

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

The RSPO-LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 module controls 1 liver zonation and size

Citation for published version:

Plana-Paz, L, Orsini, V, Boulter, L, Calabrese, D, Pikiolek, M, Nigsch, F, Xie, Y, Roma, G, Donovan, A, Marti, P, Beckmann, N, Dill, MT, Carbone, W, Bergling, S, Isken, A, Mueller, M, Kinzel, B, Yang, Y, Mao, X, Nicholson, TB, Zamponi, R, Capodieci, P, Valdez, R, Rivera, D, Loew, A, Ukomadu, C, Terracciano, LM, Bouwmeester, T, Cong, F, Heim, MH, Forbes, S, Ruffner, H & Tchorz, JS 2016, 'The RSPO-LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 module controls 1 liver zonation and size', *Nature Cell Biology*. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3337

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1038/ncb3337

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Nature Cell Biology

Publisher Rights Statement:

This is the author's peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1

The RSPO-LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 module controls liver zonation and size

2

Planas-Paz L^{1,*}, Orsini V^{1,*}, Boulter L², Calabrese D³, Pikiolek M¹, Nigsch F¹, Xie Y⁴, Roma 3 G¹, Donovan A⁴, Marti P¹, Beckmann N¹, Dill MT³, Carbone W¹, Bergling S¹, Isken A¹, 4 Mueller M¹, Kinzel B¹, Yang Y⁴, Mao X⁴, Nicholson TB⁴, Zamponi R⁴, Capodieci P⁴, Valdez 5 R^4 , Rivera D^4 , Loew A^4 , Ukomadu C^4 , Terracciano LM^5 , Bouwmeester T^1 , Cong F^4 , Heim 6 MH³, Forbes SJ⁶, Ruffner H¹, Tchorz JS^{1#} 7 8 9 ¹Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research, Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland 10 ²MRC Human Genetics Unit, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of 11 Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK 12 ³Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland 13 14 ⁴Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research, Novartis Pharma AG, Cambridge, MA 15 ⁵Institute for Pathology, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland 16 ⁶MRC Centre for Regenerative Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK 17 ^{*}These authors contributed equally 18 #Correspondence should be addressed to: Jan Tchorz, Novartis Campus, 4002 Basel, 19 Switzerland, phone: +41798634285, Email: jan.tchorz@novartis.com 20 21 Key words: Wnt, lineage tracing, liver regeneration, liver development

1 LGR4/5 receptors and their cognate RSPO ligands potentiate Wnt/β-Catenin signalling 2 and promote proliferation and tissue homeostasis in epithelial stem cell 3 compartments. In the liver, metabolic zonation requires a Wnt/B-Catenin signalling 4 gradient, but the instructive mechanism controlling its spatiotemporal regulation is 5 not known. We have identified a novel role for the RSPO-LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 6 module as master regulator of Wnt/ β -Catenin-mediated metabolic liver zonation. 7 Liver-specific LGR4/5 loss-of-function (LOF) or RSPO blockade disrupted hepatic 8 Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling and zonation. Conversely, pathway activation in 9 ZNRF3/RNF43 LOF mice or with recombinant RSPO1 protein expanded the hepatic 10 Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling gradient in a reversible and LGR4/5-dependent manner. 11 Furthermore, we show that LGR4+ hepatocytes throughout the lobule contribute to 12 liver homeostasis and regeneration. Likewise, recombinant RSPO1 protein increased 13 liver size and improved liver regeneration, whereas LGR4/5 LOF caused the opposite 14 effects, resulting in hypoplastic livers. Together, the RSPO-LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 15 module controls metabolic liver zonation and acts as a hepatic growth/size rheostat 16 during development, homeostasis and regeneration.

17

Hepatic zonation and organ size control are required for physiological liver function, including metabolization of a wide range of endogenous products and xenobiotics. Hepatocyte function is determined by its position along the porto-central axis of the liver lobule, which creates a metabolic zonation in the liver. Complementary metabolic pathways occur within non-overlapping liver zones, thus maintaining optimal metabolic

homeostasis ¹⁻⁵. Physiological liver size is tightly controlled by the concerted growth of 1 2 hepatocytes and other hepatic cells during development, homeostasis and regeneration $^{6-8}$. Recent studies have highlighted the Wnt/ β -Catenin pathway as a major regulator of 3 liver zonation, development and regeneration ^{4, 9-11}. Wnt pathway activation stimulates 4 5 cytoplasmic stabilization and nuclear translocation of β -Catenin, which subsequently 6 associates with transcriptional regulators to control β -Catenin target gene expression 7 (e.g. Axin2 and leukocyte cell-derived chemotaxin 2 (Lect2)) and expression of other Wnt/ β -Catenin-dependent genes (*e.g.* Glutamine synthetase (GS) and Cyp2e1) ¹². β -8 9 Catenin-deficient mouse livers exhibit defects in metabolic liver zonation ¹⁰. The 10 Adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) protein antagonizes β -Catenin activity, since APC 11 deletion activates β-Catenin signalling and thereby expands the hepatic Wnt activity gradient 9, 13. Deletion of β -Catenin impaired hepatocyte proliferation during liver 12 13 development and regeneration, whereas transgenic β -Catenin overexpression or APC 14 deletion results in hyperplastic livers and hepatocellular carcinoma due to increased hepatocyte proliferation ¹⁴⁻¹⁹. However, the instructive molecular cues for 15 16 spatiotemporal control of Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling in liver zonation, development and 17 regeneration have remained elusive.

R-Spondin (RSPO)1-4 ligands potentiate Wnt/β-Catenin signalling via Leucine-rich repeat
containing G protein-coupled receptors 4-6 (LGR4-6) ²⁰⁻²³. In the absence of RSPO
proteins, Wnt signal transduction is negatively regulated by cell-surface transmembrane
E3 ubiquitin ligases, Zinc and ring finger 3 (ZNRF3) and its homologue Ring finger 43
(RNF43), which both promote Wnt receptor turnover. Upon stimulation, RSPO proteins

1 bind to LGR4/5 receptors as well as the ZNRF3/RNF43 transmembrane ubiquitin ligases, 2 causing the latter to become cleared from the plasma membrane which leads to increased Wnt signalling ^{24, 25}. We and others have shown that RSPO-LGR4/5-3 4 ZNRF3/RNF43 signalling plays an essential role in developing and maintaining various epithelial tissue stem cell compartments by regulating tissue homeostasis^{21, 23, 26, 27}. The 5 6 liver has a remarkable plasticity with an intrinsically high capacity for context-dependent regeneration in response to diverse injuries and during homeostasis ²⁸⁻³². LGR5 has been 7 reported to be exclusively expressed around the portal vein only following injury ^{33, 34}, 8 9 whereas undamaged livers have persistent high Wnt/β -Catenin activity around the central vein $^{1, 35}$. This is in contrast to other tissues where cells with high Wnt/ β -Catenin 10 activity express LGR4 and LGR5 to mediate RSPO-mediated Wnt/β-Catenin signalling^{21,} 11 ^{23, 26, 27}. A potential role of RSPO-LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 signalling in the regulation of 12 13 hepatic Wht/ β -Catenin activity during liver development, homeostasis and regrowth has 14 remained unclear.

Through integrated *in vivo* approaches comprising lineage tracing, tissue-specific LGR4/5 LOF, pathway activation via RSPO1 injections or ZNRF3/RNF43 LOF and RNA expression analyses we have identified a novel role for the RSPO-LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 module in controlling metabolic liver zonation. We further show that the RSPO-LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 module acts as a hepatic growth/size rheostat during development, homeostasis and regeneration and that recombinant RSPO1 protein administration accelerates liver regrowth.

