

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Sex, age, and handedness modulate the neural correlates of active learning

Citation for published version:

Nair, S, Nenert, RE, Allendorfer, JB, Goodman, AM, Vannest, J, Mirman, D & Szaflarski, JP 2019, 'Sex, age, and handedness modulate the neural correlates of active learning', Frontiers in Neuroscience, vol. 13, 961, pp. 1-20. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2019.00961

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.3389/fnins.2019.00961

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Frontiers in Neuroscience

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.







Sex, Age, and Handedness Modulate the Neural Correlates of Active Learning

Sangeeta Nair^{1,2*}, Rodolphe E. Nenert¹, Jane B. Allendorfer¹, Adam M. Goodman¹, Jennifer Vannest³, Daniel Mirman⁴ and Jerzy P. Szaflarski¹

¹ Department of Neurology, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, United States, ² Department of Psychology, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, United States, ³ Department of Pediatrics, Division of Neurology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Pediatric Neuroimaging Research Consortium, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH, United States, ⁴ Department of Psychology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Lynne E. Bernstein, The George Washington University, United States

Reviewed by:

Joseph Andreano, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, United States Scott A. Beardsley, Marquette University, United States

> *Correspondence: Sangeeta Nair snair1@uab.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Auditory Cognitive Neuroscience, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neuroscience

Received: 23 January 2019 Accepted: 27 August 2019 Published: 11 September 2019

Citation:

Nair S, Nenert RE, Allendorfer JB, Goodman AM, Vannest J, Mirman D and Szaflarski JP (2019) Sex, Age, and Handedness Modulate the Neural Correlates of Active Learning. Front. Neurosci. 13:961. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2019.00961 **Background:** Self-generation of material compared to passive learning results in mproved memory performance; this may be related to recruitment of a fronto-temporal encoding network. Using a verbal paired-associate learning fMRI task, we examined the effects of sex, age, and handedness on the neural correlates of self-generation.

Methods: Data from 174 healthy English-speaking participants (78M, 56 atypically handed; ages 19–76) were preprocessed using AFNI and FSL. Independent component analysis was conducted using GIFT (Group ICA fMRI Toolbox). Forty-one independent components were temporally sorted by task time series. Retaining correlations (r > 0.25) resulted in three task-positive ("generate") and three task-negative ("read") components. Using participants' back-projected components, we evaluated the effects of sex, handedness, and aging on activation lateralization and localization in task-relevant networks with two-sample *t*-tests. Further, we examined the linear relationship between sex and neuroimaging data with multiple regression, covarying for scanner, age, and handedness.

Results: Task-positive components identified using ICA revealed a fronto-parietal network involved with self-generation, while task-negative components reflecting passive reading showed temporo-occipital involvement. Compared to older adults, younger adults exhibited greater task-positive involvement of the left inferior frontal gyrus and insula, whereas older adults exhibited reduced prefrontal lateralization. Greater involvement of the left angular gyrus in task-positive encoding networks among right-handed individuals suggests the reliance on left dominant semantic processing areas may be modulated by handedness. Sex effects on task-related encoding networks while controlling for age and handedness suggest increased right hemisphere recruitment

1

among males compared to females, specifically in the paracentral lobe during selfgeneration and the suparmarginal gyrus during passive reading.

Implications: Identified neuroimaging differences suggest that sex, age, and handedness are factors in the differential recruitment of encoding network regions for both passive and active learning.

Keywords: associate learning, fMRI, handedness, sex, age, verbal memory

INTRODUCTION

Active and passive learning are the mainstays of acquiring new knowledge. Active learning involves thoughtful analysis of, and engagement with, new content. Reading complete information is a form of passive learning that does not require engaging with the material. The benefits of active learning have been well studied, and active engagement in the classroom has been widely shown to improve retention of information, academic achievement, and self-esteem (Schefft and Biederman, 1990; Springer et al., 1999; Prince, 2004).

Active Learning

Actively learning and memorizing new verbal information has been shown to improve retention of information compared to passive reading (McDaniel et al., 1988; Olofsson and Nilsson, 1992). Self-generation is a type of active learning that involves the discovery and production of an item based on incomplete information. During self-generation, the individual generates the target item based on a cue, or a piece of information that aids in retrieval of the target item (Jacoby, 1978). For example, the use of mnemonic devices can aid in retrieval and bolster memory of target items among aging adults (Hill et al., 1990; Derwinger et al., 2005). There is a consensus that such active participation leads to improved outcomes compared to passive participation among healthy individuals across a range of domains including memory, coordination of selective attention, mood state, self-esteem, and generalization of new knowledge (Schefft and Biederman, 1990; Walsh et al., 1995; Markant et al., 2016). Self-generation techniques have been shown to also improve memory across numerous clinical groups, including Alzheimer's disease and dementia (Lipinska et al., 1994; Souliez et al., 1996; Barrett et al., 2000), Parkinson's disease (Barrett et al., 2000), traumatic braininjury (Schefft et al., 2008a), epilepsy (Schefft et al., 2008b), and aphasia (Marshall et al., 1994).

The benefit of active over passive learning is due to the nature of the process itself: generation is a problem-solving task where one obtains the solution by engaging in a series of operations (e.g., finding relations among cues). Several cognitive mechanisms by which generation improves retention have been proposed (Otten et al., 2001; Craik, 2002; Nyberg, 2002). For example, the process of active self-generation increases distinctiveness or relevance of target words compared to passively read words and thus increases retention of the target words (McDaniel et al., 1988; Walsh et al., 1995). Or, enhanced memory may be the result of improved self-esteem by having successfully solved a problem (Olofsson and Nilsson, 1992). Enhanced retention and memory from self-generation may also be due to a deeper level of cognitive processing required for active compared to passive reading (Craik and Lockhart, 1972; Craik, 2002; Lespinet-Najib et al., 2004).

Neural Correlates of Active Learning and Deep Semantic Processing

Neuroimaging studies have reported recruitment of a frontal and medial temporal encoding network during tasks requiring a deeper level of processing (Otten et al., 2001; Nyberg, 2002; Binder and Desai, 2011). Across a range of tasks that demand deep semantic processing and attention, greater activity in the left prefrontal cortex has been associated with better memory performance (Kapur et al., 1994; Shallice et al., 1994; Demb et al., 1995; Buckner et al., 1999; McDermott et al., 1999). Additional cortical and subcortical regions implicated in successful encoding of new information include bilateral lingual, fusiform, inferior frontal, and parahippocampal gyri, premotor and medial parietal cortices, anterior cingulate cortex, thalami, and left insula (Kapur et al., 1995; Fletcher and Henson, 2001; Szaflarski et al., 2004; Kim, 2011). One neuroimaging study examined brain areas involved in shallow vs. deep semantic processing to suggest the bilateral inferior prefrontal cortex and left anterior and posterior hippocampus to be differentially activated depending on the depth of processing, particularly in verbal memory encoding (Otten et al., 2001). Successful non-semantic encoding (using an alphabetical task) was shown to recruit a specific subset of these brain regions (Otten et al., 2001). Similar studies employing tasks isolating semantic vs. shallow processing have found that deeper semantic processing was associated with increased activity in left prefrontal regions (Kapur et al., 1994; Grady et al., 1998; Buckner et al., 2000; Cabeza and Nyberg, 2000). It has been suggested that encoding of verbal/semantic information may be left lateralized, while non-verbal encoding (e.g., scenes) may involve bilateral recruitment (Nyberg et al., 1996).

Lateralization effects have also been seen with episodic memory encoding and retrieval as described via the HERA model (Hemispheric Encoding/Retrieval Asymmetry; Tulving et al., 1994; Nyberg et al., 1996). Originally described in young participants, the HERA model describes hemispheric asymmetry of the prefrontal cortex (PFC): specifically, greater involvement of the left PFC during encoding of episodic long-term memory and recruitment of right PFC during retrieval of episodic long-term memory (Tulving et al., 1994). However, the HERA model did not generalize to older populations, where bilateral PFC involvement was seen during both encoding and retrieval stages of an episodic memory task (Cabeza et al., 1997a,b). Lateralization may also be related to age (Szaflarski et al., 2006, 2012; Allendorfer et al., 2012b), as described by the HAROLD (Hemispheric Asymmetry Reduction in OLder ADults) model of functional lateralization (Cabeza, 2002; Nenert et al., 2018; see section "Age-Related Changes in Self-Generation").

Active learning is a top-down approach to problem solving that, depending on the task, can involve a range of cognitive functions including attention, cognitive effort, item distinctiveness, working memory, and semantic and conceptual processing (Rosner et al., 2013). It engages a wide range of cognitive functions, suggesting distributed and highly connected networks. Theories of executive control and working memory suggest prefrontal regulation of posterior brain activity (Miller and Cohen, 2001; Shimamura, 2008), and activation of a broad fronto-temporal network has been supported by neuroimaging findings (Otten et al., 2001; Nyberg, 2002; Kirchhoff and Buckner, 2006; Qin et al., 2007). Specifically, studies of encoding and retrieval have reported recruitment of inferior frontal gyrus (IFG; long-term memory; Poldrack et al., 1999; Baker et al., 2001; Bookheimer, 2002; Liakakis et al., 2011), dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (manipulation of visuospatial information and long-term memory formation; Frith et al., 1991; Paller and Wagner, 2002), cingulate gyrus (conflict monitoring, attention; van Veen et al., 2001; Botvinick et al., 2004), middle temporal gyrus (verbal or item analysis; Cabeza and Nyberg, 2000; Binder et al., 2009), and parahippocampal areas (memory; Otten et al., 2001). Further, a meta-analysis of successful memory effects indicated broad involvement of the fronto-temporal network including the left inferior frontal cortex/insula, bilateral fusiform cortex, and left medial temporal cortex (Kim, 2011).

Finally, one study examined active learning processes via paired-associates encoding and verbal self-generated responses in an fMRI task (Vannest et al., 2012), and the results were consistent with the depth of processing literature (Tulving et al., 1994). The nature of the self-generation condition forces participants to access knowledge of various semantic elements of the cue and target words, leading to deeper cognitive processing than the reading condition. These results supported previous behavioral findings of improved memory performance in self-generation, as well as participation of left lateralized fronto-parietal areas during active encoding (Vannest et al., 2012, 2015).

Sex Differences of Memory and Language: Lateralization and Depth of Processing

Sex differences in the lateralization of memory and language domains have been previously identified (Shaywitz et al., 1995). One study investigating phonological processing found that males showed strong left lateralized activation in the IFG while females had more diffuse, bilateral involvement (Shaywitz et al., 1995). In semantic tasks, females typically show widespread right hemispheric involvement whereas males show strongly left lateralized activity (Kimura, 1983; Pugh et al., 1996; Jaeger et al., 1998; Phillips et al., 2000). While cognitive strategies may differ between sexes, males and females frequently perform similarly on behavioral measures (Shaywitz et al., 1995; Berenbaum et al., 1997; Weiss et al., 2006). However, females do show some advantages in verbal memory, verbal fluency and production, and tasks with meaningful, semantic content (Kimura and Clarke, 2002; Kimura and Seal, 2003; Andreano and Cahill, 2009). One study examined the effect of sex when controlling for memory performance in a verb generation task (Allendorfer et al., 2012a). Their results suggested that both sexes display similar activation patterns when controlling for in-scanner performance, though minor differences were observed (Allendorfer et al., 2012a).

