

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

A bio-inspired O2-tolerant catalytic CO2 reduction electrode

Citation for published version:

Lu, X, Jiang, Z, Yuan, X, Wu, Y, Malpass-evans, R, Zhong, Y, Liang, Y, Mckeown, NB & Wang, H 2019, 'A bio-inspired O2-tolerant catalytic CO2 reduction electrode', *Science Bulletin*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2019.04.008

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1016/j.scib.2019.04.008

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Science Bulletin

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



A bio-inspired O₂-tolerant catalytic CO₂ reduction electrode

Xu Lu^{1,2}, Zhan Jiang³, Xiaolei Yuan^{1,2,4}, Yueshen Wu^{1,2}, Richard Malpass-Evans⁵, Yiren Zhong^{1,2}, Yongye Liang^{3*}, Neil B. McKeown^{5*}, Hailiang Wang^{1,2*}

¹ Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, United States

² Energy Sciences Institute, Yale University, West Haven, Connecticut 06516, United States

³ Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Printed Organic Electronics, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China

⁴ Institute of Functional Nano and Soft Materials, Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Carbon-Based Functional Materials and Devices, Soochow University, Suzhou, China

⁵ EastChem, School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FJ, UK

* Correspondence to: <u>hailiang.wang@yale.edu</u> (Hailiang Wang); <u>neil.mckeown@ed.ac.uk</u> (Neil B. McKeown); <u>liangyy@sustc.edu.cn</u> (Yongye Liang).

Graphical abstract



Biography



Xu Lu obtained his B.S. and Ph.D. degree from University of Hong Kong at 2012 and 2017, respectively. He is now a postdoctoral associate in Department of Chemistry, Yale University under the supervision of Prof. Hailiang Wang. His research mainly focuses on reactor engineering and its application in energy conversion and storage.



Prof. Yongye Liang achieved his Ph.D. degree from Chicago University at 2009. He is now an associate professor at Department of Materials Science and Engineering, South University of Science and Technology of China. His research focuses on the development of advanced materials for energy, electronics and biotechnology through the combination of chemical design and synthesis with device studies.



Prof. Neil B. McKeown is the Crawford Tercentenary Chair of Chemistry and Director of Research, School of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh since 2014. His research interests cover synthesis of organic materials, Polymers of Intrinsic Microporosity, membranes, CO₂ capture, and nanoporous molecular crystals.



Prof. Hailiang Wang received his Ph.D. degree from Stanford University at 2012 and joined Department of Chemistry, Yale University as a faculty member at 2014. His research employs chemistry, materials science, nanotechnology and surface science to tackle the challenges in electrochemical energy storage and conversion. His research activities involve exploration of novel chemistry and materials for high-density energy storage, development of catalysis for high-rate and high-efficiency energy conversion, and

investigation of fundamental structure-property correlations and chemical processes in electrochemical devices.

Keywords

Electrochemical CO_2 reduction; O_2 tolerance; gas separation; polymer of intrinsic microporosity; cooperative catalysis

Abstract

The electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to give CO in the presence of O₂ would allow the direct valorization of flue gases from fossil fuel combustion and of CO₂ captured from air. However, it is a challenging task because O₂ reduction is thermodynamically favored over that of CO₂. Typically, 5% O₂ in the CO₂ feed gas is sufficient to completely inhibit the CO₂ reduction reaction. Here we report an O₂-tolerant catalytic CO₂ reduction electrode inspired by part of the natural photosynthesis unit. The electrode comprises of heterogenized cobalt phthalocyanine molecules serving as the cathode catalyst with > 95% Faradaic efficiency (FE) for CO₂ reduction to CO coated with a polymer of intrinsic microporosity that works as a CO₂-selective layer with a CO₂/O₂ selectivity of ~ 20. Integrated into a flow electrolytic cell, the hybrid electrode operating with a CO₂ feed gas containing 5% O₂ exhibits a FE_{CO} of 75.9% with a total current density of 27.3 mA/cm² at a cell voltage of 3.1 V. A FE_{CO} of 49.7% can be retained when the O₂ fraction increases to 20%. Stable operation for 18 h is demonstrated. The electrochemical performance and O₂ tolerance can be further enhanced by introducing cyano and nitro substituents to the phthalocyanine ligand.

