

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Doppler Effect Assisted Wireless Communication for Interference Mitigation

Citation for published version:

Basnayaka, D & Ratnarajah, T 2019, 'Doppler Effect Assisted Wireless Communication for Interference Mitigation', IEEE Transactions on Communications. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2019.2912193

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1109/TCOMM.2019.2912193

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: IEEE Transactions on Communications

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Doppler Effect Assisted Wireless Communication for Interference Mitigation

Dushyantha A. Basnayaka[®], Senior Member, IEEE, and Tharmalingam Ratnarajah, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—Doppler effect is a fundamental phenomenon that 1 appears in wave propagation, where a moving observer experi-2 ences dilation or contraction of the wavelength of a wave. It also 3 appears in radio frequency (RF) wireless communication when 4 there exists a relative movement between the transmitter and 5 the receiver, and is widely considered as a major impairment 6 for reliable wireless communication. The current paper proposes Doppler assisted wireless communication (DAWC) that exploits Doppler effect and uses kinetic energy for co-channel interfer-9 ence (CCI) mitigation. The proposed system also exploits the 10 propagation environment and the network topology and consists 11 of an access point (AP) with a rotating drum antenna. The 12 rotating drum receive antenna is designed in such a way that 13 it shifts the interfering signals away from the desired signal 14 band. This paper includes a detailed system model, and the 15 results show that under favorable fading conditions, CCI can 16 be significantly reduced. Therefore, it is anticipated that more 17 sophisticated wireless systems and networks can be designed by 18 extending the basic ideas proposed herein. 19

Index Terms—Doppler effect, electromagnetic waves,
 co-channel interference, interference mitigation, kinetic energy.

I. INTRODUCTION

22

MULTITUDE of wireless networks have revolutionized 23 A the modern living for a half a century, and are expected 24 to revolutionize our lives in an unprecedented scale in the 25 future too [1]. Todays' wireless networks-often digital wire-26 less networks-are used for various activities such as mass 27 communication, security and surveillance systems, sensing 28 and disaster monitoring networks, satellite communication and 29 tactical communication systems. Wireless networks typically 30 consist of a collection of wireless transmitters and receivers, 31 and use a fixed band of radio frequency (RF) spectrum 32 for communication. Due to spectrum scarcity, often wireless 33 networks reuse their limited frequency spectrum, which in turn 34 gives rise to a fundamental problem in wireless communication 35 known as co-channel interference (CCI) [2]. 36

The CCI occurs when wireless stations that are nearby use/reuse overlapping spectrum. Modern wireless networks widely employ many intelligent and adaptive physical (PHY)

Manuscript received July 16, 2018; revised December 19, 2018 and March 2, 2019; accepted April 6, 2019. This work was supported by the U.K. Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) under Grant EP/N014073/1. The associate editor coordinating the review of this paper and approving it for publication was A. Tajer. (*Corresponding author: Dushyantha A. Basnayaka.*)

The authors are with the Institute for Digital Communication, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH8 9YL, U.K. (e-mail: d.basnayaka@ed.ac.uk).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TCOMM.2019.2912193

layer interference mitigation/avoidance/management tech-40 niques such as interference detection and subtraction (also 41 known as successive interference cancellation or SIC), coor-42 dinated multi-point systems (CoMP), interference alignment 43 (IA), diversity receivers (such as maximal ratio combining 44 (MRC), zero forcing (ZF) and minimum mean-squared error 45 (MMSE)), cognitive radio (CR), cooperative communication 46 and transmit power control. Often PHY layer techniques 47 are more effective, but multiple access control (MAC) layer 48 techniques such as schedule randomization, measurement and 49 rescheduling, and super controller also exist [3]. Furthermore, 50 cross layer techniques that combine and jointly optimize two 51 or more MAC and PHY techniques for interference mitigation 52 are also widely considered [4]. 53

The PHY techniques add (or are expected to add) significant 54 intelligence to future wireless networks. For instance, non-55 orthogonal multiple access systems (NOMA), which uses 56 interference detection and subtraction along with power con-57 trol is expected to increase the spectral efficiency and the 58 system throughput in 5th generation (5G) mass communication 59 networks [5], [6]. In CoMP, a number of co-channel trans-60 mitters provide coordinated transmission to multiple receivers 61 and multiple receivers provide coordinated reception to mul-62 tiple co-channel transmitters [7]. In IA, all the co-channel 63 transmitters cooperatively align-by exploiting channel state 64 information (CSI) at transmitters-their transmissions in such a 65 way that the interference subspaces at all the receivers jointly 66 are limited to a smaller dimensional subspace, and is orthog-67 onal to the desired signal subspace [8]. Diversity receivers 68 use multi-antenna techniques for interference mitigation [9], 69 and CR learns from the environment, and adapts its trans-70 mission. If a particular channel is occupied by a primary 71 user, CR halts transmission or transmits at a lower power 72 level so that the possible interference to primary user is 73 minimized [10]. 74

The focus of this paper is also interference mitigation at PHY layer, where we envision to add a new degree of freedom to existing wireless receivers by exploiting Doppler effect. We introduce a new paradigm for wireless communication, namely Doppler Assisted Wireless Communication (DAWC in short) [11], and consider a receiver or an access point (AP) in a typical wireless sensor network with a circular high speed rotating drum antenna as shown in Fig. 1.

A. Rotating Drum Antenna

Fig. 1 illustrates the proposed circular drum antenna for DAWC. In order to exploit Doppler effect, the AP has a

0090-6778 © 2019 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82



Fig. 1. A possible antenna implementation for Doppler assisted wireless communication (DAWC), where (a) a fixed antenna canister with an opening, where a small portion of the antenna is visible to outside, (b) a rotatable drum antenna, which is located inside the circular canister, (c) a possible implementation to receive two desired signals from two transmitters of azimuthal separation of θ .

circular antenna, which consists of two parts. 1) a fixed circular 86 canister 2) a rotatable drum antenna as shown in Fig. 1-(b). 87 The radiating surface of this conformal antenna lies on the 88 curve surface of the drum, which is located inside the circular 89 canister. There is an opening on the curve surface of the canis-90 ter, and it is directed to the direction of the desired transmitter. 91 Consequently, the channel responses of the desired link and 92 the other interfering links which have reasonable azimuthal 93 separation exhibit different frequency characteristics. Despite 94 Doppler effect being considered as an major impairment, the 95 results in this paper indicate that successful receiver side CCI 96 mitigation techniques (henceforth DAIM to denote Doppler 97 assisted interference mitigation) can still be developed based 98 on a rotating drum antenna and Doppler effect. 99

The round and/or rotating antenna units are used in several 100 key widely-used systems such as TACAN (for tactical air 101 navigation) and LIDAR (for light detection and ranging). 102 The TACAN system has multiple antennas installed in a 103 circle, and electronically steers a radio beam in order to 104 provide directional information for distance targets over a 105 360° azimuth. The radio beam rotates (often electronically) 106 merely to serve targets located around it. However, the rotating 107 antenna in DAWC is a key unit that gives rise to Doppler 108 effect, and fundamentally important for the operation of 109 the system. It typically rotates at very high speed than the 110 beam in TACAN system. Furthermore, modern autonomous 111 cars also employ a system known as LIDAR, which also 112 has a rotating unit. LIDAR is a variation of conventional 113 RADAR, and uses laser light instead of radiowaves to make 114 high-resolution topological maps around automobiles. LIDAR 115 antenna rotates in order merely to map 360° angle, and not 116 for any other fundamental reason. The TACAN and LIDAR 117 systems are hence fundamentally different from the system 118 proposed in the current paper, and tackle entirely different 119 challenges. 120

MIMO (or its more popular variant, massive MIMO) is 121 the state-of-the-art for CCI mitigation, but heavily relies on 122 CSI [12]. The proposed system does not rely on CSI for CCI 123 mitigation (note however that, it still uses CSI of the desired 124 user for data detection). Typically, CCI may occur from a 125 single co-channel transmitter or numerous co-channel trans-126 mitters. If CCI occurs from multiple co-channel users, MIMO 127 systems need fairly accurate CSI of all co-channel users for 128 successful CCI mitigation [13]. They let the interference into 129 the system, and uses ever more complex signal processing 130 techniques (a software domain approach) for CCI mitigation. 131

In essence, massive MIMO lets the enemy (metaphorically 132 to denote CCI) into its own backyard, and fight head-on. 133 In contrast, the proposed system can handle any number of 134 interferers, and automatically suppresses co-channel multi-135 path signals with a reasonable azimuthal separation to the 136 desired multi-paths even before they corrupt the desired signal. 137 In that sense, DAWC is a paradigm shifting technology, which 138 keeps the enemy at the bay. Furthermore, the rotation is not a 139 necessity. If CCI is not severe, and can be handled by software 140 means, the antenna is not required to be rotated. The level of 141 CCI mitigation can be controlled rapidly by simply changing 142 the rotation speed of the antenna. In essence, DAWC adds a 143 new degree of freedom to future wireless APs. 144

It is important to note furthermore that, the results in this paper are also applicable to wireless networks based on microwave, mmwave frequencies, and also to coherent optical laser communication systems [14], [15].

This paper includes a detailed study of DAWC on MATLAB. The rest of the paper also includes the system model in Sec. II, performance analysis and discussions in Sec. III, further remarks in Sec. IV, and conclusions in Sec. V.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

153

A narrow-band uplink communication from a wireless sta-154 tion, S (to denote source) to AP in a wireless network is 155 considered, where another wireless station, I (to denote the 156 interferer) located at an azimuthal angle separation of θ poses 157 CCI as shown in Fig. 2. Note that, all wireless stations are 158 fixed, and both S and I are in transmit mode while AP being 159 in receive mode. It is assumed that AP has a rotating drum 160 antenna of radius, R, with the canister opening being directed 161 towards the desired transmitter, S. Let the analog complex 162 baseband signal of the desired and the interfering stations 163 respectively be given by $b_S(t)$ and $b_I(t)$: 164

$$b_S(t) = \Psi(a_S), \tag{1}$$

$$b_I(t) = \Psi(a_I), \tag{2}$$

where a_S and a_I respectively are the complex discrete time 167 base-band data-drawn from a M-Quadrature Amplitude Mod-168 ulation (M-QAM) constellation, \mathcal{M} based on binary data 169 signals, d_S and d_I -signals of stations, S and I and the 170 operation $\Psi(.)$ denotes the squre root raised cosine (SRRC) 171 pulse shaping operation [16]. Let the symbol time duration 172 and the bandwidth of the data signals be denoted by T_s and 173 B_w respectively, where typically $T_s = 1/B_w$. The transmit 174



Fig. 2. A wireless AP with a single rotating antenna for DAWC. The figure is not to the scale, and the AP is exaggerated for exposition. To reduce the clutter, not all wireless links are shown.

¹⁷⁵ waveforms will then be given by:

189

190

195

$$x_S(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{b_S(t) e^{j2\pi f_c t}\right\},\tag{3}$$

177
$$x_I(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{b_I(t) e^{j2\pi f_c t}\right\},\tag{4}$$

where f_c is the carrier frequency, $j = \sqrt{-1}$, and Re {} denotes 178 the real part [17]. Furthermore, the transmit power of both 179 links are scaled to give $\mathcal{E}\left\{x_S(t)^2\right\} = P_S$ and $\mathcal{E}\left\{x_I(t)^2\right\} =$ 180 P_I . It is herein assumed that the communication takes place 181 between S, I and AP in a scattering environment, where AP 182 receives multiple faded replicas of the transmitted signals, 183 $x_{S}(t)$ and $x_{I}(t)$. Hence, the received signal by AP can in 184 the absence of noise be given by: 185

186
$$y(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{r_{S}(t) e^{j2\pi f_{c}t}\right\} + \operatorname{Re}\left\{r_{I}(t) e^{j2\pi f_{c}t}\right\},$$
 (5)

where the complex base-band desired and interfering received signals, $r_S(t)$ and $r_I(t)$ can be given by:

$$r_{S}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N_{S}} \alpha_{n}^{S} e^{j\phi_{n}^{S}(t)} b_{S}\left(t - \tau_{n}^{S}\right), \tag{6a}$$

$$r_{I}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N_{I}} \alpha_{n}^{I} e^{j\phi_{n}^{I}(t)} b_{I} \left(t - \tau_{n}^{I}\right).$$
(6b)

The quantities, α_n , ϕ_n and τ_n in (6) respectively are the faded amplitude, phase and path delay of the *n*th replica of the desired and interference signals, where:

$$\phi_n^S(t) = 2\pi \left\{ f_n^S t - \left(f_c + f_n^S \right) \tau_n^S \right\},$$
(7a)

$$\phi_n^I(t) = 2\pi \left\{ f_n^I t - \left(f_c + f_n^I \right) \tau_n^I \right\}.$$
 (7b)

It is assumed that α_n^S , α_n^I , τ_n^S , τ_n^I , N_S and N_I are approximately the same for a certain amount of time (say block interval) that is sufficient to transmit at least one data packet, and change to new realizations independently in the next block interval. The frequency change due to Doppler effect on the *n*th incoming ray of the desired and the interfering signal, f_n^S and f_n^I in (7) are respectively given by:

$$f_n^S = f_m \sin\left(\beta_n^S\right),\tag{8a}$$

$$f_n^I = f_m \sin\left(\theta + \beta_n^I\right),\tag{8b}$$



Fig. 3. The top view of an access point, where one desired (i.e. B_nC) and one interfering ray (i.e. A_nC) are shown. The canister opening is at C.