22

1

LGR4 and LGR5 are co-expressed in pericentral hepatocytes with high Wnt activity

2 To gain a detailed resolution of the hepatic Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling gradient regulating 3 metabolic liver zonation, we assessed Wnt/ β -Catenin activity in different hepatic zones 4 using immunostaining, in situ hybridization (ISH) and transgenic reporter mice. 5 Expression of GS, a Wnt/ β -Catenin-dependent gene and key enzyme in the ammonia detoxification pathway ¹⁰ (Figure 1a, 1c) as well as β -Catenin reporter activity in Tcf/Lef-6 Venus mice ³⁶ (Figure 1b, 1c), were restricted to the first layers of hepatocytes around 7 8 the central vein. Similarly, ISH showed that Axin2 mRNA was predominantly expressed 9 in these cells (Figure 1d, 1e). Expression of CYP2E1, another metabolic enzyme and Wnt/ β -Catenin-dependent gene ³⁷, extended into the parenchyma adjacent to the 10 11 central veins (Figure 1a, 1c). This suggests differential expression of Wnt/ β -Catenin-12 dependent genes along the centro-portal Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling gradient possibly 13 because of different inductive thresholds or transcriptional regulation for these genes. 14 To explore whether the RSPO receptors LGR4 and LGR5 and the ubiquitin ligases ZNRF3 15 and RNF43 contribute to the regulatory network that establishes the Wnt/ β -Catenin 16 signalling gradient and liver zonation, we performed a detailed expression analysis. 17 Using ISH staining in wild type mice, we found Lgr5 expression mainly restricted to 18 pericentral hepatocytes with high Wnt activity. Almost all hepatocytes adjacent to the 19 central vein, mono- and bi-nucleated, expressed Lgr5. The number of Lgr5-positive hepatocytes slightly decreased in the 2nd and 3rd pericentral layers, whereas only a 20 21 negligible minority of parenchymal hepatocytes expressed Lgr5. Lgr4, which was 22 coexpressed with Lgr5 in pericentral hepatocytes, was expressed in virtually all

1 hepatocytes across the liver lobule (Figure 1f, 1g; Supplementary Figure 1a, 1b). Znrf3 2 was broadly expressed in hepatocytes throughout liver (Figure 1h, 1i), whereas mice 3 that express LacZ from the Rnf43 locus (Supplementary Figure 2a) showed that Rnf43 4 expression was restricted to central vein hepatocytes (Figure 1j, 1k), similar as seen for 5 Lgr4 and Lgr5, respectively. To further explore the expression profiles and roles of Lgr4 6 and Lgr5 in the liver, we performed lineage tracing experiments using mice expressing 7 tamoxifen (TAM)-inducible Cre (CreERT2) from the Lgr4 (Lgr4ki mice) or Lgr5 (Lgr5ki mice) loci ²⁶, crossed with Rosa26 (R26)- β -Galactosidase (β -Gal; LacZ) ³⁸, R26-tdTomato 8 9 (tdTOM) or R26-enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) reporter mice 10 (Supplementary Figure 3a). Ten-day lineage tracing in Lgr4ki/R26-LacZ mice labelled 11 hepatocytes throughout the liver, confirming broad LGR4 expression (Figure 2a, 12 Supplementary Figure 3b). Ten-month LGR4 lineage tracing in these mice showed a 13 similar expression pattern with small LacZ+ hepatocyte clones consisting of 1-5 cells, in line with low rates of homeostatic cell division ⁵ (Figure 2b, 2c, Supplementary Figure 14 15 3b). Similarly sized clones of LGR4 lineage-traced pericentral, parenchymal and 16 periportal hepatocytes are indicative of similar proliferation rates within the 3 different 17 liver zones (Figure 2c). Ten-day lineage tracing in Lgr5ki/R26-LacZ mice confirmed that 18 LGR5 expression is restricted to pericentral hepatocytes (Figure 2d, Supplementary 19 Figure 3c). Eighteen-month lineage tracing and distributions analysis across different 20 zones indicated that LGR5+ hepatocytes remained at the central vein as small clones 21 consisting of 1-5 cells and do not radiate out into the parenchyma (Figure 2e, 2f, 22 Supplementary Figure 3c, 3d). Co-expression of Axin2 and Lgr5 in virtually all of the

1 LGR5 lineage-traced hepatocytes, as measured by ISH, confirmed active Wnt signalling 2 in these cells similar as in untraced neighbouring pericentral hepatocytes 3 (Supplementary Figure 3e-g). Moreover, Ki67 staining in the LGR5 lineage-traced 4 hepatocytes 48 h post-partial hepatectomy (PH) indicated that the proliferation capacity 5 of these cells was similar compared to untraced neighbouring pericentral hepatocytes 6 (Supplementary Figure 3h-j). A comparative 7-day EdU proliferation analysis in Lgr5ki 7 and wt mice showed that the absence of one of the Lgr5 alleles did not impair 8 homeostatic proliferation of pericentral hepatocytes (Figure 2i, 2j). Ten-day lineage 9 tracing in Lgr4ki/R26-EGFP mice (Figure 2g) and Lgr5ki/R26-tdTOM mice (Figure 2h) and 10 co-staining of labelled cells with hepatocyte markers Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor 4 Alpha 11 (HNF4 α) or GS, respectively, confirmed that the traced cells were indeed hepatocytes. In 12 gut and skin, LGR4 is more broadly expressed than LGR5, and LGR5 expression is 13 restricted to tissue stem cells with high Wnt activity. Concurrently, LGR5 is a Wnt target gene itself ³⁹⁻⁴¹. Similarly, we observed a broad expression of LGR4 in hepatocytes 14 throughout the liver, while LGR5 expression was restricted to pericentral hepatocytes 15 16 with high Wnt activity. Despite persistent high Wnt activity and LGR5 expression, 17 pericentral hepatocytes rarely proliferated and did not exhibit increased proliferation 18 rates when compared to those of other hepatic zones, as indicated both by EdU 19 labelling (Figure 2i, 2k-m and Supplementary Figure 3k-n) and Ki67 staining (Figure 2n-20 p). This is in contrast to actively cycling LGR5+ cells in tissue stem cell compartments of other organs²⁷, but consistent with similar homeostatic proliferation rates across 21 different liver zones reported earlier ⁴². In undamaged livers, the majority (>80%) of 22

proliferating cells were found in the liver parenchyma (Figure 2m, 2p, and Supplementary Figure 3n), itself the largest hepatic zone, indicating that parenchymal hepatocytes account for the majority of new hepatocytes during liver homeostasis. This is consistent with previous reports showing that the majority of proliferating hepatocytes reside in the parenchyma and that hepatocytes throughout the lobule contribute to liver homeostasis without zonal dominance ⁴²⁻⁴⁴.

7

8 LGR4 and LGR5 receptors are essential for metabolic liver zonation

9 To delineate whether LGR4 and LGR5 are mediating the instructive cues that establish 10 the hepatic Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling gradient and liver zonation, we generated liver-11 specific Lgr4 knock-out (KO) (Lgr4LKO), Lgr5KO (Lgr5LKO) and Lgr4/5 double KO 12 (Lgr4/5dLKO) mice using Albumin (Alb)Cre-mediated deletion (Supplementary Figure 13 2b). Mice of all 3 genotypes were born at normal Mendelian ratios and viable with 14 normal lifespans (data not shown). Lgr4LKO and Lgr4/5dLKO, but not Lgr5LKO mice 15 showed reduced liver weight when compared to control mice (Figure 3a). Loss of Lgr4 16 and Lgr5 mRNA in the liver was confirmed by reverse transcription polymerase chain 17 reaction (RT-PCR) (Figure 3b) and ISH (Figure 3c). While no overt changes were observed 18 in Lgr5LKO mice, Wnt signalling was abrogated in Lgr4/5dLKO and Lgr4LKO mice, as 19 indicated by loss of Axin2 and Lect2 expression. Lgr5 mRNA expression was absent in 20 Lgr4LKO mice (Figure 3b, 3c), similar as we previously reported for the developing intestinal epithelium ²⁶. This suggests a dominant role for LGR4 over LGR5, and that loss 21 22 of Lgr5 expression is a result of abrogated Wnt signalling. GS staining was severely

