

ON POMPEIU–ČEBYŠEV TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR POSITIVE LINEAR MAPS OF SELFADJOINT OPERATORS IN INNER PRODUCT SPACES

MOHAMMAD W. ALOMARI

ABSTRACT. In this work, generalizations of some inequalities for continuous h -synchronous (h -asynchronous) functions of linear bounded selfadjoint operators under positive linear maps in Hilbert spaces are proved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators defined on a complex Hilbert space $(H; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ with the identity operator 1_H in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a selfadjoint linear operator on $(H; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. Let $C(\text{sp}(A))$ be the set of all continuous functions defined on the spectrum of A ($\text{sp}(A)$) and let $C^*(A)$ be the C^* -algebra generated by A and the identity operator 1_H .

Let us define the map $\mathcal{G} : C(\text{sp}(A)) \rightarrow C^*(A)$ with the following properties ([5], p.3):

- (1) $\mathcal{G}(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha \mathcal{G}(f) + \beta \mathcal{G}(g)$, for all scalars α, β .
- (2) $\mathcal{G}(fg) = \mathcal{G}(f)\mathcal{G}(g)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\bar{f}) = \mathcal{G}(f)^*$; where \bar{f} denotes to the conjugate of f and $\mathcal{G}(f)^*$ denotes to the Hermitian of $\mathcal{G}(f)$.
- (3) $\|\mathcal{G}(f)\| = \|f\| = \sup_{t \in \text{sp}(A)} |f(t)|$.
- (4) $\mathcal{G}(f_0) = 1_H$ and $\mathcal{G}(f_1) = A$, where $f_0(t) = 1$ and $f_1(t) = t$ for all $t \in \text{sp}(A)$.

Accordingly, we define the continuous functional calculus for a selfadjoint operator A by

$$f(A) = \mathcal{G}(f) \text{ for all } f \in C(\text{sp}(A)).$$

If both f and g are real valued functions on $\text{sp}(A)$ then the following important property holds:

$$f(t) \geq g(t) \text{ for all } t \in \text{sp}(A) \text{ implies } f(A) \geq g(A), \quad (1.1)$$

in the operator order of $\mathcal{B}(H)$.

In [1] and formally in [2], the author of this paper generalized the concept of monotonicity as follows:

Date: Received: xxxxxx; Revised: yyyyyy; Accepted: zzzzzz.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A63; Secondary 47A99.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert space, Selfadjoint operators, h -Synchronization.



Definition 1.1. A real valued function f defined on $[a, b]$ is said to be increasing (decreasing) with respect to a positive function $h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ or simply h -increasing (h -decreasing) if and only if

$$h(x)f(t) - h(t)f(x) \geq (\leq) 0,$$

whenever $t \geq x$ for every $x, t \in [a, b]$. In special case if $h(x) = 1$ we refer to the original monotonicity. Accordingly, for $0 < a < b$ we say that f is t^r -increasing (t^r -decreasing) for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if

$$x \leq t \implies x^r f(t) - t^r f(x) \geq (\leq) 0$$

for every $x, t \in [a, b]$.

Example 1.2. Let $0 < a < b$ and define $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

- (1) $f(s) = 1$, then f is t^r -decreasing for all $r > 0$ and t^r -increasing for all $r < 0$.
- (2) $f(s) = s$, then f is t^r -decreasing for all $r > 1$ and t^r -increasing for all $r < 1$.
- (3) $f(s) = s^{-1}$, then f is t^r -decreasing for all $r > -1$ and t^r -increasing for all $r < -1$.

Remark 1.3. Every h -increasing function is increasing. The converse need not be true. For more details see [2].

The concept of synchronization has a wide range of usage in several areas of mathematics. Simply, two functions $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are called synchronous (asynchronous) if and only if the inequality

$$(f(t) - f(x))(g(t) - g(x)) \geq (\leq) 0,$$

holds for all $x, t \in [a, b]$.

In [2], Alomari generalized the concept of synchronization of functions of real variables. Indeed, we have

Definition 1.4. The real valued functions $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are called synchronous (asynchronous) with respect to a non-negative function $h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ or simply h -synchronous (h -asynchronous) if and only if

$$(h(y)f(x) - h(x)f(y))(h(y)g(x) - h(x)g(y)) \geq (\leq) 0 \quad (1.2)$$

for all $x, y \in [a, b]$.

