

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Seed sourcing for woodland creation in an era of uncertainty: An analysis of the options for Great Britain

Citation for published version:

Ennos, R, Whittet, R, Cavers, S & Cottrell, J 2016, 'Seed sourcing for woodland creation in an era of uncertainty: An analysis of the options for Great Britain', *Forestry*. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpw037

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1093/forestry/cpw037

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Forestry

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





Seed sourcing for woodland creation in an era of uncertainty: An analysis of the options for Great Britain.

Richard Whittet^{1,2}, Stephen Cavers², Joan Cottrell³ and Richard Ennos¹

1. Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh, Ashworth Laboratories, Charlotte Auerbach Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3FL, Scotland

- 2. Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Edinburgh, Bush Estate, Penicuik, Midlothian EH26 0QB, Scotland
- 3. Forest Research, Northern Research Station, Roslin, Midlothian EH25 9SY, Scotland

*Corresponding author: Richard Whittet; Tel: +44 (0)131 445 8466; Email: richardwhittet@gmail.com

In recent years, British seed sourcing practice has tended to focus on the principle that "local-is-best" for native woodland creation. However, in the face of continuing and accelerating environmental change, the suitability of this approach has been called into question. In this article, we investigate the relevance and suitability of a series of seed sourcing strategies: maintaining the status quo by continuing to source local origin seed, sourcing seed from currently warmer locations, and the addition or replacement of species. Our main findings are that there are opportunities to increase the sophistication of existing guidance and that improvements would be timely. In any case, an important consideration is the capacity for newly established populations of trees to survive immediately and amidst increasingly variable environmental conditions. The current paucity of knowledge of forest genetic resources in British populations of native tree species suggests that deviations from sourcing currently adapted planting stock are not uniformly applicable throughout the country and that any change to policy ought to be applied judiciously and only under a restricted set of circumstances.

Introduction

Initiatives are in place to restore and extend the distribution of forests around the world (Thomas *et al.*, 2014). The success of these initiatives depends, amongst other considerations, on the availability and selection of planting stock which is of good quality and is sufficiently adapted to conditions at the planting site in order to survive, compete and reproduce. This situation is exemplified by the case of native woodland establishment in Great Britain where high demand for planting stock is driven by ambitious policies aimed at increasing the area of forest cover (Scottish Executive, 2006; DEFRA, 2007; Welsh Assembly Government, 2009). If the policy objectives of increasing forest area are to be met, it is essential that there is a general understanding of the ecological and evolutionary processes underpinning appropriate seed source selection in a changing climate and how policy can encourage such practice.

Until recently, policies relating to seed sourcing in Great Britain and elsewhere have been based on the understanding that climatic conditions are stable over the long term. Increasing recognition of rapid environmental change undermines this assumption (Rehfeldt *et al.*, 2002; Parmesan, 2006). Not only is environmental change expected to be rapid, it is also difficult to predict. Whilst we might expect directional change in mean values of some climatic variables, increasing variability and increases in the frequency and severity of some extreme events are probable (IPCC, 2013). The prevalence of some endemic pests and diseases is also likely to increase, as a result of climatic shifts (Battisti *et al.*, 2005; Sturrock *et al.*, 2011). Finally, increased pressure from novel, exotic pests and pathogens is anticipated, caused in part by greater long distance movement of plants by humans (Brasier, 2008; Liebhold *et al.*, 2012; Banks *et al.*, 2015, Jung *et al.*, 2015). These considerations indicate the need for a thorough re-evaluation of existing seed sourcing guidelines (Alberto *et al.*, 2013, Lefèvre *et al.*, 2014). The overall aim of seed sourcing, from an evolutionary ecology

perspective, should be to ensure that planted forests have the capacity to survive initially and continually adapt to changing environmental conditions.

The aim of this paper is to provide such a re-evaluation of seed sourcing guidelines for forestry in Great Britain. We note that forestry planting stock is raised for two broad objectives in GB, native woodland planting, and timber production. We acknowledge that there will be overlap between these objectives in many situations e.g. native woodland plantings will commonly be sustainably harvested for timber, and timber plantations are capable of fulfilling benefits other than timber production. However, this review considers only seed sourcing for native woodland planting, leaving seed sourcing for timber production for separate treatment. In native woodland planting the imperatives are to establish a self-sustaining population of forest trees that will give rise to successive generations of individuals on the site and will support native biodiversity.

In response to the realisation that rapid environmental change will continue to affect forests in the immediate future, three distinct seed sourcing strategies have been put forward aimed at creating forests that have the maximum chance of surviving under changing conditions. We have dubbed these seed sourcing strategies 'currently adapted', 'predictive provenancing', and 'species change'. A brief description of each of these is given below.

Currently adapted

The 'currently adapted' strategy asserts that it is best to plant seed sources that are *adapted to current environmental conditions* but have the potential for future adaptation as environmental conditions change.

Predictive provenancing

The 'predictive provenancing' strategy holds that seed sources should be planted that are *adapted to a predicted future climate*. Predictive provenancing recommends use of material from parts of the species range in which the current climate matches predicted future conditions at the planting site. A variation on this theme is *composite provenancing*, where a mixture of local and non-local material, the latter of which is sourced from areas matching predicted future climates, is used

(Broadhurst *et al.*, 2008; Breed *et al.*, 2013). This has also been called *assisted gene flow* (Aitken and Whitlock, 2013) and seed *portfolio* (Crowe and Parker, 2008) and is described within the context of *ecosystem centred assisted migration* (Sansilvestri *et al.*, 2015). In a GB context, this would involve sourcing seed from currently warmer climates further south.

Species change

The 'species change' strategy posits that the scale of future environmental change is so great that the currently planted species should no longer be used. It recommends that an *alternative species adapted to predicted future climate* should be substituted.

In this review we first analyse the ways in which forest tree populations may respond to rapid environmental change, which we consider to be manifested by rates of change in the mean and extreme values of climatic variables, caused by human activity which are likely to exceed those experienced at any other time during the Holocene (IPCC, 2013). We then consider the proposed advantages and potential disadvantages of each of the seed sourcing strategies defined above in relation to woodland creation in a situation of rapid environmental change. Our aim is not only to assess the relative merits of each strategy, but also to determine situations in which particular strategies may be more or less appropriate, highlight areas of uncertainty that hinder informed decision making, and propose research that is required to identify the best strategy to be adopted. While we focus on the situation in GB, we seek to bring out principles that are more widely applicable.

Response of Forest Tree Populations to Environmental Change

The immediate response of forest trees to rapid environmental change will be a plastic one involving a change in their physiology or morphology but no change in their genetic composition (Nicotra *et al.*, 2010; Reich *et al.*, 2016). Where this change improves the chances of individual tree survival and reproduction during the period of extreme environmental conditions the change is known as acclimation. As long lived organisms that survive naturally through environmental conditions that can be highly variable in time and space, acclimation, or plastic responses to environmental change can be

highly developed in trees (Rehfeldt *et al.*, 2001; Aitken *et al.*, 2008; Chevin *et al.*, 2013). Acclimation responses are often associated with ontogenic costs, or trade-offs amongst traits (DeWitt *et al.*, 1998; Valladares *et al.*, 2007; Richter *et al.*, 2012). Furthermore, there are limits to acclimation responses and trees may die either directly or as a consequence of stress which compromises their herbivore and pathogen defences (Telford *et al.*, 2015).

Within a tree population there are very high levels of genetic variation among individuals for adaptive characters such as timing of growth, cold, drought and flooding tolerance, and resistance to herbivores and pathogens (Petit and Hampe, 2006). Even where population sizes of trees of trees are very small, there can still be substantial genetic and phenotypic variation amongst standing trees and offspring, in situations where genetic variation is delivered by long distance gene flow (Bacles *et al.*, 2004; Hampe *et al.*, 2013). Over a long period of relatively constant environment at any one site, a range of genotypes will have been selected that are adapted to the combination of abiotic and biotic conditions at that site, known as local adaptation (Ennos *et al.*, 1998; Savolainen *et al.*, 2007). Local adaptation does not necessarily mean that the local genotypes in a site will show greater growth over any particular duration than genotypes from other sites. This is because populations will possess a conservative legacy of adaptation to extreme events which may not be recognisable as intrinsically advantageous within a single generation if extreme events do not occur. However, it does mean that the local population is likely to be the most successful if one of these extreme climatic events does occur during a generation.

