



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Clinical Phenotypes and Comorbidity in European Sleep Apnoea Patients

Citation for published version:

Saaresranta, T, Hedner, J, Bonsignore, MR, Riha, RL, McNicholas, WT, Penzel, T, Anttalainen, U, Kvamme, J-A, Pretl, M, Sliwinski, P, Verbraecken, J & Grote, L 2016, 'Clinical Phenotypes and Comorbidity in European Sleep Apnoea Patients', *PLoS ONE*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163439>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1371/journal.pone.0163439](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163439)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

PLoS ONE

Publisher Rights Statement:

© 2016 Saaresranta et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Clinical Phenotypes and Comorbidity in European Sleep Apnoea Patients

Tarja Saaresranta^{1,2*}, Jan Hedner^{3,4}, Maria R. Bonsignore^{5,6}, Renata L. Riha⁷, Walter T. McNicholas^{8,9}, Thomas Penzel^{10,11}, Ulla Anttalainen^{1,2}, John Arthur Kvamme¹², Martin Pretl^{13,14}, Pawel Sliwinski¹⁵, Johan Verbraecken^{16,17}, Ludger Grote^{3,4}, ESADA Study Group¹

1 Division of Medicine, Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland, **2** Sleep Research Centre, Department of Physiology, University of Turku, Turku, Finland, **3** Department of Sleep Medicine, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden, **4** Sahlgrenska Academy, Gothenburg University, Gothenburg, Sweden, **5** Biomedical Department of Internal and Specialistic Medicine (DIBIMIS), University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy, **6** CNR Institute of Biomedicine and Molecular Immunology, Palermo, Italy, **7** Department of Sleep Medicine, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom, **8** Department of Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, St. Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland, **9** Conway Research Institute, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland, **10** Schlafmedizinisches Zentrum, Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, **11** International Clinical Research Center, St. Anne's University Hospital Brno, Brno, Czech Republic, **12** Department of ENT, Førde Central Hospital, Førde, Norway, **13** Centre for Sleep and Waking Disorders, Department of Neurology, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic, **14** Inspamed, Neurology and Sleep Laboratory, Prague, Czech Republic, **15** Institute of Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases, 4th Department of Respiratory Medicine, Warsaw, Poland, **16** Multidisciplinary Sleep Disorders Centre, Antwerp University Hospital, Antwerp, Belgium, **17** University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

[†] The complete membership of the author group can be found in the Acknowledgments.

* tasaare@utu.fi



CrossMark
click for updates

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Saaresranta T, Hedner J, Bonsignore MR, Riha RL, McNicholas WT, Penzel T, et al. (2016) Clinical Phenotypes and Comorbidity in European Sleep Apnoea Patients. PLoS ONE 11(10): e0163439. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163439

Editor: Shahrad Taheri, Weill Cornell Medical College in Qatar, QATAR

Received: March 22, 2016

Accepted: September 8, 2016

Published: October 4, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Saaresranta et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The authors thank the European Sleep Research Society (ESRS) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS) for their nonfinancial support in terms of logistics for communication, meetings and data presentations. The ESADA is supported by a current Clinical Research Cooperation (CRC) grant. The authors acknowledge the financial support of Philips Respiration PLC and ResMed PLC, which each provided unrestricted grants to support overall

Abstract

Background

Clinical presentation phenotypes of obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) and their association with comorbidity as well as impact on adherence to continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) treatment have not been established.

Methods

A prospective follow-up cohort of adult patients with OSA (apnoea-hypopnoea index (AHI) of $\geq 5/h$) from 17 European countries and Israel ($n = 6,555$) was divided into four clinical presentation phenotypes based on daytime symptoms labelled as excessive daytime sleepiness (“EDS”) and nocturnal sleep problems other than OSA (labelled as “insomnia”): 1) EDS (daytime+/nighttime-), 2) EDS/insomnia (daytime+/nighttime+), 3) non-EDS/non-insomnia (daytime-/nighttime-), 4) and insomnia (daytime-/nighttime+) phenotype.

Results

The EDS phenotype comprised 20.7%, the non-EDS/non-insomnia type 25.8%, the EDS/insomnia type 23.7%, and the insomnia phenotype 29.8% of the entire cohort. Thus, clinical presentation phenotypes with insomnia symptoms were dominant with 53.5%, but only

maintenance of the European Sleep Apnea Database (ESADA) Project. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: JH reports that the ESADA database has received two enabling grants from ResMed and Philips Respironics and a Clinical Research Cooperation (CRC) grant from the European Respiratory Society. LG reports grants, personal fees and other from Resmed, grants, personal fees and non-financial support from Philips and Weinmann, personal fees from Breas and Mundipharma as well as non-financial support from Itamar, outside the submitted work. TP reports grants from ImThera, grants from Itamar, Heinen and Löwenstein, Resmed and from Philips / Respironics, outside the submitted work. TS reports grants from Paulo Foundation, grants from Governmental Grant of Turku University Hospital, grants from Finnish Anti-Tuberculosis Association Foundation, grants from Respiratory Alliance Foundation, grants from Väinö and Laina Kivi Foundation, grants from Ahokas Foundation, during the conduct of the study. MRB, RLR, WTMcN, UA, JAK, MP, PS, and JV have nothing to disclose. This does not alter our adherence to PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials.

5.6% had physician diagnosed insomnia. Cardiovascular comorbidity was less prevalent in the EDS and most common in the insomnia phenotype (48.9% vs. 56.8%, $p < 0.001$) despite more severe OSA in the EDS group (AHI $35.0 \pm 25.5/h$ vs. $27.9 \pm 22.5/h$, $p < 0.001$, respectively). Psychiatric comorbidity was associated with insomnia like OSA phenotypes independent of age, gender and body mass index (HR 1.5 (1.188–1.905), $p < 0.001$). The EDS phenotype tended to associate with higher CPAP usage (22.7 min/d, $p = 0.069$) when controlled for age, gender, BMI and sleep apnoea severity.

Conclusions

Phenotypes with insomnia symptoms comprised more than half of OSA patients and were more frequently linked with comorbidity than those with EDS, despite less severe OSA. CPAP usage was slightly higher in phenotypes with EDS.

Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) is an important health problem which is associated with a reduced quality of life, as well as an increased risk of cardiovascular and metabolic co-morbidity and mortality [1–5]. The European Sleep Apnoea Database (ESADA) has recruited a large cohort of patients referred to sleep centres for evaluation of suspected OSA. This collaborative project assesses patient characteristics [6], clinical practice [7] and patient outcomes at European sleep centres.