In other words if both f and g are either h -increasing or h -decreasing then

$$(h(y)f(x) - h(x)f(y))(h(y)g(x) - h(x)g(y)) \geq 0.$$

While, if one of the function is h -increasing and the other is h -decreasing then

$$(h(y)f(x) - h(x)f(y))(h(y)g(x) - h(x)g(y)) \leq 0.$$

In special case if $h(x) = 1$ we refer to the original synchronization. Accordingly, for $0 < a < b$ we say that f and g are t^r -synchronous (t^r -asynchronous) for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if

$$(x^r f(t) - t^r f(x))(x^r g(t) - t^r g(x)) \geq (\leq) 0$$

for every $x, t \in [a, b]$.

Remark 1.5. In Definition (1.4), if $f = g$ then f and g are always h -synchronous regardless of h -monotonicity of f (or g). In other words, a function f is always h -synchronous with itself.

Example 1.6. Let $0 < a < b$ and define $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

- (1) $f(s) = 1 = g(s)$, then f and g are t^r -synchronous for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (2) $f(s) = 1$ and $g(s) = s$, then f is t^r -synchronous for all $r \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (1, \infty)$ and t^r -asynchronous for all $0 < r < 1$.
- (3) $f(s) = 1$ and $g(s) = s^{-1}$, then f is t^r -synchronous for all $r \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (0, \infty)$ and t^r -asynchronous for all $-1 < r < 0$.
- (4) $f(s) = s$ and $g(s) = s^{-1}$, then f is t^r -synchronous for all $r \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty)$ and t^r -asynchronous for all $-1 < r < 1$.

In [3], Dragomir studied the Čebyšev functional

$$C(f, g; A, x) := \langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle - \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \langle f(A)x, x \rangle, \quad (1.3)$$

for any selfadjoint operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

In [3], proved the following result concerning continuous synchronous (asynchronous) functions of selfadjoint linear operators in Hilbert spaces.

Theorem 1.7. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator with $\text{sp}(A) \subset [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for some real numbers γ, Γ with $\gamma < \Gamma$. If $f, g : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and synchronous (asynchronous) on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$, then*

$$\langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle \geq (\leq) \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \quad (1.4)$$

for any $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

In [2], Alomari generalized Theorem 1.7 for continuous h -synchronous (h -asynchronous) functions of selfadjoint linear operators in Hilbert spaces by introducing the Pompeiu-Čebyšev functional such as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(f, g, h; A, x) := & \langle h^2(A)x, x \rangle \langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle \\ & - \langle h(A)g(A)x, x \rangle \langle h(A)f(A)x, x \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

for $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$. This naturally, generalizes the Čebyšev functional (1.3).

Moreover, he proved the following essential result:

Theorem 1.8. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator with $\text{sp}(A) \subset [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for some real numbers γ, Γ with $\gamma < \Gamma$. Let $h : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a non-negative and continuous function. If $f, g : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and both f and g are h -synchronous (h -asynchronous) on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$, then*

$$\langle h^2(A)x, x \rangle \langle f(A)g(A)x, x \rangle \geq (\leq) \langle h(A)g(A)x, x \rangle \langle h(A)f(A)x, x \rangle \quad (1.6)$$

for any $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

For more related results, we refer the reader to [4], [6] and [7].

In this work, some inequalities for continuous h -synchronous (h -asynchronous) functions of linear bounded selfadjoint operators under positive linear maps in Hilbert spaces of the Pompeiu-Čebyšev functional (1.5) are proved. The proof Techniques are similar to that ones used in [4].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let us start with the following result regarding the positivity of $\mathcal{P}(f, g, h; A, x)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator with $\text{sp}(A) \subset [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for some real numbers γ, Γ with $\gamma < \Gamma$. Let $h : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a non-negative and continuous function. If $f, g : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and both f and g are h -synchronous (h -asynchronous) on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi(h^2(B))y, y \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi(f(A)g(A))x, x \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle \varphi(h^2(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(f(B)g(B))y, y \rangle \\ & \geq \langle \varphi(h(A)f(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(h(B)g(B))y, y \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle \varphi(h(A)g(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(h(B)f(B))y, y \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

for each $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi(h^2(A))y, y \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi(f(A)g(A))x, x \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle \varphi(h^2(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(f(A)g(A))y, y \rangle \\ & \geq (\leq) \langle \varphi(h(A)f(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(h(A)g(A))y, y \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle \varphi(h(A)g(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(h(A)f(A))y, y \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for each $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

