1000/findings/data/index_file_tidalflats.html**), Accessed 2016. (2015).
- 15 374. Monitoring Site 1000 Project, Biodiversity Center, M. o. E. o. Japan, "Monitoring site 1000 Land waters research - Lakes: benthic animal research". (**LKbenthosDatapackage2014ver1.zip, downloaded from <http://www.biodic.go.jp/moni1000/findings/data/index.html>**), Accessed 2016. (2015).
- 20 375. Monitoring Site 1000 Project, Biodiversity Center, M. o. E. o. Japan, "Monitoring site 1000 Alpine research - Surface wandering beetles". (**KOZ07zip, downloaded from <http://www.biodic.go.jp/moni1000/findings/data/index.html>**), Accessed 2016. (2015).
376. Monitoring Site 1000 Project, Biodiversity Center, M. o. E. o. Japan, "Monitoring site 1000 Coastal zone research - Algae survey". (**MOB01.zip, downloaded from http://www.biodic.go.jp/moni1000/findings/data/index_file_algalbeds.html**), Accessed 25 2016. (2015).
377. Monitoring Site 1000 Project, Biodiversity Center, M. o. E. o. Japan, "Monitoring site 1000 Coastal zone research - Algae survey". (**MOB02.zip, downloaded from http://www.biodic.go.jp/moni1000/findings/data/index_file_algalbeds.html**), Accessed 2016. (2015).
- 30 378. Monitoring Site 1000 Project, Biodiversity Center, M. o. E. o. Japan, "Monitoring site 1000 Village survey - Bird survey data (2005-2012)". (**SAT02.zip, downloaded from <http://www.biodic.go.jp/moni1000/findings/data/index.html>**), Accessed 2016. (2014).
379. Monitoring Site 1000 Project, Biodiversity Center, M. o. E. o. Japan, "Monitoring site 1000 Village survey - Medium and large mammal survey data (2006-2012)". (**SAT03zip, downloaded from <http://www.biodic.go.jp/moni1000/findings/data/index.html>**), Accessed 35 2016. (2014).
380. Monitoring Site 1000 Project, Biodiversity Center, M. o. E. o. Japan, "Monitoring site 1000 Shorebird Survey" (**ShorebirdsDatapackage2012.zip, downloaded from <http://www.biodic.go.jp/moni1000/findings/data/index.html>**), Accessed 2016. (2013).
- 40 381. Monitoring Site 1000 Project, Biodiversity Center, M. o. E. o. Japan, "Monitoring site 1000 Forest and grassland research - Surface wandering beetles survey data". (**GBDataPackage2014ver1.zip, downloaded from <http://www.biodic.go.jp/moni1000/findings/data/index.html>**), Accessed 2016. (2014).
382. Monitoring Site 1000 Project, Biodiversity Center, M. o. E. o. Japan, "Monitoring site 1000 Forest and grassland research - Bird survey data". (**BirdData2009-B_ver20120328.zip, downloaded from <http://www.biodic.go.jp/moni1000/findings/data/index.html>**), Accessed 45 2016. (2014).
383. L. Benedetti-Cecchi, "Calafuria Mid-shore Intertidal Dataset (1991-2014)". Department of Biology, University of Pisa. **Accessed 2016.**
- 50 384. E. Pollard, Monitoring butterfly numbers. In: F. B. Goldsmith (ed). *Monitoring for Conservation and Ecology*. Chapman and Hall, (1991).
385. R. A. How, Long-term sampling of a herpetofaunal assemblage on an isolated urban bushland remnant, Bold Park, Perth. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* **81**, 143-148 (1998).