If a novel environment is imposed on a genetically variable, locally adapted population, individual trees will respond differently, and the most successful will make the greatest contribution of offspring to the next generation. If the offspring of these fitter individuals are able to establish, the population will evolve genetically, producing a subsequent generation that is better adapted to the novel environmental conditions, i.e. natural selection occurs. The rapidity of this evolution will be proportional to the amount of adaptive variation present in the original population (Davis and Shaw, 2001; Alberto *et al.*, 2013). However, adaptive evolution comes at a cost. Trees that respond poorly to the change in environment may either die or grow very slowly, leading to a reduction in size of the

reproducing population. The magnitude of this cost of adaptation will increase with the rapidity of the environmental change imposed. If the environmental change is too rapid, populations may go extinct before they adapt (St Clair and Howe, 2007). In any event, there will always be some degree of lag in adaptation to the new environmental conditions (Aitken *et al.*, 2008; Kuparinen *et al.*, 2010).

The potential for acclimation and patterns and quantities of adaptive genetic variation within a forest tree species can be measured from appropriate provenance/ progeny trials in multiple sites (Rehfeldt *et al.*, 2002; St Clair *et al.*, 2005). These will be especially useful where provenances are planted in sites that possess one or more features of the novel environments that they are predicted to encounter under rapid environmental change.

A proxy for the limits of adaptation of the species can be found by analysing the limits of the environmental envelope naturally occupied by the species, with the caveat that recognized natural distributions may not reflect the total fundamental niche of that species. If the predicted environmental conditions lie far outside that envelope, it will be unrealistic to expect future adaptation to those conditions.

Bearing these points in mind, we now consider the merits of the three proposed strategies for seed sourcing for native woodland creation and expansion under rapid environmental change.

Seed sourcing for woodland creation under rapid environmental change

Currently adapted

The obvious advantage of planting currently adapted seed sources is that during the initial phase of establishment, the trees will be well suited to the conditions that they encounter. This will be the consequence of local adaptation not only to the current climate, but also many other factors by which populations differ in their home environments, e.g. soil conditions, herbivore and pathogen pressure. It is often considered that for trees, the initial establishment phase is the most critical and a point at which selection against poorly adapted genotypes is most intense, both for naturally regenerated seedlings and for nursery raised stock (Persson and Ståhl, 1990; Petit and Hampe, 2006). Significant death of transplants at this stage will mean failure to establish woodland cover. Using currently

adapted material will minimise the probability of this occurring. A caveat to this is that it may not be easy to determine whether local seed sources are indeed adapted to similar conditions – as environmental similarities are not necessarily dictated by proximity of seed source to planting site (Bischoff *et al.*, 2006; Salmela *et al.*, 2010). In this case seed sources from sites whose environments match most closely those of the planting site may be more appropriately adapted than a local (geographically proximal) seed source, especially where topography is complex at narrow spatial scales.

The major concern over the 'currently adapted' strategy is that over the first generation of the new woodland, the planted trees will become maladapted to those features of the environment that are rapidly changing (St Clair and Howe, 2007). To some extent this will be buffered by acclimation in the tree population (Alberto *et al.*, 2013; Chevin *et al.*, 2013). However genotypes will respond differently to the novel environment with some individuals doing worse than others throughout their life spans or with different responses in different years (Jump *et al.*, 2008). Overall, the actual growth observed in a population may be lower at the end of the first tree generation than for a population which was closely adapted to the changed environmental conditions prevailing at that particular time. The extent to which this is a serious problem will very much depend on context, varying by species and by management objectives.

In the case of forestry in GB, major climate warming and different seasonal patterns of precipitation are anticipated to be a problem in southeast England where increasing summer drought may mean that within the course of a single generation certain individuals are taken beyond the limits to which they can acclimate, leading to tree death or intense physiological stress. Beech, *Fagus sylvatica* L., is one such drought-sensitive species (Jump *et al.*, 2006; Packham *et al.*, 2012; Cavin *et al.*, 2013). In this situation, there are options to source proportions of material which contain genetic variation for drought tolerance, due to historic adaptation to drier conditions than currently exist in GB. In other areas, increased temperature and longer growing seasons may actually lead to greater growth rates of tree species (Saxe *et al.*, 2001). In this case, existing populations may not be optimally adapted to make full use of increased temperature and longer growing seasons. However, in the absence of

individuals which are able to take advantage of longer growing seasons this will not necessarily have an impact on their survival, and conservative growth of indigenous populations will minimise the risk of frost damage and severe climatic episodes. Furthermore, there will be a greater contribution to regeneration from those genotypes that respond best to the environmental change, and a lagged evolutionary response to the change is expected in subsequent generations if natural regeneration is able to occur. So long as the novel climate remains within the total envelope occupied by the species within its current range, adaptation to the environmental change over many generations is a realistic outcome. Most native species within the UK have distributions elsewhere in Europe which encompass much warmer and drier conditions, so ultimately adaptation to such environmental change appears entirely feasible.

Practical Implementation in GB Forestry

For successful implementation of a 'currently adapted' strategy in response to climate, the first requirement is a mechanism that allows currently adapted seed sources to be correctly identified for planting. There is already a system of seed transfer regulation in GB forestry that goes some way to achieving planting of currently adapted seed sources across most native tree and shrub species (Herbert *et al.*, 1999). In this system, four regions of provenance are delineated within Britain and these are subdivided into a total of 24 fixed boundary seed zones. Delimitation of the common seed zones is based not on patterns of adaptive variation of trees but on proxies for this; geomorphology and major watersheds together with an additional altitudinal discriminant factor whereby seedlots are described as having been collected either above or below 300 m. The system was devised by Herbert *et al.*, (1999) to encourage the use of local stock at planting sites as an extension to earlier administrative systems for identification and certification of seedlots under the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (Gordon *et al.*, 1992).

Following adoption of this system in 1999, adherence to local provenance became a stipulation for receipt of government grant support for native woodland creation, at least when seed can be obtained without excessive difficulty (Buckley and Blakesley, 2008). A major achievement of the current system of seed zoning is that it has generally prevented grant support being provided for use of stock

of non-GB provenance, much of which has been shown to survive for shorter periods or grow less well than GB provenances in Britain, including silver birch *Betula pendula* Roth (Worrell, 1992; Worrell *et al.*, 2000); Scots pine *Pinus sylvestris* L., alder *Alnus glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn. and sessile oak *Quercus petraea* (Matt.) Leibl. (Worrell, 1992); hawthorn *Crataegus monogyna* Jacq. (Jones *et al.*, 2001); ash *Fraxinus excelsior* L. (Cundall *et al.*, 2003); and for sessile and pedunculate oak *Quercus robur* L. (Hubert, 2005).

It was recognised from its inception that the seed zone system for encouraging currently adapted seed sources in GB was no more than a first attempt which would require refinement as more knowledge became available. In the course of the last fifteen years a number of shortcomings in the system have been recognised, the first of which is that the regions of provenance and seed zones specified do not accurately reflect geographic areas with uniform environmental conditions for tree survival and growth in GB (Salmela *et al.*, 2010).

In upland regions, the current seed zones contain within them a high degree of climatic variation (Salmela *et al.*, 2010). Where climatic conditions are not uniform within seed zones, fine scale patterns of adaptive variation may be overlooked, such that the seed zones do not consist of populations which are adapted to similar environmental conditions (Salmela *et al.*, 2011; 2013). Therefore, adhering to local seed zones in a heterogeneous landscape does not necessarily guarantee a local fitness advantage as plants from a geographically proximal location may be adapted to very different temperature, moisture and exposure regimes (Bischoff *et al.*, 2006).

On the other hand, in more topographically homogenous lowland regions such as central and eastern England, seed zones could justifiably be enlarged. Seed zones which are smaller than necessary may make seed collection and stock management more laborious and complicated than necessary without any clear fitness advantage to planted stock (Hubert and Cottrell, 2007; Buckley and Blakesley, 2008; O'Neill *et al.*, 2014). An example of excessively conservative seed zoning has been found for alder *Alnus glutinosa* in Belgium where assessment of patterns of molecular and adaptive variation demonstrated that material from each of the five seed zones could be exchanged with little risk of maladaptation (De Kort *et al.*, 2014).