1. Introduction

Electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to useful chemicals would contribute to solving the greenhouse gas issue and to utilizing renewable energy. [1-10] For such an artificial photosynthesis process to be viable, the feed gas should come from a practical CO2 source such as fossil fuel flue gases or gases from direct air capture systems, both of which contain a significant amount of O_2 . For example, a typical exhaust gas generated by combustion of fossil fuels has an O₂/CO₂ ratio of ~ 20%, [11] whereas CO₂ captured and concentrated from air by a moisture-swing sorption method is expected to contain up to 10% O₂. [12, 13] The presence of O₂ poses a tangible threat to the reduction reaction of CO₂. Thermodynamically, O₂ has a reduction potential more than 1 V higher than that of CO_2 and, kinetically, CO_2 reduction often demands a larger overpotential compared to O₂ reduction. [14, 15] These effects combine to ensure that CO₂ is strongly unfavored to compete for electrons with O_2 . The current mainstream solution is to purify CO_2 before feeding it to an electrolyzer, which requires an additional gas separation system and extra energy input. [16, 17] Nature does it differently. The plant photosynthesis process employs enzymes near the reactive sites to increase the local CO_2 concentration to up to 1000 times the atmospheric level. [18, 19] With the lowered O_2/CO_2 ratio, CO_2 can be efficiently converted to glucose at a fast rate in spite of the competing O_2 -reduction photorespiration process. [20] This biological strategy provided the inspiration for the design of an artificial electrode within which CO₂ can be enriched to facilitate its reduction in the presence of O_2 .

Herein, we report a catalytic electrode that can selectively produce CO by reducing CO_2 in a feed gas containing a significant percentage of O_2 . The electrode design integrates three components: (i) a CO_2 concentrator, (ii) a gas diffusion electrode (GDE), and (iii) a catalyst layer. The CO_2 concentrator is composed of a thin layer of a polymer of intrinsic microporosity (PIM), which can impede O_2 transport but is highly permeable to CO_2 . The catalyst is cobalt phthalocyanine (CoPc) molecules anchored on carbon nanotubes (CNTs). Integrated into a flow electrolyzer, the PIM-CoPc/CNT hybrid electrode affords effective and durable CO_2 reduction with substantially improved O_2 tolerance. With 5% O_2 in the CO_2 feed gas, a Faradaic efficiency for CO production (FE_{CO}) of 75.9% with a total geometric current density (j_{total}) of 27.3 mA/cm² is achieved at a cell voltage of 3.1 V. The electrode can retain a FE_{CO} of 49.7% and a j_{total} of 28.6 mA/cm² when the O_2 volume fraction increases to 20%. Adjusting the substituents on the Pc ligand of the supported molecular catalyst allows us to further improve the O_2 tolerance and electrochemical performance. Since the competition between CO_2 reduction and O_2 reduction is a function of the O_2/CO_2 ratio at the catalytic sites, based on the experimentally observed dependence, we deduce that the PIM layer has a CO_2/O_2 selectivity of 16.5 to 23.5. Without the CO_2 concentrating layer, the COPc/CNT electrode essentially cannot perform CO_2 reduction at all when the feed gas contains 5% of O_2 .

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Synthesis of catalyst materials

All chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used as received unless otherwise noted. All aqueous solutions were prepared with deionized water (Millipore 18.2 M Ω cm).

The synthesis of CoPc-CN was based on a reported method with some modifications. [21] Typically, 1,2,4,5-tetracyanobenzene (8.4 mmol, 1.5 g) and cobalt(II) acetate tetrahydrate (2.6 mmol, 0.65 g) were well mixed in 25 mL of tetramethylene sulfone with ten drops of 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene in a seal tube. Subsequently, the tube was filled with Ar, sealed, and kept at 145 °C for 5 h. The reaction mixture was then dispersed in 200 mL of methanol and the resulting precipitate was collected, washed with ether and dried in vacuum. The solid was further purified by Soxhlet extraction with methanol, after which it was dissolved in N,N'-dimethylformamide (DMF) and filtered. The solution was evaporated in vacuum to afford the target compound as a dark-green solid (0.34 g, 21%). UV-Vis: λ_{max} (DMF) 686.4nm; HRMS (ESI) calculated for CoC₄₀H₈N₁₆: 771.04553, found: 771.04470.

