

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Ten principles of heterochromatin formation and function

Citation for published version:

Allshire, RC & Madhani, HD 2017, 'Ten principles of heterochromatin formation and function', *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology*. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.119

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1038/nrm.2017.119

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Nature reviews Molecular cell biology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



TEN PRINCIPLES OF HETEROCHROMATIN FORMATION AND FUNCTION

Robin C. Allshire¹ and Hiten D. Madhani^{2,3}

¹Wellcome Centre for Cell Biology School of Biological Sciences University of Edinburgh Edinburgh, Scotland UK <u>robin.allshire@ed.ac.uk</u>

²Chan-Zuckerberg BioHub San Francisco, CA 94158

³Dept. of Biochemistry and Biophysics UCSF San Francisco, CA 95158 USA <u>hitenmadhani@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

Heterochromatin is a critical architectural unit of eukaryotic chromosomes. It endows particular genomic domains with specific functional properties. Critical is the role of heterochromatin in genomic stability, which is mediated by its ability to restrain mobile elements, isolate repair events in repetitive regions, and to contribute to the formation of structures that ensure accurate chromosome segregation. This distinctive chromatin also contributes to developmental regulation by restricting the accessible compartment of the genome in specific lineages. The establishment and maintenance mechanisms that mediate heterochromatin assembly are separable and involve the ability of sequence-specific factors, modified chromatin and nascent transcript-bound proteins to recruit chromatin-modifying enzymes. Heterochromatin can spread along the chromatin fiber from nucleation sites and also mediates its own epigenetic inheritance through cell division, yet these propensities are normally strongly repressed. Due to its central importance in chromosome biology, heterochromatin plays key roles in the pathogenesis of various human diseases. In this article, we derive these broadly conserved principles of heterochromatin formation and function using selected examples from studies of a range of eukaryotic model organisms from yeast to man, with an emphasis on insights obtained from unicellular systems.

Introduction

Heterochromatin is a fundamental architectural unit of eukaryotic chromosomes that endows particular genomic regions with specific functional properties. The term "heterochromatin" was coined based on the differential staining of chromosomal regions, but now generally refers to molecular subtypes of repressed domains that extend beyond a single gene or regulatory element [Box 1]. Different varieties of heterochromatin are distinguished by their combination of modifications of histone side chains. These impact the recruitment of proteins as well chromatin fiber folding. Sequences embedded in heterochromatin often contain repetitive elements, such as <u>satellite repeats</u>, transposable elements, and transposon remnants. A critical function of heterochromatic packaging is to prevent such selfish nucleic acids and tandem repeats from producing genetic instability. Heterochromatin exhibits additional roles, including functions in cell type-specific transcription and centromere function.

Histones are subject to <u>post-translational modifications</u> (PTMs), particularly on lysine residues within the unstructured tails protruding from nucleosomes. Such modifications are often referred to as 'epigenetic marks' as they can confer properties to a chromosomal region not strictly dependent on DNA sequences in that region [Box 2]. Histone PTMs regulate the propensity of the underlying DNA to participate in the processes of transcription, replication, repair and recombination. Specific PTMs control binding of particular proteins to the nucleosome via specific domains (**Fig. 1**). Such 'reader' modules can be joined to enzyme domains that modify chromatin, or are part of complexes that contain or recruit such enzymes. Enzymes modules that modify histones are termed 'writers'; those that remove modifications 'erasers.' Another type of enzyme recruited by histone modifications are chromatin remodelers that alter contacts between the histone octamer core and DNA to accomplish a variety of tasks¹.

The best-studied types of heterochromatin are marked by the addition one (Mono; me1), two (Di; me2) or three (Tri; me3) methyl groups to lysine 9 or 27 of the H3 tail (H3K9me, H3K27me). Here we focus mostly on H3K9me-dependent heterochromatin, which forms the major blocks of heterochromatin in cells, and represents the defining molecular feature of constitutive heterochromatin in many eukaryotes (FIG. 1a). We also touch on other paradigms to highlight specific concepts. Histone H3K9 methylation is catalyzed ("written") by SET domains of orthologs of the Drosophila Suppressor-of-variegation-3-9 (SU(VAR)3-9; referred to as SUV39H1 and SUV39H2 in mammals and more generally abbreviated here as Suv39), and fission yeast (Schizosaccharomyces pombe) Cryptic-loci-regulator-4 (Clr4) lysine methyltransferases (KMTs; Fig. 1b)²⁻⁴; this modification recruits HP1 family "reader" proteins (Drosophila: Heterochromatin Protein 1a and 1b; S. pombe: Switch-6, Swi6 and Chromo-domain-protein-2, Chp2) which harbor chromo-domains that selectively bind the H3K9 methyl mark²⁻¹³. While H3K9 methylation and its recognition are important, additional molecular players and histone modifications contribute. Moreover, in some systems, repressive histone methylation can be coupled to DNA methylation (position 5 on cytosine; 5meC)¹⁴⁻¹⁷.

Because the information content of the field has exploded in recent years, our goal here is to derive the key principles of heterochromatin formation and function. We illustrate these with examples taken mainly from unicellular yeasts, but include selected studies from a variety of model organisms. It is not our intention to be comprehensive, and so we have limited discussion of system-specific details and caveats. Below we discuss studies and approaches that illuminate how histone modifications recruit heterochromatin components, the role of RNA as a recruiting platform, the differences between heterochromatin establishment and maintenance, the processes of heterochromatin spreading and inheritance, and the contributions of heterochromatin to genome defense, development and disease.

1. Reader-modifier coupling

While distinct from canonical heterochromatin marked by H3K9me, the Silent-Information-Regulator (SIR) system of the budding yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (reviewed in ^{18,19}) was the first system in which players involved in the silencing of a chromosome domain and their mechanisms of action were molecularly defined. In this species, silencer elements are recognized by sequence-specific DNA binding proteins that then recruit four proteins: Sir1, Sir2, Sir3 and Sir4. Sir2 is an NAD-dependent histone deacetylase (HDAC) which acts on acetylated lysine 16 of histone H4 (H4K16ac), enabling the Bromo-associated homology (BAH) domain of Sir3 (a component of the Sir3-Sir4 complex) to bind nucleosomes²⁰. Sir2 deacetylation thus promotes Sir3 binding, allowing further cycles of Sir protein recruitment to form silent domains. The SIR system illustrates the principle of reader-modifier coupling (**FIG. 1c**), in this case between the Sir3 reader and the Sir2 eraser. It also illustrates the principle of initial recruitment by sequence-specific DNA binding proteins (**FIG. 1d**). While paradigmatic, the SIR system is a relatively recent evolutionary invention, restricted to *S. cerevisiae* and its relatives²¹.

Nonetheless, reader-modifier coupling is also a key feature of the more canonical H3K9memarked heterochromatin^{5,6,22-24}. Both *Drosophila* and mammalian Suv39 and *S. pombe* Clr4 H3K9 methylases have a similar layout with an N-terminal <u>chromo-domain</u> and C-terminal SET domain (**FIG. 1b**), coupling writer and reader modules in the same polypeptide. Methylation of H3K9 by the SET domain enables recruitment of Suv39 or Clr4 via their respective chromo-domains. HP1 proteins contain not only a chromo-domain reader module, but also a more C-terminal <u>chromoshadow domain</u> (CSD; **FIG. 1a**). CSD dimerization forms a binding platform for other effector proteins^{25,26}. Reading of the H3K9me mark by HP1 proteins thus enables another route to reader-modifier coupling through the CSD dimer platform. For example, recruitment of HDAC (eraser) complexes (SHREC; Snf2/HDAC-Repressor-Complex and Clr6-Complex) by Swi6 and Chp2 removes acetylation allowing nearby H3K9 methylation in *S. pombe*^{27,28}. The recruitment of SHREC, which harbors the

Mit1 (Mi2-like-interacting) remodeler subunit, also plays a role in the elimination of nucleosome-free regions, whose absence is a hallmark of heterochromatin in *S. pombe*^{29,30}. Being part of dimeric proteins, the reader domains of HP1 proteins are also coupled as pairs with ensuing functional consequences: two dimers of Swi6 bind a single H3K9me-modified nucleosome, providing "sticky ends" that enable Swi6 to bridge two nucleosomes³¹. In some systems, H3K9 readers can be coupled to DNA modification. In mammals and plants, 5meC DNA methyltransferases are recruited in tandem with H3K9 methyltransferases bolstering each other to ensure that the encompassed DNA is rendered inaccessible¹⁵⁻¹⁷.

Reader-modifer coupling is also a feature of the more dynamic silencing complexes recruited by H3K27me. Methylation of H3K27 by Enhancer-of-zeste KMTs (Ez, Drosophila; Ezh2, homolog 2, mammals: subunit of Polycomb Repressive Complex 2; PRC2) promotes binding of the Polycomb protein to chromatin (component of Polycomb Repressive Complex 1; PRC1) via its chromo-domain³²⁻³⁶. In addition, the methyltransferase complex harbors a subunit (Extra-sex-combs, ESC, Drosophila; Embryonic-ectoderm-development, Eed, mammals) that recognizes the H3K27me mark and allosterically activates Ez and Ezh2 catalytic activities³⁷.

2. ncRNAs recruit chromatin modifiers

Heterochromatic regions are transcribed to non-coding RNAs that may be processed to small RNAs^{16,38}. This may seem surprising since heterochromatin induces transcriptional silencing. Nonetheless, a low level of transcription occurs in heterochromatin and this is important for heterochromatin formation in several systems. Heterochromatin transcription can be cell cycle regulated, occurring during replication when heterochromatin becomes accessible³⁹⁻⁴¹. One function for this transcription appears to be silencing factor recruitment (**FIG. 1d**) via nascent transcripts association, as exemplified by work in *S. pombe* ⁴²⁻⁵⁰. The transcript also provides a substrate for small RNA generation, these small RNAs promote silencing factor recruitment via base-pairing, likely with nascent transcripts.

In S. *pombe* RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) transcribes heterochromatin repeats. The <u>Argonaute</u> protein, Ago1, uses bound single-stranded small interfering siRNAs to target homologous nascent repeat transcripts emerging from chromatin-associated RNAPII and recruits silencing factors^{47,51,52}. Ago1 is part of a three-subunit complex, RNA-Induced Transcriptional Silencing (RITS)⁴⁶, which associates with both the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase complex (RDRC)⁵³ and the histone H3K9-KMT Clr4 methyltransferase complex (CLRC) ^{45,54-56}. RDRC appears to use primary transcripts to template dsRNA biosynthesis for subsequent processing to siRNAs, thereby amplifying siRNA production^{53,57}. CLRC is required for all H3K9me while H3K9me promotes efficient siRNA production; thus a positive feedback loop is engaged. Such feedback is, in part, mediated through recruitment of RITS, which contains a chromo-domain protein (Chp1) subunit that binds H3K9me^{24,58,59}. Two bridging factors connect the effector complexes: Stc1 (Signals-to-chromatin) recruits CLRC via RITS⁴⁴, while Ers1 (Essential-for-RNAi-dependent-silencing) couples RDRC, RITS, and Swi6^{HP1 60-62}.

In plants, template transcription also provides a feedback loop that promotes H3K9me. Most details come from studies of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Similar to *S. pombe*, nascent transcripts provide the platform for Argonaute-siRNA complex recruitment. However, RNAPV, a specialized RNAPII paralog, produces those transcripts that are targeted by siRNA-guided AGO4⁶³. AGO4 recruits the *de novo* DNA methylase DRM2 (domains-rearranged-methylase)⁶⁴, which in turn recruits adaptor KMTs and H3K9 KMTs (SUVH4, SUVH6 and SUVH9) via the DDR complex (Defective-in-RNA-directed-DNA-Methylation-1 - DRD1; Defective-in-Meristem-Silencing-3 - DMS3; RNA-Directed-DNA-Methylation-1 - RDM1)⁶⁵. DNA methylation and H3K9me are also linked through the SRA (SET-and-Ring-finger-associated) domain of KMTs which bind methylated DNA. dsRNAs are produced by another RNAPII paralog called RNAPIV in association with RDRP, their processing by Dicer generates siRNAs that are loaded into AGO4⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸. At many sites, RNAPIV is recruited by an

H3K9me reader protein, Shh1 (SAWADEE-homeodomain-homolog), closing another feedback loop^{69,70}. Thus, as in *S. pombe*, nascent transcripts have two functions: recruiting chromatin-modifying enzymes using siRNA-transcript base-pairing (via RNAPIV transcription) and templating siRNA production (via RNAPV transcription).

Another role for nascent heterochromatin transcripts is to recruit silencing-promoting proteins without the intermediary of small RNAs. *S. pombe* possesses an RNAi-independent pathway that promotes H3K9me and functions to maintain pericentromeric heterochromatin⁷¹. One component of this pathway is Seb1 (Seven-binding), a nascent transcript-binding protein which contains an RNA recognition motif (RRM, RNA-binding domain) that recognizes GUA trinucleotides and the RNAPII C-terminal-domain interaction domain^{72,73}. Seb1 acts upstream of the SHREC complex ⁷⁴, which participates in an RNAi-independent pathway⁷⁵. The Seb1-SHREC pathway is partially redundant with RNAi since only in double mutants, where both pathways are inactivated, is H3K9me eliminated⁷⁵. As GUA trinucleotides occur frequently, how Seb1 selectively promotes H3K9me at pericentromeric regions is not known, but GUA sequences are depleted from *S. pombe* protein-coding genes⁷³. Moreover, recent findings show that Suv39 KMTs are stabilized on heterochromatin by their non-specific affinity for nascent RNA emanating from mammalian centromere repeat arrays ⁷⁶⁻⁷⁸.