OSA has often been considered as a uniform condition, although variable degree of daytime sleepiness, gender differences [8] in terms of OSA symptoms, or differences in the presence of comorbid insomnia [9–12], all suggesting the presence of different clinical phenotypes, were reported already more than two decades ago. More recently, systematic research has attempted to phenotype OSA based on anatomical [13] or physiological [14, 15] features or a combination of both [16]. In a previous cluster analysis the presentation of OSA varied considerably and appeared to differ in terms of symptom profile including those with insomnia-like symptoms, those with mild symptoms but a high prevalence of cardiovascular disease and the sleepy “classical” OSA type [17].

We hypothesized that distinct clinical OSA phenotypes may differ in terms of comorbidity and the adherence with nasal continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy. User friendly means to phenotype OSA are mandatory if such characterisation will be used in everyday clinical practice. Therefore, we defined the clinical presentation phenotypes based on simple-to-use and standardised tools to assess the degree of daytime as well as sleep related symptom burden in a large cohort of patients with suspected sleep apnoea.

Methods

Patients

Baseline and follow-up data was prospectively collected by 26 sleep laboratories in 17 European countries and Israel during the period 2007 to 2012. Data from a total of 6,555 patients (24.6% females) aged 18–80 years with an apnoea-hypopnea index (AHI) of $\geq 5/h$ were included in the final statistical analyses. Information on CPAP use in association with a first follow-up visit was available in 1,067 (16.3%) patients. Exclusion criteria were treated OSA, a limited life expectancy due to comorbidity unrelated to OSA, as well as alcohol or drug abuse within one

year prior to inclusion in the study. The details of the study protocol have been published previously [6].

Ethical considerations

The study was reviewed and specifically approved by a local ethics committee at each participating centre (Turku: Ethics Committee of the Hospital District of Southwest Finland; Gothenburg: Regionala Etikprövningsnämnden i Göteborg; Palermo: Comitato Etico Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Policlinico "Paolo Giaccone"; Edinburg: Lothian Research Ethics Committee; Dublin: The Ethics and Medical Research Committee of St. Vincent's Healthcare Group; Berlin: Ethikausschuss 1 am Campus Charite Mitte, Chariteplatz 1, 10117 Berlin; Førde: Regional komite for medisinsk og helsefagleg forskningsetikk, Vest-Norge; Prague: Etická komise Všeobecné fakultní nemocnice v Praze; Warsaw: The Ethics Committee at the Institute of Tuberculosis and lung Diseases; Antwerp: Comité voor Medische Ethiek). All patients gave their written, informed consent. Patient data were coded and de-linked before entry into the central database.

Data collection and sleep studies

Each centre adhered to the ESADA protocol and its own established clinical and diagnostic procedures with no attempt to enforce conformity on this process. Anthropometrics, medical history, medication defined by the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification (ATC) codes, daytime sleepiness, subjective as well as objective sleep data at baseline, and CPAP usage at the first follow-up visit were recorded using a structured web-based report system. Coded data were transferred and stored in a central database located at the University of Gothenburg, Sweden. In order to ensure uniform data entry procedures and data quality, a central study monitor from Gothenburg provided a training session at each centre. The study monitor has access to the complete database and has continuously monitored data quality and completeness.

The ESADA database accepted sleep studies in terms of full polysomnography (PSG) or cardio-respiratory polygraphy (PG), performed according to local practice. PSG devices had a minimum of seven, and PG devices a minimum of four channels (level 3 devices according to the American Sleep Disorders Association [18]). All sleep data were manually scored according to protocol definitions based on the rules of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine [19] before entry into the database. In PG recordings, respiratory effort related arousals (RERA) were not scored. AHI was defined as the number of apnoeas and hypopnoeas per hour of actual sleep time in PSG studies or per hour of the time period between lights off and lights on in PG studies. Subjective daytime sleepiness was assessed by the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) [20]. Subjective sleep length was reported in hours to one decimal accuracy and subjective sleep latency in minutes with one minute accuracy.

All patients were referred with a history of snoring or other symptoms suggestive of OSA. We divided patients into four categories based on subjective daytime sleepiness and nocturnal sleep complaints suggestive of insomnia: 1) excessive daytime sleepiness (EDS) without sleep complaints other than OSA (daytime+/nighttime-), 2) non-EDS, non-insomnia without sleep complaints other than OSA (daytime-/nighttime-), 3) EDS-insomnia (daytime+/nighttime+), 4) and insomnia (daytime-/nighttime+) phenotype (Table 1). EDS was defined as ESS > 10. Criteria for insomnia-like symptom burden in phenotypes "EDS-insomnia" and "insomnia" were fulfilled if a patient had physician diagnosed insomnia, subjective sleep latency ≥ 30 min, self-reported sleep duration ≤ 6 h and/or use of hypnotics defined within the ATC code N05.

Table 1. Criteria for distinct clinical phenotypes.

Criteria	Excessive daytime sleepiness ESS>10	Minimally symptomatic daytime ESS 0–10
No sleep complaints other than OSA	EDS phenotype (daytime+/nighttime-)	Non-EDS, non-insomnia phenotype (daytime-/nighttime-)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • subjective sleep latency <30 min • self-reported sleep duration >6 h • no insomnia diagnosis • no hypnotic medication (ATC code N05) 	• N = 1,357 (20.7%)	• N = 1,690 (25.8%)
Sleep complaints defined as patient fulfilling at least one of the following criteria:	EDS-insomnia phenotype (daytime +/nighttime+)	Insomnia phenotype (daytime-/nighttime+)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • insomnia diagnosis • subjective sleep latency ≥30 min • self-reported sleep duration ≤6 h • use of hypnotics (ATC code N05) 	• N = 1,554 (23.7%)	• N = 1,954 (29.8%)

ATC = Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification, EDS = excessive daytime sleepiness, ESS = Epworth Sleepiness Scale, OSA = obstructive sleep apnoea

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163439.t001

Hence, patients receiving an “insomnia label” in this study may *not* fulfil the ICD or DSM criteria for insomnia. Still our criteria are important markers for clinically relevant insomnia.

Statistics

The data were analysed both as an entire file and as a split file according to sleep study method (PSG or PG). Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or as frequency (%). Comparisons among the groups were performed using independent samples t-tests and ANOVA, or Wilcoxon Two-Sample Test or Kruskal-Wallis test as appropriate for continuous variables, or the Chi-square tests for categorical variables. Impact of age and gender on different prevalence of cardiovascular and psychiatric diseases among clinical presentation phenotypes was analysed by logistic regression. Impact of age, BMI, gender, AHI, and phenotypes on CPAP usage was analysed using linear regression. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 (Armonk, NY, USA: IBM Corp.). Adjustments for multiple comparisons were not performed but p-value <0.01 was considered statistically significant. All tests were two-sided.

