

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Probing binding specificity of the sucrose transporter AtSUC2 with fluorescent coumarin glucosides

Citation for published version:

De Moliner, F, Knox, K, Reinders, A, Ward, J, McLaughlin, P, Oparka, K & Vendrell Escobar, M 2018, 'Probing binding specificity of the sucrose transporter AtSUC2 with fluorescent coumarin glucosides', *Journal of Experimental Botany*. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery075

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1093/jxb/ery075

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Journal of Experimental Botany

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Probing binding specificity of the sucrose transporter AtSUC2 with fluorescent coumarin glucosides

Fabio De Moliner^{1,5}, Kirsten Knox^{2,5}, Anke Reinders³, John Ward³, Paul McLaughlin⁴, Karl Oparka^{2,*} and Marc Vendrell^{1,*}

¹ MRC/UoE Centre for Inflammation Research, Queen's Medical Research Institute, University of Edinburgh, UK. Emails: fdemoli@exseed.ed.ac.uk; mvendrel@staffmail.ed.ac.uk. Tel: +44 1312426685.

² Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, Max Born Crescent, University of Edinburgh, UK. Emails: kirsten.knox@ed.ac.uk; karl.oparka@ed.ac.uk.

³ Plant and Microbial Biology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA. Emails: anke@umn.edu; jward@umn.edu.

⁴ Institute of Quantitative Biology, Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Max Born Crescent, University of Edinburgh, UK. Email: paul.mclaughlin@ed.ac.uk.

⁵ These authors contributed equally to the work.

certer

© The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Running title

Unraveling AtSUC2 transport with fluorescent imaging probes

Highlight

Natural and synthetic fluorescent coumarin glucosides were used as probes to analyze the key structural features that enable recognition and transport by the *Arabidopsis* sucrose transporter AtSUC2.

Abstract

The phloem sucrose transporter, AtSUC2, is promised with respect to substrate recognition, transporting a range of glucosides in addition to sucrose, including naturally occurring coumarin glucosides. We used the inherent fluorescence of coumarin glucosides to probe the specificity of AtSUC2 for its substrates, and determined the structure-activity relationships that confer phloem transport *in vivo* using *Arabidopsis* seedlings. In addition to natural coumarin glucosides, we synthesized new compounds to identify key structural features that specify recognition by AtSUC2. Our structure-activity relationship analysis revealed that the presence of a free hydroxyl group on the coumarin moiety is essential for binding by AtSUC2 and subsequent phloem mobility. Structural modeling of the AtSUC2 substrate binding pocket explains some important structural requirements for the interaction of coumarin glucosides with the AtSUC2 transporter.

Keywords

Arabidopsis, coumarin, fluorescence, glucosides, imaging, phloem, sucrose, transporters.

INTRODUCTION

Phloem transport in higher plants requires the movement of sugars from mesophyll cells into the sieve element-companion cell (SE-CC) complexes in the minor veins of leaves, a process known as phloem loading (Turgeon et al., 2010). In those species that load sucrose from the apoplast, sucrose moves through the mesophyll in the symplasm and is subsequently transported by SWEET transporters from phloem parenchyma cells into the apoplast surrounding the SE-CC complex (Chen et al., 2012; Eom et al., 2015). Sucrose is then actively loaded into the SE-CC complex using one or more sucrose transporters located on the plasma membrane of the companion cell (Sauer and Stolz, 1994; Riesmeier et al., 1994; Stadler and Sauer, 1996). The final entry of sucrose into the SE occurs passively through the large pore-plasmodesmata that connect CC and SE (van Bel, 1996). The vast number of molecules transported in the phloem is sufficiently large to suggest that many membrane transporters are promiscuous with regards to their substrate specificity. Recently, it was shown that glucosinolates use members of the NRT/PTR nitrate/peptide transporters to load the phloem (Nour-Eldin et al., 2012) while AtSUC2, a major transporter that loads sucrose into the phloem in Arabidopsis, transports a diverse range of glucosides in addition to sucrose (Chandran et al., 2003). Plasma membrane sucrose transporters in monocot species, including those responsible for phloem loading are much more specific for sucrose and do not transport coumarin glucosides (Reinders et al., 2012a).

Of particular utility is the fact that some of the glucosides transported by AtSUC2 are inherently fluorescent, allowing their uptake to be visualized. For example, the fluorescent coumarin glucoside, esculin, is transported into yeast cells that express AtSUC2, providing a sensitive assay for sucrose transporter function (Gora et al., 2012). Recently, we showed that esculin is transported in the phloem of *Arabidopsis* seedlings and that it uses AtSUC2 for entry (Knoblauch et al., 2015). When we replaced AtSUC2 with the barley sucrose transporter, HvSUT1, esculin was not loaded into the phloem in *Arabidopsis* (Knoblauch et al., 2015).