To synthesize CoPc-NO₂, 4-Nitrophthalonitrile (10 mmol, 1.73 g), cobalt(II) chloride hexahydrate (CoCl₂·6H₂O, 2.5 mmol, 0.60 g), urea (80 mmol, 4.80 g) and a catalytic amount of ammonium molybdate were first ground into a homogeneous mixture in an agate mortar. Then, the mixture was reacted in the solid state at 170 °C for 5 h under Ar atmosphere. The resulting product was stirred at 90 °C for 1 h in HCl (1 M, 200 mL) and then in NaOH (1 M, 200 mL). The solid was filtered, washed with water and dried in vacuum. The crude product was purified by Soxhlet extraction with methanol. The resulting product was then dissolved in DMF and filtered. The DMF solution was evaporated under vacuum to afford the CoPc-NO₂ compound as a dark-green solid (0.83 g, 44%). UV-Vis: λ_{max} (DMF) 675.3 nm; HRMS (ESI) calculated for CoC₃₂H₁₂N₁₂O₈: 751.02385, found: 751.02344.

To synthesize CoPc-NH₂, CoPc-NO₂ (1.5 g, 2 mmol), sodium sulfide nonahydrate (Na₂S·9H₂O, 9.6 g, 40 mmol), 2 mL of deionized water and 50 mL of DMF were mixed in a three-necked round-bottom flask, and the mixture was stirred at 60 °C overnight under Ar atmosphere. After that, the solution was evaporated under vacuum, and the obtained solid was washed with water and then boiled in a 200 mL 5 wt.% aqueous sodium hydroxide solution. Subsequently, the precipitate was filtered and washed with water. The resulting solid was poured into 500 mL of water with stirring, and 1 M HCl was added to adjust the pH to 5. Filtration was applied to remove undissolved side products. The pH of the filtered solution was then adjusted to 8 by adding 1 M KOH and the resulting solution was boiled. The formed precipitate was collected by filtration, washed with water and methanol, and dried in vacuum to afford the target CoPc-NH₂ compound as a darkgreen solid (1.03 g, 82%). UV-Vis: λ_{max} (DMF) 705.0 nm; HRMS (ESI) calculated for CoC₃₂H₂₀N₁₂: 631.12604, found: 631.12435.

CoPc, CoPc-CN, CoPc-NO₂ and CoPc-NH₂ molecules were anchored onto multi-wall carbon nanotubes (CNTs) (CNano Technology Ltd.) based on our previous work. [22] The CNTs were first calcined at 500 °C in air for 5 h. After cooling down to room temperature, the CNTs were transferred into a 5 wt.% HCl aqueous solution and sonicated for 30 min. The purified CNTs were collected by filtration and washed with deionized water thoroughly. 30 mg of the purified CNTs were dispersed in 30 mL of DMF by sonication for 1 h. Then, a calculated amount of CoPc, CoPc-CN, CoPc-NO₂ or CoPc-NH₂ dissolved in DMF was added to the CNT suspension and then sonicated for 30 min to obtain a well-mixed suspension. The suspension was further stirred at room temperature for 20 h. The mixture was then centrifuged and the precipitate was washed with DMF and ethanol. Finally, the precipitate was lyophilized to yield the final product. The cobalt content in the hybrid materials was adjusted to be ~ 0.27 wt.%.

 CoO_x/CNT was prepared following a previous work. [23] 4 mg of mildly-oxidized CNTs were dispersed in 14 mL of ethanol and sonicated for 1 h. 0.8 mL of $Co(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$ aqueous solution (0.2 M) and 0.8 mL of $NH_3 \cdot H_2O$ (28.0 - 30.0 wt.%) were added to the suspension in sequence. The mixture was kept at 80 °C in an oil bath for 12 h for the reaction to complete. After centrifuging and washing with deionized water for three times, the product was lyophilized and stored under ambient conditions.