Proof. Since f and g are h -synchronous then

$$(h(s)f(t) - h(t)f(s))(h(s)g(t) - h(t)g(s)) \geq 0,$$

and this is allow us to write

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2(s)f(t)g(t) + h^2(t)f(s)g(s) \\ & \geq h(s)h(t)f(t)g(s) + h(s)h(t)g(t)f(s) \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for all $t, s \in [a, b]$. We fix $s \in [a, b]$ and apply the functional calculus; property (1.1) for inequality (2.3) for the operator A , then we have for each $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$, that

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2(s)1_H \cdot f(A)g(A) + h^2(A) \cdot f(s)g(s)1_H \\ & \geq h(A)f(A) \cdot h(s)g(s)1_H + h(A)g(A) \cdot h(s)f(s)1_H, \end{aligned}$$

and since φ is normalized positive linear map we get

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2(s)1_H \cdot \varphi(f(A)g(A)) + \varphi(h^2(A)) \cdot f(s)g(s)1_H \\ & \geq \varphi(h(A)f(A)) \cdot h(s)g(s)1_H + \varphi(h(A)g(A)) \cdot h(s)f(s)1_H, \end{aligned}$$

and this is equivalent to write

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2(s)1_H \cdot \langle \varphi(f(A)g(A))x, x \rangle + \langle \varphi(h^2(A))x, x \rangle \cdot f(s)g(s)1_H \\ & \geq \langle \varphi(h(A)f(A))x, x \rangle \cdot h(s)g(s)1_H + \langle \varphi(h(A)g(A))x, x \rangle \cdot h(s)f(s)1_H, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Applying property (1.1) again for inequality (2.4) but for the operator B , then we have for each $y \in H$ with $\|y\| = 1$, that

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2(B) \cdot \langle \varphi(f(A)g(A))x, x \rangle + \langle \varphi(h^2(A))x, x \rangle \cdot f(B)g(B) \\ & \geq \langle \varphi(h(A)f(A))x, x \rangle \cdot h(B)g(B) + \langle \varphi(h(A)g(A))x, x \rangle \cdot h(B)f(B), \end{aligned}$$

and since ϕ is normalized positive linear map we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi(h^2(B))y, y \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi(f(A)g(A))x, x \rangle + \langle \varphi(h^2(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(f(B)g(B))y, y \rangle \\ & \geq \langle \varphi(h(A)f(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(h(B)g(B))y, y \rangle + \langle \varphi(h(A)g(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(h(B)f(B))y, y \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for each $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$, which gives the required results in (2.1). To obtain (2.2) we set $B = A$ in (2.1). The revers case follows trivially, and this completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator with $\text{sp}(A) \subset [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for some real numbers γ, Γ with $\gamma < \Gamma$. Let $h : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a non-negative and continuous function. If $f, g : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and both f and g are synchronous (asynchronous) on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \varphi(f(A)g(A))x, x \rangle + \langle \phi(f(B)g(B))y, y \rangle \\ & \geq (\leq) \langle \varphi(f(A))x, x \rangle \langle \phi(g(B))y, y \rangle + \langle \varphi(g(A))x, x \rangle \langle \phi(f(B))y, y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for each $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$. In special case, the following Čebyšev inequality for positive linear maps of selfadjoint operator is valid

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \varphi(f(A)g(A))x, x \rangle + \langle \varphi(f(A)g(A))x, x \rangle \\ & \geq (\leq) \langle \varphi(f(A))x, x \rangle \langle \varphi(g(A))x, x \rangle + \langle \varphi(g(A))x, x \rangle \langle \varphi(f(A))x, x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

Proof. Setting $h(t) = 1$ in both (2.1) and (2.2). Also, in (2.2) take $\phi = \varphi$, $B = A$ and $y = x$. \square

Remark 2.3. Setting $\phi = \varphi$, $B = A$ and $y = x$ in (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \varphi(h^2(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi(f(A)g(A))x, x \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle \varphi(h^2(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi(f(A)g(A))x, x \rangle \\ & \geq (\leq) \langle \varphi(h(A)f(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi(h(A)g(A))x, x \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle \varphi(h(A)g(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi(h(A)f(A))x, x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

The following generalization of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality holds.