Small molecule-based fluorescent probes have emerged as versatile imaging tools (De Moliner et al., 2017; Fernandez and Vendrell, 2016; Kowada et al., 2015) and been used to investigate the mechanism of action of drugs and xenobiotics (Er et al., 2013). They represent a valuable alternative to radiotracers because of their high sensitivity, ease of utilization and improved resolution over methods employing autoradiography (Oparka et al., 1994;

Knoblauch et al., 2015). So far, carboxyfluorescein (CF; Grignon et al., 1989; Oparka et al., 1994) and 8-hydroxypyrene-1,3,6-trisulphonic acid (HPTS; Wright and Oparka, 1996) have been employed for this purpose, but they both emit in the green region of the visible spectrum $(\lambda_{em.} \sim 520 \text{ nm})$ where tissue autofluorescence in plants might interfere with optical imaging. Moreover, the mechanisms by which they are loaded into the phloem are only partially understood. Signal-to-noise ratios can be enhanced by using fluorophores that emit in regions of the spectra where tissue autofluorescence is minimized (Vendrell et al., 2011). For instance, coumarin glucosides are useful probes as they emit in the blue region of the visible spectrum (λ_{em} ~ 450 nm) and enter the phloem actively using AtSUC2 (Knoblauch et al., 2015). In addition, fluorescent coumarin glucosides provide a unique tool for the exploration of structure-activity relationships that underpin substrate recognition and transport by AtSUC2, potentially leading to an exploitation of AtSUC2 for the transport of xenobiotics. In the case of sucrose, the glucose moiety is the critical interacting sugar for uptake by sucrose transporters. In OsSUT1, hydroxyl positions 3 and 4 on the glucose ring have shown to be essential for binding to arginine R188 of the carrier (Sun and Ward, 2012). An additional analysis of glucoside substrates revealed that, provided the glucose moiety is free to bind to the carrier, the non-glucose moiety (aglycon) can be relatively diverse in structure (Reinders et al., 2012a).

Herein, we explored the effects of modifying the aglycon component of coumarin glucosides by systematically altering chemical groups in different positions of the coumarins. We examined the ability of these natural and synthetic substrates to be recognized by StSUT1 and AtSUC2 in a yeast uptake assay and by testing for phloem transport *in vivo* in *Arabidopsis*. In addition to known binding sites on the glucose moiety, our structure-activity relationship data show that a free hydroxyl group on the coumarin molecule is essential for substrate recognition and phloem transport.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemical synthesis and characterization

Full experimental details for the synthesis of coumarin glucosides and their chemical characterization (NMR spectra) is included in the Supplementary Information. Compounds with purities over 95% were used for all biological studies.

Plant material

Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) seeds were sterilized with 10% (v/v) bleach, rinsed once in 70% (v/v) ethanol, and then rinsed four times in dH₂O. Seeds were plated in petri dishes on $0.5 \times$ Murashige and Skoog medium (without Suc), solidified with 2% (w/v) phytoagar, and stratified at 4 °C for 2 days. They were then grown for 7 days in 16-h photoperiods with 120-180 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ at 21°C. Seeds of *atsuc2-1* pAtSUC2:OsSUT1 were a kind gift from Jong-Seong Jeon and are described in Eom et al., 2016. All lines used are the ecotype Col-0.

Loading in Arabidopsis seedlings

Seedlings were treated with a 0.3 μ L droplet of 2.5% Adigor in dH₂O (v/v) (kindly supplied by Syngenta) solution applied to each cotyledon 1 h prior to the probe application. The cotyledons were blotted gently to remove excess Adigor and then 0.3 μ L of probe was applied to each cotyledon. Probes were used at 9 mg mL⁻¹, aside from fraxin which was used at 5 mg mL⁻¹. All were dissolved in 80% acetonitrile in dH₂O (v/v) solution.

Imaging

Arabidopsis roots were directly imaged on the surface of agar plates with a Leica $2.5 \times$ HC FL Plan or $5 \times$ HC PL Fluostar objective, using a Leica TCS SP8 Confocal with a HyD detector. Excitation was provided by a 405 nm solid-state laser and emission was collected between 410 nm and 470 nm. For detailed images, a coverslip was applied directly to the root on the agar surface or the entire seedling was removed and mounted on a slide using water, with the coverslip applied to the root only to avoid contamination from the probe on the cotyledon surface. They were then imaged with either Leica $10 \times$ HC APO w or $20 \times$ HCX APO w objectives.

Expression of AtSUC2 in yeast and uptake studies

The uptake of esculin and compounds C1-C3 was tested in the yeast strain SEY6210 (Robinson et al., 1988) transformed with either pDR196 (vector control) or sucrose transporters StSUT1, AtSUC2 in pDR196, or OsSUT1 in pDR196/GW, as described previously for esculin (Gora et al, 2012). Briefly, fluorescent compounds were added to yeast in a microtiter plate at a final concentration of 2 mM (in 25 mM NaH₂PO₄ buffer at pH 4),

incubated at 30 °C for 4 h, washed with buffer and read at the appropriate wavelengths (367 nm excitation/454 nm emission for esculin and C1; 290 nm excitation/450 nm emission for C2 and C3) using a BioTek SYNERGYMx spectrophotometer. Results are shown as relative fluorescence units. Means \pm s.e.m. (n=4) were calculated from four independent yeast transformants each.

Modeling

A model for AtSUC2 was made using Phyre2 server (Kelley et al., 2015). Substrates were fitted onto lactose analog in the structure of Lactose permease (PDB Code :1PV7) to which Phyre2 threaded the AtSUC2 protein sequence. Energy refinement was performed with Maesteo using the OPLS_2005 force field (Banks et al., 2005).