2.2. Synthesis of PIM

The preparation of PIM was based on a previous work. [24] A mixture of 3.3,3',3'-tetramethyl-1,1'spirobisindane-5,5',6,6'-tetrol (5.0000 g, 14.69 mmol), 2,3,5,6 tetrafluorophthalonitrile (2.9389 g, 14.69 mmol, recrystallized from ethanol) and anhydrous potassium carbonate (16.2390 g, 117.50 mmol) in 100 mL of anhydrous dimethylformamide was stirred at 65-70 °C for 72 h. The bright yellow mixture was cooled to room temperature, poured into 500 mL of water and stirred for 1 h. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with deionized water and then acetone until the washings were clear. The resulting powder was dried and dissolved in tetrahydrofuran. Subsequently, methanol was added drop-wise until the solution became turbid. The solution was further stirred for 30 min to precipitate a gel. The polymer was then dissolved in tetrahydrofuran and added drop-wise to a 500 mL mixture of methanol and acetone (1:1) with vigorous stirring and the precipitated fine powder was filtered. The powder was refluxed in methanol for 24 h, filtered and then dried in a vacuum oven at 120 °C for 9 h to afford the desired polymer (6.65 g, 92%) as a bright yellow powder. v_{max} (polymer film) (cm⁻¹): 2953, 2864, 2241, 1445, 1262, 1009; ¹H NMR $(250 \text{ MHz, CDCl}_3)$: $\delta_H = 6.81$ (br, s, 2H, Ar *H*), 6.42 (br, s, 2H, Ar *H*), 1.56 (br, m, 4H, 2 CH₂), 1.31 (br, m, 12H, 4 CH₃); GPC (Chloroform): $M_n = 60,400$, $M_w = 194,700$. BET surface area = 812 m²/g; total pore volume = $0.7648 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$ at P/P_o = 0.9814; TGA analysis (polymer film): Initial weight loss due to thermal degradation commences at ~ 500 °C with a 87% loss of mass below 1000 °C.

2.3. Gas selectivity measurement in pressure increase apparatus

Polymer membranes were prepared by casting a PIM solution (2-5 wt.% in tetrahydrofuran) into a flatbottomed glass dish. The solvent was evaporated slowly under a slow flow of N_2 at ambient temperature to give a bright yellow membrane. CO_2/O_2 selectivity of the as-prepared polymer membrane was deduced from gas permeation data, which were measured at 30 °C with pure CO_2 and O_2 gases using a custom-made fixed-volume pressure-increase instrument (typically at 200-300 mbar). Prior to each measurement, the system was sufficiently evacuated to completely remove the previous gas.

2.4. Hybrid electrode preparation

10 mg of catalyst powder was dispersed in 5 mL of ethanol, followed by the addition of 40 μ L of 5 wt.% Nafion perfluorinated resin solution and a bath sonication for 1 h. Then, a calculated amount of the homogeneously mixed ink was deposited on one side of a 0.6 cm × 0.9 cm × 0.37 mm polytetrafluoroethylene-hydrophobized carbon fiber paper (Toray 120, Fuel Cell Store) and dried under an IR lamp. The final loading of the catalyst was 0.37 mg/cm². Then, 15 mg of PIM was dissolved in 3 mL of chloroform. 600 μ L of the solution was deposited dropwise on the other side of the carbon fiber paper and dried in a fume hood. The adopted volume was an optimized result (Fig. S1 online).

2.5. Flow electrolyzer fabrication

The flow electrolyzer was fabricated following our previously published protocol. [25] The 0.6 cm \times 0.9 cm \times 0.37 mm anode and cathode were housed between two 0.5 mm thick poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) plates, where a 0.2 \times 0.5 cm² window was cut out. Two identical 0.2 mm thick PMMA plates with a 0.2 cm \times 7 cm channel were adjacently placed to facilitate the laminar electrolyte flows. An anion exchange membrane (AEM, Selemion DSV) was sandwiched in-between as the separator. A 5 cm \times 1 cm \times 0.5 cm gas reservoir was constructed on the cathode side to deliver CO₂/O₂ feed gas to the opposing-catalyst side of the cathode. The anode is CoO_x/CNT coated on a carbon fiber paper electrode with a mass loading of 0.37 mg/cm². A leakage test was carried out prior to each experiment by immersing the electrolyzer into a beaker filled with water and passing Ar gas through all channels.

2.6. Electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical measurements were controlled by an electrochemical workstation (Bio-Logic VMP3 Multi Potentiostat). The cell polarization profiles were obtained by the chronoamperometry technique. Each data point was an average over a run time of 180 s. Currents were normalized to the geometric active area of the electrode, *i.e.* 0.1 cm². We noted that the current density variation from device to device was larger than common H-cell studies because of the much smaller working electrode area. Two 0.5 M KHCO₃ aqueous solutions were used as the catholyte and anolyte, which were driven into the flow cell using a dual-channel syringe pump (LSP02-1B, Longer Pump) at a constant flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. After passing through the electrolyzer, the catholyte and gas effluents were combined and collected by a home-made collector. Due to the limitation of our cell design, the cathode potential was estimated by measuring the