where $f_m = f_c v/C$. Note that the arrival angles of users 205 are measured with respect to the direction of the respective 206 user. For instance, the arrival angles, β_n^S of the desired 207 user are measured with respect to the direction of CB (see 208 Fig. 3), and the arrival angles, β_n^I of the interfering user are 209 measured with respect to the direction of CA. As a result, 210 according to Fig. 3, the nth angle of arrival of the desired 211 and the interfering signals are given by $\beta_n^S = \angle BCB_n$ and 212 $\beta_n^I = \angle ACA_n$. Furthermore, the dominant angles of arrivals 213 are limited to $-\omega/2 \leq \beta_n^S, \beta_n^I \leq \omega/2$ for all n. Since 214 it is a narrow-band communication link, we further assume 215 that $\tau_n^S, \tau_n^I \ll T_s$, and with out loss of applicability, make the substitution, $\tau_S \approx \tau_n^S$ and $\tau_I \approx \tau_n^I$ for all n. As a 216 217 result: 218

$$r_{S}(t) = b_{S}(t - \tau_{S}) \sum_{n=0}^{N_{S}} \alpha_{n}^{S} e^{j\phi_{n}^{S}(t)}, \qquad (9a) \quad 219$$

$$r_{I}(t) = b_{I}(t - \tau_{I}) \sum_{n=0}^{N_{I}} \alpha_{n}^{I} e^{j\phi_{n}^{I}(t)},$$
(9b) 220

260

One must note that the approximations, $\tau_S \approx \tau_n^S$ and $\tau_I \approx \tau_n^I$ are invoked only to $b_S (t - \tau_n^S)$ and $b_I (t - \tau_n^I)$ in (9), and since, $f_c \tau_n^S$ and $f_c \tau_n^I$ can still be significant, the path delay differences are still considered in the summation of (9). If the perfect synchronization is assumed, the complex baseband desired and interfering received signals, $r_S (t)$ and $r_I (t)$ can be rewritten as:

228
$$r_{S}(t) = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{N_{S}} \alpha_{n}^{S} e^{j\phi_{n}^{S}(t)} \right\} b_{S}(t) = h_{S}(t) b_{S}(t), \quad (10a)$$

229
$$r_{I}(t) = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{N_{I}} \alpha_{n}^{I} e^{j\phi_{n}^{I}(t)} \right\} b_{I}(t) = h_{I}(t) b_{I}(t), \quad (10b)$$

where $h_S(t)$ and $h_I(t)$ are denoted henceforth as channel fading functions. The averaged channel gains for both links are defined as $\mathcal{E}\left\{|h_S(t)|^2\right\} = g_S$ and $\mathcal{E}\left\{|h_I(t)|^2\right\} = g_I$ [18]. The constants, g_S and g_I capture the average channel gains due to path loss and shadowing alone which is also given by $g_S = \mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{N_S} (\alpha_n^S)^2\right\}$ and $g_I = \mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{N_I} (\alpha_n^I)^2\right\}$, where the expectation is over block intervals.

It is assumed that dominant (in terms of the receive power) 237 paths exist from both source and interferer to AP either as 238 a result of line-of-sight (LoS) or dominant non-line-of-sight 239 (NLoS) rays along with significantly weaker scattered rays. 240 With out loss of generality, let the 0th terms in (6) denote the 241 dominant paths, and as also pointed out earlier, AP points the 242 canister opening towards dominant paths from S. Let K be 243 Rician K-factor which models the ratio of the received power 244 between the dominant path and other paths [16]. Then: 245

$$K = \frac{\mathcal{E}\left\{\left(\alpha_{0}^{S}\right)^{2}\right\}}{\mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{S}}\left(\alpha_{n}^{S}\right)^{2}\right\}} = \frac{\mathcal{E}\left\{\left(\alpha_{0}^{I}\right)^{2}\right\}}{\mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{N_{I}}\left(\alpha_{n}^{I}\right)^{2}\right\}},$$
 (11)

where it is assumed that K-factor is the same for both the desired and interference link. The received signal in the presence of noise is given by:

50
$$y(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{ \left[r_{S}(t) + r_{I}(t) + n(t) \right] e^{j2\pi f_{c}t} \right\},$$
 (12)

where n(t) is complex base-band zero mean additive white 251 Gaussian noise (AWGN) signal with $\mathcal{E}\left\{|n(t)|^2\right\} = \sigma_n^2$. The 252 signal-to-noise-ratio is hence defined as SNR = $g_S P_S / \sigma_n^2$, 253 and signal-to-interference power ratio is defined as SIR = 254 $q_S P_S / q_I P_I$. The AP processes the received signal, y(t)255 by in-phase and quadrature-phase mixing and filtering with 256 a low pass filter (LPF) of bandwidth, B_w to obtain the 257 continuous-time complex base-band equivalent received signal 258 as: 259

$$r(t) = r'_S(t) + r'_I(t) + n(t).$$
(13)

One must distinguish the difference between $r_{S}(t)$ and $r'_{S}(t)$ 261 (also between $r_{I}(t)$ and $r'_{I}(t)$) in (13) that $r'_{S}(t)$ is the low 262 pass filtered version of $r_{S}(t)$ which is the original faded 263 desired signal supposed to be received by AP. Conventionally, 264 LPF assures that $r'_{S}(t) = r_{S}(t)$ and $r'_{I}(t) = r_{I}(t)$. However 265 as v increases, and also discussed in detail in Sec. II-A, 266 $r_{S}(t)$ and $r_{I}(t)$ broaden in the frequency domain due to 267 Doppler effect. Since the canister is directed towards the 268

desired source, the spectral broadening in $r_{S}(t)$ is less severe, 269 and under favorable fading conditions, reliable communication 270 is still possible with a reasonable channel estimation overhead. 271 Moreover, if v is sufficiently large, the spectrum of $r_I(t)$ 272 shifts to an intermediate frequency determined by v and θ . 273 Consequently, a majority or entire interference signal, $r_{I}(t)$, 274 can be made to be filtered out by LPF so to create a less 275 interfered channel. The AP samples r(t) at symbol rate to 276 obtain the discrete time complex base-band signal in terms of 277 desired data signal, a_S as: 278

$$r(\ell) = r'_S(\ell) + n'(\ell),$$
 (14a) 27

$$=h_{S}^{\prime}\left(\ell\right)a_{S}\left(\ell\right)+n^{\prime}\left(\ell\right),\quad\forall\ell\qquad(14b)\quad_{280}$$

where ℓ alone is used for ℓT_s . Furthermore, $r'_S(\ell)$ and 281 $n'(\ell)$ are the sampled versions of $r'_{S}(t)$ and $r'_{I}(t) + n(t)$ 282 respectively. Note that $h'_{S}(\ell)$ combines the effect of $h_{S}(\ell)$ 283 and other possible effects of low pass filtering of $r_{S}(\ell)$. The 284 detector then uses the following symbol-by-symbol detection 285 rule based on minimum Euclidean distance (also equivalent to 286 maximum likelihood (ML) detector in AWGN) which treats 287 the interference plus noise, $n'(\ell)$, as additional noise to obtain 288 the estimated data, d_S : 289

$$\hat{d}_{S}\left(\ell\right) = \min_{a_{S}\left(\ell\right)\in\mathcal{M}}\left|r\left(\ell\right) - h_{S}'\left(\ell\right)a_{S}\left(\ell\right)\right|^{2}, \quad \forall \ell.$$
(15) 290

Unlike in the case with v = 0, due to Doppler effect, $h'_{S}(\ell)$ ²⁹¹ are different within a block interval even with α_n , ϕ_n , τ_n , N_S ²⁹² and N_I being fixed. However, in this study, we assume that they can be approximated by a fixed value, \hbar_S . Consequently, ²⁹⁴ (15) becomes: ²⁹⁵

$$\hat{d}_{S}\left(\ell\right) = \min_{a_{S}\left(\ell\right) \in \mathcal{M}} \left| r\left(\ell\right) - \hat{h}_{S} a_{S}\left(\ell\right) \right|^{2}, \quad \forall \ell.$$
(16) 29

300

where \hbar_S is the estimated value of \hbar_S . The key roles played by spectral characteristics of channel fading functions in (10) are graphically discussed in the next section.

A. The Effects of Antenna Rotation

Conventionally, the antenna is fixed (i.e. v = 0), but as 301 rotation speed increases, two conflicting phenomena happen. 302 These phenomena can be better explained using the illustra-303 tions in Fig. 4. The Fig. 4-(a) shows an illustration of the 304 single-sided magnitude response of $r_{S}(t)$, $r_{I}(t)$, $h_{S}(t)$ and 305 $h_I(t)$ along with the magnitude response of the receiver's 306 LPF. When v = 0, $H_S(f)$ and $H_I(f)$ are just impulses, and 307 have no relevant effect on $r_{S}(f)$ and $r_{I}(f)$. However, as v 308 increases $H_S(f)$ and $H_I(f)$ tend to broaden, and notably, 309 $H_I(f)$ sways away from zero frequency (i.e., f = 0) to an 310 intermediate frequency determined by $f_D = f_m \sin \theta$, and in 311 turn by the azimuthal separation, θ , v, and f_c . Consequently, 312 the majority of interference power lies outside the desired 313 signal bandwidth, B_w , and hence, there is an interference 314 suppression effect. On the other hand, since, $R_S(f) =$ 315 $H_{S}(f) \circledast B_{S}(f)$ and $R_{I}(f) = H_{I}(f) \circledast B_{I}(f), R_{S}(f)$ and 316 $R_{I}(f)$ also tend to broaden. Note that $B_{S}(f)$ and $B_{I}(f)$ 317 denote the frequency response of $b_S(t)$ and $b_I(t)$ respectively, 318 and \circledast denotes the convolution operator [16]. As a result of 319



Fig. 4. Illustrations of magnitude responses of (1) $R_S(f)$, (2) $R_I(f)$, (3) $H_S(f)$ and (4) $H_I(f)$ which are Fourier transforms of $r_S(t)$, $r_I(t)$, $h_S(t)$ and $h_I(t)$ respectively. The magnitude response of LPF at AP is also shown in (5), and $f_D = \frac{vf_C}{C} \sin \theta$.

this spectrum broadening,¹ a certain amount of desired signal 320 power is also suppressed by LPF and thus a distortion effect 321 on the desired signal. As v increases further, as shown in 322 Fig. 4-(c), the interference signal can be shifted completely 323 away from the desired signal, but the amount of power 324 suppressed by the LPF also increases making the desired 325 signal more distorted. Hence, a trade off between interference 326 suppression capability and the distortion of the desired signal 327 in DAWC is clearly apparent. However, as shown in Sec. III-F, 328 a reasonable compromise can be made, where a significant 329 performance gain can still be achieved. 330

331 III. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The performance of DAIM (a convenient name for DAWC when applied for CCI mitigation) is analyzed using a comprehensive end-to-end digital communication link simulated on MATLAB. In order to accurately assess DAIM, we herein simulate a pass-band digital communication link, where pulse shaping, up-conversion, RF mixing and LPF have also

¹The spectral broadening is initiated by the rotation, but could be exacerbated by adverse fading conditions such as low K, and high N_S , N_I and ω .

TABLE I NOTATIONS AND THEIR DEFINITIONS

Definition	Symbol
Carrier frequency	f_c
Speed of light	C
Sampling frequency	F_s
Signal bandwidth	B_w
Symbol duration	T_s
Rician factor	K
Number of S/I multi-path components	N_S/N_I
Amplitute of the <i>n</i> th S/I multi-path	α_n^S/α_n^I
Phase of the <i>n</i> th S/I multi-path	ϕ_n^S/ϕ_n^I
Delay of the <i>n</i> th S/I multi-path	τ_n^S/τ_n^I
Azimuthal separation of S and I	θ

been implemented.² The main block diagram of the simulation is shown in Fig. 5, where for simplicity Quadrature Phase Shift Keying (QPSK) is considered with other system parameters as shown in Tables I and II. The major steps of the simulation environment are obtained as follows. 340

A. Transmit Signals

We consider a time duration to transmit a single data packet, where a single packet lasts L symbols or equivalently ηL samples. The constant, η denotes the up-sampling ratio, which is given by $\eta = T_s/t_o$, where $t_o = 1/F_s$ is the sampling period in the computer simulation herein. The *k*th sample of the complex base-band transmitted signal of the desired link³ is obtained by: 350

$$b_S\left(kt_o\right) = \left[\tilde{a}_S \circledast p\right]\left(kt_o\right), \quad k = 1, \dots, \eta L,$$
 (17) 351

where \tilde{a}_S is the *k*th sample of up-sampled version of a_S and $p(kt_o)$ is the *k*th sample of SRRC filter which is obtained by: 352
353

$$p(kt_o) = \frac{\sin(\pi k (1-\rho)) + 4\pi k \cos(\pi t (1+\rho))}{\pi k (1-16k^2\rho^2)}, \quad (18) \quad \text{354}$$

where ρ is the roll-off factor of SRRC filter. Henceforth, we may interchangeably use standalone k for kt_o . Furthermore, we scale $b_S(kt_o)$ so $\mathcal{E}\{|x_S(k)|^2\} = P_s/\eta =$ $1/\eta$. Consequently, the kth sample of the normalized complex base-band faded desired received signal⁴ is obtained by: 360

$$r_S(k) = b_S(k) h_S(k).$$
 (19) 362

²Note that the implementation of up-conversion and RF mixing which requires a significantly higher sampling rate, and hence is computationally inefficient, is avoided by using an equivalent base-band model, but still with transmit pulse shaping and LPF in order to accurately captures the effects outlined in Sec. II-A. Unlike in conventional complex base-band simulations, the LPF operation is crucial for this simulation study.

³Note that one can obtain the *k*th sample of the pass-band transmit signal of the desired link by $x_S(kt_o) = b_S(kt_o) e^{j2\pi f_c kt_o}$.