Corollary 2.4. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator with $\text{sp}(A) \subset [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for some real numbers γ, Γ with $\gamma < \Gamma$. Let $h : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a non-negative and continuous*

function. If $f : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and h -synchronous on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi (h^2 (B)) y, y \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi (f^2 (A)) x, x \rangle + \langle \varphi (h^2 (A)) x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi (f^2 (B)) y, y \rangle \\ & \geq 2 \langle \varphi (h (A) f (A)) x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi (h (B) f (B)) y, y \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for each $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$. In particular, we have

$$\langle \varphi (h^2 (A)) x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi (f^2 (A)) x, x \rangle \geq \langle \varphi (h (A) f (A)) x, x \rangle^2 \quad (2.6)$$

for each $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

Proof. Setting $f = g$ in both (2.1) and (2.2). Also, in (2.2) take $\phi = \varphi$, $B = A$ and $y = x$, so that the desired results hold. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator with $\text{sp}(A) \subset [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for some real numbers γ, Γ with $0 < \gamma < \Gamma$. If $f, g : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and t -synchronous (t -asynchronous) on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi (B^2) y, y \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi (f (A) g (A)) x, x \rangle + \langle \varphi (A^2) x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi (f (B) g (B)) y, y \rangle \\ & \geq (\leq) \langle \varphi (A f (A)) x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi (B g (B)) y, y \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle \varphi (A g (A)) x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi (B f (B)) y, y \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for each $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$.

Proof. Setting $h(t) = t$ in (2.1) we get the desired result. \square

Before we state our next remark, we interested to give the following example.

Example 2.6. (1) If $f(s) = s^p$ and $g(s) = s^q$ ($s > 0$), then f and g are t^r -synchronous for all $p, q > r > 0$ and t^r -asynchronous for all $p > r > q > 0$.
(2) If $f(s) = s^p$ and $g(s) = \log(s)$ ($s > 1$), then f is t^r -synchronous for all $p < r < 0$ and t^r -asynchronous for all $r < p < 0$.
(3) If $f(s) = \exp(s) = g(s)$, then f is t^r -synchronous for all for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.7. Using Example 2.6 we can observe the following special cases:

(1) If $f(s) = s^p$ and $g(s) = s^q$ ($s > 0$), then f and g are t^r -synchronous for all $p, q > r > 0$, so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi (B^{2r}) y, y \rangle \langle \varphi (A^{p+q}) x, x \rangle + \langle \varphi (A^{2r}) x, x \rangle \langle \phi (B^{p+q}) y, y \rangle \\ & \geq \langle \varphi (B^{q+r}) y, y \rangle \langle \phi (A^{p+r}) x, x \rangle + \langle \varphi (A^{q+r}) x, x \rangle \langle \phi (B^{p+r}) y, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If $p > r > q > 0$, then f and g are t^r -asynchronous and thus the reverse inequality holds.

(2) If $f(s) = s^p$ and $g(s) = \log s$ ($s > 1$), then f and g are t^r -synchronous for all $p < r < 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi (B^{2r}) y, y \rangle \langle \varphi (A^p \log (A)) x, x \rangle + \langle \varphi (A^{2r}) x, x \rangle \langle \phi (B^p \log (B)) y, y \rangle \\ & \geq \langle \varphi (B^r \log (B)) y, y \rangle \langle \phi (A^{p+r}) x, x \rangle + \langle \varphi (A \log (A)) x, x \rangle \langle \phi (B^{p+r}) y, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If $r < p < 0$, then f and g are t^r -asynchronous and thus the reverse inequality holds.