1 reduced in Lgr4LKO and completely lost in Lgr4/5dLKO mice, whereas Lgr5LKO mice had 2 slightly elevated GS expression when compared to control mice (Figure 3d, 3e). 3 Likewise, LGR4 and LGR4/5 deletion resulted in impaired CYP2E1 expression (Figure 3f, 4 3g). Early postnatal analysis of Lgr4/5dLKO mice showed reduced GS expression already 5 at postnatal day (P)2 which remained low on P10 and P30, whereas it was increased in 6 control mice from P2 to P10 (Supplementary Figure 4a, 4b). P2, P10 and P30 Lgr4/5dLKO 7 mice showed functional hepatocyte and biliary cell differentiation during liver 8 development, as evidenced by normal distribution of HNF4 α + hepatocytes, SRY (Sex 9 Determining Region Y)-Box 9 (SOX9)+/Cytokeratin 19 (CK19)+ biliary ducts and absence 10 of SOX9 in hepatocytes (Supplementary Figure 4c, 4d), excluding the possibility that 11 compromised hepatocyte differentiation during liver development accounts for the 12 impaired metabolic zonation. To gain further insights into the mechanistic 13 consequences of liver-specific LGR4/5 deletion, we performed high-throughput RNA 14 sequencing (RNA-Seq) on total liver RNA samples isolated from control and Lgr4/5dLKO 15 mice. Expression of Wnt target genes (e.g. Axin2, Lect2 and Tumor Necrosis Factor 16 Receptor Superfamily, Member 19 (Tnfrsf19; Troy)) as well as gene sets for Wnt 17 signalling and metabolic processes were significantly downregulated in livers with 18 combined LGR4 and LGR5 deletions (Supplementary Figure 5a, 5b, Supplementary Table 1, 2). Comparative expression analysis of genes encoding for metabolic enzymes ⁴⁵ 19 20 revealed that pericentrally expressed metabolic genes were downregulated, whereas 21 few periportal genes (Hsd17b13 and Hal) were upregulated in Lgr4/5dLKO compared to 22 control mice (Figure 3h, Supplementary Figure 5a). RT-PCR analysis confirmed

1 downregulation of pericentral metabolic genes (Glul, Cyp2e1 and Cyp1a2) and 2 upregulation of Hsd17b13 and Hal (Figure 3i, 3j). This indicates that loss of hepatic 3 Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling in Lgr4/5dLKO mice results in loss of metabolic liver zonation. 4 RT-PCR and immunostaining confirmed that periportal metabolic genes, that were upregulated in β -Catenin KO mice or mice with virus-mediated DKK1 overexpression ^{9, 13}, 5 6 were not upregulated in Lgr4/5dLKO compared control mice (Figure 3); Supplementary 7 Figure 5c, 5d). It is possible that inactivation or deletion of Wnt pathway components at 8 different hierarchical levels result in distinct signalling output events which might 9 account for these differences; e.g. LGR4/5 deletion was also shown to impair noncanonical Wnt signalling, in contrast to β -Catenin deletion or DKK1 overexpression ^{22, 46}. 10 11 Moreover, downregulated gene sets involved in cell cycle regulation further suggest a 12 role for LGR4 and LGR5 in controlling hepatic cell proliferation (Supplementary Figure 13 5b). Together, we identified an essential role for LGR4 and LGR5 receptors in spatial 14 regulation of the centro-portal Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling gradient and metabolic liver 15 zonation.

16

17 RSPO controls liver zonation via LGR4 and LGR5 receptors

Overexpression of the extracellular domain (ECD) of ZNRF3 (ZNRF3ECD) was shown to block endogenous RSPO function by blocking RSPO1-induced membrane accumulation of Frizzled proteins ²⁴. To analyse whether RSPO proteins control the hepatic Wnt/β-Catenin signalling gradient, we generated BAC-transgenic mice that allow doxycycline (DOX)-induced ubiquitous expression of the ZNRF3ECD (Znrf3ECD mice) and crossed

1 these mice with Axin2-LacZ mice to obtain double transgenic Znrf3ECD/Axin2-LacZ mice 2 (Figure 4a). Znrf3ECD/Axin2-LacZ mice fed with DOX-containing diet showed reduced 3 LacZ expression when compared to those with normal diet, indicating that loss of 4 endogenous RSPO function impaired the hepatic Wnt/ β -Catenin activity gradient (Figure 5 4b, 4c). Likewise, GS (Figure 4d, 4e) and CYP2E1 (Figure 4f, 4g) expression was 6 dramatically reduced in Znrf3ECD/Axin2-LacZ mice fed with DOX-containing diet. We 7 next generated mice that allowed for the inducible combined deletion of ZNRF3 and 8 RNF43 using TAM (Znrf3/Rnf43dfl;R26CreERT2 mice) (Figure 4h). TAM injections 9 resulted in a dramatic increase in GS (Figure 4i, 4j) and CYP2E1 (Figure 4k, 4l) expression 10 in Znrf3/Rnf43dfl;R26CreERT2 mice when compared to Znrf3/Rnf43dfl;R26CreERT2 mice 11 without TAM, with CYP2E1 expression even expanding into portal vein hepatocytes 12 (Figure 4k). This indicates that the LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 complex is a key regulator of 13 the hepatic Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling gradient and metabolic liver zonation.

14 To further study the effect of RSPO in liver zonation, we administered recombinant 15 RSPO1 (10 mg/kg) or PBS twice weekly to Lgr4/5dLKO and control mice for 1 week, 2 16 weeks and 2 weeks followed by 4 weeks off-treatment (wash-out) (Figure 5a). 2 weeks 17 RSPO1 injection in adult wild type mice and 2 weeks RSPO1 injection in TCF/LEF-Venus 18 mice markedly increased Axin2 mRNA (Figure 5b, 5c) and Wnt/β-Catenin reporter 19 expression (Figure 5d, 5e), respectively. Importantly, RSPO1 injections expanded Wnt/ β -20 Catenin signalling into periportal hepatocytes, indicating that all cells across the liver 21 lobule are competent to respond to Wnt pathway activation and that Wnt receptors and 22 ligands must be available throughout the liver. Likewise, RSPO injections increased GS

1 protein (Figure 5f, 5g) and CYP2E1 protein (Figure 5h, 5i) expression in control mice but 2 not in Lgr4/5dLKO mice when compared to PBS injected mice after 1 week. After 2 3 weeks, additional RSPO1 injections further expanded GS and CYP2E1 expression, which 4 were reduced to PBS control levels after additional 4 weeks off-treatment (Figure 5f-i). 5 This further indicates that RSPO1 controls hepatic Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling in an 6 LGR4/5-dependent manner to confer spatiotemporal regulation of the centro-portal 7 Wnt/ β -Catenin activity gradient and metabolic liver zonation. It also indicates that 8 sustained signalling through the RSPO-LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 module is essential to 9 maintain this zonation. Notably, RSPO1 injections or ZNRF3/RNF43 deletions did not 10 result in immediate ectopic GS expression throughout the liver but caused progressing 11 expansion along the centro-portal axis, similarly to what was observed in Apc mutant mice ¹³. This suggests the possibility that other mechanisms contribute to regulating 12 13 zonated GS expression; e.g. YAP activity in periportal hepatocytes may restrict GS 14 expression, as it was recently shown that YAP is expressed in a porto-central gradient and can negatively regulate GS^{47, 48}. In summary, our data suggest that Wnt receptors 15 16 and ligands are distributed throughout the liver lobule and that the RSPO-LGR4/5-17 ZNRF3/RNF43 module is essential for hepatic Wnt signalling and metabolic liver 18 zonation.

