

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Mother-infant interaction in schizophrenia

Citation for published version:

Davidsen, KÅ, Harder, S, Macbeth, A, Lundy, J-M & Gumley, A 2015, 'Mother–infant interaction in schizophrenia: Transmitting risk or resilience? A systematic review of the literature', *Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology*, vol. 50, no. 12, pp. 1785–1798. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-015-1127-x

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1007/s00127-015-1127-x

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Social psychiatry and psychiatric epidemiology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



REVIEW



Mother-infant interaction in schizophrenia: transmitting risk or resilience? A systematic review of the literature

Kirstine Agnete Davidsen^{1,2} · Susanne Harder³ · Angus MacBeth⁴ · Jenna-Marie Lundy⁵ · Andrew Gumley⁵

Received: 25 February 2015 / Accepted: 22 September 2015 © The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract

Purpose The parent–infant relationship is an important context for identifying very early risk and resilience factors and targets for the development of preventative interventions. The aim of this study was to systematically review studies investigating the early caregiver–infant relationship and attachment in offspring of parents with schizophrenia. *Methods* We searched computerized databases for relevant articles investigating the relationship between early caregiver–infant relationship and outcomes for offspring of a caregiver with a diagnosis of schizophrenia. Studies were assessed for risk of bias.

Results We identified 27 studies derived from 10 cohorts, comprising 208 women diagnosed with schizophrenia, 71 with other psychoses, 203 women with depression, 59 women with mania/bipolar disorder, 40 with personality disorder, 8 with unspecified mental disorders and 119 non-psychiatric controls. There was some evidence to support disturbances in

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00127-015-1127-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Kirstine Agnete Davidsen kdavidsen@health.sdu.dk

- ¹ Department of Psychology, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense, Denmark
- ² Department of Child and Adolescent Mental Health Odense, Research Unit, Mental Health Services in the Region of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark
- ³ Department of Psychology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
- ⁴ School of Health in Social Science, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK
- ⁵ Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, UK

maternal behaviour amongst those with a diagnosis of schizophrenia and there was more limited evidence of disturbances in infant behaviour and mutuality of interaction. *Conclusions* Further research should investigate both sources of resilience and risk in the development of offspring of parents with a diagnosis of schizophrenia and psychosis. Given the lack of specificity observed in this review, these studies should also include maternal affective disorders including depressive and bipolar disorders.

Keywords Mother–infant interaction · Schizophrenia · Resilience, psychological · Risk factors · Transmission

Introduction

Children of parents with schizophrenia are at increased risk of developing psychiatric disorder compared to the general population. Having one parent with schizophrenia results in 7 % lifetime risk of schizophrenia [1] and 55 % risk of developing any psychiatric condition [2]. Children of parents with schizophrenia display motor-cognitive delay [3], emotional problems during preschool, attention difficulties and poorer social adjustment at school [4]. High-risk studies [5-7] identify interactions between genetic factors, obstetric complications and neurodevelopment in the transmission of risk during the antenatal and perinatal periods [8, 9]. Recent studies emphasize that environmental and psychosocial variables including social adversity [10], urban/inner city living [11], migration and ethnicity [12] also play an important role in understanding pathways towards schizophrenia [13]. Childhood adversity and trauma are linked to increased risk of psychosis [14], with emerging evidence for the role of stress sensitivity as an underlying biological substrate [15].

A small number of high-risk studies have examined the early care-giving environment, finding that experiencing prolonged institutional care and parental separation were linked to the development of schizophrenia compared to other diagnostic groups [16]. In their meta-analysis, de Sousa and colleagues [17] showed that parental communication deviance is robustly associated with offspring psychosis. There is increasing evidence to suggest that people with schizophrenia are more likely to display insecure (particularly avoidant) attachment patterns, which are associated with poorer outcomes including poorer engagement with services, more frequent and longer hospitalization, greater trauma and more positive and negative symptoms [18].

These attachment studies rely on retrospective evaluations of the early care-giving, providing no prospective empirical data on experiences and characteristics of early parental relationships, despite evidence of the clinical and theoretical importance of the early care-giving environment as a basis for the emergence of risk and resilience as it materializes in later life. Although there have been developmental psychopathology informed conceptual reviews of the schizophrenia literature [19], there has been no systematical survey of the literature on the early caregiving environment in schizophrenia. We sought to address this via the following questions:

- 1. What are the characteristics of the studies investigating the early caregiver–infant relationship?
- 2. What are the characteristics of the early caregiverinfant relationship and what are its correlates?
- 3. What methodological features are associated with increased risk of bias?

Methods

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

All studies were cohort or case–control studies with either cross-sectional or longitudinal outcomes and included (i) participants who were caregivers with a diagnosis of schizophrenia; (ii) participants also included infants and young children between the ages of 0 and 6 years; (iii) reported data on caregiver–infant interaction; (iv) were published between 1968 and November 2013; and (v) were written in English. Excluded studies were (i) qualitative methods; (ii) case studies; (iii) dissertations; and (iv) conference abstracts.

Search strategy

databases. Search terms used the following combined Thesaurus and MeSH terms: ["MOTHERS"] and ["PSY-CHOSIS" or "SCHIZOPHRENIA"] and ["INFANT" or "CHILDREN" or "OFFSPRING"] and ["ATTACH-MENT" or "INTERACTION" or "RELATION*"]. Online titles and abstracts were reviewed after de-duplication. Articles not meeting inclusion criteria were discarded. Full texts of potentially eligible articles were obtained. Reference lists of eligible articles were searched to identify relevant articles that may have been missed by the electronic search strategy. Two additional cohorts were identified [20, 21].

Risk of bias

We systematically assessed the risk of bias via a methodological evaluation of all studies (SH & AG) using methods developed for observational studies in epidemiology [22]. We assessed the following methodological domains: Selection, Measures, Loss to Follow-up, Blinding of Outcomes, Confounding, and Statistical Methods. The Risk of Bias is summarized in Table 1. Overall agreement was calculated as Kappa = 0.76. Where differences were identified, these were resolved through discussion.

Results

The search process is summarized in Fig. 1. We identified 160 potentially eligible papers, and a further 28 from references. 141 papers were excluded on the basis of the abstracts and titles alone. We screened full manuscripts for 47 studies. We excluded 20 after three reviewers (KD, AG & SH) scrutinized the manuscripts. A fourth independent blind reviewer (JML) replicated the search process. No new studies were identified.

What are the characteristics of the studies investigating the early caregiver-infant relationship?