Results

Distribution of OSA phenotypes

The EDS phenotype comprised 1,357 patients (20.7%), the EDS-insomnia phenotype 1,554 patients (23.7%), the non-EDS, non-insomnia phenotype 1,690 patients (25.8%), and the insomnia phenotype 1,954 patients (29.8%) of the entire cohort (Table 1). Clinical presentation phenotypes with sleep complaints suggestive of insomnia (EDS-insomnia type and insomnia phenotype) comprised 53.5% and those with significant daytime hypersomnolence (EDS and EDS-insomnia type) 44.4% of the entire cohort. Physician diagnosed insomnia was rare (4.9% of patients in the EDS-insomnia group and 6.1% in the insomnia group, Table 1). A use of ATC code N05 medication was reported in 11.8% and 14.6% of patients with EDS-insomnia or insomnia phenotype. The number of patients with physician diagnosed insomnia and/or using hypnotics was 611 (17.6% of total number of patients labeled as insomnia or EDS-insomnia phenotype). Prolonged sleep latency (≥30 min) was reported in 56.3% and 63.4%, and short sleep duration (≤6hr/night) in 62.4% and 57.2%, of patients with sleep related symptoms (EDS-insomnia or insomnia phenotype, respectively). The number of patients included in the

insomnia phenotypes purely based on the criterion of sleep duration ≤ 6 h was 1067 (30.4%). In patients with EDS (combined EDS and EDS-insomnia phenotypes), short sleep duration (≤ 6 hr/night) was prevalent in 33.3% of patients. Self-reported and objectively measured sleep duration behaved similarly. In the PSG cohort, both self-reported sleep duration (6 hr 16 min vs. 7 hr 42 min, $p < 0.001$) and PSG-based total sleep time (6 hr 11 min vs. 6 hr 33 min, $p < 0.001$) were shorter within the two insomnia phenotypes compared with the patients within the two hypersomnia phenotypes. In the entire PSG group, self-reported average sleep duration was 7 hr 0 min and TST 6 hr 20 min ($p < 0.001$). In those labeled as EDS-insomnia or insomnia phenotypes, self-reported sleep duration was 6 hr 16 min and PSG-based total sleep time (6 hr 11 min, $p = 0.070$).

Anthropometric and clinical data

Insomnia phenotype patients were older than EDS phenotype patients (54.6 vs 51.5 years, $p < 0.001$, [Table 2](#)). The two insomnia phenotypes were more likely to be female, whereas the non-EDS, non-insomnia phenotype had the highest male proportion ([Table 2](#)). Furthermore, the two EDS phenotypes had more severe sleep apnoea than the insomnia phenotype (AHI $35.0 \pm 25.5/h$ and $33.2 \pm 25.5/h$ vs. $27.9 \pm 22.5/h$, $p < 0.001$, respectively). There was only a minor difference in BMI between the EDS-insomnia type and the non-EDS, non-insomnia phenotype (32.7 kg/m^2 vs. 31.1 kg/m^2 , $p = 0.003$, [Table 2](#)). Systolic and diastolic blood pressure, alcohol consumption or the relative proportion of current smoking did not differ between the clinical presentation phenotypes ([Table 2](#)). Characteristics of the OSA phenotypes did not differ in a clinically relevant manner between the two sub-cohorts defined according to the sleep study method (PSG or PG) ([S1 Table](#) and [S2 Table](#)).

Comorbidities

The analysis identified several differences across the comorbidity spectrum in the four OSA phenotypes ([Table 3](#)).

Cardiovascular comorbidity was less prevalent in the EDS patients and most common in the insomnia phenotype (48.9% vs. 56.8%, $p < 0.001$) in univariate analysis. When controlling for age, gender and BMI, the insomnia phenotype had higher cardiovascular comorbidity (logistic regression, $p = 0.022$) ([Table 4](#)). The highest prevalence of psychiatric comorbidity was found in the EDS-insomnia (14.5%) and insomnia (12.6%) groups ([Table 3](#)). These phenotypes independently and significantly explained the difference in psychiatric comorbidity, when controlling for age, gender and BMI ([Table 5](#)). Insomnia phenotype patients also tended to have a higher prevalence of pulmonary comorbidities despite less severe OSA, when compared with the EDS phenotype ([Table 3](#)). When compared with the non-EDS, non-insomnia group, cardiovascular, pulmonary and psychiatric diseases were more prevalent in the insomnia group ([Table 3](#)).

Within the two insomnia phenotypes those patients with short sleep duration (≤ 6 h) had a higher prevalence of psychiatric comorbidity compared with the normal/long sleepers (> 6 h) (18.7% vs. 9.1%, $p < 0.001$), whereas the prevalence of cardiovascular (55.8% vs. 54.8%), pulmonary (16.5% vs. 15.0%), or metabolic disease (38.6% vs. 38.8%) did not differ between the two groups.

CPAP compliance

Data on CPAP adherence at the first follow-up visit were available in 1,067 patients. CPAP usage tended to be lower among phenotypes linked to insomnia although the differences did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.055$ and 0.069 , [Table 3](#)). In a linear regression analysis,

Table 2. Clinical characteristics of the four different OSA clinical phenotypes. Data presented as an average (95% CI).