RESULTS

Rational design of fluorescent coumarin glucosides

The glucosides employed in this study consist of two structural units: one conserved glucose moiety and one coumarin scaffold that is responsible for fluorescence. The two parts are connected through a β -1-linkage involving the anomeric position of the glucose and a phenolic hydroxyl group on the coumarin scaffold. While no modifications were performed on the glucose unit, coumarins with different substitution patterns on the aromatic ring were exploited. Previous work showed that coumarin aglycons were not transported into the phloem (Knoblauch et al., 2015). We also demonstrated that 6,7-dihydroxycoumarin-6- β -D-glucopyranoside (esculin) and 7,8-dihydroxy-6-methoxycoumarin-8- β -D-glucopyranoside (fraxin) entered the phloem (**Figure 1** and **Table 1**). Both compounds are phloem-mobile and contain a free hydroxyl group in the position 7 of the coumarin core.

Figure 1.

Table 1.

In this study, we analyzed the role of free hydroxyl groups in coumarins as part of our structure-activity relationship evaluation. While such studies have been widely used in drug discovery and medicinal chemistry programs (Velkov et al., 2010; Vendrell et al., 2007; Yraola et al., 2004) they have not been much exploited so far to elucidate the chemical

features that enhance phloem mobility. To explore chemical diversity around this chemical group, we systematically synthesized three coumarin glucosides, namely 6,7-dihydroxy-coumarin-7- β -D-glucopyranoside (C1, Figure 2), 6-hydroxycoumarin-6- β -D-glucopyranoside (C2, Figure 2) and 8-hydroxycoumarin-8- β -D-glucopyranoside (C3, Figure 2). While the first of these compounds retains a free hydroxyl group in position 6 and an engaged 7-position, the latter two derivatives lack the hydroxyl group and are bound to glucose in the same manner as esculin (i.e., through position 6) and fraxin (i.e., through position 8), respectively. The derivatives were assembled using a concise two-step sequence exploiting conventional synthetic processes in carbohydrate chemistry (Touisni et al., 2011; Hakki et al., 2015) (Figure 2) and were fully characterized by NMR (see Supporting Information for full details).

Chemical synthesis of fluorescent coumarin glucosides

 α -D-Acetobromoglucose was used in all the reactions as the source of the glucose moiety. Conveniently, this monosaccharide is commercially available as a reactive bromide in the anomeric position, which enables its derivatization with nucleophiles (e.g., phenols) in basic conditions (Kwan et al., 2011; Bjerre et al., 2008). On the other hand, hydroxycoumarins are ideal glucoside acceptors because of their hydroxyl groups that can be deprotonated in the presence of a base to form the glycosidic bond upon displacement of the anomeric bromide (Park and Shin, 2007). Out of the three hydroxycoumarins used, 6,7-dihydroxycoumarin (esculetin, precursor of C1) and 6-hydroxycoumarin (precursor of C2) are commercially available. 8-hydroxycoumarin (precursor of C3) was prepared from *trans*-2,3-dimethoxycinnamic acid by means of a Lewis acid-promoted cyclization step in the presence of boron tribromide at low temperature (Spence et al., 1997).

We built systematically a series of fluorescent coumarin glucosides, using acetobromoglucose for glycosidation reactions with the above mentioned coumarin precursors. For the synthesis, esculetin and acetobromoglucose were reacted overnight in acetone-H₂O in the presence of potassium hydroxide (KOH). Two regioisomers were formed in a 1:1 ratio, as observed by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. The two fully acetylated glucosides (**P1**, **Figure 2**) were separated by normal-phase column chromatography followed by deprotection in sodium ethoxide in EtOH. Compound **P1** afforded cichoriin (**C1**), the naturally occurring positional isomer of esculin, which was isolated by precipitation. Comparison with reported

NMR data (Kanho et al., 2004) confirmed the identity of C1 as a single β -anomer. With this straightforward two-step protocol in place, we prepared two additional glucosides using 6-hydroxycoumarin and 8-hydroxycoumarin to obtain C2 and C3, respectively. These synthetic coumarin glucosides are diverse in their conjugation points and the position/existence of any free hydroxyl groups. Whereas C1 has the coumarin core connected to the glucose through the position 7 of the coumarin moiety and possesses an additional free hydroxyl group in the position 6 of the coumarin core, C2 lacks any free hydroxyl group in the coumarin and forms the glycosidic bond through its position 6. C3 is also devoid of free hydroxyl groups on the coumarin core and it is linked to the glucose through position 8 (Figure 2 and Table 1).

Figure 2.