The second shortcoming of the seed zone system in GB is that (with the exception of Scots pine *Pinus sylvestris*) it is applied uniformly to all native species on the assumption that they show equivalent patterns of adaptive variation across the landscape, which is unlikely to be the case (Rehfeldt, 1994; Boshier and Stewart, 2005; Cavers and Cottrell, 2015). There is good evidence that this assumption is unlikely to be warranted. For instance, Vitasse *et al.*, (2009) observed opposing adaptive clines in the phenological response of beech *Fagus sylvatica* to those of ash *Fraxinus excelsior and* sessile oak *Quercus petraea* in the Pyrenees. In multiple common garden experiments established along an altitudinal cline, beech populations from a higher altitude were found to be the earliest to flush, whereas the opposite trend was recorded in ash and oak. Moreover in the same trial it was shown that for three other species, sycamore *Acer pseudoplatanus* L., holly *Ilex aquifolium* L. and European silver fir *Abies alba* Mill., there was no evidence of adaptive differences in phenology in response to altitude (Vitasse *et al.*, 2009).

From these considerations it is clear that if the 'currently adapted' seed sourcing policy is to be adopted, the present seed zone system in GB requires refinement. To do this, basic information is essential on the patterns of adaptive variation for different native tree species across GB to determine the critical environmental factors to which they are adapted. Using this information, it would be possible to modify the seed zone approach that already exists to tailor it to fit particular species or groups of species. Such species-specific guidelines are already in place in a number of other European countries (see table 2 in Alía *et al.*, (2009) which summarises approaches to provenance choice in different European countries). Alternatively, seed source selection could be based not on proximity of source and planting site, but instead on ecological matching of these sites.

The Forestry Commission's Ecological Site Classification (ESC) (Pyatt *et al.*, 2001), provides a decision support system for species choice which uses soil characteristics and indicator plant species to determine an ecological profile for a planting site. Extending these methods to provenance choice, whereby registered seed stands would have an ecological profile which could be matched to the planting site would be useful but not without limitations, because as yet unforested sites will

necessarily differ in their ecological characteristics from possible seed source sites, and it may be complex to ensure that ecological profiles were compiled with standardised methods.

A simpler approach might be to consider climatic similarity alone, using multivariate site similarity indices (Ying and Yanchuk, 2006). Natural Resources Canada operate a system called "SeedWhere" (McKenney *et al.*, 1999), which uses a statistical similarity metric to determine the extent of climatic similarity between conditions at the planting site and those at a range of possible seed source locations. In the USA, the "Seedlot Selection Tool" (Howe *et al.*, 2009) is a GIS tool which matches sites climatically and also allows the user to select a climate change model to identify areas which currently match predicted future climate at planting sites.

Once currently adapted seed sources have been identified, guidelines need to be in place to ensure that the seed collected from the identified population is sufficiently genetically variable to allow evolutionary adaptation to environmental change to occur. It is generally accepted that seed collection should be based on even sampling of a minimum of 20 to 30 well-spaced seed trees to ensure sufficient variation in the planting material (Thomas *et al.*, 2014). This is recommended in British guidance as a rule of thumb (Herbert *et al.*, 1999), although it is worth noting that the number of parents required to sample large proportions of the genetic variation in natural populations will depend on the reproductive biology of the target species, notably the prevalence of self-fertilisation and dispersal mechanisms (Hoban and Strand, 2015), and that the numbers required for British native species has not been investigated. The inclusion of high amounts of adaptive genetic variability in seed collections is aided by the high rates of pollen flow generally found in trees (Savolainen *et al.*, 2007; Kremer *et al.*, 2012). This means that seed sampled from a tree population contain a significant proportion of genes that have been derived from other populations in the landscape, thereby broadening the genetic base (Bacles *et al.*, 2006).

The critical feature of the 'currently adapted' strategy for seed sourcing is that it is reliant on the operation of natural selection rather than human intervention to achieve adaptation to future environmental conditions. Thus, if this policy is adopted to create new native woodland, the management must be suitable to allow natural selection to be effective. This means that the initial

population must be sufficiently large that even if there is strong selection in the novel environment at the end of the first generation, there is nevertheless sufficient reproduction among the survivors to allow natural regeneration to occur. Conditions such as level of herbivory must be sufficiently low at that time for natural regeneration to take place. If this is not the case then a possible alternative is collection of seed from the best performing individuals that have been selected for adaptation to the new environment to be raised for supplementary planting in the same location.

Predictive provenancing

Predictive provenancing uses planting stock raised from seed collected in an area that currently experiences a climate similar to that predicted for the planting site in the future. A pure predictive provenancing approach would involve selection of a single seed source population which offered the closest match to anticipated climate at some time in the future (i.e., a space-for-time substitution), in place of local origin material.

An intermediate option is composite provenancing (Broadhurst *et al.*, 2008; Breed *et al.*, 2013). Under composite provenancing, proportions of the seed would be collected from multiple populations located in areas at increasing geographical distances from the planting site. The seed would be collected from populations in locations experiencing currently warmer conditions than the planting site and would be mixed with a proportion of local seed. For instance, under composite provenancing, a planting scheme in southern Scotland would be designed to include perhaps one third of locally sourced seed, one third southern English origin seed and one third French origin seed.

The thinking underlying predictive provenancing approaches are that climate change is occurring quickly, and that by the time when trees currently being planted become mature and reproductive, they will be encountering dramatically different climates (Broadmeadow and Ray, 2005). Using climate projection models it is assumed that we can predict these future climates. The assertion of predictive provenancing is that in these circumstances the best approach to seed sourcing is to collect seed from genotypes that are adapted not to the present climate, but to the future anticipated climate, giving trees the best possible opportunity to survive and reproduce at that time. It is worth looking in

detail at the validity of this superficially appealing argument to determine whether it withstands close scrutiny.

It is firstly important to note that the arguments supporting predictive provenancing are expressed in terms of adaptation to one particular aspect of the environment, namely climate (Aitken and Whitlock, 2013). However, climate does not represent the full suite of environmental factors to which a tree must be adapted. There is overwhelming evidence that local adaptation in trees occurs not only to climate but to many other aspects of the environment, particularly soils and soil biota, herbivores and pathogens (Ennos 2015; Kranabetter *et al.*, 2015; Pickles *et al.*, 2015). Lack of adaptation to these aspects of the environment may reduce the survival and growth of tree populations. Under predictive provenancing, seed would be moved to new environments solely on the basis of predicted future climate, taking no account of adaptation to any other aspect of the environment. It is therefore likely that the seedlings raised from translocated seed will be of lower fitness than locally sourced seed with respect to these other aspects of the environment. In recognition of the possibility of strong adaptation to non-climatic factors, Aitken and Whitlock (2013) make the argument that this approach should only be considered when populations of a species are known to show strong adaptation to climate but not to other aspects of the environment. However this important point has been overlooked in current British guidance.

The second point to note is that under predictive provenancing, translocated seed will initially be ill adapted to the current climate. For example, with northwards movement of seed stock, there is an increased risk of exposure of buds and foliage to late spring and early autumn frosts compared with locally adapted stock, as the timings of leaf and bud emergence and senescence may not be phased to avoid frost, due to past adaptation to a longer growing season (Vander Mijnsbrugge *et al.*, 2015; 2016). Frost damage may reduce the chances of establishment of translocated seedlings, or adversely affect the form of trees if leading shoots are killed by frost, causing forking (Kerr and Boswell, 2001; Cundall *et al.*, 2003). Thus maladaptation both to initial climate and to other important aspects of the environment is likely to result in lower initial survival of provenances translocated in anticipation of climate change. Under a pure predictive provenancing regime, this would lead to poor stocking of

sites. Under composite provenancing, a possibility is that there will be high survival of the local component of the seedling population and low survival of the translocated stock, so that as the population reaches maturity there is the unintended consequence that the majority of the population that is exposed to the novel climate has actually been derived from local provenance.

Whilst natural selection in the early stages of tree development ought to remove the most maladapted individuals as seedlings (Persson and Ståhl, 1990), it is also possible that maladaptation may not become apparent for several years, and possibly beyond the age at which individuals are reproductively mature. Exposure to relatively infrequent events such as harsh spring frosts after mild winters (Worrell *et al.*, 2000; Hubert, 2005; Benito-Garzón *et al.*, 2013a; Benito-Garzón *et al.*, 2013b), rare or seasonal flooding events (Linhart and Baker, 1973; Lenssen *et al.*, 2004), high winds (Pelham *et al.*, 1988) and droughts (Cavin *et al.*, 2013) may cause problems for established trees which are not adapted to such conditions. For instance, Worrell *et al.*, (2000) found that silver birch *Betula pendula* Roth. from Scandinavian origins which had shown good initial growth in Scottish provenance trials proved to be susceptible to damage by late spring frosts after mild winters as long as ten years after planting and therefore eventually experienced substantially higher mortality than native origin material.