	EDS	EDS-insomnia	Non-EDS, non-insomnia	Insomnia	P-value	P-value	P-value	P-value	P-value
					Across all	Insomnia vs. EDS	Insomnia vs. EDS-insomnia	EDS-insomnia vs. EDS	EDS vs. non-EDS, non-insomnia
Female gender (%)	22.8 (20.6–25.0)	28.2 (26.0–30.4)	17.0 (15.2–18.8)	29.6 (27.6–31.6)	<0.001	<0.001	0.389	0.001	<0.001
Age (years)	51.5 (50.8–52.1)	52.2 (51.7–52.8)	52.8 (52.2–53.4)	54.6 (54.1–55.2)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.067	0.002
BMI (kg/m ²)	31.9 (31.6–32.2)	32.7 (32.3–33.0)	31.2 (30.9–31.5)	31.3 (31.0–31.6)	<0.001	0.009	<0.001	0.001	0.003
BMI > 30 kg/m ² (%)	56.3 (53.7–58.9)	61.4 (59.0–63.8)	51.5 (49.1–53.9)	52.3 (50.1–54.5)	<0.001	0.023	<0.001	0.006	0.008
Waist (cm)	108.8 (108.0–109.6)	110.5 (109.7–111.3)	108.1 (107.4–108.8)	108.0 (107.3–108.7)	<0.001	0.151	<0.001	0.003	0.225
Neck (cm)	42.0 (41.7–42.2)	42.1 (41.9–42.4)	42.1 (41.9–42.3)	41.5 (41.3–41.7)	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.33	0.326
Systolic BP (mmHg)	134.4 (133.5–135.3)	135.4 (134.5–136.3)	134.8 (134.0–135.6)	134.9 (134.1–135.7)	0.519	0.422	0.431	0.137	0.52
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	83.0 (82.5–83.6)	83.0 (82.4–83.5)	82.7 (82.1–83.2)	83.3 (82.7–83.8)	0.475	0.585	0.43	0.827	0.361
Current smokers (%)	24.2 (21.9–26.5)	25.8 (23.6–28.0)	22.0 (20.0–24.0)	24.6 (22.7–26.5)	0.072	0.805	0.389	0.303	0.152
Alcohol consumption (units/week)	4.5 (4.1–5.0)	4.4 (4.0–4.9)	4.7 (4.3–5.1)	4.1 (3.7–4.5)	0.238	0.176	0.296	0.803	0.566
AHI #/h PSG n = 3216	37.4 (35.4–39.3)	35.5 (33.6–37.4)	34.4 (32.9–36.0)	32.0 (30.5–33.5)	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	0.188	0.021
PG n = 3339	32.7 (30.8–34.6)	31.3 (29.6–32.9)	27.1 (25.7–28.6)	24.0 (22.8–25.3)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.258	<0.001
ODI4 #/h PSG n = 3101	26.6 (24.6–28.5)	27.2 (25.3–29.2)	23.7 (22.1–25.4)	23.6 (22.0–25.1)	0.004	0.018	0.004	0.645	0.028
PG n = 2885	28.3 (26.3–30.2)	27.2 (25.5–29.0)	22.0 (20.5–23.5)	19.7 (18.5–21.0)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.446	<0.001
Average subjective sleep latency (min)	9.1 (8.8–9.4)	32.2 (30.7–33.8)	10.2 (9.9–10.4)	37.4 (35.8–39.0)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Average subjective sleep length (hr)	7.7 (7.7–7.8)	6.2 (6.1–6.2)	7.6 (7.6–7.7)	6.4 (6.3–6.5)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.003
Intake of hypnotics (ATC N05 or N06) (%)	6.2 (4.9–7.5)	21.0 (19.0–23.0)	4.0 (3.1–4.9)	21.2 (19.4–23)	<0.001	<0.001	0.868	<0.001	0.005
Subjects diagnosed with insomnia (%)	0 (0–0)	4.9 (3.8–6.0)	0 (0–0)	6.1 (5.0–7.2)	<0.001	<0.001	0.135	<0.001	1.000
ESS score	14.9 (14.7–15.0)	14.8 (14.7–15.0)	6.1 (6.0–6.2)	6.0 (5.9–6.1)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.756	<0.001

AHI = apnoea-hypopnoea index, ATC = Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification, BMI = body mass index, BP = blood pressure, EDS = excessive daytime sleepiness, ESS = Epworth Sleepiness Scale, ODI4 = oxygen desaturation index (drops of arterial oxyhemoglobin saturation 4% or more per hour), PG = cardio-respiratory polygraphy, PSG = polysomnography

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163439.t002

the EDS phenotype tended to associate ($p = 0.053$) with higher CPAP use (24.2 min/d) when controlling for age, BMI and gender in the model. This tendency towards higher CPAP usage in the EDS phenotype remained (22.7 min/d, $p = 0.069$) (Table 6) when ODI4 was added to the model.

Discussion

The analysis by clinical phenotype classification in this large European sleep apnoea cohort has provided three major findings. First, insomnia, as defined in the study, is a common phenotypic characteristic that exceeds daytime hypersomnia in terms of prevalence. Secondly, the

Table 3. Prevalence of comorbidities and the use of CPAP at the first follow-up visit in the four different OSA phenotypes. Data presented as an average (95% CI).

	EDS	EDS-insomnia	Non-EDS, non-insomnia	Insomnia	P-value	P-value	P-value	P-value	P-value	P-value
					Across all	Insomnia vs. EDS	Insomnia vs. EDS-insomnia	EDS-insomnia vs. EDS	EDS vs. non-EDS, non-insomnia	Insomnia vs. non-EDS, non-insomnia
Cardiovascular disease (%)	48.9 (46.2–51.6)	53.0 (50.5–55.5)	52.0 (49.6–54.4)	56.8 (54.6–59.0)	<0.001	<0.001	0.026	0.026	0.087	0.004
Metabolic disease (%)	36.5 (33.9–39.1)	38.0 (35.6–40.4)	38.6 (36.3–40.9)	39.2 (37.0–41.4)	0.445	0.118	0.464	0.42	0.244	0.708
Pulmonary disease (%)	13.7 (11.9–15.5)	15.8 (14.0–17.6)	12.2 (10.6–13.8)	16.0 (14.4–17.6)	0.005	0.075	0.889	0.129	0.253	0.001
Psychiatric disease (%)	8.7 (7.2–10.2)	14.5 (12.7–16.3)	5.0 (4.0–6.0)	12.6 (11.1–14.1)	<0.001	<0.001	0.1	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
CPAP usage at the 1st control visit (h/d)	4.8 (4.5–5.0)	4.4 (4.1–4.7)	4.7 (4.4–5.0)	4.4 (4.1–4.7)	0.126	0.069	0.990	0.055	0.737	0.149

EDS = excessive daytime sleepiness, CPAP = continuous positive airway pressure

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163439.t003

prevalence of comorbidities was high and symptom-based OSA phenotypic subgroups differed in terms of reported comorbidity. Cardiovascular, pulmonary and psychiatric comorbidities were more prevalent among the OSA phenotypes with comorbid insomnia symptoms. Thirdly, our data suggest that adherence to CPAP therapy might be influenced or even predicted by clinical presentation phenotype. However, prospective outcome studies are needed to more precisely assess the value of defining clinical presentation phenotype with respect to OSA management.

The general concept of phenotyping

Although gender-specific differences in symptoms [8] and differences in the presence of comorbid insomnia [9, 10] are recognised in OSA, the importance of phenotyping OSA

Table 4. Predictors of higher cardiovascular comorbidity compared to the prevalence of cardiovascular diseases in the EDS-phenotype: Independent influence of the phenotype, age, gender and BMI.

Factor	HR	Confidence interval	P-value
Constant	0.001		<0.001
EDS phenotype	1.000		0.140
EDS-insomnia phenotype	1.086	0.923–1.278	0.321
Non-EDS, non-insomnia phenotype	1.082	0.922–1.271	0.335
Insomnia phenotype	1.201	1.027–1.404	0.022
Age	1.089	1.083–1.095	<0.001
Gender (male)	1.348	1.186–1.533	<0.001
BMI	1.090	1.080–1.100	<0.001

BMI = body mass index, EDS = excessive daytime sleepiness

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163439.t004

Table 5. Predictors of higher psychiatric comorbidity compared to the prevalence of psychiatric diseases in the EDS-phenotype: Independent influence of the phenotype, age, gender and BMI.