potential difference between the cathode and an external Ag/AgCl reference electrode immersed in the catholyte effluent, which gave a cathode potential of ~ -1.1 V vs RHE at the cell voltage of -3.1 V. When conducting long-term electrolysis, a dual-channel peristaltic pump (P625, Instech) was used to circulate the electrolytes at a constant flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. All the electrolyte solutions were purified before use following a method described in our previous work. [26] To adjust the volume fraction of O₂, high-purity CO₂ (99.999%, Airgas Inc) and O₂ (99.999%, Airgas Inc) were mixed in a home-made gas mixer at calculated flow rates as controlled by independent mass flow controllers (Alicat Scientific), and finally delivered to the gas reservoir at a total flow rate of 20 sccm. Gas products were extracted from the home-made collector placed at the outlet of the gas chamber and the catholyte channel for analysis by a GC (SRI Multiple Gas Analyzer #5) equipped with molecular sieve 5A and HayeSep D columns. N₂ was used as the carrier gas. H₂ and CO were quantified by a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and a flame ionization detector (FID), respectively. Gas volumes were obtained from the output peak areas using calibration curves. No liquid product was detected in our experiments.

3. Results

CO is one of the most cost-effective products from electrochemical CO₂ reduction because it could be further electrochemically reduced to hydrocarbons [27, 28] or used as a feedstock in the Fischer-Tropsch process to produce liquid fuels. [29] Besides noble metals such as Au and Ag, [30, 31] metal-nitrogen coordination materials, with our CoPc-based molecules anchored on CNTs as an example, [22] are selective and active electrocatalysts for CO₂ conversion to CO. [32, 33] When CoPc/CNT is used as the cathode catalyst in a flow electrolytic cell that we have recently constructed, [25] pure CO₂ can be converted to CO with high efficiency. In the voltage range of 2.7-3.7 V, the cell exhibits FE_{CO} > 93% and FE_{H2} < 10%, with j_{total} increasing with the voltage from 18.4 to 54.7 mA/cm² (Fig. 1). In sharp contrast, when 5% O₂ is incorporated in the CO₂ feed gas, FE_{CO} and FE_{H2} drop drastically to essentially zero in the examined voltage range (Fig. 1), indicating that O₂ reduction has already been completely dominant at this relatively low O₂/CO₂ ratio. The higher current density in the presence of O₂ is likely associated with faster O₂ reduction.



Fig. 1. FE_{CO} , FE_{H2} and j_{total} vs cell voltage with and without O_2 in the feed gas. CoPc/CNT is used as the cathode catalyst, CoO_x/CNT as the anode catalyst, and 0.5 M aqueous KHCO₃ as the electrolyte. Error bars represent standard deviations from multiple measurements.

Inspired by nature (Fig. 2a), our design strategy for the O₂-tolerant catalytic CO₂ reduction electrode is to integrate in the electrode a function of concentrating CO₂ from a CO₂/O₂ mixture. We chose a PIM material (Fig. S2 online) to serve as a molecular sieve with high gas permeability. [24, 34] The size-selective pores within the polymer structure can reject bigger O₂ molecules (kinetic diameter = 0.346 nm [35, 36]) and allow rapid transport of smaller CO₂ molecules (kinetic diameter = 0.33 nm [35, 37]). A thick (100 μ m) membrane of this polymer demonstrates a CO₂/O₂ selectivity of ~ 6.2 in a pressure-increase time-lag apparatus. [24] Our electrode adopts a layered architecture, where the CoPc/CNT catalyst and the PIM are coated on opposing sides of a carbon fiber paper GDE (Fig. 2b). It was anticipated that the gas selection layer would lower the O₂/CO₂ ratio of the feed gas reaching the supported molecular catalyst so that it can effectively perform CO₂-to-CO conversion.



Fig. 2. Design principle and electrochemical performance. (a) Illustration of plant photosynthesis in the presence of O_2 . (b) Schematic diagram and cross-section scanning electron microscopy image of the architecture of the PIM-CoPc/CNT hybrid electrode for O_2 -tolerant catalytic CO_2 reduction. Atoms: black – carbon, red – oxygen, pink - cobalt, blue – nitrogen. (c) FE_{CO} , FE_{H2} and j_{total} vs volume fraction of O_2 in the CO_2 feed gas. Error bars represent standard deviations from multiple measurements. (d) FE_{CO} and j_{total} during an 18 h electrolysis at O_2 volume fractions of 5% (solid markers) and 20% (hollow markers). PIM-

CoPc/CNT is used as the cathode, CoO_x/CNT as the anode catalyst, and 0.5 M aqueous KHCO₃ as the electrolyte. Cell voltage: 3.1 V.