- (3) If $f(s) = \exp(s) = g(s)$, then f and g are t^r -synchronous for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(B^{2r})y, y \rangle \langle \varphi(\exp(2A))x, x \rangle + \langle \varphi(A^{2r})x, x \rangle \langle \phi(\exp(2B))y, y \rangle \\ \geq 2 \langle \varphi(A^r \exp(A))x, x \rangle \langle \phi(B^r \exp(B))y, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by choosing an appropriate function h such that the assumptions in Remark 2.7 are fulfilled then one may generate family of inequalities from (2.1).

Corollary 2.8. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator with $\text{sp}(A) \subset [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for some real numbers γ, Γ with $0 < \gamma < \Gamma$. If $f : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and f is t -synchronous on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$, then*

$$\langle \varphi(A^2)x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi(f^2(A))x, x \rangle \geq \langle \varphi(Af(A))x, x \rangle^2 \quad (2.8)$$

for each $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

Proof. Setting $f = g$, $\phi = \varphi$, $B = A$ and $y = x$ in Corollary 2.5 we get the desired result. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator with $\text{sp}(A) \subset [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for some real numbers γ, Γ with $\gamma < \Gamma$. Let $h : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative continuous. If $f : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and h -synchronous, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(h^2(B))y, y \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi(f(A))x, x \rangle + \langle \varphi(h^2(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(f(B))y, y \rangle \\ \geq \langle \varphi(h(A)f(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(h(B))y, y \rangle \\ + \langle \varphi(h(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(h(B)f(B))y, y \rangle \quad (2.9) \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(h^2(A^{-1}))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi(f(A))x, x \rangle + \langle \varphi(h^2(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(f(A^{-1}))x, x \rangle \\ \geq \langle \varphi(h(A)f(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(h(A^{-1}))x, x \rangle \\ + \langle \varphi(h(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(h(A^{-1})f(A^{-1}))x, x \rangle \quad (2.10) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Setting $g = 1$ in (2.1) we get the first inequality (2.9). The second inequality holds by setting $B = A^{-1}$ and $y = x$ in (2.9). \square

Theorem 2.10. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator with $\text{sp}(A) \subset [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for some real numbers γ, Γ with $\gamma < \Gamma$. Let $h : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative continuous. If $f, g : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and both f and g are h -synchronous (h -asynchronous) on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(h^2(B))y, y \rangle \cdot f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ + h^2(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \cdot \langle \phi(f(B)g(B))y, y \rangle \\ \geq (\leq) \langle \phi(h(B)g(B))y, y \rangle f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ + \langle \phi(f(B)h(B))y, y \rangle h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \quad (2.11) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in K$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$.

Proof. Since $\gamma 1_H \leq \langle Ax, x \rangle \leq \Gamma 1_H$ then by employing φ , we get $\gamma 1_K \leq \varphi(A) \leq \Gamma 1_K$. So that $\gamma \leq \langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle \leq \Gamma$ for any $x \in K$ with $\|x\| = 1$. Since f, g are synchronous

$$\begin{aligned} & [(h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) f(t) - h(t) f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle))] \\ & \quad \times [h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(t) - h(t) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle)] \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

for any $t \in [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for any $x \in K$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

Simplyfying the terms we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2(t) f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad + h^2(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \cdot f(t) g(t) \\ & \geq h(t) g(t) f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad + f(t) h(t) h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Fix $x \in K$ with $\|x\| = 1$. By utilizing the continuous functional calculus for the operator B we have by the property (1.1) for inequality (2.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2(B) f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad + h^2(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \cdot f(B) g(B) \\ & \geq h(B) g(B) f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad + f(B) h(B) h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Taking the map ϕ in the inequality (2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(h^2(B)) f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad + h^2(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \cdot \phi(f(B) g(B)) \\ & \geq \phi(h(B) g(B)) f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad + \phi(f(B) h(B)) h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

for any bounded linear operator B with $\text{sp}(B) \subseteq [\gamma, \Gamma]$ and $y \in H$ with $\|y\| = 1$.