Factor	HR	Confidence interval	P-value
Constant	0.205		<0.001
EDS phenotype	1.000		<0.001
EDS-insomnia phenotype	1.715	1.350–2.179	<0.001
Non-EDS, non-insomnia phenotype	0.611	0.457–0.818	0.001
Insomnia phenotype	1.504	1.188–1.905	0.001
Age	0.986	0.979–0.993	<0.001
Gender (male)	0.389	0.328–0.461	<0.001
BMI	1.019	1.007–1.031	0.001

BMI = body mass index, EDS = excessive daytime sleepiness

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163439.t005

patients, particularly in the context of OSA treatment, has only lately been acknowledged [13, 14]. In fact, steps to formalise the phenotyping process have been taken [17, 21], but a consensus on detailed principles for classification remains to be established. The ESADA cohort provides an excellent opportunity to probe some proposed phenotypic characteristics although the database is not detailed enough to permit phenotyping according to functional (neurocognitive, respiratory control) or structural characteristics. The clinical characteristics (ESS score, subjective sleep duration and sleep latency, physician-diagnosed sleep disorder, use of hypnotics) used for phenotyping patients in the present study were those considered to be readily available to clinicians treating patients with OSA.

High prevalence of insomnia related phenotypes

The frequency of reported insomnia symptoms in different OSA cohorts varies between 39% and 55% and occult OSA has been reported in 29% to 67% of the cases with diagnosis of clinical insomnia [22]. In line with those studies, our current data show that shortened sleep time and increased sleep latency each were reported by approximately one third of patients with

Table 6. Predictors of CPAP compliance at the first follow up visit: Independent influence of the four clinical phenotypes. OSA severity defined as oxygen desaturation index included in the model.

Factor	HR	Confidence interval	P-value
Constant	2.459	1.207–3.710	<0.001
Age	0.015	0.002–0.029	0.023
BMI	0.026	0.000–0.052	0.047
Gender	0.072	-0.282–0.426	0.690
ODI4	0.007	0.000–0.015	0.044
Phenotype			
• EDS	0.379	-0.029–0.787	0.069
• EDS-insomnia	0.000	NA	NA
• Non-EDS, non-insomnia	0.324	-0.094–0.741	0.129
• Insomnia	0.037	-0.366–0.440	0.857

ODI4 = oxygen desaturation index (drops of arterial oxyhemoglobin saturation 4% or more per hour), BMI = body mass index, EDS = excessive daytime sleepiness

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163439.t006

verified OSA. Not less than 56% of the cohort were labelled as an EDS-insomnia or insomnia phenotype. However, the conventional description of a typical OSA patient has focused on symptoms of increased daytime sleepiness [23, 24]. Interestingly, one third of the OSA patients with hypersomnia had a short sleep time, which may aggravate the burden of OSA with respect to cognitive function and vigilance. Only a minority of patients with shortened sleep and increased sleep latency were diagnosed and/or treated for insomnia symptoms. Our data strongly suggest that the conventional image of a sleepy OSA patient may not represent the dominant phenotype in clinical practice at European sleep centres. A more differentiated classification of phenotypes based on patient complaints may definitively be needed in the clinical management of OSA patients.

Association between phenotypes and comorbidities

The prevalence of comorbidities including cardiovascular disease [3, 25, 26], metabolic syndrome [27], type 2 diabetes mellitus [4, 5, 28], mood disorders [29] or obstructive lung diseases [30] was, as expected, high in the ESADA cohort. An interesting finding was the distribution of comorbidities across the OSA phenotypes. Patients with significant hypersomnia (EDS and EDS-insomnia phenotypes) were slightly younger and had more severe OSA compared to those with mild or no daytime sleepiness (non-EDS, non-insomnia and insomnia phenotypes). However, patients with the EDS phenotype had the lowest prevalence of cardiovascular disease among the clinical presentation phenotypes. Conversely, patients reporting difficulty in initiating and/or maintaining sleep (EDS-insomnia or insomnia phenotype) more frequently had cardiovascular, pulmonary and/or psychiatric comorbidities. These results are in line with the findings in an Icelandic cohort of OSA patients phenotyped according to quite similar criteria [17]. Moreover, in a Swedish population-based random sample of women with OSA, daytime sleepiness and hypertension appeared to represent two different phenotypes [31]. A recent cluster analysis using the data from the French National registry of Sleep Apnoea identified six clusters [32]. A high prevalence of comorbidities was found in the cluster of the obese elderly minimally symptomatic in line with our findings. However, contrary to our results, they also reported a high prevalence of comorbidity among the obese middle-aged symptomatic patients.

The exact reason for the differences in the comorbidity spectra remains unexplained in our study. However, differences may be a result of referral bias. Potential explanations include an increased time lag from disease start to final diagnosis and treatment in “asymptomatic” OSA, causing more exposure to harmful cardiovascular consequences of OSA in this group [17]. Older age in the insomnia phenotype may at least in part explain the differences. Insomnia symptoms may be a consequence and not necessarily the cause of comorbidities. However, our cross-sectional baseline data does not allow us to explore the potential causality of this association. Further, in our database, we do not have data on how well e.g. pulmonary diseases or heart failure were controlled in a medical sense. There may also be functional mechanisms explaining the increased cardiovascular comorbidity associated with insomnia symptoms. For instance, the insomnia and EDS-insomnia phenotype may have elevated adrenergically mediated alertness manifested as long sleep latency or short self-reported sleep duration. This hypothesis is supported by observations among OSA and insomnia patients. Higher sympathetic activity has been observed in non-sleepy patients with severe OSA compared to those with excessive daytime sleepiness [33] as well as in primary insomniacs compared with good sleepers [34]. In fact, some previous studies have linked cardiovascular comorbidity to non-sleepy OSA like in patients with peripheral arterial disease [35], in perimenopausal women [36] or in depression [37].

Treatment outcome: CPAP adherence

Adequate adherence to CPAP treatment strongly influences clinical outcome. Symptoms of insomnia in OSA patients have been reported to be associated with lower CPAP adherence [12, 38, 39]. This is in line with our findings showing slightly lower adherence at the first follow-up visit among clinical presentation phenotypes including insomnia symptoms. When taking age, gender, BMI, and OSA severity into account, the association of adherence with the different phenotypes remained unchanged. In this highly selected subsample of CPAP users, the relatively good short-term CPAP adherence is likely to be predictive of good long-term adherence [40].

Strengths and limitations

Despite inherent limitations in the data report format, the ESADA cohort provides a unique opportunity to document the practise of assessing and treating OSA in different areas of Europe. Female patients are well represented in the cohort allowing also consideration of gender-related issues. The centralised data monitoring and web-based report format provide conformity in the reported data sets. A specific methodological weakness resides in the locally used diagnostic routines applied at participating centres, which may lead to differences in the reported sleep related variables and comorbidities. A major limitation in our study is the broad definition of insomnia, which does not fulfil the ICD or DSM criteria and therefore may lead to overestimation of the prevalence of “true” insomnia. However, the finding that even symptoms of insomnia in OSA patients are associated with increased comorbidity would still be relevant in this context. Moreover, our findings do not suggest a bias related to the type of sleep study used, since the phenotypes were equally represented in both the PG and PSG cohorts. The number of patients with follow-up data is limited. This reflects the economic constraints in many centres which prevents regular follow-up of patients after the initiation of CPAP therapy. Unfortunately our resources did not allow us to collect the follow-up data outside the sleep centres e.g. from health care providers. Finally, although the database does not allow for comprehensive analysis of the effects of sociodemographic factors on referral patterns or patient outcomes, it represents by far the most comprehensive description of clinical characteristics among European OSA patients.