We included a total of 27 papers representing k = 10 cohorts (See Table 2). These studies comprised women diagnosed with schizophrenia (n = 208), other psychoses (n = 27), depression (n = 203), mania/bipolar disorder (n = 59), personality disorder (n = 40), unspecified mental disorders (n = 8) and non-psychiatric controls (n = 119). Amongst those with psychosis/schizophrenia, median age was 28.6 years (range 21.0–34.6). For the infants, median age was 8.3 months (range 3 days to 14.2 months). Studies were classified into three categories: longitudinal cohorts, cross-sectional cohorts and mother–baby unit studies.

Table 1 Risk of Bias

	Methods for selecting study participants	Methods for measuring exposure and outcome variables	Design-specific sources of bias (excluding confounding) loss to follow- up	Design-specific sources of bias (excluding confounding) blinding of outcomes	Methods to control confounding	Statistical methods (excluding control of confounding)
Lund Cohort [23–34]	Low	High	High	Unclear	Low	High
Rochester Cohort [35, 36]	Unclear	High	High	Unclear	Low	High
Emory Cohort [20, 37]	High	Low	High	Low	Low	Low
Pittsburgh Cohort [38, 39]	High	Low	N/A	Low	High	High
Boston Cohort [40]	High	Low	N/A	Low	High	Low
Bethlem Cohort [41]	High	High	High	High	High	Low
Austin Cohort [21]	High	High	Low	High	High	High
Manchester Cohort 1993-1995 [42]	High	High	N/A	High	High	High
Manchester Cohort 1993–1995 and 1996-2000 [43]	High	Low	N/A	Low	Low	Low
Manchester Cohort 1996–2000 [44, 45]	High	Low	N/A	Low	Unclear	Low
London Cohort I (Pawlby) [46]	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low
London Cohort II (Kenny) [47]	High	Low	Low	Low	High	Low

Longitudinal cohorts

There were three longitudinal cohorts. The Lund Cohort [23] described a study of offspring from 0–6 years comprising 192 participants (n = 88 psychosis; n = 17 Schizophrenia). The Rochester Cohort [36] described a 0–30 months follow-up of 184 participants (n = 29 schizophrenia). The Emory Cohort [20] described a study of offspring from 0–5 years, following up 153 women (n = 71 schizophrenia).

Cross-sectional cohorts

There were two cross-sectional cohorts. The Pittsburgh Cohort [39] with 18 participants (n = 9 schizophrenia), and the Boston Cohort [40] with 45 participants (n = 15 schizophrenia).

Mother baby unit cohorts

There were five cohorts derived from consecutive admissions to Mother Baby Units (MBU). The Bethlem Cohort [41] comprised 78 women (n = 15 schizophrenia). The Austin Cohort [21] comprised 15 women all of whom met DSM-IV criteria for schizophrenia. The Manchester Cohort (1993–1995) [42] comprised 48 women (n = 8 schizophrenia). The Manchester Cohort (1996–2000) [44] comprised 45 women with DSM-IV/ ICD-10 diagnoses (n = 14 schizophrenia). The London

Cohort [46] comprised 42 participants (n = 15 schizophrenia).

Characteristics of the early caregiver-infant relationship and correlates

Neonatal

Two studies [24, 41] reported neonatal interaction data. One [24] found atypical maternal behaviour (less maternal social contact during feeding) exhibited by women diagnosed with schizophrenia, in comparison to matched normal controls. For the broader psychosis group, there were also significantly higher levels of maternal tension and uncertainty. Infants of mothers with psychosis showed lower levels of engagement and social contact. Hipwell & Kumar [41] reported nurses' observations of mother-infant interaction at three time points during admission to an MBU. At each time point, mothers diagnosed with schizophrenia were observed to have significantly higher disturbed behaviour compared to the depressed and bipolar controls. Irrespective of diagnostic group, maternal-infant interaction improved over time. Maternal diagnosis was the only variable predicting greater likelihood of mother-infant dyads being placed on the at-risk register or recommendation for social services supervision/foster care rather than being discharged home unsupervised.

Additional data on studies exploring maternal behaviour, infant behaviour and their interaction amongst neonates are given in Table 3 (Online Resource 1).



1–12 months (maternal behaviour)

Data on maternal behaviour were reported in 13 studies from 7 cohorts [21, 25–27, 29, 32, 36, 42–47]. All but the last two reported associations between maternal diagnosis of schizophrenia and atypical maternal behaviour in interaction. In most studies, the interaction sequence was a 5–30 min unstructured or semi-structured mother–infant play situation. All studies from the Lund Cohort also coded a feeding situation, one study reported from 2 h nonspecified home observation [36] and one study [41] based interaction ratings on an MBU.

In the Lund Cohort, maternal schizophrenia and psychosis were associated with reduced social contact during feeding and bodily contact during play, and greater tension/ uncertainty at 6 weeks [25]. At 6 months, maternal schizophrenia and psychosis were only associated with reduced social contact during play [26]. By 1 year, maternal schizophrenia and psychosis were associated with increased tension/uncertainty during feeding [27]. Most variables were not linked to secure versus insecure attachment amongst infants at 12 months within the maternal psychosis group. However, greater maternal tension/uncertainty at 12 months was associated with insecure attachment amongst infants [29]. In addition, greater maternal tension/uncertainty at 6 weeks, 3¹/₂ months and 12 months was associated with an absence of Fear of Strangers (FoS) amongst offspring of mothers with