So that we can write (2.15) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi(h^2(B)) y, y \rangle f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad + h^2(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \cdot \langle \phi(f(B) g(B)) y, y \rangle \\ & \geq \langle \phi(h(B) g(B)) y, y \rangle f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad + \langle \phi(f(B) h(B)) y, y \rangle h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

for each $x, y \in K$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$, which proves the inequality in (2.11). The reverse sense follows similarly, and the proof is completed. \square

Remark 2.11. Taking $\phi = \varphi$ in (2.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \varphi (h^2 (B)) y, y \rangle \cdot f (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) g (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad + h^2 (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) \cdot \langle \varphi (f (B) g (B)) y, y \rangle \cdot \\ & \geq (\leq) \langle \varphi (h (B) g (B)) y, y \rangle f (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) h (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad + \langle \varphi (f (B) h (B)) y, y \rangle h (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) g (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Also, by setting $B = A$ in (2.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi (h^2 (A)) y, y \rangle \cdot f (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) g (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad + h^2 (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) \cdot \langle \phi (f (A) g (A)) y, y \rangle \\ & \geq (\leq) \langle \phi (h (A) g (A)) y, y \rangle f (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) h (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad + \langle \phi (f (A) h (A)) y, y \rangle h (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) g (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.12. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator with $\text{sp}(A) \subset [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for some real numbers γ, Γ with $\gamma < \Gamma$. Let $h : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative continuous. If $f : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and h -synchronous on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi (h^2 (B)) y, y \rangle \cdot f^2 (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) + \langle \phi (f^2 (B)) y, y \rangle \cdot h^2 (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) \\ & \geq (\leq) 2 \langle \phi (h (B) f (B)) y, y \rangle f (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) h (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) \quad (2.16) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in K$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \varphi (h^2 (B)) y, y \rangle \cdot f^2 (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) + \langle \varphi (f^2 (B)) y, y \rangle \cdot h^2 (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) \\ & \geq (\leq) 2 \langle \varphi (h (B) f (B)) y, y \rangle f (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) h (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi (h^2 (A)) y, y \rangle \cdot f^2 (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) + \langle \phi (f^2 (A)) y, y \rangle \cdot h^2 (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) \\ & \geq (\leq) 2 \langle \phi (h (A) f (A)) y, y \rangle f (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) h (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in K$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$.

Proof. Setting $f = g$ in (2.11), respectively, we get the required results. \square

Corollary 2.13. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator with $\text{sp}(A) \subset [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for some real numbers γ, Γ with $0 < \gamma < \Gamma$. If $f : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and t -synchronous on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi (B^2) y, y \rangle \cdot f^2 (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) + \langle \phi (f^2 (B)) y, y \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle^2 \\ & \geq (\leq) 2 \langle \phi (B f (B)) y, y \rangle f (\langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle) \langle \varphi (A) x, x \rangle \quad (2.17) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

Proof. Setting $h(t) = t$ in (2.16), respectively, we get the required results. \square

Theorem 2.14. *Let A be a selfadjoint operator with $\text{sp}(A) \subset [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for some real numbers γ, Γ with $\gamma < \Gamma$. Let $h : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a positive function on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$. If*

$f, g : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ are both positive, convex and h -synchronous on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \langle f(B)y, y \rangle \cdot \langle g(B)y, y \rangle + h^2(\langle By, y \rangle) \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \cdot \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \\ & \geq h(\langle Ax, x \rangle) h(\langle By, y \rangle) [f(\langle By, y \rangle) g(\langle Ax, x \rangle) + f(\langle Ax, x \rangle) g(\langle By, y \rangle)] \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

for any $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$.