Clinical implications and future research

Our findings emphasise that OSA can occur in a wide range of “non-traditional” presentations. This observation serves to alert primary health care providers to the possibility of OSA also in subgroups of slim patients, non-sleepy short-sleepers, and women.

Improved classification of phenotypes is warranted in order to better distinguish between insomnia, hypersomnia and non-EDS, non-insomnia OSA phenotypes. Identification of such differences in phenotypes might lead to an improvement in clinical practice by recognising and tailoring treatment to the specific phenotype. In addition, treatment effects on outcomes like blood pressure, traffic accident rate or mood disturbance are likely to differ considerably depending on OSA phenotype. Indeed, small studies have already demonstrated that cognitive behavioural treatment of comorbid insomnia increased CPAP compliance and improved morning restfulness and daytime alertness in patients with OSA [11, 12].

Conclusions

Insomnia-like symptoms including difficulty initiating or maintaining sleep dominated clinical presentation of patients with OSA in this large pan-European cohort. Daytime sleepiness was a

less frequent presenting complaint. In addition, clinical presentation phenotypes defined according to sleepiness and insomnia-like symptoms were associated with considerable differences in comorbidity. A high prevalence of particularly cardiovascular, pulmonary and psychiatric comorbidity among two insomnia phenotypes with and without EDS should alert clinicians to identify this type of OSA patients. CPAP adherence tended to differ among phenotypes and prospective studies of patients treated with various modalities of CPAP or mandibular advancement devices may benefit from stratification according to phenotype to increase our understanding of which therapy is most likely to be of greatest benefit.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Clinical characteristics of the four different OSA clinical phenotypes.

(DOCX)

S2 Table. Sleep and sleepiness characteristics of the four different clinical phenotypes.

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

Excellent technical assistance in terms of centre monitoring and database maintenance was provided by Ann-Christin Lundquist, RN and Jeanette Norum, RN.

Collaborators in the ESADA project (Alphabetical order):

Anttalainen U, Division of Medicine, Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Turku University Hospital and Sleep Research Centre, Department of Physiology, University of Turku, Finland

Barbé F, Servei Pneumologia Hospital Arnau de Vilanova and Hospital Santa Maria, Lleida, and CIBERes, Madrid, Spain

Bonsignore MR, Biomedical Department of Internal and Specialistic Medicine (DiBiMIS), Section of Pneumology, University of Palermo; and CNR Institute of Biomedicine and Molecular Immunology, Palermo, Italy

Basoglu B, Department of Chest Diseases, Ege University, Izmir, Turkey

Bielicki P, Department of Internal Medicine, Pneumology and Allergology, Warsaw Medical University, Warsaw, Poland

Dorkova Z, Multidisciplinary Sleep Disorders Centre, Antwerp University Hospital and University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

Escourrou P, Service d'Explorations Fonctionnelles Multidisciplinaires Hospital Antoine Beclere, Clamart, France

Fietze I, Schlafmedizinisches Zentrum, Charité –Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany

Esquinas C, Servei de Pneumologia, Hospital Universitari Arnau de Vilanova, Lleida, Spain

Grote L, Department of Sleep Medicine, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden

Hayes L, Pulmonary and Sleep Disorders Unit, St. Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

Hedner J, Department of Sleep Medicine, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden

Kumor M, Department of Internal Medicine, Pneumology and Allergology, Warsaw Medical University, Warsaw, Poland

Kurki S, Auria Biobank, University of Turku and Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland

Kvamme JA, Department of ENT, Førde Central Hospital, Førde, Norway

Lena Lavie, Centre for Sleep Medicine, Technion Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel

Lavie P, Technion Sleep Laboratory, Rambam Medical centre, Haifa, Israel
Levy P, Université Grenoble Alpes, INSERM HP2 (U1042) and Grenoble University Hospital, Grenoble, France
Lombardi C, Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Ospedale San Luca, Milan, Italy
Marrone O, CNR Institute of Biomedicine and Molecular Immunology, Palermo, Italy
Masa JF, Hospital San Pedro de Alcàntara, Cáceres, Spain
McNicholas WT, Department of Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, St. Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Conway Research Institute, University College Dublin, Ireland
Montserrat JM, Hospital Clinic i Provincial de Barcelona, Barcelona, IDIBAPS Barcelona and CIBERes, Madrid, Spain
Parati G, Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Ospedale San Luca, Milan, Italy
Pataka A, Respiratory Failure Unit, G. Papanikolaou Hospital, Thessalonika, Greece
Penzel T, Schlafmedizinisches Zentrum, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany and International Clinical Research Center, St. Anne's University Hospital Brno, Brno, Czech Republic
Pépin JL, Université Grenoble Alpes, INSERM HP2 (U1042) and Grenoble University Hospital, Grenoble, France
Plywaczewski R, Institute of Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases, Warsaw, Poland
Pretl M, Centre for Sleep and Waking Disorders, Department of Neurology, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, and Inspamed, Neurology and Sleep Laboratory, Prague, Czech Republic
Riha RL, Department of Sleep Medicine, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, United Kingdom
Rodenstein D, Cliniques universitaires, Brussels, Belgium
Roisman G, Unité de Médecine du Sommeil, Hopital Antoine-Beclere, Clamart, France
Ryan S, Department of Respiratory and Sleep Medicine, St. Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Conway Research Institute, University College Dublin, Ireland.
Saarsranta T, Division of Medicine, Department of Pulmonary Diseases, Turku University Hospital; and Sleep Research Centre, Department of Physiology, University of Turku, Turku, Finland
Schulz R, Sleep Disorders Centre, University of Giessen, Lung Centre, Giessen, Germany
Sliwinski P, Institute of Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases, 4th Department of Respiratory Medicine, Warsaw, Poland
Tkacova R, Department of Respiratory Medicine and Tuberculosis, Faculty of Medicine, P. J. Safarik University and L. Pasteur University Hospital, Kosice, Slovakia
Staats R, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Hospital de Santa Maria, Lisbon, Portugal
Steiropoulos P, Sleep Unit, Department of Pneumonology, Democritus University of Thrace, Alexandroupolis, Greece
Varoneckas G, Institute Psychophysiology and Rehabilitation, Palanga, Lithuania
Verbraecken J, Multidisciplinary Sleep Disorders Centre, Antwerp University Hospital and University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium
Vitols A, Institute of Cardiology, University of Latvia, Riga, Latvia
Vrints H, Multidisciplinary Sleep Disorders Centre, Antwerp University Hospital and University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium
Zielinski J, Department of Pneumology, Warsaw Medical University, Warsaw, Poland

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: JH LG.