Cohort, collection meriod										
collection neriod	Reference	Design and sai	mple	Maternal diagnosis and	Infant age*, gender:	Domains cov	ered			
	study	characteristics		subgroups (mean age)	male (%)	Maternal	Infant	Mutual	Mother	Infant
		Design	<i>N</i> , consent rate, attrition			behaviour	behaviour	interaction	correlates	correlates
Lund Cohort	[23] Study	Longitudi-nal	192,	104 matched controls	0–6 years					
1973–1977	description, no	0–6 year	consent rate	88 psychosis (28.7 years)	Schz: 11(65 %)					
	interactive data presented		index: 93 %	Hereof	Psychosis: 50 (57 %)					
	num province		control 98 %	17 schz	Control: 48 (46 %)					
				project criteria +RDC						
	[24]		124	51 psychosis, hereof	3 days	~	\mathbf{i}	\mathbf{r}	Diagnosis	
				11 schz	58 (47 %)					
				73 matched controls						
	[25]		3 weeks: 102	42 psychosis, hereof	3 and 6 weeks	~	\mathbf{i}	~	Diagnosis	
			6 weeks: 129	11 schz	gender: NI					
				60 matched controls						
				51 psychosis, hereof 14 schz						
				78 matched controls						
	[26]		31/2 month: 128	48 psychosis, hereof	$31/_2$ and 6 month	~	\mathbf{i}	\mathbf{r}	Diagnosis	
			6 months: 131	11 schz	gender: NI					
				80 controls						
				52 psychosis						
				14 schz						
				79 controls						
	[27]		126	46 psychosis, hereof	1 year	\mathbf{r}	$\overline{}$	\mathbf{r}	Diagnosis	Attachment
				10 schz	gender: NI					
				80 controls						
	[28]		126	46 psychosis, hereof	1 year		$\overline{}$		Diagnosis	Attachment
				10 schz	61 (48 %)				Psychiatric	
				80 controls					CONDUCT	
	[29]		126	46 psychosis	1 year	\mathbf{r}	$\overline{}$	\mathbf{r}	Diagnosis	Attachment
				80 controls	gender: NI					
	[30]		126	46 psychosis, hereof	1 year		\mathbf{i}		Psychiatric	Gender
				10 schz	61 (48 %)				condition	
				80 controls						
	[31]		Subsample:	46 psychosis, hereof	1 year		\mathbf{i}		Diagnosis	
			126	10 schz	male: 61 (48 %)					
				80 controls						
	[32]		126	46 psychosis	1 year	~	\mathbf{i}	\mathbf{r}		Fear of strangers
				80 controls	gender: NI					

Table 2 conti	nued									
Cohort,	Reference	Design and sai	mple	Maternal diagnosis and	Infant age*, gender:	Domains cov	ered			
collection period	study	cnaracteristics Design	<i>N</i> , consent rate, attrition	subgroups (mean age)	male (%)	Maternal behaviour	Infant behaviour	Mutual interaction	Mother correlates	Infant correlates
	[33]		IN	88 psychosis hereof17 with post-partumpsychosis					Diagnosis Post- partum Psychosis	Fear of stranger Attachment
	[34]		161 attrition: psych 27 % control 6 %	88 psychosis, hereof 12 schz 104 controls	 year (attachment) years years psychopathology) gender: NI 		~			
Roche-ster Cohort 1970–1976	[35] Study description, no interactive data presented		184 attrition 0 to 4 months: 22 %. 4 months to 4 years: 19 %	Matched sample: 29 schz 58 depr 40 Pers. Disorder 57 No mental disorder DSM II criteria	0, 4, 12, 30 and 48 months gender: NI				Diagnosis Severity and chronicity of illness Social status	Mental and psychomotor development
	[36]	0–30 months longitudinal study	184 attrition: whole group: 31 % Schz group: 35 %	Matched sample (24.4 years): 29 schz 58 depr 40 Pers. Disorder 57 No mental disorder DSM II criteria	4 and 12 months gender: NI	~	√ Incl attachment		Diagnosis Illness Severity and chronicity Social status	Mental and psychomotor development
Emory Cohorts	[20]	Longitudinal	153 attrition 29 %	 115 index hereof 71 schz 36 depr 8 unspec 38 control DSM III criteria 	0-5 years mean: 2 years gender: NI	~	~	7	Diagnosis	IQ Social behaviour Psychiatric outcome
	[37]		101	53 schz 25 depr 23 matched control	3 month to 5 years mean 2 years gender: 50/50	~	~	7	Diagnosis	IQ Social behaviour
Pitts-burgh Cohort	[38] Study description, no interactive data presented	Cross sectional	21	9 schz 12 controls	3 months	7	~	7	Diagnosis Level of anxiety, depression and anger Nurturant care	IQ Neurological and physiological examination
	[39]	Cross-sectional	18	9 schz (21 years) 9 controls (22 years)	Mean 14.2 months gender: NI	~	~	>	Diagnosis Education Care	Mental and psychomotor development

Table 2 conti	nued									
Cohort,	Reference	Design and sar	mple	Maternal diagnosis and	Infant age*, gender:	Domains cov	/ered			
collection	study	characteristics		subgroups (mean age)	male (%)	Maternal	Infant	Mutual	Mother	Infant
		Design	<i>N</i> , consent rate, attrition			behaviour	behaviour	interaction	correlates	correlates
Boston Cohort	[40]	Cross-sectional	45	15 schz	Mean 12.5 months		\checkmark		Diagnosis	
				15 depr	gender: NI					
				15 controls						
				DSMIII criteria						
Beth-lem Cohort	[41]	Pre-post.	78	15 schz (26.8 years)	Mean age: schz: 5.1,	\mathbf{r}			Diagnosis	
		assessment		28 depr (29.7 years)	depr: 6.9, bipolar: 4.3,					
				35 bipolar/manic (28.2 years)	J WCCKS					
				RDC	male: schz: 7 (41 %) depr: 17 (60 %) bipolar:18 (51 %)					
Austin Cohort	[21]	Pre-post	15	15 schz (28.6 years).	1-44 weeks	\mathbf{r}	$\overline{}$	~	Severity of	
		assessment		DSM-IV criteria	mean: 16.9 weeks				illness	
					male: 8 (53)					
Man-chester	[42]	Cross sec-tional	48 admitted	8 schz (31.5 years)	App.	\mathbf{r}	\mathbf{r}	~	Diagnosis	
1993–95 Cebeurt			32 eligible	12 depr	4 months					
CONOLL			5 refused to be	6 bipolar (25.7 years)	13 (50 %)					
			videotaped	RDC = research diagnostic						
			26 included	criteria						
Man-chester	[43]	Cross sec-tional	55 included	13 Schz	4 months	\mathbf{r}	\mathbf{i}	\mathbf{i}	Diagnosis	
$1993-95 + 1996_2000$		replication study	38 used for	14 bipolar	gender: NI					
Cohort			analysis	11 depr						
			(>4 months old from 1003	ICD-10 diagnostic criteria						
			cohort excluded)							
Man-chester	[44]		45	14 schz	4-60 weeks,	\rightarrow	~		Diagnosis	
1996–2000				31 affective	mean: 15 weeks					
				(28 years) DSM-IV criteria	20 (44 %)					
	[45]		51	14 schz	7-60 weeks,	\mathbf{r}			Diagnosis	
				Comparison group:	mean: 16 weeks					
				8 bipolar, 25 depr., 2 OCD, 1	25 (50 %)					
				anxiety						
				(28 years) ICD-10 criteria						
London Cohort	[46]	Pre-post	139	49 controls	0-52 weeks	\mathbf{i}	\mathbf{i}		Diagnosis	
		assessment	49 control	Of 42 remaining in-patients:	mean age:					
			82 + 8 eligible	15 schz (34.6 years)	At admission:					
			in-patients	23 depr (32.2 years)	10.6 weeks					
				12 mania (29.0 years)	At discharge: 19.1 weeks					
				DSM-IV criteria						