Phloem mobility studies

These compounds were assayed for phloem transport in vivo in Arabidopsis as previously described (Knoblauch et al., 2015). Of all coumarin glucosides, only esculin, fraxin and C1 showed clear phloem mobility with similar rates (Figure 3G), the remainder being nonmobile (Figure 3 and Table 1). Significantly, all the phloem-mobile glucosides displayed a free hydroxyl group on the coumarin moiety. Deoxy analogs of C1 (e.g., skimmin) did not show phloem mobility and additional modifications on the coumarin core did not rescue such lack of mobility (Table 1). Likewise, the corresponding deoxy analogs of esculin (C2) and fraxin (C3) did not transport through AtSUC2 either, confirming the relevance of the free hydroxyl group in the coumarin core. In addition, we tested the ability of the barley sucrose transporter, HvSUT1, which does not transport esculin (Knoblauch et al., 2015), to transport both natural and synthetic coumarin glucosides. We used Arabidopsis atsuc2-5 mutants where the sucrose transporter function is rescued using HvSUT1 (Knoblauch et al., 2015). In these plants, none of the coumarin glucosides were phloem-mobile (Table 2). This experiment confirms that AtSUC2 and the monocot transporters HvSUT1 (Sivitz et al., 2007) and OsSUT1 (Reinders et al., 2012a) have major differences in substrate specificity, and that esculin, fraxin and C1 are loaded into the phloem by AtSUC2.

Figure 3.

Table 2.

Transport of coumarin glucosides by sucrose transporters in a yeast uptake assay

Next, we tested the coumarin glucosides for their ability to enter yeast cells expressing different sucrose transporters, as described previously (Gora et al., 2012). In these assays, the fluorescence emission was measured in yeast cells after incubation with the coumarin glucosides. Esculin uptake was observed for yeast cells expressing the potato sucrose transporter (StSUT1), AtSUC2 and OsSUT1-m9, which is a mutant with a 9-amino acid change shown previously to transport esculin (Reinders et al. 2012b) (**Figure 4**). In contrast, for esculin, the vector control (pDR196) and yeast expressing OsSUT1 only showed background fluorescence, consistent with our previous observations in *Arabidopsis*. Cichoriin (C1) uptake was observed for yeast expressing StSUT1, AtSUC2 and OsSUT1-m9, while C2 could only be transported by StSUT1, and no significant transport was detected for AtSUC2. C3 was not transported by any of the sucrose transporters tested (**Figure 4**). The results indicate the importance of the free hydroxyl group on the coumarin moiety and the well-tolerated glycosidic bond through position 7 of the coumarin structure as two key determinants for recognition by sucrose transporters.

Figure 4.

Structural modeling of the AtSUC2 binding pocket

The AtSUC2 protein belongs to the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) of membrane transporters (Chang et al., 2004). The most extensively studied of these is the bacterial lactose transporter, LacY, which has been crystallized and used as the basis for modeling substrate binding sites in the transporter (Abramson et al., 2003). We examined the sequences

of AtSUC2 and HvSUT1 and superimposed these onto the existing LacY structural model using the Phyre 2 programme¹. Out of 524 total residues, 73 residues from surface loops and distant to the substrate binding site were not included in the model, and the final energy-refined model showed no obvious steric clashes. We validated the model by determining its Molprobity score as 1.6, which places the model's stereochemistry in the 93rd percentile compared to other entries in the protein data bank (Chen et al., 2010).

Figure 5.

First, we used the molecular model to analyze the interactions derived from the binding of the phloem-mobile coumarin glucoside esculin. We identified several conserved residues (e.g., Q43, W46, R162, N191, Q418) within potential hydrogen bonding distance of the glucose moiety of esculin (Figure 5A). Moreover, in the model every glucose hydroxyl group has a protein residue atom within potential hydrogen bonding distance, which is consonant with reduced binding activities measured after substitution of each of these by a fluorine group (Delrot et al., 1991; Hitz et al., 1996; Hecht et al., 1992; Griffin et al., 1993). It is also notable that the arginine residue R162 in AtSUC2 is the equivalent of arginine R188 in OsSUT1, which has been shown to be essential for sucrose transport (Sun and Ward, 2012). We also observed that the glutamine residue Q418 is within potential hydrogen bonding distance of the glucose O2' and also of the free hydroxyl of esculin. We employed the model to analyze the transport of our synthetic coumarin glucoside cichoriin (C1). The lowest energy conformer of cichoriin (C1) displays the coumarin moiety flipped by 180° when compared to esculin, leaving the free hydroxyl group in the same position relative to the protein and retaining the conformation of esculin to form potential hydrogen bonds with several residues within the transporter (Figure 5B).

DISCUSSION

In plants, numerous solutes and metabolites enter the phloem for long-distance transport by carrier-mediated mechanisms. In addition, several xenobiotics enter the phloem by passive diffusion due to favorable physicochemical properties (e.g., pKa and lipophilicity), the latter

¹ The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis.