19

20 LGR4 and LGR5 deletions impair postnatal liver development

Reduced liver weight and downregulation of gene sets involved in cell cycle regulation
 indicated impaired growth control in Lgr4/5dLKO mice. Analysis of early postnatal

1 development revealed impaired hepatocyte proliferation in Lgr4/5dLKO mice at P2 and 2 P10, whereas no significant difference was observed at P30 with overall reduced 3 proliferation rates (Supplementary Figure 6a-c), as well as in adult mice (data not 4 shown) when compared to control littermates. Notably, impaired proliferation was most 5 evident in pericentral hepatocytes with high Wnt activity. Consequently, liver weight 6 was significantly reduced in P10 and P30 Lgr4/5dLKO mice when compared to control 7 mice, while it was comparable at P2 among both genotypes (Supplementary Figure 6d). 8 To study whether LGR4 and LGR5 are important for controlling proliferation during 9 embryonic liver development we stained E16.5 embryos with full-KO for LGR4, LGR5 and LGR4/5 as well as wild type littermates ²⁶ for Ki67. We did not observe any differences in 10 11 the proliferative capacity of the liver between the different genotypes (Supplementary 12 Figure 6e, 6f), indicating that LGR4 and LGR5 are dispensable for embryonic liver growth 13 at E16.5. This suggests that reduced liver weight in Lgr4/5dLKO mice is a consequence of 14 impaired hepatocyte proliferation during later stages of liver development.

15

16 <u>RSPO controls liver size and regeneration via LGR4/5 receptors</u>

17 Impaired liver development and liver size in Lgr4/5dLKO mice suggested that RSPO-18 LGR4/5 signalling might act as a hepatic growth/size rheostat. To further investigate this 19 mechanism, we subjected Lgr4LKO, Lgr5LKO, Lgr4/5dLKO and control mice to PH (Figure 20 6a). 2 days post-PH, liver weight was significantly reduced in Lgr4LKO and Lgr4/5dLKO 21 but not in Lgr5LKO mice when compared to controls (Figure 6b). Detailed proliferation 22 analysis by Ki67 immunostaining revealed that Lgr4LKO, Lgr5LKO and Lgr4/5dLKO mice

1 showed impaired proliferation in pericentral hepatocytes (Figure 6c). In contrast, 2 proliferation of parenchymal (Figure 6d) and periportal (Figure 6e) hepatocytes was only 3 impaired in Lgr4LKO and Lgr4/5dLKO but not in Lgr5LKO mice when compared to control 4 mice. Zonal differences in proliferation indicate that LGR receptors are required for 5 proliferation in their respective expression zones. Interestingly, during the peak 6 regenerative response post-PH in control mice, hepatocyte proliferation rates were 7 generally lower around the central vein when compared to portal vein and parenchyma 8 (Figure 6c-e). RT-PCR for Axin2 showed activation of the Wnt pathway during liver 9 regeneration (d2 post-PH) in control mice and confirmed lack of Axin2 expression in 10 Lgr4/5dLKO mice (Figure 6f). Moreover, Axin2 ISH showed a global increase in Wnt/β -11 Catenin signalling that extended to periportal hepatocytes at d2 post-PH 12 (Supplementary Figure 7a, 7b), similar as seen in mice with RSPO1 injections.

13 The above findings indicate that LGR4/5 LOF impaired hepatocyte proliferation during 14 liver regeneration as a consequence of abrogated Wnt signalling. It was recently shown 15 that liver progenitor markers, including Lgr5, were upregulated d2 post-PH in periportal hepatocytes ³⁴. While RNA-Seq analysis confirmed upregulation of progenitor markers 16 17 (Krt7, Tnfsrf12a) in control mice d2 post-PH when compared to naïve controls, Lgr5 18 expression was not increased (Supplementary Figure 7c). ISH analysis confirmed that 19 Lgr5 was neither upregulated nor expressed in periportal hepatocytes of control mice at 20 d2 post-PH. Similarly, Lgr4 ISH signals were not increased d2 post-PH (Supplementary 21 Figure 7d-f). RNA-Seq of Lgr4/5dLKO compared to control livers at d2 post-PH further 22 showed no change in liver progenitor marker expression (Sox9, Afp, Tnfsrf12a, Krt7,

1 Krt19; Supplementary Figure 7g), suggesting that these cells did not contribute to 2 impaired regeneration in Lgr4/5dLKO mice. RNA-Seq analysis further revealed that 3 expression of Wnt target genes and genes regulating cell cycle progression and 4 metabolism were reduced in Lgr4/5dLKO compared to control livers at d2 post-PH 5 (Figure 6g). Likewise, gene sets implicated in cell cycle regulation and metabolism were 6 downregulated in livers of regenerating Lgr4/5dLKO mice (Figure 6h). To study whether 7 Lgr4/5LKO mice suffer from delayed liver regeneration, we additionally assessed 8 hepatocyte proliferation during later stages of liver regeneration at d4 and d7 post-PH 9 by Ki67 immunostaining (Figure 6i). Both at d4 and d7 hepatocyte proliferation was 10 significantly increased in Lgr4/5LKO when compared to control mice (Figure 6j), similar to the delayed onset of proliferation seen in β -Catenin KO mice ¹⁴. Despite increased 11 12 proliferation at later phases of liver regeneration, Lgr4/5dLKO mice showed significant 13 impairment in the magnitude and effectiveness of the regenerative response, resulting 14 in a smaller final liver to body weight ratio compared to control mice (Figure 6k). This 15 indicates that LGR4/5 are essential for the Wnt/ β -Catenin-mediated early regenerative 16 response which is required for establishing a normal liver to body weight ratio during 17 regeneration, similar to our observations during liver development and homeostasis. 18 Lineage tracing in Lgr5ki/R26-LacZ mice following PH indicated that pericentral LGR5+ 19 hepatocytes do not extensively populate the liver during regrowth (Supplementary 20 Figure 7h, 7i). Together, these findings highlight an important role for LGR4 and LGR5 in 21 hepatocyte proliferation and liver size control during regeneration, with a dominant role 22 for LGR4. Moreover, complete absence of Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling in mice with LGR4/5 deletions established that the RSPO-LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 module is an essential
 mediator rather than a sole potentiator of Wnt/β-Catenin activity.

To study the role of RSPO in hepatic size control, we administered recombinant RSPO1 3 4 (10 mg/kg) or PBS twice weekly to Lgr4/5dLKO and control mice for 1 week, 2 weeks and 5 2 weeks followed by 4 weeks off-treatment (see scheme in Figure 5a). 1 week RSPO1 6 treatment in control mice significantly increased hepatocyte proliferation in all 3 liver 7 zones when compared to PBS-injected control mice, with the strongest proliferative 8 response in periportal hepatocytes. In Lgr4/5dLKO mice, RSPO1 did not increase 9 hepatocyte proliferation (Figure 7a, 7b). Consequently, RSPO1 treatment in control mice 10 resulted in increased liver weight when compared to PBS-injected mice, further 11 increasing with prolonged treatment and decreasing upon RSPO1 withdrawal. In 12 contrast, Lgr4/5dLKO mice did not gain liver weight following RSPO1 treatment (Figure 13 7c). Together, this indicates that RSPO1 increases liver size in a reversible and Lgr4/5-14 dependent manner. Likewise ZNRF3/RNF43 deletion in Znrf3/Rnf43dfl;R26CreERT2 mice 15 resulted in a dramatic increase in hepatocyte proliferation 11 days post-TAM injections 16 when compared to Znrf3/Rnf43dfl;R26CreERT2 mice without TAM (Figure 7d, 7e), 17 showing a similar zonal profile as in RSPO1-injected mice. Increased proliferation of 18 hepatocytes throughout the liver following ZNRF3/RNF43 deletions highlights the 19 importance of these E3 ubiquitin ligases in restricting hepatocyte proliferation during 20 liver homeostasis and suggests broad availability of Wnt receptors and ligands 21 throughout the liver. Proliferation induced by RSPO1 injections or ZNRF3/RNF43 22 deletions was most evident in periportal hepatocytes, whereas Wht/ β -Catenin activity

was higher in pericentral and parenchymal hepatocytes in RSPO1-injected mice. It is
 therefore possible that other pathways might cooperate with Wnt/β-Catenin to mediate
 this phenotype.