 ${\begin{tabular}{ll} \underline{ {\begin{subarray}{c} \underline{ {\begin{subarray}{ \underline{ {\begin{subarray}{ \underline {\begin{subarray}{ \underline {\begin{subarray}{ \underline {\begin{subarray}{ {\begin{subarray}{ \underline {\begin{subarray}{ \underline {\begin{subarray}{ \underline {\begin{subarray}{ {\begin{subarray}{ {\begin{subarray}{ {\begin{subarray}{ {\begin{subarray}{ {\begin{subarray}{ {\begin{subarray}{ {\begin{subarray}{ {\begin{subray}{ {\begin{subray}{ {\begin{suberray}{ {\begin{subarray}{ {\begin{subray}{ {\begin} {\begin{subray}{ {\bent} {\begin{subray}{ {$

Cohort,	Reference	Design and sa	umple	Maternal diagnosis and	Infant age*, gender:	Domains co	vered			
collection	study	characteristics		subgroups (mean age)	male (%)	Maternal	Infant	Mutual	Mother	Infant
period		Design	<i>N</i> , consent rate, attrition			behaviour	behaviour	interaction	correlates	correlates
	[47]	Pre-post	49	8 schz	1-53 weeks (admission)	~	~		Diagnosis	
		assessment		23 depr	5-61 weeks (discharge)					
				18 mania	mean age:					
					At admission:12.4					
					At discharge: 20.6					

psychosis [32]. Reduced FoS in infants is consistent with insecure-avoidant and insecure-disorganized attachment patterns.

The Rochester Cohort [36] found that maternal schizophrenia was associated with reduced spontaneity and proximity at 4 but not 12 months. Impairments in a range of maternal behaviours were consistently associated with social status not diagnosis. Snellen and colleagues [21] found that maternal schizophrenia was associated with reduced eye, physical and vocal contact.

Maternal schizophrenia was compared to affective controls in four studies [42-45]. Differences were found at 4 months [42, 43], and within the first year [44, 45]. Maternal schizophrenia was associated with being more remote, silent, verbally and behaviourally intrusive, selfabsorbed. flaccid, insensitive, unresponsive, less demanding, displaying less emotional warmth and acceptance and engaging in less infant-focused speech. Pawlby et al. [46] found no differences between maternal schizophrenia and affective controls for maternal mind-mindedness, and no effect of schizophrenia/depression/mania diagnosis on amount of change during admission [47].

1–12 months (infant behaviour)

Infant behaviour was reported in 16 studies from seven cohorts [21, 26–32, 36, 40, 42–44, 46, 47]. Infant behaviours were coded from the same interaction situations as the coding of maternal behaviour (above) and the Strange Situation Procedure [48].

McNeil and colleagues [26] found evidence of reduced social contact at 3.5 months. Two studies using overlapping samples from the two Manchester cohorts found that infants of mothers diagnosed with schizophrenia were less attentive to the mother at 4 months, less engaged with environment and less lively compared to affective controls [42, 43]. Infant attentiveness was associated with maternal sensitivity and responsiveness. Infants who were less attentive were interacting with mothers who were more avoidant, less engaged in the environment and less lively during interactions [43]. Compared to normal controls, infants of mothers diagnosed with schizophrenia were found to be insecurely attached at 12 months [28, 40]. In comparison to maternal depression, offspring of mothers with schizophrenia were found to be more avoidant where offspring of mothers with depression were more ambivalent [40]. Finally, one study found reduced (12 months) in infants with mothers FoS with schizophrenia [30]. Seven studies found no significant differences in infant behaviour compared to matched normal controls at 3 and 6 weeks, or 4, 6 and 12 months [25-27, 31, 36, 44, 46].

1–12 months (mutual interaction)

Mutual engagement during mother–infant interaction was assessed in 8 studies from 4 cohorts [21, 25–27, 29, 32, 42, 43].

Compared to normal controls, two studies did not find significant differences in harmonious interaction for offspring of maternal schizophrenia at 3 weeks, 3½-months and 6 months [25, 26] although significantly less harmonious interaction was noted at 6 weeks [25]. No significant differences were observed at 1 year comparing maternal schizophrenia or psychosis and their offspring to normal controls [27]. Compared to affective controls, maternal schizophrenia and their infants were observed to have less mutually satisfying, engaged, smooth and easy interaction at 4 months [25, 42, 43].

Amongst the dyads, insecure attachment at 12 months was associated with less harmonious feeding at 3 weeks and 12 months and less reciprocity at 6 months [29]. This suggests that early indicators of disturbances in harmony and reciprocity are linked to the emergence of insecure attachment in this group. Consistent with this, Persson-Blennow and colleagues [32] showed that reduced FoS was associated with less harmonious interaction during feeding at 6 months. Finally, Snellen and colleagues [21] showed that mutuality of attention, reciprocity, synchronicity and intensity of interaction all improved during admission to an MBU.

Additional data on maternal behaviour, infant behaviour and mutual interaction from 1- to 12-months are given in Table 4 (Online Resource 1).

In summary, the majority of studies investigating the time period between 1 and 12 months found some evidence for disturbed maternal behaviour in schizophrenia, although the findings were inconsistent over time. Effects appeared to be more consistent for the broader category of maternal psychosis [23] suggesting that some of the inconsistent effects observed in the narrower maternal schizophrenia comparisons may be artifacts of poor statistical power. Evidence that infant behaviour amongst offspring of maternal schizophrenia or maternal psychosis differed from normal controls was more equivocal when coding attachment security during the Strange Situation Procedure. Compared to normal controls, there was greater insecurity and avoidance in offspring of mothers with schizophrenia. Consistent with this avoidant stance, reduced FoS was observed amongst offspring of mothers with schizophrenia. FoS and attachment insecurity were associated with reduced mutually harmonious interactions early in the course of development up to 6 months. Finally, there was less optimal mutuality of interaction amongst offspring and mothers with schizophrenia although this was not consistent across all time points across the first 1-12 months.

