THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Barrier Tissue Macrophages: Functional Adaptation to Environmental Challenges

OPEN ACCESS

Barrier Tissue Macrophages: Functional Adaptation to Environmental Challenges

Allan McI Mowat[1*], Charlotte L Scott[1,2,3*] and Calum C Bain[4*]

[1] Centre for Immunobiology, Institute of Infection, Immunity and Inflammation, College of Medicine, Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, UK

[2] Laboratory of Myeloid Cell Ontogeny and Functional Specialization, VIB-UGent Center for Inflammation Research, Ghent, 9052, Belgium

[3] Department of Biomedical Molecular Biology, Ghent University, Ghent 9000, Belgium

[4] The University of Edinburgh/MRC Centre for Inflammation Research, University of Edinburgh, UK

* Corresponding Author

Correspondence should be addressed to:

AMcIM (Allan.Mowat@glasgow.ac.uk), CLS (Charlotte.Scott@irc.vib-ugent.be) or CCB (Calum.Bain@ed.ac.uk)

Abstract

Macrophages are found throughout the body, where they play crucial roles in tissue development, homeostasis and remodelling, as well as being sentinels of the innate immune system that can contribute to protective immunity and inflammation. Barrier tissues such as the intestine, lung, skin and liver are exposed constantly to the outside world, placing special demands on resident cell populations such as macrophages. Here we review the mounting evidence that although macrophages in different barrier tissues may be derived from distinct progenitors, their highly specific properties are shaped by the local environment, allowing them to adapt precisely to the needs of their anatomical niche. We discuss the properties of macrophages in steady state barrier tissues, outline the factors that shape their differentiation and behaviour and describe how macrophages change during protective immunity and inflammation.

Introduction

Macrophages are one of the most abundant populations of leukocytes in the body. They play important roles in innate immunity and inflammation[1], but are also crucial for the development and homeostatic maintenance of normal tissues, as well as in the repair of damaged tissue[2]. Hence, there is considerable interest in exploring how macrophages are tailored to the physiological demands of their environment and how this changes when homeostasis is perturbed.

Until very recently, it was believed that macrophage behaviour could be explained by dividing them into discrete subsets ("M1-like" and "M2-like") based on their functional properties. However work over the past few years shows that this approach is over-simplistic[3] and that macrophages are highly heterogeneous, possessing specialised properties that are precisely adapted to individual tissues. In parallel it is now clear that local factors in the environment control how macrophages develop and function under both steady state and inflammatory conditions. Here we discuss how this new understanding of macrophage biology provides insights into the behaviour of these cells in the most immunologically active tissues in the body, the barrier surfaces of the skin, intestine, lung and liver. As these sites are exposed continuously to the external environment and are of crucial physiological importance, they require constant monitoring for pathogens, but also

2

maintenance of tissue integrity. As a result, immune cells in the barrier surfaces are subject to unique demands and the macrophage populations have developed many properties that are specifically adapted to each tissue. After discussing the processes that regulate macrophage function under steady state conditions, we will then describe how these populations in response to local inflammation.

Macrophages in Steady State Tissues

Macrophages are sessile mononuclear cells found in all tissues of the body, where they have the appearance of large vacuolated cells with abundant cytoplasm containing lysosomal granules. Classically, tissue macrophages have been identified by their expression of the phenotypic markers F4/80 and CD68 in mice and humans respectively. However recent multi-parameter flow cytometric analyses and genome-wide transcriptional profiling have revealed additional generic markers that identify macrophages across a range of tissues[4] (Table 1). Of particular note and of practical importance, expression of the high affinity IgG receptor CD64 and the Mer tyrosine kinase (MerTK) associated with uptake of apoptotic cells **is** common to most tissue macrophages in mice; these are not expressed highly by other mononuclear phagocytes, such as dendritic cells [5].

Layered on top of this common signature, macrophages in individual tissues are remarkably heterogeneous in terms of their surface phenotype, transcriptome and epigenome (Table 1 and see below). Although many of their functions are conserved across tissues, including key housekeeping functions such as clearance of apoptotic and senescent cells, individual populations of macrophages are highly adapted to the needs of their environment, fulfilling roles specific to the particular tissue, and even subcompartments within tissues[6]. This heterogeneity is not surprising given the significant differences between organs in terms of their physiological functions, exposure to microbiota, nutrients and metabolites, and the fact that macrophages develop synchronously with their organ of residence[7]. For a time, it was believed that these differences might reflect distinct developmental origins of macrophages, but it now seems more likely that local environmental imprinting is the major determinant in macrophage identity and function, irrespective of their origin[8]. As the wider aspects of macrophage development have recently been reviewed extensively elsewhere (eg see [7-12]), here we restrict our discussion of those aspects of macrophage origin and development that are specific to the barrier surfaces.

Intestinal macrophages.

Macrophages are abundant in all layers of the normal small and large intestines, including the lamina propria of the mucosa, the muscularis externa and the serosa that separates the intestine from the peritoneal cavity. The largest population is in the lamina propria, where they are often found immediately below the single layer of columnar epithelium. In mice, mature macrophages of the lamina propria express high levels of major histocompatibility complex type II (MHCII) molecules, and most express CD11c (integrin α_x) normally found on dendritic cells, often leading to confusion between the two cell types in the intestine[13] [14-17] (Table 1). They are also rich in receptors associated with phagocytic activity and uptake of apoptotic cells, such as CD163, CD206, TIM4, $\alpha_v\beta_5$ integrin and CD36[14,18-21]. Importantly, human intestinal macrophages share many of these features[14,22] (Table 1).

Unlike many other tissue resident macrophages, those in the adult intestine are dependent on constant replenishment by bone marrow derived monocytes which then differentiate locally under the control of factors in the intestinal microenvironment including TGFβ - a process known as the "monocyte waterfall"[20,23-25] (Figure 1A). This occurs continuously through a series of intermediary stages and allows flexible adaptation to the mucosa, a highly dynamic tissue both in terms of its exposure to the various challenges it faces from the outside world and the rapid turnover of the epithelium. During their differentiation, mucosal macrophages progressively acquire their characteristic phenotype, together with a number of functions that contribute to homeostasis in the steady state intestine (Figure 1A). They have avid phagocytic activity and are bactericidal without exogenous stimulation and together with their subepithelial location, they are ideally situated to deal with pathogenic microbes that invade across the intestinal epithelium, as well as contribute to the symbiotic relationship with the microbiota[19,25-27]. Local macrophages can also help preserve integrity of the mucosa in a number of ways. First their scavenger properties will enable them to deal with the large amount of cell death that occurs routinely in this highly dynamic tissue. Secondly they secrete mediators that drive epithelial cell renewal, including hepatocyte growth factor (HGF)[28], members of the Wnt signalling pathway[29-31] and PGE$_2$[32]. Finally they produce metalloproteinases that may

promote tissue remodelling[20]. As a result, loss of mucosal macrophages in mice leads to dysregulated enterocyte differentiation and increased susceptibility to inflammatory damage([33,34] and see below).

A prominent feature of steady state intestinal macrophages is their constitutive production of anti-inflammatory cytokine IL10[14,21,35,36], together with low levels of the pro-inflammatory mediators TNFα and IL1β[14,37,38]. Despite this evidence of activation *in situ*, intestinal macrophages are unresponsive to exogenous stimuli, failing to produce nitric oxide, reactive oxygen species (ROS) or pro-inflammatory cytokines when stimulated by agents such as TLR ligands[14]. This does not reflect a failure to express appropriate pattern recognition receptors (PRR), but rather may be due to active inhibitory mechanisms that block the relevant signalling pathways[22,39,40]. Signalling via the IL10 receptor plays a crucial role in the functional "anergy" of intestinal macrophages, with defects in this pathway leading to macrophage hyperactivity and inflammatory bowel disease[21,41-43]. IL10 produced by macrophage themselves is not essential for this process and additional sources of this cytokine in the mucosa, such as CD4$^+$ T cells, seem to be more important[21].

Intestinal macrophages are also important sources of mediators that help maintain other immune cells in their vicinity. Macrophage-derived IL10 sustains the expansion and survival of inducible FoxP3$^+$ T$_{reg}$ in the LP[35,44], a process that is important for tolerance to orally administered antigens[44]. In parallel, the numbers of endogenous FoxP3$^+$ T cells in different segments of the intestine correlate with macrophage numbers[45]. IL1β produced by mucosal macrophages may play a similar role in promoting the survival of local IL17 producing CD4$^+$ T cells[37] and in driving secretion of CSF2 from type 3 innate lymphoid cells (ILC3)[38]. Mature intestinal macrophages also produce a number of chemokines that can recruit T cells and other immune cells, including their own monocyte precursors[17,20] (Figure 1A).

The high expression of MHCII by steady state intestinal macrophages raises questions of whether they can behave as antigen presenting cells (APCs) *in vivo*. However macrophages are sessile in the mucosa and do not migrate to the draining mesenteric lymph node (MLN)[13]. Therefore, they are unlikely to be important for priming naïve T cells, which are found only in secondary lymphoid organs and not the mucosa. Whether macrophages might present antigen to T cells after their arrival in the mucosa remains to be resolved.

Macrophages may also cooperate with mucosal dendritic cells during the induction of local immune responses through antigen transfer to migratory dendritic cells[46,47]. Additionally, human intestinal macrophages are capable of producing retinoic acid by metabolism of dietary vitamin A, a property that is restricted to intestinal dendritic cells in mice and which could suggest that macrophage might assist the imprinting of gut homing in human T cells[48,49].

Are there specialised macrophage microenvironments within the intestine?

Much of what we know about intestinal macrophages comes from studies using cells isolated from the lamina propria of whole tissue or biopsies. Thus there is limited information on how macrophages might behave in the different anatomical compartments within the mucosa. There are relatively more macrophages in the lamina propria of the colon than the small intestine[50], possibly reflecting differences in the functions and bacterial loads in these tissues.

Macrophages are found in a number of locations within the mucosa itself, ranging from immediately next to the basement membrane underlying the epithelium to the central core of the LP, and at different positions along the villus-crypt axis (Figure 2A). A specific population expressing CD169 is found near the crypt base, close to the submucosa and these may have distinct functions and developmental requirements[51,52]. Substantial numbers of macrophages are also found in the external muscularis layer of the intestine (Figure 1A). These are morphologically, phenotypically and transcriptionally distinct from those in the lamina propria, selectively expressing a number of genes associated with tissue repair[53]. Being located close to neurons in submucosal ganglia, they also engage in two-way interactions with the enteric nervous system and respond to luminal bacteria via signals from nor-adrenergic nerves[54,55]. It remains unknown whether the macrophages found in the muscularis layer are derived from different precursors to those in the lamina propria, while the local factors shaping their differentiation are yet to be elucidated.