⁴Note that one can obtain the *k*th sample of the complex pass-band desired received signal by Re $\{r_S(k) e^{j2\pi f_C k}\}$.

411

426



Fig. 5. The main simulation block diagram.

363 B. Multi-Path Channels

The *k*th sample of the fading function, $h_S(k)$ is obtained by the complex equation:

$$h_{S}(k) = \sqrt{\frac{g_{S}K}{K+1}} [h_{S}]_{d} + \sqrt{\frac{g_{S}}{K+1}} [h_{S}]_{s}, \qquad (20)$$

where the channel function of the direct path of the desired link, $[h_S]_d = e^{-j\varphi_0^S}$, and the channel function of the scattered paths, $[h_S]_s$ is obtained as:

$$[h_S]_s = \sum_{n=1}^{N_S} \alpha_n^S e^{j2\pi f_n^S k t_o - j\psi_n^S}.$$
 (21)

The term that accounts for the change in the frequency due to 371 Doppler effect, f_n^S is obtained by $f_n^S = f_m \sin(\beta_n^S)$, where 372 $\beta_n^S \sim \mathcal{U}(-\omega/2,\omega/2)$. Note that $\mathcal{U}(a,b)$ is an abbreviation 373 for the uniform distribution with support, [a, b]. The phase 374 term, ψ_n^S is obtained by $\psi_n^S \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 2\pi)$. More importantly, 375 note that $f_0^S = 0$ for any v due to the fact that canister 376 opening is directed towards to the desired transmitter. Fur-377 thermore, in LoS fading, ψ_0^S is dependent on the distance 378 between S and AP, and hence is set to a fixed arbitrary value 379 throughout the simulation. Lastly, the amplitudes, α_n^S s are 380 assumed to be approximately equal, and hence, are set to $\alpha_n^S =$ 381 $\sqrt{1/2N_S}$ which in conjunction with (20) subsequently guar-382 antees that $\mathcal{E}\left\{|h_{S}(k)|^{2}\right\} = g_{S}$. This along with the fact that 383 $\mathcal{E}\left\{|x_{S}(k)|^{2}\right\} = 1/\eta$ directly implies that $\mathcal{E}\left\{|r_{S}(k)|^{2}\right\} =$ 384 $1/\eta$. Similarly, the kth sample of the scattered received signal 385 of the interfering link, $r_I(kt_o)$ is also obtained with following notable exceptions: $[h_S]_d = e^{j2\pi f_0^I - j\psi_0^I}$, where $f_0^I = f_m \sin \theta$. Furthermore, β_n^I and ψ_n^I are assumed to be distributed as in 386 387 388 the case for the desired link. 389

390 C. Receive Signal at AP

As a result, the kth sample of the combined pass-band received signal by AP is obtained as:

393
$$y(k) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{ \left[r_{S}(k) + r_{I}(k) + n(k) \right] e^{j2\pi f_{c}k} \right\},$$
 (22)

where n(k) is the kth AWGN sample with variance σ_n^2/η , and since $r_S(k)$ and $r_I(k)$ are normalized to have average channel gains, g_S and g_I respectively, SIR of the wireless network boils down to SIR = g_S/g_I , and can be adjusted conveniently by manipulating, g_S and g_I in the computer simulation herein. Furthermore, SNR also boils down to SNR = g_S/σ_n^2 .

D. RF Mixing, LP Filtering, Sampling and Detection

It is assumed herein that AP performs I/Q mixing perfectly,⁵ 401 and produces a base-band version of y(k), which is $r_S(k) +$ 402 $r_{I}(k) + n(k)$. The AP then passes this complex base-band 403 version of y(k) through SRRC LPF. The low pass filtered 404 complex signal is then sampled (rather down-sampled) at 405 symbol rate of T_s to obtain $r(\ell T_s)$, for $\ell = 1, \ldots, L$, which 406 are the faded, interfered and noisier versions of the complex 407 modulated samples, $a_S(\ell T_s)$, $\forall \ell$. The L complex samples 408 per packet are then forwarded to the detector in (16) to obtain 409 the reproduced data, \hat{d}_S . 410

E. Simple Channel Estimation

As pointed out in Sec. II, despite being different, all fading coefficients, $h'_{S}(\ell)$ s, in a single data packet duration are approximated by a single value, \hbar_{S} . In this study, we assume that the desired transmitter sends Q number of known data symbols, and AP uses simple least-square (LS) algorithm for channel estimation [19]. From (14), the complex base-band signal received in the channel estimation phase, $r^{e}(\ell)$, is: 412

$$r^{e}(\ell) = h'_{S}(\ell) a^{e}_{S}(\ell) + n'(\ell), \text{ for } \ell = 1, \dots, Q,$$
 (23) 419

$$\approx \hbar_S a_S^e(\ell) + n'(\ell), \tag{24}$$

where $a_S^e(\ell)$ are known symbols transmitted for channel estimation. The LS estimation of \hbar_S can hence be obtained as: $\hat{h}_S = (\boldsymbol{a}_S^e)^H \boldsymbol{r}^e / (\boldsymbol{a}_S^e)^H \boldsymbol{a}_S^e$, where $\boldsymbol{r}^e = \{r^e(1), \dots, r^e(Q)\}^T$ and $\boldsymbol{a}^e = \{a_S^e(1), \dots, a_S^e(Q)\}^T$. In the forthcoming simulation study, Q = 8 is used.

F. Simulation Results

A severely interfered link is simulated, where both desired 427 and interference links have equal average link gains, so $q_I =$ 428 g_S . Hence, the SIR before the DAIM receiver denoted herein 429 as SIR is 0 dB. Fig. 6 shows the averaged BER performance 430 of a communication link with SIR = 0 dB, $N_S = N_I = 50$, 431 K = 20 dB, and $\omega = 20^{\circ}$, where the results show that when 432 v = 0, the link with interference is completely unusable. 433 However, as v increases to $v = 2.5\lambda_c B_w$, BER performance 434 improves significantly. BER performance with no interference 435 and v = 0 is also shown for comparison. It is apparent that 436 at low SNR, DAIM can create an interference free link, but 437

⁵Note that one can obtain the *k*th sample of the in-phase mixed signal by $y(k) \cos 2\pi f_c k$ while $y(k) \sin 2\pi f_c k$ being the quadrature phase mixed signal.

TABLE II PARAMETERS FOR QPSK PASS-BAND SIMULATION

Parameter	Value
Carrier frequency, f_c	60 GHz
Sampling frequency, F_s	3 MHz
Signal bandwidth, B_w	5 KHz
Symbol duration, T_s	$1/B_w$
Low pass filter	SRRC
SRRC span	$64T_s$
Rolloff factor of SRRC, ρ	0.2
Over sampling rate, η ,	300
Packet Length, L	$500T_s$



Fig. 6. BER performance of DAIM, where SIR = 0 dB, K = 20 dB, N = 50, $\omega = 20^{\circ}$, and v is set such that in (1), $f_D = 10.8$ KHz and in (2), $f_D = 12.9$ KHz.

as SNR increases, BER performance drifts away. This trend can be attributed to the phenomenon that as v increases, the spectrum of the desired signal $h_S(t) b_S(t)$ broadens, which in turn makes a certain amount of desired signal power suppressed by LPF at AP.

Fig. 7 shows BER performance of the proposed interference 443 mitigation system with SIR = 0 dB, N = 20, K = 6/10 dB 444 and $\omega = 10^{\circ}$. As v increases, similar to Fig. 6, BER 445 performance significantly improves specially at low SNR. 446 As SNR increases BER performance again drifts away from 447 BER performance of the completely interference free link. 448 In this fading condition, two major factors come into effect. 449 The first one is the effect that in low K values, the spectral 450 451 broadening of $h_S(t)$ is severe, and hence a relatively larger amount of power is suppressed by LPF. The second one is 452 the channel estimation errors. In the absence of significantly 453 dominant multi-path components, the volatility of $h_{S}(t)$ even 454 in the time duration of a single packet may be considerable. 455 Approximating $h_S(\ell)$ for all ℓ s by a single \hbar_S is obviously 456 suboptimal, and hence, more tailored algorithms for desired 457 channel estimation may be needed. Furthermore, it is antici-458 pated that more scenario specific low pass filters that passes 459 a majority of desired signal power will be more effective for 460 the earlier challenge as well. 461







Fig. 8. SIR_A performance of DAIM for SIR = 0 dB, where K = 10 dB, N = 20, and $\omega = 10^{\circ}$. Note that $v_0 = \lambda_c B_w$.

Fig. 7 also shows that BER of DAIM is marginally better 462 than BER of interference free link with v = 0. This gain, 463 though small, is defined as Doppler Gain (DG). Doppler effect 464 causes the fading function, $h_{S}(t)$, to fluctuate specially in low 465 K and high N_S and ω . As a result, certain fading coefficients, 466 $h_S(\ell)$, enhance their respective data symbols. Consequently, 467 a net BER gain, which is manifested in the BER performance 468 as DG, can be achieved. The simulation results that do not 469 appear in this paper for reasons of space also show that 470 ideal channel state information of the desired link significantly 471 increases both BER of DAIM and DG. 472

Fig. 8 shows another view point of CCI mitigation capability $_{473}$ of DAWC. Let the SIR after DAIM be denoted by SIR_A, $_{474}$ and: $_{475}$

$$SIR_{A} = \frac{\mathcal{E}\left\{|r'_{S}(t)|^{2}\right\}}{\mathcal{E}\left\{|r'_{I}(t)|^{2}\right\}},$$
(25) 476

where $r'_{S}(t)$ and $r'_{I}(t)$ are given in (13). Fig. 8 shows the 477 SIR_A performance of DAIM against the azimuthal separation, 478 θ for different rotation speeds. It can be seen here that higher 479



Fig. 9. SIR_A performance of DAIM for SIR = 0 dB, where K = 10 dB, N = 20, and $\omega = 10^{\circ}/20^{\circ}/40^{\circ}$. Note that $v = 5v_0$.



Fig. 10. Spectral characteristics of faded signals, $h_S(t) b_S(t)$ and $h_I(t) b_I(t)$, where SIR = 0 dB, K = 10 dB, N = 20, $\omega = 10^{\circ}$ degrees. In (a) v = 0 and in (b), v is set such that $f_D = 17.3$ KHz.

rotation speed may be required to achieve a certain SIR_A for low θ s and vice versa. Furthermore, Fig. 9 shows SIR_A performance of DAIM for various angle spreads ($\omega = 10^{o}/20^{o}/40^{o}$) for a fixed rotation speed of $v = 5v_0$, where $v_0 = \lambda_c B_w$.

Fig. 10-(a) and (b) show the spectral characteristics of faded 484 signals, $h_{S}(t) b_{S}(t)$ and $h_{I}(t) b_{I}(t)$ with static and rotating 485 antenna respectively. Herein, we consider a fading scenario, 486 where SIR = 0 dB, K = 10 dB, N = 20, $\omega = 10^{\circ}$ degrees, 487 and v is set, when rotating, such that $f_D = 17.3$ KHz. The 488 figure clearly shows that as v increases, the interference signal, 489 $h_{I}(t) b_{I}(t)$ shifts to an intermediate frequency so that it is 490 suppressed by LPF. Furthermore, the spectral broadening, as v 491 increases, of the desired signal is also visible in Fig. 10. 492

493 G. Important Remarks

As shown in Sec. III-F, DAIM suppresses CCI significantly as v increases. The optimum v is dependent on many system parameters such as B_w , f_c and environmental and topological parameters such as θ , ω , and K. Hence, v should be carefully selected, and be able to be adapted to the environment. From Fig. 6 and also in general, a rotation velocity that achieves $f_D = 2B_w$ (which is about $v = 2\lambda_c B_w \sin \theta$) is a reasonable value for v. It is equivalent to v = 58 m/s for $B_w = 5$ KHz, and v = 23 m/s for $B_w = 2$ KHz. From geometry of the drum antenna, the angular rotation speed can be obtained as: 503

$$s_r = \frac{30v}{\pi R} = \frac{60CB_w}{\pi f_c R} \quad \text{RPM},\tag{26}$$

where s_r is the angular rotation speed in rounds per minute 505 (RPM), which is about 1100 RPM for $B_w = 2$ KHz, R =506 20 cm and $f_c = 60$ GHz. The equation, (26) also shows that 507 R and s_r can be traded-off for one another. Furthermore, 508 it appears that DAIM can only be applied practically for 509 mmWave frequencies with B_w in the order of KHz and 510 ultra-narrowband (UNB) communication systems with sub-511 GHz carrier frequencies with B_w in the order of Hz. Other 512 scenarios may require extremely high rotation velocities which 513 may not be practically realizable with today's technologies. 514 Otherwise, the results presented in this paper theoretically hold 515 for any system that satisfies the assumptions considered in this 516 paper. 517

In wireless communication, all the multi-path signals con-518 tribute to the receive signal power. As rotation speed increases 519 some desired multi-path signals that give rise to excessive 520 Doppler shift could also (while, of course, suppressing major-521 ity of interfering multi-path signals) be suppressed out by 522 the low pass filter (See Fig. 4-(b) and (c)). It is important 523 to note herein that, in the proper and advanced design of 524 DAWC systems, the choice of the rotating speed should 525 strike an effective balance between suppressing the interfering 526 multi-paths and the desired multi-paths. 527

IV. FURTHER REMARKS

A. Multi-Antenna Configurations

The DAWC systems can also be extended to accommodate multiple antennas and users as shown in Fig. 11, where a possible configuration for multi-antenna Doppler assisted system for single-user communication is shown in Fig. 11-(a). Extending (13) to a dual-antenna configuration (merely for simplicity, but readily extends to more than two antenna cases) give rise to following base-band analogue equations:

$$r_1(t) = r'_{S1}(t) + n'_1(t),$$
 (27a) 537

528

529

$$r_2(t) = r'_{S2}(t) + n'_2(t).$$
 (27b) 538

Note that (27) applies after low-pass filtering, and hence $r'_{Si}(t) = h'_{Si}(t) b_S(t)$ for i = 1, 2. Note herein that $h'_{Si}(t) \neq 540$ $h_{Si}(t)$ due to Doppler effect and subsequent low-pass filtering. As shown in Fig. 12, the canisters are stacked vertically, and hence both elevation and the azimuth of the incoming rays are considered in this simulation. Consequently,⁶ 549

$$h_{Si}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N_S} \alpha_n^S e^{j2\pi f_n^S t - j\psi_n^S + j(i-1)d\cos\phi_n^S}, \qquad (28) \quad {}^{545}$$

⁶It is assumed herein that unit wave vector of the *n*th desired wave front is given by $\sin \phi_n^S \sin \beta_n^S \mathbf{i} + \sin \phi_n^S \cos \beta_n^S \mathbf{i} + \cos \phi_n^S \mathbf{k}$, and antenna velocity vector of the *i*th drum antenna is $v_i \mathbf{i}$. See fig. 12 for an illustration.