Similar transcription-driven processes mediate <u>X chromosome inactivation</u> in female mammals, a process that produces a condensed, silenced chromosome, marked by H3K27me3. Although the inactive X is not "constitutive heterochromatin", this form of silent chromatin serves to illustrate related important principles. The "A-repeat" region of the long non-coding RNA X-inactive specific transcript (XIST) recruits SPEN^{SHARP} (Split-ends), a protein that contains RRM type RNA-binding domains⁷⁹⁻⁸². SPEN^{SHARP} in turn recruits HDAC3 histone deacetylase via the SMRT (silencing-mediator-for-retinoid-and thyroid-hormone-receptors) adaptor protein^{31,83,84}. Ensuing histone deacetylation probably triggers

the recruitment of at least two redundant repression mechanisms, one being the Polycomb system, while the other remains to be identified (reviewed in ⁸⁴). As with Seb1, it is unclear if SPEN^{SHARP} alone has sufficient specificity to target XIST-RNA and the X chromosome for inactivation.

3. Establishment is separable from maintenance

Some signals and factors required to initiate *de novo* heterochromatin assembly (that is to convert euchromatin to heterochromatin) differ from those required for its maintenance. This distinction between establishment and maintenance phases is critical for understanding how heterochromatin formation occurs.

Testing whether a non-essential factor is required to establish heterochromatin (an "establishment factor") is performed in *S. pombe* as follows (**FIG. 2**). Heterochromatin is first erased by removing the gene encoding the key modifier (example: KMT or HDAC). The reintroduction of that gene into otherwise wild-type cells allows heterochromatin reestablishment; however, cells lacking an establishment factor are unable to assemble heterochromatin²⁴. Another approach compares the outcome of introducing naïve DNA templates (example: centromere repeats) into wild-type versus mutant cells^{24,85,86}. A third way is to erase heterochromatin by exposure to inhibitors (example: HDAC inhibitor Trichostatin A) and determine if mutant cells recover heterochromatin after inhibitor removal^{87,88}. Such assays revealed that RNAi plays an essential role in establishing heterochromatin. For instance, in the absence of RNAi factors, no H3K9me can be targeted to centromere repeats or related sequences when CIr4-KMT is re-introduced into cells lacking Clr4. Likewise, H3K9me is established on repeats transformed into wild-type but not cells lacking RNAi. This stands in contrast to the partially redundant role of RNAi (with Seb1, or the HDACs SHREC/Clr3 or Sir2) in the maintenance of H3K9me at pericentromeric regions, in which double mutants between RNAi and Seb1 or an HDAC is required to eliminate H3K9me2^{75,86}.

Establishment of heterochromatin on *S. pombe* centromeric outer repeats requires RNAi but it remains unclear how the initiating source of dsRNA is generated. Possibilities include dsRNA produced by convergent, overlapping transcripts³⁸, secondary structures⁸⁹ and degradation products⁹⁰. Another possibility is that the RDRC synthesizes the initiating dsRNA from centromere repeat transcripts^{53,57}, as in plants (see above). In the latter case, specific features must distinguish repeat element transcripts from mRNA-producing transcripts to specifically recruit RDRC.

In *S. pombe*, dsRNA, induced by expression of an artificial hairpin-encoding DNA, is sufficient to generate synthetic siRNAs and direct H3K9me heterochromatin formation in *cis* at the locus producing dsRNA⁹¹. Here no inherent special features are required to trigger heterochromatin formation once dsRNA is synthesized. Surprisingly, siRNA produced from such artificial dsRNAs only weakly induce heterochromatin assembly in *trans* at transcribed homologous euchromatic loci⁹². Such synthetic siRNA sources trigger more efficient H3K9me heterochromatin formation in *trans* in cells harboring mutations in the RNAPII-associated Polymerase-associated-factor (PAF) complex⁹³⁻⁹⁵. Defective canonical polyadenylation signals at the transcribed target locus also enhance silencing⁹⁶. Thus, nascent transcripts may be held at native heterochromatin loci due to inefficient transcriptional elongation/termination, bolstering RNAi-mediated H3K9me formation.

RNAi-independent establishment mechanisms also exist in *S. pombe* since RNAi is not required for establishment of heterochromatin adjacent to telomeres. Clr4-KMT is recruited to telomere repeats via the Shelterin complex, using its telomere repeat DNA binding subunits⁹⁷. However, RNAi contributes to subtelomeric silencing in *S. pombe* via centromere-related telomere-adjacent repeats^{98,99}.

Separable establishment factors for H3K9 methylation have also been identified in *C. elegans.* In the germline, small Piwi-associated RNAs (<u>piRNAs</u>) trigger an siRNA-H3K9me feedback loop, much like those of *S. pombe* and plants¹⁰⁰. Once piRNAs have acted, however, they are dispensable for the maintenance of that feedback loop. This was revealed through genetic crosses that removed the two Piwi-related genes, *prg-1* and *prg-2*, after triggering heterochromatin formation¹⁰¹. Piwi also plays a role in in the establishment of HP1a-marked heterochromatin during Drosophila development¹⁰².

In *Arabidopsis*, where DNA methylation and H3K9me are linked, most loci controlled by RNAi display the ability to re-establish silencing following transient disruption of the defined feedback loops¹⁰³. However, at a small subset of these loci DNA methylation cannot be rescued by the re-introduction of maintenance DNA methytransferase MET1 to *MET1* mutants¹⁰³. This suggests that once DNA methylation has been erased from these particular loci, they lack the required cues for its re-establishment.

Finally, during X chromosome inactivation in murine epiblasts, the XIST ncRNA gene was shown to be required to establish silencing on one homolog (see above). However, conditional removal of XIST later in development demonstrated that it is not required for maintenance of silencing^{104,105}. Analyses in ES cell models shows that SPEN^{SHARP} (and other factors) are required to establish XIST-mediated gene silencing following induced XIST expression⁷⁹⁻⁸². The subsequent installation of DNA methylation over the inactive X ensures the inheritance of silencing without XIST or associated factors.

4. Heterochromatin can spread

Once nucleated at a particular location, the biochemical properties of heterochromatin components enable domain expansion that is largely independent of the DNA sequences encountered. The classic example of this is Drosophila PEV where chromosome translocations juxtapose heterochromatin with euchromatin (reviewed in ¹⁰⁶). In such cases, heterochromatin spreads over large distances into euchromatin. In Drosophila additional heterochromatin titrates limiting factors away from, and consequently weakens, heterochromatin thereby alleviating repression at other locations¹⁰⁷⁻¹⁰⁹. Thus, spreading requires a supply of surplus, unassembled heterochromatin components, and can be driven by their over-expression ¹¹⁰⁻¹¹².

Spreading requires reader-writer coupling. Nucleosomes bearing H3K9me are bound by H3K9me writers (Suv39, Clr4) via their chromo domains. Mutants in the Clr4 chromodomain impede spreading in *S. pombe*^{45,113}. However, spreading also requires the HP1-dependent recruitment of HDAC activity^{28,50,53,114}. Thus, interconnections between reader, writer and eraser modules results in critical positive feedback loops, mediated by reader-modifier coupling, that extend heterochromatin domains.

Single cell reporter analysis in *S. pombe* show that de novo nucleation of the

heterochromatin domain at the mating type locus can take several cell divisions while expansion of the domain to its full size needs even longer¹¹⁵. Such results predict that feedback mechanisms acts both locally, on adjacent nucleosomes, and more broadly over greater distances to mediate this two step process¹¹⁵. Thus, the spreading of silent chromatin does not necessarily occur in a linear fashion; random collisions between a heterochromatin domain and chromatin that is spatially located nearby may allow the key modification to be deposited discontinuously in 'hops' that decline in frequency with distance from the nucleation site or domain. Subsequently, gaps between the original domain and the new patch could then be filled by a pincer-like movement, although exceptions to this scenario have been observed in Drosophila¹⁰⁹. Modelling of available data suggests that read-write driven feedback, coupled to collisions between modified and unmodified sites, may optimally describe the dynamics of heterochromatin domains¹¹⁶.

Such models may be impacted by recent findings that describe a role for HP1-induced phase-separation in heterochromatin assembly^{117,118}. Purified Drosophila HP1a can form proteinaceous liquid droplets that phase-separate *in vitro* (liquid-liquid demixing) under particular conditions¹¹⁸. In Drosophila cells, heterochromatin domains display properties characteristic of phase-separated liquids¹¹⁸. *In vitro* demixing has also been reported for the human HP1 α protein. Phosphorylated HP1 α demixes more efficiently than unphosphorylated HP1 α , suggesting potential for regulation *in vivo*¹¹⁷. Indeed, a mutant that cannot be phosphorylated forms smaller heterochromatic foci when introduced into cells. Nucleosomes and DNA preferentially partition into these phosphor-HP1 α droplets *in vitro*, suggesting that the HP1 α 'solvent' may control entry of molecules into heterochromatin¹¹⁷. We anticipate that future work will reveal further the function(s) of phase-separation in heterochromatin assembly and/or function.

Mammalian X chromosome inactivation is initiated by XIST expression from the X inactivation centre (XIC). XIST spreads discontinuously over the X chromosome and may first affect non-contiguous chromosomal regions that contact its XIC in three-dimensional space. XIST spreading, accompanied by gene silencing, is not limited to X chromosomes^{119,120}. Rearrangements which fuse autosomes to an inactive X result in spreading of silencing into the autosome, albeit with limited efficiency¹²¹⁻¹²³. Likewise, ectopic expression of XIST from autosomes results in reduced expression over large adjacent domains^{84,104,124-128}.

5. Heterochromatin spread is restrained

Because heterochromatin can spread, mechanisms to restrict its expansion are necessary to avoid erroneous, and potentially deleterious, gene silencing (**Figure 3**). Mechanisms described to create such barriers and interrupt lateral heterochromatin spreading include: 1.

nucleosome depleted regions generated by bound proteins such as transcription factors; 2. processes that promote nucleosome turnover; 3. anti-silencing activity recruitment by ongoing transcription and associated regulatory elements; 4. anti-silencing factors recruitment by heterochromatin itself; 5. restricting silencing factors to their sites of prior action.

tRNA genes are a conserved class of boundary. They have been shown to restrict heterochromatin spread in organisms from yeast to man¹²⁹⁻¹³¹. Binding sites for the RNAPIII transcription factor TFIIIC appear to be critical as clusters of these sites alone, independent of tRNA genes, function as boundaries. One example derives from the boundaries of the silent mating type region in *S. pombe*¹³². These regions display large nucleosome-free regions, which may prevent spreading by forming a 'gap' in the chromatin fiber over which some read-write mechanisms cannot cross²⁹ (**FIG. 1b**). tRNA genes, like the TFIIIC sites at the mating type locus, are themselves accessible and essentially nucleosome-free¹³³⁻¹³⁵. Turnover of nucleosomes assembled in heterochromatin is low^{93,136}, and factors such as RNAPII-associated Paf1C, which promote their turnover, are required for boundary function^{93,95,136} (**FIG. 1c**). Myraid other boundary element types and factors have been described suggesting that there are likely to be many mechanisms for interrupting heterochromatin assembly.

Euchromatin is marked by a variety of chromatin modifications that antagonize heterochromatin assembly. These include the histone variant H2AZ which is deposited in response to nucleosome free regions in the first nucleosome (+1) downstream of transcription initiation sites¹³⁷⁻¹³⁹ and histone PTMs triggered by active transcription (acetylation and methylation at specific lysines). It is well recognized that such PTMs (H3K4me, H3K36me and H3K79me) play an anti-silencing role in *S. cerevisiae*, which utilizes SIR-mediated heterochromatin (see above)¹⁴⁰⁻¹⁴⁵. Thus, transcription induces histone PTMs that restrict heterochromatin formation (**FIG. 3d**). Because heterochromatin inhibits

transcription, transcription instigates a positive-feedback mechanism that stabilizes the euchromatic state and antagonizes the heterochromatic state. Likewise, positive feedback is a feature of robust heterochromatin assembly. Competition between these two opposing, positive feedback mechanisms likely explain the bi-stability of alternative chromatin states inferred from studies of silencing in yeasts and flies.

Heterochromatin can itself recruit its own inhibitors that limit its spread via reader-eraser coupling (**FIG. 3e**). An example is the Epe1 (enhancement of position effect) protein, a putative H3K9 demethylase recruited by *S. pombe* Swi6¹⁴⁶⁻¹⁴⁹. Epe1 is degraded by a ubiquitin ligase that acts within the body of heterochromatin but not at its edges, providing a mechanism by which heterochromatin can recruit an anti-silencing factor whose activity is restricted¹⁵⁰. Epe1 acts in parallel with boundary elements since loss of both Epe1 and TFIIIC sites that flank the mating type locus result in extensive heterochromatin spreading and slow cell growth¹⁵¹. Likewise, cells lacking both Epe1 and a globally-acting histone acetyltransferase (mst2; note H3K9ac prevents H3K9me) display widespread ectopic heterochromatin assembly and slow growth, again emphasizing the importance of redundant anti-silencing mechanisms¹⁵². Ectopic heterochromatin formation in such double mutants suggests that the processes which trigger heterochromatin at the main genomic locations act elsewhere, but are normally less effective. The detection of low levels of H3K9me at several loci in wild-type cells, under specific conditions, or in mutant backgrounds may be a manifestation of pathways important for gene regulation in response to various cues^{93,152-156}.

Tethering silencing machinery to its sites of prior action provides another mechanism to restrict heterochromatin to particular loci. Numerous chromatin-modifying enzyme complexes harbor domains that recognize the products of their respective reactions. In the budding yeast *Cryptococcus neoformans*, a H3K27-specific histone methyltransferase complex, PRC2, contains a chromo-domain subunit, Ccc1 (chromodomain-and-coiled-coil), that recognizes the H3K27me mark. H3K27me3 is selectively generated over subtelomeric

regions in this yeast¹⁵⁷. Mutations that prevent the 'reader' domain from recognizing the reaction product cause ectopic H3K27 methylation at centromeres. This ectopic methylation requires H3K9me at *C. neoformans* centromeres, indicating that tethering of PRC2 to its sites of prior action via reader-writer coupling suppresses a latent attraction of PRC2 to H3K9-methylated domains, perhaps via the methyl-lysine binding activity of Eed.