An event-related potential (ERP study) examining the dynamics of passive language processing found that the temporal characteristics of the early stages of lexical-semantic encoding are similar among both sexes (Wirth et al., 2006). However, differences in higher-order, controlled semantic processing suggest females engage in a deeper level of processing compared to males, demonstrating faster processing of related words (as measured by the N400). There is also support for differential organization of information across sexes during verbal learning tasks (Kramer et al., 1988; Sunderaraman et al., 2013) suggesting different processing strategies during encoding (Mulligan and Lozito, 2004).

Age-Related Changes in Self-Generation

Aging has been suggested to impact the lateralization of language networks underlying semantic processing (Szaflarski et al., 2006, 2012; Allendorfer et al., 2012b). The HAROLD model proposes that language functions in the brain become less lateralized with age, which may be due to a compensatory mechanism during aging (compensation view), or increased difficulty recruiting domain-specific neural networks (dedifferentiation view; Cabeza, 2002). Initially developed with respect to pre-frontal activity, the HAROLD model may also be generalized to temporal and parietal brain areas (Bellis et al., 2000; Grady et al., 2000, 2002).

An investigation into age-related changes in the neural bases of encoding found that although overall memory performance of self-generated words decreased among older individuals, self-generated words were better remembered compared to read words across all age groups (Vannest et al., 2015). In this study, age-related decreases in connectivity of networks associated with self-generation did not correspond to a decrease in memory performance, suggesting that these networks may be less affected by age-related dedifferentiation (Cabeza, 2002). One example of dedifferentiation may be reduced lateralization seen in older adults during implicit memory tests (Bergerbest et al., 2008), where they tend to show bilateral brain activation in domains that younger adults show strong unilateral activation (Logan et al., 2002; Rosen et al., 2002; Morcom et al., 2003; Szaflarski et al., 2006).

Impact of Handedness on Semantic Encoding and Retrieval

Handedness and language lateralization are linked genetically (Szaflarski et al., 2002, 2012). One study theorized that atypical-handers (left- or mixed-handers) may have a retrieval advantage over right handers due to higher dependence of these processes on interhemispheric communication (Christman and Propper, 2001; Prichard et al., 2013). Increased right

hemispheric engagement among atypical-handers is supported by studies showing a relationship between handedness and corpus callosum volume where larger volume is associated with atypical-handedness (Habib et al., 1991; Witelson and Goldsmith, 1991; Luders et al., 2010). While encoding of verbal information involves left prefrontal areas, retrieval of that information recruits right prefrontal areas (Tulving et al., 1994). This suggests that increased access to the right hemisphere may aid in retrieval, consistent with the HERA model (Tulving et al., 1994; Propper and Christman, 2004; Propper et al., 2005; Chu et al., 2012). However, a recent study did not find any handedness-related behavioral differences in working memory tasks though their findings of an advantage among atypical handers during episodic retrieval were consistent with previous literature (Sahu et al., 2016).

In view of the available studies, our main objective was to examine the neural correlates of semantic learning during selfgeneration and investigate the role sex may play in brain network engagement during this process. We investigate these questions within a two-level analysis framework. The first level of analysis identified task-related networks using a hypothesis-independent source separation technique, independent component analysis (ICA), and by temporally sorting components based on the task time series. In the second level analyses, we compared subject component maps for the identified task-related networks in a series of subsequent hypothesis-driven analyses regarding the role sex, age, and handedness may play in the recruitment of these task-related networks (Bartels and Zeki, 2005). We hypothesized that results would show sex differences in support of a left lateralized language network among males and more widespread, bilateral processing among females, associated with similar behavioral outcomes. The present study also investigated the role age and handedness may play in active encoding, and whether any differences remain among sexes when taking these variables into account. We hypothesized that the selfgeneration process would be affected by these factors in such a way that age would affect the robustness or degree of connectivity in fronto-parietal, task-related networks. In addition, potential compensatory mechanisms may play a role in performance if these factors impact self-generation and active encoding.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Participants were 174 native English-speaking adults (96 female; 56 atypically handed; ages 19–76) with no history of neurological or psychiatric disorders (**Table 1**). Handedness was determined using the derived laterality quotient from the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (Oldfield, 1971). Participants were coded categorically as follows: atypically handed from -100 to +49 and right-handed from +50 to +100. The Institutional Review Boards at the University of Cincinnati, the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, and the University of Alabama at Birmingham approved this project (NIH R01-NS04828), and all participants provided written informed consent.

TABLE 1 | Participant demographics.

	Male	Female	All		
	participants	participants	participants		
	(N = 78)	(N = 96)	(N = 174)		
Age					
Mean (SD)	40.71 (14.1)	41.66 (15.0)	41.23 (14.6)		
Min – max	19 – 74	19 – 76	19 – 76		
Handedness (#)					
Right	54	64	118		
Atypical	24	32	56		
In-scanner perfor	mance (%)				
Read					
Correct	71.3%	87.9%	80.6%		
Incorrect	24.0%	11.8%	17.1%		
No response	4.8%	0.25%	2.3%		
Generate					
Correct	58.2%	71.0%	65.3%		
Incorrect	31.2%	20.0%	24.9%		
No response	10.7%	9.0%	9.7%		
Post-test accurac	су (%)				
Read	70.7%	74.0%	72.5%		
Generate	73.7%	76.5%	75.2%		

Materials

Related word pairs were chosen from previous studies, with all included words under 6 letters long (Basso et al., 1994; Schefft et al., 2008a,b; Siegel et al., 2012). The 60 selected word pairs were evenly distributed across 5 relationship classes: associates (e.g., *lock – key*), category members (e.g., *saucer – bowl*), synonyms (e.g., *street – road*), antonyms (e.g., *hot – cold*), and rhymes (e.g., *care – dare*) (Siegel et al., 2012).

Paired-Associate Learning Task and Recognition

This fMRI task was previously utilized by our group (Basso et al., 1994; Schefft et al., 2008b; Siegel et al., 2012; Vannest et al., 2012, 2015). Also data from some of the participants were included in previous studies (Siegel et al., 2012; Vannest et al., 2012, 2015). Briefly, the verbal paired-associate learning task was presented during the fMRI scanning session, and a recognition post-test was administered in a testing room thereafter (see section "fMRI Data Preprocessing"). During the in-scanner task, 60 word pairs were presented either in full (e.g., spider - web) or with the second word partially missing (e.g., *bed* – p^{*****}), and participants were instructed to say the second word aloud (Figure 1A). In the "read" condition (e.g., spider - web), participants simply read the second word in the pair aloud. In the "generate" condition (e.g., bed $-p^{*****}$), participants had to first self-generate the target word and then say it aloud. In-scanner responses were monitored and transcribed.

After the scanning session, participants performed a recognition test evaluating their memory of the second word in each word pair that was presented during the fMRI task. All 60 words presented during the fMRI task across both "read" and "generate" conditions (30 words per condition) were included



in the post-test in a three-item forced-choice format. The target word and two foils were presented on a computer screen, and participants indicated which of the three words they recognized from the in-scanner task with a key press on the computer (**Figure 1B**). The post-test was self-paced; the test would advance to the next set of three items once the subject had responded. Post-test performance scores were analyzed for any statistical differences using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests for "read" vs. "generated" words across all participants, and among males vs. females, atypically handed vs. right handed participants, and older adults vs. younger (see section "Performance Data").

MRI Acquisition

Anatomical and functional MRI data were acquired for the 174 participants included in analysis across two scanners: a 3T Philips Achieva MRI scanner at the Cincinnati Children's Hospital in the Imaging Research Center (151 participants) and a 3T head-only Siemens Magnetom Allegra MRI scanner at the University of Alabama at Birmingham provided by the Civitan Functional Neuroimaging Laboratory (23 participants). Across both scanners, data were acquired using a clustered-sparse temporal image acquisition called Hemodynamics Unrelated to Sounds from Hardware (HUSH; Schmithorst and Holland, 2004; see **Figure 2**). In addition to allowing for the ability to record overt spoken responses inside the scanner, the HUSH partially silent event-related design takes advantage of the

delayed response of the hemodynamic response function (HRF). The positive peak of the HRF occurs approximately 4 to 6 s post-stimulus presentation and response (Buxton et al., 2004), allowing us to capture activity taking place seconds preceding data collection. Scanner type was used as a covariate in all analyses.

3T Philips Achieva MRI Scanner (Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center)

151 participants

High-resolution T1-weighted anatomical images were acquired (TR: 8.1s, TE: 2.17ms, FOV: 25.0 cm \times 21.1 cm \times 18.0 cm, matrix: 252 \times 211, flip angle: 8 degrees, slice thickness: 1 mm). Functional T2*-weighted images were obtained using the HUSH silent clustered-sparse temporal image acquisition (TR: 2000 ms, TE: 38 ms, FOV: 24.0 cm \times 24.0 cm \times 12.8 cm, flip angle: 90 degrees, matrix: 64 \times 64, slice thickness: 4 mm, 32 axial slices with 0% distance factor; voxel size: 3.75 mm \times 3.75 mm \times 4 mm).

3T Siemens Magnetom Allegra MRI Scanner (University of Alabama at Birmingham) 23 participants

High-resolution T1-weighted anatomical images were acquired (TR: 2.3 s, TE: 2.17 ms, FOV: 25.6 cm \times 25.6 cm \times 19.2 cm, matrix: 256 \times 256, flip angle: 9 degrees, slice thickness: 1 mm). Functional T2*-weighted images were obtained with the HUSH



silent clustered-sparse temporal image acquisition (TR: 2000 ms, TE: 35 ms, FOV: 24.0 cm \times 24.0 cm \times 12.8 cm, flip angle: 70 degrees, matrix: 64 \times 64, slice thickness: 4 mm, 30 axial slices with 7% distance factor; voxel size: 3.8 mm \times 3.8 mm \times 4 mm).

fMRI stimuli were presented using an event-related design as described in detail previously (Allendorfer et al., 2012a; Vannest et al., 2012, 2015). Briefly, for each stimulus, a word pair was presented for 6 s and participants were instructed to read the second word out loud; audio responses were recorded. This was followed by 6 s of data collection (3 image volumes) with the word "STOP," instructing participants to stop talking during acquisition. A total of 180 whole-brain volumes were collected across the full 12 min of the task. The task was not dependent on a verbal response: if there was no response after a word pair was presented, the task would continue uninterrupted (Vannest et al., 2012, 2015).

fMRI Data Preprocessing

Data were processed using Analysis of Functional NeuroImages software (AFNI; Cox, 1996) and FMRI software library (FSL; Smith et al., 2004). Functional images were first split into three separate parts: the first, second, and third volumes for each stimulus presentation were separated into three separate functional images in order to account for signal intensity changes in the hemodynamic response function over time (Schmithorst and Holland, 2004). See **Figure 3** for a schematic of the analysis pipeline. Functional images were then motion corrected using AFNI's *align_epi_anat.py* and *3dvolreg*. Functional images were registered to the anatomical images using FSL's FLIRT (Jenkinson et al., 2002), resampled to 3 mm isotropic voxels, and standardized to the MNI152 template atlas using FSL's non-linear registration tool (FNIRT). We smoothed all participants' datasets to an effective smoothness



FIGURE 3 | A schematic of the analysis pipeline. HUSH volumes were split into the 3 volumes acquired, and subsequent preprocessing and analysis in GIF1 (PCA/ICA) was applied to volumes separately. After sorting components using the binary task time series, regionally similar components were identified across the three analyses and the volume with the highest correlated to the task time series was retained for further analyses. (Superscripts correspond to sections in the methods that further describe that stage in the analysis. HUSH, Hemodynamics Unrelated to Sounds of Hardware; FLIRT, FMRIB's linear image registration tool; FWHM, full width at half maximum; PCA, principle component analysis; ICA, independent component analysis; SMs, spatial maps).

of a Gaussian FWHM of 6 mm using AFNI's *3dBlurToFWHM*. Functional volumes did not undergo any additional filtering or artifact regression prior to Group ICA (Calhoun et al., 2001). Trials were not excluded based on participant responses. Since participants undergo the process of encoding word paired associates whether or not they produce the correct word in the scanner, all trials were used for each subject in the second level analyses.