Data curation: JH LG.

Formal analysis: TS LG JH.

Funding acquisition: TS JH MRB RLR WTMcN TP UA JAK MP PS JV LG.

Investigation: TS JH MRB RLR WTMcN TP UA JAK MP PS JV LG.

Methodology: JH LG.

Project administration: JH LG.

Resources: TS JH MRB RLR WTMcN TP UA JAK MP PS JV LG.

Supervision: JH LG.

Writing – original draft: TS.

Writing – review & editing: TS JH MRB RLR WTMcN TP UA JAK MP PS JV LG.

References

1. Marin JM, Carrizo SJ, Vicente E, Agusti AG. Long-term cardiovascular outcomes in men with obstructive sleep apnoea-hypopnoea with or without treatment with continuous positive airway pressure: an observational study. *Lancet* 2005; 365:1046–1053. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(05\)71141-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)71141-7) PMID: [15781100](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15781100/)
2. Campos-Rodriguez F, Martinez-Garcia MA, de la Cruz-Moron I, Almeida-Gonzalez C, Catalan-Serra P, Montserrat JM, et al. Cardiovascular mortality in women with obstructive sleep apnea with or without continuous positive airway pressure treatment: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2012; 156:115–122. doi: [10.7326/0003-4819-156-2-201201170-00006](https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-156-2-201201170-00006) PMID: [22250142](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22250142/)
3. Tkacova R, McNicholas WT, Javorsky M., Fietze I, Sliwinski P, Parati G, et al. Nocturnal intermittent hypoxia predicts prevalent hypertension in the European Sleep Apnoea Database cohort study. *Eur Respir J*. 2014; 44:931–41. doi: [10.1183/09031936.00225113](https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00225113) PMID: [25102963](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25102963/)
4. Kent BD, Grote L, Bonsignore MR, Saaresranta T, Verbraecken J, Lévy P, et al. Sleep apnoea severity independently predicts glycaemic health in nondiabetic subjects: the ESADA study. *Eur Respir J*. 201; 44:130–139. doi: [10.1183/09031936.00162713](https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00162713) PMID: [24696120](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24696120/)
5. Kent BD, Grote L, Ryan S, Pépin JL, Bonsignore MR, Tkacova R, et al. Diabetes mellitus prevalence and control in sleep-disordered breathing: the European Sleep Apnea Cohort (ESADA) study. *Chest* 2014; 146:982–990. doi: [10.1378/chest.13-2403](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.13-2403) PMID: [24831859](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24831859/)
6. Hedner J, Grote L, Bonsignore M, McNicholas W, Lavie P, Parati G, et al. The European sleep apnoea database (ESADA)—report from 22 European sleep laboratories. *Eur Respir J*. 2011; 38:635–642. doi: [10.1183/09031936.00046710](https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00046710) PMID: [21622583](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21622583/)
7. Fietze I, Penzel T, Alonderis A, Barbe F, Bonsignore MR, Calverly P, et al. Management of obstructive sleep apnea in Europe. *Sleep Med*. 2011; 12:190–197. doi: [10.1016/j.sleep.2010.10.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2010.10.003) PMID: [21167776](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21167776/)
8. Ambrogetti A, Olson LG, Saunders NA. Differences in the symptoms of men and women with obstructive sleep apnoea. *Aust NZJ Med*. 1991; 21:863–866. doi: [10.1111/j.1445-5994.1991.tb01408.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1445-5994.1991.tb01408.x) PMID: [1818545](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1818545/)
9. Krakow B, Melendrez D, Ferreira E, Clark J, Warner TD, Sisley B, et al. Prevalence of insomnia symptoms in patients with sleep-disordered breathing. *Chest* 2001; 120:1923–1929. doi: [10.1378/chest.120.6.1923](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.120.6.1923) PMID: [11742923](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11742923/)
10. Smith S, Sullivan K, Hopkins W, Douglas J. frequency of insomnia report in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnea syndrome (OSAHS). *Sleep Med*. 2004; 5:449–456. doi: [10.1016/j.sleep.2004.03.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2004.03.005) PMID: [15341889](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15341889/)
11. Krakow B, Melendrez D, Lee SA, Warner TD, Clark JO, Sklar D. Refractory insomnia and sleep-disordered breathing: a pilot study. *Sleep Breath*. 2006; 10:16–29. doi: [10.1007/s11325-004-0015-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11325-004-0015-5) PMID: [16496118](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16496118/)
12. Krakow B, Ulibarri V, Melendrez D, Kikta S, Togami L, Haynes P. A daytime, abbreviated cardio-respiratory sleep study to acclimate insomnia patients with sleep disordered breathing to positive airway pressure (PAP-NAP). *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2008; 4:212–222. PMID: [18595433](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18595433/)
13. Lee RW, Sutherland K, Chan AS, Zeng B, Grunstein RR, Darendeliler MA, et al. Relationship between surface facial dimensions and upper airway structures in obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep* 2010; 33:1249–1254. PMID: [20857873](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20857873/)