13–36 months (maternal behaviour)

There were limited and conflicting data pertaining to maternal behaviour between 13 and 36 months. Three studies from two cohorts [20, 37, 39], reported data on maternal behaviour in mother-infant interaction. In two studies, data were based on a semi-structured play situation and observation in home [20, 37]. Both studies found that, compared to normal controls, maternal behaviour with their children (mean age 2-years, range 0-5 years) in the schizophrenia group was associated with reduced responsiveness and stimulation [20], less affectionate involvement and poorer child-rearing environment [37]. In contrast, Schachter et al. [39] did not find any differences between maternal schizophrenia and normal controls with respect to positive affectionate behaviour, negative angry behaviour or attention during a laboratory feeding task although this study only had 9 participants in each group.

13-36 months (infant behaviour)

Three studies from two cohorts [20, 37, 39] reported data on infant behaviour. Goodman [20] explored infant behaviour during play in the home environment. They found that infants of maternal schizophrenia and depression expressed less affect during play. In addition, children of maternal schizophrenia showed reduced anger and anxiety, reduced communicative competence, increased activity, reduced expression of affection and69. annoyance, less use of mother as a resource and less role play. Goodman & Brumley [37] found no differences for children of maternal schizophrenia or depression compared to normal controls. Maternal affectional involvement was associated with children's IQ and social competence. Schachter et al. [39] found no differences between children of maternal schizophrenia and normal controls.

13–36 months (mutual interaction)

Two studies from two cohorts [37, 39] reported data on mutual interaction. Schachter et al. [39] found that compared to normal controls, mothers with a diagnosis of schizophrenia showed higher contingency towards their child's behaviour. Goodman and Brumley [37] found that compared to normal controls, the affective quality of the interaction between children and mothers with schizophrenia was lower and characterized by less anger and hostility.

Additional data from studies exploring maternal behaviour, infant behaviour and mutual interaction amongst children aged between 13 and 16 months are summarized in Table 5 (Online Resource 1).

In summary, there are limited data for associations between maternal behaviour, infant behaviour and mutual interaction amongst cohorts of children aged between 13 and 36 months. The Shachter et al. study [39] is notably underpowered and utilized an invalidated coding system. The *Emory Cohort* [20] described follow-up of 153 women (n = 71 schizophrenia; n = 36 depression; n = 8 unspecified and n = 38 non-psychiatric controls). This study found some evidence of differences in maternal behaviour, infant behaviour and mutuality of interaction.

36 months and above

Only the Lund Cohort reported data beyond 36 months [34], reporting longitudinal associations at 6 years. They found that severity of child psychopathology was higher in offspring of maternal schizophrenia and psychosis compared to normal controls. The study found that association of psychopathology and earlier ratings of attachment security at 1 year was not significant.

Additional data on the study beyond 36 months are given in Table 6 (Online Resource 1).

What methodological features are associated with increased risk of bias?

Sampling and design

Of the 10 cohorts included in this systematic review, only three were longitudinal follow-up studies [20, 26, 36]. This means that there were limited data describing the unfolding developmental processes linked to maternal and infant behaviour and their interaction. There were four cohorts where rates of participation and consent were clearly reported [41–43, 46]. These four cohorts were based on consecutive admissions to an MBU. Across the 10 cohorts, there were 208 women diagnosed with schizophrenia and 71 with other psychoses. Most studies were based on small samples. Variance between studies was large and methods of diagnostic Criteria and ICD 10 criteria as well as studyspecific diagnostic criteria. We noted the frequent use of statistical analyses without adjustment for multiple testing.

Assessment of mother-infant behaviour and their interaction

The quality of assessment tools for assessing mother–infant behaviour varied between studies. The Emory Cohort [20] used the Mothers Project Rating Scales of Mother–child interaction [50], which has been found to discriminate between emotionally disturbed and well women. High reliability was reported. The Manchester Cohort (1993–1995) [42] and the Manchester Cohort (1996–2000) [43–45] used the Global Rating Scales of Mother–Infant Interaction which have been demonstrated to have good validity and have been used in a number of previous studies. These studies also reported good inter-rater reliability. The Manchester Cohort (1996–2000) [44] also used a modified version of the Stanley et al. classification system [51] for assigning deviant communication in a community sample of depressed mothers. Reliability was reported and there was good agreement for infant behaviour. Agreement for maternal behaviour was moderate. These measures did find significant differences between groups in the studies included in this review.

Two cohorts [21, 41] utilized the Bethlem Mother–Infant Interaction Rating Scale (BMIS) which has been demonstrated to show good psychometric properties. The two studies using the BMIS did not report inter-rater reliability. In contrast, The Lund Cohort [23], The Rochester Cohort [36] and the Pittsburg Cohort [39] used their own methods developed within the study to assess mother–infant behaviour and interaction. The London Cohort [46] coded maternal mind-mindedness using a coding scheme developed for assessing mother–infant interaction in psychologically healthy mothers as well as a non-standardized coding scheme for assessing maternal responsivity. No significant differences were identified.

Attachment

The Strange Situation Procedure (SSP) was used in three cohorts [28, 36, 40]. Two studies used abbreviated procedure using three or four of the eight episodes in the Strange situation procedure [28, 36]. The third study, which used the full Strange Situation Procedure, found the largest proportion on insecure attachment in the schizophrenia group [40]. Only two-way (secure insecure) and three-way (avoidant, ambivalent and secure) assessment of attachment type was carried through. None of the studies assessed maternal attachment.

Discussion

We aimed to systematically review the current status of the literature investigating the early caregiver–infant relationship and attachment in offspring of parents with schizophrenia. We can conclude that although there are data on mother–infant and early care-giving factors, these data are inherently limited by methodological heterogeneity [52]. Most studies included infants aged between 1 and 12 months. Data regarding neonatal characteristics or followup of infants beyond 13 months of age were limited. Data from studies of infants in the first 12 months of life suggested evidence of differences in maternal behaviour in schizophrenia compared to controls. As maternal communication deviance is a known risk factor for offspring psychosis [17], this is an important finding. Less consistent differences in infant behaviour compared to controls were found. Specifically, there was evidence of attachment insecurity/avoidance and reduced mutuality of mother–infant interaction in offspring of mothers with schizophrenia compared with controls. These data underscore the possible importance of mother–infant relationship in this clinical group and the need for a conceptual framework to scaffold these and future research studies.

One conceptual framework is attachment theory [53-55]. Attachment theory proposes a developmental model of psychological functioning and affect regulation, emerging from affectional bonds created in the context of close relationships, initially with primary caregivers. Attachment is grounded within the evolutionary need for safety and security [53]. In infancy, attachment behaviour is operationalized through patterns of secure, insecure-avoidant, insecure-ambivalent, and disorganized behaviour [48]. Avoidant and ambivalent behaviour represents strategies to regulate a suboptimal attachment bond, via minimizing or hyperactivating attachment behaviour, respectively, whereas disorganized attachment reflects attachment behaviour characterized by fearful interactions with caregivers. In adulthood, these behavioural patterns are reflected in narrative organization in the Adult Attachment Interview (AAI, [56])—with secure/freely autonomous, insecure dismissing, insecure preoccupied and unresolved with regard to trauma and loss attachment states of mind corresponding to the respective infant patterns.