Thus, where local and non-local provenances are mixed in composite provenancing, there is a risk that vigorous non-local material from benign climates may survive and grow quickly for a period of time during which it outcompetes the more conservative local provenance. In extraordinary circumstances, a possible scenario is that rare event which later kills the non-local provenance material would result in the absence of woodland cover, as the local outcompeted provenance material would no longer exist to replace the dead non-local material.

Apart from these considerations it is also important to investigate two assumptions crucial to the validity of the predictive provenancing approach; that we can predict with some certainty the future climate, and that analogues of these future climates can be found today within the range of our native tree species. Several problems limit our ability to predict future climate in GB. Firstly, the environment, particularly in upland regions, is heterogeneous at a fine geographic scale. Interpolated

climate projection models are insufficiently fine grained to take strong microclimatic influences on biota into account (Suggit et al., 2011). For instance, the UKCIP02 models (Hulme et al., 2002) which are applied in Broadmeadow and Ray (2005), treat the UK as a series of 50 km x 50 km grid squares and are likely to underestimate climatic complexity by reporting smoothed values over large and complex areas. Approaches to enhancing the precision of climatic projections have advanced since 2002, and decision support systems now include 5 km x 5 km gridded projections (Jones et al., 2009). Nonetheless, even these are likely to be too coarse-grained to be used for certain aspects of site-scale planning, especially in heterogeneous environments and are themselves, not free of uncertainty. Secondly, British weather and ecosystems are strongly influenced by the North Atlantic Oscillation, which causes variable winter weather conditions (Ottersen et al., 2001; Stenseth et al., 2002). In some years, changes in circulation patterns may result in conditions which are very different from the averages predicted by long term climate models. For translocated material, timing of phenological events such as bud burst and bud set may be asynchronous with the beginning and end of the local growing season. This phenological mismatch could lead to frost damage at times when translocated material is not dormant (Vitasse et al., 2009; Cavers and Cottrell, 2015). Trees which are under stress because of maladaptation are less well equipped to resist pests and pathogens (Telford et al., 2015), some of which are also expected to increase in frequency and severity under climate change (Battisti et al., 2005; Sturrock et al., 2011). These differences in phenology could also have negative impacts on associated species if, for example, earlier bud burst reduces the time and capacity of vernal plant species to reproduce (Buckley and Blakesley, 2008; Roberts et al., 2015).

A final concern is that whilst studies demonstrate poleward, or up-slope migration of some species in response to warming or drying (Parmesan and Yohe, 2003), this cannot be expected to be a universal rule. The consequences of climate change are likely to be more complex than poleward movement of conditions, as some aspects of the current environment, such as photoperiod, which can also be linked to phenological activity (Koski and Sievanen, 1985) and continentality will be held constant (Aitken and Whitlock, 2013). Other climatic factors, such as windiness and cloud cover will not change in a directional manner. There is therefore no present day analogue of future climates, and so treating

space as a substitution for time is problematic (Williams *et al.*, 2007; Benito-Garzón *et al.*, 2013b; Nagamitsu *et al.*, 2014). A more likely scenario is that future conditions will involve increased interand intra-annual climatic variability, leading to wider extremes (IPCC, 2013), which adds further complexity to efforts to predict the direction and strength of change.

Practical implementation in GB forestry

On the basis of the considerations given above, it is reasonable to make use of predictive provenancing only under a restricted set of conditions (Aitken and Whitlock, 2013). These are where future climate predictions are robust, climates analogous to the future predicted climate exist within the range of a native species, and environmental heterogeneity for parameters other than climate is low. In GB this restrictive set of conditions is most likely to apply to seed sourcing in lowland southern England. The inclusion of varying proportions of southerly provenances alongside local provenance material in new plantings has been recommended as an adaptation strategy in England by Forestry Commission England (Broadmeadow et al., 2005; Forestry Commission England, 2010; Morison et al., 2010; Weir, 2015), as well as by Natural England and the RSPB (2014). A recommendation is to use a mixture of planting material derived from seed from multiple provenances located 2-5° further south than the planting site, provided that distance from the Atlantic Ocean of seed sources is similar to that of the planting site. Recommendations suggest that these should be used in addition to at least one third local provenance material (Forestry Commission England, 2010), although these guidelines are rather general and open to interpretation. An aspect that appears to be missing from the guidelines is a recommendation to match as far as possible the edaphic and biotic components of the environment at the planting and source sites.

Species change

As a consequence of environmental change, predictions may indicate that a species will no longer be able to survive in its present site, no matter where it is sampled from in its natural distribution. This would be the case if a species were present at the trailing edge (southern margin of the species range exposed to currently warmer conditions) of its distribution and predicted climate fell outside the

envelope currently occupied, an uncommon situation in British native trees. Alternatively, a species may be threatened with extirpation by a novel introduced pathogen to which it possesses no resistance (Anagnostakis, 1987). In both of these cases the only remaining strategy would be replacement with an ecologically similar species, in the second instance by one unaffected by the exotic pathogen (Mitchell *et al.*, 2014). In the context of new native woodland creation this would only be appropriate if the replacement species was native and capable of sustaining native biodiversity. Thus, typical replacement species which would not otherwise naturally colonise the site would be ecologically similar native species with a restricted current distribution and limited dispersal abilities, but with the possibility of assisted range expansion under climate change. In this scenario, it may also be appropriate to allow for natural colonisation by other native species in existing stands, or to buffer the absence with multiple native species (Mitchell *et al.*, 2014).

A more radical suggestion that has been proposed in situations where native species are threatened with extirpation by introduced exotic herbivores and pathogens is the replacement of a native species by a closely related exotic species resistant to the threat organisms. A case in point is the proposed replacement of ash that is susceptible to *Hymenoschyphus fraxinea* (T. Kowalski) Baral, Queloz, Hosoya. by a resistant exotic ash from Asia (Boshier and Buggs, 2015; Harper *et al.*, 2016). The exotic species would be used in the expectation that it would take over the ecological role of the threatened species.

There are a number of important objections to this proposal. Firstly, whilst exotic forest plantations have been shown in some cases to provide habitat for a comparable total number of native species to semi-natural woodlands (Sax *et al.*, 2005; Quine and Humphrey, 2010), community composition is not the same (Quine and Humphrey, 2010). Changes in composition are likely to have the greatest effect upon species which are strongly associated with particular native host species, for example, epiphytic lichens, which may fail to establish in the novel environment or be displaced by generalist taxa (Mitchell *et al.*, 2014). It is, however, very difficult to generalise about the possible complex effects of exotic versus native forests on biodiversity but a useful discussion is presented in Carnus *et al.*, (2006).. Secondly, although there are many examples of tree species introductions being

considered 'successful' from an economic perspective (Koskela *et al.*, 2014), many introductions have proved to be failures or have had profound ecological consequences, at least at local scale (Peterken, 2001). A good example of introductions that held initial promise, albeit not for nature conservation objectives, but ultimately failed is that of the five-needle pines *Pinus monticola* Douglas ex D. Don. and *Pinus strobus* L. that are currently being promoted for planting in GB (<u>http://www.silvifuture.org.uk/species</u>). Previous introductions of these species to GB failed because both are susceptible to white pine blister rust *Cronartium ribicola* J.C. Fisch. which causes debilitating girdling cankers (Pawsey, 1963; Geils *et al.*, 2010).

Even if introduced species do survive, in the absence of long term provenance trials with the exotic species, it would be difficult to match seed sources to site. The resulting stressed trees may either not survive or act as a susceptible host to native herbivores and pathogens with which they had not co-evolved (Watt, 1986; Peterken, 2001; Castagneyrol *et al.*, 2014), allowing the pest and pathogen populations to increase and put further pressure on the already threatened native species (Ennos, 2001, 2015). For example Corsican pine *Pinus nigra* subsp. *laricio* Maire is a widespread exotic in GB that has recently proved highly susceptible to Dothistroma needle blight, *Dothistroma septosporum* (Dorog) Morelet, and generated inoculum levels that are sufficient to threaten native Scots pine, *P. sylvestris* (Brown and Webber, 2008). Additionally, the planting of new exotic species could act as a conduit or foothold for entry of exotic herbivores and pathogens capable of switching to the native species.