6. Heterochromatin can be inherited

During replication, the H3-H4 tetramer subunit of old, parental nucleosomes are randomly distributed to nascent sister-chromatids during their synthesis (reviewed in¹⁵⁸). New nucleosomes are assembled in the resulting gaps from free histones. The recruitment of KMTs by the modification that they catalyze ('reader-writer coupling') suggests that heterochromatin might self-propagate in a manner not dependent on the underlying DNA sequence (**FIG. 4a**). Such a property would enable information in the form of silent chromatin to be carried, along with any associated properties, through DNA replication into progeny cells. Such inheritance is termed '*cis* inheritance of a chromosomal state'. Similar to spreading mechanisms (discussed above), the recognition of H3K9me on parental nucleosomes by a reader-writer combination should allow the installation of that modification on these newly assembled neighboring nucleosomes.

Epigenetic inheritance is well known to be mediated by DNA methylation in some systems where a maintenance DNA methyltransferase (DNMT1) associated with the replisome recognizes 5meC in a CG dinucleotide and adds a methyl group to cytosine in the CG of the complementary strand (reviewed in ¹⁵⁹). In the filamentous fungus *Neurospora crassa*, H3K9 methylation and 5meC can reinforce each other; H3K9me nucleosomes can recruit the DIM-2 (defective-in-methylation) DNA methyltransferase via HP1 while DNA methylation recruits the H3K9 methyltransferase DIM-5^{14,160}. In other systems, connections between H3K9me

and DNA methylation are also now well-established (reviewed in ¹⁶¹). Because 5meC on CG dinucleotides is heritable through DNA replication, its influence on H3K9me could mask the *cis*-inheritance of chromatin states mediated by H3K9me read-write systems themselves.

Thus, a strong test of intrinsic H3K9me-marked heterochromatin heritability is persistence in a system lacking DNA methylation. DNA methylation is undetectable in fission yeast and stable *cis*-inheritance of heterochromatin occurs at the silent mating type locus^{88,162}. Domains of synthetic heterochromatin form when the Clr4 SET-KMT domain is fused to an exogenous DNA binding domain and recruited to cognate binding sites placed at neutral euchromatic chromosomal loci, resulting in the silencing of embedded genes¹⁶³. Use of a DNA binding domain controlled by a small molecule allowed conditional release of this artificial heterochromatin nucleator to test if endogenous wild-type CIr4-KMT, along with other effector proteins, could maintain heterochromatin and gene silencing through cell division (FIG. 4b)^{164,165}. Release of tethered Clr4 resulted in rapid loss of H3K9me, even during a cell cycle block, suggesting that rather than being passively diluted through rounds of replication, H3K9me is actively removed. The histone demethylase Epe1 was found to be responsible for rapid ectopic H3K9 methylation removal. Cells lacking Epe1 can transmit H3K9me at the target locus through multiple cell divisions and even through meiosis into progeny. Thus H3K9 methylation has the potential to act as a heritable entity that also affects phenotype. Nonetheless, even in the absence of Epe1 anti-silencing activity, such engineered H3K9-methylated heterochromatin and associated gene silencing eventually dissipates, presumably due to imperfect copying during replication and/or transcriptioncoupled loss of H3K9me nucleosomes.

Analogous transient targeting experiments in mammalian cells suggest that H3K9memediated repression is reversible whereas DNA methylation allows the silent state to persist for many cell divisions without the trigger^{166,167}. Thus, mammalian cells also appear to restrict the heritability of H3K9me-mediated repression after the initial recruiting mechanism is

disabled. In contrast, silent H3K9me-dependent heterochromatin formed by tethering HP1 persisted for many cell divisions following HP1 release from an engineered murine locus, although a potential role for DNA methylation in its maintenance at this locus seems difficult to rule out¹⁶⁸.

There is now strong evidence that the Polycomb silencing system, can mediate the *cis*inheritance of a chromatin state¹⁶⁹. Interestingly, in *Drosophila*, specific sequences that promote silencing machinery recruitment are required for this inheritance, suggesting again that the propensity of this type of silent chromatin to be inherited is tightly regulated, in this case positively by licensing a region for *cis*-inheritance^{170,171}. Thus Polycomb silencing exhibits similarity to heterochromatin assembly at the *S. pombe* mating type locus which also involves sequence-specific binding proteins^{162,172,173}. In the latter case, inheritance/maintenance is further promoted by chromatin remodeling enzymes which curbs nucleosome turnover, limits euchromatin assembly, and impacts positioning in the nucleus¹⁷⁴⁻¹⁷⁶.

7. Heterochromatin mediates genome defense

Repetitive sequences are a threat to genome stability and organismal viability. Mechanisms of destabilization include the insertion mutations produced by transposable elements, DNA breaks produced by transposon excision, recombination between repeats, and replication stress and associated DNA breaks produced by repeats. Heterochromatin plays a pivotal role in suppressing these deleterious events through diverse mechanisms.

Studies in plants have revealed increased transposon copy number levels in mutants defective in the RNA-dependent DNA methylation (RdDM) pathway described above¹⁷⁷. Surprisingly, only a single <u>copia-type retrotransposon</u>, EVD (evadé), increases when this pathway is mutated. Additional analyses confirm this observation¹⁷⁸, which has several

potential implications. It suggests that it is the latent activity of this single transposon that drives maintenance of RdDM in *Arabidopsis*. This might seem counterintuitive but theoretical work shows that a single element can spread through a sexually-reproducing population despite a negative impact on fitness¹⁷⁹. The lack of impact of RdDM pathway loss on the copy number of other transposons, despite an increase in their transcript levels, suggests that these other elements might not be active or that other mechanisms limit their transposition. Their silencing could be important nonetheless for genetic stability as described below.

In *C. elegans*, loss of Piwi proteins (described above), that act upstream of a nuclear RNAi pathway coupled to H3K9me, has been shown to impact the movement of Tc3 transposons^{101,180}. Recent studies of worms lacking H3K9-KMTs (*met-2* and *set-25*) detected widespread up-regulation of transposon transcripts in both germline and somatic tissues. Strikingly, this resulted in <u>R-loop</u> formation, replication stress and increased mutation frequency within repetitive elements¹⁸⁰. Thus transcribed transposons can be mutagenic even without undergoing transposition *per se*.

Another less-appreciated aspect of heterochromatin is that it can control transposon activity by promoting specialized small RNA biogenesis mechanisms, rather than transcriptional silencing. In the Drosophila female gonad, mutations in the HP1 paralog Rhino result in defective piRNA biogenesis from clustered elements¹⁸¹. This is highly reminiscent of the role of H3K9me in siRNA biogenesis in *S. pombe*. piRNA clusters are <u>heterochromatin islands</u> that produce transposon-homologous small RNAs called piRNAs^{182,183}. piRNAs act transcriptionally and post-transcriptionally to silence transposable elements¹⁸². Transposon insertion into a cluster is a mechanism by which the activity of that transposon is monitored and silenced in female gonads. The piRNA system also operates in mammalian testes to silence transposons via DNA methylation^{184,185,186}.

An important mechanism in genome defense is the avoidance of chromosomal rearrangements following DNA damage within repetitive elements. Homologous recombination (HR) between repeats (example: dispersed TEs) can result in deletions, inversions and translocations. *In cis* HR within repeated arrays, or with sister-chromatids, often results in expansion and contraction events that may cause little harm to cells and organisms (an exception being recombination within rDNA arrays¹⁸⁷). In contrast, HR between repeats on non-homologous chromosomes can cause translocations and result in the formation of dicentric and acentric chromosomes. Studies in Drosophila and mammalian cells demonstrated that breaks within heterochromatin are sequestered to the periphery of heterochromatin compartments¹⁸⁸⁻¹⁹⁰. This is thought to promote repair by HR within the array or with sister-chromatids and thereby prevent illegitimate recombination with similar repeats on non-homologous chromosomes^{191,192}. Heterochromatin may direct such events, limiting the repair of breaks to similar repetitive elements on sister-chromatids or the homologous chromosome, and thereby preventing deleterious rearrangements.

8. Heterochromatin influences centromere function

Centromeres are the chromosomal loci where kinetochores assemble. Most eukaryotic centromeres are composed of repetitive DNA arrays; the majority of these repeats are embedded in H3K9me-heterochromatin and are heavily 5meC/DNA methylated in mammalian somatic cells. However, patches of repeats assemble unusual nucleosomes in which histone H3 is replaced by a variant, CENP-A (centromere protein A). These centromere-specific nucleosomes form the physical foundation for the kinetochore (reviewed in ¹⁹³). Heterochromatin plays several important roles.

First, heterochromatin influences the assembly of CENP-A chromatin domains. In *S. pombe*, CENP-A chromatin and functional kinetochores cannot be established on transformed

centromere DNA lacking flanking <u>pericentromeric heterochromatin</u>. Heterochromatin provides a critical, but unknown, function to ensure CENP-A chromatin assembly on adjacent sequences. Heterochromatin-directed histone modifications and/or nuclear periphery association may promote CENP-A incorporation. Heterochromatin may also act to limit the size of the CENP-A/kinetochore domain^{131,194}. Conversely, inadvertent or forced heterochromatin formation within fission yeast¹⁹⁵ or mammalian cell centromeres prevents CENP-A and kinetochore assembly^{196,197}.

A second role for heterochromatin at centromeres involves sister-chromatin cohesion, mediated by cohesin¹⁹⁸. At metaphase, most metazoan sister-chromatids remain associated via cohesion only at their centromeres. This is because centromeric cohesin, that embraces both sisters, is protected from degradation until anaphase. In *S. pombe*, centromeric heterochromatin is required to mediate tight physical sister-centromere cohesion by trapping high levels of centromeric cohesin. This occurs through physical association of the cohesin complex with heterochromatin via Swi6^{HP1 199,200}. In cells lacking heterochromatin, single kinetochores are disorganized and display aberrant attachment to spindles. Sister-centromeres also prematurely dissociate, leading to chromosome loss and gain²⁰¹⁻²⁰³. This explains the frequent chromosome loss events observed in *S. pombe* cells with defective heterochromatin^{8,203}. Sister-centromere cohesion may also be weaker in human cells exhibiting reduced levels of centromeric H3K9me heterochromatin. Such a defect was reported for human cancer cell lines exhibiting chromosome instability due to overexpression of KDM4 H3K9me3 demethylases²⁰⁴.

9. Heterochromatin controls differentiation

Evolution has also put heterochromatin to work to accomplish additional functions. An example in *S. pombe* is the silencing of gene cassettes that each encode two transcription factors that program cell type. The heterochromatin domain that silences these cassettes is

called the mating-type *mat2-mat3* region. A lineage-regulated recombination event places copies of these transcription factor encoding genes into the expression site (*mat1*) producing a change in mating-type^{205,206}. In addition to silencing *mat2-mat3*, H3K9me heterochromatin plays a role in regulating the directionality of this recombination and therefore the pattern of mating-type switching²⁰⁶.

Megabased-sized islands of H3K9me-dependent heterochromatin are formed in a mammalian cell type-specific manner²⁰⁷. One function of these islands is to form a barrier to transcription factor-mediated cell-type reprogramming; hence they are termed differentially bound or reprogramming resistant regions (FIG. 5a). This H3K9me-dependent heterochromatin is important for preservation of differentiated cell type identity since depletion of proteins involved in maintenance of this heterochromatin (CAF, chromatinassembly-factor; SETDB1, SET-domain-bifurcated 1; KAP-1^{TRIM28}, KRAB-A-interactingprotein) allows more efficient reprogramming of differentiated cells to iPS cells²⁰⁷⁻²¹¹ or of somatic nuclei transferred to oocytes²¹². The *cis*-determinants required to establish these large heterochromatin islands remain unknown, but initiation may be linked to mechanisms that silence endogenous retroelements (EREs, including ERVs) in somatic cells (FIG. 5b). ERE reactivation can result in inappropriate expression of neighboring genes. The silencing mechanisms used to inactivate EREs are related to those that block reprogramming of somatic cells. A family of KRAB-ZFP (Kruppel-Associated-Box-Zinc-Finger) proteins are known to recruit SETDB1 H3K9 methyltransferase via the adaptor KAP-1^{TRIM28} to EREs where they elicit repressive heterochromatin²¹³⁻²¹⁶. Thus, ancient transposable elements appear to have been co-opted for regulation of adjacent chromatin landscape and nearby genes.

10: Heterochromatin is medically important

Heterochromatin plays roles in human disease. We focus here on a handful of examples among many that illustrate and extend important principles.

Viral latency: Heterochromatin protects genomes from pathogenic viruses. For example, a fraction of Type 1 Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV-1) integrations can occur within heterochromatin regions²¹⁷. Retroviral reporters in lymphocyte cell lines are subject to silencing by H3K9me-mediated heterochromatin via the HUSH (Human Silencing Hub) Complex which spreads over the viral genome from neighbouring heterochromatin²¹⁸ (**FIG. 5c**). Although speculative, silencing of integrated viruses may allow dormant HIV-1 retrovirus to persist in AIDS patients' T cells, long after therapeutic clearance of circulating virus. Sporadic reactivation of these proviruses may enable later reappearance of viruses. Interestingly, in this case a distinct chromo-domain protein (MPP8, M-phase phosphoprotein 8, not HP1) binds H3K9me3 directed by SETDB1 KMTase. Other human viruses may also be rendered dormant by HUSH-mediated heterochromatin speading²¹⁷. HUSH silencing is distinct from that mediated by KRAB-ZFPs which target heterochromatin formation to retroviruses and EREs (see above)^{213-217,219}.