Attachment research demonstrates that the quality of early caregiver-infant relationship affects developmental risk and resiliency in infants in the general population [57, 58]. Attachment has been associated with affect regulation, stress tolerance and mentalization, which are predictive of risk and resilience during childhood [59]. Attachment insecurity has been found to predict several types of later psychopathology, such as anxiety disorders, depression and antisocial behaviour [60]. In one of the few studies that have followed attachment from infancy to adulthood and linked this to adult psychopathology, disorganized attachment is the strongest single predictor of later psychopathology [61]. In this study, it was also found that attachment disorganization ratings in infancy significantly predicted dissociation in adolescence [62]. Additionally, insecure forms of attachment are more common within psychopathology including psychotic disorders than in normal populations [18, 63]. Based on this evidence, it is reasonable to hypothesize that the quality of early caregiver-infant relationship and attachment could also contribute to risk and resilience in schizophrenia, and could contribute to the diverse diagnostic outcomes in offspring of women with schizophrenia [1, 2] We propose two possible mechanisms for exploring the mother–infant relationship as a context for the transmission of resilience and/ or vulnerability to later psychopathology.

Transmission mechanism 1: quality of motherinfant interaction

Discovery of infant disorganized attachment has led to identification of possible atypical parent-infant interaction patterns. Main and Hesse [64] proposed that frequent interactions with a helplessly frightened, hostile and frightening, or confused caregiver create a relational trap where the infant's defence system motivates them to flee from the frightened and/or frightening caregivers, while at the same time their attachment system motivates them, influenced by separation fear, to approach them [65]. Thus, the disorganized infant experiences "fright without solution" [64, 66]. This early relational trauma adversely influences the development of the stress-coping system in the infant's brain [59]. Caregiving behaviours including role-confusion, disorientation and withdrawal have been found to predict infant disorganized attachment [67]. The studies included in this review did not include the measurement of attachment disorganization and thus future studies would benefit from including this.

Transmission mechanism 2: stress-sensitivity (S-S)

Stress is an important factor in the development of schizophrenia and individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia display increased vulnerability/sensitivity to stress. Empirical evidence supports the view that S-S may not be psychosis specific, but represents a general vulnerability for psychopathology [68]. Thus, a developmental psychopathology approach to schizophrenia has been proposed [69]. It is, therefore, apt to explore to what extent S-S is transmitted from mother to infant in schizophrenia, as this may be a common developmental risk process involved in offspring psychopathological outcomes. S-S can be assessed via psychophysiological studies of cortisol levels and release patterns following stress [70]. Cortisol is a hormone involved in the human stress response. Persons with schizophrenia and at risk of psychosis have higher baseline cortisol levels and exhibit a non-normative cortisol release pattern following stress [68, 71] suggesting increased S-S in schizophrenia. Studies also support an association between severe maternal mental illness and higher infant cortisol levels [72]. The infant-parent relationship is an infant's most important emotion regulation system in the first 12 months. Early experiences thus shape attachment, thereby influencing regulation of behavioural and physiological responses. Studies of parental care and attachment have identified associations between caregiving environment, attachment classification and infant physiological response to stress. Mothers whose interactions with their infants are most disrupted exhibit most deviation in cortisol levels [73]. Infants with insecure and disorganized attachment classification have elevated cortisol levels during separation in the Strange Situation Procedure (SSP) and disorganized infants showed greatest elevation and slowest return to baseline cortisol levels after SSP [74, 75]. Research [72] found that disorganized infants differed from non-disorganized infants in diurnal cortisol rhythm, displaying a more flattened daily curve. Although not specific to psychosis, these findings suggest links between motherinfant interaction, attachment classification and biological cortisol patterns. Given our findings with regard to motherinfant interaction and attachment classification, we suggest exploration of the role of stress sensitivity as a possible biological mechanism for transmission of resilience/risk from mothers with schizophrenia to their infants.

Concluding remarks

An important finding of this review is that there is limited evidence of variable quality on the relationship between maternal schizophrenia, the mother–infant relationship and infant development. Therefore, there is an absence of empirical evidence to inform therapeutic interventions and social policy in this area. Given the evidence that parental psychopathology is linked to increased risk of adult psychopathology, there is a clear need for further research exploring the biopsychosocial mechanisms of risk. However, given that almost 50 % of offspring do not develop adult psychopathology, there is an equally pressing need to explore the sources of resilience in this group. Therefore, further research should investigate both sources of resilience and risk in the development of offspring of parents with schizophrenia.