Fig. 11. (a) multi-antenna system for single-user communication and (b) multi-antenna system for multi-user configuration, where a setting for two-user system is shown to reduce the clutter.



Fig. 12. An enlarged multi-antenna part-canister system that shows the azimuth and elevation of incoming rays. Only a single desired incoming ray, B_nC , is shown to reduce the clutter, where $B_nC\bar{Z} = \phi_n^S$ and $\bar{B}_nCY = \beta_n^S$

where $f_n^S = v_i \frac{f_c}{C} \sin \phi_n^S \sin \beta_n^S$, and $\psi_n^S \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 2\pi)$. Note that β_n^S and ϕ_n^S respectively are azimuth and elevation of the 546 547 nth incoming ray measured in anti-clockwise direction with 548 respect to CY and $C\overline{Z}$ axis respectively (see Fig. 12). Also, $\beta_n^S \sim \mathcal{U}(-\omega/2, \omega/2)$, and $\phi_n^S \sim \mathcal{U}(\pi/2 - \omega/2, \pi/2 + \omega/2)$, where it is assumed that both azimuth and elevation spread 549 550 551 are the same. Note that 0th path denotes the dominant multi-552 path, and hence, $\beta_0^S = 0$, and it is also assumed that $\phi_0^S =$ 553 1.39626 which is 80⁰ degrees and $d = 6\lambda_c = 3$ cm. Similar 554 fashion, $h_{Ii}(t)$ can also be obtained with the notable exception 555 of $f_n^I = v_i \frac{f_c}{C} \sin \phi_n^I \sin(\theta + \beta_n^I)$. The ideal maximum ratio 556 combining (MRC) can be achieved by: 557

$$\hat{r}(t) = \left(\boldsymbol{h}_{S}'(t)\right)^{H} \boldsymbol{r}(t), \qquad (29)$$

where $\hat{r}(t)$ is the combiner output and $(\boldsymbol{h}_{S}'(t))^{H}$ is the 559 Hermitian conjugate of $\mathbf{h}'_{S}(t)$, $\mathbf{h}'_{S}(t) = \{\mathbf{h}'_{S1}(t)\mathbf{h}'_{S2}(t)\}^{T}$ and also $\mathbf{r}(t) = \{r_{1}(t)r_{2}(t)\}^{T}$. However, often $\mathbf{h}'_{Si}(t)$ 560 561 cannot be estimated exactly, and one reasonable remedy is to 562 use \hbar_{Si} which is also used for data detection in (16), and note 563 that the multi-antenna DAIM simulations in this section also 564 employ \hbar_{Si} . Fig. 13 shows SIR_A performance after DAIM 565 processing and combining, where T is the number of drum 566 antennas configured as shown in Fig. 11-(a). It is clear that 567 SIR_A improves significantly as T increases, and interestingly, 568 one can manipulate the number of antennas, T, and the rotation 569 speed, v, in order to achieve a certain SIR_A performance. 570



Fig. 13. SIR_A performance of multi-antenna DAIM for SIR = 0 dB, where K = 10 dB, N = 20, and $\omega = 10^{\circ}$. Note that $v_0 = \lambda_c B_w$.

It is anticipated that better channel estimation techniques shall increase SIR_A further. Note that all the drum antennas rotate at the same speed ($v = 5v_0$) for curve (1) in Fig. 13 which is 573 not a necessary requirement.

The Fig. 13 also shows (see curve (2)) the SIR_A perfor-575 mance of a multi-antenna DAIM system with drums being 576 rotated at different speeds of $v = 3v_0, 4v_0, 5v_0$, and $6v_0$. Let 577 this speed profile be denoted as $SP_2 = \{3v_0, 4v_0, 5v_0, 6v_0\}.$ 578 It is clear that SIR_A performance is always better than or the 579 same as the case with drums being rotated at the same speed 580 (i.e. a speed profile of $SP_1 = \{v = 5v_0, 5v_0, 5v_0, 5v_0\}$). The 581 energy required to rotate a single drum is proportional to the 582 square of its angular velocity⁷ and in turn to the square of v. 583 Hence, total energy, E_T required to rotate drum antennas in 584 different profiles are: 585

$$E_T \propto \begin{cases} 100v_0^2 \quad \mathcal{SP}_1, \\ 86v_0^2 \quad \mathcal{SP}_2, \end{cases}$$
(30) 56

and from kinetic energy efficiency perspective, SP_2 is prefer-587 able as it is 14% more energy efficient than SP_1 . 588

B. Future Research

Even though the canister opening is directed to the direction 590 of dominant scatters of the desired user, some non-dominant 591 scatters can still induce fluctuations in the desired channel. 592 Though these fluctuations can be harnessed to obtain some 593 diversity gain as discussed in Sec. III-F, some deployments 594 might prefer minimal channel fluctuations. Very closely and 595 vertically placed oppositely rotating drum antennas could be 596 used to reduce Doppler induced channel fluctuations. Note 597 that this approach may not reduce Doppler shift in all fading 598 conditions, and more research is required to understand the 599 full potential of this approach. Furthermore, as shown in 600 Fig. 11-(b), multi-antenna configuration can also be used for 601 multi-user communication. 602

⁷This is due to the fact that kinetic energy required to rotate a rigid body at certain angular velocity is $0.5I\omega^2$, where I is the moment of inertia and the angular velocity respectively.

571

572

574

636

The current paper discusses the basic operation of DAWC, 603 and demonstrates the feasibility of it for CCI mitigation. 604 We have herein used standard modulation techniques, chan-605 nel estimation techniques, pulse shaping and filtering meth-606 ods just to demonstrate the feasibility of the proposed 607 system. It is expected that more tailored data modulation 608 techniques [20], pulse shaping/filtering and also channel esti-609 mation techniques [21], [22] will increase the robustness and 610 the performance of the proposed scheme. 611

It is also important to study other use cases of DAIM. 612 In this paper, we have assumed that the interference occurs 613 from a single interferer. If interference occurs from unknown 614 number of interferers from unknown locations spread over a 615 large azimuth, the state-of-the-art techniques like MIMO can 616 be very ineffective due to their high reliance on CSI. However, 617 DAIM, in these type of extremely hostile environments could 618 be very effective. 619

V. CONCLUSIONS

The current paper has introduced, and studied a new class of 621 systems termed as Doppler assisted wireless communication 622 (DAWC in short). The proposed class of systems employs 623 rotating drum antennas, and exploits Doppler effect, kinetic 624 energy, and the topological information of wireless networks 625 for CCI mitigation. This paper includes a detailed simulation 626 study that models several important system and environmental 627 parameters. The results presented herein show that difficult 628 CCI-in the sense that it is statistically no more or less 629 strong to the desired signal, and often poorly handled by 630 existing interference mitigation techniques-can successfully 631 be mitigated by the proposed system. This paper has also 632 discussed several important phenomena occurred in DAWC 633 systems such as Doppler gain along with advantages and 634 challenges of DAWC. 635

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Osseiran *et al.*, "Scenarios for 5G mobile and wireless communications: The vision of the METIS project," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 26–35, May 2014.
- [2] J. Zander, "Distributed cochannel interference control in cellular radio systems," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 305–311, Aug. 1992.
- [3] M. Park and P. Gopalakrishnan, "Analysis on spatial reuse, interference, and MAC layer interference mitigation schemes in 60 GHz wireless networks," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Ultra-Wideband*, Vancouver, BC, Canada, Sep. 2009, pp. 1–5.
- [4] Z. Chen, C. Wang, X. Hong, J. Thompson, S. A. Vorobyov, and D. Yuan,
 "Cross-layer interference mitigation for cognitive radio MIMO systems,"
 in *Proc. IEEE ICC*, Kyoto, Japan, Jun. 2011, pp. 1–6.
- [5] Z. Ding *et al.*, "Application of non-orthogonal multiple access in LTE and 5G networks," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 185–191, Feb. 2017.
- [6] Y. Saito *et al.*, "Non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA) for cellular future radio access," in *Proc. IEEE VTC-Spring*, Dresden, Germany, Jun. 2013, pp. 1–5.
- P. Marsch, M. Grieger, and G. Fettweis, "Large scale field trial results
 on different uplink coordinated multi-point (CoMP) concepts in an
 urban environment," in *Proc. IEEE WCNC*, Cancun, Mexico, Mar. 2011,
 pp. 1858–1863.
- [8] O. El Ayach, A. Lozano, and R. W. Heath, Jr., "On the overhead of interference alignment: Training, feedback, and cooperation," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 4192–4203, Nov. 2012.
- [9] L. C. Godara, "Application of antenna arrays to mobile communications.
 II. Beam-forming and direction-of-arrival considerations," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 85, no. 8, pp. 1195–1245, Aug. 1997.

- [10] S. Haykin, "Cognitive radio: Brain-empowered wireless communications," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 201–220, Feb. 2005.
- [11] D. A. Basnayaka *et al.*, "Doppler effect assisted interference mitigation for wireless communication," in *Proc. IEEE Global Commun. Conf.*, Abu Dhabi, UAE, 2018.
- [12] L. Lu et al., "An overview of massive MIMO: Benefits and challenges," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 742–758, Oct. 2014.
- [13] A. Paulraj *et al.*, "*Introduction to Space-Time Wireless Communications*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003.
- [14] T. L. Koch and U. Koren, "Semiconductor lasers for coherent optical fiber communications," J. Lightw. Technol., vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 274–293, Mar. 1990.
- [15] M. Gregory *et al.*, "TESAT laser communication terminal performance results on 5.6 Gbit coherent inter satellite and satellite to ground links," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Space Opt.*, Rhodes, Greece, Oct. 2010.
- [16] A. Goldsmith, Wireless Communications, Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2005.
- [17] G. L. Stüber, *Principles of Mobile Communication*, 2nd ed. Norwell, MA, USA: Kluwer, 2002.
- [18] D. A. Basnayaka, P. J. Smith, and P. A. Martin, "Performance analysis of macrodiversity MIMO systems with MMSE and ZF receivers in flat rayleigh fading," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 2240–2251, May 2013.
- [19] S. S. Haykin, *Adaptive Filter Theory*, 5th ed. London, U.K.: Pearson, 2013.
- [20] T. Dean, M. Chowdhury, and A. Goldsmith, "A new modulation technique for Doppler compensation in frequency-dispersive channels," in *Proc. IEEE PIMRC*, Montreal, QC, Canada, Oct. 2017, pp. 1–7.
- [21] P. Stoica and Y. Wang, Spectral Analysis of Signals, 1st ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: Prentice-Hall, 2005.
- [22] L. Zhao, G. Geraci, T. Yang, D. W. K. Ng, and J. Yuan, "A tone-based AoA estimation and multiuser precoding for millimeter wave massive MIMO," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 65, no. 12, pp. 5209–5225, Dec. 2017.



Dushyantha A. Basnayaka (S'11-M'12-SM'18) 702 received the B.Sc. Eng. degree (Hons.) and the 703 Ph.D. degree in electrical and electronics engineer-704 ing in 2006 and 2012, respectively. He is currently 705 with the Institute for Digital Communications, The 706 University of Edinburgh, U.K. His research interests 707 include MIMO, physical layer aspects of IoT, and 708 5G. He holds two patents (one granted and one pend-709 ing) in coordinated multi-point (CoMP) and IoT. 710 He is a member of IEEE Communication Theory 711 Society. He was a recipient of the University of 712

Canterbury International Doctoral Scholarship from 2009 to 2012 and the Best Paper Award at the IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC-Spring) in 2015. 713





Tharmalingam Ratnarajah (A'96-M'05-SM'05) was the Head of the Institute for Digital Communications (2016–2018). He is currently with the Institute for Digital Communications, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, U.K., as a Professor in digital 720 communications and signal processing. His research 721 interests include signal processing and information 722 theoretic aspects of 5G and beyond wireless net-723 works, full-duplex radio, mmWave communications, 724 random matrices theory, interference alignment, sta-725 tistical and array signal processing, and quantum 726

information theory. He has published over 350 publications in these areas and 727 holds four U.S. patents. He has raised over $15M \in EU$ funding for numerous 728 projects in many areas of wireless communication. He is a member of the 729 American Mathematical Society and Information Theory Society and a fellow 730 of the Higher Education Academy (FHEA). He was the Technical Co-Chair 731 of the 17th IEEE International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in 732 Wireless Communications, Edinburgh, U.K., in 2016. He was an Associate 733 Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SIGNAL PROCESSING (2015-2017). 734

700

701

666

667

668

Doppler Effect Assisted Wireless Communication for Interference Mitigation

Dushyantha A. Basnayaka[®], Senior Member, IEEE, and Tharmalingam Ratnarajah, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—Doppler effect is a fundamental phenomenon that 1 appears in wave propagation, where a moving observer experi-2 ences dilation or contraction of the wavelength of a wave. It also 3 appears in radio frequency (RF) wireless communication when 4 there exists a relative movement between the transmitter and 5 the receiver, and is widely considered as a major impairment 6 for reliable wireless communication. The current paper proposes Doppler assisted wireless communication (DAWC) that exploits Doppler effect and uses kinetic energy for co-channel interfer-9 ence (CCI) mitigation. The proposed system also exploits the 10 propagation environment and the network topology and consists 11 of an access point (AP) with a rotating drum antenna. The 12 rotating drum receive antenna is designed in such a way that 13 it shifts the interfering signals away from the desired signal 14 band. This paper includes a detailed system model, and the 15 results show that under favorable fading conditions, CCI can 16 be significantly reduced. Therefore, it is anticipated that more 17 sophisticated wireless systems and networks can be designed by 18 extending the basic ideas proposed herein. 19

Index Terms—Doppler effect, electromagnetic waves,
 co-channel interference, interference mitigation, kinetic energy.