Lung Macrophages.

The lung harbours at least two different macrophage populations that occupy distinct anatomical niches (Figure 1B). The largest of these inhabits the alveolar space (**alveolar macrophages**), where they represent ~90-95% of leukocytes and reside in a

precisely defined niche on the luminal side of the lung alveoli. In both mice and humans, AMs can be identified by their high auto-fluorescence and expression of CD64, as well as high levels of CD11c integrin and CD169 (sialoadhesin) (Table 1) [4,56-58]. However, important phenotypic differences exist between alveolar macrophages in mice and humans (Table 1). For instance, although SiglecF is a signature molecule for mouse alveolar macrophages[4], its functional paralog, Siglec8, is absent from human alveolar macrophages[58] (Table 1). alveolar macrophages develop from foetal liver monocytes under the control of CSF2 (GM-CSF) in the first days of life, paralleling the development of the alveoli[59] (Figure 1B) and then maintain themselves by *in situ* self-renewal [57].

One of the principal homeostatic functions of alveolar macrophages is regulating the levels of pulmonary surfactant, the proteolipid complex synthesised and secreted by the respiratory epithelium (Figure 1B). Indeed the transcriptional signature of alveolar macrophages features several genes implicated in lipid metabolism[4,60] and Pulmonary Alveolar Proteinosis (PAP) develops due to excessive surfactant accumulation when AM development is defective, for instance in mice and humans in whom the CSF2-CSF2R axis has been disrupted[8]. CSF2 is predominantly produced by alveolar epithelial cells (AEC) and controls much of the unique phenotypic and functional identity of AM, including lipid catabolism and cytokine production through induction of the transcription factor PPARγ[61,62]. Alveolar macrophages also maintain the integrity of the alveolar space by removing senescent cells and inhaled particles, and by acting as a first line of defence against pathogens[63]. Like intestinal macrophages, alveolar macrophages respond poorly to activation by TLR ligands and other stimuli[63,64], allowing them to scavenge and eliminate environmental antigens in a non-phlogistic manner. This hyporesponsive state is maintained by inhibitory receptors on alveolar macrophages, including CD200R, IL10R and TGFβR which recognise their respective ligands on AECs. Binding of epithelial-derived surfactant proteins, of which SP-A and SP-D are the most abundant, to receptors such as SIRPα can also modify phagocytosis, cytokine production and TLR responsiveness of alveolar macrophages[64]. Aveolar macrophages are also critical in maintaining airway tolerance to innocuous antigens by supporting the differentiation of antigen-specific T_{reg}[66]. Alveolar macrophages may also directly regulate the reactivity of AECs to their environment through the release of exosomes and microvesicles containing suppressor of cytokine signalling (SOCS) proteins[67].

Continual interaction with the AEC is also proposed to account for alveolar macrophages remaining relatively sessile under both steady state conditions and after challenge with bacterial stimuli[68].

Macrophages are also found in the lung parenchyma (interstitium) between the alveoli and capillary beds (Figure 1B). These **interstitial macrophages** can be distinguished from alveolar macrophages by their distinct surface phenotype[4,56,58,69] (Table 1) and they have a unique transcriptional signature[70]. Similar to those in the intestine, interstitial macrophages are MHCII$^+$ and express variable levels of CD11c[4,58,69,71], which can lead to their misclassification as DCs. Because different investigators have used divergent criteria to define IMs, there is limited understanding of their role in lung homeostasis[72-74]. However a prominent feature of IMs is their constitutive production of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL10[73,74], which is reported to control the immunogenicity of lung DCs[73]. Furthermore, they produce growth factors such as PDGFβ that are known to regulate fibroblast proliferation[75]. Although the developmental origin of interstitial macrophages remains controversial[69,70,76,77], they have been shown to require replacement by monocytes, suggesting that different anatomical niches in the same tissue use distinct mechanisms to maintain their macrophage populations[69,70,76]. Although, this may simply reflect differences in niche accessibility. For instance, whereas monocytes can easily access the lung parenchyma, entry to the alveolar space under normal physiological conditions may be impeded by the epithelial barrier[8].

Skin macrophages.

The skin consists of two anatomically distinct regions, the dermis and the epidermis, each of which contains phenotypically, developmentally and functionally distinct macrophage populations (Figure 2A).

Langerhans cells are found in the stratified squamous epithelium of the epidermis and express the langerin molecule (CD207; see Table 1) responsible for the formation the characteristic Birbeck granules found exclusively in Langerhans cells[78]. For many years, Langerhans cells were considered the archetypal non-lymphoid dendritic cells, but it is increasingly clear that they display features of both macrophages and dendritic cells[79] (Figure 3A). As well as fulfilling classical dendritic cell functions, such as migration to

draining LNs and antigen presentation[79], mouse Langerhans cells express high levels of the DC-specific transcription factor Zbtb46[80] and lack expression of the macrophage markers CD64 and MerTK[79]. Despite this, they can be distinguished from conventional type-2 dendritic cells (cDC2s) based on their expression of CD24 and lack of expression of CD26[81] (Table 1). Unlike dendritic cells, Langerhans cells are derived from foetal liver monocytes[7], can exist autonomously from blood monocytes through *in situ* self-renewal and express the macrophage-restricted transcription factor MafB[80]. However significant differences exist between murine and human Langerhans cells (Table 1), with the latter sharing characteristics with mouse cDC1s, including machinery for cross presentation of antigens[82]. Unlike most other tissue macrophages, Langerhans cells rely on the alternative CSF1R ligand, IL34, for their development, which is produced constitutively by keratinocytes[83,84]. Keratinocyte-derived TGFβ is also indispensable for Langerhans cell development and maintenance[85] (Figure 2A).

Given their ability to migrate to the draining lymph nodes and act as antigen presenting cells, Langerhans cells have been implicated in initiating immune responses. As discussed below, this can involve priming of effector T cells in the context of infection. However in steady state conditions, they may have an intrinsically tolerogenic role[86], with increased contact hypersensitivity to haptens having been described in mice lacking Langerhans cells[87]. Thus Langerhans cells may be similar to other dendritic cell-like antigen presenting cells in being able to adapt flexibly to the needs of their environment.

The macrophage compartment of the underlying dermis is heterogeneous[79] and these macrophages are phenotypically distinct from their epidermal neighbours in both mice and humans (Table 1)[88] (Figure 3A). Notably, mature **dermal macrophages** in mice can be either MHCII$^+$ or MHCII$^-$, and although the relationship between these phenotypic subsets remains unclear, they display differences in transcriptome and turnover kinetics[76,88]. Dermal macrophages develop initially from embryonic progenitors, but as in the intestine, these are displaced progressively by bone marrow-derived monocytes[89]. Adult dermal MHCII$^+$ macrophages then require continuous replenishment from circulating monocytes[88] (Figure 2A), in a process influenced, in part, by the microbiota[88]. Apart from a clear role of CSF1R signalling[90], to date, little is known regarding the factors involved in dermal macrophage differentiation. Whether MHCII-defined subsets exist in human skin remains

unclear, because HLA-DR expression is often used as the starting point for identifying MPs in human skin[91,92]. Although dermal macrophages are poor antigen presenting cells[88], as in the intestine they may help maintain the dermal T cell compartment[91], possibly through their constitutive production of IL10[88] (Figure 2A). They have also been proposed to act as sentinels of invasion, expressing a number of genes associated with killing of microorganisms and displaying avid phagocytic ability[88].

Liver macrophages.

Although not always thought of as a barrier tissue, the liver receives all blood draining the intestine via the portal vein and is thus continually exposed to products of both the diet and the microbiota. **Kupffer cells** are the principal macrophages of the liver, where they reside in the sinusoids, in a perfect position to monitor materials emanating from the intestine (Figure 2B). Kupffer cells develop during embryogenesis from yolk sac precursors and fetal liver monocytes, which then self-renew throughout life[7]. However, circulating monocytes have also been shown to contribute (albeit at low levels) to the Kupffer cell pool during liver growth in the first few weeks of life[93] and HSC-derived cells may also contribute to the Kupffer cell pool with age[94] (Figure 2B). The precise signals driving Kupffer cell differentiation remain unknown, but seem likely to be derived from the hepatic cells in close proximity to the Kupffer cells such as liver sinusoidal endothelial cells, hepatocytes or hepatic stellate cells[8]. As well as generic macrophage markers, Kupffer cells express intermediate levels of CD11b and MHCII, distinguishing them from other CD11b^{hi} myeloid cells in the liver[93] (Table 1). In addition, mouse Kupffer cells express high levels of the phagocytic receptor Tim4[93,95], the complement receptor VSIG4 (or CRIg)[96,97] and uniquely among tissue macrophages, the C-type lectin Clec4F[23,93,98] (Table 1). Human hepatic macrophages express CD68, CD64 and CD163[99,100], but whether these markers are restricted to Kupffer cells, or are also present on other liver mononuclear phagocytes is unclear. Notably, although Clec4F is not conserved in humans, both VSIG4 and Tim4 are expressed by human Kupffer cells[96] (Scott, Guilliams *Unpublished observations*) (Table 1).

Kupffer cells have been suggested to act as a firewall preventing systemic dissemination of microbes and their products from the intestine[101,102] (Figure 2B). The liver has been particularly associated with the induction of tolerance to orally administered

proteins[103] and administration of antigen into the portal vein has been reported to induce systemic tolerance, while portal vein shunting abrogates oral tolerance[104-107]. Given their phagocytic capacity and their expression of MHCII, Kupffer cells have been suggested to be key to this process, both as antigen presenting cells[108-111] and by regulating the local immune environment via the production of immunosuppressive cytokines including IL10 and TGFβ[112,113]. The normally tolerogenic properties of Kupffer cells however, have been suggested to be overridden by stimuli such as TLR ligands, suggesting they could also play a role in active immunity against microbial infections[114-116], however, whether this represents true Kupffer cell plasticity or the presence of non-Kupffer cells which respond to TLRs remains to be investigated.