Obesity: The increasing frequency of obesity in humans, and associated health risks, has a heritable component. Intriguingly, KAP-1^{TRIM28} (a major heterochromatin recruitment platform) haplo-insufficiency in mice results in stochastic production of either normal or obese offspring from genetically identical parents. Analyses of human lean and obese cohorts indicates that KAP-1^{TRIM28} expression levels correlate with expression patterns of key obesity-associated genes and body mass index²²⁰.

Premature aging: WRN gene (encodes a helicase) mutations cause Werner Syndrome, an adult form of progeria (premature aging). WRN null human mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) display disrupted heterochromatin with loss of H3K9me3 from heterochromatin islands²²¹. The WRN protein is targeted to centromeric repeats and associates with Suv39H1 H3K9

methyltransferase and HP1 α . This WRN complex may stabilize repeat arrays within heterochromatin, preventing DNA damage. Comparison of primary human MSCs from young and old individuals revealed reduced levels of WRN protein and heterochromatin loss in old cells. The implication is that WRN protects heterochromatin and thereby prevents the irreversible genome instability associated with aging. Alternatively, it could be that DNA damage induces loss of heterochromatin.

Metabolism: DNA and histone methyltransferases and demethylases require metabolites for their activities (reviewed ^{222,223}) S-adenosylmethionine is the methyl donor for nucleic acid and histone methyltransferases. Many demethylases require α -ketoglutarate, a metabolic intermediate of the Krebs cycle, as a co-substrate, while others utilize flavin adenine dinucleotide. Moreover, acetyl-CoA is the acetyl donor for histone acetyltransferases and the sirtuin family of histone deacetylases requires nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide as a cofactor. Consequently, changes in the nutritional environment or mutations that affect levels of metabolites can cause the accumulation of inhibitory products, which can alter chromatin.

For example, mutations in the genes encoding isocitrate dehydrogenase, fumarate hydratase and succinate dehydrogenase, key Krebs cycle enzymes, cause accumulation of the substrates 2-hydroxyglutarate, fumarate and succinate, respectively, which are competitive inhibitors of α -ketoglutarate-dependent histone and DNA demethylases^{224,225}. Such mutations promote tumors. Accumulation of 2-hydroxyglutarate results in elevated H3K9me levels and blocks cellular differentiation²²⁴. Conversely, provision of α -ketoglutarate to ES cells reduces histone and DNA methylation and promotes pluripotency whereas succinate has the opposite effect. Histone methylation in ES cells is sensitive to glutamate, and thus α -ketoglutarate, supply²²⁶. Poor nutrient availability is a feature of many solid tumors, where interior regions are deprived of glutamine, and hence α -ketoglutarate, leading to elevated histone methylation and cellular dedifferentiation within such tumors²²⁷. In S.

cerevisiae, equivalent mutations to those that cause 2-hydroxyglutarate accumulation were found to enhance SIR-mediated silencing by inhibiting H3K36 methyltransferases²²⁸.

Concluding remarks:

We have described general principles that have emerged from the study of heterochromatin in a broad range of organisms and have provided a few case studies to illustrate each. Among many unanswered questions in the field, several stand out: What are the signals that initially trigger heterochromatin at specific sites? What determines the heritability or lack or heritability of heterochromatin? What is the role of phase separation in heterochromatin integrity? What enables transcription of heterochromatin? How is heterochromatin regulated during stress and development? We anticipate that model organisms, new technologies and ingenious experimental strategies will be required to address these outstanding issues.

Acknowledgements

R.C.A. is a Wellcome Principal Research Fellow; his research is supported by the Wellcome Trust (200885) and core funding of the Wellcome Centre for Cell Biology (203149). Research in the Madhani laboratory is supported by grants from the US National Institutes of Health. H.D.M. is a Chan-Zuckerberg BioHub Investigator. We apologize to colleagues whose work could not be cited due to length restrictions. We dedicate this piece to the memory of Dr. Amar Klar, whose pioneering studies of cell type specification and gene silencing in *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* paved the way for many advances.

Competing Interests Statement: None

Author details:

Robin C. Allshire obtained his Ph.D. from the University of Edinburgh, and undertook postdoctoral training at the MRC Human Genetics Unit, Edinburgh and Cold Spring Harbor Laboratories, New York. He is currently Professor of Chromosome Biology at the Wellcome Centre for Cell Biology, University of Edinburgh and a Wellcome Principal Research Fellow.

Hiten D. Madhani received his Ph.D. in Genetics and M.D. from the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) and was a postdoctoral fellow at Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research/MIT. He is currently a Professor of Biochemistry and Biophysics at UCSF and an Investigator at the Chan-Zuckerberg Biohub.

BOX 1 : Heterochromatin history

Heterochromatin was first used as a cytological term coined by Emil Heitz in 1928 who developed staining methods that revealed regions of chromosomes with distinct behaviour. He found that chromosomes stained differently and consistently along their lengths. He called those regions not visible after telophase 'euchromatin' and those remaining discernible (heteropyknotic) 'heterochromatin'. He noted that staining patterns are specific for each chromosome and later related these to the genetic properties of chromosomes, suggesting that genes are found in euchromatin whereas heterochromatin is genetically inert. He also noted that heterochromatin is often associated with sex chromosomes [reviewed ¹]. Finally, he recognized that there were regions that sometimes appear distinct, later termed facultative heterochromatin, and those that are always distinct, latter dubbed constitutive heterochromatin.

In the early 1930s, after exposing Drosophila to X-rays, Hermann Muller isolated the *white mottled* mutations that exhibited a mosaic or variegated pattern of red (wild-type) or white (mutant) facets of the eye due to chromosome rearrangements that displaced the *white* gene from its original position (hence his term 'eversporting displacements' in that each individual developed a different eye color pattern)²²⁹. In 1936, the examination of polytene chromosomes revealed that rearrangement breakpoints within heterochromatic regions were frequently associated with such variegating mutants²³⁰. Thus, the vague cytological entity 'heterochromatin' became intertwined with a phenomenon that was dubbed position-effect variegation (PEV; variegation in a phenotype due to the variable inactivation of a gene triggered by its placement in or near heterochromatin). Extra copies of heterochromatic chromosomes were found to alleviate this PEV, perhaps because they titrated limiting factors^{231,232}. Later, mutations were isolated in single genes that increased or decreased the variegated eye color phenotype²³³⁻²³⁵.

Exploration of genomes in the 1960s using re-association kinetics of sheared denatured DNA revealed that a significant fraction of eukaryotic genomes are repetitive²³⁶. These rapidly annealing fractions were found to correspond to components of genomes that exhibit a distinct buoyant density on CsCl gradients due to their skewed base composition relative to the rest of the genome^{237,238}. Because they formed a peak in the density profile that was not coincident with the bulk of the genome, the sequences within these ancillary peaks were termed 'satellites.' Since satellite peaks form with both sheared, low-molecular weight and high-molecular weight DNA it was concluded that the constituent repeats occur in arrays²³⁹⁻²⁴¹. The collapse of satellite DNAs to homogeneous repeat-length bands by digestion with restriction enzymes that cut once per repeat confirmed that such satellite repeats are arranged in long tandem arrays. Because of their abundance, satellite DNAs to be sequenced by early methods^{242,243}.

The use of purified satellite DNAs as labelled probes for *in situ* hybridization to metaphase chromosomes revealed that these DNAs are located in the centromeric heterochromatin regions of metaphase chromosomes^{244,245} and co-localize with dense chromatin at the nuclear periphery during interphase²⁴⁶. Thus, it became apparent that large blocks of constitutive pericentromeric heterochromatin contain arrays of repetitive satellite DNAs and that artificial juxtaposition of genes with such regions by a chromosomal rearrangement led to their inactivation.

The above findings coupled with the inability to detect RNA complementary to satellite suggested that they are transcriptionally inactive domains with no genetic output²⁴⁷. Moreover differential centrifugation showed that the sedimentation characteristics of satellite DNA heterochromatin were consistent with this chromatin being more compact²⁴⁸. These regions were also found to be late replicating²⁴⁹ and under-replicated in polytene nuclei²⁵⁰ suggesting that heterochromatin might also affect DNA replication.

BOX 2 : On the terms 'epigenetic' and 'epigenetics'

Waddington originally coined the term 'epigenetics' to refer to the mechanisms that mediate acquisition of stable cell fates during development, but many individuals subsequently modified its definition (reviewed in ²⁵¹). The term epigenetics was altered by Holliday to refer to the inheritance of changes in gene expression patterns and, more generally, the inheritance of any change in gene function that does not involve a change in DNA sequence. Riggs defined epigenetics as 'the study of mitotically and/or meiotically heritable changes in gene function that cannot be explained by changes in DNA sequence'. Ptashne defined the phrase 'epigenetic change' as a heritable change in the expression of a gene that does not involve a change in its sequence and persists in the absence of the initiating signal. Bird questioned whether heritability should be a compulsory component of a modern epigenetics definition because it does not specify how many generations of inheritance might be required to satisfy the definition. He suggested 'the structural adaptation of chromosomal regions so as to register, signal or perpetuate altered activity states' as an all-encompassing definition²⁵². This chromosome-based definition excludes any number of other feedback mechanisms that can mediate heritable change without a change in DNA sequence such as post-transcriptional positive feedback loops (as occurs in Drosophila sex determination and in prions).

Despite these foundational definitions, the use of the noun 'epigenetics' and the adjective 'epigenetic' has been essentially redefined by many to refer to chemical modifications of histones and DNA because, in some cases, these are required for/contribute to a heritable change in gene expression. The adjective "epigenetic" has thus been used in the context of phrases such as "epigenetic mark" or "epigenetic modification" in a manner synonymous with chemical modification of nucleic acid or associated protein (more generally a 'chromatin modification'). The ensemble of such modifications has been referred to as the 'epigenetic.' Such extensions, while entrenched, may be misconstrued or imply an untruth (depending on

the definition being applied), namely that any chemical modification of a nucleic acid or associated protein mediates a heritable change in the expression of a gene.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Core heterochromatin components and mechanisms

a | Depiction of a heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) dimer bound to nucleosomes methylated on lysine 9 of their N-terminal H3 tails (H3K9me; red circles). The chromo-domain (CD; orange crescent) and the chromoshadow domain (CSD; green object), a dimerization domain, of HP1 are shown. The platform produced by the CSD dimer enables binding of effector proteins (yellow object). For simplicity, only one of the two H3 tails (black wave) that protrude from the octamer core is shown for each nucleosome (grey ovals). b | Clr4 and Suv39 histone H3 lysine 9 methyltransferases (KMTs). The protein domain structures of Clr4 (Cryptic-loci-regulator-4; S. pombe), SU(VAR)3-9 (Suppressor-of-variegation-39; D. melanogaster) and Suv39H1 (homolog 1; H. sapiens). The chromo-domains (CD) of these proteins specifically recognize the H3K9 methylated tail, the product of the reaction catalyzed by these KMTs. The SET domain is the catalytic domain and uses Sadenosylmethionine as a methyl-donor. c | Heterochromatin assembly and disassembly by reader-modifier coupling. In this generalized scheme, different enzymes catalyze the addition ("writers"; blue oval) of a post-translational modification (PTM; red circle) to a histone within a nucleosome, or its removal ("erasers"; black pac-man). The PTM results in the direct recruitment of proteins ("readers"; orange crescent). Writer or eraser modules are often coupled with reader modules, either residing in the same polypeptide (as in b), or protein complex, or via reversible protein-protein interactions. **d** | Recruitment mechanisms. In some systems, DNA binding proteins (DBP; green objects) have been identified that recruit writers (blue oval) or erasers (black pac-man). In other systems, a nascent transcript (blue line) associated with template bound RNA Polymerase (grey object) provides a recognition platform. This RNA harbors signals for a sequence-specific and/or structurespecific ribonucleoprotein (RNP; purple object), or RNA binding protein (RBP; brown object). The latter include Argonaute family proteins that recognize and bind cognate RNA via incorporated small RNAs (e.g. siRNA, piRNA; reviewed ²⁵³). The RBP/RNP in turn can recruit writers or erasers that modify chromatin.

Figure 2. Determining if a factor is required for establishment, but not maintenance, of heterochromatin

Identifying a factor that is required to maintain repressive heterochromatin is straightforward since deletion of the gene encoding that factor will disrupt heterochromatin formation and associated phenotypes such as silencing. Determining whether a factor has a role in its establishment requires additional steps. a | The gene for an endogenous pivotal writer is inactivated resulting in the loss of a heterochromatin domain (red rectangle) such as that mediated by H3K9me (red circles) in these wild-type cells. A heterochromatin associated entity is represented by "X" (yellow X; a protein, an RNA or a PTM). b | Restoration of the writer to otherwise wild-type cells allows re-establishment of a full heterochromatin domain indicating that all factors required for its nucleation, spreading and maintenance are present including X. c | Cells lacking the heterochromatin associated "X" factor are utilized in the same test. Note: X may be required for establishment but not strictly required for maintenance. **d** | The full assembly of a silent heterochromatin domain upon resupply of the writer indicates that X is not required for nucleating heterochromatin formation. \mathbf{e} | The inability to re-establish a full heterochromatin domain indicates that X is required for upstream events that trigger heterochromatin assembly, but is not required for its maintenance. RNAi in S. pombe and XIST RNA in mammals are examples of such establishment factors.