I. INTRODUCTION

22

MULTITUDE of wireless networks have revolutionized 23 A the modern living for a half a century, and are expected 24 to revolutionize our lives in an unprecedented scale in the 25 future too [1]. Todays' wireless networks-often digital wire-26 less networks-are used for various activities such as mass 27 communication, security and surveillance systems, sensing 28 and disaster monitoring networks, satellite communication and 29 tactical communication systems. Wireless networks typically 30 consist of a collection of wireless transmitters and receivers, 31 and use a fixed band of radio frequency (RF) spectrum 32 for communication. Due to spectrum scarcity, often wireless 33 networks reuse their limited frequency spectrum, which in turn 34 gives rise to a fundamental problem in wireless communication 35 known as co-channel interference (CCI) [2]. 36

The CCI occurs when wireless stations that are nearby use/reuse overlapping spectrum. Modern wireless networks widely employ many intelligent and adaptive physical (PHY)

Manuscript received July 16, 2018; revised December 19, 2018 and March 2, 2019; accepted April 6, 2019. This work was supported by the U.K. Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) under Grant EP/N014073/1. The associate editor coordinating the review of this paper and approving it for publication was A. Tajer. (*Corresponding author: Dushyantha A. Basnayaka.*)

The authors are with the Institute for Digital Communication, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH8 9YL, U.K. (e-mail: d.basnayaka@ed.ac.uk).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TCOMM.2019.2912193

layer interference mitigation/avoidance/management tech-40 niques such as interference detection and subtraction (also 41 known as successive interference cancellation or SIC), coor-42 dinated multi-point systems (CoMP), interference alignment 43 (IA), diversity receivers (such as maximal ratio combining 44 (MRC), zero forcing (ZF) and minimum mean-squared error 45 (MMSE)), cognitive radio (CR), cooperative communication 46 and transmit power control. Often PHY layer techniques 47 are more effective, but multiple access control (MAC) layer 48 techniques such as schedule randomization, measurement and 49 rescheduling, and super controller also exist [3]. Furthermore, 50 cross layer techniques that combine and jointly optimize two 51 or more MAC and PHY techniques for interference mitigation 52 are also widely considered [4]. 53

The PHY techniques add (or are expected to add) significant 54 intelligence to future wireless networks. For instance, non-55 orthogonal multiple access systems (NOMA), which uses 56 interference detection and subtraction along with power con-57 trol is expected to increase the spectral efficiency and the 58 system throughput in 5th generation (5G) mass communication 59 networks [5], [6]. In CoMP, a number of co-channel trans-60 mitters provide coordinated transmission to multiple receivers 61 and multiple receivers provide coordinated reception to mul-62 tiple co-channel transmitters [7]. In IA, all the co-channel 63 transmitters cooperatively align-by exploiting channel state 64 information (CSI) at transmitters-their transmissions in such a 65 way that the interference subspaces at all the receivers jointly 66 are limited to a smaller dimensional subspace, and is orthog-67 onal to the desired signal subspace [8]. Diversity receivers 68 use multi-antenna techniques for interference mitigation [9], 69 and CR learns from the environment, and adapts its trans-70 mission. If a particular channel is occupied by a primary 71 user, CR halts transmission or transmits at a lower power 72 level so that the possible interference to primary user is 73 minimized [10]. 74

The focus of this paper is also interference mitigation at PHY layer, where we envision to add a new degree of freedom to existing wireless receivers by exploiting Doppler effect. We introduce a new paradigm for wireless communication, namely Doppler Assisted Wireless Communication (DAWC in short) [11], and consider a receiver or an access point (AP) in a typical wireless sensor network with a circular high speed rotating drum antenna as shown in Fig. 1.

A. Rotating Drum Antenna

Fig. 1 illustrates the proposed circular drum antenna for DAWC. In order to exploit Doppler effect, the AP has a

0090-6778 © 2019 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82



Fig. 1. A possible antenna implementation for Doppler assisted wireless communication (DAWC), where (a) a fixed antenna canister with an opening, where a small portion of the antenna is visible to outside, (b) a rotatable drum antenna, which is located inside the circular canister, (c) a possible implementation to receive two desired signals from two transmitters of azimuthal separation of θ .

circular antenna, which consists of two parts. 1) a fixed circular 86 canister 2) a rotatable drum antenna as shown in Fig. 1-(b). 87 The radiating surface of this conformal antenna lies on the 88 curve surface of the drum, which is located inside the circular 89 canister. There is an opening on the curve surface of the canis-90 ter, and it is directed to the direction of the desired transmitter. 91 Consequently, the channel responses of the desired link and 92 the other interfering links which have reasonable azimuthal 93 separation exhibit different frequency characteristics. Despite 94 Doppler effect being considered as an major impairment, the 95 results in this paper indicate that successful receiver side CCI 96 mitigation techniques (henceforth DAIM to denote Doppler 97 assisted interference mitigation) can still be developed based 98 on a rotating drum antenna and Doppler effect. 99

The round and/or rotating antenna units are used in several 100 key widely-used systems such as TACAN (for tactical air 101 navigation) and LIDAR (for light detection and ranging). 102 The TACAN system has multiple antennas installed in a 103 circle, and electronically steers a radio beam in order to 104 provide directional information for distance targets over a 105 360° azimuth. The radio beam rotates (often electronically) 106 merely to serve targets located around it. However, the rotating 107 antenna in DAWC is a key unit that gives rise to Doppler 108 effect, and fundamentally important for the operation of 109 the system. It typically rotates at very high speed than the 110 beam in TACAN system. Furthermore, modern autonomous 111 cars also employ a system known as LIDAR, which also 112 has a rotating unit. LIDAR is a variation of conventional 113 RADAR, and uses laser light instead of radiowaves to make 114 high-resolution topological maps around automobiles. LIDAR 115 antenna rotates in order merely to map 360° angle, and not 116 for any other fundamental reason. The TACAN and LIDAR 117 systems are hence fundamentally different from the system 118 proposed in the current paper, and tackle entirely different 119 challenges. 120

MIMO (or its more popular variant, massive MIMO) is 121 the state-of-the-art for CCI mitigation, but heavily relies on 122 CSI [12]. The proposed system does not rely on CSI for CCI 123 mitigation (note however that, it still uses CSI of the desired 124 user for data detection). Typically, CCI may occur from a 125 single co-channel transmitter or numerous co-channel trans-126 mitters. If CCI occurs from multiple co-channel users, MIMO 127 systems need fairly accurate CSI of all co-channel users for 128 successful CCI mitigation [13]. They let the interference into 129 the system, and uses ever more complex signal processing 130 techniques (a software domain approach) for CCI mitigation. 131

In essence, massive MIMO lets the enemy (metaphorically 132 to denote CCI) into its own backyard, and fight head-on. 133 In contrast, the proposed system can handle any number of 134 interferers, and automatically suppresses co-channel multi-135 path signals with a reasonable azimuthal separation to the 136 desired multi-paths even before they corrupt the desired signal. 137 In that sense, DAWC is a paradigm shifting technology, which 138 keeps the enemy at the bay. Furthermore, the rotation is not a 139 necessity. If CCI is not severe, and can be handled by software 140 means, the antenna is not required to be rotated. The level of 141 CCI mitigation can be controlled rapidly by simply changing 142 the rotation speed of the antenna. In essence, DAWC adds a 143 new degree of freedom to future wireless APs. 144

It is important to note furthermore that, the results in this paper are also applicable to wireless networks based on microwave, mmwave frequencies, and also to coherent optical laser communication systems [14], [15].

This paper includes a detailed study of DAWC on MATLAB. The rest of the paper also includes the system model in Sec. II, performance analysis and discussions in Sec. III, further remarks in Sec. IV, and conclusions in Sec. V.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

A narrow-band uplink communication from a wireless sta-154 tion, S (to denote source) to AP in a wireless network is 155 considered, where another wireless station, I (to denote the 156 interferer) located at an azimuthal angle separation of θ poses 157 CCI as shown in Fig. 2. Note that, all wireless stations are 158 fixed, and both S and I are in transmit mode while AP being 159 in receive mode. It is assumed that AP has a rotating drum 160 antenna of radius, R, with the canister opening being directed 161 towards the desired transmitter, S. Let the analog complex 162 baseband signal of the desired and the interfering stations 163 respectively be given by $b_S(t)$ and $b_I(t)$: 164

$$b_S(t) = \Psi(a_S), \tag{1}$$

153

$$b_I(t) = \Psi(a_I), \tag{2}$$

where a_S and a_I respectively are the complex discrete time 167 base-band data-drawn from a M-Quadrature Amplitude Mod-168 ulation (M-QAM) constellation, \mathcal{M} based on binary data 169 signals, d_S and d_I -signals of stations, S and I and the 170 operation $\Psi(.)$ denotes the squre root raised cosine (SRRC) 171 pulse shaping operation [16]. Let the symbol time duration 172 and the bandwidth of the data signals be denoted by T_s and 173 B_w respectively, where typically $T_s = 1/B_w$. The transmit 174



Fig. 2. A wireless AP with a single rotating antenna for DAWC. The figure is not to the scale, and the AP is exaggerated for exposition. To reduce the clutter, not all wireless links are shown.

¹⁷⁵ waveforms will then be given by:

18

190

195

176
$$x_S(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{b_S(t) e^{j2\pi f_c t}\right\},\tag{3}$$

177
$$x_I(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{b_I(t) e^{j2\pi f_c t}\right\},\tag{4}$$

where f_c is the carrier frequency, $j = \sqrt{-1}$, and Re {} denotes 178 the real part [17]. Furthermore, the transmit power of both 179 links are scaled to give $\mathcal{E}\left\{x_S(t)^2\right\} = P_S$ and $\mathcal{E}\left\{x_I(t)^2\right\} =$ 180 P_I . It is herein assumed that the communication takes place 181 between S, I and AP in a scattering environment, where AP 182 receives multiple faded replicas of the transmitted signals, 183 $x_{S}(t)$ and $x_{I}(t)$. Hence, the received signal by AP can in 184 the absence of noise be given by: 185

186
$$y(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{r_{S}(t) e^{j2\pi f_{c}t}\right\} + \operatorname{Re}\left\{r_{I}(t) e^{j2\pi f_{c}t}\right\},$$
 (5)

where the complex base-band desired and interfering received signals, $r_S(t)$ and $r_I(t)$ can be given by:

$$r_{S}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N_{S}} \alpha_{n}^{S} e^{j\phi_{n}^{S}(t)} b_{S} \left(t - \tau_{n}^{S}\right), \tag{6a}$$

$$r_{I}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N_{I}} \alpha_{n}^{I} e^{j\phi_{n}^{I}(t)} b_{I} \left(t - \tau_{n}^{I}\right).$$
(6b)

The quantities, α_n , ϕ_n and τ_n in (6) respectively are the faded amplitude, phase and path delay of the *n*th replica of the desired and interference signals, where:

$$\phi_n^S(t) = 2\pi \left\{ f_n^S t - (f_c + f_n^S) \tau_n^S \right\},$$
(7a)

$$\phi_n^I(t) = 2\pi \left\{ f_n^I t - \left(f_c + f_n^I \right) \tau_n^I \right\}.$$
 (7b)

It is assumed that α_n^S , α_n^I , τ_n^S , τ_n^I , N_S and N_I are approximately the same for a certain amount of time (say block interval) that is sufficient to transmit at least one data packet, and change to new realizations independently in the next block interval. The frequency change due to Doppler effect on the *n*th incoming ray of the desired and the interfering signal, f_n^S and f_n^I in (7) are respectively given by:

$$f_n^S = f_m \sin\left(\beta_n^S\right),\tag{8a}$$

$$f_n^I = f_m \sin\left(\theta + \beta_n^I\right),\tag{8b}$$



Fig. 3. The top view of an access point, where one desired (i.e. B_nC) and one interfering ray (i.e. A_nC) are shown. The canister opening is at C.