Kupffer cells also play important homeostatic roles in iron metabolism and recycling[117], and they express a number of genes involved in these processes, including *Cd163*, *Slc40a1*, *Hmox1*, *Hpx* and *Scd1*[93] (Figure 2B). These properties are shared with splenic red pulp macrophages, which are also exposed constantly to blood[95,118]. Stimulation of iron metabolism in Kupffer cells by IL6 and IL1 can contribute to control of infection via deprivation of iron from pathogens[119]. Indeed, patients with a deficiency in hepicidin, which induces the expression of a number of proteins involved in iron scavenging and sequestration in Kupffer cells, are more susceptible to infection with iron-dependent microbes[120-122]. The Kupffer cell transcriptome is also enriched for genes involved in lipid metabolism[93] and Kupffer cells have been implicated in the pathogenesis of diseases associated with excessive lipid consumption, including non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)[123-125] (see below).

Tissue macrophages under non-steady state conditions

One of the most important gaps in our current knowledge of tissue-resident macrophages is that the study of their behaviour under non-homeostatic conditions such as infection and inflammatory disease remains in its infancy (Box 1 and Figure 3). Below we review what is known about barrier tissue macrophages under these circumstances, focusing on work that is compatible with the recent advances in understanding their phenotype and biology.

Intestinal Macrophages

Intestinal disorders such as IBD and infection are accompanied by intense infiltration with macrophages and monocytes[126]. The success of anti-TNFα therapy in Crohn's disease highlights the practical relevance of understanding the underlying immunological processes. In both humans and animals, the majority of the infiltrate in inflamed mucosa is made up of monocytes and immature macrophages, while the numbers of mature macrophages are usually normal, or even reduced compared with steady state intestine[14,15,21,127]. Furthermore, the relatively immature cells account for most of the pro-inflammatory mediators such as IL1, IL6, TNFα, IL23, NO and ROI[14-18,127,128]. As well as causing tissue damage and/or targeting microbes directly, these mediators can also activate other effector cells of the innate and adaptive immune system, such as monocytes, neutrophils, T_h17 cells and T_h1 cells. Pro-inflammatory monocytes/macrophages also produce chemokines such as CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, CCL5, CCL8 and CCL11 which recruit eosinophils and neutrophils, as well as more monocytes[51,129,130]. As in steady state intestine, the enhanced recruitment of monocytes into inflamed intestine is driven mostly by CCR2[126,131], although other chemokine-receptors may also contribute, such as CCL3 and its receptor CCR1[132].

The monocytes that infiltrate the inflamed mucosa of humans and mice are phenotypically indistinguishable from those which replenish the mature macrophage pool under steady state conditions. However their development appears to be arrested before they acquire significant anti-inflammatory properties such as production of IL10 or hypo-responsiveness to stimulation[14-16]. The reasons for this block in differentiation are unclear, although one possibility could be that the monocytes recruited to the inflamed intestine are already intrinsically different. A process of this kind has been found in murine *Toxoplasmosis*, where IL12 released from the inflamed mucosa alters monocyte differentiation in the BM via the production of IFNγ[133], but this has not yet been explored in other contexts.

It is controversial whether the original fully mature macrophages also contribute to intestinal inflammation (Box 1 and Figure 3). Although mature resident macrophages do not

become pro-inflammatory during experimental colitis induced by chemicals or T cells[14,16,18], this can occur under conditions when inflammation occurs in the absence of IL10 mediated control of macrophage activity[21,128]. One population of resident macrophages that may contribute directly to inflammation is that expressing CD169, which recruits monocyte neutrophils via production of CCL8[51,52]. Furthermore, muscularis macrophages play important roles in postoperative paralytic ileus by producing nitric oxide in response to local trauma, leading to activation of neighbouring neurons[54].

Macrophages also play a role in the protective immunity that expels large extracellular microorganisms such as intestinal helminths[134,135]. During such T_h2 responses, macrophages produce arginase and RELMα[135,136], together with chemokines that can recruit eosinophils and other effector cells[130]. This generates an environment detrimental to parasite survival, encouraging their expulsion. As in other forms of intestinal inflammation, newly recruited monocytes seem to be the most important source of activated, effector macrophages in these T_h2-dependent immune responses[135]. However as IL4 dependent local proliferation and activation of pre-existing resident macrophages has been described in parasite infection of the serous cavities[137], similar processes might be feasible in the intestine.

Macrophages are important for tissue repair and restoration of homeostasis after inflammation in the intestine. This may involve their ability to drive epithelial stem cell renewal[32,138], while IL1-mediated induction of IL22 from ILC3 helps restore epithelial barrier function and has anti-microbial effects[131,139]. Macrophages may also protect against intestinal inflammation induced by the chemical DSS by suppressing production of the alarmin IL33[140], while their ability to produce arginase during T_h2 mediated immune responses is a crucial component of tissue repair after helminth infection[141,142]. As a result of these properties, depletion of macrophage delays recovery from experimental colitis[138,140,143]. Whether these are functions of pre-existing resident macrophage or of the monocytes recruited during the initial pathology is again unclear, although recent studies have shown that apparently pro-inflammatory monocytes recruited during murine Toxoplasmosis may protect against immunopathology by producing PGE$_2$ supressing neutrophil activation[127]. Both GM-CSF and VEGF-C produced during inflammation have been shown to induce reparative properties in intestinal macrophages[144].

Lung Macrophages

Given their positioning in the airway, it is unsurprising that **alveolar macrophages** are key effector cells in the protective response against bacterial, viral and fungal infections. By virtue of their expression of a range of PRRs and high phagolysosomal capacity, alveolar macrophages excel at engulfing and destroying extracellular bacteria such as *Streptococcus pneumonia*[63]. alveolar macrophages also orchestrate the recruitment of neutrophils and effector monocytes to the lung through release of IL1β, which induces CXCL8 production by the respiratory epithelium[145]. They are also potent producers of type 1 IFN in response to viral infections and orchestrate the recruitment of anti-viral monocytes[146]. Alveolar macrophages enhance viral clearance during influenza infection and there is increased lung pathology in systems in which alveolar macrophages have been depleted[64,147]. Conversely, the activation threshold of alveolar macrophages may be heightened following severe viral infection, leaving individuals more susceptible to bacterial infections. This may involve changes in expression of inhibitory ligands by AEC [64].

Alveolar macrophages have also been implicated in the development and progression of asthma, although it remains uncertain whether they play a pathogenic or protective role[148]. On one hand, depletion of alveolar macrophages in mice worsens allergen-induced airway inflammation[149] and adoptive transfer of normal alveolar macrophages can protect sensitised lungs from damage[149]. Moreover, alveolar macrophages from asthmatic patients produce more IL10 than their counterparts from healthy lungs[150]. However, alveolar macrophages from allergen-sensitised mice are more able to stimulate T cell responses and alveolar macrophages from asthmatic patients express higher levels of costimulatory molecules such as CD80[151], suggesting asthmatic alveolar macrophages may be able to promote pathogenic T$_h$2 responses, perhaps through their production of IL13[147].

The role of **interstitial macrophages** in lung inflammation or infection is poorly understood, although they have been shown to confer protection against allergic airway inflammation by producing IL10[152]. Whether this is a property of resident interstitial macrophages or if elicited monocyte-derived macrophages can also do this is unclear.

Similarly, whether interstitial macrophage-derived IL10 plays an important role in other models of disease remains to be determined. interstitial macrophages also release EGF which has been suggested to promote alveolar fluid clearance through promotion of epithelial sodium channels[153].

Pulmonary macrophages are important in driving the fibrogenesis, matrix remodelling and re-epithelialisation of the alveolar wall that are all essential for the restoration of barrier integrity and efficient gas exchange following lung injury. alveolar macrophages produce multiple growth factors that promote re-epithelialisation of the alveolar wall, including VEGF, PDGF, FGF, TGFβ[154,155]. TNFα from alveolar macrophages also upregulates CSF2 production from AECs, stimulating AEC proliferation[156,157] and supporting alveolar macrophage maintenance. Efferocytosis of apoptotic cells also promotes pro-reparative functions of alveolar macrophages, including the production of PGE2, PAF and TGFβ[158]. Somewhat paradoxically, lung macrophages have been implicated in the pathogenesis of interstitial lung diseases, such as idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) in which there is uncontrolled fibrogenesis. The relative roles of tissue-resident alveolar macrophages, interstitial macrophages and monocyte-derived infiltrating macrophages in this condition remain poorly understood[8]. For instance, although alveolar macrophages can promote resolution of experimental bleomycin-induced fibrosis[159], alveolar macrophages from IPF patients produce many pro-fibrotic mediators including TGFβ[160] and CCL18[161] and depletion of macrophages (alveolar macrophages or infiltrating macrophages) reduces fibrogenesis in the same model[162]. However, this could be explained by recent work demonstrating that origin of alveolar macrophages can influence their function. Experimental fibrosis disrupts the autonomous renewal of alveolar macrophages, leading to the recruitment of bone marrow-derived alveolar macrophages that are more pro-fibrogenic than their resident counterparts[163]. How origin dictates function remains unclear, although one possibility is that resident and bone marrow-derived alveolar macrophages might occupy different micro-anatomical niches and that this controls their function. As discussed in Box 1, the roles of developmentally-distinct macrophages in other settings has not been examined, including during pulmonary emphysema where macrophages may also contribute to loss of alveolar architecture through their enhanced production of matrix metalloproteinases MMP1 and MMP12[64].

Skin Macrophages

Langerhans cells have been shown to induce active T_h17 responses during cutaneous *Candida albicans* infection[164] and can participate in effector CD8$^+$ T cell priming in lymph nodes during Herpes simplex virus (HSV) infection, either by presenting antigen directly to T cells or after transfer to cDC1s[165,166]. Recently, it was shown that CD1a on Langerhans cells can amplify T_h17-driven models of dermatitis and psoriasis[167]. Importantly, blocking CD1a through administration of anti-CD1a antibodies significantly reduced skin inflammation[167], providing a putative therapeutic option for patients with T_h17 mediated skin diseases. Although phenotypically-distinct (MHCII^{hi}) monocyte-derived Langerhans cells have been shown to accumulate during models of injury/inflammation[168], it remains unclear if these or pre-existing resident cells are responsible for the pro-inflammatory functions of Langerhans cells under these conditions.