A final objection to the introduction of exotic species is the possibility that the exotic species, released from its natural enemies may become invasive (Reinhart *et al.*, 2003). There are many (hundreds) examples on a global scale, of introduced woody plants becoming invasive (Richardson and Rejmánek, 2011). In some instances, these can have unforeseen interactions with other introduced species, leading to multiple negative feedbacks – or 'invasion meltdown' *sensu* Simberloff and Von Holle (1999). For instance, in Patagonia, introduced red deer *Cervus elaphus* L. were found to graze native vegetation preferentially, which in turn favoured the continued invasion of native habitats by introduced Douglas-fir, *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco (Relva *et al.*, 2010). Thus before the

hasty introduction of new exotic species on a wide scale, attention must be paid to the possibility of highly undesirable ecological interactions involving these exotic species and related native trees and their pests and pathogens (Castagneyrol *et al.*, 2014; Branco *et al.*, 2015; Ennos, 2015). Multiple simultaneous introductions of exotic species to Britain for any purpose have the potential to cause a host of unforeseen and complex ecological problems

Practical implementation in GB forestry

In the UK there are very few examples of native tree species at the trailing edge of their distributions which are likely to find themselves outside the species' climatic envelope within the next generation. Therefore, as a putative climate change adaptation strategy, there appears to be no necessity for species change caused by this phenomenon. On the other hand there are a number of species that are at the leading edge of their distributions (northern range margins) with the possibility of expansion to the north under climate change. Some obvious examples are hornbeam, *Carpinus betulus* L., black poplar *Populus nigra* L. and the two limes, *Tilia cordata* Mill. and *Tilia platyphyllos* Scop. Adding these species to planting schemes taking place outside their current range affords the opportunity to enhance their natural rate of northward spread under climate change which may otherwise be inhibited by habitat fragmentation. Whilst these activities are rather more aligned to species conservation programmes, than ecosystem-oriented restoration (Sansilvestri *et al.*, 2014), encouraging diversity of native species in new plantings may confer additional biological resilience to climate change, but is only appropriate in situations where appropriate seed sources can be identified.

A case where rapid change in the biotic environment suggests the implementation of a species change policy is that triggered by the introduction of the exotic pathogen *Hymenoschyphus fraxineus* into Britain. Species change to an ecologically similar native species, such as aspen, *Populus tremula* L. which is unaffected by the pathogen, is currently one of the options being pursued, as well as attempting to account for the loss of ash by using a range of other native species (Mitchell *et al.*, 2014). It is worth noting, however, that to discontinue the use, including management designed to encourage regeneration of any species for plant health reasons would necessarily reduce its capacity to evolve resistance to the pathogen or adapt to environmental change.

Conclusions

Climate change is just one of many challenges to the maintenance and expansion of woodland cover in Great Britain. Other factors include high herbivore density, patterns of land use and ownership, infrastructure development, pests and diseases. The threat posed by these multiple pressures and the interactions between them highlight the need to recognise that that there is no "quick fix" for adaptation to climate change and no single seed sourcing strategy can be seen as a surrogate for sound, continued woodland management, including that which aims to encourage natural regeneration and colonisation. Several potential problems have been identified with intervention strategies. However, maintaining the status quo may also be problematic. A moderate approach might involve updating or extending the status quo in order to improve knowledge and make better use of existing genetic resources and evolutionary processes, thereby ensuring that native tree seed sourcing is better grounded in biological principles. In the short term, this would involve using environmental information to better match seed sources with planting sites, rather than focusing strictly on the current system of seed zones whilst continually monitoring populations further south which are likely to experience climate change related problems before they occur in Britain. However, in the longer term, this ought to be informed by a more detailed understanding of the responses of tree species and the populations within them to different environments. To achieve this, we firstly need information from a range of populations on their ability to acclimate to novel environmental conditions. Secondly, we need information on the pattern of adaptation of trees across the landscape in response to environmental factors, so that presently adapted seed sources can be identified for any particular planting site. Thirdly, we need a better assessment of the extent of genetic variability in standing tree populations. Although adaptation will depend on a whole range of factors, for some traits a measure of the extent of heritable genetic variation in populations can be obtained from progeny trials. This will allow an indication of likely rates at which populations might adapt to a novel environment if natural regeneration occurs. Finally, we need to know the limits of adaptation or tolerance of the species as a whole. This will determine whether a population of the species can realistically be expected to adapt to and survive in changed conditions. In the immediate absence of this information,

it is necessary to pay close attention to the risks identified in this paper before exposing newly established woodlands to the many uncertainties in the implications of predictive provenancing and species change. Any such efforts must initially be conducted conservatively and treated as long-term experiments until sufficient evidence can be found which suggests that these strategies are necessary, effective and can be implemented practically.

Funding

This work was supported via a studentship to R.W by Forestry Commission Scotland; Forestry Commission Great Britain and Climate XChange. Further support was provided via PROTREE 'Promoting resilience of UK tree species to novel pests and pathogens: ecological and evolutionary solutions', a project funded by the BBSRC Tree Health and Plant Biosecurity Initiative, Phase 2, an initiative of the Living with Environmental Change (LWEC) partnership.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Chris Quine, Colin Edwards, Helen McKay two reviewers for their insightful comments which improved earlier versions of this manuscript.

Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

References

- Aitken, S.N. and Whitlock, M.C. 2013. Assisted gene flow to facilitate local adaptation to climate change. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. System.* **44**, 367-388.
- Aitken, S.N., Yeaman, S., Holliday, J.A., Wang, T. and Curtis-McLane, S. 2008. Adaptation, migration or extirpation: climate change outcomes for tree populations. *Evol. Appl.* 1, 95-111.
- Alberto, F.J., Aitken, S.N., Alía, R., González-Martínez, S.C., Hänninen, H., Kremer, A., Lefèvre, F., Lenormand, T., Yeaman, S., Whetten, R. and Savolainen, O. 2013. Potential for evolutionary responses to climate change – evidence from tree populations. *Glob. Change Biol.* **19**, 1645-1661.

- Alía, R., García del Barrio, J., Iglesias, S., Mancha, J., De Miguel, J., Nicolás, J., Pérez, F. and Sánchez de Ron, D. 2009. *Regiones de Procedencia de especies forestales en España*.
 MARM, Área de Medio Ambiente. Madrid.
- Anagnostakis, S. L. 1987. Chestnut Blight: The Classical Problem of an Introduced Pathogen. *Mycologia*, **79**, 23-37.
- Bacles, C. F., Lowe, A.J. and Ennos, R.A. 2004. Genetic effects of chronic habitat fragmentation on tree species: the case of *Sorbus aucuparia* in a deforested Scottish landscape. *Mol. Ecol.* 13, 573-584.
- Bacles, C.F., Lowe, A.J. and Ennos, R.A. 2006. Effective seed dispersal across a fragmented landscape. *Science* **311**, 628-628.
- Banks, N.C., Paini, D.R., Bayliss, K.L. and Hodda, M. 2015. The role of global trade and transport network topology in the human-mediated dispersal of alien species. *Ecol. lett.* **18**, 188-199.
- Battisti, A., Stastny, M., Netherer, S., Robinet, C., Schopf, A., Roques, A. and Larsson, S. 2005.
 Expansion of geographic range in the pine processionary moth caused by increased winter temperatures. *Ecol. Appl.* 15, 2084-2096.
- Benito-Garzón, M., Ha-Duong, M., Frascaria-Lacoste, N. and Fernández-Maniarrés, J.F. 2013a.
 Extreme climate variability should be considered in forestry assisted migration. *BioScience* 63, 317.
- Benito-Garzón, M., Ha-Duong, M., Frascaria-Lacoste, N. and Fernández-Manjarrés, J. 2013b. Habitat Restoration and Climate Change: Dealing with Climate Variability, Incomplete Data, and Management Decisions with Tree Translocations. *Restor. Ecol.* 21, 530-536.
- Bischoff, A., Vonlanthen, B., Steinger, T. and Müller-Schärer, H. 2006. Seed provenance matters—
 effects on germination of four plant species used for ecological restoration. *Basic Appl. Ecol.*7, 347-359.
- Boshier, D. and Buggs, R.J. 2015. The potential for field studies and genomic technologies to enhance resistance and resilience of British tree populations to pests and pathogens. *Forestry* 88, 27-40.