4 Finally, we assessed the potential of recombinant RSPO1 for improving liver 5 regeneration. We therefore injected control mice with 10 mg/kg RSPO1 on 2 6 consecutive days, subjected the mice to PH and monitored liver regrowth by MRI (Figure 7 7f). While liver weight was not changed during the early phase (d2) of regeneration in 8 either PBS- or RSPO1-injected mice, the latter showed a significant increase in liver 9 weight at d7 post-PH (Figure 7g). Consistently, an MRI time-course showed increased 10 liver size in RSPO1-injected mice during late phase regeneration (d6, d7) beyond levels 11 of PBS-injected mice, whereas it was not changed before PH or at early stages of liver 12 regeneration (Figure 7h, i). This indicates that increased RSPO1 levels overrule liver size 13 control during regeneration. Most importantly, RSPO1 treatment accelerated liver 14 regeneration since RSPO1-treated mice reached the final liver volume of control mice 15 more rapidly. Together, RSPO-LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNRF43 signalling acts as a hepatic 16 growth/size rheostat during development, homeostasis and regeneration.

17

18 **Discussion**

Our data support a novel role for RSPO-LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 signalling in controlling
 spatiotemporal regulation of the hepatic Wnt/β-Catenin activity gradient and metabolic
 liver zonation. Impaired liver zonation in Lgr4/5dLKO mice is phenocopied in Znrf3ECD
 mice, whereas RSPO1 administration expanded the hepatic Wnt gradient in a reversible

1 and LGR4/5-dependent manner. A recent study suggested that Wnt9b confers Wnt/ β -Catenin activity restricted to the central vein ³¹. We now found that RSPO1 injections or 2 3 ZNRF3/RNF43 deletions expanded Wnt/ β -Catenin activity to periportal hepatocytes, 4 indicating that Wnt receptors and ligands are available throughout the liver. Moreover, Wnt9b deletion only reduced Wnt/ β -Catenin activity ³¹ while LGR4/5 deletion resulted 5 6 in complete loss of hepatic Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling. This indicates that the RSPO-7 LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 module is not just potentiating Wnt activity but is essential for 8 functional Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling in the liver. While our data suggest that RSPO 9 proteins confer local restriction of hepatic Wnt activity, their source and distribution 10 remain to be identified.

11 The strongest increase in RSPO-induced proliferation around the portal vein was not 12 paralleled by highest Wnt/ β -Catenin activity in the region, indicating that other 13 pathways might contribute to this phenotype. It was recently shown that YAP 14 expression shows an inverse gradient to Wnt/ β -Catenin activity in the liver ⁴⁷. Moreover, 15 Wnt/ β -Catenin activation promotes YAP signalling in other tissues ⁴⁹. It is possible that 16 Wnt/ β -Catenin-mediated YAP activation may contribute to periportal hepatocyte 17 proliferation.

In a variety of tissue stem cell compartments, LGR4+ and LGR5+ cells are actively cycling and give rise to more mature cells with a high turnover ³⁹⁻⁴¹. Unlike other LGR5+ epithelial cells, pericentral LGR5+ hepatocytes did neither show overt proliferation nor gave rise to hepatocytes distant to the central vein, either under homeostasis conditions within an observation period of 18 months or during a 7-day post-PH liver regeneration

1 period. Moreover, our EdU and Ki67 analyses in wild type mice could not detect 2 increased proliferation of pericentral hepatocytes when compared to those of other 3 liver zones. Our findings did not support the results of a recent study that was published during revision of our manuscript³¹. The authors proposed that pericentral hepatocytes 4 comprise liver stem cells that repopulate large parts of the liver during homeostasis, 5 whereas their potential during regeneration was not assessed³¹. Another recent study 6 7 suggested that periportal hepatocytes with low SOX9 expression levels are liver stem 8 cells with high regenerative capacity during homeostasis and drug-induced liver damage 9 caused by zonal injury at the central vein ²⁹. It has been speculated that the site of injury 10 determines the site of regeneration and that hepatocytes in different hepatic zones may mutually support liver regeneration in opposing sides ²⁸. Studying homeostatic 11 12 hepatocyte renewal or regenerative response to PH, a paradigm model for liver 13 regeneration that is not biased by zonal injury, we now show that LGR4+ hepatocytes 14 throughout the liver contribute to liver homeostasis and regeneration. While the 15 majority (>80%) of proliferating hepatocytes during homeostasis was found in the 16 parenchyma, proliferation rates between the 3 zones were similar. During the peak 17 regenerative response post-PH, pericentral hepatocytes proliferated less than 18 parenchymal and periportal hepatocytes. Our findings are consistent with previous 19 reports showing no zonal domination during liver homeostasis and reduced proliferation of pericentral hepatocytes following PH ^{42, 50}. This supports that parenchymal 20 21 hepatocytes can have similar regenerative capacity as pericentral or periportal 22 hepatocytes. Our data add to the emerging concept that there might not be just one

liver stem cell compartment but that cells in different liver zones show increased
 regenerative potential depending on the injury ^{28, 32}.

3 Despite recent advances in identifying cellular compartments that contribute to liver regeneration and maintenance ^{28-30, 32, 34, 44}, the detailed mechanisms that confer 4 5 spatiotemporal control of proliferation to maintain proper liver size remained elusive. 6 We now show that increased RSPO-LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 signalling overrules the stop signals during liver regrowth or homeostasis, whereas LGR4/5 deletions result in 7 8 hypoplastic livers. Likewise, LGR4/5 deletions impair liver regeneration, whereas RSPO1 9 injections accelerate regeneration. This supports the notion that the RSPO-LGR4/5-10 ZNRF3/RNF43 module acts as rheostat controlling liver growth and size. Our data 11 further highlight important roles of ZNRF3 and RNF43 in restricting proliferation in the liver, consistent with *Rnf43* mutations observed in liver tumours ⁵¹. 12

Recently, periportal LGR5+ progenitor cells with bipotential progenitor characteristics were identified following CCl4 liver damage or diets that resulted in oval cell response in mice ³³. In contrast to our study, no LGR5+ cells were found in livers of naïve mice. It is possible that different genetic backgrounds between the mice used in our study (pure C57Bl/6) and those used in the above study (mixed C57Bl/6-Balb/c F1), different TAM dosing regimens or partial hepatic transgene silencing, as previously reported ^{33, 52}, could explain these differences.

In contrast to LGR5, which was restricted to pericentral hepatocytes with high Wnt/ β -Catenin pathway activity, LGR4 was broadly expressed in hepatocytes throughout the liver. Moreover, LGR5 has been described as a Wnt/ β -Catenin target ³⁹⁻⁴¹. LGR5 deletion

impaired pericentral hepatocyte proliferation post-PH, and combined deletion of LGR4 and LGR5 further impaired metabolic liver zonation than LGR4 deletion alone. This indicates that LGR5 is functionally relevant rather than just a marker of cells with high Wnt/ β -Catenin activity. In contrast to LGR4 deletion, LGR5 deletion alone did not result in impaired Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling. Loss of LGR5 expression as a consequence of LGR4 deletion further suggests a dominant role of LGR4 over LGR5, as previously shown in other tissues ²⁶.