Little is known regarding the roles of **dermal macrophages** under non-homeostatic conditions. Dermal monocyte-derived cells have been shown to accumulate in and drive development of psoriasis-like inflammation[79,168], but not in other forms of inflammation such as contact allergen induced dermatitis[88]. Dermal macrophages may play a role in the first line of defence against pathogens, having been shown to induce neutrophil extravasation in responses to local infection with *Staphylococcus aureus*[169]. Dermal macrophages are also essential for wound healing and restoration of tissue integrity following mechanical skin injury. Again the relative contribution of fully mature resident macrophages versus elicited monocyte-derived cells is unclear, but macrophages are essential for neovascularisation, collagen fibril assembly and scab formation, in a process dependent on IL4R signalling[170]. IL4/IL13 polarised dermal macrophages are also implicated in driving tissue fibrosis through their production of RELMα which promotes pro-fibrotic collagen crosslinking by dermal fibroblasts[170]. A population of flt3L-dependent, migratory monocyte-derived dendritic cells has been reported in the dermis under both homeostatic and inflammatory conditions, but the exact nature of these cells remains unclear, as does their relationship to dermal macrophages (Box 1).

Liver Macrophages

Kupffer cells have been implicated in several acute and chronic hepatic pathologies, including ischemia/reperfusion (I/R-) injury, acetaminophen hepatoxicity (AILI), liver fibrosis, alcoholic liver disease (ALD), viral hepatitis, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). However contradictory findings have been reported on the exact roles of Kupffer cells in these conditions[171]. In I/R-injury, for example, Kupffer cells have been attributed both pathogenic and protective roles, driven by TNFα, IL1β and reactive oxygen species (ROS) and IL10 respectively[172-174]. Analogous findings have been reported in viral hepatitis, where Kupffer cells have been suggested to produce both anti-viral mediators and to suppress protective immunity[175]. These contrasting conclusions may reflect the fact that previous studies did not distinguish between *bona fide* Kupffer cells and infiltrating monocytes/macrophages, or used depletion strategies that targeted all myeloid cells in the liver.

More recent studies have attempted to explore the relative roles of these cell types in inflammatory liver pathology. Notably, Kupffer cells maintain their distinct transcriptional profile following acetaminophen-induced liver injury, remaining largely identical to their steady state counterparts[176]. However, as the damage following paracetamol overdose is restricted anatomically, it will be interesting to determine if specific Kupffer cells located in the damaged areas do respond to the injury and if perhaps this has been overlooked using bulk transcriptomic techniques. Interestingly, the infiltrating monocytes/macrophages are thought to both aggravate the early stages of this disorder[177] and to be necessary for the subsequent resolution of the inflammation[176]. Notably, recruited monocyte-derived macrophages do not appear to develop into *bona fide* Kupffer cells under these conditions rather generating short-lived macrophages [176] (Scott, Guilliams *unpublished observations*). In contrast, monocyte-derived Kupffer cells can be found during *Listeria monocytogenes* infection[178]. In this infection, early uptake of bacteria triggers Kupffer cell death by necroptosis and bacteria are subsequently eliminated by recruited monocyte derived macrophages which later develop into bona fide Kupffer cells[178]. However, it remains to be seen if Kupffer cell death following bacterial uptake is required for the effective clearance of

the bacteria and return to liver homeostasis, furthermore, the impact of macrophage origin on these functions requires further study (Box 1). Thus there is still much to learn regarding the specific functions of Kupffer cells and recruited monocyte-derived macrophages in the liver under non-homeostatic conditions. The use of the newly defined markers capable of discriminating between Kupffer cells and other recruited monocyte-derived macrophages, as well as investigating differences in micro-anatomical location, will be critical to truly assess macrophage function during these pathologies.

Summary and Future Perspectives

Many of the central dogmas about the origin and function of macrophages in barrier tissues have been completely revised in recent years, with an increasing awareness of their heterogeneity and diversity of physiological roles. Rather than depending on their origin, the properties of macrophages are highly tissue specific and appear to be imprinted locally, ensuring precise adaptation to the demands of their environment. These properties offer clear possibilities for targeted therapeutic intervention, with the aim of restoring homeostasis. However for this to be achieved, the factors driving the specification of different tissue-resident macrophage populations under homeostatic conditions would be crucial to identify. Determining the relative roles played by resident and infiltrating macrophages during infection or inflammation could create further options for preventing their recruitment or activation.

Box 1: Macrophage behaviour under non-homeostatic conditions

Disruption of local homeostasis due to inflammation or infection results in a drastically altered local environment, with damage to tissue cells and induction of an innate immune response. These lead to increased production of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, together with recruitment of inflammatory cells including neutrophils and monocytes, the latter of which can differentiate into macrophages. An important unanswered question concerns the relative roles of newly recruited and pre-existing macrophages in inflammation (Figure 3). Although it is clear that recruited monocytes are sufficiently plastic to respond appropriately to the changing environment, there is less evidence that the tissue-resident macrophages can modify their homeostatic functions to become pro-inflammatory under such circumstances. Indeed the findings that the tissue conditioned properties of resident macrophages are determined at the level of the epigenome[23] would suggest it may be difficult for these cells to change in response to new triggers, although this too is likely to be tissue-specific. Importantly there is evidence that pre-existing tissue-resident and newly recruited inflammatory macrophages can respond differently to stimuli, at least in the peritoneal cavity, lung and liver[163,178,179]. This has clear implications for designing macrophage-targeted therapy in inflammation.

In many tissues, inflammation is associated with a reduction in the resident macrophage population, often referred to as the 'macrophage disappearance reaction'. The mechanisms responsible are likely to be specific to each inflammatory insult, but could include cell death, increased adherence to tissue stroma, or emigration from the tissue. A controversial topic is whether monocytes and/or macrophages can migrate from inflamed tissues to draining lymph nodes and present antigen to T cells, thus behaving as "monocyte-derived dendritic cells". This is a longstanding concept in myeloid cell biology and although macrophages do not migrate to lymph nodes under steady state conditions, during inflammation in tissues such as the gut and lung, some monocytes may upregulate CCR7, migrate to draining lymph nodes and present antigen to naïve T cells[180]. As these cells express MafB, but not Zbtb46, they appear to be macrophages rather than part of the genuine dendritic cell lineage[80]. Although this is likely a relatively rare process, it would clearly be an effective way of expanding the range of antigen presenting cells capable of driving effector T cell responses in protective immunity and underlines the plasticity of recruited monocytes.

During recovery from inflammation or infection, the macrophage population typically returns to steady state levels and can also contribute to the restoration of tissue homeostasis. Again it is unclear if these processes reflect differentiation of the recruited inflammatory monocytes/macrophages into macrophages with repair functions or via a second wave of monocyte recruitment and macrophage differentiation. Similarly, it is not known if the replenishment of macrophage numbers occurs through proliferation of the remaining resident macrophage population or additional recruitment of monocytes, or a combination of these processes (Figure 3).

References

1.   Gordon, S. The macrophage: past, present and future. *Eur J Immunol* **37 Suppl 1**, S9–17 (2007).
2.   Gordon, S., Plüddemann, A. & Martinez Estrada, F. Macrophage heterogeneity in tissues: phenotypic diversity and functions. *Immunol Rev* **262**, 36–55 (2014).
3.   Nahrendorf, M. & Swirski, F. K. Abandoning M1/M2 for a Network Model of Macrophage Function. *Circ. Res.* **119**, 414–417 (2016).
4.   Gautier, E. L. *et al.* Gene-expression profiles and transcriptional regulatory pathways that underlie the identity and diversity of mouse tissue macrophages. *Nat Immunol* **13**, 1118–1128 (2012).
5.   Guilliams, M., Bruhns, P., Saeys, Y., Hammad, H. & Lambrecht, B. N. The function of Fcγ receptors in dendritic cells and macrophages. *Nat Rev Immunol* **14**, 94–108 (2014).
6.   Goldmann, T. *et al.* Origin, fate and dynamics of macrophages at central nervous system interfaces. *Nat Immunol* **17**, 797–805 (2016).
7.   Ginhoux, F. & Guilliams, M. Tissue-Resident Macrophage Ontogeny and Homeostasis. *Immunity* **44**, 439–449 (2016).
8.   Guilliams, M. & Scott, C. L. Does niche competition determine the origin of tissue-resident macrophages? *Nat Rev Immunol* **128**, 415 (2017).
9.   Ginhoux, F. & Jung, S. Monocytes and macrophages: developmental pathways and tissue homeostasis. *Nat Rev Immunol* **14**, 392–404 (2014).
10.   Varol, C., Mildner, A. & Jung, S. Macrophages: development and tissue specialization. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **33**, 643–675 (2015).
11.   Hoeffel, G. & Ginhoux, F. Ontogeny of Tissue-Resident Macrophages. *Front Immunol* **6**, 486 (2015).
12.   Perdiguero, E. G. & Geissmann, F. The development and maintenance of resident macrophages. *Nat Immunol* **17**, 2–8 (2016).
13.   Cerovic, V., Bain, C. C., Mowat, A. M. & Milling, S. W. F. Intestinal macrophages and dendritic cells: what's the difference? *Trends Immunol.* **35**, 270–277 (2014).
14.   Bain, C. C. *et al.* Resident and pro-inflammatory macrophages in the colon represent alternative context-dependent fates of the same Ly6Chi monocyte precursors. *Mucosal immunology* **6**, 498–510 (2013).
15.   Rivollier, A., He, J., Kole, A., Valatas, V. & Kelsall, B. L. Inflammation switches the differentiation program of Ly6Chi monocytes from antiinflammatory macrophages to inflammatory dendritic cells in the colon. *J Exp Med* **209**, 139–155 (2012).
16.   Tamoutounour, S. *et al.* CD64 distinguishes macrophages from dendritic cells in the gut and reveals the Th1-inducing role of mesenteric lymph node macrophages during colitis. *Eur J Immunol* (2012).doi:10.1002/eji.201242847
17.   Zigmond, E. *et al.* Ly6C(hi) Monocytes in the Inflamed Colon Give Rise to Proinflammatory Effector Cells and Migratory Antigen-Presenting Cells. *Immunity* **37**, 1076–1090 (2012).

18. Weber, B., Saurer, L., Schenk, M., Dickgreber, N. & Mueller, C. CX3CR1 defines functionally distinct intestinal mononuclear phagocyte subsets which maintain their respective functions during homeostatic and inflammatory conditions. *Eur J Immunol* **41**, 773–779 (2011).

19. Smith, P. D. *et al.* Intestinal macrophages and response to microbial encroachment. *Mucosal immunology* **4**, 31–42 (2011).