386. L. W. Krefting, C. E. Ahlgren, Small Mammals and Vegetation Changes After Fire in a Mixed Conifer-Hardwood Forest. *Ecology* **55**, 1391-1398 (1974).
387. T. Vrška, K. Král, D. Janík, D. Adam, “Natural Forests of the Czech Republic”. Available at: <http://naturalforests.cz/research>. accessed 2016.
- 5 388. Y. R. Suarez, “Brazil Dataset 6”, Mato Grosso do Sul State University. Accessed 2016.
389. D. C. Rossa-Feres, Community ecology of anura amphibia at Northwest region of Sao Paulo state, Brazil: microhabitat, seasonality, diet and multidimensional niche. State University of São Paulo, PhD thesis. Accessed 2016. (1997).
- 10 390. A. D. Fidelis, G., “Brazil Dataset 7”, Lab of Vegetation Ecology, Universidade Estadual Paulista. Accessed 2016.
391. A. Fidelis, “Brazil Dataset 8”, Lab of Vegetation Ecology, Universidade Estadual Paulista. Accessed 2016.
392. A. Fidelis, C. C. Blanco, S. C. Müller, V. D. Pillar, J. Pfadenhauer, Short-term changes caused by fire and mowing in Brazilian Campos grasslands with different long-term fire histories. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **23**, 552-562 (2012).
- 15 393. S. D. Ling *et al.*, Global regime shift dynamics of catastrophic sea urchin overgrazing. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* **370**, (2014).
394. A. R. Pérez-Matus, C. S. , Conicyt-Fondecyt Fish and Turf Algae Data”, Chile, Subtidal Ecology Laboratory, Estacion Costera de Investigaciones Marinas, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas. **Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile**, accessed 2016.
- 20 395. C.-H. Hsieh, “Ichthyoplankton data collected from Yenliao Bay in 6 stations northeast of Taiwan (1995-2000)”. Ecoinformatics Lab, Institute of Oceanography National Taiwan University. Accessed 2016.
- 25 396. S. C. Kendeigh, Bird populations in east central Illinois: Fluctuations, variations, and development over a half-century. *University of Illinois Press.*, (1982).
399. H. P. A. Sweatman *et al.*, Long-Term Monitoring of the Great Barrier Reef. Status Report Number 5. Available at: <http://www.iobis.org/mapper/?dataset=233>, accessed 2017 (2001).
- 30 400. W. Fraser, “At-sea seabird censuses. Data on the species encountered (including marine mammals), their abundance, distribution and behavior. Data collected aboard cruises off the coast of the Western Antarctic Peninsula, 1993 - present”. Palmer Station Antarctica LTER. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/e3871e749fa737dd94d5a269ac90e8ce>, accessed 2016. (2014).
- 35 401. S. Svensson, Species composition and population fluctuations of alpine bird communities during 38 years in the Scandinavian mountain range. *Ornis Svecica* **16**, 183-210 (2006).
402. J. Oliver, K. Prudic, S. Collinge, Boulder County Open Space butterfly diversity and abundance. *Ecology* **87**, 1066-1066 (2006).
403. Monitoring Site 1000 Project, Biodiversity Center, M. o. E. o. Japan, “Monitoring site 1000 Alpine research - Butterfly Survey”. (**KOZ06.zip, downloaded from <http://www.biodic.go.jp/moni1000/findings/data/index.html>**), Accessed 2016. (2015).
- 40 404. Monitoring Site 1000 Project, Biodiversity Center, M. o. E. o. Japan, “Monitoring site 1000 Alpine research - Bumblebee Survey”. (**KOZ08.zip, downloaded from <http://www.biodic.go.jp/moni1000/findings/data/index.html>**). Accessed 2016. (2015).
- 45 405. C. D. Stallings, A. Mickle, J. A. Nelson, M. G. McManus, C. C. Koenig, Faunal communities and habitat characteristics of the Big Bend seagrass meadows, 2009–2010. *Ecology* **96**, 304-304 (2015).
406. F. Pomati, B. Matthews, J. Jokela, A. Schildknecht, B. W. Ibelings, Effects of re-oligotrophication and climate warming on plankton richness and community stability in a deep mesotrophic lake. *Oikos* **121**, 1317-1327 (2012).
- 50 407. F. Pomati *et al.*, Challenges and prospects for interpreting long-term phytoplankton diversity changes in Lake Zurich (Switzerland). *Freshwater Biology* **60**, 1052-1059 (2015).
408. E. M. Olsen, S. M. Carlson, J. Gjøsæter, N. C. Stenseth, Nine decades of decreasing phenotypic variability in Atlantic cod. *Ecology Letters* **12**, 622-631 (2009).