Group ICA

Group spatial ICA was carried out using GIFT in Matlab software (Group ICA fMRI Toolbox, v4.0b) for each of the three image volume sets by first conducting two principle component analyses (PCAs) for data reduction. Subject-specific PCA was conducted as the first round of PCA to reduce each subject's functional data, yielding 51 components. Subjects' data were then temporally concatenated and underwent a second round of PCA, yielding 41 components (Calhoun et al., 2001; Erhardt et al., 2011). Group level independent components were derived using the Infomax ICA algorithm, yielding 41 group level components (for each of the three HUSH image volumes).

In order to maximize discrimination of different signal sources, segment task-related brain activity into functionally relevant and interpretable sources, and improve the detection of noise sources and minimize the effect of motion artifacts in task-related components, a high model order of 41 independent components was employed (Ystad et al., 2010; Ray et al., 2013; Saliasi et al., 2014; Hutchison and Morton, 2015). The number of independent components used in the present study consistent with a similar previous study from our group (Vannest et al., 2015).

Subject-specific spatial maps (SMs) were derived with GIFT's GICA3 back-reconstruction method (Calhoun et al., 2001, 2002; Erhardt et al., 2011). GICA3 estimates subject-specific time-courses and SMs from mixing matrices derived in PCA data reduction steps, and has been shown to provide more robust results with more intuitive interpretation (Erhardt et al., 2011).

GIFT's temporal sorting tool allows for the classification of components by temporal characteristics, comparing the model's time course to the time courses of independent components (Rachakonda et al., 2007). Using the correlation function in the temporal sorting tool, group-level components were sorted by binary task time series (model time course) and components with a correlation coefficient |r| > 0.25 were identified as task-related components. The binary task time series designated "1" for the "generate" condition (active generation, task-positive) and "0" for the "read" condition (passive reading, task-negative). See **Figure 3** for an example of the binary task time series used.

After temporal sorting of components, visual examination of components with the highest positive and negative correlations suggested that outside of |r| > 0.25, some components appeared to capture noise (cerebrospinal fluid in ventricles, as well as ringing and lower overall intensity with scattered regional contributions). To capture true regional, task-related activity, the correlation threshold for the present study was set at |r| > 0.25. For the "generate" condition, component correlations

were between 0.357 > r > 0.424, and for the "read" condition, correlations were between -0.260 > r > -0.302.

Components with a correlation coefficient of r > 0.25 were identified as task-positive (correlating with the "generate" condition), and components with a correlation coefficient of r < -0.25 were identified as task-negative (correlating with the "read" condition) and were retained. Components with a correlation coefficient between -0.25 > r > 0.25 were excluded from all further analyses. Components were visually inspected and regionally similar/matching components were identified across all three image volumes. If a component met threshold for task-relatedness (|r| > 0.25) across more than one of the three image volumes, then the most highly correlated volume was selected for further analysis. These six derived task-related components represent statistically independent sources contributing to task-positive and task-negative networks and do not reflect a specific contrast within the task (generate vs. read).

Relationships Between Task-Related Components and Sex, Handedness, and Age

To compare differences in network extent among our participants, we utilized an approach available within the group ICA toolbox (GIFT). GIFT produces subject specific SMs for each independent component by implementing a series of back-reconstruction steps from each component at the group level (Calhoun et al., 2001; Meier et al., 2012). To investigate the effects that sex, handedness, and age may have on task-positive and task-negative networks, we conducted a second level analysis by extracting SMs from each subjects' individual dataset for each corresponding task-related component (components that met a correlation threshold of |r| > 0.25).

A total of six components met threshold for task-relatedness, and participants corresponding SMs were used in a series of two-sample *t*-tests using AFNI's *3dttest*++. We used two-sample *t*-tests to examine any spatial differences in network extent of task-related components between sexes (male vs. female), handedness (atypical vs. right), and age (<50 vs. \geq 50 years old) groups, (including scanner type as a covariate). We also explored whether any differences between sexes could be attributed to handedness; specifically, we ran separate two-sample *t*-tests comparing males and females (one for right-handed individuals, one comparing atypically handed individuals).

To examine age as a continuous variable, as well as the effect of sex differences while controlling for scanner and handedness, we conducted multiple regression analyses using AFNI's 3dRegAna. All analyses were corrected for multiple comparisons using Monte Carlo simulations with results considered significant if clusters met the threshold of p < 0.05 when corrected for multiple comparisons (AFNI's 3dClustSim yielded: cluster size at least 171 voxels when corrected at p < 0.05 and 80 voxels when corrected at p < 0.01). Additionally, we investigated if sex differences change with age across all task-related components using a linear mixed-effects modeling approach with AFNI's 3dLME, with our model specification testing for an interaction effect between sex and age, while controlling for scanner and handedness.

RESULTS

Performance Data

A Wilcoxon signed-rank test conducted in SPSS Statistics 25 showed that post-test accuracy for the "generated" words [M(SD),%: 22.57(3.7), 75.2%] and the "read" words [21.75(3.9), 72.5%] was significantly different after encoding (Z = -2.643, p = 0.008). Independent-samples *t*-tests revealed no significant differences between sexes or handedness groups for memory of read words (p = 0.099 and p = 0.863, respectively) or generated words (p = 0.135 and p = 0.219, respectively). For memory of "read" words, differences were found between older [M(SD),%: 20.67(4.0), 68.9%] and younger [22.23(3.8), 74.1] adults (p = 0.014), as well as memory of "generated" words between older [21.46(3.9), 70.2%] and younger [23.07(3.5), 75.5%] adults (p = 0.008). Multiple linear regressions revealed no sex differences in post-test accuracy after controlling for age. Sex was not a predictor of memory performance on generated words while controlling for age and handedness F(3,169) = 1.387, p = 0.303, $R^2 = 0.024$. Sex was also not a significant predictor of memory performance on read words while controlling for age and handedness F(3,169) = 1.758, p = 0.058, $R^2 = 0.030$. Additionally, there were no interaction effects of age and

sex on performance of generated words F(4,168) = 1.109, p = 0.590, $R^2 = 0.026$, or on read words F(4,168) = 1.377, p = 0.613, $R^2 = 0.032$.

Group ICA: Task-Positive Components

Of the 41 components, three components were identified as task-positive, meeting a threshold of r > 0.25 for the generate condition (**Figures 4A–C** and **Table 2**). The component with the highest correlation with the task (r = 0.4244) included bilateral fusiform gyri, bilateral declive, right inferior temporal gyrus, middle occipital gyrus, middle temporal gyrus (MTG), precuneus, and superior parietal lobule. The second highest correlated task-positive component (r = 0.3784) included left middle frontal gyrus, bilateral IFG, left ventral anterior insula, left precentral gyrus, and left inferior parietal lobule (IPL). The third component (r = 0.3573) included bilateral IFG, bilateral superior temporal gyri (STG), cingulate gyrus, anterior cingulate cortex, and bilateral ventral anterior insula.

Group ICA: Task-Negative Components

Three components were identified as task-negative, meeting a threshold of r < -0.25 for the read condition (**Figures 4D-F** and **Table 2**). Components are listed from highest correlation: the





TABLE 2 | MNI coordinates in task-positive and task-negative components.

Component	r	Hush	Location	x	Y	7
	Task-r	oositive	components (Figure 4)	~	•	-
Figure 4A	0.4244	2	L fusiform gyrus	-46	-58	-16
			R fusiform gyrus	40	-66	-20
			R Interior temporal gyrus	50	-50	-10
			L declive	-32	-58	-20
				30	-00	-22
			E middle occipital gyrus	-32	-04 71	16
				42 54	-71	-10
				24	-30	-14
			E precuneus	-24	-70	34
			n precurieus	_22	-12	/18
			E superior parietal lobule	-22	-00	40
			R superior parietai iopule	24	-04	44 50
				30	-04	00
			L culteus	-20	-70	20
			L cuimen	-30	-50	-22
	0.0704	0	R cuimen	36	-52	-24
Igure 4B	0.3784	2	L middle frontal gyrus	-44	40	-2
			LIFG	-46	26	18
			RIFG	46	30	14
			L ventral anterior insula	-46	10	12
			L precentral gyrus	-50	12	8
			L IPL	-34	-56	42
			R IPL	36	-58	46
			L STG	-46	16	-8
			L medial frontal gyrus	-2	28	38
			L cingulate gyrus	-2	24	4C
igure 4C	0.3573	2	L IFG	-40	18	-12
			R IFG	44	16	-8
			L STG	-44	16	-12
			R STG	44	16	-12
			L cinquilate avrus	0	22	36
			B cinquiate gyrus	2	24	30
				2	24	02
			L ACC	0	32	22
			RACC	2	30	22
			L insula	-40	14	-4
			R Insula	36	18	0
ask-negativ	/e compoi	nents (Fig	gure 4)			
igure 4E	-0.3019	2	L posterior insula	-44	-4	-6
			R posterior insula	44	-12	4
			L STG	-56	4	-4
			R STG	48	-2	-4
			L transverse temporal gyrus	-40	-24	10
			R transverse temporal gyrus	48	-24	10
			R precentral gyrus	48	-14	6
			R postcentral gyrus	56	-26	14
igure 4F	-0.2740	2	L precuneus	0	-72	36
-			R precuneus	2	-72	40
			L cuneus	0	_72	32
			R cuneus	Δ	_72	32
			L cinqulate avrus	- -	_26	20
				0	-20	20
			and a second second and a state of the			~ /

TABLE 2	Continued
---------	-----------

		Hush				
Component	r	volume	Location	X	Y	Ζ
			L PCC	-4	-38	22
			R PCC	4	-36	22
			L IPL	-34	-58	40
			R IPL	40	-58	44
			L angular gyrus	-34	-58	36
			R angular gyrus	44	-60	34
			L supramarginal gyrus	-44	-56	26
Figure 4G	-0.2607	3	L PCC	-8	-56	4
			R PCC	10	-54	4
			L culmen	-6	-46	0
			R culmen	6	-46	-2
			L parahippocampal gyrus	-10	-50	0
			L lingual gyrus	-12	-54	2
			L precuneus	-4	-62	16
			R precuneus	4	-64	20
			L fusiform gyrus	-26	-40	-16
			L superior frontal gyrus	-18	34	36
			L middle frontal gyrus	-22	22	42
			R middle frontal gyrus	26	20	44

L, left; R, right; MTG, middle temporal gyrus; IFG, inferior frontal gyrus; IPL, inferior parietal lobe; STG, superior temporal gyrus; ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; PCC, posterior cingulate cortex.

first component (r = -0.3019) included bilateral posterior insula, STG, transverse temporal gyri, and right pre- and post-central gyri. The second component (r = -0.2740) included bilateral cuneus and precuneus, cingulate gyri, posterior cingulate cortex, and right IPL. The third component (r = -0.2607) included posterior cingulate cortex and left culmen.