20. Schridde, A. *et al.* Tissue-specific differentiation of colonic macrophages requires TGFβ receptor-mediated signaling. *Mucosal immunology* **7**, 32015 (2017).

21. Zigmond, E. *et al.* Macrophage-restricted interleukin-10 receptor deficiency, but not IL-10 deficiency, causes severe spontaneous colitis. *Immunity* **40**, 720–733 (2014).

22. Smith, P. D. *et al.* Intestinal macrophages lack CD14 and CD89 and consequently are down-regulated for LPS- and IgA-mediated activities. *J Immunol* **167**, 2651–2656 (2001).

23. Lavin, Y. *et al.* Tissue-resident macrophage enhancer landscapes are shaped by the local microenvironment. *Cell* **159**, 1312–1326 (2014).

24. Amit, I., Winter, D. R. & Jung, S. The role of the local environment and epigenetics in shaping macrophage identity and their effect on tissue homeostasis. *Nat Immunol* **17**, 18–25 (2016).

25. Bain, C. C. *et al.* Constant replenishment from circulating monocytes maintains the macrophage pool in the intestine of adult mice. *Nat Immunol* (2014).doi:10.1038/ni.2967

26. Smythies, L. E. *et al.* Human intestinal macrophages display profound inflammatory anergy despite avid phagocytic and bacteriocidal activity. *J Clin Invest* **115**, 66–75 (2005).

27. Lahiri, A. & Abraham, C. Activation of pattern recognition receptors up-regulates metallothioneins, thereby increasing intracellular accumulation of zinc, autophagy, and bacterial clearance by macrophages. *Gastroenterology* **147**, 835–846 (2014).

28. D'Angelo, F. *et al.* Macrophages promote epithelial repair through hepatocyte growth factor secretion. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* **174**, 60–72 (2013).

29. Ortiz-Masiá, D. *et al.* Hypoxic macrophages impair autophagy in epithelial cells through Wnt1: relevance in IBD. *Mucosal immunology* **7**, 929–938 (2014).

30. Cosín-Roger, J. *et al.* The activation of Wnt signaling by a STAT6-dependent macrophage phenotype promotes mucosal repair in murine IBD. *Mucosal immunology* **9**, 986–998 (2016).

31. Chng, S. H. *et al.* Ablating the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) in CD11c+ cells perturbs intestinal epithelium development and intestinal immunity. *Sci Rep* **6**, 23820 (2016).

32. Pull, S. L. Activated macrophages are an adaptive element of the colonic epithelial progenitor niche necessary for regenerative responses to injury. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **102**, 99–104 (2005).

33. Sauter, K. A. *et al.* The MacBlue Binary Transgene (csf1r-gal4VP16/UAS-ECFP) Provides a Novel Marker for Visualisation of Subsets of Monocytes, Macrophages and Dendritic Cells and Responsiveness to CSF1 Administration. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e105429 (2014).

34. Huynh, D. *et al.* CSF-1 receptor-dependent colon development, homeostasis and inflammatory stress response. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e56951 (2013).

35. Murai, M. *et al.* Interleukin 10 acts on regulatory T cells to maintain expression of the transcription factor Foxp3 and suppressive function in mice with colitis. *Nat Immunol* **10**, 1178–1184 (2009).

36. Krause, P. *et al.* IL-10-producing intestinal macrophages prevent excessive antibacterial innate immunity by limiting IL-23 synthesis. *Nat Commun* **6**, 7055 (2015).

37. Shaw, M. H., Kamada, N., Kim, Y.-G. & Núñez, G. Microbiota-induced IL-1β, but not IL-6, is critical for the development of steady-state TH17 cells in the intestine. *J Exp Med* **209**, 251–258 (2012).

38. Mortha, A. *et al.* Microbiota-dependent crosstalk between macrophages and ILC3 promotes intestinal homeostasis. *Science* **343**, 1249288–1249288 (2014).

39. Smythies, L. E. *et al.* Inflammation anergy in human intestinal macrophages is due to Smad-induced IkappaBalpha expression and NF-kappaB inactivation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **285**, 19593–19604 (2010).

40. Platt, A. M., Bain, C. C., Bordon, Y., Sester, D. P. & Mowat, A. M. An independent subset of TLR expressing CCR2-dependent macrophages promotes colonic inflammation. *The Journal of Immunology* **184**, 6843–6854 (2010).

41. Takeda, K. *et al.* Enhanced Th1 activity and development of chronic enterocolitis in mice devoid of Stat3 in macrophages and neutrophils. *Immunity* **10**, 39–49 (1999).

42. Glocker, E.-O. *et al.* Inflammatory bowel disease and mutations affecting the interleukin-10

receptor. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **361**, 2033–2045 (2009).

43. Shouval, D. S. *et al.* Interleukin-10 Receptor Signaling in Innate Immune Cells Regulates Mucosal Immune Tolerance and Anti-Inflammatory Macrophage Function. *Immunity* (2014).doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2014.03.011

44. Hadis, U. *et al.* Intestinal tolerance requires gut homing and expansion of FoxP3+ regulatory T cells in the lamina propria. *Immunity* **34**, 237–246 (2011).

45. Denning, T. L. *et al.* Functional specializations of intestinal dendritic cell and macrophage subsets that control Th17 and regulatory T cell responses are dependent on the T cell/APC ratio, source of mouse strain, and regional localization. *The Journal of Immunology* **187**, 733–747 (2011).

46. Mazzini, E., Massimiliano, L., Penna, G. & Rescigno, M. Oral tolerance can be established via gap junction transfer of fed antigens from CX3CR1⁺ macrophages to CD103⁺ dendritic cells. *Immunity* **40**, 248–261 (2014).

47. Rossini, V. *et al.* CX3CR1⁺ cells facilitate the activation of CD4 T cells in the colonic lamina propria during antigen-driven colitis. *Mucosal immunology* **7**, 533–548 (2014).

48. Magnusson, M. K. *et al.* Macrophage and dendritic cell subsets in IBD: ALDH+ cells are reduced in colon tissue of patients with ulcerative colitis regardless of inflammation. *Mucosal immunology* **9**, 171–182 (2016).

49. Sanders, T. J. *et al.* Increased production of retinoic acid by intestinal macrophages contributes to their inflammatory phenotype in patients with Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology* **146**, 1278–88.e1–2 (2014).

50. Mowat, A. M. & Agace, W. W. Regional specialization within the intestinal immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol* **14**, 667–685 (2014).

51. Asano, K. *et al.* Intestinal CD169(+) macrophages initiate mucosal inflammation by secreting CCL8 that recruits inflammatory monocytes. *Nat Commun* **6**, 7802 (2015).

52. Hiemstra, I. H. *et al.* The identification and developmental requirements of colonic CD169⁺ macrophages. *Immunology* **142**, 269–278 (2014).

53. Gabanyi, I. *et al.* Neuro-immune Interactions Drive Tissue Programming in Intestinal Macrophages. *Cell* **164**, 378–391 (2016).

54. Veiga-Fernandes, H. & Mucida, D. Neuro-Immune Interactions at Barrier Surfaces. *Cell* **165**, 801–811 (2016).

55. Farro, G. *et al.* CCR2-dependent monocyte-derived macrophages resolve inflammation and restore gut motility in postoperative ileus. *Gut* gutjnl–2016–313144 (2017).doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2016-313144

56. Misharin, A. V., Morales-Nebreda, L., Mutlu, G. M., Budinger, G. R. S. & Perlman, H. Flow cytometric analysis of macrophages and dendritic cell subsets in the mouse lung. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **49**, 503–510 (2013).

57. Hashimoto, D. *et al.* Tissue-resident macrophages self-maintain locally throughout adult life with minimal contribution from circulating monocytes. *Immunity* **38**, 792–804 (2013).

58. Bharat, A. *et al.* Flow Cytometry Reveals Similarities Between Lung Macrophages in Humans and Mice. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **54**, 147–149 (2016).

59. Guilliams, M. *et al.* Alveolar macrophages develop from fetal monocytes that differentiate into long-lived cells in the first week of life via GM-CSF. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* **210**, 1977–1992 (2013).

60. van de Laar, L. *et al.* Yolk Sac Macrophages, Fetal Liver, and Adult Monocytes Can Colonize an Empty Niche and Develop into Functional Tissue-Resident Macrophages. *Immunity* **44**, 755–768 (2016).

61. Schneider, C. *et al.* Induction of the nuclear receptor PPAR-[gamma] by the cytokine GM-CSF is critical for the differentiation of fetal monocytes into alveolar macrophages. *Nature Immunology* **15**, 1026–1037 (2014).

62. Asada, K., Sasaki, S., Suda, T., Chida, K. & Nakamura, H. Antiinflammatory roles of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma in human alveolar macrophages. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **169**, 195–200 (2004).

63. Aberdein, J. D., Cole, J., Bewley, M. A., Marriott, H. M. & Dockrell, D. H. Alveolar macrophages in pulmonary host defence the unrecognized role of apoptosis as a mechanism of intracellular bacterial killing. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* **174**, 193–202 (2013).

64. Hussell, T. & Bell, T. J. Alveolar macrophages: plasticity in a tissue-specific context. *Nat Rev Immunol* **14**, 81–93 (2014).

65.   Haczku, A. Protective role of the lung collectins surfactant protein A and surfactant protein D in airway inflammation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **122**, 861–79– quiz 880–1 (2008).

66.   Soroosh, P. *et al.* Lung-resident tissue macrophages generate Foxp3+ regulatory T cells and promote airway tolerance. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* **210**, 775–788 (2013).

67.   Bourdonnay, E. *et al.* Transcellular delivery of vesicular SOCS proteins from macrophages to epithelial cells blunts inflammatory signaling. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* **212**, 729–742 (2015).

68.   Westphalen, K. *et al.* Sessile alveolar macrophages communicate with alveolar epithelium to modulate immunity. *Nature* **506**, 503–506 (2014).

69.   Jakubzick, C. *et al.* Minimal differentiation of classical monocytes as they survey steady-state tissues and transport antigen to lymph nodes. *Immunity* **39**, 599–610 (2013).

70.   Gibbings, S. L. *et al.* Three Unique Interstitial Macrophages in the Murine Lung at Steady State. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* rcmb.2016–0361OC (2017).doi:10.1165/rcmb.2016-0361OC

71.   Becher, B. *et al.* High-dimensional analysis of the murine myeloid cell system. *Nat Immunol* **15**, 1181–1189 (2014).