409. L. A. Rogers *et al.*, Climate and population density drive changes in cod body size throughout a century on the Norwegian coast. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**, 1961-1966 (2011).
- 5 410. N. C. Stenseth *et al.*, Dynamics of coastal cod populations: intra- and intercohort density dependence and stochastic processes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **266**, 1645-1654 (1999).
411. C. Barceló, L. Ciannelli, E. M. Olsen, T. Johannessen, H. Knutsen, Eight decades of sampling reveal a contemporary novel fish assemblage in coastal nursery habitats. *Global Change Biology* **22**, 1155-1167 (2016).
- 10 412. C.-Y. Kuo *et al.*, Recurrent disturbances and the degradation of hard coral communities in Taiwan. *PloS one* **7**, e44364 (2012).
413. NIWA, "The New Zealand Freshwater Fish Database". Available at: <https://www.niwa.co.nz/our-services/online-services/freshwater-fish-database>, accessed 2016.
- 15 414. P. Budy, C. Luecke, J. O'Brien, "Zooplankton density for lake samples collected near Toolik Lake Arctic LTER in the summer from 1983 to 1992". Arctic Long-Term Ecological Research. Available at: <http://arc-lter.ecosystems.mbl.edu/1983-1992arclterzoops>, accessed 2016.
- 20 415. P. Budy, C. Luecke, J. O'Brien, "Zooplankton density for lake samples collected near Toolik Lake Arctic LTER in the summers between 1993-2002". Arctic Long-Term Ecological Research. Available at: <http://arc-lter.ecosystems.mbl.edu/1993-2002arctclterzoops>, accessed 2016.
416. D. McKnight, J. Priscu, E. Keeley, N. Tursich, "Summer Phytoplankton Densities 1992-2001". McMurdo Dry Valleys LTER. Available at: <http://mcm.lternet.edu/content/summer-phytoplankton-densities-1992-2001>, accessed 2016.
- 25 417. D. Steinberg, Zooplankton collected with a 2-m, 700-um net towed from surface to 120 m, aboard Palmer Station Antarctica LTER annual cruises off the western antarctic peninsula, 2009 - 2016. Environmental Data Initiative. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/fb658789188724be5f27c81a634647d5>, accessed 2017 (2017).
- 30 418. A. Hoey, "Karimunjawa WCS fish data". Accessed 2016.
419. A. Hoey, "Aceh WCS fish 2010-16". Accessed 2016.
420. A. Hoey, "Aceh WCS fish surveys". Accessed 2016.
- 35 421. V. D. Zakharov, Biodiversity of bird population of terrestrial habitats in Southern Ural. Miass: IGZ. *Ural Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences*, 158 p (1998).
422. N. N. Berezovikov, The birds of settlements in Markakol Depression (Southern Altai). *Russian Ornithological Journal* **249**, 3-15 (2004).
423. Y. I. Melnikov, Melnikova, N. & Pronkevich, V. V., Migration of birds of prey in the mouth of the river Irkut. *Russian Ornithological Journal* **108**, 3-17. (2000).
- 40 424. V. G. Krivenko, *Waterfowl and their protection*. Moscow (Agropromizdat Publishers, 271 p, 1991).
425. A. B. Jalilov, Andreychev, A. V. & Kuznetsov, V. A., Monitoring and conservation of medium and large mammals in Chamzinsky District of the Republic of Mordovia. *Vestnik of Lobachevsky University of Nizhni. Novgorod* **4** (1), 222-227. (2014).
- 45 426. V. Y. Nedosekin, Long-term dynamics of the population and the quantity of small mammals under conditions of the reserve "Galichya Gora". *Proceedings of National Nature Reserve Prisursky* **30**, 87-90 (2015).
427. Y. S. Malyshev, On the diagnostic techniques of ranks of the number dynamics cycles of small mammals. *Baikal Zoological Journal* **1** (6), 92-106 (2011).
- 50 428. B. I. Sheftel *et al.*, Population dynamics of small mammals at Western Khentey during ten years. *Proceedings of the international conference Ecological consequences of biosphere processes in the ecotone zone of southern Siberia* vol. I, Oral reports, 230-233. (2010).