often measured as the octanol/water partition coefficient (Hsu and Kleier, 1996). Significantly, one of the most highly phloem-mobile herbicides, glyphosate, does not have favorable physicochemical properties but enters the phloem by carrier-mediated transport (Denis and Delrot, 1993). Given the wide substrate specificity of some transporter families (Reinders et al., 2012a; Chiba et al., 2015), we envisage that substrate promiscuity could be exploited to maximize the uptake of xenobiotic compounds into the phloem. The chemical rationale of such structures requires, however, a detailed understanding of the structureactivity relationship surrounding the binding of the carrier to its substrate. In this study, we made use of the inherent fluorescence of natural and synthetic coumarin glucosides to study their interaction with the sucrose transporter AtSUC2, a protein carrier with broad substrate specificity (Chandran et al., 2003). To ensure recognition by AtSUC2, glucose was always used as the sugar moiety, leaving the free hydroxyl groups at positions 3 and 4 unmodified as these are required for binding (Sun and Ward, 2012). The stereochemistry of the glycosidic bond was also maintained in its β form, as this is conserved among naturally occurring coumarin glucosides. In our previous work, we showed that some naturally occurring coumarin glucosides, such as esculin and fraxin, were phloem-mobile while others were not (Knoblauch et al., 2015). Two distinct subsets of coumarin glucoside could be identified: compounds that possessed an additional free hydroxyl group on the coumarin moiety together with a glucoside bond through the position 6 or 8 (i.e., esculin and fraxin, respectively), and compounds that lacked free hydroxyl groups and were connected through the position 7 of different coumarin structures. Notably, only compounds belonging to the first group were found to be mobile in the phloem of Arabidopsis seedlings, making it apparent that either the free hydroxyl on the coumarin or the position involved in the glycosidic bond were key for substrate recognition by the transporter. Esculin and fraxin are transported into transgenic yeast cells and oocytes that expressed AtSUC2 (Sivitz et al., 2007; Gora et al., 2012). Arabidopsis atsuc2 mutants are unable to load esculin into the phloem and, importantly, coumarins alone are not translocated unless they are conjugated to form glucoside species (Knoblauch et al., 2015).

In order to determine the chemical attributes that contribute to the transport of these glucosides, cichoriin (C1) was synthesized and tested. C1 displays both an additional free hydroxyl group in the position 6, with the coumarin being connected to glucose through the position 7. Since C1 was found to be transported into the phloem in the same manner as

esculin and fraxin, we can conclude that the presence of a free hydroxyl group in the coumarin core is crucial for phloem mobility, independent of its position or the location of the glycosidic bond (i.e., glycosidic bonds at positions 6, 7 and 8 are all well tolerated). Furthermore, we extended our structure-activity relationship study to the deoxy analogs of esculin and fraxin (derivatives **C2** and **C3**, respectively) to confirm that this observation applied to coumarins with glycosidic bonds in other positions of the coumarin core. Neither **C2** nor **C3** showed phloem transport, confirming that the additional free hydroxyl group on the coumarin moiety is essential for recognition by AtSUC2. This free hydroxyl group is also present on the fructose moiety of sucrose, the natural substrate for AtSUC2, suggesting that it may also play an additional role in binding sucrose to AtSUC2.

The Phyre2 modeling program fits the AtSUC2 sequence exclusively to MFS structures in the protein data bank. A number of structures have bound glucose as well as other monosaccharides but the model based on lactose permease (PDB code: 1PV7) is preferable for two reasons: 1) the model has a bound disaccharide (i.e., lactose analog), and 2) the glycosidic bond lies roughly perpendicular to the channel axis. Other models that display glucose moieties in their binding sites orient the glycosidic bond roughly along the channel axis. Thus, in a disaccharide, the non-reducing end of the substrate would be more likely to make steric clashes with the transporter in one of the alternating conformations of the transport cycle. In contrast, the model based on lactose permease accommodates sucrose or other modeled substrates without any steric clashes. Moreover, as the sugar binding site lies at the fulcrum of the "rocker-switch" mechanism proposed for these transporters (Karpovich and Wang, 2008), the substrate does not change position during the power stroke of the transport cycle. Instead, the substrate experiences alternating ingress and egress routes as the protein changes around it.

The model shows potential hydrogen bonding for most of the hydroxyl groups on the glucoside binders, which is consistent with the experimental evidence that each contributes to the binding energy. The nature of the protein residues that contact the glucose moiety is characteristic of other sugar molecule binding sites, namely that every polar glucose atom is hydrogen bonded, contains a preponderance of polar planar side-chain residues [e.g., glutamine (Q), asparagine (N) and arginine (R)], and has an aromatic side-chain stacked against the sugar [e.g., tryptophan (W)] (Quiocho, 1989; Taroni et al., 2000). The model is also consistent with the experimental observations on the phloem mobility of different

fluorescent coumarin glucosides. Notably, cichoriin (C1) is transported despite having a free hydroxyl on the opposite side of the coumarin core compared to esculin, since the rotation of the coumarin core places the hydroxyl groups of cichoriin in the same disposition. The free hydroxyl in both esculin and C1 lies co-incident and is only 1.3 Å from the O6 of fructose, similarly superposed on the glucose moiety. Within the accuracy of modeling, it is possible that the free hydroxyl group forms similar hydrogen bonds to the O6 in fructose. The bond could either be with a protein residue (e.g., potentially Q418 in AtSUC2) or, in other species, with a bridging water molecule to another protein residue, which would explain why esculin and C1 are both transported by AtSUC2. The deoxy analog of esculin (i.e., C2) lacks the free hydroxyl group, hence it would not bind so strongly at AtSUC, however it might interact more favourably with StSUT1, which has a more hydrophobic leucine (L) residue in the same position (Figure 4). This difference could explain why C2 transport was detected for yeast expressing StSUT1 but not AtSUC2.