Limitations

We note that the terminology used to specify outcomes was somewhat varied in identifying studies. This was reflected in the number of additional papers identified by manual search, although this only generated two additional cohorts.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://crea tivecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- 1. Gottesman II, Laursen TM, Bertelsen A, Mortensen PB (2010) Severe mental disorders in offspring with 2 psychiatrically ill parents. Arch Gen Psychiatry 67:252–257
- Rasic D, Hajek T, Alda M, Uher R (2014) Risk of mental illness in offspring of parents with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis of family high-risk studies. Schizophr Bull 40:28–38
- Welham J, Isohanni M, Jones P, McGrath J (2009) The antecedents of schizophrenia: a review of birth cohort studies. Schizophr Bull 35:603–623
- 4. Niemi LT, Suvisaari JM, Haukka JK, Lönnqvist JK (2005) Childhood predictors of future psychiatric morbidity in offspring of mothers with psychotic disorder: results from the Helsinki High-Risk Study. Br J Psychiatry 186:108–114
- Silberman E, Tassone E (1985) The Israeli high-risk study: statistical overview and discussion. Schizophr Bull 11:138–145
- Erlenmeyer-Kimling L, Rock D, Roberts S, Janal M, Kestenbaum C, Cornblatt B, Adamo U, Gottesman I (2000) Attention, memory and motor skills as childhood predictors of schizohrenia-related psychosis. Am J Psychiatry 157:1416–1422
- Isohanni M, Lauronen E, Moilanen K, Isohanni I, Kemppainen L, Koponen H, Miettunen J, Maki P, Räsänen S, Veijola J, Tienari P, Wahlberg K, Murray G (2005) Predictors of schizophrenia: evidence from the Northern Finland 1966 birth cohort and other sources. Br J Psychiatry 187:4–7
- 8. Marenco S, Weinberger DR (2000) The neurodevelopmental hypothesis of schizophrenia: following a trail of evidence from cradle to grave. Dev Psychopathol 12:501–527
- Rapoport J, Giedd J, Gogtay N (2012) Neurodevelopmental model of schizophrenia: update 2012. Molecular Psychiatry 17:1228–1232
- Bhugra D (2000) Migration and schizophrenia. Acta Psychiatr Scand 102:68–73
- Marcelis M, Navarro-Mateu F, Murray R, Selten J, van Os J (1998) Urbanization and psychosis: a study of 1942–1978 birth cohorts in The Netherlands. Psychol Med 28:871–879
- Cantor-Graae E, Selten J (2005) Schizophrenia and migration: a meta-analysis and review. Am J Psychiatry 162:12–24
- 13. van Os J, Kenis G, Rutten BPF (2010) he environment and schizophrenia. Nature 468:203-212
- 14. Varese F, Smeets F, Drukker M, Lieverse R, Lataster T, Viechtbauer W, Read J, van Os J, Bentall RP (2012) Childhood adversities increase the risk of psychosis: a meta-analysis of patient-control, prospective- and cross-sectional cohort studies. Schizophr Bull 38:1–11
- Lardinois M, Lataster T, Mengelers R, van Os J, Myin-Germeys I (2011) Childhood trauma and increased stress sensitivity in psychosis. Acta Psychiatr Scand 123:28–35
- Cannon TD, Mednick SA (1993) The Schizophrenia high-risk project in copenhagen: three decades of progress. Acta Psychiatr Scand 87:33–47

- de Sousa P, Varese F, Sellwood W, Bentall RP (2014) Parental communication and psychosis: a meta-analysis. Schizophr Bull 40:756–768
- Gumley A, Taylor H, Schwannauer M, Macbeth A (2014) A systematic review of attachment and psychosis: measurement, construct validity and outcomes. Acta Psychiatr Scand 129:257–274
- Wan MW, Abel KM, Green J (2008) The transmission of risk to children from mothers with schizophrenia: a developmental psychopathology model. Clin Psychol Rev 28:613–637
- Goodman S (1987) Emory University project on children of disturbed parents. Schizophr Bull 13:411–423
- Snellen M, Mack K, Trauer T (1999) Schizophrenia, mental state, and mother–infant interaction: examining the relationship. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 33:902–911
- 22. Sanderson S, Tatt I, Higgins J (2007) Tools for assessing quality and susceptability to bias in observational studies in epidemiology: a systematic review and annotated bibliography. Int J Epidemiol 36:666–676
- McNeil TF, Kaij L, Naslund B, Blennow G (1983) Offspring of women with nonorganic psychoses: development of a longitudinal study of children at high risk. Acta Psychiatr Scand 68:234–250
- Persson-Blennow I, Näslund B, McNeil T, Kaij L, Malmquist-Larsson A (1984) Offspring of women with nonorganic psychosis: mother–infant interaction at three days of age. Acta Psychiatr Scand 70:149–159
- 25. Näslund B, Persson-Blennow I, Mcneil T, Kaij L (1985) Offspring of women with nonorganic psychosis: mother–infant interaction at three and 6 weeks of age. Acta Psychiatr Scand 71:441–450
- Mcneil TF, Naslund B, Persson-Blennow I, Kaij L (1985) Offspring of women with nonorganic psychosis: mother–infant interaction at three-and-a-half and six months of age. Acta Psychiatr Scand 71:551–558
- Persson-Blennow I, Näslund B, McNeil T, Kaij L (1986) Offspring of women with nonorganic psychosis: mother–infant interaction at 1 year of age. Acta Psychiatr Scand 73:207–213
- Näslund B, Persson-Blennow I, McNeil T, Kaij L, Malmquist-Larsson A (1984) Offspring of women with nonorganic psychosis: infant attachment to the mother at 1 year of age. Acta Psychiatr Scand 69:231–241
- Persson-Blennow I, Binett B, Mcneil TF (1988) Offspring of women with nonorganic psychosis: antecedents of anxious attachment to the mother at 1 year of age. Acta Psychiatr Scand 13:66–71
- Näslund B, Persson-Blennow I, Mcneil T, Kaij L, Malmquist-Larsson A (1984) Offspring of women with nonorganic psychosis: fear of strangers during the first year of life. Acta Psychiatr Scand 69:435–444
- Näslund B, Persson-Blennow I, McNeil T, Kaij L, Malmquist-Larsson A (1984) Deviations on exploration, attachment, and fear of strangers in high-risk and control infants at 1 year of age. Am J Orthopsychiatry 54:569–577
- Persson-Blennow I, Binett B, McNeil TF (1988) Offspring of women with nonorganic psychosis: mother–infant interaction and fear of strangers during the first year of life. Acta Psychiatr Scand 78:379–383
- 33. McNeil T, Persson-Blennow I, Binett B, Harty B, Karyd U (1988) A prospective study of postpartum psychoses in a high-risk group. Acta Psychiatr Scand 78:613–617
- McNeil T, Kaij L (1987) Swedish High-Risk Study: sample Characteristics at Age 6. Schizophr Bull 13:373–381
- Sameroff A, Zax M (1987) Early indicators of developmental risk: rochester longitudinal study. Schizophr Bull 13:383–394