where $f_m = f_c v/C$. Note that the arrival angles of users 205 are measured with respect to the direction of the respective 206 user. For instance, the arrival angles, β_n^S of the desired 207 user are measured with respect to the direction of CB (see 208 Fig. 3), and the arrival angles, β_n^I of the interfering user are 209 measured with respect to the direction of CA. As a result, 210 according to Fig. 3, the nth angle of arrival of the desired 211 and the interfering signals are given by $\beta_n^S = \angle BCB_n$ and 212 $\beta_n^I = \angle ACA_n$. Furthermore, the dominant angles of arrivals 213 are limited to $-\omega/2 \leq \beta_n^S, \beta_n^I \leq \omega/2$ for all n. Since 214 it is a narrow-band communication link, we further assume 215 that $\tau_n^S, \tau_n^I \ll T_s$, and with out loss of applicability, make the substitution, $\tau_S \approx \tau_n^S$ and $\tau_I \approx \tau_n^I$ for all n. As a 216 217 result: 218

$$r_{S}(t) = b_{S}(t - \tau_{S}) \sum_{n=0}^{N_{S}} \alpha_{n}^{S} e^{j\phi_{n}^{S}(t)},$$
(9a) 219

$$r_{I}(t) = b_{I}(t - \tau_{I}) \sum_{n=0}^{N_{I}} \alpha_{n}^{I} e^{j\phi_{n}^{I}(t)},$$
(9b) 220

260

One must note that the approximations, $\tau_S \approx \tau_n^S$ and $\tau_I \approx \tau_n^I$ are invoked only to $b_S (t - \tau_n^S)$ and $b_I (t - \tau_n^I)$ in (9), and since, $f_c \tau_n^S$ and $f_c \tau_n^I$ can still be significant, the path delay differences are still considered in the summation of (9). If the perfect synchronization is assumed, the complex baseband desired and interfering received signals, $r_S (t)$ and $r_I (t)$ can be rewritten as:

$$r_{S}(t) = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{N_{S}} \alpha_{n}^{S} e^{j\phi_{n}^{S}(t)} \right\} b_{S}(t) = h_{S}(t) b_{S}(t), \quad (10a)$$

229
$$r_{I}(t) = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{N_{I}} \alpha_{n}^{I} e^{j\phi_{n}^{I}(t)} \right\} b_{I}(t) = h_{I}(t) b_{I}(t), \quad (10b)$$

where $h_S(t)$ and $h_I(t)$ are denoted henceforth as channel fading functions. The averaged channel gains for both links are defined as $\mathcal{E}\left\{|h_S(t)|^2\right\} = g_S$ and $\mathcal{E}\left\{|h_I(t)|^2\right\} = g_I$ [18]. The constants, g_S and g_I capture the average channel gains due to path loss and shadowing alone which is also given by $g_S = \mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{N_S} (\alpha_n^S)^2\right\}$ and $g_I = \mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{N_I} (\alpha_n^I)^2\right\}$, where the expectation is over block intervals.

It is assumed that dominant (in terms of the receive power) 237 paths exist from both source and interferer to AP either as 238 a result of line-of-sight (LoS) or dominant non-line-of-sight 239 (NLoS) rays along with significantly weaker scattered rays. 240 With out loss of generality, let the 0th terms in (6) denote the 241 dominant paths, and as also pointed out earlier, AP points the 242 canister opening towards dominant paths from S. Let K be 243 Rician K-factor which models the ratio of the received power 244 between the dominant path and other paths [16]. Then: 245

$$K = \frac{\mathcal{E}\left\{\left(\alpha_{0}^{S}\right)^{2}\right\}}{\mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{S}}\left(\alpha_{n}^{S}\right)^{2}\right\}} = \frac{\mathcal{E}\left\{\left(\alpha_{0}^{I}\right)^{2}\right\}}{\mathcal{E}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{N_{I}}\left(\alpha_{n}^{I}\right)^{2}\right\}},$$
 (11)

where it is assumed that *K*-factor is the same for both the desired and interference link. The received signal in the presence of noise is given by:

50
$$y(t) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[r_{S}(t) + r_{I}(t) + n(t)\right]e^{j2\pi f_{c}t}\right\},$$
 (12)

where n(t) is complex base-band zero mean additive white 251 Gaussian noise (AWGN) signal with $\mathcal{E}\left\{|n(t)|^2\right\} = \sigma_n^2$. The 252 signal-to-noise-ratio is hence defined as SNR = $g_S P_S / \sigma_n^2$, 253 and signal-to-interference power ratio is defined as SIR = 254 $q_S P_S / q_I P_I$. The AP processes the received signal, y(t)255 by in-phase and quadrature-phase mixing and filtering with 256 a low pass filter (LPF) of bandwidth, B_w to obtain the 257 continuous-time complex base-band equivalent received signal 258 as: 259

$$r(t) = r'_S(t) + r'_I(t) + n(t).$$
(13)

One must distinguish the difference between $r_S(t)$ and $r'_S(t)$ 261 (also between $r_I(t)$ and $r'_I(t)$) in (13) that $r'_S(t)$ is the low 262 pass filtered version of $r_{S}(t)$ which is the original faded 263 desired signal supposed to be received by AP. Conventionally, 264 LPF assures that $r'_{S}(t) = r_{S}(t)$ and $r'_{I}(t) = r_{I}(t)$. However 265 as v increases, and also discussed in detail in Sec. II-A, 266 $r_{S}(t)$ and $r_{I}(t)$ broaden in the frequency domain due to 267 Doppler effect. Since the canister is directed towards the 268

desired source, the spectral broadening in $r_{S}(t)$ is less severe, 269 and under favorable fading conditions, reliable communication 270 is still possible with a reasonable channel estimation overhead. 271 Moreover, if v is sufficiently large, the spectrum of $r_I(t)$ 272 shifts to an intermediate frequency determined by v and θ . 273 Consequently, a majority or entire interference signal, $r_{I}(t)$, 274 can be made to be filtered out by LPF so to create a less 275 interfered channel. The AP samples r(t) at symbol rate to 276 obtain the discrete time complex base-band signal in terms of 277 desired data signal, a_S as: 278

$$r(\ell) = r'_S(\ell) + n'(\ell),$$
 (14a) 27

$$=h_{S}^{\prime}\left(\ell\right)a_{S}\left(\ell\right)+n^{\prime}\left(\ell\right),\quad\forall\ell\qquad(14b)\quad_{280}$$

where ℓ alone is used for ℓT_s . Furthermore, $r'_S(\ell)$ and 281 $n'(\ell)$ are the sampled versions of $r'_{S}(t)$ and $r'_{I}(t) + n(t)$ 282 respectively. Note that $h'_{S}(\ell)$ combines the effect of $h_{S}(\ell)$ 283 and other possible effects of low pass filtering of $r_{S}(\ell)$. The 284 detector then uses the following symbol-by-symbol detection 285 rule based on minimum Euclidean distance (also equivalent to 286 maximum likelihood (ML) detector in AWGN) which treats 287 the interference plus noise, $n'(\ell)$, as additional noise to obtain 288 the estimated data, d_S : 289

$$\hat{d}_{S}\left(\ell\right) = \min_{a_{S}\left(\ell\right)\in\mathcal{M}}\left|r\left(\ell\right) - h_{S}'\left(\ell\right)a_{S}\left(\ell\right)\right|^{2}, \quad \forall \ell.$$
(15) 290

Unlike in the case with v = 0, due to Doppler effect, $h'_{S}(\ell)$ ²⁹¹ are different within a block interval even with α_n , ϕ_n , τ_n , N_S ²⁹² and N_I being fixed. However, in this study, we assume that they can be approximated by a fixed value, \hbar_S . Consequently, (15) becomes: ²⁹⁵

$$\hat{d}_{S}\left(\ell\right) = \min_{a_{S}\left(\ell\right) \in \mathcal{M}} \left| r\left(\ell\right) - \hat{h}_{S} a_{S}\left(\ell\right) \right|^{2}, \quad \forall \ell.$$
(16) 29

300

where \hbar_S is the estimated value of \hbar_S . The key roles played by spectral characteristics of channel fading functions in (10) are graphically discussed in the next section. 299

A. The Effects of Antenna Rotation

Conventionally, the antenna is fixed (i.e. v = 0), but as 30 rotation speed increases, two conflicting phenomena happen. 302 These phenomena can be better explained using the illustra-303 tions in Fig. 4. The Fig. 4-(a) shows an illustration of the 304 single-sided magnitude response of $r_{S}(t)$, $r_{I}(t)$, $h_{S}(t)$ and 305 $h_{I}(t)$ along with the magnitude response of the receiver's 306 LPF. When v = 0, $H_S(f)$ and $H_I(f)$ are just impulses, and 307 have no relevant effect on $r_{S}(f)$ and $r_{I}(f)$. However, as v 308 increases $H_S(f)$ and $H_I(f)$ tend to broaden, and notably, 309 $H_{I}(f)$ sways away from zero frequency (i.e., f = 0) to an 310 intermediate frequency determined by $f_D = f_m \sin \theta$, and in 311 turn by the azimuthal separation, θ , v, and f_c . Consequently, 312 the majority of interference power lies outside the desired 313 signal bandwidth, B_w , and hence, there is an interference 314 suppression effect. On the other hand, since, $R_S(f) =$ 315 $H_{S}(f) \circledast B_{S}(f)$ and $R_{I}(f) = H_{I}(f) \circledast B_{I}(f), R_{S}(f)$ and 316 $R_{I}(f)$ also tend to broaden. Note that $B_{S}(f)$ and $B_{I}(f)$ 317 denote the frequency response of $b_S(t)$ and $b_I(t)$ respectively, 318 and (*) denotes the convolution operator [16]. As a result of 319



Fig. 4. Illustrations of magnitude responses of (1) $R_S(f)$, (2) $R_I(f)$, (3) $H_S(f)$ and (4) $H_I(f)$ which are Fourier transforms of $r_S(t)$, $r_I(t)$, $h_S(t)$ and $h_I(t)$ respectively. The magnitude response of LPF at AP is also shown in (5), and $f_D = \frac{v_{f_C}}{C} \sin \theta$.

this spectrum broadening,¹ a certain amount of desired signal 320 power is also suppressed by LPF and thus a distortion effect 321 on the desired signal. As v increases further, as shown in 322 Fig. 4-(c), the interference signal can be shifted completely 323 away from the desired signal, but the amount of power 324 suppressed by the LPF also increases making the desired 325 signal more distorted. Hence, a trade off between interference 326 suppression capability and the distortion of the desired signal 327 in DAWC is clearly apparent. However, as shown in Sec. III-F, 328 a reasonable compromise can be made, where a significant 329 performance gain can still be achieved. 330

331 III. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The performance of DAIM (a convenient name for DAWC when applied for CCI mitigation) is analyzed using a comprehensive end-to-end digital communication link simulated on MATLAB. In order to accurately assess DAIM, we herein simulate a pass-band digital communication link, where pulse shaping, up-conversion, RF mixing and LPF have also

¹The spectral broadening is initiated by the rotation, but could be exacerbated by adverse fading conditions such as low K, and high N_S , N_I and ω .

TABLE I NOTATIONS AND THEIR DEFINITIONS

Definition	Symbol
Carrier frequency	f_c
Speed of light	С
Sampling frequency	F_s
Signal bandwidth	B_w
Symbol duration	T_s
Rician factor	K
Number of S/I multi-path components	N_S/N_I
Amplitute of the <i>n</i> th S/I multi-path	α_n^S/α_n^I
Phase of the <i>n</i> th S/I multi-path	ϕ_n^S/ϕ_n^I
Delay of the <i>n</i> th S/I multi-path	τ_n^S/τ_n^I
Azimuthal separation of S and I	θ

been implemented.² The main block diagram of the simulation is shown in Fig. 5, where for simplicity Quadrature Phase Shift Keying (QPSK) is considered with other system parameters as shown in Tables I and II. The major steps of the simulation environment are obtained as follows. 340

A. Transmit Signals

We consider a time duration to transmit a single data packet, where a single packet lasts L symbols or equivalently ηL samples. The constant, η denotes the up-sampling ratio, which is given by $\eta = T_s/t_o$, where $t_o = 1/F_s$ is the sampling period in the computer simulation herein. The *k*th sample of the complex base-band transmitted signal of the desired link³ is obtained by: 350

$$b_S\left(kt_o\right) = \left[\tilde{a}_S \circledast p\right]\left(kt_o\right), \quad k = 1, \dots, \eta L,$$
 (17) 351

where \tilde{a}_S is the *k*th sample of up-sampled version of a_S and $p(kt_o)$ is the *k*th sample of SRRC filter which is obtained by: 352

$$p(kt_o) = \frac{\sin(\pi k (1-\rho)) + 4\pi k \cos(\pi t (1+\rho))}{\pi k (1-16k^2\rho^2)}, \quad (18) \quad \text{35c}$$

where ρ is the roll-off factor of SRRC filter. Henceforth, we may interchangeably use standalone k for kt_o . Furthermore, we scale $b_S(kt_o)$ so $\mathcal{E}\{|x_S(k)|^2\} = P_s/\eta =$ $1/\eta$. Consequently, the kth sample of the normalized complex base-band faded desired received signal⁴ is obtained by: 360

$$r_S(k) = b_S(k) h_S(k).$$
 (19) 362

²Note that the implementation of up-conversion and RF mixing which requires a significantly higher sampling rate, and hence is computationally inefficient, is avoided by using an equivalent base-band model, but still with transmit pulse shaping and LPF in order to accurately captures the effects outlined in Sec. II-A. Unlike in conventional complex base-band simulations, the LPF operation is crucial for this simulation study.

³Note that one can obtain the *k*th sample of the pass-band transmit signal of the desired link by $x_S(kt_o) = b_S(kt_o) e^{j2\pi f_c kt_o}$.

⁴Note that one can obtain the *k*th sample of the complex pass-band desired received signal by Re $\{r_S(k) e^{j2\pi f_C k}\}$.

411

426



Fig. 5. The main simulation block diagram.