14. Eckert DJ, White DP, Jordan AS, Malhotra AS, Wellman A. Defining phenotypic causes of obstructive sleep apnea. Identification of novel therapeutic targets. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2013; 188:996–1004. doi: [10.1164/rccm.201303-0448OC](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201303-0448OC) PMID: [23721582](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23721582/)
15. Terrill PI, Edwards BA, Nemati S, Butler JP, Owens RL, Eckert DJ, et al. Quantifying the ventilatory control contribution to sleep apnoea using polysomnography. *Eur Respir J.* 2015; 45:408–418. doi: [10.1183/09031936.00062914](https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00062914) PMID: [25323235](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25323235/)
16. Owens RL, Edwards BA, Eckert DJ, Jordan AS, Sands SA, Malhotra A et al. An integrative model of physiological traits can be used to predict obstructive sleep apnea and response to non positive airway pressure therapy. *Sleep* 2015; 38:961–970. doi: [10.5665/sleep.4750](https://doi.org/10.5665/sleep.4750) PMID: [25515107](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25515107/)
17. Ye L, Pien GW, Ratcliffe SJ, Björnsdóttir E, Arnardóttir ES, Pack AI, et al. The different clinical faces of obstructive sleep apnoea: a cluster analysis. *Eur Respir J.* 2014; 44:1600–1607. doi: [10.1183/09031936.00032314](https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00032314) PMID: [25186268](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25186268/)
18. American Sleep Disorders Association. Practice parameters for the use of portable recording in the assessment of obstructive sleep apnea. Standards of Practice Committee of the American Sleep Disorders Association. *Sleep* 1994; 17:372–377. PMID: [7973322](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7973322/)
19. Iber C, Ancoli-Israel S, Chesson AL, Quan SF. The AASM manual for the scoring of sleep and associated events: Rules, terminology and technical specifications. 1st ed. Westchester, American Academy of Sleep Medicine; 2007.
20. Johns MW. A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: the Epworth sleepiness scale. *Sleep* 1991; 14:540–545. PMID: [1798888](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1798888/)
21. Vavougiou GD, Natsios G, Pastaka C, Zarogiannis SG, Gourgoulis KI. Phenotypes of comorbidity in OSAS patients: combining categorical principal component analysis with cluster analysis. *J Sleep Res.* 2015 Sep 14. doi: [10.1111/jsr.12344](https://doi.org/10.1111/jsr.12344) [Epub ahead of print] PMID: [26365653](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26365653/)
22. Luyster FS, Buysse DJ, Strollo PJ Jr. Comorbid insomnia and obstructive sleep apnea: challenges for clinical practice and research. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2010; 6:196–204. PMID: [20411700](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20411700/)
23. Guilleminault C, Tilkian A, Dement WC. The sleep apnea syndromes. *Annu Rev Med.* 1976; 27:465–484. PMID: [180875](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/180875/)
24. Lugaresi E, Vela-Bueno A. Sleep-related respiratory disorders. *Semin Neurol.* 1987; 7:259–268. doi: [10.1055/s-2008-1041426](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2008-1041426) PMID: [3332461](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3332461/)
25. Peker Y, Carlson J, Hedner J. Increased incidence of coronary artery disease in sleep apnoea: a long-term follow-up. *Eur Respir J.* 2006; 28:596–602. doi: [10.1183/09031936.06.00107805](https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.06.00107805) PMID: [16641120](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16641120/)
26. McNicholas WT, Bonsignore MR. Sleep apnoea as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease: current evidence, basic mechanisms and research priorities. *Eur Respir J.* 2007; 29:156–178. doi: [10.1183/09031936.00027406](https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00027406) PMID: [17197482](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17197482/)
27. Bonsignore MR, Esquinas C, Barceló A, Sanchez-de-la-Torre M, Paternó A, Duran-Cantolla J, et al. Metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance and sleepiness in real-life obstructive sleep apnoea. *Eur Respir J.* 2012; 39:1136–1143. doi: [10.1183/09031936.00151110](https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00151110) PMID: [22075482](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22075482/)
28. Punjabi NM, Polotsky VY. Disorders of glucose metabolism in sleep apnea. *J Appl Physiol.* 2005; 99:1998–2007. doi: [10.1152/jappphysiol.00695.2005](https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00695.2005) PMID: [16227461](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16227461/)
29. Saunamäki T, Jehkonen M. Depression and anxiety in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: a review. *Acta Neurol Scand.* 2007; 116:277–288. doi: [10.1111/j.1600-0404.2007.00901.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0404.2007.00901.x) PMID: [17854419](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17854419/)
30. Anttalainen U, Polo O, Vahlberg T, Saaresranta T. Reimbursed drugs in patients with sleep-disordered breathing: A static-charge-sensitive bed study. *Sleep Med.* 2010; 11:49–55. doi: [10.1016/j.sleep.2008.12.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2008.12.015) PMID: [19620024](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19620024/)
31. Franklin KA, Sahlin C, Stenlund H, Lindberg E. Sleep apnoea is a common occurrence in females. *Eur Respir J.* 2013; 41:610–615. doi: [10.1183/09031936.00212711](https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00212711) PMID: [22903961](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22903961/)
32. Bailly S, Destors M, Grillet Y, Richard P, Stach B, Vivodtzev I, Timsit JF, Lévy P, Tamisier R, Pépin JL; scientific council and investigators of the French national sleep apnea registry (OSFP). Obstructive sleep apnea: A cluster analysis at time of diagnosis. *PLoS One.* 2016 Jun 17; 11(6):e0157318. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0157318](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0157318) PMID: [27314230](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27314230/)
33. Montemurro LT, Floras JS, Picton P, Kasai T, Alshaer H, Gabriel JM, et al. Relationship of heart rate variability to sleepiness in patients with obstructive sleep apnea with and without heart failure. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2014; 10:271–276. doi: [10.5664/jcsm.3526](https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.3526) PMID: [24634624](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24634624/)
34. de Zambotti M, Cellini N, Baker FC, Colrain IM, Sarlo M, Stegagno L. Nocturnal cardiac autonomic profile in young primary insomniacs and good sleepers. *Int J Psychophysiol.* 2014; 93:332–339. doi: [10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2014.06.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2014.06.014) PMID: [24998642](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24998642/)

35. Utriainen KT, Airaksinen JK, Polo O., Raitakari OT, Pietilä MJ, Scheinin H, et al. Unrecognised obstructive sleep apnoea is common in severe peripheral arterial disease. *Eur Respir J.* 2013; 41:616–620. doi: [10.1183/09031936.00227611](https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00227611) PMID: [22700841](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22700841/)
36. Pedrosa RP, Barros IM, Drager LF, Bittencourt MS, Medeiros AK, Carvalho LL, et al. OSA is common and independently associated with hypertension and increased arterial stiffness in consecutive perimenopausal women. *Chest* 2014; 146:66–72. doi: [10.1378/chest.14-0097](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.14-0097) PMID: [24743835](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24743835/)
37. Halaris A. Co-morbidity between cardiovascular pathology and depression: role of inflammation. *Mod Trends Pharmacopsychiatri.* 2013; 28:144–161. doi: [10.1159/000343981](https://doi.org/10.1159/000343981) PMID: [25224897](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25224897/)
38. Björnsdóttir E, Janson C, Sigurdsson JF, Gehrman P, Perlis M, Juliusson S, et al. Symptoms of insomnia among patients with obstructive sleep apnea before and after two years of positive airway pressure treatment. *Sleep* 2013; 36:1901–1909. doi: [10.5665/sleep.3226](https://doi.org/10.5665/sleep.3226) PMID: [24293765](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24293765/)
39. Pieh C, Bach M, Popp R, Jara C, Crönlein T, Hajak G, et al. Insomnia symptoms influence CPAP compliance. *Sleep Breath.* 2013; 17:99–104. doi: [10.1007/s11325-012-0655-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11325-012-0655-9) PMID: [22311553](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22311553/)
40. Chai-Coetzer CL, Luo YM, Antic NA, Zhang XL, Chen BY, He QY, et al. Predictors of long-term adherence to continuous positive airway pressure therapy in patients with obstructive sleep apnea and cardiovascular disease in the SAVE study. *Sleep* 2013; 36: 1929–1937. doi: [10.5665/sleep.3232](https://doi.org/10.5665/sleep.3232) PMID: [24293768](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24293768/)