8 Our findings highlight novel roles for RSPO-LGR4/5-ZNRF3/RNF43 module in regulating 9 Wnt/β-Catenin-mediated metabolic liver zonation and in acting as a growth/size control 10 rheostat during liver development, homeostasis and regeneration. We further show 11 that LGR4+ hepatocytes throughout the 3 liver zones contribute to homeostasis and 12 regrowth. The growth-promoting effect of ectopic RSPO1 in livers highlights its potential 13 use for regenerative therapies.

14

15

16 Materials and Methods

17 Immunostainings, ISH, RT-PCR and partial hepatectomy were essentially performed as 18 described ⁵³⁻⁵⁵. Detailed information on MRI, RNA-Seq, recombinant RSPO1 protein 19 generation, mouse model design, image analysis and statistical analysis is available in 20 the supplementary online material and methods. The raw RNA-sequencing reads 21 are available in the NCBI Short Read Archive under the accession number SRP055521.

22

1 Acknowledgements

2 We thank Johann Wirsching, Carole Manneville, Marianne Lemaistre, Michel Haffner, 3 Bettina Leonhard, Svenja Ley, Ning Guo, Tanya Lewis, Mei Li, Martin van de Velde, 4 Daniel Breustedt, Hong Lei, Ryan Boomer, Stefan Zurbruegg, Ludovic Perrot, Francisco 5 Cordoba, Sven Schuierer, Ana Arif and Julien Gfeller for technical assistance. For helpful 6 discussion and critical reading of the manuscript we thank Elizabeth Wiellette, Gabi 7 Hintzen, Bill Dietrich, Dong Liu, Frederic Bassilana, Christian Parker, Klaus Seuwen and 8 Jeff Porter. This work was supported by the Leverhulme Trust ECF-2012-262 to Luke 9 Boulter, SNF grant 310030B_147089 to Markus Heim, MRC Niche hub grant 10 MR/K026666/1 to Stuart Forbes and the Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research 11 Program Office.

12

Conflict of interest statement. All authors except SF, LB, LMT, MHH, DC and MTD are
 employed by and/or shareholders of Novartis Pharma AG.

15

16 Figure legends

Figure 1. Lgr4 and Lgr5 are co-expressed in pericentral hepatocytes. a, GS and CYP2E1 co-staining in control mice. b, Venus expression in Tcf/Lef-Venus mice. c, Percent of hepatocytes expressing GS, CYP2E1 and Tcf/Lef-Venus in 3 cell layers around central and portal veins and in the parenchyma. n = 5 mice per group. d, f, h, Axin2 (d), Lgr4 and Lgr5 (f) and Znrf3 (h) ISH in control mice. e, g, i, Axin2 (e), Lgr4 and Lgr5 (g), and Znrf3 (i) ISH quantified in the indicated liver zones. n = (e) 5 mice where 4225 cells from 75 images were quantified, (g) 4 mice per group where 3380 cells from 60 images were quantified, and (i) 5 mice where 4341 cells from 75 images were quantified. **j**, LacZ staining in Rnf43-LacZ mice. **k**, Percent of hepatocytes expressing Rnf43-LacZ in the indicated liver zones. n = 4 mice. cv, central vein; pv, portal vein. Scale bars, (a, b) 100 μ m, (magnification in a) 20 μ m, (magnification in b) 50 μ m, (d, f, h) 20 μ m, (magnifications in d, f, h) 10 μ m, (j) 100 μ m and (magnifications in j) 50 μ m.

7

8 Figure 2. Lgr4 and Lgr5 lineage tracing during liver homeostasis. a, b, LacZ staining in 9 Lgr4ki/R26-LacZ mice showing LGR4+ hepatocytes after 10 days (a) or 10 months (b) of 10 tracing. Arrowheads indicate clusters of LGR4+ hepatocytes. c, Clone size distribution of 11 Lgr4ki/R26-LacZ+ hepatocytes. n = 3 mice. d, e, LacZ staining in Lgr5ki/R26-LacZ mice 12 showing LGR5+ hepatocytes after 10 days (d) or 18 months (e) of tracing. Arrowheads 13 (in b, e) indicate doublet cells. f, Clone size distribution of Lgr5ki/R26-LacZ+ hepatocytes. 14 n = 4 mice. g, EGFP and HNF4 α co-staining in Lgr4ki/R26-EGFP mice, showing 15 EGFP+/HNF4 α + hepatocytes (arrowheads). **h**, tdTOM and GS co-staining in Lgr5ki/R26-16 tdTOM mice, showing tdTOM+/GS+ hepatocyte (arrowhead). i, Scheme depicting EdU 17 injections in WT and Lgr5ki mice. j, EdU+ pericentral hepatocytes quantified in WT and 18 Lgr5ki mice. n = 6 mice where 2195 (WT) and 1591 (Lgr5ki) cells were quantified. k, GS 19 and EdU co-staining in control mice. Arrowheads point at EdU+ hepatocytes. I, EdU+ 20 hepatocytes quantified in liver zones of control mice (percentage of EdU+ hepatocytes 21 among total number of hepatocytes in respective zones). n = 6 mice where 2195 (CV), 22 3051 (PA) and 2555 (PV) cells from 90 images per zone were quantified. m, Distribution of EdU+ hepatocytes in the indicated liver zones. n = 6 mice where 1296 cells were
quantified. n, GS, biliary marker CK19 and Ki67 co-staining in control mice. Arrowheads
point at Ki67+ hepatocytes. o, Ki67+ hepatocytes quantified in liver zones of control
mice (percentage of Ki67+ hepatocytes among total number of hepatocytes in
respective zones). p, Distribution of Ki67+ hepatocytes in the indicated liver zones. n = 4
mice where 891 cells from 60 images were quantified. cv, central vein; pv, portal vein.
ns, not significant. Scale bars, (a, b, d, e) 50 µm and (g, h, k, n) 20 µm.

8

9 Figure 3. Lgr4 and Lgr5 receptors control liver zonation. a, Relative liver weight of the 10 indicated mice. **b**, mRNA expression of different genes in the indicated mice. n = 5 mice 11 per group. c, Lgr4 and Lgr5 ISH in the indicated mice. d, GS staining in the indicated 12 mice. e, GS+ hepatocytes quantified in the indicated mice. f, CYP2E1 staining in the 13 indicated mice. g, CYP2E1+ staining quantified in the indicated mice. h, Heatmap 14 showing RNA expression of metabolic genes in livers of the indicated mice (yellow, high 15 expression; blue, low expression). i, j, mRNA expression of pericentral (i) or periportal (j) 16 metabolic genes in livers of the indicated mice. cv, central vein. n = 5 mice per group. *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001; ****, P<0.0001; *****, P<0.0001; *****, P<0.00001. ns, not significant. 17 18 Scale bars, (c) 20 μ m, (d, f) 200 μ m and (magnifications in d, f) 20 μ m.

19

Figure 4. ZNRF3 and RNF43 control liver zonation. a, Scheme depicting Znrf3ECD/Axin2LacZ mice that were given DOX or vehicle control on d1 and analysed on d7. b, d, f, LacZ
(b), GS (d) or CYP2E1 (f) staining in Znrf3ECD/Axin2-LacZ mice +/- DOX. c, e, g, LacZ+ (c),

GS+ (e) or CYP2E1+ (g) staining quantified in Znrf3ECD/Axin2-LacZ mice +/- DOX. h,
Scheme depicting Znrf3/Rnf43dfl;R26CreERT2 mice that were given TAM or vehicle
control. i, k, GS (i) or CYP2E1 (k) staining in Znrf3/Rnf43dfl;R26CreERT2 mice +/- TAM. j,
I, GS+ (j) or CYP2E1+ (I) staining quantified in Znrf3/Rnf43dfl;R26CreERT2 mice +/- TAM.
cv, central vein; pv, portal vein. **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001. Scale bars, (b) 100 µm,
(magnifications in b) 50 µm, (d, f, i, k) 200 µm and (magnifications in d, f, i, k) 50 µm.