363 B. Multi-Path Channels

The *k*th sample of the fading function, $h_S(k)$ is obtained by the complex equation:

$$h_{S}(k) = \sqrt{\frac{g_{S}K}{K+1}} [h_{S}]_{d} + \sqrt{\frac{g_{S}}{K+1}} [h_{S}]_{s}, \qquad (20)$$

where the channel function of the direct path of the desired link, $[h_S]_d = e^{-j\varphi_0^S}$, and the channel function of the scattered paths, $[h_S]_s$ is obtained as:

s70
$$[h_S]_s = \sum_{n=1}^{N_S} \alpha_n^S e^{j2\pi f_n^S k t_o - j\psi_n^S}.$$
 (21)

The term that accounts for the change in the frequency due to 371 Doppler effect, f_n^S is obtained by $f_n^S = f_m \sin(\beta_n^S)$, where 372 $\beta_n^S \sim \mathcal{U}(-\omega/2, \omega/2)$. Note that $\mathcal{U}(a, b)$ is an abbreviation 373 for the uniform distribution with support, [a, b]. The phase 374 term, ψ_n^S is obtained by $\psi_n^S \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 2\pi)$. More importantly, 375 note that $f_0^S = 0$ for any v due to the fact that canister 376 opening is directed towards to the desired transmitter. Fur-377 thermore, in LoS fading, ψ_0^S is dependent on the distance 378 between S and AP, and hence is set to a fixed arbitrary value 379 throughout the simulation. Lastly, the amplitudes, α_n^S s are 380 assumed to be approximately equal, and hence, are set to $\alpha_n^S =$ 381 $\sqrt{1/2N_S}$ which in conjunction with (20) subsequently guar-382 antees that $\mathcal{E}\left\{|h_{S}(k)|^{2}\right\} = g_{S}$. This along with the fact that 383 $\mathcal{E}\left\{|x_{S}(k)|^{2}\right\} = 1/\eta$ directly implies that $\mathcal{E}\left\{|r_{S}(k)|^{2}\right\} =$ 384 $1/\eta$. Similarly, the kth sample of the scattered received signal 385 of the interfering link, $r_I(kt_o)$ is also obtained with following notable exceptions: $[h_S]_d = e^{j2\pi f_0^I - j\psi_0^I}$, where $f_0^I = f_m \sin \theta$. Furthermore, β_n^I and ψ_n^I are assumed to be distributed as in 386 387 388 the case for the desired link. 389

390 C. Receive Signal at AP

As a result, the *k*th sample of the combined pass-band received signal by AP is obtained as:

393
$$y(k) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left[r_{S}(k) + r_{I}(k) + n(k)\right]e^{j2\pi f_{c}k}\right\},$$
 (22)

where n(k) is the *k*th AWGN sample with variance σ_n^2/η , and since $r_S(k)$ and $r_I(k)$ are normalized to have average channel gains, g_S and g_I respectively, SIR of the wireless network boils down to SIR = g_S/g_I , and can be adjusted conveniently by manipulating, g_S and g_I in the computer simulation herein. Furthermore, SNR also boils down to SNR = g_S/σ_n^2 .

D. RF Mixing, LP Filtering, Sampling and Detection

It is assumed herein that AP performs I/Q mixing perfectly,⁵ 401 and produces a base-band version of y(k), which is $r_S(k) +$ 402 $r_{I}(k) + n(k)$. The AP then passes this complex base-band 403 version of y(k) through SRRC LPF. The low pass filtered 404 complex signal is then sampled (rather down-sampled) at 405 symbol rate of T_s to obtain $r(\ell T_s)$, for $\ell = 1, \ldots, L$, which 406 are the faded, interfered and noisier versions of the complex 407 modulated samples, $a_S(\ell T_s)$, $\forall \ell$. The L complex samples 408 per packet are then forwarded to the detector in (16) to obtain 409 the reproduced data, \hat{d}_S . 410

E. Simple Channel Estimation

As pointed out in Sec. II, despite being different, all fading coefficients, $h'_{S}(\ell)$ s, in a single data packet duration are approximated by a single value, \hbar_{S} . In this study, we assume that the desired transmitter sends Q number of known data symbols, and AP uses simple least-square (LS) algorithm for channel estimation [19]. From (14), the complex base-band signal received in the channel estimation phase, $r^{e}(\ell)$, is: 410

$$r^{e}(\ell) = h'_{S}(\ell) a^{e}_{S}(\ell) + n'(\ell), \text{ for } \ell = 1, \dots, Q,$$
 (23) 419

$$\approx \hbar_S a_S^e(\ell) + n'(\ell), \tag{24}$$

where $a_S^e(\ell)$ are known symbols transmitted for channel estimation. The LS estimation of \hbar_S can hence be obtained as: $\hat{h}_S = (\boldsymbol{a}_S^e)^H \boldsymbol{r}^e / (\boldsymbol{a}_S^e)^H \boldsymbol{a}_S^e$, where $\boldsymbol{r}^e = \{r^e(1), \dots, r^e(Q)\}^T$ and $\boldsymbol{a}^e = \{a_S^e(1), \dots, a_S^e(Q)\}^T$. In the forthcoming simulation study, Q = 8 is used.

F. Simulation Results

A severely interfered link is simulated, where both desired 427 and interference links have equal average link gains, so $q_I =$ 428 g_S . Hence, the SIR before the DAIM receiver denoted herein 429 as SIR is 0 dB. Fig. 6 shows the averaged BER performance 430 of a communication link with SIR = 0 dB, $N_S = N_I = 50$, 431 K = 20 dB, and $\omega = 20^{\circ}$, where the results show that when 432 v = 0, the link with interference is completely unusable. 433 However, as v increases to $v = 2.5\lambda_c B_w$, BER performance 434 improves significantly. BER performance with no interference 435 and v = 0 is also shown for comparison. It is apparent that 436 at low SNR, DAIM can create an interference free link, but 437

⁵Note that one can obtain the *k*th sample of the in-phase mixed signal by $y(k) \cos 2\pi f_c k$ while $y(k) \sin 2\pi f_c k$ being the quadrature phase mixed signal.

TABLE II PARAMETERS FOR QPSK PASS-BAND SIMULATION

Parameter	Value
Carrier frequency, f_c	60 GHz
Sampling frequency, F_s	3 MHz
Signal bandwidth, B_w	5 KHz
Symbol duration, T_s	$1/B_w$
Low pass filter	SRRC
SRRC span	$64T_s$
Rolloff factor of SRRC, ρ	0.2
Over sampling rate, η ,	300
Packet Length, L	$500T_s$



Fig. 6. BER performance of DAIM, where SIR = 0 dB, K = 20 dB, N = 50, $\omega = 20^{\circ}$, and v is set such that in (1), $f_D = 10.8$ KHz and in (2), $f_D = 12.9$ KHz.

⁴³⁸ as SNR increases, BER performance drifts away. This trend ⁴³⁹ can be attributed to the phenomenon that as v increases, the ⁴⁴⁰ spectrum of the desired signal $h_S(t) b_S(t)$ broadens, which ⁴⁴¹ in turn makes a certain amount of desired signal power ⁴⁴² suppressed by LPF at AP.

Fig. 7 shows BER performance of the proposed interference 443 mitigation system with SIR = 0 dB, N = 20, K = 6/10 dB 444 and $\omega = 10^{\circ}$. As v increases, similar to Fig. 6, BER 445 performance significantly improves specially at low SNR. 446 As SNR increases BER performance again drifts away from 447 BER performance of the completely interference free link. 448 In this fading condition, two major factors come into effect. 449 The first one is the effect that in low K values, the spectral 450 451 broadening of $h_S(t)$ is severe, and hence a relatively larger amount of power is suppressed by LPF. The second one is 452 the channel estimation errors. In the absence of significantly 453 dominant multi-path components, the volatility of $h_{S}(t)$ even 454 in the time duration of a single packet may be considerable. 455 Approximating $h_S(\ell)$ for all ℓ s by a single \hbar_S is obviously 456 suboptimal, and hence, more tailored algorithms for desired 457 channel estimation may be needed. Furthermore, it is antici-458 pated that more scenario specific low pass filters that passes 459 a majority of desired signal power will be more effective for 460 the earlier challenge as well. 461







Fig. 8. SIR_A performance of DAIM for SIR = 0 dB, where K = 10 dB, N = 20, and $\omega = 10^{\circ}$. Note that $v_0 = \lambda_c B_w$.

Fig. 7 also shows that BER of DAIM is marginally better 462 than BER of interference free link with v = 0. This gain, 463 though small, is defined as *Doppler Gain (DG)*. Doppler effect 464 causes the fading function, $h_{S}(t)$, to fluctuate specially in low 465 K and high N_S and ω . As a result, certain fading coefficients, 466 $h_S(\ell)$, enhance their respective data symbols. Consequently, 467 a net BER gain, which is manifested in the BER performance 468 as DG, can be achieved. The simulation results that do not 469 appear in this paper for reasons of space also show that 470 ideal channel state information of the desired link significantly 471 increases both BER of DAIM and DG. 472

Fig. 8 shows another view point of CCI mitigation capability $_{473}$ of DAWC. Let the SIR after DAIM be denoted by SIR_A, $_{474}$ and: $_{475}$

$$SIR_{A} = \frac{\mathcal{E}\left\{|r'_{S}(t)|^{2}\right\}}{\mathcal{E}\left\{|r'_{I}(t)|^{2}\right\}},$$
(25) 476

where $r'_{S}(t)$ and $r'_{I}(t)$ are given in (13). Fig. 8 shows the 477 SIR_A performance of DAIM against the azimuthal separation, 478 θ for different rotation speeds. It can be seen here that higher 479



Fig. 9. SIR_A performance of DAIM for SIR = 0 dB, where K = 10 dB, N = 20, and $\omega = 10^{\circ}/20^{\circ}/40^{\circ}$. Note that $v = 5v_0$.



Fig. 10. Spectral characteristics of faded signals, $h_S(t) b_S(t)$ and $h_I(t) b_I(t)$, where SIR = 0 dB, K = 10 dB, N = 20, $\omega = 10^{\circ}$ degrees. In (a) v = 0 and in (b), v is set such that $f_D = 17.3$ KHz.

rotation speed may be required to achieve a certain SIR_A for low θ s and vice versa. Furthermore, Fig. 9 shows SIR_A performance of DAIM for various angle spreads ($\omega = 10^{o}/20^{o}/40^{o}$) for a fixed rotation speed of $v = 5v_0$, where $v_0 = \lambda_c B_w$.

Fig. 10-(a) and (b) show the spectral characteristics of faded 484 signals, $h_{S}(t) b_{S}(t)$ and $h_{I}(t) b_{I}(t)$ with static and rotating 485 antenna respectively. Herein, we consider a fading scenario, 486 where SIR = 0 dB, K = 10 dB, N = 20, $\omega = 10^{\circ}$ degrees, 487 and v is set, when rotating, such that $f_D = 17.3$ KHz. The 488 figure clearly shows that as v increases, the interference signal, 489 $h_I(t) b_I(t)$ shifts to an intermediate frequency so that it is 490 suppressed by LPF. Furthermore, the spectral broadening, as v 491 increases, of the desired signal is also visible in Fig. 10. 492

493 G. Important Remarks

As shown in Sec. III-F, DAIM suppresses CCI significantly as v increases. The optimum v is dependent on many system parameters such as B_w , f_c and environmental and topological parameters such as θ , ω , and K. Hence, v should be carefully selected, and be able to be adapted to the environment. From Fig. 6 and also in general, a rotation velocity that achieves $f_D = 2B_w$ (which is about $v = 2\lambda_c B_w \sin \theta$) is a reasonable value for v. It is equivalent to v = 58 m/s for $B_w = 5$ KHz, and v = 23 m/s for $B_w = 2$ KHz. From geometry of the drum antenna, the angular rotation speed can be obtained as: 503

$$s_r = \frac{30v}{\pi R} = \frac{60CB_w}{\pi f_c R}$$
 RPM, (26) 504

where s_r is the angular rotation speed in rounds per minute 505 (RPM), which is about 1100 RPM for $B_w = 2$ KHz, R =506 20 cm and $f_c = 60$ GHz. The equation, (26) also shows that 507 R and s_r can be traded-off for one another. Furthermore, 508 it appears that DAIM can only be applied practically for 509 mmWave frequencies with B_w in the order of KHz and 510 ultra-narrowband (UNB) communication systems with sub-511 GHz carrier frequencies with B_w in the order of Hz. Other 512 scenarios may require extremely high rotation velocities which 513 may not be practically realizable with today's technologies. 514 Otherwise, the results presented in this paper theoretically hold 515 for any system that satisfies the assumptions considered in this 516 paper. 517

In wireless communication, all the multi-path signals con-518 tribute to the receive signal power. As rotation speed increases 519 some desired multi-path signals that give rise to excessive 520 Doppler shift could also (while, of course, suppressing major-521 ity of interfering multi-path signals) be suppressed out by 522 the low pass filter (See Fig. 4-(b) and (c)). It is important 523 to note herein that, in the proper and advanced design of 524 DAWC systems, the choice of the rotating speed should 525 strike an effective balance between suppressing the interfering 526 multi-paths and the desired multi-paths. 527

IV. FURTHER REMARKS

A. Multi-Antenna Configurations

The DAWC systems can also be extended to accommodate multiple antennas and users as shown in Fig. 11, where a possible configuration for multi-antenna Doppler assisted system for single-user communication is shown in Fig. 11-(a). Extending (13) to a dual-antenna configuration (merely for simplicity, but readily extends to more than two antenna cases) give rise to following base-band analogue equations:

$$r_1(t) = r'_{S1}(t) + n'_1(t),$$
 (27a) 537

528

529

$$r_2(t) = r'_{S2}(t) + n'_2(t).$$
 (27b) 538

Note that (27) applies after low-pass filtering, and hence $r'_{Si}(t) = h'_{Si}(t) b_S(t)$ for i = 1, 2. Note herein that $h'_{Si}(t) \neq 540$ $h_{Si}(t)$ due to Doppler effect and subsequent low-pass filtering. As shown in Fig. 12, the canisters are stacked vertically, and hence both elevation and the azimuth of the incoming rays are considered in this simulation. Consequently,⁶ 549

$$h_{Si}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N_S} \alpha_n^S e^{j2\pi f_n^S t - j\psi_n^S + j(i-1)d\cos\phi_n^S}, \qquad (28) \quad {}^{545}$$

⁶It is assumed herein that unit wave vector of the *n*th desired wave front is given by $\sin \phi_n^S \sin \beta_n^{S_{1}} + \sin \phi_n^S \cos \beta_n^{S_{1}} + \cos \phi_n^S \underline{k}$, and antenna velocity vector of the *i*th drum antenna is v_{i1} . See fig. 12 for an illustration.