72.   Landsman, L. & Jung, S. Lung macrophages serve as obligatory intermediate between blood monocytes and alveolar macrophages. *J Immunol* **179**, 3488–3494 (2007).

73.   Bedoret, D. *et al.* Lung interstitial macrophages alter dendritic cell functions to prevent airway allergy in mice. *J Clin Invest* **119**, 3723–3738 (2009).

74.   Kawano, H. *et al.* IL-10-producing lung interstitial macrophages prevent neutrophilic asthma. *Int. Immunol.* **28**, 489–501 (2016).

75.   Brody, A. R. *et al.* Interstitial pulmonary macrophages produce platelet-derived growth factor that stimulates rat lung fibroblast proliferation in vitro. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **51**, 640–648 (1992).

76.   Bain, C. C. *et al.* Long-lived self-renewing bone marrow-derived macrophages displace embryo-derived cells to inhabit adult serous cavities. *Nat Commun* **7**, ncomms11852 (2016).

77.   Gomez Perdiguero, E. *et al.* Tissue-resident macrophages originate from yolk-sac-derived erythro-myeloid progenitors. *Nature* **518**, 547–551 (2015).

78.   Valladeau, J. *et al.* Langerin, a novel C-type lectin specific to Langerhans cells, is an endocytic receptor that induces the formation of Birbeck granules. *Immunity* **12**, 71–81 (2000).

79.   Malissen, B., Tamoutounour, S. & Henri, S. The origins and functions of dendritic cells and macrophages in the skin. *Nat Rev Immunol* **14**, 417–428 (2014).

80.   Wu, X. *et al.* Mafb lineage tracing to distinguish macrophages from other immune lineages reveals dual identity of Langerhans cells. *J Exp Med* **80**, jem.20160600 (2016).

81.   Guilliams, M. *et al.* Unsupervised High-Dimensional Analysis Aligns Dendritic Cells across Tissues and Species. *Immunity* **45**, 669–684 (2016).

82.   Artyomov, M. N. *et al.* Modular expression analysis reveals functional conservation between human Langerhans cells and mouse cross-priming dendritic cells. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* **212**, 743–757 (2015).

83.   Wang, Y. *et al.* IL-34 is a tissue-restricted ligand of CSF1R required for the development of Langerhans cells and microglia. *Nat Immunol* **13**, 753–760 (2012).

84.   Greter, M. *et al.* GM-CSF controls nonlymphoid tissue dendritic cell homeostasis but is dispensable for the differentiation of inflammatory dendritic cells. *Immunity* **36**, 1031–1046 (2012).

85.   Mohammed, J. *et al.* Stromal cells control the epithelial residence of DCs and memory T cells by regulated activation of TGF-β. *Nat Immunol* **17**, 414–421 (2016).

86.   Shklovskaya, E. *et al.* Langerhans cells are precommitted to immune tolerance induction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**, 18049–18054 (2011).

87.   Bobr, A. *et al.* Acute ablation of Langerhans cells enhances skin immune responses. *The Journal of Immunology* **185**, 4724–4728 (2010).

88.   Tamoutounour, S. *et al.* Origins and functional specialization of macrophages and of conventional and monocyte-derived dendritic cells in mouse skin. *Immunity* **39**, 925–938 (2013).

89.   Hoeffel, G. *et al.* C-myb(+) erythro-myeloid progenitor-derived fetal monocytes give rise to adult tissue-resident macrophages. *Immunity* **42**, 665–678 (2015).

90.   Dai, X.-M. *et al.* Targeted disruption of the mouse colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor gene results in osteopetrosis, mononuclear phagocyte deficiency, increased primitive progenitor

cell frequencies, and reproductive defects. *Blood* **99**, 111–120 (2002).

91.   Haniffa, M. *et al.* Differential rates of replacement of human dermal dendritic cells and macrophages during hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* **206**, 371–385 (2009).

92.   McGovern, N. *et al.* Human dermal CD14⁺ cells are a transient population of monocyte-derived macrophages. *Immunity* **41**, 465–477 (2014).

93.   Scott, C. L. *et al.* Bone marrow-derived monocytes give rise to self-renewing and fully differentiated Kupffer cells. *Nat Commun* **7**, 10321 (2016).

94.   Sawai, C. M. *et al.* Hematopoietic Stem Cells Are the Major Source of Multilineage Hematopoiesis in Adult Animals. *Immunity* **45**, 597–609 (2016).

95.   Theurl, I. *et al.* On-demand erythrocyte disposal and iron recycling requires transient macrophages in the liver. *Nat Med* **22**, 945–951 (2016).

96.   Helmy, K. Y. *et al.* CRIg: a macrophage complement receptor required for phagocytosis of circulating pathogens. *Cell* **124**, 915–927 (2006).

97.   Beattie, L. *et al.* Bone marrow-derived and resident liver macrophages display unique transcriptomic signatures but similar biological functions. *Journal of Hepatology* **65**, 758–768 (2016).

98.   Yang, C.-Y., Chen, J.-B., Tsai, T.-F., Tsai, C.-Y. & Hsieh, S.-L. CLEC4F Is an Inducible C-Type Lectin in F4/80-Positive Cells and Is Involved in Alpha-Galactosylceramide Presentation in Liver. 1–14 (2013).doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065070

99.   Pulford, K. A., Sipos, A., Cordell, J. L., Stross, W. P. & Mason, D. Y. Distribution of the CD68 macrophage/myeloid associated antigen. *Int. Immunol.* **2**, 973–980 (1990).

100.   Fabriek, B. O., Dijkstra, C. D. & van den Berg, T. K. The macrophage scavenger receptor CD163. *Immunobiology* **210**, 153–160 (2005).

101.   Balmer, M. L. *et al.* The liver may act as a firewall mediating mutualism between the host and its gut commensal microbiota. *Sci Transl Med* **6**, 237ra66 (2014).

102.   Szabo, G., Bala, S., Petrasek, J. & Gattu, A. Gut-liver axis and sensing microbes. *Dig Dis* **28**, 737–744 (2010).

103.   Pabst, O. & Mowat, A. M. Oral tolerance to food protein. *Mucosal immunology* **5**, 232–239 (2012).

104.   Callery, M. P., Kamei, T. & Flye, M. W. The effect of portacaval shunt on delayed-hypersensitivity responses following antigen feeding. *J. Surg. Res.* **46**, 391–394 (1989).

105.   Chen, Y. *et al.* Induction of immune hyporesponsiveness after portal vein immunization with ovalbumin. *Surgery* **129**, 66–75 (2001).

106.   Cantor, H. M. & Dumont, A. E. Hepatic suppression of sensitization to antigen absorbed into the portal system. *Nature* **215**, 744–745 (1967).

107.   Yang, R., Liu, Q., Grosfeld, J. L. & Pescovitz, M. D. Intestinal venous drainage through the liver is a prerequisite for oral tolerance induction. *J. Pediatr. Surg.* **29**, 1145–1148 (1994).

108.   Callery, M. P., Kamei, T. & Flye, M. W. Kupffer cell blockade inhibits induction of tolerance by the portal venous route. *Transplantation* **47**, 1092–1094 (1989).

109.   Sato, K., Yabuki, K., Haba, T. & Maekawa, T. Role of Kupffer cells in the induction of tolerance after liver transplantation. *J. Surg. Res.* **63**, 433–438 (1996).

110.   Ju, C., McCoy, J. P., Chung, C. J., Graf, M. L. M. & Pohl, L. R. Tolerogenic role of Kupffer cells in allergic reactions. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **16**, 1514–1519 (2003).

111.   Tay, S. S. *et al.* Intrahepatic Activation of Naive CD4+ T Cells by Liver-Resident Phagocytic Cells. *The Journal of Immunology* **193**, 2087–2095 (2014).

112.   Breous, E., Somanathan, S., Vandenberghe, L. H. & Wilson, J. M. Hepatic regulatory T cells and Kupffer cells are crucial mediators of systemic T cell tolerance to antigens targeting murine liver. *Hepatology* **50**, 612–621 (2009).

113.   Rescigno, M., Lopatin, U. & Chieppa, M. Interactions among dendritic cells, macrophages, and epithelial cells in the gut: implications for immune tolerance. *Curr Opin Immunol* **20**, 669–675 (2008).

114.   Thomson, A. W. & Knolle, P. A. Antigen-presenting cell function in the tolerogenic liver environment. *Nat Rev Immunol* **10**, 753–766 (2010).

115.   Krenkel, O. & Tacke, F. Liver macrophages in tissue homeostasis and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol* **17**, 306–321 (2017).

116.   Wiegard, C. *et al.* Murine liver antigen presenting cells control suppressor activity of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *Hepatology* **42**, 193–199 (2005).