429. G. M. G. Gavrilov, I. A., The composition and community structure of benthic fish in the economic zone of Russia Bering sea on the results of studies of “TINRO centre” in 2005-2012 years. *Modern problems of science and education* **11**, 37–49. (2013).
- 5 430. A. Khoruzhiy, S. Naydenko, Species structure and year-to-year dynamics of nekton biomass in the upper epipelagic layer of the Pacific waters at Kuril Islands in summer periods of the 2000s. *Izv. Tikhookean. Nauchno-Issled. Inst. Rybn. Khoz. Okeanogr* **176**, 16-36 (2014).
431. E. B. Fefilova *et al.*, Long-Term Changes of Aquatic Communities in the Kharbeyskie Lakes. *Journal of Siberian Federal University. Biology* **7**, 240 (2014).
- 10 432. L. T. Lancaster, R. Fitt, “unpublished Damsel selfly abundance data”, University of Aberdeen. **Accessed 2016.**
433. K. S. Tkachenko, B.-J. Wu, L.-S. Fang, T.-Y. Fan, Dynamics of a coral reef community after mass mortality of branching *Acropora* corals and an outbreak of anemones. *Marine Biology* **151**, 185-194 (2007).
434. C.-Y. Kuo, “unpublished data from a tropical coral reef in Taiwan”. **Accessed 2016.**
- 15 435. C.-Y. Kuo, “Scleractinian Coral Dataset”, ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University, Australia. **Accessed 2016.**
436. S. Thorn *et al.*, Changes in the dominant assembly mechanism drive species loss caused by declining resources. *Ecology letters* **19**, 163-170 (2016).
- 20 437. S. Thorn *et al.*, New insights into the consequences of post-windthrow salvage logging revealed by functional structure of saproxylic beetles assemblages. *PloS one* **9**, e101757 (2014).
438. S. Thorn *et al.*, Response of bird assemblages to windstorm and salvage logging—Insights from analyses of functional guild and indicator species. *Ecological Indicators* **65**, 142-148 (2016).
- 25 439. F. Neat, N. Campbell, Demersal fish diversity of the isolated Rockall plateau compared with the adjacent west coast shelf of Scotland. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **104**, 138-147 (2011).
440. L. Pombo, M. Elliott, J. E. Rebelo, Environmental influences on fish assemblage distribution of an estuarine coastal lagoon, Ria de Aveiro (Portugal). *Scientia marina* **69**, 143-159 (2005).
- 30 441. L. Pombo, J. Rebelo, M. Elliott, The structure, diversity and somatic production of the fish community in an estuarine coastal lagoon, Ria de Aveiro (Portugal). *Hydrobiologia* **587**, 253-268 (2007).
442. L. Pombo, J. E. Rebelo, Spatial and temporal organization of a coastal lagoon fish community-Ria de Aveiro, Portugal. *Cybiurn* **26**, 185-196 (2002).
- 35 443. J. E. Rebelo, The ichthyofauna and abiotic hydrological environment of the Ria de Aveiro, Portugal. *Estuaries* **15**, 403-413 (1992).
444. M. C. Kenner *et al.*, A multi-decade time series of kelp forest community structure at San Nicolas Island, California (USA). *Ecology* **94**, 2654-2654 (2013).
- 40 445. D. J. Kushner, A. Rassweiler, J. P. McLaughlin, K. D. Lafferty, A multi-decade time series of kelp forest community structure at the California Channel Islands. *Ecology* **94**, 2655-2655 (2013).
446. E. Muldavin, S. L. Collins, Prescribed Burn Effect on Chihuahuan Desert Grasses and Shrubs at the Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge, New Mexico: Species Composition Study 2004 to present. Sevilleta LTER. **Available at: <http://sev.lternet.edu/data/sev-166>**, accessed 2016.
- 45 447. J. Anderson, L. Vermeire, P. B. Adler, Fourteen years of mapped, permanent quadrats in a northern mixed prairie, USA. *Ecology* **92**, 1703-1703 (2011).
448. O. Hogstad, in *Annales Zoologici Fennici*. (JSTOR, 1993), pp. 43-54.
- 50 449. B. A. Richardson, The bromeliad microcosm and the assessment of faunal diversity in a neotropical forest. *Biotropica* **31**, 321-336 (1999).
450. J. G. Douglass, K. E. France, J. P. Richardson, J. E. Duffy, Seasonal and interannual change in a Chesapeake Bay eelgrass community: Insights into biotic and abiotic control of community structure. *Limnology and Oceanography* **55**, 1499-1520 (2010).