Figure 3. Heterochromatin spreading and mechanisms that restrict expansion

a | A model for the expansion of a heterochromatin domain in which a "reader" module (orange crescent) is associated with a "writer" module (blue oval), thereby causing the PTM (red circle) on one nucleosome (grey oval) to enhance the rate of modification on a nearby nucleosome. Iterative cycles result in the formation of extensive heterochromatin domains bound by many factors recruited by the initiating PTM. A barrier (dashed curved grey lines) represents a series of mechanisms that restrict such spreading, shown on the right. **b** Sequence bound factors (striped rectangle) that disfavor nucleosome assembly create extensive gaps, or topological entities, which prevent heterochromatin from spreading. c factors that promote nucleosome turnover through disassembly-reassembly and/or subunit exchange cycles (light ovals with arrows) effectively break the ability of heterochromatin domains to expand. d | Adjacent expressed transcription units mediate the addition of active PTMs (green circles) to histones which prevent the intrusion of repressive H3K9medependent heterochromatin. e | Eraser modules (back pac-man) that are strategically recruited at the edge of heterochromatin regions can remove the key PTM (white circles, red outline) and prevent expansion. (e.g. S. pombe Enhancer-of-position-effect, Epe1 demethylase counters H3K9me).

Figure 4. Reader-writer coupling allows repressive chromatin modifications to be copied during replication and transmitted through cell division

a | A model for the maintenance of a repressive PTM through DNA replication by readerwriter coupling. During replication H3-H4 tetramers from preexisting parental "old" nucleosomes (dark ovals) are randomly recycled to either of the two nascent strands. Consequently, the number of H3 nucleosomes bearing a PTM, such as H3K9me, on the two new strands will be reduced by half compared to the parental domain. Reader (orange crescents) – writer (blue ovals) coupling allows copying of the PTM from "old" nucleosomes that retain the PTM to newly assembled nucleosomes (light ovals) thereby replenishing and reinstating the full chromatin domain on both sister-chromatids and ultimately allowing its transmission to progeny cells. **b** | A writer module (W; blue oval), such as the SET domain of an H3K9 methyltransferase, is artificially recruited by fusion to a DNA-binding domain (DBD; light blue object) whose binding site (light blue diamond) is inserted at a neutral genomic location. This generates a region harboring a specific chromatin PTM, such as H3K9 methylation (red circles on tails of nucleosomes) which can recruit additional reader-writers (orange crescent) that recognize that PTM and can spread the PTM over a nearby reporter gene, silencing its expression (yellow rectangle). Release of the triggering artificial writer from DNA by inhibition of its DNA-binding domain allows persistence and heritability of this chromatin to be assessed. c | If transcriptional repression and gene silencing are maintained through cell division (by replication fork associated reader-writer coupled copying as in **a**), then the modification, in this case H3K9me, must mediate a heritable epigenetic change [Box 2].

Figure 5. Heterochromatin domains, transposable elements and reprogramming resistance in mammalian cells

a | The forced expression of four transcription factors (Oct4, Sox2, Klf4 and c-Myc; OSKM) induces dedifferentiation of somatic cells (orange star shapes) of various lineages to an induced pluripotent state (iPS cells; blue ovoid shapes). Such reprogramming is inefficient because large heterochromatin domains (depicted by red rectangle) present a barrier to the activation of key genes required for reversion to pluripotency. Increased reprogramming efficiency can be achieved by depletion proteins such as KAP-1^{Trim28} and SETDB1, which are required for heterochromatin integrity, allowing activation (green dashed rectangle) of reprogramming pathways. b | Full length and fragments of mammalian transposable elements including Endogeneous Retro-Elements (EREs) are bound by members of the large KRAB-ZFP (KZFP; Kruppel-Associated-Box-Zinc-Finger turquoise rectangle) family of proteins and act as nucleators, mediating H3Kme heterochromatin formation (red circles) by recruitment of the H3K9me 'writer' methyltransferase SETDB1 (light blue rectangle) via the adaptor protein KAP-1^{Trim28} (yellow rectangle). This in turn allows recruitment of H3K9me 'readers' (such as HP1 and MPP8) and writers to expand the domain. Spreading of heterochromatin outwards can silence adjacent genes suggesting that TE remnants have been co-opted for host (hetero)-chromatin domain regulation. c | Reporter constructs occasionally insert in heterochromatin islands on chromosome arms where the HUSH complex (MPP8, Periphilin PHL, TASOR, SETDB1) spreads heterochromatin into, and silences, the reporter. The 'reader' MPP8 (orange crescent) binds flanking H3K9me (red circles) and recruits the H3K9me 'writer' SETDB1 (blue oval) via the adaptor protein TASOR (yellow object). This silencing mechanism may be used to render pathogenic viruses latent. HUSH might also promote heterochromatin island formation by mediating spreading from TEs or EREs.

Glossary (underlined at first mention in text):

Satellite repeats: repetitive components of genomes (generally tandem arrays of short elements) that exhibit a distinct satellite peak on buoyant density gradients due to their skewed base composition relative to most genomic DNA.

Post-translational modification PTM: Chemical groups such as Methyl (me, –CH₃), that are enzymatically added to (by 'writers'), or removed from (by 'erasers'), amino acids side chains of proteins and are bound by particular protein modules ('readers').

Constitutive heterochromatin: heterochromatin that is consistently formed though the cell cycle and in many cell types in most eukaryotes (example centromere associated heterochromatin).

SET domain: conserved protein modules that exhibit methyltransferase activity which adds methyl groups to the ε -amine groups of lysine residues in histones (example: Suv39).

chromo-domain CD: conserved protein module (example: HP1-related proteins) that can bind methylated lysine residues on histones such as H3K9me, H3K27me.

chromoshadow domain CSD: dimerization domain within HP1-related proteins that forms a peptide-binding groove at the dimer interface that can recruit additional heterochromatin proteins.

facultative heterochromatin: locus and cell type specific heterochromatin (example inactive X chromosome)

Argonaute: PAZ and PIWI domain proteins that are loaded with small RNAs which guide them, and associated proteins, to long RNAs bearing homology to the small RNA.

X chromosome inactivation: the process of dosage compensation in female mammals where one of the two X chromosome is inactivated by facultative heterochromatin formation.

XIST: long non-coding RNA that designates the copy of the X chromosome from which it is expressed for silencing in mammals.

piRNAs: small RNAs associated with Piwi members of Argonaute protein superfamily, which promote transposable element repression in animal gonadal tissues.

copia-type retrotransposon: a widespread transposable element family that mediates their own replication and insertion at new sites in genomes.

R-loops: nascent RNA that remains associated with its template through hybridization thereby dislodging the opposite non-templating DNA strand.

Heterochromatin islands: extensive domains of heterochromatin on chromosome arms that are distinct from the main centromeric and telomeric heterochromatin domains.

Pericentromeric heterochromatin: large blocks of heterochromatin formed on tandem repeat arrays that surround the centromere-kinetochore region.

Reprogramming resistant regions: similar to differentially bound regions, large lineage specific chromosomal regions assembled in heterochromatin and resistant to reprogramming factor binding.

Endogenous retroelements ERE: full length mobile elements that replicate and insert elsewhere in genomes; also includes immobile degenerate ERE fragments.

REFERENCES

- 1 Deng, X. *et al.* Sgf73, a subunit of SAGA complex, is required for the assembly of RITS complex in fission yeast. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 14707 (2015).
- 2 Rea, S. *et al.* Regulation of chromatin structure by site-specific histone H3 methyltransferases. *Nature* **406**, 593-599 (2000).
- 3 Tschiersch, B. *et al.* The protein encoded by the Drosophila position-effect variegation suppressor gene Su(var)3-9 combines domains of antagonistic regulators of homeotic gene complexes. *EMBO J.* **13**, 3822 (1994).
- 4 Eissenberg, J. C. *et al.* Mutation in a heterochromatin-specific chromosomal protein is associated with suppression of position-effect variegation in Drosophila melanogaster. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **87**, 9923-9927 (1990).
- 5 Lachner, M., O'Carroll, D., Rea, S., Mechtler, K. & Jenuwein, T. Methylation of histone H3 lysine 9 creates a binding site for HP1 proteins. *Nature* **410**, 116-120 (2001).
- 6 Bannister, A. J. *et al.* Selective recognition of methylated lysine 9 on histone H3 by the HP1 chromo domain. *Nature* **410**, 120-124 (2001).
- 7 Lorentz, A., Ostermann, K., Fleck, O. & Schmidt, H. Switching gene swi6, involved in repression of silent mating-type loci in fission yeast, encodes a homologue of chromatin-associated proteins from Drosophila and mammals. *Gene* **143**, 139-143 (1994).
- 8 Allshire, R. C., Nimmo, E. R., Ekwall, K., Javerzat, J. P. & Cranston, G. Mutations derepressing silent centromeric domains in fission yeast disrupt chromosome segregation. *Genes Dev.* **9**, 218-233 (1995).
- 9 Thon, G. & Verhein-Hansen, J. Four chromo-domain proteins of Schizosaccharomyces pombe differentially repress transcription at various chromosomal locations. *Genetics* **155**, 551-568 (2000).
- 10 Klar, A. J. & Bonaduce, M. J. swi6, a gene required for mating-type switching, prohibits meiotic recombination in the mat2-mat3 "cold spot" of fission yeast. *Genetics* **129**, 1033-1042 (1991).
- 11 Lorentz, A., Heim, L. & Schmidt, H. The switching gene swi6 affects recombination and gene expression in the mating-type region of Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Mol.* & *Gen. Genet.* **233**, 436-442 (1992).
- 12 Ekwall, K. & Ruusala, T. Mutations in rik1, clr2, clr3 and clr4 genes asymmetrically derepress the silent mating-type loci in fission yeast. *Genetics* **136**, 53-64 (1994).
- 13 Thon, G., Cohen, A. & Klar, A. J. Three additional linkage groups that repress transcription and meiotic recombination in the mating-type region of Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Genetics* **138**, 29-38 (1994).
- 14 Tamaru, H. & Selker, E. U. A histone H3 methyltransferase controls DNA methylation in Neurospora crassa. *Nature* **414**, 277-283 (2001).
- 15 Jackson, J. P., Lindroth, A. M., Cao, X. & Jacobsen, S. E. Control of CpNpG DNA methylation by the KRYPTONITE histone H3 methyltransferase. *Nature* **416**, 556-560 (2002).
- 16 Lehnertz, B. *et al.* Suv39h-mediated histone H3 lysine 9 methylation directs DNA methylation to major satellite repeats at pericentric heterochromatin. *Curr. Biol.* **13**, 1192-1200 (2003).
- 17 Hashimshony, T., Zhang, J., Keshet, I., Bustin, M. & Cedar, H. The role of DNA methylation in setting up chromatin structure during development. *Nat. Genet.* **34**, 187-192 (2003).
- 18 Kueng, S., Oppikofer, M. & Gasser, S. M. SIR proteins and the assembly of silent chromatin in budding yeast. *Ann. Rev. Genet.* **47**, 275-306 (2013).
- 19 Rusche, L. N., Kirchmaier, A. L. & Rine, J. The establishment, inheritance, and function of silenced chromatin in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Ann. Rev. Biochem.* **72**, 481-516 (2003).

- 20 Armache, K. J., Garlick, J. D., Canzio, D., Narlikar, G. J. & Kingston, R. E. Structural basis of silencing: Sir3 BAH domain in complex with a nucleosome at 3.0 A resolution. *Science* **334**, 977-982 (2011).
- 21 Hanson, S. J. & Wolfe, K. H. An Evolutionary Perspective on Yeast Mating-Type Switching. *Genetics* **206**, 9-32 (2017).
- 22 Ekwall, K. *et al.* Mutations in the fission yeast silencing factors clr4⁺ and rik1⁺ disrupt the localisation of the chromo domain protein Swi6p and impair centromere function. *J. Cell Sci.* **109**, 2637-2648 (1996).
- 23 Maison, C. *et al.* Higher-order structure in pericentric heterochromatin involves a distinct pattern of histone modification and an RNA component. *Nat. Genet.* **30**, 329-334 (2002).
- 24 Sadaie, M., Iida, T., Urano, T. & Nakayama, J. A chromodomain protein, Chp1, is required for the establishment of heterochromatin in fission yeast. *EMBO J.* **23**, 3825-3835 (2004).
- 25 Brasher, S. V. *et al.* The structure of mouse HP1 suggests a unique mode of single peptide recognition by the shadow chromo domain dimer. *EMBO J.* **19**, 1587-1597 (2000).
- 26 Cowieson, N. P., Partridge, J. F., Allshire, R. C. & McLaughlin, P. J. Dimerisation of a chromo shadow domain and distinctions from the chromodomain as revealed by structural analysis. *Curr. Biol.* **10**, 517-525 (2000).
- 27 Motamedi, M. R. *et al.* HP1 proteins form distinct complexes and mediate heterochromatic gene silencing by nonoverlapping mechanisms. *Mol. Cell* **32**, 778-790 (2008).
- 28 Fischer, T. *et al.* Diverse roles of HP1 proteins in heterochromatin assembly and functions in fission yeast. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 8998-9003 (2009).
- 29 Garcia, J. F., Dumesic, P. A., Hartley, P. D., El-Samad, H. & Madhani, H. D. Combinatorial, site-specific requirement for heterochromatic silencing factors in the elimination of nucleosome-free regions. *Genes Dev.* **24**, 1758-1771 (2010).
- 30 Creamer, K. M. *et al.* The Mi-2 homolog Mit1 actively positions nucleosomes within heterochromatin to suppress transcription. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **34**, 2046-2061 (2014).
- 31 Canzio, D. *et al.* A conformational switch in HP1 releases auto-inhibition to drive heterochromatin assembly. *Nature* **496**, 377-381 (2013).
- 32 Cao, R. *et al.* Role of Histone H3 Lysine 27 Methylation in Polycomb-Group Silencing. *Science* **298**, 1039-1043 (2002).
- 33 Müller, J. *et al.* Histone Methyltransferase Activity of a Drosophila Polycomb Group Repressor Complex. *Cell* **111**, 197-208 (2002).
- 34 Czermin, B. *et al.* Drosophila enhancer of Zeste/ESC complexes have a histone H3 methyltransferase activity that marks chromosomal Polycomb sites. *Cell* **111**, 185-196 (2002).
- 35 Kuzmichev, A., Nishioka, K., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Tempst, P. & Reinberg, D. Histone methyltransferase activity associated with a human multiprotein complex containing the Enhancer of Zeste protein. *Genes Dev.* **16**, 2893-2905 (2002).
- 36 Fischle, W. *et al.* Molecular basis for the discrimination of repressive methyl-lysine marks in histone H3 by Polycomb and HP1 chromodomains. *Genes Dev.* **17**, 1870-1881 (2003).
- 37 Margueron, R. *et al.* Role of the polycomb protein EED in the propagation of repressive histone marks. *Nature* **461**, 762-767 (2009).
- 38 Volpe, T. A. *et al.* Regulation of heterochromatic silencing and histone H3 lysine-9 methylation by RNAi. *Science* **297**, 1833-1837 (2002).
- 39 Kloc, A., Zaratiegui, M., Nora, E. & Martienssen, R. RNA interference guides histone modification during the S phase of chromosomal replication. *Curr. Biol.* **18**, 490-495 (2008).
- 40 Chen, E. S. *et al.* Cell cycle control of centromeric repeat transcription and heterochromatin assembly. *Nature* **451**, 734-737 (2008).