- Boshier, D. and Stewart, J. 2005. How local is local? Identifying the scale of adaptive variation in ash (*Fraxinus excelsior* L.): results from the nursery. *Forestry* **78**, 135-143.
- Branco, M., Brockerhoff, E.G., Castagneyrol, B., Orazio, C. and Jactel, H. 2015. Host range expansion of native insects to exotic trees increases with area of introduction and the presence of congeneric trees. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **52(1)**, 69-77.
- Brasier, C.M. 2008. The biosecurity threat to the UK and global environment from international trade in plants. *Plant Pathol.* **57**, 792–808.
- Breed, M.F., Stead, M.G., Ottewell, K.M., Gardner, M.G. and Lowe, A.J. 2013. Which provenance and where? Seed sourcing strategies for revegetation in a changing environment. *Conserv. Genet.* 14, 1-10.
- Broadhurst, L.M., Lowe, A., Coates, D.J., Cunningham, S.A., McDonald, M., Vesk, P.A. and Yates,C. 2008. Seed supply for broadscale restoration: maximizing evolutionary potential. *Evol.Appl.* 1, 587-597.
- Broadmeadow, M. and Ray, D. 2005. *Climate change and British woodland. Forestry Commission Information Note 69.* Forestry Commission, Edinburgh.
- Broadmeadow, M., Ray, D. and Samuel, C. 2005. Climate change and the future for broadleaved tree species in Britain. *Forestry* **78**, 145-161.
- Brown A.V. and Webber. J, 2008. *Red Band Needle Blight of Conifers in Britain. Forestry Commission Research Note 2.* Forestry Commission, Edinburgh.
- Buckley, P. and Blakesley, D. 2008. Sourcing local native plants for nursery production: guidance for seed suppliers and growers. Forestry Commission England.Carnus, J.M., Parrotta, J., Brockerhoff, E., Arbez, M., Jactel, H., Kremer, A., Lamb, D., O'Hara, K. and Walters, B. 2006. Planted forests and biodiversity. J. Forest. 104, 65-77.
- Castagneyrol, B., Jactel, H., Vacher, C., Brockerhoff, E.G. and Korichera, J. 2014. Effects of plant phylogenetic diversity on herbivory depend on herbivore specialization. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **51** (1), 134-141.
- Cavers, S. and Cottrell, J. 2015. The basis of resilience in forest tree species and its use in adaptive forest management in Britain. *Forestry* **88**, 13-26.

- Cavin, L., Mountford, E.P., Peterken, G.F. and Jump, A.S. 2013. Extreme drought alters competitive dominance within and between tree species in a mixed forest stand. *Funct. Ecol.* 27, 1424-1435.
- Chevin, L.-M., Collins, S. and Lefèvre, F. 2013. Phenotypic plasticity and evolutionary demographic responses to climate change: taking theory out to the field. *Funct. Ecol.* **27**, 966-979.
- Crowe, K.A. and Parker, W.H. 2008. Using portfolio theory to guide reforestation and restoration under climate change scenarios. *Climatic Change* **89**, 355-370.
- Cundall, E.P., Cahalan, C.M. and Connolly, T., 2003. Early results of ash (*Fraxinus excelsior* L.) provenance trials at sites in England and Wales. *Forestry* **76**, 385-400.
- Davis, M.B. and Shaw, R.G. 2001. Range shifts and adaptive responses to Quaternary climate change. *Science* **292**, 673-679
- De Kort, H., Mergeay, J., Vander Mijnsbrugge, K., Decocq, G., Maccherini, S., Bruun, H., Honnay,
 O. and Vandepitte, K. 2014. An evaluation of seed zone delineation using phenotypic and
 population genomic data on black alder *Alnus glutinosa*. J. Appl. Ecol. 51, 1218-1227.
- DeWitt, T.J., Sih, A. and Wilson, D.S. 1998. Costs and limits of phenotypic plasticity. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **13**, 77-81.
- DEFRA. 2007. England Forestry Strategy. A new focus for England's woodlands. Forestry Commission, Cambridge.
- Ennos, R., Worrell, R. and Malcolm, D. 1998. The genetic management of native species in Scotland. *Forestry* **71**, 1-23.
- Ennos, R.A. 2001. The introduction of lodgepole pine as a major forest crop in Sweden: implications for host–pathogen evolution. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **141**, 85-96.
- Ennos, R.A. 2015. Resilience of forests to pathogens: an evolutionary ecology perspective. *Forestry* **88**, 41-52.
- Forest Policy Group. 2012. Tree species composition in Scotland's forests: where next with diversification? *Scottish Forestry* **66**, 10-15.
- Forestry Commission England. 2010. *Managing ancient and native woodland in England. Forestry Commission England Practice Guide*. Forestry Commission England, Bristol.

- Geils, B. W., Hummer, K. E. and Hunt, R. S. 2010. White pines, *Ribes*, and blister rust: a review and synthesis. *Forest Pathol.* **40**, 147–185.
- Gordon, A., Faulkner, R., Lines, R., Samuel, C., Aldhous, J. and Fletcher, A. 1992. Seed manual for forest trees. *Forestry Commission Bulletin No.* 63. HMSO.
- Gundale, M.J., Kardol, P., Nilsson, M.C., Nilsson, U., Lucas, R.W. and Wardle, D.A. 2014. Interactions with soil biota shift from negative to positive when a tree species is moved outside its native range. *New phytologist* 202, 415-421.
- Hampe, A., Pemonge, M.H. and Petit, R.J., 2013. Efficient mitigation of founder effects during the establishment of a leading-edge oak population. Proc. R. Soc. B. 280, 1-7.
- Harper, A.L., McKinney, L.V., Nielsen, L.R., Havlickova, L., Li Y., Trick, M., Fraser, F., Wang, L.,
 Fellgett, A., Sollars, E.S., Janacek, S.H., Downie, A., Buggs, R.J.A., Kjær, E.D. and Bancroft,
 I. 2016. Molecular markers for tolerance of European ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) to dieback
 disease identified using Associative Transcriptomics. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 19335.
- Herbert, R., Samuel, S. and Patterson, G. 1999. Using Local Stock for Planting Native Trees and Shrubs. In Forestry Commission Practice Note 8. Forestry Commission.
- Hoban, S. and Strand, A. 2015. Ex situ seed collections will benefit from considering spatial sampling design and species' reproductive biology. *Biol Cons* 187, 182-191.
- Howe, G. T., Aitken, S.N., Neale, D.B., Jermstad, K. D., Wheeler, N.C. and Chen, T.T.H. 2003. From genotype to phenotype: unraveling the complexities of cold adaptation in forest trees. *Can. J.Bot.* 81:1247–1266.
- Howe, G.T., St. Clair, J.B. and Beloin, R. 2009. Seedlot selection tool. http://sst.forestry.oregonstate.edu/index.html. (accessed on 15 July, 2015).
- Hubert, J. 2005. *Selecting the right provenance of oak for planting in Britain*. Forestry Commission Information Note 76. Forestry Commission, Edinburgh.
- Hubert, J. and Cottrell, J. 2007. The role of forest genetic resources in helping British forests respond to climate change. Forestry Commission Information Note 86. Forestry Commission, Edinburgh.

- Hulme, M., Jenkins, G., Lu, X., Turnpenny, J., Mitchell, T., Jones, R., Lowe, J., Murphy, J., Hassell,
 D. and Boorman, P. 2002. Climate change scenarios for the United Kingdom: the UKCIP02 scientific report. Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich.
- IPCC. 2013. Climate change 2013: the physical science basis. In: Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner G-K,Tignor M, Allen SK,Boschung J, Nauels A, Xia Y, Bex V, Midgley PM, eds.Contribution of Working Group I to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.Cambridge, UK/New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Jones, A.T., Hayes, M.J. and Sackville Hamilton, N.R. 2001. The effect of provenance on the performance of *Crataegus monogyna* in hedges. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **38**, 952-962.
- Jones, P.D., Kilsby, G.C., Harpham, C., Glenis, V. and Burton, A. 2009. UK Climate Projections science report: Projections of future daily climate for the UK from the Weather Generator. University of Newcastle, Newcastle.
- Jump, A.S. and Peñuelas, J. 2005. Running to stand still: adaptation and the response of plants to rapid climate change. *Ecol. lett.* **8**, 1010-1020.
- Jump, A.S., Hunt, J.M. and Peñuelas, J. 2006. Rapid climate change-related growth decline at the southern range edge of Fagus sylvatica. *Glob. Change Biol.* **12**, 2163-2174.
- Jump, A.S., Peñuelas, J., Rico, L., Ramallo, E., Estiarte, M., Martínez-Izquierdo, J.A. and Lloret, F. 2008. Simulated climate change provokes rapid genetic change in the Mediterranean shrub *Fumana thymifolia. Glob. Change Biol.* 14, 637-643.
- Jung, T., Orlikowski, L., Henricot, B., Abad-Campos, P., Aday, A.G., Aguín Casal, O., Bakonyi, J.,
 Cacciola, S.O., Cech, T., Chavarriaga, D., Corcobado, T., Cravador, A., Decourcelle, T.,
 Denton, G., Diamandis, S., Doğmuş-Lehtijärvi, H.T., Franceschini, A., Ginetti, B., Green, S.,
 Glavendekić, M., Hantula, J., Hartmann, G., Herrero, M., Ivic, D., Horta Jung, M., Lilja, A.,
 Keca, N., Kramarets, V., Lyubenova, A., Machado, H., Magnano di San Lio, G., Mansilla
 Vázquez, P.J., Marçais, B., Matsiakh, I., Milenkovic, I., Moricca, S., Nagy, Z.Á., Nechwatal,
 J., Olsson, C., Oszako, T., Pane, A., Paplomatas, E.J., Pintos Varela, C., Prospero, S., Rial
 Martínez, C., Rigling, D., Robin, C., Rytkönen, A., Sánchez, M.E., Sanz Ros, A.V., Scanu,