The PIM-CoPc/CNT hybrid electrode was integrated into our flow electrolytic cell (Fig. S3 online) which was operated at a controlled voltage of 3.1 V for maximized FE_{CO} (Fig. S4 online). Without any O₂ in the CO₂ feed gas, FE_{CO} is 96.9% with j_{total} = 29.3 mA/cm² (Fig. 2c), similar to the control without the PIM gas selection layer (Fig. 1). Measurements were then conducted with O₂ in the feed gas to assess the O₂ tolerance of the electrode. With 5% O₂, CO₂ reduction to CO can still proceed with a high FE of 75.9% and a total current density of 27.3 mA/cm², indicating effective size-exclusion of O₂ by the PIM layer. With stepwise increments of 5% in the O₂ content, j_{total} stays at ~ 30 mA/cm² and FE_{H2} remains below 6%, whereas FE_{CO} gradually decreases to 69.7% at 10% O₂, 60.6% at 15% O₂, 49.7% at 20% O₂, 43.3% at 25% O₂, and 32.1% at 30% O₂ (Fig. 2c). As the volume fraction of O₂ increases to 35%, FE_{CO} falls below 20.0% and j_{total} increases to 34.9 mA/cm², suggesting that O₂ reduction dominates the electrode reaction. Our CO₂ electrolytic cell shows stable performance during long-term operation. With 5% O₂ in the CO₂ feed gas, FE_{CO} remains largely stable between 79.4% and 75.8% for 18 h of continuous electrolysis at a controlled cell voltage of 3.1 V, with j_{total} = ~ 32 mA/cm² (Fig. 2d). Good durability is also achieved with a high O₂ volume fraction of 20%: Throughout the entire 18 h period, FE_{CO} slowly descends from 53.7% to 48.4% (Fig. 2d).

A notable advantage of our molecular CoPc-based catalyst materials is that their catalytic sites can be tailored by chemical synthesis to introduce substituents that might further enhance performance. Our prior work has revealed that electron-withdrawing substituents on CoPc can improve the electrocatalytic activity for CO₂ reduction to CO. [22] Hence, we prepared cyano-, nitro- and amino-substituted CoPc molecules (Fig. S5 online) and their corresponding hybrids with CNTs. With pure CO₂ as the feed gas, the three hybrid materials can all catalyze CO₂ electroreduction with $FE_{CO} > 90\%$ (Fig. S6 online). With CO₂ containing 5% O₂, the three substituted CoPc-based electrodes, like CoPc/CNT, all completely lose their function for catalyzing CO₂ reduction (Fig. S6 online). However, integrating a PIM CO₂-concentrating layer imparts O₂ tolerance to all electrodes (Fig. S7 and S8 online). Because ligand modification influences both the catalytic activity for CO₂ reduction and that for O₂ reduction, O₂-tolerant CO₂ reduction could be further enhanced. Indeed, the PIM-CoPc-CN/CNT electrode can deliver a j_{total} of 56.3 mA/cm² with a FE_{CO} of 83.7% at a cell voltage of 3.1 V in the presence of 5% O₂ in the CO₂ feed gas (Fig. S8a online), demonstrating higher reaction rates and selectivity. The PIM-CoPc-NO₂/CNT electrode has even higher O₂ tolerance, showing a FE_{CO+H2} as high as 82.3% in the presence of 20% O₂ (Fig. S8b online).

4. Discussion

The PIM layer plays a pivotal role in this bio-inspired electrode structure. It filters O_2 and enriches CO_2 from the feed gas, and thus creates a low- O_2 local environment for the catalyst to effectively perform electrochemical CO₂ reduction to CO. For a given catalyst, the reaction selectivity between CO₂ reduction and O_2 reduction should be dependent on the O_2/CO_2 ratio at the catalyst surface, if the other conditions are held constant. This allows us to derive the local O2/CO2 ratio at the catalyst surface of the O2-tolerant hybrid electrodes and to further estimate the CO₂ concentrating power of the PIM layer. For each CoPc-based catalyst, we first recorded the dependence of the FE₀₂/FE_{C0} ratio on the O₂/CO₂ feed ratio in the PIM-free electrode configuration (Fig. S9a-d online), and then compared with the O₂/CO₂-dependent FE₀₂/FE_{CO} data for the corresponding PIM-containing electrode (Fig. S9e-h online) to determine the local O₂/CO₂ ratio at the catalyst surface at each O_2/CO_2 feed ratio (Fig. S10 online). The results derived independently from the four different CoPc-based catalysts are consistent and reflect the capability of the PIM layer in selectively transporting CO₂ over O₂. As the volume fraction of O₂ increases from 0 to 35% in the feed gas, the local O_2 concentration at the catalyst is maintained below 2%, corresponding to an average CO_2/O_2 selectivity of ~ 20 (Fig. 3), which suggests that ~ 95% O_2 in the feed gas is rejected by the PIM layer. Compared to the CO_2/O_2 selectivity of 6.2 measured in the standard pressure-increase apparatus, the enhanced separation in our electrolyzer could be attributed to the gas-liquid co-flow configuration as well as the relatively high concentration of CO_2 in the feed gas.