451. J. S. Lefcheck, The use of functional traits to elucidate the causes and consequences of biological diversity. The College of William & Mary, PhD thesis. **Available at:** <http://gradworks.umi.com/36/62/3662989.html>, accessed 2016. (2015).
- 5 452. R. Wagner, J. Marxsen, P. Zwick, E. J. Cox, *Central European Stream Ecosystems: The Long Term Study of the Breitenbach*. (John Wiley & Sons, 2011).
453. R. Rinnan, S. Stark, A. Tolvanen, Responses of vegetation and soil microbial communities to warming and simulated herbivory in a subarctic heath. *Journal of Ecology* **97**, 788-800 (2009).
- 10 454. H. Yläne, S. Stark, A. Tolvanen, Vegetation shift from deciduous to evergreen dwarf shrubs in response to selective herbivory offsets carbon losses: evidence from 19 years of warming and simulated herbivory in the subarctic tundra. *Global change biology* **21**, 3696-3711 (2015).
455. S. Elmendorf, Global Tundra Vegetation Change-30 years of plant abundance data from unmanipulated and experimentally-warmed plots. *CCIN ref*, (2012).
- 15 456. S. C. Elmendorf *et al.*, Global assessment of experimental climate warming on tundra vegetation: heterogeneity over space and time. *Ecology letters* **15**, 164-175 (2012).
457. S. C. Elmendorf *et al.*, Plot-scale evidence of tundra vegetation change and links to recent summer warming. *Nature Climate Change* **2**, 453-457 (2012).
- 20 458. S. C. Elmendorf *et al.*, Experiment, monitoring, and gradient methods used to infer climate change effects on plant communities yield consistent patterns. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**, 448-452 (2015).
459. R. D. Hollister *et al.*, Warming experiments elucidate the drivers of observed directional changes in tundra vegetation. *Ecology and Evolution* **5**, 1881-1895 (2015).
- 25 460. B. Institute of Agricultural and Fisheries research (ILVO), Macrobenothos monitoring at long-term monitoring stations in the Belgian part of the North Sea between 1979 and 1999. **Available at:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.14284/201>, accessed 2016. (2016).
461. B. Institute of Agricultural and Fisheries research (ILVO), Macrobenothos monitoring at long-term monitoring stations in the Belgian part of the North Sea from 2001 on. **Available at:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.14284/202>, accessed 2016. (2016).
- 30 462. B. Institute of Agricultural and Fisheries research (ILVO), Epibenthos and demersal fish monitoring at long-term monitoring stations in the Belgian part of the North Sea. **Available at:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.14284/54>, accessed 2016. (2015).
463. K. D. Woods, Multi-decade biomass dynamics in an old-growth hemlock-northern hardwood forest, Michigan, USA. *PeerJ* **2**, e598 (2014).
- 35 464. J. S. Madin *et al.*, "Lizard Island Trimodal Coral 2015 - 2016". **Accessed 2017**.
465. J. Belmaker, Y. Ziv, N. Shashar, The influence of connectivity on richness and temporal variation of reef fishes. *Landscape ecology* **26**, 587-597 (2011).
466. D. Edelist, G. Rilov, D. Golani, J. T. Carlton, E. Spanier, Restructuring the Sea: profound shifts in the world's most invaded marine ecosystem. *Diversity and Distributions* **19**, 69-77 (2013).
- 40 467. I. van Rijn, "Trawl fisheries in the Israeli Mediterranean 1980-2013". Accessed 2016.
468. C. M. Lee, S.-S. Kim, T.-S. Kwon, Butterfly fauna in Mount Gariwang-san, Korea. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Biodiversity* **9**, 198-204 (2016).
469. G. B. G. Souza, M. Vianna, "Demersal fish hauls from Guanabara Bay, Brazil 2005-2015". Accessed 2017.
- 45 470. R. Hundt, Ökologisch-geobotanische Untersuchungen an den mitteldeutschen Wiesengesellschaften unter besonderer Berücksichtigung ihres Wasserhaushaltes und ihrer Veränderung durch die Intensivbewirtschaftung im Rahmen der Großflächenproduktion. Biosphärenreservat Rhön, Thüringen. *Monografie* **3**, 366 (2001).
- 50 471. U. Jandt, H. Bruelheide, German vegetation reference database (GVRD). *Biodiversity & Ecology* **4**, 355-355 (2012).
472. W. J. Matthews, E. Marsh-Matthews, *Stream Fish Community Dynamics: A Critical Synthesis*. (JHU Press, 2017).
473. W. J. Matthews, E. Marsh-Matthews. (Dryad Data Repository, 2017).