Our model, which focuses on the binding pocket exclusively, does not fully explain the uptake specificity of other sucrose transporters or consider other factors (e.g., physicochemical properties) that might be important for phloem mobility. For instance, we measured the pKa of the free hydroxyl group of **C1** and observed that it is significantly more acidic than conventional phenols (i.e., compound **C1** has a pKa value of 8.1 whereas phenols typically show pKa values between 9 and 11). Therefore, the weak acidic character of **C1** might also favor its mobility (Kleier, 1988). In addition, other amino acid residues distant to the binding pocket of AtSUC2 have been shown to be required for esculin uptake (Reinders et al, 2012b). Altogether, our data demonstrate some of the requirements -including free hydroxyl groups and glycosidic bonds at different positions of the coumarin scaffold-, for substrate recognition and transport. The key structure-activity relationships for binding of fluorescent coumarin glucosides to AtSUC2 are summarized in Figure 6.

Figure 6.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Procedures for chemical synthesis and full chemical characterization, including NMR spectra.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge funding from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/M025160/1), the Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the U.S. Department of Energy (DE-FG02-10ER15886), and thank Dr. Tim Hawkes and Dr. Ryan Ramsey (Syngenta) for numerous helpful discussions.

certer

REFERENCES

Abrason J, Smirnova I, Kasho V, Verner G, Kaback HR, Iwata S. 2003. Structure and mechanism of the lactose permease of *Escherichia coli*. Science, **301**, 610-615.

Banks JL, Beard HS, Cao Y, Cho AE, Damm W, Farid R, Felts AK, Halgren TA, Mainz DT, Maple JR, Murphy R, Philipp DM, Repasky MP, Zhang LY, Berne BJ, Friesner RA, Gallicchio E, Levy RM. 2005. Integrated Modeling Program, Applied Chemical Theory (IMPACT). Journal of Computational Chemistry, **26**, 1752-1780.

Bjerre J, Nielsen EH, Bols M. 2008. Hydrolysis of toxic natural glucosides catalyzed by cyclodextrin dicyanohydrins. European Journal of Organic Chemistry, **5**, 745-752.

Chandran D, Reinders A, Ward JM. 2003. Substrate specificity of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* sucrose transporter AtSUC2. Journal of Biological Chemistry, **278**, 44320-44325.

Chang AB, Lin R, Studley WK, Tran CV, Saier MH Jr. 2004. Phylogeny as a guide to structure and function of membrane transport proteins. Molecular Membrane Biology, 21, 171-181.

Chen LQ, Qu XQ, Hou BH, Sosso D, Osorio S, Fernie AR, Frommer WB. 2012. Sucrose efflux mediated by SWEET proteins as a key step for phloem transport. Science, **335**, 207-211.

Chen VB, Arendall WB, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, Murray LW, Richardson DC. 2010. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta Crystallographica, D66, 12-21.

Chiba Y, Shimizu T, Miyakawa S, Kanno Y, Koshiba T, Kamiya Y, Seo M. 2015. Identification of *Arabidopsis* thaliana NRT1/PTR FAMILY (NPF) proteins capable of transporting plant hormones. Journal of Plant Research, **128**, 679-686.

Delrot S, Roques N, Descotes G, Mentech J. 1991. Recognition of some deoxy-derivatives of sucrose by the sucrose transporter of the plasma membrane. Plant Physiology Biochemistry, **29**, 25-29.

De Moliner F, Kielland N, Lavilla R, Vendrell M. 2017. Modern synthetic avenues for the preparation of functional fluorophores. Angewandte Chemie International Edition, **56**, 3758-3769.

Denis M-H, Delrot S. 1993. Carrier-mediated uptake of glyphosate in broad bean (*Vicia faba*) via a phosphate transporter. Physiologia Plantarum **87**, 569-575.

Eom JS, Chen LQ, Sosso D, Julius BT, Lin IW, Qu XQ, Braun DM, Frommer WB. 2015. SWEETs, transporters for intracellular and intercellular sugar translocation. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, **25**, 53-62.

Eom JS, Nguyen CD, Lee DW, Lee SK, Jeon JS. 2016. Genetic complementation analysis of rice sucrose transporter genes in Arabidopsis SUC2 mutant atsuc2. Journal of Plant Biology, **59**, 231-237.

Er JC, Vendrell M, Tang MK, Zhai D, Chang YT. 2013. Fluorescent dye cocktail for multiplex drug-site mapping on human serum albumin. ACS Combinatorial Sciences, **15**, 452-457.

Fernandez A, Vendrell M. 2016. Smart fluorescent probes for imaging macrophage activity. Chemical Society Reviews, **45**, 1182-1196.

Gora PJ, Reinders A, Ward JM. 2012. A novel fluorescent assay for sucrose transporters. Plant Methods 8, 13-18.

Griffin SD, Buxton KD, Donaldson IA. 1993. The alpha-D-glucosyl C-2 hydroxyl is required for binding to the H⁺-sucrose transporter in phloem. Biochimica Biophysica Acta **1152**, 61-68.

Grignon N, Touraine B, Durand M. 1989. Carboxyfluorescein as a tracer of phloem sap translocation. American Journal of Botany **76**, 871-877.