Fig. 3. Volume fraction of O₂ at the catalyst surface vs that in the feed gas, and CO₂/O₂ selectivity of the PIM gas selection layer in the O₂-tolerant hybrid electrodes. Error bars represent standard deviations from measurements of PIM-CoPc-CN/CNT, PIM-CoPc/CNT, PIM-CoPc-NH₂/CNT, and PIM-CoPc-NO₂/CNT electrodes as shown in Fig. S10 (online).

5. Conclusions

In summary, we have devised a bio-inspired hybrid electrode structure to realize efficient and durable O_2 tolerant electrocatalytic CO_2 reduction. This unprecedented performance is based on the cooperation between the microporous polymer that selectively permeate CO_2 and the heterogenized molecular catalyst that actively converts CO_2 to CO. The reaction rate and O_2 tolerance can be further enhanced by appending substituents to the catalyst structure. These electrodes may be useful for directly valorizing O_2 -containing industrial flue gases or utilizing CO_2 from direct air capture.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement

This research is supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-1651717) and the Croucher Fellowship for Postdoctoral Research. The development of the flow electrolyzer was supported by Global Innovation Initiative from Institute of International Education. Z.J. and Y.L. acknowledge financial support from Shenzhen fundamental research funding (JCYJ20160608140827794).

Reference

- [1] Li J, Chen G, Zhu Y, et al. Efficient electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction on a three-phase interface. Nature Catalysis 2018; 1: 592-600.
- [2] Rasul S, Anjum DH, Jedidi A, et al. A highly selective copper-indium bimetallic electrocatalyst for the electrochemical reduction of aqueous CO₂ to CO. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2015; 54: 2146-2150.
- [3] Liu M, Pang Y, Zhang B, et al. Enhanced electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction via field-induced reagent concentration. Nature 2016; 537: 382.
- [4] Kim D, Sakimoto KK, Hong D, et al. Artificial photosynthesis for sustainable fuel and chemical production. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2015; 54: 3259-3266.
- [5] Lu Q, Rosen J, Zhou Y, et al. A selective and efficient electrocatalyst for carbon dioxide reduction. Nature Communications 2014; 5: 3242.
- [6] Reske R, Mistry H, Behafarid F, et al. Particle size effects in the catalytic electroreduction of CO₂ on Cu nanoparticles. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2014; 136: 6978-6986.
- [7] Singh MR, Clark EL, Bell AT. Effects of electrolyte, catalyst, and membrane composition and operating conditions on the performance of solar-driven electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 2015; 17: 18924-18936.
- [8] Whipple DT, Kenis PJ. Prospects of CO₂ utilization via direct heterogeneous electrochemical reduction. The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters 2010; 1: 3451-3458.