Fig. 11. (a) multi-antenna system for single-user communication and (b) multi-antenna system for multi-user configuration, where a setting for two-user system is shown to reduce the clutter.



Fig. 12. An enlarged multi-antenna part-canister system that shows the azimuth and elevation of incoming rays. Only a single desired incoming ray, B_nC , is shown to reduce the clutter, where $B_n C \overline{Z} = \phi_n^S$ and $\overline{B}_n C Y = \beta_n^S$.

where $f_n^S = v_i \frac{f_c}{C} \sin \phi_n^S \sin \beta_n^S$, and $\psi_n^S \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 2\pi)$. Note that β_n^S and ϕ_n^S respectively are azimuth and elevation of the 546 547 nth incoming ray measured in anti-clockwise direction with 548 respect to CY and $C\overline{Z}$ axis respectively (see Fig. 12). Also, $\beta_n^S \sim \mathcal{U}(-\omega/2, \omega/2)$, and $\phi_n^S \sim \mathcal{U}(\pi/2 - \omega/2, \pi/2 + \omega/2)$, where it is assumed that both azimuth and elevation spread 549 550 551 are the same. Note that 0th path denotes the dominant multi-552 path, and hence, $\beta_0^S = 0$, and it is also assumed that $\phi_0^S =$ 553 1.39626 which is 80⁰ degrees and $d = 6\lambda_c = 3$ cm. Similar 554 fashion, $h_{Ii}(t)$ can also be obtained with the notable exception 555 of $f_n^I = v_i \frac{f_c}{C} \sin \phi_n^I \sin(\theta + \beta_n^I)$. The ideal maximum ratio 556 combining (MRC) can be achieved by: 557

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}(t) = \left(\boldsymbol{h}_{S}'(t)\right)^{H} \boldsymbol{r}(t), \qquad (29)$$

where $\hat{r}(t)$ is the combiner output and $\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{S}^{\prime}\left(t\right) \right) ^{H}$ is the 559 Hermitian conjugate of $h'_{S}(t)$, $h'_{S}(t) = \{h'_{S1}(t) h'_{S2}(t)\}^{T}$ and also $r(t) = \{r_{1}(t) r_{2}(t)\}^{T}$. However, often $h'_{Si}(t)$ 560 561 cannot be estimated exactly, and one reasonable remedy is to 562 use \hat{h}_{Si} which is also used for data detection in (16), and note 563 that the multi-antenna DAIM simulations in this section also 564 employ \hbar_{Si} . Fig. 13 shows SIR_A performance after DAIM 565 processing and combining, where T is the number of drum 566 antennas configured as shown in Fig. 11-(a). It is clear that 567 SIR_A improves significantly as T increases, and interestingly, 568 one can manipulate the number of antennas, T, and the rotation 569 speed, v, in order to achieve a certain SIR_A performance. 570



Fig. 13. SIR_A performance of multi-antenna DAIM for SIR = 0 dB, where K = 10 dB, N = 20, and $\omega = 10^{o}$. Note that $v_0 = \lambda_c B_w$.

It is anticipated that better channel estimation techniques shall increase SIR_A further. Note that all the drum antennas rotate at the same speed ($v = 5v_0$) for curve (1) in Fig. 13 which is not a necessary requirement.

The Fig. 13 also shows (see curve (2)) the SIR_A perfor-575 mance of a multi-antenna DAIM system with drums being 576 rotated at different speeds of $v = 3v_0, 4v_0, 5v_0$, and $6v_0$. Let 577 this speed profile be denoted as $SP_2 = \{3v_0, 4v_0, 5v_0, 6v_0\}.$ 578 It is clear that SIR_A performance is always better than or the 579 same as the case with drums being rotated at the same speed 580 (i.e. a speed profile of $SP_1 = \{v = 5v_0, 5v_0, 5v_0, 5v_0\}$). The 581 energy required to rotate a single drum is proportional to the 582 square of its angular velocity⁷ and in turn to the square of v. 583 Hence, total energy, E_T required to rotate drum antennas in 584 different profiles are: 585

$$E_T \propto \begin{cases} 100v_0^2 \quad \mathcal{SP}_1, \\ 86v_0^2 \quad \mathcal{SP}_2, \end{cases}$$
(30) 56

and from kinetic energy efficiency perspective, SP_2 is preferable as it is 14% more energy efficient than SP_1 .

B. Future Research

Even though the canister opening is directed to the direction 590 of dominant scatters of the desired user, some non-dominant 59' scatters can still induce fluctuations in the desired channel. 592 Though these fluctuations can be harnessed to obtain some 593 diversity gain as discussed in Sec. III-F, some deployments 594 might prefer minimal channel fluctuations. Very closely and 595 vertically placed oppositely rotating drum antennas could be 596 used to reduce Doppler induced channel fluctuations. Note 597 that this approach may not reduce Doppler shift in all fading 598 conditions, and more research is required to understand the 599 full potential of this approach. Furthermore, as shown in 600 Fig. 11-(b), multi-antenna configuration can also be used for 601 multi-user communication. 602

⁷This is due to the fact that kinetic energy required to rotate a rigid body at certain angular velocity is $0.5I\omega^2$, where I is the moment of inertia and the angular velocity respectively.

571

572

573

574

636

647

The current paper discusses the basic operation of DAWC, 603 and demonstrates the feasibility of it for CCI mitigation. 604 We have herein used standard modulation techniques, chan-605 nel estimation techniques, pulse shaping and filtering meth-606 ods just to demonstrate the feasibility of the proposed 607 system. It is expected that more tailored data modulation 608 techniques [20], pulse shaping/filtering and also channel esti-609 mation techniques [21], [22] will increase the robustness and 610 the performance of the proposed scheme. 611

It is also important to study other use cases of DAIM. 612 In this paper, we have assumed that the interference occurs 613 from a single interferer. If interference occurs from unknown 614 number of interferers from unknown locations spread over a 615 large azimuth, the state-of-the-art techniques like MIMO can 616 be very ineffective due to their high reliance on CSI. However, 617 DAIM, in these type of extremely hostile environments could 618 be very effective. 619

V. CONCLUSIONS

The current paper has introduced, and studied a new class of 621 systems termed as Doppler assisted wireless communication 622 (DAWC in short). The proposed class of systems employs 623 rotating drum antennas, and exploits Doppler effect, kinetic 624 energy, and the topological information of wireless networks 625 for CCI mitigation. This paper includes a detailed simulation 626 study that models several important system and environmental 627 parameters. The results presented herein show that difficult 628 CCI-in the sense that it is statistically no more or less 629 strong to the desired signal, and often poorly handled by 630 existing interference mitigation techniques-can successfully 631 be mitigated by the proposed system. This paper has also 632 discussed several important phenomena occurred in DAWC 633 systems such as Doppler gain along with advantages and 634 challenges of DAWC. 635

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Osseiran et al., "Scenarios for 5G mobile and wireless communica-637 tions: The vision of the METIS project," IEEE Commun. Mag., vol. 52, 638 639 no. 5, pp. 26-35, May 2014.
- J. Zander, "Distributed cochannel interference control in cellular radio [2] 640 systems," IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 305-311, 641 Aug. 1992. 642
- [3] M. Park and P. Gopalakrishnan, "Analysis on spatial reuse, interference, 643 644 and MAC layer interference mitigation schemes in 60 GHz wireless networks," in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Ultra-Wideband, Vancouver, BC, 645 Canada, Sep. 2009, pp. 1-5. 646
- Z. Chen, C. Wang, X. Hong, J. Thompson, S. A. Vorobyov, and D. Yuan, [4] 648 "Cross-layer interference mitigation for cognitive radio MIMO systems," in Proc. IEEE ICC, Kyoto, Japan, Jun. 2011, pp. 1-6. 649
- [5] Z. Ding et al., "Application of non-orthogonal multiple access in LTE 650 651 and 5G networks," IEEE Commun. Mag., vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 185-191, Feb. 2017. 652
- 653 [6] Y. Saito et al., "Non-orthogonal multiple access (NOMA) for cellular future radio access," in Proc. IEEE VTC-Spring, Dresden, Germany, 654 655 Jun. 2013, pp. 1-5.
- [7] P. Marsch, M. Grieger, and G. Fettweis, "Large scale field trial results 656 on different uplink coordinated multi-point (CoMP) concepts in an 657 urban environment," in Proc. IEEE WCNC, Cancun, Mexico, Mar. 2011, 658 pp. 1858-1863. 659
- O. El Ayach, A. Lozano, and R. W. Heath, Jr., "On the overhead [8] 660 of interference alignment: Training, feedback, and cooperation," IEEE 661 Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 4192-4203, Nov. 2012. 662
- L. C. Godara, "Application of antenna arrays to mobile communications. 663 II. Beam-forming and direction-of-arrival considerations," Proc. IEEE, 664 vol. 85, no. 8, pp. 1195-1245, Aug. 1997. 665

- [10] S. Haykin, "Cognitive radio: Brain-empowered wireless communications," IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun., vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 201-220, Feb. 2005.
- [11] D. A. Basnayaka et al., "Doppler effect assisted interference mitigation for wireless communication," in Proc. IEEE Global Commun. Conf., Abu Dhabi, UAE, 2018.
- [12] L. Lu et al., "An overview of massive MIMO: Benefits and challenges," IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process., vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 742-758, Oct 2014
- [13] A. Paulraj et al., "Introduction to Space-Time Wireless Communications. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003.
- [14] T. L. Koch and U. Koren, "Semiconductor lasers for coherent optical fiber communications," J. Lightw. Technol., vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 274-293, Mar. 1990.
- [15] M. Gregory et al., "TESAT laser communication terminal performance results on 5.6 Gbit coherent inter satellite and satellite to ground links," in Proc. Int. Conf. Space Opt., Rhodes, Greece, Oct. 2010.
- [16] A. Goldsmith, Wireless Communications, Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press. 2005.
- [17] G. L. Stüber, Principles of Mobile Communication, 2nd ed. Norwell, MA, USA: Kluwer, 2002.
- [18] D. A. Basnayaka, P. J. Smith, and P. A. Martin, "Performance analysis of macrodiversity MIMO systems with MMSE and ZF receivers in flat rayleigh fading," IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun., vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 2240-2251, May 2013.
- [19] S. S. Haykin, Adaptive Filter Theory, 5th ed. London, U.K.: Pearson, 2013
- [201]T. Dean, M. Chowdhury, and A. Goldsmith, "A new modulation technique for Doppler compensation in frequency-dispersive channels," in Proc. IEEE PIMRC, Montreal, QC, Canada, Oct. 2017, pp. 1-7.
- [21] P. Stoica and Y. Wang, Spectral Analysis of Signals, 1st ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: Prentice-Hall, 2005.
- [22] L. Zhao, G. Geraci, T. Yang, D. W. K. Ng, and J. Yuan, "A tone-based AoA estimation and multiuser precoding for millimeter wave massive MIMO," IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 65, no. 12, pp. 5209-5225, Dec. 2017.



Dushyantha A. Basnayaka (S'11-M'12-SM'18) 702 received the B.Sc. Eng. degree (Hons.) and the 703 Ph.D. degree in electrical and electronics engineer-704 ing in 2006 and 2012, respectively. He is currently 705 with the Institute for Digital Communications, The 706 University of Edinburgh, U.K. His research interests 707 include MIMO, physical layer aspects of IoT, and 708 5G. He holds two patents (one granted and one pend-709 ing) in coordinated multi-point (CoMP) and IoT. 710 He is a member of IEEE Communication Theory 711 Society. He was a recipient of the University of 712

Canterbury International Doctoral Scholarship from 2009 to 2012 and the Best 713 Paper Award at the IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC-Spring) in 714 2015.715



Tharmalingam Ratnarajah (A'96-M'05-SM'05) 716 was the Head of the Institute for Digital Communi-717 cations (2016–2018). He is currently with the Insti-718 tute for Digital Communications, The University of 719 Edinburgh, Edinburgh, U.K., as a Professor in digital 720 communications and signal processing. His research 721 interests include signal processing and information 722 theoretic aspects of 5G and beyond wireless net-723 works, full-duplex radio, mmWave communications, 724 random matrices theory, interference alignment, sta-725 tistical and array signal processing, and quantum 726

information theory. He has published over 350 publications in these areas and 727 holds four U.S. patents. He has raised over 15M € EU funding for numerous 728 projects in many areas of wireless communication. He is a member of the 729 American Mathematical Society and Information Theory Society and a fellow 730 of the Higher Education Academy (FHEA). He was the Technical Co-Chair 731 of the 17th IEEE International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in 732 Wireless Communications, Edinburgh, U.K., in 2016. He was an Associate 733 Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SIGNAL PROCESSING (2015-2017). 734