Hakki Z, Thompson AJ, Bellmaine S, Speciale G, Davies GJ, Williams SJ. 2015. Structural and kinetic dissection of the *endo*- α -1,2-mannanase activity of bacterial GH99 glycoside hydrolases from *Bacteroides* spp. Chemistry European Journal, **21**, 1966-1977. Hecht R, Slone JH, Buckhout TJ, Hitz WD, Vanderwoude WJ. 1992. Substrate specificity of the H⁺-sucrose symporter on the plasma membrane of sugar beets (*Beta vulgaris L.*): transport of phenylglucopyranosides. Plant Physiology **99**, 439-444.

Hitz WD, Card PJ, Ripp KG. 1986. Substrate recognition by a sucrose transporting protein. Journal of Biological Chemistry, **261**, 11986-11991.

Hsu FC, Kleier DA. 1996. Phloem mobility of xenobiotics VIII. A short review. Journal of Experimental Botany, **47**, 1265-1271.

Kanho H, Yaoya S, Itani T, Nakane T, Kawahara N, Takase Y, Masuda K, Kuroyanagi M. 2004. Glucosylation of phenolic compounds by *Pharbitis nil* hairy roots: I. Glucosylation of coumarin and flavone derivatives. Bioscience and Biotechnology Biochemistry, **68**, 2032-2039.

Karpowich NK, Wang DN. 2008. Symmetric transporters for asymmetric transport. Science, **321**, 781-782.

Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJ. 2015. The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nature Protocols, **10**, 845-858.

Kleier DA. 1988. Phloem mobility of xenobiotics: I. Mathematical model unifying the weak Acid and intermediate permeability theories. Plant Physiology, **86**, 803-810.

Knoblauch M, Vendrell M, de Leau E, Paterlini A, Knox K, Ross-Elliott TJ, Reinders A, Brockman SA, Ward J, Oparka K. 2015. Multispectral phloem-mobile probes – properties and application. Plant Physiology, 167, 1211-1220.

Kowada T, Maeda H, Kikuchi K. 2015. BODIPY-based probes for the fluorescence imaging of biomolecules in living cells. Chemical Society Reviews, **44**, 4953-4972.

Kwan DH, Chen H-M, Ratananikom K, Hancock SM, Watanabe Y, Kongsaeree PT, Lacey Samuels A, Withers SJ. 2011. Self-immobilizing fluorogenic imaging agents of enzyme activity. Angewandte Chemie International Edition, **50**, 300-303.

Nour-Eldin HH, Andersen TG, Burow M, Madsen SR, Jorgensen ME, Olsen CE, Dreyer I, Hedrich R, Geiger D, Halkier BA. 2012. NRT/PTR transporters are essential for translocation of glucosinolate defence compounds to seeds. Nature, **488**, 531-534.

Oparka KJ, Duckett CM, Prior DAM, Fisher DB. 1994. Real-time imaging of phloem unloading in the root tip of *Arabidopsis*. Plant Journal, **6**, 759-766.

Park S, Shin I. 2007. Profiling of glycosidase activities using coumarin-conjugated glycoside cocktails. Organic Letters, **9**, 619-622.

Quiocho, FA. 1989. Protein-carbohydrate interactions: basic molecular features. Pure Applied Chemistry 61, 1293-1306.

Taroni C, Jones S, Thornton JM. 2000. Analysis and prediction of carbohydrate binding sites. Protein Engineering, **13**, 89-98.

Reinders A, Sivitz, AB, Ward, JM. 2012a. Evolution of plant sucrose uptake transporters. Frontiers in Plant Science, **3**, 1-12.

Reinders A, Sun Y, Karvonen KL, Ward JM. 2012b. Identification of amino acids important for substrate specificity in sucrose transporters using gene shuffling. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 287, 30296-30304.

Riesmeir JW, Willmitzer L, Frommer WB. 1994. Evidence for an essential role of the sucrose transporter in phloem loading and assimilate partitioning. EMBO Journal, **13**, 1-7.

Robinson JS, Klionsky DJ, Banta LM, Emr SD. 1988. Protein sorting in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: isolation of mutants defective in the delivery and processing of multiple vacuolar hydrolases. Molecular and Cell Biology, **8**, 4936-4948.

Sauer N, Stolz J. 1994. SUC1 and SUC2: two sucrose transporters from *Arabidopsis thaliana*; expression and characterization in baker's yeast and identification of the histidine-tagged protein. Plant Journal, **6**, 67-77.

Sivitz AB, Reinders A, Johnson ME, Krentz AD, Grof CP, Perroux JM, Ward JM. 2007. *Arabidopsis* sucrose transporter At-SUC9. High-affinity transport activity, intragenic control of expression, and early flowering mutant phenotype. Plant Physiology, **143**, 188-198.

Stadler R, Sauer N. 1996. The *Arabidopsis thaliana* AtSUC2 gene is specifically expressed in companion cells. Botanica Acta, **109**, 299-306.

Spence EL, Langley GJ, Bugg TDH. 1996. *Cis-trans* isomerization of a cyclopropyl radical trap catalyzed by extradiol catechol dioxygenases: evidence for a semiquinone intermediate. Journal of American Chemical Society, **118**, 8336-8343.