8 Figure 5. RSPO1 controls liver zonation via Lgr4 and Lgr5 receptors. a, Scheme 9 depicting control, Lgr4/5dLKO and Tcf/Lef-Venus mice that were injected i.v. with RSPO1 10 or PBS for the indicated times. b, Axin2 ISH in control mice +/- RSPO1. c, Axin2 ISH 11 quantified in control mice +/- RSPO1 in the indicated liver zones. n = 5 mice per group 12 where 3392 cells (PBS) and 3774 cells (RSPO) from 75 images each were quantified. d, 13 Venus expression in Tcf/Lef-Venus mice +/- RSPO1. e, Percent of Venus-expressing 14 hepatocytes in Tcf/Lef-Venus mice +/- RSPO1 in the indicated liver zones. n = 4 mice 15 (PBS) and 5 mice (RSPO). f, h, GS (f) and CYP2E1 (h) staining of control and Lgr4/5dLKO 16 mice +/- RSPO1 for the indicated times. g, i, GS+ (g) or CYP2E1+ (i) staining quantified in 17 control and Lgr4/5dLKO mice +/- RSPO1 for the indicated times. *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001; ****, P<0.0001; ns, not significant. Scale bars, (b) 20 μm, (magnifications 18 19 in b) 10 μ m, (d) 100 μ m, (magnifications in d) 50 μ m and (f, h) 200 μ m.

20

21 Figure 6. Loss of Lgr4 and Lgr5 results in impaired liver homeostasis and regeneration.

a, Scheme depicting mice that were subjected to partial hepatectomy (PH) and analysed

1 at different time-points post-PH. b, Relative liver weight of the indicated mice at d2 2 post-PH. c, d, e, Ki67 staining and pericentral (c), parenchymal (d) and periportal (e) 3 hepatocyte proliferation of the indicated mice at d2 post-PH. f, Axin2 mRNA expression 4 in livers of the indicated mice. n = 5 mice (control + PH and Lgr4/5dLKO + PH), 6 mice 5 (control - PH) and 7 mice (Lgr4/5dLKO - PH). g, Volcano plot showing genes differentially 6 expressed in livers of the indicated mice. h, Gene sets downregulated in livers of the 7 indicated mice. i, Ki67 staining in livers of the indicated mice at d4 and d7 post-PH. j, 8 Liver cell proliferation quantified in the indicated mice at d4 and d7 post-PH. k, Relative 9 liver weight of the indicated mice at d2, d4 and d7 post-PH. *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, 10 P<0.001; ns, not significant. Scale bars, 100 μm.

11

12 Figure 7. RSPO1 promotes hepatocyte proliferation and liver regeneration via Lgr4 and 13 Lgr5 receptors. a, Ki67 staining in livers of the indicated mice, showing Ki67+ 14 hepatocytes (arrowheads). **b**, Hepatocyte proliferation quantified in liver zones of the 15 indicated mice upon RSPO1 or PBS injection. c, Relative liver weight of the indicated 16 mice. d, Ki67 staining in livers of the indicated mice, showing Ki67+ hepatocytes 17 (arrowheads). e, Hepatocyte proliferation quantified in liver zones of the indicated mice. 18 f, Scheme depicting control mice that were injected i.v. with RSPO1 or PBS on two 19 consecutive days and subjected to PH. g, Relative liver weight of the indicated mice. h, 20 Representative MRI liver sections of the indicated mice. i, Liver volume growth curve of 21 the indicated mice following RSPO1 or PBS injection and PH. n = 6 male mice per group.

1 *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001; ****, P<0.0001; ns, not significant. Scale bars, (a, d)

- 2 50 μm and (h) 6 mm.
- 3

4 <u>References</u>

- Gebhardt, R. & Hovhannisyan, A. Organ patterning in the adult stage: the role of
 Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in liver zonation and beyond. *Developmental dynamics : an official publication of the American Association of Anatomists* 239,
 45-55 (2010).
- 9 2. Jungermann, K. & Katz, N. Functional specialization of different hepatocyte
 populations. *Physiological reviews* 69, 708-764 (1989).
- Jungermann, K. & Kietzmann, T. Zonation of parenchymal and nonparenchymal metabolism in liver. *Annual review of nutrition* 16, 179-203 (1996).
- 4. Monga, S.P. Role and regulation of beta-catenin signaling during physiological
 liver growth. *Gene expression* 16, 51-62 (2014).
- Torre, C., Perret, C. & Colnot, S. Transcription dynamics in a physiological
 process: beta-catenin signaling directs liver metabolic zonation. *The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology* 43, 271-278 (2011).
- 18 6. Michalopoulos, G.K. & DeFrances, M.C. Liver regeneration. *Science* 276, 60-66 (1997).
- Zaret, K.S. Regulatory phases of early liver development: paradigms of organogenesis. *Nature reviews. Genetics* 3, 499-512 (2002).
- 8. Si-Tayeb, K., Lemaigre, F.P. & Duncan, S.A. Organogenesis and development of
 the liver. *Developmental cell* 18, 175-189 (2010).
- Burke, Z.D. *et al.* Liver zonation occurs through a beta-catenin-dependent, cMyc-independent mechanism. *Gastroenterology* **136**, 2316-2324 e2311-2313
 (2009).
- Sekine, S., Lan, B.Y., Bedolli, M., Feng, S. & Hebrok, M. Liver-specific loss of
 beta-catenin blocks glutamine synthesis pathway activity and cytochrome p450
 expression in mice. *Hepatology* 43, 817-825 (2006).
- 30 11. Yang, J. *et al.* beta-catenin signaling in murine liver zonation and regeneration: a
 31 Wnt-Wnt situation! *Hepatology* 60, 964-976 (2014).
- Niehrs, C. The complex world of WNT receptor signalling. *Nature reviews*.
 Molecular cell biology 13, 767-779 (2012).
- Benhamouche, S. *et al.* Apc tumor suppressor gene is the "zonation-keeper" of
 mouse liver. *Developmental cell* 10, 759-770 (2006).
- Apte, U. *et al.* Beta-catenin activation promotes liver regeneration after
 acetaminophen-induced injury. *The American journal of pathology* 175, 10561065 (2009).
- Boulter, L. *et al.* Macrophage-derived Wnt opposes Notch signaling to specify
 hepatic progenitor cell fate in chronic liver disease. *Nature medicine* 18, 572-579
 (2012).