- 36. Aj Sameroff, Seifer R, Zax M, Garmezy N (1982) Early deveopment of children at risk for emotional disorder. Monogr Soc Res Child Dev 47:1–82
- Goodman SH, Brumley HE (1990) Schizophrenic and depressed mothers: relational deficits in parenting. Dev Psychol 26:31–39
- Ragins N, Schachter J, Elmer E, Preisman R, Bowes A, Harway V (1975) Infants and children at risk for schizophrenia: environmental and developmental observations. J Am Acad Child Psychiatry 14:150–177
- Schachter J, Elmer E, Ragins N, Wimberly F, Lachin J (1977) Assessment of mother–infant interaction: schizophrenic and nonschizophrenic mothers. Merill-Palmer Quarterly 23:193–206
- D'Angelo E (1986) Security of Attachment in Infants with Schizophrenic, Depressed, and Unaffected Mothers. J Genet Psychol 147:421–422
- Hipwell AE, Kumar R (1996) Maternal psychopathology and prediction of outcome based on mother-infant interaction ratings (BMIS). Br J Psychiatry 169:655–661
- Riordan D, Appleby L, Faragher B (1999) Mother–infant interaction in post-partum women with schizophrenia and affective disorders. Psychol Med 29:991–995
- Wan MW, Salmon MP, Riordan DM, Appleby L, Webb R, Abel KM (2007) "What predicts poor mother–infant interaction in schizophrenia?". Psychol Med 37:537–546
- 44. Wan MW, Warren K, Salmon MP, Abel KM (2008) Patterns of maternal responding in postpartum mothers with schizophrenia. Infant Behav Develop 31:532–538
- Wan MW, Penketh V, Salmon MP, Abel KM (2008) Content and style of speech from mothers with schizophrenia towards their infants. Psychiatry Res 159:109–114
- 46. Pawlby S, Fernyhough C, Meins C, Pariante CM, Seneviratne G, Bentall RP (2010) Mind-mindedness and maternal responsiveness in infant–mother interactions in mothers with severe mental illness. Psychol Med 40:1861–1869
- Kenny M, Conroy S, Pariante CM, Seneviratne G, Pawlby S (2013) Mother–infant interaction in mother and baby unit patients: before and after treatment. J Psychiatry Res 47:1192–1198
- Ainsworth M, Blehar M, Waters E, Wall S (1978) Patterns of Attachment. Psychological study of the strange situation. Lawrence Erlbaum Assoc, Hillsdale
- Crittenden P (2004) CARE-index: Manual. Family Relations Institute, Miami
- Clark R, Musick J, Stott S, Klehr K (1980): The Mothers Project Rating Scales of Mother-Child Interaction. Unpublished Manuscript
- 51. Stanley C, Murray L, Stein A (2004) The effect of postnatal depression on mother–infant interaction, infant response to the Still-Face perturbation, and performance on an instrumental Learning task. Dev Psychopathol 16:1–18
- Walker E, Mittal V, Tessner K (2008) Stress and the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis in the developmental course of schizophrenia. Annu Rev Clin Psychol 4:189–216
- Bowlby J (1969/1982): Attachment and loss Vol. 1: Attachment. London: The Hogarth Press
- 54. Bowlby J (1973): Attachment and loss Vol. 2: Separation, anger and anxiety. London: The Hogarth Press
- 55. Bowlby J (1980): Attachment and loss Vol. 3: Loss, sadness and depression. London: The Hogarth Press
- Main M, Goldwyn R, Hesse E (2003): Adult attachment scoring and classification system. Version 7.2. Unpulished manuscript. University of California at Berkeley
- 57. Fearon RP, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, van Ijzendoorn MH, Lapsley AM, Roisman GI (2010) The significance of insecure attachment and disorganization in the development of children's

externalizing behavior: a meta-analytic study. Child Dev 81:435-456

- Madigan S, Atkinson L, Laurin K, Benoit D (2013) 9: attachment and internalizing behavior in early childhood: a meta-analysis. Dev Psychol 49:672–689
- 59. Schore A (2003) Affect dysregulation and disorders of the self. Norton Series on Interpersonal Neurobiology, New York, p 403
- DeKlyen M, Greenberg M: Attachment and Psychopathology in Childhood. In Cassidy J, Shaver P (eds): Handbook of Attachment, 2nd ed. New York: Guilford Press, pp 637–665
- 61. Sroufe L, Egeland B, Carlson E, Collins W (2005) The Development of the Person. The Minnesota study of risk and adaptation from birth to adulthood. The Guilford Press, New York
- Carlson EA (1998) A Prospective Longitudinal Study of Attachment Disorganization/Disorientation. Child Dev 69:1107–1128
- Dozier M, Stovall-McClough K, Albus K (2008) Attachment and Psychopathology in Adulthood. In: Cassidy J, Shaver P (eds) Handbook of Attachment, 2nd edn. Guilford Press, New York, pp 718–744
- 64. Main M, Hesse E (1990) Parents' unresolved traumatic experiences are related to infant disorganized attachment status: is frightened and/or frightening parental behavior the linking mechanism? In: Greenberg M, Cichetti D, Cummings E (eds) Attachment in the preschooy years: Theory, research and intervention. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp 161–184
- 65. Madigan S, Hawkins E, Goldberg S, Benoit D (2006) Reduction of Disrupted Caregiver Behavior Using Modified interaction Guidance. Infant Mental Health J 27:509–527
- 66. Cassidy J, Mohr J (2001) Unsolvable fear, trauma, and psychopathology: theory, research, and clinical considerations related to disorganized attachment across the life span. Clin Psychol Sci Pract 8:275–298
- 67. Lyons-Ruth K, Jacobvitz D (2008) Attachment disorganization: genetic factors, parenting contexts, and developmental

transformation from infancy to adulthood. In: Cassidy J, Shaver P (eds) Handbook of Attachment, 2nd edn. Guilford Press, New York, pp 666–697

- Myin-Germeys I, van Os J (2007) Stress-reactivity in psychosis: evidence for an affective pathway to psychosis. Clin Psychol Rev 27:409–424
- Harder S, Jacobsen B, Koeppe S, Lunn S, Mathiesen BB, Vaever MS (2008): Sårbarhed: Diathese-stress modellen til diskussion. (Vulnerability. Discussion of the diathese-stress-model). Copenhagen: Hans Reitzels Forlag, pp 1–221
- 70. Meaney MJ (2001) Maternal care, gene expression, and the transmission of individual differences in stress reactivity across generations. Annu Rev Neurosci 24:1161–1192
- Walker EF, Brennan PA, Esterberg M, Brasfield J, Pearce B, Compton MT (2010) Longitudinal changes in cortisol secretion and conversion to psychosis in at-risk youth. J Abnorm Psychol 119:401–408
- 72. Luijk MPCM, Saridjan N, Tharner A, van Ijzendoorn MH, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, Jaddoe VWV, Hofman A, Verhulst FC, Tiemeier H (2010) Attachment, depression, and cortisol: deviant patterns in insecure-resistant and disorganized infants. Dev Psychobiol 52:441–452
- Crockett EE, Holmes BM, Granger D, Lyons-Ruth K (2013) Maternal disrupted communication during face-to-face interaction at 4 months: relation to maternal and infant cortisol among at-risk families. Infancy 18:1111–1134
- 74. Spangler G, Grossmann KE (1993) Biobehavioral organization in securely and insecurely attached infants. Child Dev 64:1439–1450
- 75. Hertsgaard L, Gunnar M, Erickson M, Nachmias M (1995) adrenocortical response to the strange situation in infants with disorganized/disoriented attachment relationships. Child Dev 66:1100–1106