Sun Y, Ward JM. 2012. Arg188 in the rice sucrose transporter, OsSUT1, is crucial for substrate transport. BMC Biochemistry, **13**, 26-33.

Touisni N, Maresca A, McDonald PC, Lou Y, Scozzafava A, Dedhar S, Winum JY, Supuran CT. 2011. Glycosyl coumarin carbonic anhydrase IX and XII inhibitors strongly attenuate the growth of primary breast tumor. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, **54**, 8271-8277.

Turgeon R. 2010. The role of phloem loading reconsidered. Plant Physiology, **152**, 1817-1823.

van Bel, AJE. 1996. Interaction between sieve element and companion cell and the consequences for photassimilate distribution. Two structural hardware frames with associated physiological software packages. Journal of Experimental Botany, **47**, 1129-1140.

Velkov T, Thompson PE, Nation RL, Li J. 2010. Structure-activity relationships of polymyxin antibiotics. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, **53**, 1898-1916.

Vendrell M, Angulo E, Casadó V, Lluís C, Franco R, Albericio F, Royo M. 2007. Novel ergopeptides as dual ligands for adenosine and dopamine receptors. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, **50**, 3062-3069.

Vendrell M, Samanta A, Yun SW, Chang YT. 2011. Synthesis and characterization of a cell-permeable near-infrared fluorescent deoxyglucose analogue for cancer cell imaging. Organic and Biomolecular Chemistry, **9**, 4760-4762.

Wright KM, Horobin RW, Oparka KJ. 1996. Phloem mobility of fluorescent xenobiotics in *Arabidopsis* in relation to their physicochemical properties. Journal of Experimental Botany, **47**, 1779-1787.

Yraola F, Ventura R, Vendrell M, Colombo A, Fernández JC, de la Figuera N, Fernández-Forner D, Royo M, Forns P, Albericio F. 2004. A re-evaluation on the use of Rink, BAL and PAL resins and linkers. QSAR Combinatorial Science, 23, 145-152.



Table 1. Coumarin-glucosides evaluated as fluorescent probes to assess phloem mobility.



Table 2. Barley and rice sucrose transporters (HvSUT1, OsSUT1) do not translocate coumarin glucosides when expressed in *Arabidopsis* lacking a functional AtSUC2 transporter, despite rescuing the mutant phenotype.

	atsuc2-5 HvSUT1*	atsuc2-1 OsSUT1*
Esculin	<1 %	0
Fraxin	<2.5 %	0
Cichoriin (C1)	0	0

* Compounds were applied to the cotyledons of 7-day old seedlings and the roots were monitored for 4 h to check for phloem translocation.

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jxb/advance-article-abstract/doi/10.1093/jxb/ery075/4915828 by The University of Edinburgh user on 13 March 2018

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Confocal microscope images of *Arabidopsis* roots following application of the blue-fluorescent coumarin glucosides esculin (A) and fraxin (B) to the cotyledons. Both coumarin glucosides are readily translocated in the phloem of the *Arabidopsis* root. Scale bar: 75 μ m.

Figure 2. A) General synthetic strategy for the preparation of the coumarin glucosides **C1-C3**. Reaction conditions: a) glycosidation: KOH in acetone: H_2O b) deprotection: EtONa in EtOH. B) Structures of the fluorescent coumarin glucosides employed for biological evaluation. C) Fluorescence spectra of cichoriin (**C1**) in aqueous media (λ_{exc} : 360 nm).

Figure 3. Coumarin glucosides lacking a free hydroxyl group are not translocated in the phloem of *Arabidopsis*. A to F: Merged fluorescence and brightfield images of primary root (top panels) and root tip (bottom panels) after application to the cotyledons. A and B: Compound C2, no translocation detected; C and D: Compound C3, no translocation detected; E and F: Compound C1, clear translocation in the phloem and unloading in the root tip. G: Loading rates of esculin, fraxin and cichoriin (C1) following application to the cotyledons of 7-day old seedlings. Values represented as means \pm s.e.m. (n=26). Scale bar: 100 µm.

Figure 4. Coumarin glucoside uptake in yeast expressing AtSUC2 or homologs from potato (StSUT1), rice (OsSUT1) and the modified OsSUT1-m9. Yeast transformed with the empty vector (pDR196) was used as a negative control. The results are presented as relative fluorescence emission. Values as means \pm s.e.m. (n=4). Letters denote significant differences (p < 0.05) as determined by 1-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test performed on the data converted to log10.

Figure 5. A) Molecular model based on the LacY structure (PDB: 1PV7) showing several conserved residues (Q43, W46, R162, N191, Q418) within potential hydrogen bonding distance of the glucose moiety of esculin. The chemical structure of esculin is shown in magenta. B) Residues in AtSUC2 (red) predicted by the model to be within potential hydrogen bonding distance of esculin (left) and cichoriin (**C1**) (right).

Figure 6. Schematic representation of the key structural modifications in coumarin glucosides that influence phloem mobility. The structure-activity relationship results are summarized to show the key points related to their recognition by AtSUC2.











Figure 5