- 41 Lu, J. & Gilbert, D. M. Proliferation-dependent and cell cycle regulated transcription of mouse pericentric heterochromatin. *J. Cell Biol.* **179**, 411-421 (2007).
- 42 Reinhart, B. & Bartel, D. P. Small RNAs correspond to centromere heterochromatic repeats. *Science* **13**, 1831 (2002).
- 43 Motamedi, M. R. *et al.* Two RNAi complexes, RITS and RDRC, physically interact and localize to noncoding centromeric RNAs. *Cell* **119**, 789-802 (2004).
- 44 Bayne, E. H. *et al.* Stc1: a critical link between RNAi and chromatin modification required for heterochromatin integrity. *Cell* **140**, 666-677 (2010).
- 45 Zhang, K., Mosch, K., Fischle, W. & Grewal, S. I. Roles of the Clr4 methyltransferase complex in nucleation, spreading and maintenance of heterochromatin. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **15**, 381-388 (2008).
- 46 Verdel, A. *et al.* RNAi-mediated targeting of heterochromatin by the RITS complex. *Science* **303**, 672-676 (2004).
- 47 Buhler, M., Verdel, A. & Moazed, D. Tethering RITS to a nascent transcript initiates RNAi- and heterochromatin-dependent gene silencing. *Cell* **125**, 873-886 (2006).
- 48 Gerace, E. L., Halic, M. & Moazed, D. The methyltransferase activity of Clr4Suv39h triggers RNAi independently of histone H3K9 methylation. *Mol. Cell* **39**, 360-372 (2010).
- 49 Jain, R., Iglesias, N. & Moazed, D. Distinct Functions of Argonaute Slicer in siRNA Maturation and Heterochromatin Formation. *Mol. Cell* **63**, 191-205 (2016).
- 50 Colmenares, S. U., Buker, S. M., Buhler, M., Dlakic, M. & Moazed, D. Coupling of double-stranded RNA synthesis and siRNA generation in fission yeast RNAi. *Mol. Cell* **27**, 449-461 (2007).
- 51 Kato, H. *et al.* RNA polymerase II is required for RNAi-dependent heterochromatin assembly. *Science* **309**, 467-469 (2005).
- 52 Djupedal, I. *et al.* RNA Pol II subunit Rpb7 promotes centromeric transcription and RNAi-directed chromatin silencing. *Genes Dev.* **19**, 2301-2306 (2005).
- 53 Motamedi, M. R. *et al.* Two RNAi complexes, RITS and RDRC, physically interact and localize to noncoding centromeric RNAs. *Cell* **119**, 789-802 (2004).
- 54 Hong, E. J., Villen, J., Gerace, E. L., Gygi, S. P. & Moazed, D. A cullin E3 ubiquitin ligase complex associates with Rik1 and the Clr4 histone H3-K9 methyltransferase and is required for RNAi-mediated heterochromatin formation. *RNA Biol.* **2**, 106-111 (2005).
- 55 Horn, P. J., Bastie, J. N. & Peterson, C. L. A Rik1-associated, cullin-dependent E3 ubiquitin ligase is essential for heterochromatin formation. *Genes Dev.* **19**, 1705-1714 (2005).
- 56 Jia, S., Kobayashi, R. & Grewal, S. I. Ubiquitin ligase component Cul4 associates with Clr4 histone methyltransferase to assemble heterochromatin. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **7**, 1007-1013 (2005).
- 57 Sugiyama, T., Cam, H., Verdel, A., Moazed, D. & Grewal, S. I. RNA-dependent RNA polymerase is an essential component of a self-enforcing loop coupling heterochromatin assembly to siRNA production. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 152-157 (2005).
- 58 Borgstrøm, B. & Allshire, R. Distinct protein interaction domains and protein spreading in a complex centromere. *Genes Dev.* (2000).
- 59 Cam, H. P. *et al.* Comprehensive analysis of heterochromatin- and RNAi-mediated epigenetic control of the fission yeast genome. *Nat. Genet.* **37**, 809-819 (2005).
- 60 Rougemaille, M. *et al.* Ers1 links HP1 to RNAi. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 11258-11263 (2012).
- 61 Hayashi, A. *et al.* Heterochromatin protein 1 homologue Swi6 acts in concert with Ers1 to regulate RNAi-directed heterochromatin assembly. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 6159-6164 (2012).
- 62 Rougemaille, M., Shankar, S., Braun, S., Rowley, M. & Madhani, H. D. Ers1, a rapidly diverging protein essential for RNA interference-dependent heterochromatic silencing in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 25770-25773 (2008).

- 63 Wierzbicki, A. T., Ream, T. S., Haag, J. R. & Pikaard, C. S. RNA polymerase V transcription guides ARGONAUTE4 to chromatin. *Nat. Genet.* **41**, 630-634 (2009).
- 64 Zhong, X. *et al.* Molecular mechanism of action of plant DRM de novo DNA methyltransferases. *Cell* **157**, 1050-1060 (2014).
- Liu, Ž. W. *et al.* The SET domain proteins SUVH2 and SUVH9 are required for Pol V occupancy at RNA-directed DNA methylation loci. *PLoS Genet.* **10**, e1003948 (2014).
- 66 Herr, A. J., Jensen, M. B., Dalmay, T. & Baulcombe, D. C. RNA polymerase IV directs silencing of endogenous DNA. *Science* **308**, 118-120 (2005).
- 67 Blevins, T. *et al.* Identification of Pol IV and RDR2-dependent precursors of 24 nt siRNAs guiding de novo DNA methylation in Arabidopsis. *Elife* **4**, e09591 (2015).
- 68 Zhai, J. *et al.* A One Precursor One siRNA Model for Pol IV-Dependent siRNA Biogenesis. *Cell* **163**, 445-455 (2015).
- 69 Zhang, H. *et al.* DTF1 is a core component of RNA-directed DNA methylation and may assist in the recruitment of Pol IV. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 8290-8295 (2013).
- 70 Law, J. A. *et al.* Polymerase IV occupancy at RNA-directed DNA methylation sites requires SHH1. *Nature* **498**, 385-389 (2013).
- 71 Reyes-Turcu, F. E., Zhang, K., Zofall, M., Chen, E. & Grewal, S. I. Defects in RNA quality control factors reveal RNAi-independent nucleation of heterochromatin. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **18**, 1132-1138 (2011).
- 72 Wittmann, S. *et al.* The conserved protein Seb1 drives transcription termination by binding RNA polymerase II and nascent RNA. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14861 (2017).
- 73 Lemay, J. F. *et al.* The Nrd1-like protein Seb1 coordinates cotranscriptional 3' end processing and polyadenylation site selection. *Genes Dev.* **30**, 1558-1572 (2016).
- 74 Sugiyama, T. *et al.* SHREC, an effector complex for heterochromatic transcriptional silencing. *Cell* **128**, 491-504 (2007).
- 75 Marina, D. B., Shankar, S., Natarajan, P., Finn, K. J. & Madhani, H. D. A conserved ncRNA-binding protein recruits silencing factors to heterochromatin through an RNAiindependent mechanism. *Genes Dev.* **27**, 1851-1856 (2013).
- 76 Shirai, A. *et al.* Impact of nucleic acid and methylated H3K9 binding activities of Suv39h1 on its heterochromatin assembly. *Elife* **6** (2017).
- Johnson, W. L. *et al.* RNA-dependent stabilization of SUV39H1 at constitutive heterochromatin. *Elife* **6** (2017).
- 78 Velazquez Camacho, O. *et al.* Major satellite repeat RNA stabilize heterochromatin retention of Suv39h enzymes by RNA-nucleosome association and RNA:DNA hybrid formation. *Elife* **6** (2017).
- 79 Chu, C. *et al.* Systematic discovery of Xist RNA binding proteins. *Cell* **161**, 404-416 (2015).
- 80 Minajigi, A. *et al.* Chromosomes. A comprehensive Xist interactome reveals cohesin repulsion and an RNA-directed chromosome conformation. *Science* **349** (2015).
- 81 Monfort, A. *et al.* Identification of Spen as a Crucial Factor for Xist Function through Forward Genetic Screening in Haploid Embryonic Stem Cells. *Cell Rep.* **12**, 554-561 (2015).
- 82 Moindrot, B. *et al.* A Pooled shRNA Screen Identifies Rbm15, Spen, and Wtap as Factors Required for Xist RNA-Mediated Silencing. *Cell Rep.* **12**, 562-572 (2015).
- 83 McHugh, C. A. *et al.* The Xist IncRNA interacts directly with SHARP to silence transcription through HDAC3. *Nature* **521**, 232-236 (2015).
- 84 Mira-Bontenbal, H. & Gribnau, J. New Xist-Interacting Proteins in X-Chromosome Inactivation. *Curr. Biol.* **26**, R338-342 (2016).
- Folco, H. D., Pidoux, A. L., Urano, T. & Allshire, R. C. Heterochromatin and RNAi are required to establish CENP-A chromatin at centromeres. *Science* **319**, 94-97 (2008).
- 86 Buscaino, A. *et al.* Distinct roles for Sir2 and RNAi in centromeric heterochromatin nucleation, spreading and maintenance. *EMBO J* **32**, 1250-1264 (2013).

- 87 Ekwall, K., Olsson, T., Turner, B. M., Cranston, G. & Allshire, R. C. Transient inhibition of histone deacetylation alters the structural and functional imprint at fission yeast centromeres. *Cell* **91**, 1021-1032 (1997).
- 88 Hall, I. M. *et al.* Establishment and maintenance of a heterochromatin domain. *Science* **297**, 2232-2237 (2002).
- 89 Djupedal, I. *et al.* Analysis of small RNA in fission yeast; centromeric siRNAs are potentially generated through a structured RNA. *EMBO J.* **28**, 3832-3844 (2009).
- 90 Halic, M. & Moazed, D. Dicer-independent primal RNAs trigger RNAi and heterochromatin formation. *Cell* **140**, 504-516 (2010).
- 91 Simmer, F. *et al.* Hairpin RNA induces secondary small interfering RNA synthesis and silencing in trans in fission yeast. *EMBO Rep.* **11**, 112-118 (2010).
- 92 lida, T., Nakayama, J. & Moazed, D. siRNA-mediated heterochromatin establishment requires HP1 and is associated with antisense transcription. *Mol. Cell* **31**, 178-189 (2008).
- 93 Sadeghi, L., Prasad, P., Ekwall, K., Cohen, A. & Svensson, J. P. The Paf1 complex factors Leo1 and Paf1 promote local histone turnover to modulate chromatin states in fission yeast. *EMBO Rep.* **16**, 1673-1687 (2015).
- 94 Kowalik, K. M. *et al.* The Paf1 complex represses small-RNA-mediated epigenetic gene silencing. *Nature* **520**, 248-252 (2015).
- 95 Verrier, L. *et al.* Global regulation of heterochromatin spreading by Leo1. *Open Biol.* **5** (2015).
- 96 Yu, R., Jih, G., Iglesias, N. & Moazed, D. Determinants of heterochromatic siRNA biogenesis and function. *Mol. Cell* **53**, 262-276 (2014).
- 97 Wang, J. *et al.* The proper connection between shelterin components is required for telomeric heterochromatin assembly. *Genes Dev.* **30**, 827-839 (2016).
- 98 Kanoh, J., Sadaie, M., Urano, T. & Ishikawa, F. Telomere binding protein Taz1 establishes Swi6 heterochromatin independently of RNAi at telomeres. *Curr. Biol.* **15**, 1808-1819 (2005).
- 99 Hansen, K. R., Ibarra, P. T. & Thon, G. Evolutionary-conserved telomere-linked helicase genes of fission yeast are repressed by silencing factors, RNAi components and the telomere-binding protein Taz1. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**, 78-88 (2006).
- 100 Weick, E. M. & Miska, E. A. piRNAs: from biogenesis to function. *Development* **141**, 3458-3471 (2014).
- 101 Das, P. P. *et al.* Piwi and piRNAs act upstream of an endogenous siRNA pathway to suppress Tc3 transposon mobility in the Caenorhabditis elegans germline. *Mol. Cell* **31**, 79-90 (2008).
- 102 Gu, T. & Elgin, S. C. Maternal depletion of Piwi, a component of the RNAi system, impacts heterochromatin formation in Drosophila. *PLoS Genet.* **9**, e1003780 (2013).
- 103 Blevins, T. *et al.* A two-step process for epigenetic inheritance in Arabidopsis. *Mol. Cell* **54**, 30-42 (2014).
- 104 Wutz, A. & Jaenisch, R. A shift from reversible to irreversible X inactivation is triggered during ES cell differentiation. *Mol. Cell* **5**, 695-705 (2000).
- 105 Csankovszki, G., Nagy, A. & Jaenisch, R. Synergism of Xist RNA, DNA methylation, and histone hypoacetylation in maintaining X chromosome inactivation. *J. Cell Biol.* **153**, 773-784 (2001).
- 106 Elgin, S. C. & Reuter, G. Position-effect variegation, heterochromatin formation, and gene silencing in Drosophila. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **5**, a017780 (2013).
- 107 Spofford, J. B. Parental Control of Position-Effect Variegation: I. Parental Heterochromatin and Expression of the White Locus in Compound-X Drosophila Melanogaster. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **45**, 1003-1007 (1959).
- 108 Tartof, K. D., Hobbs, C. & Jones, M. A structural basis for variegating position effects. *Cell* **37**, 869-878 (1984).
- 109 Talbert, P. B. & Henikoff, S. A reexamination of spreading of position-effect variegation in the white-roughest region of Drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics* **154**, 259-272 (2000).