Relationships Between Task-Related Components, Sex, Handedness, and Age

Two-sample t-tests revealed differences in spatial extent in task-related brain activity recruited between sexes during selfgeneration and passive reading. All results presented meet a threshold of p < 0.05 corrected. For males compared to females during self-generation, network extent was greater in right postcentral gyrus (Figure 5A) and left dorsal anterior insula (Figure 5B), and in left supramarginal gyrus (Figure 5C) and right STG (Figure 5D) during reading. For right-handers compared to atypical-handers, extent was larger in left insula (Figure 6D) and angular gyrus (Figure 6C) during reading and self-generation, respectively, and smaller in left cuneus (Figure 6A) and posterior cingulate cortex (PCC; Figure 6B) during generation.

Separate analyses of right- and atypically handed individuals' between-group sex differences showed somewhat different effects across groups. Right-handed males showed increased recruitment in right middle occipital gyrus compared to righthanded females during self-generation, while atypically handed individuals did not show this sex effect. Right-handed females also showed increased recruitment in right superior temporal



gyrus compared to males during self-generation that was not seen among atypically handed individuals. During reading, right-handed females showed increased recruitment of right middle frontal gyrus and right cuneus compared to righthanded males, but this difference was not seen among atypically handed individuals.

Younger adults (<50 years old) displayed more widespread involvement during both self-generation and passive reading compared to older adults (\geq 50 years old) across a range of areas (**Figures 7A,C-H**) except for left middle frontal gyrus (**Figure 7B**), which showed greater recruitment during selfgeneration among older compared to younger adults (corrected p < 0.05). During self-generation, younger adults showed greater recruitment across bilateral insula, anterior and middle cingulate cortices (**Figure 7A**), and left IFG (**Figures 7A,D**), and bilateral middle occipital gyri (**Figure 7C**). During passive reading, younger adults showed greater involvement across right precuneus (**Figure 7E**), bilateral precuneus (**Figure 7F**), posterior cingulate cortices (**Figures 7F,H**), and right inferior parietal lobe (**Figure 7G**).

Regression and Mixed-Effects Modeling Results

Multiple linear regression analyses allowed us to examine (1) age as a continuous variable while controlling for scanner type, and (2) the effect of sex on task-related components while controlling for age, handedness, and scanner type. All results presented meet a threshold of p < 0.01 corrected. Subjects' extracted z-scores represent deviation from the group level within that component. Self-generation areas showed decreased recruitment (via subject specific extracted z-scores) with increasing age across frontal and temporo-parietal areas. Passive encoding areas including STG, PCC, and IPL also showed decreased recruitment as age increases. We also found that during self-generation, males recruited right paracentral areas (**Figure 8A**) and left dorsal anterior insula (**Figure 8C**) more than females did, while females recruited right middle temporal gyrus (**Figure 8B**) more than males did. During passive reading, controlling for age, handedness, and scanner, men recruited right supramarginal gyrus and superior temporal gyrus areas (**Figure 8D**) more than women did.

A linear mixed-effects model examining task-related components revealed a significant interaction between sex and age while controlling for scanner and handedness in task-positive networks (**Figure 9A**). In the active generation condition, as age increases, males showed increased recruitment of the left supramarginal gyrus. In contrast, for females, the slope of the trend line shows an opposite pattern: decreased recruitment as age increases. Presented results meet a corrected threshold of p < 0.01. The relationship between individual subjects' age and average z-score extracted from the left SMG are shown for males and females separately, with the regression line displayed controlling for handedness and scanner to show direction of the effect (**Figure 9B**).

DISCUSSION

We examined network differences underlying active and passive memory encoding using a verbal paired-associate learning task. Overall, the results of the analyses were consistent with previous behavioral studies and indicate that generated words during the encoding task were remembered more accurately in posttesting than read words were (Schefft and Biederman, 1990; Olofsson and Nilsson, 1992; Vannest et al., 2012, 2015). The inclusion of both semantically and phonologically related words might have interacted with the recognition of read and generated words to some degree (Siegel et al., 2012), but this effect is likely small and, thus, not investigated here. ICA revealed a broad fronto-parietal network underlying self-generation, while passive reading showed strong temporal-occipital contributions. Further investigation of self-generation and passive reading showed sex, age, and handedness differences in regional patterns of network involvement.

Sex, Age, and Handedness Differences in Active vs. Passive Learning

Sex Effects

There is a large body of work suggesting that networks supporting semantic and phonological processing may be more left lateralized in healthy, right-handed males compared to females who tend to show more bilateral and widespread pattern of network involvement (Binder et al., 2009). In previous studies, this differential sex effect was seen in superior and



middle temporal areas during a story-listening task (Kansaku et al., 2000). However, we did not observe this effect during passive reading, where a greater spatial extent of task-negative related activation in the right superior temporal gyrus was observed in males compared to females. Considering the role personal handedness plays in the neural organization of language (Kansaku and Kitazawa, 2001; Szaflarski et al., 2002, 2006; Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2010), and the inclusion of only (or predominantly) right-handed participants in studies of sex differences in language distribution, our findings in a large sample of right- and atypical-handers may reflect differential recruitment during semantic or phonological processing than previously observed (Andreano and Cahill, 2009). Further investigation of sex differences between right- and atypically handed individuals revealed that during self-generation, righthanded females show increased recruitment in right superior temporal gyrus compared to right-handed males that was not seen in the atypically handed group. Compared to right-handed males, right-handed females also showed right-lateralized activity in the middle frontal gyrus during reading, which was not

seen among atypically handed individuals. While females have demonstrated more bilateral involvement in posterior temporal areas during linguistic processing compared to males, females have shown a similar left-lateralized pattern to men in the angular and supramarginal gyri (Kansaku et al., 2000). Our findings reveal greater recruitment among males in left supramarginal gyrus while reading compared to females. During generation, recruitment of the left SMG interacted with sex and age such that males showed greater left SMG recruitment as age increased while females did not. These patterns reflect differential recruitment of resources based on sex and age during both passive and active encoding (Stoeckel et al., 2009; Oberhuber et al., 2016).

Age Effects

During self-generation, older adults showed reduced recruitment of frontal areas, including left inferior frontal gyrus and bilateral insula, as well as bilateral middle occipital gyrus, compared to younger adults. These findings of left IFG engagement during active encoding among younger but not older adults are consistent with previous reports of greater prefrontal



lateralization among younger adults (Cabeza, 2002; Morcom et al., 2003). This age-related reduced lateralization of taskrelated activity may reflect a decreased specialization of brain areas relevant for task demands (Cabeza, 2002). One region implicated among older compared to younger adults was the left middle frontal gyrus. Increased recruitment of left MFG among older adults may represent a compensatory mechanism (Morcom et al., 2003) during semantic processing necessary for similar performance.

During passive reading, older adults exhibited reduced recruitment compared to younger adults across posterior and parietal brain regions including bilateral precuneus, posterior



cingulate cortex, and right inferior parietal lobe. This is in contrast to previous studies indicating older adults involve posterior brain regions to a greater extent compared to younger adults (Morcom et al., 2003). Our findings of greater PCC recruitment in younger compared to older adults suggests passive reading aloud may be more effortful for older adults, or even simply that passive reading engages different networks among younger vs. older adults, reflecting differential strategies between the groups (Berlingeri et al., 2013). This compensation view is contrasted with a dedifferentiation perspective of the age-related differences in brain activity. The CRUNCH (Compensation-Related Utilization of Neural Circuits Hypothesis) model provides a framework for age-related increases in activation in different brain areas, not specific to hemisphere (Reuter-Lorenz and Cappell, 2008; Berlingeri et al., 2013). The level of task demand across studies also likely plays a significant role in the CRUNCH model (Berlingeri et al., 2013). When engaging in tasks



with overall lower cognitive load, neural effects supporting the CRUNCH model may not be elucidated (Jamadar, 2018).

An examination of age as a continuous variable yielded several components showing age-related decreases across both self-generation and passive reading. Overall, two out of three task-positive components showed age-related decreases (**Figures 4A,C**) indicating that when age increases, our relatedness measure decreases across inferior frontal, superior temporal, and superior parietal brain areas. Other studies have supported age-related decreases in parietal areas across various cognitive tasks (Grady et al., 2010), and during selfgeneration (Vannest et al., 2015). Two out of three task-negative components also showed age-related decreases (**Figures 4F,G**) across temporal and posterior cingulate areas during reading, consistent with evidence of decreased default mode network activity among older individuals (Grady et al., 2010; Mevel et al., 2013; Vannest et al., 2015).

Handedness Effects

Handedness plays an important role in hemispheric language dominance (Geschwind and Galaburda, 1985; Szaflarski et al., 2002, 2012). Neuroanatomical differences exist between righthanded and atypically handed individuals, particularly in the planum temporale (Foundas et al., 1995, 2003; Shapleske et al., 1999). Studies also show a negative relationship between corpus callosum volume and degree of handedness, where increasing atypical handedness is associated with larger corpus callosum volumes (Habib et al., 1991; Witelson and Goldsmith, 1991). In turn, this may be influenced by increased interhemispheric information transfer among atypical- compared to right-handers (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2010; Gao et al., 2015; Sahu et al., 2016). Interhemispheric communication time has been linked to brain volume, and evidence suggests those with larger brains have been shown to group quick cognitive functions in one hemisphere (Ringo et al., 1994). Our findings of right-handers compared with atypical-handers showing left angular gyrus involvement during self-generation and left insular involvement during passive reading may be due to the left-dominant language processing seen among right-handers, while atypical-handers may have substantial interhemispheric communication to lighten processing load.

While left angular gyrus activation has been associated with language ability (Van Ettinger-Veenstra et al., 2016) and semantic processing (Seghier and Price, 2013; Hartwigsen et al., 2016), examination of functional properties and subdivisions of the angular gyrus reveals an integrative role across multisensory domains, including reorienting attention and familiar problem solving (Seghier and Price, 2013). Activation of left angular gyrus may also be modulated by several factors, including reading level and age (Meyler et al., 2007, 2008), but sex and handedness have not been studied in depth as potential modulatory factors of the angular gyrus (Seghier and Price, 2013). Recruitment of the left angular gyrus among right-handers during self-generation may be related to increased reliance on left dominant semantic processing areas compared to atypical handers. Gray matter asymmetry between left- and right- handed individuals suggests less specialization for speech in the left hemisphere among left-handed individuals (Hervé et al., 2006), and right-handed

individuals have shown more left lateralized patterns of activity compared to left-handed individuals (Gao et al., 2015), suggesting organizational differences in semantic processing between left-and atypically handed individuals.