117. Ganz, T. Macrophages and systemic iron homeostasis. *J Innate Immun* **4**, 446–453 (2012).
118. Franken, L. *et al.* Splenic red pulp macrophages are intrinsically superparamagnetic and contaminate magnetic cell isolates. *Sci Rep* **5**, 12940 (2015).
119. Nemeth, E. *et al.* Hepcidin regulates cellular iron efflux by binding to ferroportin and inducing its internalization. *Science* **306**, 2090–2093 (2004).
120. Barton, J. C. & Acton, R. T. Hemochromatosis and Vibrio vulnificus wound infections. *J Clin Gastroenterol* **43**, 890–893 (2009).
121. Bergmann, T. K., Vinding, K. & Hey, H. Multiple hepatic abscesses due to Yersinia enterocolitica infection secondary to primary haemochromatosis. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* **36**, 891–895 (2001).
122. Frank, K. M., Schneewind, O. & Shieh, W.-J. Investigation of a researcher's death due to septicemic plague. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **364**, 2563–2564 (2011).
123. Wenfeng, Z. *et al.* Kupffer cells: increasingly significant role in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Ann Hepatol* **13**, 489–495 (2014).
124. Navarro, L. A. *et al.* Arginase 2 deficiency results in spontaneous steatohepatitis: a novel link between innate immune activation and hepatic de novo lipogenesis. *Journal of Hepatology* **62**, 412–420 (2015).
125. Sharma, M. *et al.* The Riddle of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Progression From Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver to Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis. *J Clin Exp Hepatol* **5**, 147–158 (2015).
126. Bain, C. C. & Mowat, A. M. Macrophages in intestinal homeostasis and inflammation. *Immunol Rev* **260**, 102–117 (2014).
127. Grainger, J. R. *et al.* Inflammatory monocytes regulate pathologic responses to commensals during acute gastrointestinal infection. *Nat Med* **19**, 713–721 (2013).
128. Arnold, I. C. *et al.* CD11c(+) monocyte/macrophages promote chronic Helicobacter hepaticus-induced intestinal inflammation through the production of IL-23. *Mucosal immunology* **9**, 352–363 (2016).
129. Dunay, I. R., Fuchs, A. & Sibley, L. D. Inflammatory monocytes but not neutrophils are necessary to control infection with Toxoplasma gondii in mice. *Infect. Immun.* **78**, 1564–1570 (2010).
130. Waddell, A. *et al.* Intestinal CCL11 and eosinophilic inflammation is regulated by myeloid cell-specific RelA/p65 in mice. *The Journal of Immunology* **190**, 4773–4785 (2013).
131. Seo, S.-U. *et al.* Intestinal macrophages arising from CCR2(+) monocytes control pathogen infection by activating innate lymphoid cells. *Nat Commun* **6**, 8010 (2015).
132. Schulthess, J. *et al.* Interleukin-15-dependent NKp46+ innate lymphoid cells control intestinal inflammation by recruiting inflammatory monocytes. *Immunity* **37**, 108–121 (2012).
133. Askenase, M. H. *et al.* Bone-Marrow-Resident NK Cells Prime Monocytes for Regulatory Function during Infection. *Immunity* **42**, 1130–1142 (2015).
134. Kreider, T., Anthony, R. M., Urban, J. F. & Gause, W. C. Alternatively activated macrophages in helminth infections. *Curr Opin Immunol* **19**, 448–453 (2007).
135. Little, M. C., Hurst, R. J. M. & Else, K. J. Dynamic changes in macrophage activation and proliferation during the development and resolution of intestinal inflammation. *The Journal of Immunology* **193**, 4684–4695 (2014).
136. Filbey, K. J. *et al.* Innate and adaptive type 2 immune cell responses in genetically controlled resistance to intestinal helminth infection. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* **92**, 436–448 (2014).
137. Jenkins, S. J. *et al.* Local macrophage proliferation, rather than recruitment from the blood, is a signature of TH2 inflammation. *Science* **332**, 1284–1288 (2011).
138. Qualls, J. E., Kaplan, A. M., van Rooijen, N. & Cohen, D. A. Suppression of experimental colitis by intestinal mononuclear phagocytes. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **80**, 802–815 (2006).
139. Mizuno, S. *et al.* Cross-talk between RORγt+ innate lymphoid cells and intestinal macrophages induces mucosal IL-22 production in Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* **20**, 1426–1434 (2014).
140. Rani, R., Smulian, A. G., Greaves, D. R., Hogan, S. P. & Herbert, D. R. TGF-β limits IL-33 production and promotes the resolution of colitis through regulation of macrophage function. *Eur J Immunol* **41**, 2000–2009 (2011).
141. Duffield, J. S., Lupher, M., Thannickal, V. J. & Wynn, T. A. Host responses in tissue repair and fibrosis. *Annu Rev Pathol* **8**, 241–276 (2013).
142. Herbert, D. R. *et al.* Arginase I suppresses IL-12/IL-23p40-driven intestinal inflammation

during acute schistosomiasis. *The Journal of Immunology* **184**, 6438–6446 (2010).

143. Malvin, N. P., Seno, H. & Stappenbeck, T. S. Colonic epithelial response to injury requires Myd88 signaling in myeloid cells. *Mucosal immunology* **5**, 194–206 (2012).

144. Vannella, K. M. & Wynn, T. A. Mechanisms of Organ Injury and Repair by Macrophages. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **79**, 593–617 (2017).

145. Marriott, H. M. *et al.* Interleukin-1β regulates CXCL8 release and influences disease outcome in response to Streptococcus pneumoniae, defining intercellular cooperation between pulmonary epithelial cells and macrophages. *Infect. Immun.* **80**, 1140–1149 (2012).

146. Goritzka, M. *et al.* Alveolar macrophage-derived type I interferons orchestrate innate immunity to RSV through recruitment of antiviral monocytes. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* **212**, 699–714 (2015).

147. Kim, E. Y. *et al.* Persistent activation of an innate immune response translates respiratory viral infection into chronic lung disease. *Nat Med* **14**, 633–640 (2008).

148. Balhara, J. & Gounni, A. S. The alveolar macrophages in asthma: a double-edged sword. *Mucosal immunology* **5**, 605–609 (2012).

149. Bang, B. R. *et al.* Alveolar macrophages modulate allergic inflammation in a murine model of asthma. *Exp. Mol. Med.* **43**, 275–280 (2011).

150. Magnan, A., van Pee, D., Bongrand, P. & Vervloet, D. Alveolar macrophage interleukin (IL)-10 and IL-12 production in atopic asthma. *Allergy* **53**, 1092–1095 (1998).

151. Burastero, S. E. *et al.* Increased expression of the CD80 accessory molecule by alveolar macrophages in asthmatic subjects and its functional involvement in allergen presentation to autologous TH2 lymphocytes. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **103**, 1136–1142 (1999).

152. Sabatel, C. *et al.* Exposure to Bacterial CpG DNA Protects from Airway Allergic Inflammation by Expanding Regulatory Lung Interstitial Macrophages. *Immunity* **46**, 457–473 (2017).

153. Temelkovski, J., Kumar, R. K. & Maronese, S. E. Enhanced production of an EGF-like growth factor by parenchymal macrophages following bleomycin-induced pulmonary injury. *Exp. Lung Res.* **23**, 377–391 (1997).

154. Melloni, B. *et al.* Effect of exposure to silica on human alveolar macrophages in supporting growth activity in type II epithelial cells. *Thorax* **51**, 781–786 (1996).

155. Morimoto, K. *et al.* Alveolar macrophages that phagocytose apoptotic neutrophils produce hepatocyte growth factor during bacterial pneumonia in mice. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **24**, 608–615 (2001).

156. Huffman Reed, J. A. *et al.* GM-CSF enhances lung growth and causes alveolar type II epithelial cell hyperplasia in transgenic mice. *Am. J. Physiol.* **273**, L715–25 (1997).

157. Cakarova, L. *et al.* Macrophage tumor necrosis factor-alpha induces epithelial expression of granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor: impact on alveolar epithelial repair. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **180**, 521–532 (2009).

158. Fadok, V. A. *et al.* Macrophages that have ingested apoptotic cells in vitro inhibit proinflammatory cytokine production through autocrine/paracrine mechanisms involving TGF-beta, PGE2, and PAF. *J Clin Invest* **101**, 890–898 (1998).

159. Gibbons, M. A. *et al.* Ly6Chi monocytes direct alternatively activated profibrotic macrophage regulation of lung fibrosis. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **184**, 569–581 (2011).

160. Khalil, N., Bereznay, O., Sporn, M. & Greenberg, A. H. Macrophage production of transforming growth factor beta and fibroblast collagen synthesis in chronic pulmonary inflammation. *J Exp Med* **170**, 727–737 (1989).

161. Prasse, A. *et al.* A vicious circle of alveolar macrophages and fibroblasts perpetuates pulmonary fibrosis via CCL18. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* **173**, 781–792 (2006).

162. Osterholzer, J. J. *et al.* Implicating exudate macrophages and Ly-6C(high) monocytes in CCR2-dependent lung fibrosis following gene-targeted alveolar injury. *The Journal of Immunology* **190**, 3447–3457 (2013).

163. Misharin, A. V. *et al.* Monocyte-derived alveolar macrophages drive lung fibrosis and persist in the lung over the life span. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* **214**, 2387–2404 (2017).

164. Igyártó, B. Z. *et al.* Skin-resident murine dendritic cell subsets promote distinct and opposing antigen-specific T helper cell responses. *Immunity* **35**, 260–272 (2011).

165. Allan, R. S. *et al.* Epidermal viral immunity induced by CD8alpha+ dendritic cells but not by Langerhans cells. *Science* **301**, 1925–1928 (2003).

166. Allan, R. S. *et al.* Migratory dendritic cells transfer antigen to a lymph node-resident dendritic cell population for efficient CTL priming. *Immunity* **25**, 153–162 (2006).

167. Kim, J. H. *et al.* CD1a on Langerhans cells controls inflammatory skin disease. *Nat Immunol* **17**, 1159–1166 (2016).

168. Singh, T. P. *et al.* Monocyte-derived inflammatory Langerhans cells and dermal dendritic cells mediate psoriasis-like inflammation. *Nat Commun* **7**, 13581 (2016).

169. Abtin, A. *et al.* Perivascular macrophages mediate neutrophil recruitment during bacterial skin infection. *Nat Immunol* **15**, 45–53 (2014).

170. Knipper, J. A. *et al.* Interleukin-4 Receptor α Signaling in Myeloid Cells Controls Collagen Fibril Assembly in Skin Repair. *Immunity* **43**, 803–816 (2015).

171. Kolios, G., Valatas, V. & Kouroumalis, E. Role of Kupffer cells in the pathogenesis of liver disease. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **12**, 7413–7420 (2006).

172. Ellett, J. D. *et al.* Murine Kupffer cells are protective in total hepatic ischemia/reperfusion injury with bowel congestion through IL-10. *The Journal of Immunology* **184**, 5849–5858 (2010).

173. Tomiyama, K. *et al.* Inhibition of Kupffer cell-mediated early proinflammatory response with carbon monoxide in transplant-induced hepatic ischemia/reperfusion injury in rats. *Hepatology* **48**, 1608–1620 (2008).

174. Giakoustidis, D. E. *et al.* Blockade of Kupffer cells by gadolinium chloride reduces lipid peroxidation and protects liver from ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Hepatogastroenterology* **50**, 1587–1592 (2003).

175. Boltjes, A., Movita, D., Boonstra, A. & Woltman, A. M. The role of Kupffer cells in hepatitis B and hepatitis C virus infections. *Journal of Hepatology* **61**, 660–671 (2014).

176. Zigmond, E. *et al.* Infiltrating Monocyte-Derived Macrophages and Resident Kupffer Cells Display Different Ontogeny and Functions in Acute Liver Injury. *The Journal of Immunology* (2014).doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1400574

177. Mossanen, J. C. *et al.* Chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 2-positive monocytes aggravate the early phase of acetaminophen-induced acute liver injury. *Hepatology* **64**, 1667–1682 (2016).