- 110 Hecht, A., Strahl-Bolsinger, S. & Grunstein, M. Spreading of transcriptional repressor SIR3 from telomeric heterochromatin. *Nature* **383**, 92-96 (1996).
- 111 Hecht, A., Laroche, T., Strahl-Bolsinger, S., Gasser, S. M. & Grunstein, M. Histone H3 and H4 N-termini interact with SIR3 and SIR4 proteins: a molecular model for the formation of heterochromatin in yeast. *Cell* **80**, 583-592 (1995).
- 112 Renauld, H. *et al.* Silent domains are assembled continuously from the telomere and are defined by promoter distance and strength, and by SIR3 dosage. *Genes Dev.* **7**, 1133-1145 (1993).
- 113 Al-Sady, B., Madhani, H. D. & Narlikar, G. J. Division of labor between the chromodomains of HP1 and Suv39 methylase enables coordination of heterochromatin spread. *Mol. Cell* **51**, 80-91 (2013).
- 114 Yamada, T., Fischle, W., Sugiyama, T., Allis, C. D. & Grewal, S. I. The nucleation and maintenance of heterochromatin by a histone deacetylase in fission yeast. *Mol. Cell* **20**, 173-185 (2005).
- 115 Obersriebnig, M. J., Pallesen, E. M., Sneppen, K., Trusina, A. & Thon, G. Nucleation and spreading of a heterochromatic domain in fission yeast. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11518 (2016).
- 116 Erdel, F. & Greene, E. C. Generalized nucleation and looping model for epigenetic memory of histone modifications. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci USA* **113**, E4180-4189 (2016).
- 117 Larson, A. G. *et al.* Liquid droplet formation by HP1alpha suggests a role for phase separation in heterochromatin. *Nature* **547**, 236-240 (2017).
- 118 Strom, A. R. *et al.* Phase separation drives heterochromatin domain formation. *Nature* **547**, 241-245 (2017).
- 119 Simon, M. D. *et al.* High-resolution Xist binding maps reveal two-step spreading during X-chromosome inactivation. *Nature* **504**, 465-469 (2013).
- 120 Engreitz, J. M. *et al.* The Xist IncRNA exploits three-dimensional genome architecture to spread across the X chromosome. *Science* **341**, 1237973 (2013).
- 121 White, W. M., Willard, H. F., Van Dyke, D. L. & Wolff, D. J. The spreading of X inactivation into autosomal material of an x;autosome translocation: evidence for a difference between autosomal and X-chromosomal DNA. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **63**, 20-28 (1998).
- 122 Popova, B. C., Tada, T., Takagi, N., Brockdorff, N. & Nesterova, T. B. Attenuated spread of X-inactivation in an X;autosome translocation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 7706-7711 (2006).
- 123 Bala Tannan, N. *et al.* DNA methylation profiling in X;autosome translocations supports a role for L1 repeats in the spread of X chromosome inactivation. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **23**, 1224-1236 (2014).
- 124 Wutz, A., Rasmussen, T. P. & Jaenisch, R. Chromosomal silencing and localization are mediated by different domains of Xist RNA. *Nat. Genet.* **30**, 167-174 (2002).
- 125 Lee, J. T. & Jaenisch, R. Long-range cis effects of ectopic X-inactivation centres on a mouse autosome. *Nature* **386**, 275-279 (1997).
- 126 Herzing, L. B., Romer, J. T., Horn, J. M. & Ashworth, A. Xist has properties of the Xchromosome inactivation centre. *Nature* **386**, 272-275 (1997).
- 127 da Rocha, S. T. & Heard, E. Novel players in X inactivation: insights into Xistmediated gene silencing and chromosome conformation. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **24**, 197-204 (2017).
- 128 Jiang, J. *et al.* Translating dosage compensation to trisomy 21. *Nature* **500**, 296-300 (2013).
- 129 Raab, J. R. *et al.* Human tRNA genes function as chromatin insulators. *EMBO J.* **31**, 330-350 (2012).
- 130 Donze, D., Adams, C. R., Rine, J. & Kamakaka, R. T. The boundaries of the silenced HMR domain in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genes Dev.* **13**, 698-708 (1999).
- 131 Scott, K. C., Merrett, S. L. & Willard, H. F. A heterochromatin barrier partitions the fission yeast centromere into discrete chromatin domains. *Curr. Biol.* **16**, 119-129 (2006).

- 132 Noma, K., Cam, H. P., Maraia, R. J. & Grewal, S. I. A role for TFIIIC transcription factor complex in genome organization. *Cell* **125**, 859-872 (2006).
- 133 Coveney, J. & Woodland, H. R. The DNase I sensitivity of Xenopus laevis genes transcribed by RNA polymerase III. *Nature* **298**, 578-580 (1982).
- 134 DeLotto, R. & Schedl, P. Internal promoter elements of transfer RNA genes are preferentially exposed in chromatin. *J. Mol. Biol.* **179**, 607-628 (1984).
- 135 Takahashi, K. *et al.* A low copy number central sequence with strict symmetry and unusual chromatin structure in fission yeast centromere. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **3**, 819-835 (1992).
- 136 Aygun, O., Mehta, S. & Grewal, S. I. HDAC-mediated suppression of histone turnover promotes epigenetic stability of heterochromatin. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **20**, 547-554 (2013).
- 137 Hartley, P. D. & Madhani, H. D. Mechanisms that specify promoter nucleosome location and identity. *Cell* **137**, 445-458 (2009).
- 138 Raisner, R. M. *et al.* Histone variant H2A.Z marks the 5' ends of both active and inactive genes in euchromatin. *Cell* **123**, 233-248 (2005).
- 139 Meneghini, M. D., Wu, M. & Madhani, H. D. Conserved histone variant H2A.Z protects euchromatin from the ectopic spread of silent heterochromatin. *Cell* **112**, 725-736 (2003).
- 140 Venkatasubrahmanyam, S., Hwang, W. W., Meneghini, M. D., Tong, A. H. & Madhani, H. D. Genome-wide, as opposed to local, antisilencing is mediated redundantly by the euchromatic factors Set1 and H2A.Z. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U A* **104**, 16609-16614 (2007).
- 141 Tompa, R. & Madhani, H. D. Histone H3 lysine 36 methylation antagonizes silencing in Saccharomyces cerevisiae independently of the Rpd3S histone deacetylase complex. *Genetics* **175**, 585-593 (2007).
- 142 Santos-Rosa, H., Bannister, A. J., Dehe, P. M., Geli, V. & Kouzarides, T. Methylation of H3 lysine 4 at euchromatin promotes Sir3p association with heterochromatin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 47506-47512 (2004).
- 143 van Leeuwen, F., Gafken, P. R. & Gottschling, D. E. Dot1p modulates silencing in yeast by methylation of the nucleosome core. *Cell* **109**, 745-756 (2002).
- 144 Verzijlbergen, K. F., Faber, A. W., Stulemeijer, I. J. & van Leeuwen, F. Multiple histone modifications in euchromatin promote heterochromatin formation by redundant mechanisms in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *BMC Mol. Biol.* **10**, 76 (2009).
- 145 Li, X. *et al.* Chromatin boundaries require functional collaboration between the hSET1 and NURF complexes. *Blood* **118**, 1386-1394 (2011).
- 146 Ayoub, N. *et al.* A novel jmjC domain protein modulates heterochromatization in fission yeast. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **23**, 4356-4370 (2003).
- 147 Trewick, S. C., McLaughlin, P. J. & Allshire, R. C. Methylation: lost in hydroxylation? *EMBO Rep.* **6**, 315-320 (2005).
- 148 Zofall, M. & Grewal, S. I. Swi6/HP1 recruits a JmjC domain protein to facilitate transcription of heterochromatic repeats. *Mol. Cell* **22**, 681-692 (2006).
- 149 Trewick, S. C., Minc, E., Antonelli, R., Urano, T. & Allshire, R. C. The JmjC domain protein Epe1 prevents unregulated assembly and disassembly of heterochromatin. *EMBO J.* **26**, 4670-4682 (2007).
- Braun, S. *et al.* The Cul4-Ddb^{Cd2} ubiquitin ligase inhibits invasion of a boundaryassociated antisilencing factor into heterochromatin. *Cell* **144**, 41-54 (2011).
- 151 Garcia, J. F., Al-Sady, B. & Madhani, H. D. Intrinsic Toxicity of Unchecked Heterochromatin Spread Is Suppressed by Redundant Chromatin Boundary Functions in Schizosacchromyces pombe. *G3* **5**, 1453-1461 (2015).
- 152 Wang, J., Reddy, B. D. & Jia, S. Rapid epigenetic adaptation to uncontrolled heterochromatin spreading. *Elife* **4** (2015).
- 153 Lee, N. N. *et al.* Mtr4-like protein coordinates nuclear RNA processing for heterochromatin assembly and for telomere maintenance. *Cell* **155**, 1061-1074 (2013).

- 154 Zofall, M. *et al.* RNA elimination machinery targeting meiotic mRNAs promotes facultative heterochromatin formation. *Science* **335**, 96-100 (2012).
- 155 Yamanaka, S. *et al.* RNAi triggered by specialized machinery silences developmental genes and retrotransposons. *Nature* **493**, 557-560 (2013).
- 156 Joh, R. I. *et al.* Survival in Quiescence Requires the Euchromatic Deployment of Clr4/SUV39H by Argonaute-Associated Small RNAs. *Mol. Cell* **64**, 1088-1101 (2016).
- 157 Dumesic, P. A. *et al.* Product binding enforces the genomic specificity of a yeast polycomb repressive complex. *Cell* **160**, 204-218 (2015).
- 158 Alabert, C. & Groth, A. Chromatin replication and epigenome maintenance. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **13**, 153-167 (2012).
- 159 Jones, P. A. & Liang, G. Rethinking how DNA methylation patterns are maintained. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **10**, 805-811 (2009).
- 160 Freitag, M., Hickey, P. C., Khlafallah, T. K., Read, N. D. & Selker, E. U. HP1 is essential for DNA methylation in Neurospora. *Mol. Cell* **13**, 427-434 (2004).
- 161 Du, J., Johnson, L. M., Jacobsen, S. E. & Patel, D. J. DNA methylation pathways and their crosstalk with histone methylation. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **16**, 519-532 (2015).
- 162 Wang, X. & Moazed, D. DNA sequence-dependent epigenetic inheritance of gene silencing and histone H3K9 methylation. *Science* **356**, 88-91 (2017).
- 163 Kagansky, A. *et al.* Synthetic heterochromatin bypasses RNAi and centromeric repeats to establish functional centromeres. *Science* **324**, 1716-1719 (2009).
- 164 Audergon, P. N. *et al.* Epigenetics. Restricted epigenetic inheritance of H3K9 methylation. *Science* **348**, 132-135 (2015).
- 165 Ragunathan, K., Jih, G. & Moazed, D. Epigenetics. Epigenetic inheritance uncoupled from sequence-specific recruitment. *Science* **348**, 1258699 (2015).
- 166 Bintu, L. *et al.* Dynamics of epigenetic regulation at the single-cell level. *Science* **351**, 720-724 (2016).
- 167 Amabile, A. *et al.* Inheritable Silencing of Endogenous Genes by Hit-and-Run Targeted Epigenetic Editing. *Cell* **167**, 219-232 e214 (2016).
- 168 Hathaway, N. A. *et al.* Dynamics and memory of heterochromatin in living cells. *Cell* **149**, 1447-1460 (2012).
- 169 Berry, S., Hartley, M., Olsson, T. S., Dean, C. & Howard, M. Local chromatin environment of a Polycomb target gene instructs its own epigenetic inheritance. *Elife* **4** (2015).
- 170 Laprell, F., Finkl, K. & Muller, J. Propagation of Polycomb-repressed chromatin requires sequence-specific recruitment to DNA. *Science* **356**, 85-88 (2017).
- 171 Coleman, R. T. & Struhl, G. Causal role for inheritance of H3K27me3 in maintaining the OFF state of a Drosophila HOX gene. *Science* **356** (2017).
- 172 Grewal, S. I. & Klar, A. J. Chromosomal inheritance of epigenetic states in fission yeast during mitosis and meiosis. *Cell* **86**, 95-101 (1996).
- 173 Jia, S., Noma, K. & Grewal, S. I. RNAi-independent heterochromatin nucleation by the stress-activated ATF/CREB family proteins. *Science* **304**, 1971-1976 (2004).
- 174 Taneja, N. *et al.* SNF2 Family Protein Fft3 Suppresses Nucleosome Turnover to Promote Epigenetic Inheritance and Proper Replication. *Mol. Cell* **66**, 50-62 e56 (2017).
- Steglich, B. *et al.* The Fun30 chromatin remodeler Fft3 controls nuclear organization and chromatin structure of insulators and subtelomeres in fission yeast. *PLoS Genet.* 11, e1005101 (2015).
- 176 Stralfors, A., Walfridsson, J., Bhuiyan, H. & Ekwall, K. The FUN30 chromatin remodeler, Fft3, protects centromeric and subtelomeric domains from euchromatin formation. *PLoS Genet.* **7**, e1001334 (2011).
- 177 Mari-Ordonez, A. *et al.* Reconstructing de novo silencing of an active plant retrotransposon. *Nat. Genet.* **45**, 1029-1039 (2013).
- 178 Lanciano, S. *et al.* Sequencing the extrachromosomal circular mobilome reveals retrotransposon activity in plants. *PLoS Genet.* **13**, e1006630 (2017).