Sex Effects, Controlling for Age and Handedness

Our analyses of sex effects on task-related components while controlling for age, handedness, and scanner revealed a similar pattern of involvement of the left dorsal anterior insula during self-generation among males and right middle temporal gyrus among females. We also found right hemisphere differences among sexes (males > females) in the paracentral lobe during self-generation and in the supramarginal gyrus during passive reading. The role of the anterior insula in affective and cognitive functions suggests that our findings of increased involvement of left dorsal anterior insula among males compared to females may be related to a lateralization effect of performance monitoring (Dosenbach et al., 2006), attention orienting (Corbetta and Shulman, 2002), or salience (Seeley et al., 2007; Menon and Uddin, 2010). Our previous study examining verb generation suggested sex lateralization effects may be dependent on performance and language ability, and found a similar right lateralized pattern for males in caudate/anterior cingulate gyrus when controlling for performance (Allendorfer et al., 2012a). Another study investigated the potential relationship between white matter integrity of the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF) and language functioning across healthy, right-handed participants ranging from 19-76 years old (Madhavan et al., 2014). This study found a differential pattern of decline in fractional anisotropy (FA) of the SLF in relation to age in males and females, as well as with language functioning as measured by performance on the Controlled Oral Word Association Test.

Attention and Salience During Encoding Implicates a Fronto-Parietal Network

A "network" approach to functional organization in the brain suggests the brain responds to environmental demands (sensory or cognitive) by recruiting brain areas that aid in signal processing. This large-scale distribution of resources results in sets of regions showing statistical dependence in relation to the specific demand or task. More recent approaches to cognitive neuroscience involve a framework with several differentiated and interacting networks underlying human brain function (Menon and Uddin, 2010) with these networks having specific profiles of activation and deactivation.

A fronto-parietal network, including the posterior parietal cortex (PPC) and areas of the prefrontal cortex (Buckner et al., 1999; Otten et al., 2001), has been shown to underlie visual attention (Corbetta et al., 2002, 2008). Involvement of the inferior parietal lobe (IPL) in this network may be related to maintaining attention on task goals and encoding events in the environment (Rueckert and Grafman, 1998; Adler et al., 2001; Vandenberghe et al., 2001; Singh-Curry and Husain, 2009) or performance (Donnelly et al., 2011). In this study, task-positive components underlying self-generation showed broad fronto-parietal involvement, including left IPL (**Figure 4B**),

suggesting an increase in attentional demands when selfgenerating compared to reading. One study examined how differential attention during verbal encoding modulates frontoparietal brain activity and found significant contributions from the middle frontal gyri (MFG) during high-attention stimuli (Christensen et al., 2012). The MFG has been hypothesized to be an area of integration between dorsal and ventral attention streams, serving as a gateway between top–down and bottom–up attention and playing a major role in controlling and reorienting attention (Japee et al., 2015). In this study, generating the second word in the pair involves using the presented cue and a top–down search of known words semantically or phonologically related to the first word. Our findings of left MFG recruitment during selfgeneration suggests increased allocation of resources to this brain region during active encoding.

The "salience network" refers to a group of brain regions involved in cognitive or emotional arousal; it includes the anterior insula, dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC), and several subcortical and limbic structures (Seeley et al., 2007; Morgan et al., 2008; Kay et al., 2012; Menon, 2015). Implication of the insular cortex in neuroimaging studies suggests its role in salience and stimuli detection, facilitating attention and working memory during task switching (Sridharan et al., 2008; Menon and Uddin, 2010). In this study, the insula and anterior cingulate cortex were identified in task-positive components, likely contributing to sustained attention during self-generating word pairs. Our examination of sex differences suggests males may recruit brain areas involved with sustained attention and task switching attention more than females do during selfgeneration. The two clusters in left insula and right postcentral gyrus that were involved with self-generation among males compared to females may be serving as an attention modulating mechanism when task demands increase during active encoding (Steinmetz et al., 2000). Similar sex-differences were observed in developmental but not adult studies of language lateralization (Szaflarski et al., 2002, 2012). The insula was also implicated in our examination of handedness and brain regions underlying reading aloud in that right-handers showed greater extent in the left insula involvement compared to atypical-handers. A study of effective connectivity during a Chinese semantic task found that left-handers showed differential effective connectivity between the insula and prefrontal/occipital areas compared to righthanders, suggesting differential information processing among atypical-handed individuals during visual and semantic word retrieval of Chinese characters (Gao et al., 2015). Due to the integrative role of the insula in bottom-up and top-down processing, these authors hypothesized that handedness may impact information transfer at a causal, system level during semantic word retrieval.

A Dynamic Network Underlies the Encoding Process

A meta-analysis of 74 fMRI encoding and memory studies revealed five main brain areas consistently associated with subsequent memory. The study also examines how patterns of activity are modulated by task-related conditions (nature of material: verbal or pictorial; type of encoding: item or associative) (Kim, 2011). The findings of this study supported the "task-dependency" principle, specifically, that the encoding process cannot be reduced to a fixed set of brain areas, but rather the neural correlates of encoding should be viewed as a dynamic network that responds to task-specific requirements (Otten and Rugg, 2001; Rugg et al., 2002; Kim, 2011). Therefore, it is the type of encoding task that determines which regions are functionally relevant and will be recruited for successful processing and will show subsequent memory effects.

Certain aspects of network organization and recruitment during encoding may be dependent on specific demographic variables such as age (Szaflarski et al., 2006, 2012; Allendorfer et al., 2012b; Maillet and Rajah, 2014; Chastelaine et al., 2017), sex (Mulligan and Lozito, 2004; Hill et al., 2014), or handedness (Seghier and Price, 2013). Our findings are consistent with differential recruitment of these dynamic networks during self-generation based on age, handedness, and sex. In taskpositive networks, older adults showed reduced prefrontal lateralization compared to younger adults as left IFG showed greater spatial extent of activation among younger adults than older adults. Right-handed individuals also displayed greater recruitment of left semantic processing areas compared to lefthanded individuals in these same task-positive networks. The influence of sex on task-related networks suggests increased right hemisphere recruitment among males compared to females during both self-generation and passive reading. These findings provide some insight into networks underlying active and passive encoding, and how the recruitment of these dynamic networks may be influenced by demographic factors like age, sex, and preferred handedness.

CONCLUSION

In summary, ICA of fMRI data collected during a verbal paired-associate learning task revealed fronto-parietal network contributions during self-generation of word pairs, and recruitment of temporo-occipital areas during reading words aloud. Sex, handedness, and age groups showed similar memory

REFERENCES

- Adler, C. M., Sax, K. W., Holland, S. K., Schmithorst, V., Rosenberg, L., and Strakowski, S. M. (2001). Changes in neuronal activation with increasing attention demand in healthy volunteers: an fMRI study. *Synapse* 42, 266–272. doi: 10.1002/syn.1112
- Allendorfer, J. B., Kissela, B. M., Holland, S. K., and Szaflarski, J. P. (2012a). Different patterns of language activation in post-stroke aphasia are detected by overt and covert versions of the verb generation fMRI task. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 18, CR135–CR147.
- Allendorfer, J. B., Lindsell, C. J., Siegel, M., Banks, C. L., Vannest, J., Holland, S. K., et al. (2012b). Females, and males are highly similar in language performance, and cortical activation patterns during verb generation. *Cortex* 48, 1218–1233. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2011.05.014
- Andreano, J. M., and Cahill, L. (2009). influences on the neurobiology of learning and memory. *Learn. Mem.* 16, 248–266. doi: 10.1101/lm. 918309

performance, but significant differences in task-positive and task-negative brain areas across groups suggest differential recruitment of encoding network areas to achieve similar performance levels.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The Institutional Review Boards at the University of Cincinnati, the Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, and the University of Alabama at Birmingham approved this project (NIH R01-NS04828), and all participants provided written informed consent. No vulnerable populations were included in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JS collected the funds. SN performed the literature search. JV and JS designed the study. SN, RN, AG, and DM performed statistical analysis. SN, RN, JA, AG, and JS interpreted the data. SN, and JS prepared the manuscript. RN, JA, AG, JV, and DM reviewed the manuscript and approved the content.

FUNDING

This study was supported by NIH R01 NS048281 (JS). SN was supported by the Graduate Research Scholars Program (GRSP) under the Alabama Established Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (AL EPSCoR) from the National Science Foundation (Parent Grant OIA-1632891 to JS).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Christi Banks and Amber N. Martin for the help with data collection, and Miriam Siegel and Kathleen A. Hernando for transcribing the fMRI task audio responses and scoring the recognition post-tests.

- Baker, J. T., Sanders, A. L., Maccotta, L., and Buckner, R. L. (2001). Neural correlates of verbal memory encoding during semantic and structural processing tasks. *Neuroreport* 12, 1251–1256. doi: 10.1097/00001756-200105080-00039
- Barrett, A. M., Crucian, G. P., Schwartz, R. L., and Heilman, K. M. (2000). Testing memory for self-generated items in dementia: method makes a difference. *Neurology* 54, 1258–1264. doi: 10.1212/wnl.54.6.1258
- Bartels, A., and Zeki, S. (2005). The chronoarchitecture of the cerebral cortex. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* 360, 733–750. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2005. 1627
- Basso, M. R., Schefft, B. K., and Hoffmann, R. G. (1994). Mood-moderating effects of affect intensity on cognition: sometimes euphoria is not beneficial and dysphoria is not detrimental. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 66, 363–368. doi: 10.1037/ 0022-3514.66.2.363
- Bellis, T. J., Nicol, T., and Kraus, N. (2000). Aging affects hemispheric asymmetry in the neural representation of speech sounds. J. Neurosci. 20, 791–797. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.20-02-00791.2000