474. R. S. Bovendorp *et al.*, Atlantic small-mammal: a dataset of communities of rodents and marsupials of the Atlantic forests of South America. *Ecology* **98**, 2226-2226 (2017).
475. C. D. Mendenhall, D. S. Karp, C. F. Meyer, E. A. Hadly, G. C. Daily, Predicting biodiversity change and averting collapse in agricultural landscapes. *Nature* **509**, 213 (2014).
- 5 476. C. F. Meyer, J. Fründ, W. P. Lizano, E. K. Kalko, Ecological correlates of vulnerability to fragmentation in Neotropical bats. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **45**, 381-391 (2008).
477. C. F. Meyer, E. K. Kalko, Bat assemblages on Neotropical land-bridge islands: nested subsets and null model analyses of species co-occurrence patterns. *Diversity and Distributions* **14**, 644-654 (2008).
- 10 478. C. F. Meyer, E. K. Kalko, Assemblage-level responses of phyllostomid bats to tropical forest fragmentation: land-bridge islands as a model system. *Journal of Biogeography* **35**, 1711-1726 (2008).
479. E. M. Sampaio, E. K. Kalko, E. Bernard, B. Rodríguez-Herrera, C. O. Handley, A biodiversity assessment of bats (Chiroptera) in a tropical lowland rainforest of Central Amazonia, including methodological and conservation considerations. *Studies on Neotropical fauna and environment* **38**, 17-31 (2003).
- 15 480. F. Z. Farneda *et al.*, Functional recovery of Amazonian bat assemblages following secondary forest succession. *Biological Conservation* **218**, 192-199 (2018).
481. R. Rocha, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal., (2017).
- 20 482. R. Rocha *et al.*, Consequences of a large-scale fragmentation experiment for Neotropical bats: disentangling the relative importance of local and landscape-scale effects. *Landscape Ecology* **32**, 31-45 (2017).
483. R. Rocha *et al.*, Secondary forest regeneration benefits old-growth specialist bats in a fragmented tropical landscape. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 3819 (2018).

25

Acknowledgments: We thank all the authors who contributed their data, or gave us permission to include it in this study. Mary O'Connor helped in securing the funding for the working group and

30 contributed to discussions and editing the manuscript drafts. Many working group members contributed to projects in various roles across multiple meetings, and were influential in shaping the discussions and ideas that led to this paper. Those not listed as authors here include: Mary O'Connor, Juliano Sarmiento Cabral, Jillian Dunic and Robin Elahi. We thank Christian Krause and the UFZ administrative and support staff of the High-Performance Computing Cluster EVE, a joint effort of

35 the Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research (UFZ) and iDiv, for access to EVE. SB thanks Yoni Belmaker and the Marine Ecology & Biodiversity lab at Tel Aviv University. SRS thanks MAS and HAS for bookending the ideas and research that gestated to become this manuscript. **Funding:** This work was supported by funding to the sChange working group through sDiv, the synthesis centre of iDiv, the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research Halle-Jena-Leipzig, funded by the

40 German Research Foundation (FZT 118). SB, HB, JMC, JH and MW were supported by the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig. SRS was supported by

National Science Foundation grant 1400911. LHA was supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, Portugal (POPH/FSE SFRH/BD/90469/2012), and by the Jane and Aatos Erkkö Foundation. MD was supported by a Leverhulme Trust Fellowship. AEM, FM and MD were supported by ERC AdG BioTIME 250189 and PoC BioCHANGE 727440. AG is supported by the
5 Liber Ero Chair in Biodiversity Conservation.

Supplementary Materials

Materials and Methods

Fig S1 – S18

10 Table S1

References (60 - 483)

Author contributions: MD conceived the project. SB, SRS, and MD led the development of the project, assisted with data analysis and interpretation, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. SB
15 and SRS collaborated on the core data preparation and coding in R. SB designed the analytical models and prepared the figures for the manuscript. FM managed the BioTIME database, queried it for the analysis, and provided help with figures. All authors contributed to the sDiv working group that conceived this project, to key discussions that led to the design of the study, and helped with revising the paper and preparing and approving it for publication. MD and SRS secured the funding from sDiv
20 and co-led the sChange working group (with Mary O'Connor) that initiated the project. **Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing interests. **Data and materials availability:** The time series analysed were from 332 unique references found in the BioTIME dataset and in other studies that were used with permission. Approximately 92% (306 references) of the biodiversity studies analysed here are available as part of the published BioTIME Database²⁸. The data are openly
25 available, and can be accessed on Zenodo (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2602708>) or through the BioTIME website (<http://biotime.st-andrews.ac.uk/>). The remaining 8% (26 references) of biodiversity studies analysed were used with permission. Data from these studies are published and

publicly available outside of the BioTIME database, and are noted with an asterisk in the Supplementary Materials, Table S1, with the original reference and online source for download. Code is available on an online archive at Zenodo: (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1473860>).