- [9] Roy S, Sharma B, Pécaut J, et al. Molecular cobalt complexes with pendant amines for selective electrocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide to formic acid. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2017; 139: 3685-3696.
- [10] Kortlever R, Shen J, Schouten KJP, et al. Catalysts and reaction pathways for the electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide. The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters 2015; 6: 4073-4082.
- [11] Zumdahl SS. Chemical Principles. 5th ed. Houghton Mifflin College Division; 2005.
- [12] Wang T, Lackner KS, Wright AB. Moisture-swing sorption for carbon dioxide capture from ambient air: a thermodynamic analysis. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 2013; 15: 504-514.
- [13] Li H, Wilhelmsen Ø, Yan J. Properties of CO2 mixtures and impacts on carbon capture and storage. Handbook of Clean Energy Systems. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.; 2015, p. 1-17.
- [14] Stamenkovic VR, Fowler B, Mun BS, et al. Improved oxygen reduction activity on Pt₃Ni (111) via increased surface site availability. Science 2007; 315: 493-497.
- [15] Chen Y, Li CW, Kanan MW. Aqueous CO₂ reduction at very low overpotential on oxide-derived Au nanoparticles. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2012; 134: 19969-19972.
- [16] Yu KMK, Curcic I, Gabriel J, et al. Recent advances in CO₂ capture and utilization. ChemSusChem: Chemistry & Sustainability Energy & Materials 2008; 1: 893-899.
- [17] Aaron D, Tsouris C. Separation of CO₂ from flue gas: a review. Separation Science and Technology 2005; 40: 321-348.
- [18] Price GD, Badger MR, Woodger FJ, et al. Advances in understanding the cyanobacterial CO2concentrating-mechanism (CCM): functional components, Ci transporters, diversity, genetic regulation and prospects for engineering into plants. Journal of Experimental Botany 2007; 59: 1441-1461.
- [19] Rakowski Dubois M, Dubois DL. Development of molecular electrocatalysts for CO₂ reduction and H₂ production/oxidation. Accounts of Chemical Research 2009; 42: 1974-1982.
- [20] Kozaki A, Takeba G. Photorespiration protects C3 plants from photooxidation. Nature 1996; 384: 557.
- [21] Kim SJ, Matsumoto M, Shigehara K. Synthesis and electrical properties of one-dimensional octacyanometallophthalocyanine (M≡Fe, Co) polymers. Journal of Porphyrins and Phthalocyanines 2000; 4: 136-144.
- [22] Zhang X, Wu Z, Zhang X, et al. Highly selective and active CO₂ reduction electrocatalysts based on cobalt phthalocyanine/carbon nanotube hybrid structures. Nature Communications 2017; 8: 14675.
- [23] Wu Z, Gan Q, Li X, et al. Elucidating surface restructuring-induced catalytic reactivity of cobalt phosphide nanoparticles under electrochemical conditions. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 2018; 122: 2848-2853.

- [24] Budd PM, Msayib KJ, Tattershall CE, et al. Gas separation membranes from polymers of intrinsic microporosity. Journal of Membrane Science 2005; 251: 263-269.
- [25] Lu X, Wu Y, Yuan X, et al. High performance electrochemical CO₂ reduction cells based on nonnoble metal catalysts. ACS Energy Letters 2018; 3: 2527-2532.
- [26] Weng Z, Jiang J, Wu Y, et al. Electrochemical CO₂ reduction to hydrocarbons on a heterogeneous molecular Cu catalyst in aqueous solution. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2016; 138: 8076-8079.
- [27] Li CW, Ciston J, Kanan MW. Electroreduction of carbon monoxide to liquid fuel on oxide-derived nanocrystalline copper. Nature 2014; 508: 504.
- [28] Jouny M, Luc W, Jiao F. High-rate electroreduction of carbon monoxide to multi-carbon products. Nature Catalysis 2018; 1: 748-755.
- [29] Spurgeon JM, Kumar B. A comparative technoeconomic analysis of pathways for commercial electrochemical CO₂ reduction to liquid products. Energy & Environmental Science 2018; 11: 1536-1551.
- [30] Fang Y, Flake JC. Electrochemical reduction of CO₂ at functionalized Au electrodes. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2017; 139: 3399-3405.
- [31] Zhao S, Jin R, Jin R. Opportunities and challenges in CO₂ reduction by gold-and silver-based electrocatalysts: from bulk metals to nanoparticles and atomically precise nanoclusters. ACS Energy Letters 2018; 3: 452-462.
- [32] Kornienko N, Zhao Y, Kley CS, et al. Metal-organic frameworks for electrocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2015; 137: 14129-14135.
- [33] Costentin C, Drouet S, Robert M, et al. A local proton source enhances CO₂ electroreduction to CO by a molecular Fe catalyst. Science 2012; 338: 90-94.
- [34] Carta M, Malpass Evans R, Croad M, et al. An efficient polymer molecular sieve for membrane gas separations. Science 2013; 339: 303-307.
- [35] Carrott P, Cansado I, Carrott MR. Carbon molecular sieves from PET for separations involving CH4, CO2, O2 and N2. Applied Surface Science 2006; 252: 5948-5952.
- [36] Walker Jr PL, Mahajan OP. Pore structure in coals. Energy & Fuels 1993; 7: 559-560.
- [37] Li S, Falconer JL, Noble RD. Improved SAPO-34 membranes for CO₂/CH₄ separations. Advanced Materials 2006; 18: 2601-2603.