- Berenbaum, S. A., Baxter, L., Seidenberg, M., and Hermann, B. (1997). Role of the hippocampus in sex differences in verbal memory: memory outcome following left anterior temporal lobectomy. *Neuropsychology* 11, 585–591. doi: 10.1037/ /0894-4105.11.4.585
- Bergerbest, D., Gabrieli, J. D., Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., Kim, H., Stebbins, G. T., Bennett, D. A., et al. (2008). Age-associated reduction of asymmetry in prefrontal function and preservation of conceptual repetition priming. *Neuroimage* 45, 237–246. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008. 10.019
- Berlingeri, M., Danelli, L., Bottini, G., Sberna, M., and Paulesu, E. (2013). Reassessing the HAROLD model: is the hemispheric asymmetry reduction in older adults a specital case of compensaotyr-related utilisation of neural circuits? *Exp. Brain Res.* 224, 393–410. doi: 10.1007/s00221-012-3319-x
- Binder, J. R., and Desai, R. H. (2011). The neurobiology of semantic memory. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 15, 527–536. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2011.10.001
- Binder, J. R., Desai, R. H., Graves, W. W., and Conant, L. L. (2009). Where is the semantic system? A review and meta-analysis of 120 functional neuroimaging systems. *Cereb. Cortex* 19, 2767–2796. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhp055
- Bookheimer, S. (2002). Functional MRI of language: new approaches to understanding the cortical organization of semantic processing. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 25, 151–188. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.25.112701.142946
- Botvinick, M. M., Cohen, J. D., and Carter, C. S. (2004). Conflict monitoring and anterior cingulate cortex: an update. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 8, 539–546. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2004.10.003
- Buckner, R. L., Kelley, W. M., and Petersen, S. E. (1999). Frontal cortex contributes to human memory formation. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2, 311–314. doi: 10.1038/7221
- Buckner, R. L., Logan, J. M., Donaldson, D. I., and Wheeler, M. E. (2000). Cognitive neuroscience of episodic memory encoding. *Acta Psychol.* 105, 127–139.
- Buxton, R. B., Uludag, K., Dubowitz, D. J., and Liu, T. T. (2004). Modeling the hemodynamic response to brain activation. *Neuroimage* 23(Suppl. 1), 220–233. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.013
- Cabeza, R. (2002). Hemispheric asymmetry reduction in older adults: the HAROLD model. *Psychol. Aging* 17, 85–100. doi: 10.1037//0882-7974.17.1.85
- Cabeza, R., Grady, C. L., Nyberg, L., McIntosh, A. R., Tulving, E., Kapur, S., et al. (1997a). Age-related differences in neural activity during memory encoding and retrieval: a positron emission tomography study. *J. Neurosci.* 17, 391–400. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.17-01-00391.1997
- Cabeza, R., McIntosh, A. R., Tulving, E., Nyberg, L., and Grady, C. L. (1997b). Agerelated differences in effective neural connectivity during encoding and recall. *Neuroreport* 8, 3479–3483. doi: 10.1097/00001756-199711100-00013
- Cabeza, R., and Nyberg, L. (2000). Imaging cognition II: an empirical review of 275 PET and fMRI studies. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 12, 1–47. doi: 10.1162/08989290051137585
- Calhoun, V. D., Adali, T., Pearlson, G. D., and Pekar, J. J. (2001). A method for making group inferences from functional MRI data using independent component analysis. *Hum. Brain Map.* 14, 140–151. doi: 10.1002/hbm.1048
- Calhoun, V. D., Adali, T., Pearlson, G. D., and Pekar, J. J. (2002). Erratum: a method for making group inferences from functional MRI data using independent component analysis. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 16:131. doi: 10.1002/hbm. 10044
- Chastelaine, M. D., Mattson, J. T., Wang, T. H., Donley, B. E., Rugg, D., and Sciences, B. (2017). The neural correlates of recollection and retrieval monitoring: relationships with age and recollection performance. *Neuroimage* 138, 164–175. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.04.071
- Christensen, T. A., Almryde, K. R., Fidler, L. J., Lockwood, J. L., Antonucci, S. M., and Plante, E. (2012). Modulating the focus of attention for spoken words at encoding affects frontoparietal activation for incidental verbal memory. *Int. J. Biomed. Imaging* 2012:579786. doi: 10.1155/2012/579786
- Christman, S. D., and Propper, R. E. (2001). Superior episodic memory is associated with interhemispheric processing. *Neuropsychology* 15, 607–616. doi: 10.1037/ /0894-4105.15.4.607
- Chu, O., Abeare, C. A., and Bondy, M. A. (2012). Inconsistent vs consistent right-handers' performance on an episodic memory task: evidence from the Californai verbal learning test. *Laterality* 12, 306–317. doi: 10.1080/1357650X. 2011.568490
- Corbetta, M., Kincade, J. M., and Shulman, G. L. (2002). Neural systems for visual orienting and their relationships to spatial working memory. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 14, 508–523. doi: 10.1162/089892902317362029

- Corbetta, M., Patel, G., and Shulman, G. L. (2008). The reorienting system of the human brain: from environment to theory of mind. *Neuron* 58, 306–324. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2008.04.017
- Corbetta, M., and Shulman, G. L. (2002). Control of goal-directed and stimulusdriven attention in the brain. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 3, 201–215. doi: 10.1038/ nrn755
- Cox, R. W. (1996). AFNI: software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic resonance neuroimages. *Comput. Biomed. Res.* 29, 162–173. doi: 10.1006/cbmr.1996.0014
- Craik, F. I. (2002). Levels of processing: past, present. and future? *Memory* 10, 305–318. doi: 10.1080/09658210244000135
- Craik, F. I., and Lockhart, R. S. (1972). Levels of processing: a framework for memory research. J. Verbal Learning Verbal Behav. 11, 671–684. doi: 10.1016/ s0022-5371(72)80001-x
- Demb, J. B., Desmond, J. E., Wagner, A. D., Vaidya, C. J., Glover, G. H., and Gabrieli, J. D. (1995). Semantic encoding and retrieval in the left inferior prefrontal cortex: a functional MRI study on taks difficulty and process specificity. J. Neurosci. 15, 5870–5878. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.15-09-05870. 1995
- Derwinger, A., Stigsdotter Neely, A., and Bäckman, L. (2005). Design your own memory strategies! Self-generated strategy training versus mnemonic training in old age: an 8-month follow-up. *Neuropsychol. Rehavil.* 15, 37–54. doi: 10. 1080/09602010343000336
- Donnelly, K. M., Allendorfer, J. B., and Szaflarski, J. P. (2011). Right hemispheric participation in semantic decision improves performance. *Brain Res.* 1419, 105–116. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2011.08.065
- Dosenbach, N. U. F., Visscher, K. M., Palmer, E. D., Miezin, F. M., Wenger, K. K., Kang, H. C., et al. (2006). A Core system for the implementation of task sets. *Neuron* 50, 799–812. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2006.04.031
- Erhardt, E. B., Rachakonda, S., Bedrick, E. J., Allen, E. A., Adali, T., and Calhoun, V. D. (2011). Comparison of multi-subject ICA methods for analysis of fMRI data. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 32, 2075–2095. doi: 10.1002/hbm.21170
- Fletcher, P. C., and Henson, R. N. (2001). Frontal lobes and human memory: insights from functional neuroimaging. *Brain* 124 (Pt 5), 849–881. doi: 10.1093/ brain/124.5.849
- Foundas, A., Leonard, C., and Hanna-Pladdy, B. (2003). Variability in the anatomy of the planum temporale and posterior ascending ramus: Do right- and left handers differ? *Brain Lang.* 83, 403–424. doi: 10.1016/s0093-934x(02) 00509-6
- Foundas, A. L., Leonard, C. M., and Heilman, K. M. (1995). Morphologic cerebral asymmetries and handedness. The pars triangularis and planum temporale. *Arch. Neurol.* 52, 501–508.
- Frith, C. D., Friston, K., Liddle, P. F., and Frackowiak, R. S. J. (1991). Willed action and the prefrontal crotex in man: a study with PET. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 224, 241–246. doi: 10.1098/rspb.1991.0077
- Gao, W., Wang, J., Yu, C., and Chen, H. (2015). Effect of handedness on brain activity patterns and effective connectivity network during the semantic task of Chinese characters. *Sci. Rep.* 5:18262. doi: 10.1038/srep18262
- Geschwind, N., and Galaburda, A. M. (1985). Cerebral lateralization. Biological mechanisms, associations, and pathology: I. A hypothesis and a programfor research. *Arch. Neurol.* 42, 428–459.
- Grady, C. L., Bernstein, L. J., Beig, S., and Siegenthaler, A. L. (2002). The effects of encoding task on age-related differences in the functional neuroanatomy of face memory. *Psychol. Aging* 17, 7–23. doi: 10.1037//0882-7974.17.1.7
- Grady, C. L., McIntosh, A. R., Horwitz, B., and Rapoport, S. I. (2000). Age-related changes in the neural correlates of degraded and nondegraded face processing. *Cogn. Neuropsychol.* 17, 165–186. doi: 10.1080/026432900380553
- Grady, C. L., McIntosh, A. R., Rajah, M. N., and Craik, F. I. M. (1998). Neural correlates of the episodic encoding of pictures and words. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 95, 2703–2708. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.5.2703
- Grady, C. L., Protzner, A. B., Kovacevic, N., Strother, S. C., Afshin-Pour, B., Wojtowicz, M., et al. (2010). A multivariate analysis of age-related differences in default mode and task-positive networks across multiple cognitive domains. *Cereb. Cortex* 20, 1432–1447. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhp207
- Habib, M., Gayraud, D., Oliva, A., Regis, J., Salamon, G., and Khalil, R. (1991). Effects of handedness and sex on the morphology of the corpus callosum: a study with brain magnetic resonance imaging. *Brain Cogn.* 16, 41–61. doi: 10.1016/0278-2626(91)90084-1

- Hartwigsen, G., Weigel, A., Schuschan, P., Siebner, H. R., Weise, D., Classen, J., et al. (2016). Dissociating parieto-frontal networks for phonological and semantic word decisions: a condition-and-perturb TMS study. *Cereb. Cortex* 26, 2590–2601. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhv092
- Hervé, P. Y., Crivello, F., Perchey, G., Mazoyer, B., and Tzourio-Mazoyer, N. (2006). Handedness and cerebral anatomical asymmetries in young adult males. *Neuroimage* 29, 1066–1079. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.08.031
- Hill, A. C., Laird, A. R., and Robinson, J. L. (2014). Gender differences in working memory networks: a BrainMap meta-analysis. *Biol. Psychol.* 102, 18–29. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2014.06.008
- Hill, R. D., Allen, C., and Gregory, K. (1990). Self-generated mnemonics for enhancing free recall performance in older learners. *Exp. Aging Res.* 16, 141– 145. doi: 10.1080/07340669008251541
- Hutchison, R. M., and Morton, J. B. (2015). Tracking the brain's functional coupling dynamics over development. J. Neurosci. 35, 6849–6859. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.4638-14.2015
- Jacoby, L. L. (1978). On interpreting the effects of repetition: solving a problem versus remembering a solution. J. Verbal Learning Verbal Behav. 17, 649–667. doi: 10.1016/s0022-5371(78)90393-6
- Jaeger, J. J., Lockwood, A. H., Van Valin, R. D. Jr., Kemmerer, D. L., Murphy, B. W., and Wack, D. S. (1998). Sex differences in brain regions activated by grammatical and reading tasks. *Neuroreport* 9, 2803–2807. doi: 10.1097/ 00001756-199808240-00022
- Jamadar, S. D. (2018). The CRUNCH model does not account for load-dependent changes in visuospatial working memory in older adults: evidence for the file-drawer problem in neuroimaging. *BioRxiv* 451492. doi: 10.1101/451492
- Japee, S., Holiday, K., Satyshur, M. D., Mukai, I., and Ungerleider, L. G. (2015). A role of right middle frontal gyrus in reorienting of attention: a case study. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 9:23. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2015.00023
- Jenkinson, M., Bannister, P., Brady, M., and Smith, S. (2002). Improved optimization for the robust and accurate linear registration and motion correction of brain images. *Nueroimage* 17, 825–841. doi: 10.1016/s1053-8119(02)91132-8
- Kansaku, K., and Kitazawa, S. (2001). Imaging studies on sex differences in the lateralization of language. *Neurosci. Res.* 41, 333–337. doi: 10.1016/s0168-0102(01)00292-9
- Kansaku, K., Yamaura, A., and Kitazawa, S. (2000). Sex differences in lateralization revealed in the posterior language areas. *Cereb. Cortex* 10, 866–872. doi: 10. 1093/cercor/10.9.866
- Kapur, N., Friston, K. J., Young, A., Frith, C. D., and Frackowiak, R. S. (1995). Activation of human hippocampal formation during memory for faces: a PET study. *Cortex* 31, 99–108. doi: 10.1016/s0010-9452(13)80108-6
- Kapur, S., Craig, F. I., Tulving, E., Wilson, A. A., Houle, S., and Brown, G. M. (1994). Neuroanatomical correlates of encoding in episodic memory: levels of processing effect. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 91, 2008–2011. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 91.6.2008
- Kay, B. P., Meng, X., DiFrancesco, M. W., Holland, S. K., and Szaflarski, J. P. (2012). Moderating effects of music on resting state networks. *Brain Res.* 1447, 53–64. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2012.01.064
- Kim, H. (2011). Neural activity that predicts subsequent memory and forgetting: a meta-analysis of 74 fMRI studies. *Neuroimage* 54, 2446–2461. doi: 10.1016/j. neuroimage.2010.09.045
- Kimura, D. (1983). Sex differences in cerebral organization for speech and praxic functions. Can. J. Psychol. 37, 19–35. doi: 10.1037/h0080696
- Kimura, D., and Clarke, P. G. (2002). Women's advantage on verbal memory is not restricted to concrete words. *Psychol. Rep.* 91, 1137–1142. doi: 10.2466/pr0. 2002.91.3f.1137
- Kimura, D., and Seal, B. N. (2003). Sex differences in recall of real or nonsense words. *Psychol. Rep.* 93, 263–264. doi: 10.2466/pr0.2003.93.1.263
- Kirchhoff, B. A., and Buckner, R. L. (2006). Functional-anatomic correlates of individual differences in memory. *Neuron* 51, 263–274. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron. 2006.06.006
- Kramer, J. H., Delis, D. C., and Daniel, M. (1988). Sex differences in verbal learning. J. Clin. Psychol. 44, 907–915.
- Lespinet-Najib, V., N'Kaoua, B., Sauzeon, H., Bresson, C., Rougier, A., and Claverie, B. (2004). Levels of processing with free and cued recall and unilateral temporal lobe epilepsy. *Brain Lang.* 89, 83–90. doi: 10.1016/s0093-934x(03)00303-1