Figure 1. Species richness change maps showing departures from the overall trend for marine and terrestrial biomes. Inset shows the overall trend in assemblage species richness change; bar depicts 50% (thick) and 90% (thin) credible intervals. Shading on the map represents positive (blue; faster increases in species richness than average) and negative (red; slower increases in species richness than average) departures for each biome from the overall average species richness change (0.004 log species per year). Numbers in the legend denote the departure and the biome-level (overall + departure) estimate in brackets. 90% credible intervals for all biome level estimates overlap zero. **A**, Marine biomes (n = 33) show both positive and negative departures from the overall trend, with more negative departures in the tropics, whereas there are no latitudinal trends in **B**, terrestrial (n = 10) and freshwater (n = 5) biomes, which also show both positive and negative departures from the overall trend.

Figure 2: Posterior distributions of species richness change for the realm-latitude-taxon model. The overall trend in assemblage richness change (solid vertical bar) does not differ from zero (grey shading depicts the 90% credible interval) for the realm-latitude-taxon-study model. **A**, Density ridges of the slope coefficients of the taxon-level color represents the taxonomic group, and **B**, density ridges of the posterior distributions of the study-level slope coefficients within a given combination of realm and latitudinal band estimated with the realm-latitude-taxon model.

Figure 3. Species turnover component maps showing departures from the overall trend for marine and terrestrial biomes. Assemblages across the globe are experiencing high rates of species replacement (median ~28% of species replaced per decade). Shading represents positive (blue; faster turnover than average) and negative (red, slower turnover than average) departures from the overall trend for each biome; numbers in the legend denote the departure and the biome-level (overall + departure) estimate in brackets. **A**, Rates of new species replacing original species have both faster (blue) and slower rates of turnover (red) from the overall trend in marine biomes, but included the

biomes with the highest turnover rates: the 90% credible intervals in the warm temperate north western Atlantic, warm temperate south western Atlantic, and the north west Australian shelf biomes were greater than the overall trend; whereas **B**, terrestrial and freshwater biomes have mostly slower rates of turnover than the overall trend (red shading), and the 90% credible intervals for temperate broadleaf and mixed forests, temperate conifer forests and tropical and subtropical moist broadleaf forests were lower than the overall trend.

Figure 4. Posterior distributions of turnover for the realm-latitude-taxon model. The overall trend in turnover change per year is greater than zero (solid black line; grey shading depicts the 90% credible interval) for the realm-latitude-taxon-study model. **A**, Density ridges of the taxon-level slope coefficients, color represents the taxonomic group, and **B**, density ridges of the study-level slope coefficients within a given combination of realm and latitudinal band estimated with the realm-latitude-taxon model.

Figure 5. Conceptual and empirical relationships between changes in species richness and changes in species composition. **A**, Conceptual model relating the turnover and nestedness

components of species composition change (Δ dissimilarity) to changes in species richness (ΔS). When the turnover component is larger than the nestedness component, new species entering assemblages replace the original species (purple shaded boxes). Conversely, when the nestedness component is larger than the turnover component, some original species of the assemblage remain, and the numbers of new species entering the assemblage are largely independent of the original species (pink shaded boxes). The change in species richness documents the net change in the numbers of species in the assemblage (and ignores their identity as either original or new species). **B**, Scatterplot showing the dissimilarity trend as a function of the species richness trend. Each point represents a biome-taxon-study level estimate, point shape indicates realm, and larger points indicate that both composition and richness trends differed from zero with 90% probability (CIs not shown for clarity). **C**, The number of studies for each combination of change in species richness and species composition (measured as either the turnover, J_{tu} , or nestedness, J_{ne} , component of Jaccard dissimilarity). Filled sections of each bar represent the number of biome-taxon-study combinations

where the 90% credible intervals for both species richness (S) and composition change (Jtu or Jne) did not overlap zero.