B., Schlenzig, A., Schumacher, J., Slavov, S., Solla, A., Sousa, E., Stenlid, J., Talgø, V., Tomic, Z., Tsopelas, P., Vannini, A., Vettraino, A.M., Wenneker, M., Woodward, S. and Peréz-Sierra, A. (2015). Widespread Phytophthora infestations in European nurseries put forest, semi-natural and horticultural ecosystems at high risk of Phytophthora diseases. *Forest Pathol.***46**, 134-163.

- Kerr, G. and Boswell, C. 2001. The influence of spring frosts, ash bud moth (*Prays fraxinella*) and site factors on forking of young ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) in southern Britain. *Forestry* 74, 29-40.
- Koskela, J., Vinceti, B., Dvorak, W., Bush, D., Dawson, I.K., Loo, J., Kjær, E.D., Navarro, C.,
 Padolina, C., Bordács, S., Jamnadass, R., Graudal, L. and Ramamonjisoa, L. Utilization and
 transfer of forest genetic resources: A global review. *For. Ecol Manag.* 333, 22-34.
- Koski, V and Sievänen, R. 1985. *Timing of growth cessation in relation to the variations in the growing season*. In: Crop physiology of forest trees--Tigerstedt P, Puttonen MA, Koski V, eds. Helsinki, Finland: Helsinki University Press. 167–193.
- Kranabetter, J.M., Stoehr, M. and O'Neill, G.A. 2015. Ectomycorrhizal fungal maladaptation and growth reductions associated with assisted migration of Douglas-fir. *New Phytologist* 206, 1135-1144.
- Kremer, A., Ronce, O., Robledo-Arnuncio, J. J., Guillaume, F., Bohrer, G., Nathan, R., Bridle, J. R.,
 Gomulkiewicz, R., Klein, E. K., Ritland, K., Kuparinen, A., Gerber, S. and Schueler, S. 2012.
 Long-distance gene flow and adaptation of forest trees to rapid climate change. *Ecol. lett.* 15, 378–392.
- Kuparinen, A., Savolainen, O. and Schurr, F.M. 2010. Increased mortality can promote evolutionary adaptation of forest trees to climate change. *For. Ecol Manag.* **259**, 1003-1008.
- Lefèvre, F., Boivin, T., Bontemps, A., Courbet, F., Davi, H., Durand-Gillmann, M., Fady, B.,
 Gauzere, J., Gidoin, C., Karam, M.-J., Lalagüe, H., Oddou-Muratorio, S. and Pichot, C. 2014.
 Considering evolutionary processes in adaptive forestry. *Ann. For. Sci.* 71, 723-739.
- Lenssen, J.P.M., Van Kleunen, M., Fischer, M. and De Kroon, H. 2004. Local adaptation of the clonal plant *Ranunculus reptans* to flooding along a small-scale gradient. *J. Ecol.* **92**, 696-706.

- Liebhold, A. M., Brockerhoff, E. G., Garrett, L. J., Parke, J. L and Britton, K. O. 2012. Live plant imports: the major pathway for forest insect and pathogen invasions of the US. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 10, 135-143.
- Linhart, Y.B. and Baker, I. 1973. Intra-population Differentiation of Physiological Response to Flooding in a Population of *Veronica peregrina* L. *Nature* **242**, 275-276.
- McKenney, D.W., Mackey, B.G. and Joyce, D. 1999. Seedwhere: a computer tool to support seed transfer and ecological restoration decisions. *Env. Modell. Softw.* **14**, 589-595.
- Mitchell, R.J., Beaton, J.K., Bellamy, P.E., Broome, A., Chetcuti, J., Eaton, S., Ellis, C.J., Gimona, A., Harmer, R., Hester, A.J., Hewison, R.L., Hodgetts, N.G., Iason, G.R., Kerr, G., Littlewood, N.A., Newey, S., Potts, J.M., Pozsgai, G., Ray, D., Sim, D.A., Stockan, J.A., Taylor, A.F.S. and Woodward, S. 2014. Ash dieback in the UK: A review of the ecological and conservation implications and potential management options. *Biol. Conserv.* 175, 95-109.
- Morison, J., Ray, D. and Broadmeadow, M. 2010. *Climate change: impacts and adaption in England's woodlands*. Edinburgh: Forestry Commission.
- Nagamitsu, T., Shimada, K.-i. and Kanazashi, A. 2014. A reciprocal transplant trial suggests a disadvantage of northward seed transfer in survival and growth of Japanese red pine (*Pinus densiflora*) trees. *Tree Genet. Genomes* **11**, 1-10.

Natural England and RSPB, 2014. Climate Change Adaptation Manual. Natural England, York.

- Nicotra, A.B., Atkin, O.K., Bonser, S.P., Davidson, A.M., Finnegan, E.J., Mathesius, U., Poot, P., Purugganan, M.D., Richards, C.L., Valladares, F. and van Kleunen, M. 2010 Plant phenotypic plasticity in a changing climate. *Trends Plant Sci* 15, 684–692.
- O'Neill, G.A., Stoehr, M. and Jaquish, B. 2014. Quantifying safe seed transfer distance and impacts of tree breeding on adaptation. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **328**, 122-130.
- Ottersen, G., Planque, B., Belgrano, A., Post, E., Reid, P.C. and Stenseth, N.C. 2001. Ecological effects of the North Atlantic oscillation. *Oecologia* **128**, 1-14.
- Packham, J.R., Thomas, P.A., Atkinson, M.D. and Degen, T. 2012. Biological Flora of the British Isles:Fagus sylvatica. *J. Ecol.* **100**, 1557-1608.

- Parmesan, C. 2006. Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. System. 37, 637-669.
- Parmesan, C. and Yohe, G., 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature* **421**, 37-42.
- Pawsey, R.G. 1963. A reappraisal of the importance of *Cronartium ribicola* on *Pinus strobus* in Great Britain. *Forestry* **36**, 219–226
- Pelham, J., Gardiner, A.S., Smith, R.I. and Last, F.T. 1988. Variation in *Betula pubescens* Erhr.
 (Betulaceae) in Scotland: its nature and association with environmental factors. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 96, 217-234.
- Persson, B. and Ståhl, E.G. 1990. Survival and yield of *Pinus sylvestris* L. as related to provenance transfer and spacing at high altitudes in northern Sweden. *Scand. J. For. Res.* **5**, 381-395.
- Peterken, G.F. 2001. Ecological effects of introduced tree species in Britain. *For. Ecol. Manag* **141**, 31-42.
- Petit, R.J. and Hampe, A. 2006. Some Evolutionary Consequences of Being a Tree. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. System. 37, 187-214.
- Pickles, B.J., Twieg, B.D., O'Neill, G.A., Mohn, W.W. and Simard, S.W. 2015. Local adaptation in migrated interior Douglas-fir seedlings is mediated by ectomycorrhizas and other soil factors. *New Phytologist* 207, 858-871.
- Pyatt, D.G., Ray, D. and Fletcher, J. 2001. An ecological site classification for forestry in Great Britain. *Forestry Commission Bulletin No.* 124. Forestry Commission, Edinburgh
- Quine, C.P. and Humphrey, J.W., 2010. Plantations of exotic tree species in Britain: irrelevant for biodiversity or novel habitat for native species? *Biodivers Conserv.* **19**, 1503-1512.
- Rehfeldt, G.E. 1994. Evolutionary genetics, the biological species, and the ecology of the interior cedar-hemlock forests. Proceedings of the Conference: Interior Cedar-Hemlock-White Pine Forests: Ecology and Management, Spokane, WA, March 2–4, Department of Natural Resource Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, WA.
- Rehfeldt, G.E., Wykoff, W.R. and Ying, C.C., 2001. Physiologic plasticity, evolution, and impacts of a changing climate on *Pinus contorta*. *Clim. Chang.*, **50**, 355-376.Rehfeldt, G.E.,

Tchebakova, N.M., Parfenova, Y.I., Wykoff, W.R., Kuzmina, N.A. and Milyutin, L.I. 2002. Intraspecific responses to climate in *Pinus sylvestris*. *Global Change Biol.* **8**, 912-929.