- Liakakis, G., Nickel, J., and Seitz, R. J. (2011). Diversity of the inferior frontal gyrus – A meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies. *Behav. Brain Res.* 225, 341–347. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2011.06.022
- Lipinska, B., Backman, L., Mantyla, T., and Viitanen, M. (1994). Effectiveness of self-generated cues in early Alzheimer's disease. J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol. 16, 809–819. doi: 10.1080/01688639408402695
- Logan, J. M., Sanders, A. L., Snyder, A. Z., Morris, J. C., and Buckner, R. L. (2002). Under-recruitment and nonselective recruitment: dissociable neural mechanisms associated with aging. *Neuron* 33, 827–840. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(02)00612-8
- Luders, E., Thompson, P. M., and Toga, A. W. (2010). The development of the corpus callosum in the healthy human brain. J. Neurosci. 30, 10985–10990. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5122-09.2010
- Madhavan, K. M., McQueeny, T., Howe, S. R., Shear, P., and Szaflarski, J. P. (2014). Superior longitudinal fasciculus and language functioning in healthy aging. *Brain Res.* 1562, 11–22. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2014.03.012
- Maillet, D., and Rajah, M. N. (2014). Age-related differences in brain activity in the subsequent memory paradigm: a meta-analysis. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 45, 246–257. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.06.006
- Markant, D. B., Ruggeri, A., Gureckis, T. M., and Xu, F. (2016). Enhanced memory as a common effect of active learning. *Mind Brain Educ. Special Issue Mem. Res.* 10, 142–152. doi: 10.1111/mbe.12117
- Marshall, R., Neuberger, S., and Phillips, D. (1994). Verbal self-correction and improvement in treated aphasic clients. *Aphasiology* 8, 535–547. doi: 10.1080/ 02687039408248680
- McDaniel, M. A., Waddill, P. J., and Einstein, G. O. (1988). A contextual account of the generation effect: a three-factor theory. *J. Mem. Lang.* 27, 521–536. doi: 10.1016/0749-596x(88)90023-x
- McDermott, K. B., Buckner, R. L., Petersen, S. E., Kelley, W. M., and Sanders, A. L. (1999). Set- and code-specific activation in frontal cortex: an fMRI study of encoding and retrieval of faces and words. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 11, 631–640. doi: 10.1162/089892999563698
- Meier, T. B., Wildenberg, J. C., Liu, J., Chen, J., Calhoun, V. D., Biswal, B. B., et al. (2012). Parallel ICA identifies sub-components of resting state networks that covary with behavioral indices. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 6:281. doi: 10.3389/ fnhum.2012.00281
- Menon, V. (2015). "Salience Network," in Brain Mapping: An Encyclopedic Reference, Vol. 2, ed. A. W. Toga, (Cambridge, CA: Academic Press), 597–611.
- Menon, V., and Uddin, L. Q. (2010). Saliency, switching, attention and control: a network model of insula function. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 214, 655–667. doi: 10.1007/s00429-010-0262-0
- Mevel, K., Landeau, B., Fouquet, M., La Joie, R., Villain, N., Mézenge, F., et al. (2013). Age effect on the default mode network, inner thoughts, and cognitive abilities. *Neurobiol. Aging* 34, 1292–1301. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2012. 08.018
- Meyler, A., Keller, T. A., Cherkassky, V. L., Lee, D., Hoeft, F., Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., et al. (2007). Brain activation during sentence comprehension among good and poor readers. *Cereb. Cortex.* 17, 2780–2787. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhm006
- Meyler, A., Keller, T. A., Cherkassy, V. L., Gabrieli, J. D. E., and Just, M. A. (2008). Modifying the brain activation of poor readers during sentence comprehension with extended remedial instruction: a longitudinal study of neuroplasticity. *Neuropsychologia* 46, 2580–2592. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2008.03.012
- Miller, E. K., and Cohen, J. D. (2001). An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 24:167. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.167
- Morcom, A. M., Good, C. D., Frackowiak, R. S., and Rugg, M. D. (2003). Age effects on the neural correlates of successful memory encoding. *Brain* 126 (Pt 1), 213–229. doi: 10.1093/brain/awg020
- Morgan, V. L., Gore, J. C., and Szaflarski, J. P. (2008). Temporal clustering analysis: what does it tell us about the resting state of the brain? *Med. Sci. Monit.* 14, CR345–CR352.
- Mulligan, N. W., and Lozito, J. P. (2004). Self-generation and memory. Psychol. Learn. Motiv. 45, 175–214. doi: 10.1016/s0079-7421(03)45005-6
- Nenert, R., Allendorfer, J. B., Martin, A. M., Banks, C., Vannest, J., Holland, S. K., et al. (2018). Age-related language lateralization assessed by fMRI: the effects of sex and handedness. *Brain Res.* 1674, 20–35. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2017.08.021
- Nyberg, L. (2002). Levels of processing: a view from functional brain imaging. Memory 10, 345–348. doi: 10.1080/09658210244000171

- Nyberg, L., Cabeza, R., and Tulving, E. (1996). PET studies of encoding and retrieval: the HERA model. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* 3, 134–147. doi: 10.3758/ BF03212412
- Oberhuber, M., Hope, T. M. H., Seghier, M. L., Parker Jones, O., Prejawa, S., Green, D. W., et al. (2016). Four functionally distinct regions in the left supramarginal gyrus support word processing. *Cereb. Cortex* 26, 4212–4226. doi: 10.1093/ cercor/bhw251
- Oldfield, R. C. (1971). The assessment and analysis of handedness: the Edinburgh inventory. *Neuropsychologia* 9, 97–113. doi: 10.1016/0028-3932(71)90067-4
- Olofsson, U., and Nilsson, L. (1992). Mobilization of cognitive resources and the generation effect. *Psychol. Res.* 54, 103–109.
- Otten, L. J., Henson, R. N. A., and Rugg, M. D. (2001). Depth of processing effects on neural correlates of memory encoding: relationship between findings from across- and within- task comparisons. *Brain* 124, 399–412. doi: 10.1093/brain/ 124.2.399
- Otten, L. J., and Rugg, M. D. (2001). Electrophysiological correlates of memory encoding are task-dependent. *Brain Res. Cogn. Brain Res.* 12, 11–18. doi: 10.1016/s0926-6410(01)00015-5
- Paller, K. A., and Wagner, A. D. (2002). Observing the transformation of experience into memory. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 6, 93–102. doi: 10.1016/s1364-6613(00)01845-3
- Phillips, M. D., Lurito, J. T., Dzmidzic, M., Lowe, M. J., Wang, Y., and Matthews, V. P. (2000). Gener based differences in temporal lobe activation demonstrated using a novel passive listening paradigm. *Neuroimage* 11:S352.
- Poldrack, R. A., Wagner, A. D., Prull, M. W., Desmond, J. E., Glover, G. H., and Gabrieli, J. D. E. (1999). Functional specialization for semantic and phonological processing in the left inferior prefrontal cortex. *Neuroimage* 10, 15–35. doi: 10.1006/nimg.1999.0441
- Prichard, E., Propper, R. E., and Christman, S. D. (2013). Degree of handedness, but not direction, is a systematic predictor of cognitive performance. *Front. Psychol.* 4:9. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00009
- Prince, M. (2004). Does active learning work? A review of the research. *J. Eng. Educ.* 93, 223–231. doi: 10.1002/j.2168-9830.2004.tb00809.x
- Propper, R. E., and Christman, S. D. (2004). Mixed- versus strong-handedness is associated with biases toward 'Remember' versus 'Know' judgments in recognition memory: role of interhemispheric interaction. *Memory* 12, 707– 714. doi: 10.1080/09658210344000503
- Propper, R. E., Christman, S. D., and Phaneuf, K. A. (2005). A mixed-handed advantage in episodic memory: a possible role of interhemispheric interaction. *Mem. Cogn.* 33, 751–757. doi: 10.3758/bf03195341
- Pugh, K. R., Shaywitz, B. A., Shaywitz, S. E., Constable, R. T., Skudlarski, P., Fulbright, R. K., et al. (1996). Cerebral organization of component processes in reading. *Brain* 119, 1221–1238. doi: 10.1093/brain/119.4.1221
- Qin, S., Piekema, C., Petersson, K. M., Han, B., Luo, J., and Fernandez, G. (2007). Probing the transformation of discontinuous associations into episodic memory: an event-related fMRI study. *Neuroiamge* 38, 212–222. doi: 10.1016/ j.neuroimage.2007.07.020
- Rachakonda, S., Egolf, E., Correa, N., and Calhoun, V. (2007). Group ICA of fMRI Toolbox (GIFT) Manual. Available at: http://www.nitrc.org/docman/view.php/ 55/295/v1.3d_ GIFTManual pdf (accessed November 5, 2011).
- Ray, K. L., McKay, D. R., Fox, P. M., Riedel, M. C., Uecker, A. M., Beckmann, C. F., et al. (2013). ICA model order selection of task co-activation networks. *Front. Neurosci.* 7:237. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2013.00237
- Reuter-Lorenz, P., and Cappell, K. A. (2008). Neurocognitive aging and the compensation hypothesis. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* 17, 177–182. doi: 10.1111/ j.1467-8721.2008.00570.x
- Ringo, J. L., Doty, R. W., Demeter, S., and Simard, P. Y. (1994). Time is of the essence: a conjecture that hemispheric specialization arises from interhemispheric conduction delay. *Cereb. Cortex* 4, 331–343. doi: 10.1093/ cercor/4.4.331
- Rosen, A. C., Prull, M. W., O'Hara, R., Race, E. A., Desmond, J. E., Glover, G. H., et al. (2002). Variable effects of aging on frontal lobe contributions to memory. *Neuroreport* 13, 2425–2428. doi: 10.1097/00001756-200212200-00010
- Rosner, Z. A., Elman, J. A., and Shimamura, A. P. (2013). The generation effect: activating broad neural circuits during memory encoding. *Cortex* 49, 1901– 1909. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2012.09.009
- Rueckert, L., and Grafman, J. (1998). Sustained attention deficits in patients with lesions of posterior cortex. *Neuropsychologia* 36, 653–660. doi: 10.1016/s0028-3932(97)00150-4