4 17. Nejak-Bowen, K. & Monga, S.P. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in hepatic 5 organogenesis. Organogenesis 4, 92-99 (2008). 6 Nejak-Bowen, K.N. et al. Accelerated liver 18. regeneration and 7 hepatocarcinogenesis in mice overexpressing serine-45 mutant beta-catenin. 8 Hepatology 51, 1603-1613 (2010). 9 19. Sekine, S., Gutierrez, P.J., Lan, B.Y., Feng, S. & Hebrok, M. Liver-specific loss 10 of beta-catenin results in delayed hepatocyte proliferation after partial hepatectomy. *Hepatology* 45, 361-368 (2007). 11 12 Carmon, K.S., Gong, X., Lin, Q., Thomas, A. & Liu, Q. R-spondins function as 20. 13 ligands of the orphan receptors LGR4 and LGR5 to regulate Wnt/beta-catenin 14 signaling. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States 15 of America 108, 11452-11457 (2011). 16 21. de Lau, W. et al. Lgr5 homologues associate with Wnt receptors and mediate R-17 spondin signalling. *Nature* **476**, 293-297 (2011). 18 Glinka, A. et al. LGR4 and LGR5 are R-spondin receptors mediating Wnt/beta-22. 19 catenin and Wnt/PCP signalling. EMBO reports 12, 1055-1061 (2011). 20 23. Ruffner, H. et al. R-Spondin potentiates Wnt/beta-catenin signaling through 21 orphan receptors LGR4 and LGR5. PloS one 7, e40976 (2012). 22 Hao, H.X. et al. ZNRF3 promotes Wnt receptor turnover in an R-spondin-24. 23 sensitive manner. Nature 485, 195-200 (2012). 24 25. Koo, B.K. et al. Tumour suppressor RNF43 is a stem-cell E3 ligase that induces 25 endocytosis of Wnt receptors. Nature 488, 665-669 (2012). 26 26. Kinzel, B. et al. Functional roles of Lgr4 and Lgr5 in embryonic gut, kidney and 27 skin development in mice. Developmental biology **390**, 181-190 (2014). 28 Koo, B.K. & Clevers, H. Stem cells marked by the R-spondin receptor LGR5. 27. 29 *Gastroenterology* **147**, 289-302 (2014). 30 Bird, T.G. & Forbes, S.J. Two Fresh Streams to Fill the Liver's Hepatocyte Pool. 28. 31 *Cell stem cell* **17**, 377-378 (2015). 32 29. Font-Burgada, J. et al. Hybrid Periportal Hepatocytes Regenerate the Injured 33 Liver without Giving Rise to Cancer. Cell 162, 766-779 (2015). 34 30. Lu, W.Y. et al. Hepatic progenitor cells of biliary origin with liver repopulation 35 capacity. *Nature cell biology* **17**, 971-983 (2015). 36 Wang, B., Zhao, L., Fish, M., Logan, C.Y. & Nusse, R. Self-renewing diploid 31. 37 Axin2(+) cells fuel homeostatic renewal of the liver. *Nature* **524**, 180-185 (2015). 38 32. Zaret, K.S. Regenerative biology: Maintaining liver mass. Nature 524, 165-166 39 (2015).40 33. Huch, M. et al. In vitro expansion of single Lgr5+ liver stem cells induced by Wnt-driven regeneration. Nature 494, 247-250 (2013). 41 42 Karaca, G. et al. TWEAK/Fn14 signaling is required for liver regeneration after 34. 43 partial hepatectomy in mice. PloS one 9, e83987 (2014). 44 Colnot S., P.C. Liver Zonation, in Molecular Pathology of Liver Diseases Vol. 35.

Monga, S.P., Pediaditakis, P., Mule, K., Stolz, D.B. & Michalopoulos, G.K.

Changes in WNT/beta-catenin pathway during regulated growth in rat liver

regeneration. *Hepatology* **33**, 1098-1109 (2001).

1

2

3

16.

Molecular Pathology Library 5. (ed. S.P. Monga) (Springer Science and Business
 Media, 2011).

1	36.	Ferrer-Vaquer, A. et al. A sensitive and bright single-cell resolution live imaging
2		reporter of Wnt/ss-catenin signaling in the mouse. BMC developmental biology
3		10 , 121 (2010).
4	37.	Gerbal-Chaloin, S. et al. The WNT/beta-catenin pathway is a transcriptional
5		regulator of CYP2E1, CYP1A2, and aryl hydrocarbon receptor gene expression in
6		primary human hepatocytes. <i>Molecular pharmacology</i> 86 , 624-634 (2014).
7	38.	Soriano, P. Generalized lacZ expression with the ROSA26 Cre reporter strain.
8		Nature genetics 21 , 70-71 (1999).
9	39.	Barker, N. et al. Identification of stem cells in small intestine and colon by marker
10		gene Lgr5. <i>Nature</i> 449 . 1003-1007 (2007).
11	40	Clevers, H. Loh, K.M. & Nusse, R. Stem cell signaling. An integral program for
12		tissue renewal and regeneration. Whit signaling and stem cell control <i>Science</i> 346
13		1248012 (2014)
14	41	Spinnert H I <i>et al</i> I or6 marks stem cells in the hair follicle that generate all cell
15		lineages of the skin Science 327 1385-1389 (2010)
16	12	Klochendler A $at al$ A transgenic mouse marking live replicating cells reveals in
17	42.	vivo transcriptional program of proliferation Developmental call 23, 681,600
19		(2012)
10	13	(2012). Burkhardt S. Bahnamann D. Failing K. & Dainachar M. Zonal distribution of
20	43.	bulkinalut, S., Dannelmann, K., Fannig, K. & Kennacher, M. Zonar distribution of call preliferation in the liver of untreated P6C2E1 and C57PL mice. Texicologic
20		nathology 22 100 105 (2004)
21	4.4	<i>painology</i> 52 , 100-105 (2004). Mivaiima A. Tanaka M. & Itah T. Stam/maganitan calls in liver development.
22	44.	Myajima, A., Tanaka, M. & Iton, T. Stem/progenitor cens in fiver development,
23	15	nomeostasis, regeneration, and reprogramming. Cell stem cell 14, 561-574 (2014).
24	45.	Gougelet, A. <i>et al.</i> 1-cell factor 4 and beta-catenin chromatin occupancies pattern
25	16	zonal liver metabolism in mice. <i>Hepatology</i> 59 , 2344-2357 (2014).
26	46.	Clevers, H. Wht/beta-catenin signaling in development and disease. Cell 127,
27	47	469-480 (2006).
28	47.	Fitamant, J. et al. YAP Inhibition Restores Hepatocyte Differentiation in
29	40	Advanced HCC, Leading to Tumor Regression. Cell reports (2015).
30	48.	Marti, P. et al. YAP promotes proliferation, chemoresistance, and angiogenesis in
31		human cholangiocarcinoma through TEAD transcription factors. <i>Hepatology</i>
32		(2015).
33	49.	Azzolin, L. et al. YAP/TAZ incorporation in the beta-catenin destruction complex
34		orchestrates the Wnt response. <i>Cell</i> 158 , 157-170 (2014).
35	50.	Bralet, M.P., Branchereau, S., Brechot, C. & Ferry, N. Cell lineage study in the
36		liver using retroviral mediated gene transfer. Evidence against the streaming of
37		hepatocytes in normal liver. The American journal of pathology 144, 896-905
38		(1994).
39	51.	Ong, C.K. et al. Exome sequencing of liver fluke-associated cholangiocarcinoma.
40		<i>Nature genetics</i> 44 , 690-693 (2012).
41	52.	Tchorz, J.S. et al. A modified RMCE-compatible Rosa26 locus for the expression
42		of transgenes from exogenous promoters. <i>PloS one</i> 7, e30011 (2012).
43	53.	Dill, M.T. et al. Constitutive Notch2 signaling induces hepatic tumors in mice.
44		Hepatology 57, 1607-1619 (2013).
45	54.	Mitchell, C. & Willenbring, H. A reproducible and well-tolerated method for 2/3
46		partial hepatectomy in mice. Nature protocols 3, 1167-1170 (2008).

- 55. Wieland, S. *et al.* Simultaneous detection of hepatitis C virus and interferon
 stimulated gene expression in infected human liver. *Hepatology* 59, 2121-2130
 (2014).

Figure 1 Planas-Paz & Orsini et al.



Nuclear Fast Red LacZ

Figure 2

Planas-Paz & Orsini et al.



Figure 3 Planas-Paz & Orsini et al.



control Lgr4/5dLKO

□ control ■ Lgr4/5dLKO



Figure 4 Planas-Paz & Orsini et al.



Figure 5 Planas-Paz & Orsini et al.



Lgr4/5dKO

control

Figure 6



Figure 7 Planas-Paz & Orsini et al.



Supplementary Figure 1 Planas-Paz & Orsini et al.

Parenchyma а



Lgr5 mRNA Lgr4 mRNA DAPI



Supplementary Figure 2

Planas-Paz & Orsini et al.



Supplementary Figure 3

Planas-Paz & Orsini et al.



Supplementary Figure 4 Planas-Paz & Orsini et al.



SOX9 DAPI

Supplementary Figure 5 Planas-Paz & Orsini et al.



Supplementary Figure 6 Planas-Paz & Orsini et al.



Supplementary Figure 7 Planas-Paz & Orsini et al.