- Reich, P.B., Sendall, K.M., Stefanski, A., Wei, X., Rich, R.L. and Montgomery, R.A., 2016. Boreal and temperate trees show strong acclimation of respiration to warming. *Nature* doi:10.1038/nature17142
- Reinhart, K.O., Packer, A., Van der Putten, W.H. and Clay, K. 2003. Plant–soil biota interactions and spatial distribution of black cherry in its native and invasive ranges. *Ecol. Lett.* **6**, 1046-1050.
- Relva, M., Nuñez, M. and Simberloff, D. 2010. Introduced deer reduce native plant cover and facilitate invasion of non-native tree species: evidence for invasional meltdown. *Biol. Invasions* 12, 303-311.
- Richardson, D.M. and Rejmánek, M. 2011. Trees and shrubs as invasive alien species–a global review. *Divers. Distrib.* **17**, 788-809.
- Richter, S., Kipfer, T., Wohlgemuth, T., Guerrero, C.C., Ghazoul, J. and Moser, B. 2012. Phenotypic plasticity facilitates resistance to climate change in a highly variable environment. *Oecologia* 169, 269-279.
- Roberts, A.M.I., Tansey, C., Smithers, R.J. and Phillimore, A.B. 2015. Predicting a change in the order of spring phenology in temperate forests. *Global Change Biol.* **21**, 2603-2611.
- Salmela, M.J., Cavers, S., Wachowiak, W., Cottrell, J.E., Iason, G.R. and Ennos, R.A. 2010. Understanding the evolution of native pinewoods in Scotland will benefit their future management and conservation. *Forestry* 83, 535-545.
- Salmela, M. J., Cavers, S., Cottrell, J. E., Iason, G. R., and Ennos, R. A. 2011. Seasonal patterns of photochemical capacity and spring phenology reveal genetic differentiation among native Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) populations in Scotland. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 262, 1020-1029.
- Salmela, M. J., Cavers, S., Cottrell, J. E., Iason, G. R., and Ennos, R. A. 2013. Spring phenology shows genetic variation among and within populations in seedlings of Scots pine (*Pinus* sylvestris L.) in the Scottish Highlands. *Plant Ecol. Divers.* 6, 523-536.

- Sansilvestri, R., Frascaria-Lacoste, N. and Fernández-Manjarrés, J.F. 2015. Reconstructing a deconstructed concept: Policy tools for implementing assisted migration for species and ecosystem management. *Environ. Sci. Pol.* **51**, 192-201.
- Savolainen, O., Pyhäjärvi, T. and Knürr, T. 2007. Gene flow and local adaptation in trees. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. System.* **38**, 595-619.
- Sax, D.F., Kinlan, B.P. and Smith, K.F. 2005. A conceptual framework for comparing species assemblages in native and exotic habitats. *Oikos* **108**, 457-464.
- Saxe H., Cannell, M.G., Johnsen, Ø., Ryan, M.G. and Vourlitis, G. 2001. Tree and forest functioning in response to global warming. *New phytologist* **149**, 369-399.

Scottish Executive. 2006. The Scottish Forestry Strategy. Forestry Commission Scotland, Edinburgh.

- Simberloff, D. and Von Holle, B. 1999. Positive interactions of nonindigenous species: invasional meltdown? *Biol. Invasions* **1**, 21-32.
- Stenseth, N.C., Mysterud, A., Ottersen, G., Hurrell, J.W., Chan, K.-S. and Lima, M. 2002. Ecological effects of climate fluctuations. *Science* **297**, 1292-1296.
- Sturrock, R.N., Frankel, S.J., Brown, A.V., Hennon, P.E., Kliejunas, J.T., Lewis, K.J., Worrall, J.J. and Woods, A.J. 2011. Climate change and forest diseases. *Plant Pathol.* **60**, 133-149.
- St Clair, J.B. and Howe, G.T. 2007. Genetic maladaptation of coastal Douglas-fir seedlings to future climates. *Glob. Change Biol.* **13**, 1441-1454.
- St Clair J.B., Mandel, N.L. and Vance-Borland, K.W. 2005. Genecology of Douglas fir in western Oregon and Washington. *Ann. Bot.* **96**, 1199-1214.
- Suggit, A.J., Gillingham, P.K., Hill, J.K., Huntley, B., Kunin, W.E., Roy, D.B. and Thomas, C.D.
 2011. Habitat microclimates drive fine-scale variation in extreme temperatures. *Oikos* 120, 1:8.
- Telford, A., Cavers, S., Ennos, R.A. and Cottrell, J.E. 2015. Can we protect forests by harnessing variation in resistance to pests and pathogens? *Forestry* **88**, 3-12.
- Thomas, E., Jalonen, R., Loo, J., Boshier, D., Gallo, L., Cavers, S., Bordács, S., Smith, P. and Bozzano, M., 2014. Genetic considerations in ecosystem restoration using native tree species. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 333, 66-75.

- Valladares, F., Gianoli, E. and Gómez, J.M. 2007. Ecological limits to plant phenotypic plasticity. *New Phytologist* **176**, 749-763.
- Vander Mijnsbrugge, K., Onkelinx, T. and De Cuyper, B. 2015. Variation in bud burst and flower opening responses of local versus non-local provenances of hawthorn (*Crataegus monogyna* Jacq.) in Belgium. *Plant Syst Evol* **301**, 1171-1179.
- Vander Mijnsbrugge, K., Turcsán, A. and Michiels, B. 2016. Population differentiation and phenotypic plasticity in temperature response of bud burst in *Frangula alnus* provenances of different latitude. *Plant Syst Evol* **302**, 257-264.
- Vitasse, Y., Delzon, S., Bresson, C.C., Michalet, R. and Kremer, A. 2009. Altitudinal differentiation in growth and phenology among populations of temperate-zone tree species growing in a common garden. *Can. J. For. Res* **39**, 1259-1269.
- Watt, A.D. 1986. The ecology of the pine beauty moth in commercial woods in Scotland. In: Jenkins,D. (Ed.), *Trees and Wildlife in the Scottish Uplands*. Institute of Terrestrial Ecology,Banchory.
- Weeks, A.R., Sgro, C.M., Young, A.G., Frankham, R., Mitchell, N.J., Miller, K.A., Byrne, M., Coates, D.J., Eldridge, M.D. and Sunnucks, P. 2011. Assessing the benefits and risks of translocations in changing environments: a genetic perspective. *Evol. Appl.* 4, 709-725.
- Weir, J. 2015. Foresters: are you thinking about tomorrow when choosing trees for today? *Forestry Journal*, **2/15**, 16-19.
- Welsh Assembly Government. 2009. Woodlands for Wales. The Welsh Assembly Government's strategy for woodlands and trees. Forestry Commission Wales, Cardiff.

White, T.L., Adams, W.T. and Neale, D.B. 2007. Forest Genetics. CABI Publishing, Wallingford.

- Williams, J.W., Jackson, S.T. and Kutzbach, J.E. 2007. Projected distributions of novel and disappearing climates by 2100 AD. *Proc. Natl. Sci. USA.* **104**, 5738-5742.
- Worrell, R. 1992. A comparison between European continental and British provenances of some British native trees: growth, survival and stem form. *Forestry* **65**, 253-280.
- Worrell, R., Cundall, E.P., Malcolm, D.C. and Ennos, R.A. 2000. Variation among seed sources of silver birch in Scotland. *Forestry* 73, 419-435.

Ying, C. C. and Yanchuk, A.D. 2006. The development of British Columbia's tree seed transfer guidelines: Purpose, concept, methodology, and implementation. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 227, 1-13.