- 179 Hickey, D. A. Selfish DNA: a sexually-transmitted nuclear parasite. *Genetics* **101**, 519-531 (1982).
- 180 Zeller, P. *et al.* Histone H3K9 methylation is dispensable for Caenorhabditis elegans development but suppresses RNA:DNA hybrid-associated repeat instability. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1385-1395 (2016).
- 181 Klattenhoff, C. *et al.* The Drosophila HP1 homolog Rhino is required for transposon silencing and piRNA production by dual-strand clusters. *Cell* **138**, 1137-1149 (2009).
- 182 Sienski, G., Donertas, D. & Brennecke, J. Transcriptional silencing of transposons by Piwi and maelstrom and its impact on chromatin state and gene expression. *Cell* 151, 964-980 (2012).
- 183 Brennecke, J. *et al.* Discrete small RNA-generating loci as master regulators of transposon activity in Drosophila. *Cell* **128**, 1089-1103 (2007).
- 184 Aravin, A. A. *et al.* A piRNA pathway primed by individual transposons is linked to de novo DNA methylation in mice. *Mol. Cell* **31**, 785-799 (2008).
- 185 Kojima-Kita, K. *et al.* MIWI2 as an Effector of DNA Methylation and Gene Silencing in Embryonic Male Germ Cells. *Cell Rep.* **16**, 2819-2828 (2016).
- 186 Kuramochi-Miyagawa, S. *et al.* DNA methylation of retrotransposon genes is regulated by Piwi family members MILI and MIWI2 in murine fetal testes. *Genes Dev.* 22, 908-917 (2008).
- 187 Peng, J. C. & Karpen, G. H. H3K9 methylation and RNA interference regulate nucleolar organization and repeated DNA stability. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **9**, 25-35 (2007).
- 188 Chiolo, I. *et al.* Double-strand breaks in heterochromatin move outside of a dynamic HP1a domain to complete recombinational repair. *Cell* **144**, 732-744 (2011).
- 189 Jakob, B. *et al.* DNA double-strand breaks in heterochromatin elicit fast repair protein recruitment, histone H2AX phosphorylation and relocation to euchromatin. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39**, 6489-6499 (2011).
- 190 Ryu, T. *et al.* Heterochromatic breaks move to the nuclear periphery to continue recombinational repair. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **17**, 1401-1411 (2015).
- 191 Tsouroula, K. *et al.* Temporal and Spatial Uncoupling of DNA Double Strand Break Repair Pathways within Mammalian Heterochromatin. *Mol. Cell* **63**, 293-305 (2016).
- 192 Janssen, A. *et al.* A single double-strand break system reveals repair dynamics and mechanisms in heterochromatin and euchromatin. *Genes Dev.* **30**, 1645-1657 (2016).
- 193 McKinley, K. L. & Cheeseman, I. M. The molecular basis for centromere identity and function. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **17**, 16-29 (2016).
- 194 Sullivan, L. L., Maloney, K. A., Towers, A. J., Gregory, S. G. & Sullivan, B. A. Human centromere repositioning within euchromatin after partial chromosome deletion. *Chromosome Res.* **24**, 451-466 (2016).
- 195 Sato, H., Masuda, F., Takayama, Y., Takahashi, K. & Saitoh, S. Epigenetic inactivation and subsequent heterochromatinization of a centromere stabilize dicentric chromosomes. *Curr. Biol.* **22**, 658-667 (2012).
- 196 Nakano, M. *et al.* Inactivation of a human kinetochore by specific targeting of chromatin modifiers. *Dev. Cell* **14**, 507-522 (2008).
- 197 Cardinale, S. *et al.* Hierarchical inactivation of a synthetic human kinetochore by a chromatin modifier. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **20**, 4194-4204 (2009).
- 198 Uhlmann, F. SMC complexes: from DNA to chromosomes. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **17**, 399-412 (2016).
- 199 Bernard, P. *et al.* Requirement of heterochromatin for cohesion at centromeres. *Science* **294**, 2539-2542 (2001).
- 200 Nonaka, N. *et al.* Recruitment of cohesin to heterochromatic regions by Swi6/HP1 in fission yeast. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **4**, 89-93 (2002).
- 201 Pidoux, A. L., Uzawa, S., Perry, P. E., Cande, W. Z. & Allshire, R. C. Live analysis of lagging chromosomes during anaphase and their effect on spindle elongation rate in fission yeast. *J. Cell Sci.* **113**, 4177-4191 (2000).

- 202 Gregan, J. *et al.* The kinetochore proteins Pcs1 and Mde4 and heterochromatin are required to prevent merotelic orientation. *Curr. Biol.* **17**, 1190-1200 (2007).
- 203 Ekwall, K. *et al.* The chromodomain protein Swi6: a key component at fission yeast centromeres. *Science* **269**, 1429-1431 (1995).
- 204 Tanno, Y. *et al.* The inner centromere-shugoshin network prevents chromosomal instability. *Science* **349**, 1237-1240 (2015).
- 205 Klar, A. J., Ishikawa, K. & Moore, S. A Unique DNA Recombination Mechanism of the Mating/Cell-type Switching of Fission Yeasts: a Review. *Microbiol. Spectr.* **2** (2014).
- 206 Jia, S., Yamada, T. & Grewal, S. I. Heterochromatin regulates cell type-specific longrange chromatin interactions essential for directed recombination. *Cell* **119**, 469-480 (2004).
- 207 Soufi, A., Donahue, G. & Zaret, K. S. Facilitators and impediments of the pluripotency reprogramming factors' initial engagement with the genome. *Cell* **151**, 994-1004 (2012).
- 208 Becker, J. S., Nicetto, D. & Zaret, K. S. H3K9me3-Dependent Heterochromatin: Barrier to Cell Fate Changes. *Trends Genet.* **32**, 29-41 (2016).
- 209 Chen, J. *et al.* H3K9 methylation is a barrier during somatic cell reprogramming into iPSCs. *Nat. Genet.* **45**, 34-42 (2013).
- 210 Sridharan, R. *et al.* Proteomic and genomic approaches reveal critical functions of H3K9 methylation and heterochromatin protein-1γ in reprogramming to pluripotency. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **15**, 872-882 (2013).
- 211 Cheloufi, S. *et al.* The histone chaperone CAF-1 safeguards somatic cell identity. *Nature* **528**, 218-224 (2015).
- 212 Matoba, S. *et al.* Embryonic development following somatic cell nuclear transfer impeded by persisting histone methylation. *Cell* **159**, 884-895 (2014).
- 213 Castro-Diaz, N. *et al.* Evolutionally dynamic L1 regulation in embryonic stem cells. *Genes Dev.* **28**, 1397-1409 (2014).
- 214 Jacobs, F. M. J. *et al.* An evolutionary arms race between KRAB zinc-finger genes ZNF91/93 and SVA/L1 retrotransposons. *Nature* **516**, 242-245 (2014).
- 215 Wolf, D. & Goff, S. P. Embryonic stem cells use ZFP809 to silence retroviral DNAs. *Nature* **458**, 1201-1204 (2009).
- 216 Imbeault, M., Helleboid, P.-Y. & Trono, D. KRAB zinc-finger proteins contribute to the evolution of gene regulatory networks. *Nature* **543**, 550-554 (2017).
- 217 Timms, R. T., Tchasovnikarova, I. A. & Lehner, P. J. Position-effect variegation revisited: HUSHing up heterochromatin in human cells. *Bioessays* **38**, 333-343 (2016).
- 218 Tchasovnikarova, I. A. *et al.* Epigenetic silencing by the HUSH complex mediates position-effect variegation in human cells. *Science* **348**, 1481-1485 (2015).
- 219 Wolf, G. *et al.* The KRAB zinc finger protein ZFP809 is required to initiate epigenetic silencing of endogenous retroviruses. *Genes Dev* **29**, 538-554 (2015).
- 220 Dalgaard, K. *et al.* Trim28 Haploinsufficiency Triggers Bi-stable Epigenetic Obesity. *Cell* **164**, 353-364 (2016).
- 221 Zhang, W. *et al.* Aging stem cells. A Werner syndrome stem cell model unveils heterochromatin alterations as a driver of human aging. *Science* **348**, 1160-1163 (2015).
- Janke, R., Dodson, A. E. & Rine, J. Metabolism and epigenetics. *Ann. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **31**, 473-496 (2015).
- 223 Sharma, U. & Rando, O. J. Metabolic Inputs into the Epigenome. *Cell Metab.* **25**, 544-558 (2017).
- Lu, C. *et al.* IDH mutation impairs histone demethylation and results in a block to cell differentiation. *Nature* **483**, 474-478 (2012).
- 225 Xiao, M. *et al.* Inhibition of α-KG-dependent histone and DNA demethylases by fumarate and succinate that are accumulated in mutations of FH and SDH tumor suppressors. *Genes Dev.* **26**, 1326-1338 (2012).

- 226 Carey, B. W., Finley, L. W. S., Cross, J. R., Allis, C. D. & Thompson, C. B. Intracellular α-ketoglutarate maintains the pluripotency of embryonic stem cells. *Nature* **518**, 413-416 (2015).
- 227 Pan, M. *et al.* Regional glutamine deficiency in tumours promotes dedifferentiation through inhibition of histone demethylation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **18**, 1090-1101 (2016).
- 228 Janke, R., Iavarone, A. T. & Rine, J. Oncometabolite D-2-Hydroxyglutarate enhances gene silencing through inhibition of specific H3K36 histone demethylases. *Elife* 6 (2017).
- 229 Muller, H. J. Types of visible variations induced by X-rays in Drosophila. *J. Genet.* **22**, 299-334 (1930).
- 230 Schultz, J. Variegation in Drosophila and the Inert Chromosome Regions. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **22**, 27-33 (1936).
- 231 Gowen, J. & Gay, E. Chromosome Constitution and Behavior in Eversporting and Mottling in Drosophila Melanogaster. *Genetics* **19**, 189 (1934).
- 232 Dimitri, P. & Pisano, C. Position effect variegation in Drosophila melanogaster: relationship between suppression effect and the amount of Y chromosome. *Genetics* 122, 793-800 (1989).
- 233 Spradling, A. C. & Karpen, G. H. Sixty years of mystery. *Genetics* **126**, 779-784 (1990).
- Henikoff, S. Position-effect variegation after 60 years. *Trends Genet.* **6**, 422-426 (1990).
- Reute, G. & Spierer, P. Position effect variegation and chromatin proteins. *BioEssays* 14, 605-612 (1992).
- 236 Britten, R. J. & Kohne, D. E. Repeated Sequences in DNA. *Science* **161**, 529-540 (1968).
- 237 Kit, S. Equilibrium sedimentation in density gradients of DNA preparations from animal tissues. *J. Mol. Biol.* (1961).
- 238 Yasmineh, W. G. & Yunis, J. J. Localization of mouse satellite DNA in constitutive heterochromatin. *Exp. Cell Res.* **59**, 69-75 (1970).
- 239 Flamm, W. G., Bond, H. E., Burr, H. E. & Bond, S. B. Satellite DNA isolated from mouse liver; some physical and metabolic properties. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 123, 652-654 (1966).
- 240 W G Flamm, M. M. P. M. W. The isolation of complementary strands from a mouse DNA fraction. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **57**, 1729 (1967).
- 241 Filipski, J., Thiery, J.-P. & Bernardi, G. An analysis of the bovine genome by Cs2SO4—Ag+ density gradient centrifugation. *J. Mol. Biol.* **80**, 177-197 (1973).
- 242 Southern, E. M. Base sequence and evolution of guinea-pig alpha-satellite DNA. *Nature* **227**, 794-798 (1970).
- 243 Fry, K. *et al.* Nucleotide Sequence of HS-β Satellite DNA from Kangaroo Rat Dipodomys ordii. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **70**, 2642 (1973).
- 244 Jones, K. W. Chromosomal and nuclear location of mouse satellite DNA in individual cells. *Nature* **225**, 912-915 (1970).
- 245 Pardue, M. L. & Gall, J. G. Chromosomal Localization of Mouse Satellite DNA. *Scienc*) **168**, 1356-1358 (1970).
- 246 Rae, P. M. M. & Franke, W. W. The interphase distribution of satellite DNAcontaining heterochromatin in mouse nuclei. *Chromosoma* **39**, 443-456 (1972).
- 247 Flamm, W. G., Walker, P. M. & McCallum, M. Some properties of the single strands isolated from the DNA of the nuclear satellite of the mouse (Mus musculus). *J. Mol. Biol.* **40**, 423-443 (1969).
- 248 Yunis, J. J. & Yasmineh, W. G. Satellite DNA in constitutive heterochromatin of the guinea pig. *Science* **168**, 263-265 (1970).
- 249 Lima-de-Faria, A. & Jaworska, H. Late DNA Synthesis in Heterochromatin. *Nature* 217, 138-142 (1968).
- 250 Gall, J., Cohen, E. & Polan, M. Repetitive DNA sequences in Drosophila. *Chromosoma* **33**, 319-344 (1971).

- 251 Deans, C. & Maggert, K. A. What do you mean, "epigenetic"? *Genetics* **199**, 887-896 (2015).
- 252 Bird, Á. Perceptions of epigenetics. *Nature* **447**, 396-398 (2007).
- 253 Castel SE, & Martienssen R. A. RNA interference in the nucleus: roles for small RNAs in transcription, epigenetics and beyond. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **14**, 100-112 (2013).