- Rugg, M. D., Otten, L. J., and Henson, R. N. A. (2002). The neural basis of episodic memory encoding: evidence from functional neuroimaging. *R. Soc.* 357, 1097–1110. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2002.1102
- Sahu, A., Christman, S. D., and Propper, R. E. (2016). The contributions of handedness and working memory to episodic memory. *Mem. Cogn.* 44, 1149– 1156. doi: 10.3758/s13421-016-0625-8
- Saliasi, E., Geerligs, L., Lorist, M. M., and Maurits, N. M. (2014). Neural correlates associated with successful working memory performance in older adults as revealed by spatial ICA. *PLoS One* 9:e99250. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0099250
- Schefft, B. K., and Biederman, J. (1990). Emotional effects of self- generated behavior and the influence of resourcefulness and depressed mood. J. Soc. Clin. Psychol. 9, 354–366. doi: 10.1521/jscp.1990.9.3.354
- Schefft, B. K., Dulay, M. F., and Fargo, J. D. (2008a). The use of a self-generation memory encoding strategy to improve verbal memory and learning in patients with traumatic brain injury. *Appl. Neuropsychol.* 5, 61–68. doi: 10.1080/ 09084280801917806
- Schefft, B. K., Dulay, M. F., Fargo, J. D., Szaflarski, J. P., Yeh, H. S., and Privitera, M. D. (2008b). The use of self-generation procedures facilitates verbal memory in individuals with seizure disorders. *Epilepsy Behav.* 13, 162–168. doi: 10.1016/ j.yebeh.2008.01.012
- Schmithorst, V. J., and Holland, S. K. (2004). Event-related fMRI technique for auditory processing with hemodynamics unrelated to acoustic gradient noise. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 51, 399–402. doi: 10.1002/mrm.10706
- Seeley, W. W., Menon, V., Schatzberg, A. F., Keller, J., Glover, G. H., Kenna, H., et al. (2007). Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 2349–2356. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.5587-06.2007
- Seghier, M. L., and Price, C. J. (2013). Dissociating frontal regions that co-lateralize with different ventral occipitotemporal regions during word processing. *Brain Lang.* 126, 133–140. doi: 10.1016/j.bandl.2013.04.003
- Shallice, T., Fletcher, P., Frith, C. D., Grasby, P., Frackowiak, R. S., and Dolan, R. J. (1994). Brain regions associated with acquisition and retrival of verbal episodic memory. *Nature* 368, 633–635. doi: 10.1038/368633a0
- Shapleske, J., Rosswell, S. L., Woodruff, P. W. R., and David, A. S. (1999). The planum temporale: a systematic, quantitative review of its structural, functional and clinical significance. *Brain Res. Rev.* 29, 26–49. doi: 10.1016/s0165-0173(98)00047-2
- Shaywitz, B. A., Shaywitz, S. E., Pugh, K. R., Constable, R. T., Skudlarski, P., Fulbright, R. K., et al. (1995). Sex differences in the functional organization of the brain for language. *Nature* 373, 607–609.
- Shimamura, A. P. (2008). "A neurocognitive approach to metacognitive monitoring and control," in *Handbook of Memory and Metacognition*, eds J. Dunlosky, and R. Bjork, (Mahwah, NJ: Psychology Press), 373–390.
- Siegel, M., Allendorfer, J. B., Lindsell, C. J., Vannest, J., and Szaflarski, J. P. (2012). The effects of linguistic relationships among paired associates on verbal selfgeneration and recognition memory. *Brain Behav.* 2, 789–795. doi: 10.1002/ brb3.98
- Singh-Curry, V., and Husain, M. (2009). The functional role of the inferior parietal lobe in the dorsal and ventral stream dichotomy. *Neuropsychologia* 47, 1434–1448. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2008.11.033
- Smith, S. M., Jenkinson, M., Woolrich, M. W., Beckmann, C. F., Behrens, T. E., Johansen-Berg, H., et al. (2004). Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. *Neuroimage* 23(Suppl. 1), S208– S219.
- Souliez, L., Pasquier, F., Lebert, F., Leconte, P., and Petit, H. (1996). Generation effect in short-term verbal and visuospatial memory: comparisons between dementia of Alzheimer type and dementia of frontal lobe type. *Cortex* 32, 347–356. doi: 10.1016/s0010-9452(96)80056-6
- Springer, L., Stanne, M., and Donovan, S. (1999). Effects of smallgroup learning on undergraduates in science, mathematics, engineering and technology: a meta-analysis. *Rev. Educ. Res.* 69, 21–52.
- Sridharan, D., Levitin, D. J., and Menon, V. (2008). A critical role for the right fronto-insular cortex in switching between central-executive and default-mode networks. PNAS 105, 12569–12574. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0800005105
- Steinmetz, P. N., Roy, A., Fitzgerald, P. J., Hsaio, S. S., Johnson, K. O., and Niebur, E. (2000). Attention modulates synchronized neuronal firing in primate somatosensory cortex. *Neuron* 404, 187–190. doi: 10.1038/35004588

- Stoeckel, C., Gough, P. M., Watkins, K. E., and Devlin, J. T. (2009). Supramarginal gyrus involvement in visual word recognition. *Cortex* 45, 1091–1096. doi: 10. 1016/j.cortex.2008.12.004
- Sunderaraman, P., Blumen, H. M., DeMatteo, D., Apa, Z. L., and Cosentino, S. (2013). Task demand influences relationships among sex, clustering strategy, and recall: 16-word versus 9-word list learning tests. *Cogn. Behav. Neurol.* 26, 78–84. doi: 10.1097/WNN.0b013e31829de450
- Szaflarski, J. P., Binder, J. R., Possing, E. T., McKiernan, K. A., Ward, B. D., and Hammeke, T. A. (2002). Language lateralization in left-handed and ambidextrous people: fMRI data. *Neurology* 59, 238–244. doi: 10.1212/wnl.59. 2.238
- Szaflarski, J. P., Holland, S. K., Schmithorst, V. J., and Byars, A. W. (2006). fMRI study of language lateralization in children and adults. *Hum. Brain Map.* 27, 202–212. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20177
- Szaflarski, J. P., Holland, S. K., Schmithorst, V. J., Dunn, R. S., and Privitera, M. D. (2004). High-resolution functional MRI at 3T in healthy and epilepsy participants: hippocampal activation with picture encoding task. *Epilepsy Behav.* 5, 244–252. doi: 10.1016/j.yebeh.2004. 01.002
- Szaflarski, J. P., Rajagopal, A., Altaye, M., Byars, A. W., Jacola, L., Schmithorst, V. J., et al. (2012). Left-handedness and language lateralization in children. *Brain Res.* 1443, 85–97. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2011.11.026
- Tulving, E., Kapur, S., Craik, F. I., Moscovitch, M., and Houle, S. (1994). Hemispheric encoding/retrieval asymmetry in episodic memory: positron emission tomography findings. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 91, 2016–2020. doi: 10.1073/pnas.91.6.2016
- Tzourio-Mazoyer, N., Petit, L., Razafimandimby, A., Crivello, F., Zago, L., Jobard, G., et al. (2010). Left hemisphere lateralization for language in right-handers is controlled in part by familial sinisrality, manual preference strength, and head size. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 13314–13318. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2593-10.2010
- Van Ettinger-Veenstra, H., McAllister, A., Lundberg, P., Karlsson, T., and Engström, M. (2016). Higher language ability is related to angular gyrus activation increase during semantic processing, independent of sentence incongruency. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 10:110. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2016. 00110
- van Veen, V., Cohen, J. D., Botvinick, M. M., Stenger, V. A., and Carter, C. S. (2001). Anterior cingulate cortex, conflict monitoring, and levels of processing. *Neuroimage* 14, 1302–1308. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2001.0923

- Vandenberghe, R., Gitelman, D., Parrish, T., and Mesulam, M. (2001). Functional specificity of superior parietal mediation of spatial shifting. *Neuroimage* 14, 661–673. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2001.0860
- Vannest, J., Eaton, K. P., Henkel, D., Siegel, M., Tsevat, R. K., Allendorfer, J. B., et al. (2012). Cortical correlates of self-generation in verbal paired associate learning. *Brain Res.* 1437, 104–114. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2011.12.020
- Vannest, J., Maloney, T., Kay, B., Siegel, M., Allendorfer, J. B., Banks, C., et al. (2015). Age-related changes in the neural basis of self-generation in verbal paired associate learning. *Neuroimage Clin.* 7, 537–546. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2015. 02.006
- Walsh, K., Briggs, R., Ayoub, J., and Vanderboom, C. (1995). "Teaching with GSS: techniques for enabling student participation," in *Proceedings of the 1st Americas Conference on Information Systems*, Cancún, 621–623.
- Weiss, E. M., Ragland, J. D., Brensinger, C. M., Bilker, W. B., Deisenhammer, E. A., and Delazer, M. (2006). Sex differences in clustering and switching in verbal fluency tasks. J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. 12, 502–509.
- Wirth, M., Horn, H., Koenig, T., Stein, M., Federspiel, A., Meier, B., et al. (2006). Sex differences in semantic processing: event-related brain potentials distinguish between lower and higher order semantic analysis during word reading. *Cereb. Cortex* 12, 1987–1997. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhl121
- Witelson, S. F., and Goldsmith, C. H. (1991). The relationship of hand preference to anatomy of the corpus collosum in men. *Brain Res.* 545, 175–182. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(91)91284-8
- Ystad, M., Eichele, T., Lundervold, A. J., and Lundervold, A. (2010). Subcortical functional connectivity and verbal episodic memory in healthy elderly-a resting state fMRI study. *Neuroimage* 52, 379–388. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010. 03.062

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Nair, Nenert, Allendorfer, Goodman, Vannest, Mirman and Szaflarski. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.