178. Blériot, C. *et al.* Liver-resident macrophage necroptosis orchestrates type 1 microbicidal inflammation and type-2-mediated tissue repair during bacterial infection. *Immunity* **42**, 145–158 (2015).

179. Gundra, U. M. *et al.* Alternatively activated macrophages derived from monocytes and tissue macrophages are phenotypically and functionally distinct. *Blood* **123**, e110–e122 (2014).

180. Jakubzick, C. V., Randolph, G. J. & Henson, P. M. Monocyte differentiation and antigen-presenting functions. *Nat Rev Immunol* **107**, 1159 (2017).

181. Cain, D. W. *et al.* Identification of a tissue-specific, C/EBPβ-dependent pathway of differentiation for murine peritoneal macrophages. *The Journal of Immunology* **191**, 4665–4675 (2013).

182. Nakamura, A. *et al.* Transcription repressor Bach2 is required for pulmonary surfactant homeostasis and alveolar macrophage function. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* **210**, 2191–2204 (2013).

183. Mass, E. *et al.* Specification of tissue-resident macrophages during organogenesis. *Science* **353**, aaf4238–aaf4238 (2016).

184. Misharin, A. V., Morales-Nebreda, L., Mutlu, G. M., Budinger, G. R. S. & Perlman, H. Flow Cytometric Analysis of Macrophages and Dendritic Cell Subsets in the Mouse Lung. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* **49**, 503–510 (2013).

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Barrier tissue macrophage function under homeostatic conditions. A) Resident macrophages in the lamina propria (LP) of the intestine express high levels of many receptors for apoptotic cells, ideal for clearing the large amounts of cell death found in this rapidly turning over tissue[14,18-22]. Production of trophic factors for epithelial stem cells and tissue remodelling metalloproteinases helps maintain barrier integrity[20,28-34]. Being actively phagocytic and bactericidal, lamina propria macrophages are crucial in shaping host-microbiota symbiosis and may send processes across the epithelial barrier to sample contents of the lumen. They acquire many antigens avidly and pass these on to neighbouring migratory dendritic cells for presentation to T cells in the draining mesenteric lymph node[46,47]. Intestinal macrophages in adults are replenished continuously by circulating Ly6C^{hi} monocytes[14,16,25]. These differentiate locally via a number of intermediary stages in the so-called "monocyte waterfall" under the control of environmental signals such as TGFβ[20], a process associated with the expression of the transcription factors RUNX3 and KLF10 (Ref. 23). The inability of mature intestinal macrophages to respond to pattern recognition receptor triggering is controlled by IL10 (Refs 21, 41-43). Constitutive production of IL10 and other cytokines sustains the survival of other immune cells in the vicinity, including FoxP3$^+$ T$_{reg}$[35,44] and type 3 innate lymphoid cells (ILC3) (Ref. 38), while release of chemokines such as CCL2 allows the macrophages to recruit their own monocyte precursors and other leukocytes[17,20]. Macrophages in the muscularis mucosa are involved in two-way interactions with sympathetic neurons of the enteric nervous system and express high levels of the β2 adrenergic receptor (β2AR)[53,54]. Signalling through the β2AR drives anti-inflammatory and pro-repair properties in the macrophages, including the production of IL10 and RELMα, while bone morphogenic protein 2 (BMP2) produced by muscularis macrophages in response to microbial signals regulates neuronal function[53,54]. B) Alveolar macrophages (AMs) in the lung are crucial for maintaining patency of the alveolar space, where they regulate surfactant levels and phagocytose inhaled microbes and other particulate materials[59,61]. They communicate intimately with alveolar epithelial cells, removing dead cells and controlling their renewal. Alveolar macrophages maintain an anti-inflammatory environment via expression of inhibitory cytokines and receptors that regulate T cell responses and local innate immune reactions. Alveolar macrophages are derived from foetal liver monocytes during the neonatal period that subsequently self-renew for much of adult life[57,59]. Alveolar macrophage differentiation is driven by CSF2 acting by inducing the expression of the TF PPARγ[59,61,62], whose ligands may include lipid-rich materials such as surfactant present in the alveolar space. Other TFs involved in alveolar macrophage development include Bach2 and C/EBPβ[181,182]. The specific functions of steady state interstitial macrophages (IMs) are not yet known, but may include second line defence against microbes, promotion of anti-inflammatory T cell responses and shaping local dendritic cell functions[73]. The origin(s) of interstitial macrophages remain controversial[69,70,76,77] and the signals and transcription factors involved in their specification are yet to be determined.

Figure 2: Barrier tissue macrophage function under homeostatic conditions. A) Langerhans cells (LCs) in the epidermis have transcriptional and functional properties of both macrophages and dendritic cells[79,80]. They are highly phagocytic and proficient at acquiring antigen from the environment, but can also transport this to draining lymph nodes and

present it to T cells, helping to maintain tolerance in the steady state. Langerhans cells are derived from yolk sac precursors and foetal liver monocytes[77, 89], and their differentiation is regulated by TGFβ[85] and the CSF1R ligand IL34 (Refs. 83), together with the transcription factors AhR and RUNX3. Dermal macrophages appear to contain descendants of both embryonic precursors and Ly6C^{hi} monocytes, with the latter being dominant in adult life[88], but the factors involved in their differentiation and their tissue-specific roles remain to be determined. B) Kupffer cells are located at the intersection of the enteric and peripheral circulatory systems. Thus they are in an ideal position to act as a firewall against microbes and other factors arriving from the intestine in the portal veins[101,102], and they have been implicated in maintaining tolerance to these materials, either directly by presenting antigen to T cells, or by maintaining an immunosuppressive local environment[103-114]. Kupffer cells play a crucial role in recycling of iron from senescent red blood cells and are also involved in metabolism of lipids and transport of the resulting products into bile[95,117]. As they are closely associated with other parenchymal cells such as hepatocytes and liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs), it is likely that Kupffer cells may be important in the homeostasis of these cell types[8]. Kupffer cells develop from foetal liver monocyte precursors[89] and this is driven by heme derived from the recycling of effete red blood cells[95], together with the transcription factor Id3 [183]. Additional, as yet unidentified, signals are likely to be involved in the specification of all these tissue-resident macrophage populations.

Figure 3: Macrophages in barrier tissues under non-homeostatic conditions. Disruption of homeostasis by infection or inflammation leads to the recruitment of Ly6C^{hi} monocytes and other inflammatory leukocytes such as neutrophils and eosinophils. The Ly6C^{hi} monocytes generate inflammatory macrophages and together, these are the main sources of mediators such as TNFα, IL1 and IL6 (Refs. 17, 176). It appears that most of these monocytes do not differentiate into fully mature macrophages as they would under homeostatic conditions, due to an arrest in this process and so these inflammatory cells may be short-lived[14]. An additional source of pro-inflammatory macrophages during inflammation may be monocytes whose properties have already been programmed differently before leaving the bone marrow in response to signals generated in the inflamed tissue[133]. The role of the original tissue resident macrophage population in inflammation remains unclear. They may act as early sentinels of tissue damage and recruit monocytes and granulocytes via production of CCL2, CCL8, CCL11, CXCL2 and other chemokines. However in many tissues, the numbers of resident macrophages are often reduced during the immediate response to tissue injury, the so-called macrophage disappearance reaction. Whether the remaining cells can alter their normal anti-inflammatory properties to contribute to pathology and protective immunity is not fully understood and may depend on the circumstances or tissue involved[14,17,137,146,163, 168,176]. Many questions also remain unanswered regarding the fate of the monocytes and macrophages upon return to homeostasis. For example, do activated tissue-resident macrophages return to steady state? Do the recruited monocyte-derived macrophages die, persist in the tissue as monocyte-derived macrophages or become monocyte-derived tissue resident macrophages? Finally, it is unclear if additional monocytes are recruited to help replenish the resident macrophage niche and how each of these cells might contribute to the tissue repair process.

Table 1: Surface Markers of Major Macrophage Subsets in Barrier Tissues.

Tissue	Macrophage Subset	Surface Phenotype		References
		Mouse	Human	
Intestine	Lamina Propria	$CD64^+ SIRP\alpha^+$ $MHCII^+ CD163^+$ $CD68^+$ $F4/80^+$ $MerTK^+ CD11b^+$ $CX3CR1^{hi}$ $CD11c^{+/-}$ $CD206^+$ $Tim4^{+/-} \alpha v\beta 5^+$ $CD36^+$	$CD64^+ SIRP\alpha^+$ $HLA\text{-}DR^+ CD163^+$	14,16-20,22,81
Lung	Alveolar	$CD64^+ F4/80^+$ $MerTK^+ SIRP\alpha^+$ $CD11b^- MHCII^-$ $CX3CR1^- CD11c^{hi}$ $SiglecF^+ CD169^+$ $CD206^+ CD163^-$	$CD64^+ CD11b^+$ $HLA\text{-}DR^+ CD163^+$ $Siglec8^- CD169^+$	57,58,81,184
	Interstitial	$CD64^+ CD14^+$ $MHCII^+ SIRP\alpha^+$ $F4/80^+$ $MerTK^+ CD11b^+$ $CX3CR1^{hi}$ $CD11c^{+/-}$	$CD64^+ CD14^+$ $HLA\text{-}DR^+ SIRP\alpha^+$ $CD36^+$ $CD169^-$ $CD11c^{+/-}$	58,69-71,81,184
Skin	Langerhans Cells	$CD64^- F4/80^+$ $MerTK^- CD11c^+$ $CD11b^+ EpCam^+$ $MHCII^+ SIRP\alpha^+$ $CD207^+ CD24^+$ $CD26^-$	$CD1a^+ CD14^+$ $HLA\text{-}DR^+ CD207^+$	78,79,81,82
	Dermal	$CD64^+ F4/80^+$ $MerTK^+ EpCam^-$ $CD207^- MHCII^{+/-}$ $CD11c^{+/-}$	$CD64^+ CD1a^- CD14^+$ $FXIIIa^+ CD163^+$	81,88,91
Liver	Kupffer Cells	$CD64^+ F4/80^+$ $MerTK^+ MHCII^+$ $CD11b^{int} CD11c^{lo}$ $Clec4F^+ VSIG4^+$ $Tim4^+ SIRP\alpha^+$ $CX3CR1^-$	$CD64^+$ $CD163^+$ $CD68^+ VSIG4^+$ $Tim4^+$	23,81,93,95-100,176