Proof. Since f, g are h -synchronous and $\gamma \leq \langle Ax, x \rangle \leq \Gamma$, $\gamma \leq \langle By, y \rangle \leq \Gamma$ for any $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (h(\langle Ax, x \rangle) f(\langle By, y \rangle) - h(\langle By, y \rangle) f(\langle Ax, x \rangle)) \\ & \quad \times (h(\langle Ax, x \rangle) g(\langle By, y \rangle) - h(\langle By, y \rangle) g(\langle Ax, x \rangle)) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

for any $t \in [a, b]$ for any $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

Employing property (1.1) for inequality (2.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2(\langle Ax, x \rangle) f(\langle By, y \rangle) g(\langle By, y \rangle) \\ & \quad + h^2(\langle By, y \rangle) f(\langle Ax, x \rangle) g(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \\ & \quad - h(\langle Ax, x \rangle) h(\langle By, y \rangle) f(\langle By, y \rangle) g(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \\ & \quad - h(\langle By, y \rangle) h(\langle Ax, x \rangle) f(\langle Ax, x \rangle) g(\langle By, y \rangle) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

for any bounded linear operator B with $\text{sp}(B) \subseteq [\gamma, \Gamma]$ and $y \in H$ with $\|y\| = 1$.

Now, since f and g are convex then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \langle f(B)y, y \rangle \cdot \langle g(B)y, y \rangle + h^2(\langle By, y \rangle) \langle f(A)x, x \rangle \cdot \langle g(A)x, x \rangle \\ & \geq h^2(\langle Ax, x \rangle) f(\langle By, y \rangle) \cdot g(\langle By, y \rangle) + h^2(\langle By, y \rangle) f(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \cdot g(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \\ & \geq h(\langle Ax, x \rangle) h(\langle By, y \rangle) [f(\langle By, y \rangle) g(\langle Ax, x \rangle) + f(\langle Ax, x \rangle) g(\langle By, y \rangle)] \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

for each $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$. Setting $B = A^{-1}$ and $y = x$ in (2.21) we get the required result in (2.18). The reverse sense follows similarly. \square

Theorem 2.15. Let A be a selfadjoint operator with $\text{sp}(A) \subset [\gamma, \Gamma]$ for some real numbers γ, Γ with $\gamma < \Gamma$. Let $h : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a positive function on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$. If $f, g : [\gamma, \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ are both positive, convex and h -synchronous on $[\gamma, \Gamma]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \langle \phi(f(B))y, y \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(g(B))y, y \rangle \\ & \quad + h^2(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) \langle \varphi(f(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi(g(A))x, x \rangle \\ & \geq h^2(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) f(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) \cdot g(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) \\ & \quad + h^2(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \cdot g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ & \geq h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) h(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) \times [f(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad + f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle)] \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

for any $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$.

Proof. Since $\gamma \cdot 1_H \leq A, B \leq \Gamma \cdot 1_H$ then $\gamma \cdot 1_K \leq \varphi(A) \leq \Gamma \cdot 1_K$ and $\gamma \cdot 1_K \leq \phi(B) \leq \Gamma \cdot 1_K$. So that for any $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$, we have $\gamma \leq \langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle \leq \Gamma$

and $\gamma \leq \langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle \leq \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} & (h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) f(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) - h(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle)) \\ & \times (h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) - h(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle)) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

for any $t \in [a, b]$ for any $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$.

Employing property (1.1) for inequality (2.23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) f(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) g(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) \\ & \quad + h^2(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad - h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) h(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) f(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad - h(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Now, since f and g are positive convex functions then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h^2(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \langle \phi(f(B))y, y \rangle \cdot \langle \phi(g(B))y, y \rangle \\ & \quad + h^2(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) \langle \varphi(f(A))x, x \rangle \cdot \langle \varphi(g(A))x, x \rangle \\ & \geq h^2(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) f(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) \cdot g(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) \\ & \quad + h^2(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \cdot g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ & \geq h(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) h(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) \times [f(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle) g(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) \\ & \quad + f(\langle \varphi(A)x, x \rangle) g(\langle \phi(B)y, y \rangle)] \end{aligned}$$

for each $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$, which proves the required result in (2.22). The reverse sense follows similarly. \square

REFERENCES

1. M.W. Alomari, *On Pompeiu–Chebyshev functional and its generalization*, Preprint (2017). Available at arXiv:1706.06250v2
2. M.W. Alomari, *Pompeiu–Čebyšev type inequalities for selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces*, Adv. Oper. Theory, **3** no. 3 (2018), 9–22.
3. S.S. Dragomir, *Čebyšev’s type inequalities for functions of selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **58** no 7–8 (2010), 805–814.
4. S.S. Dragomir, *Operator inequalities of the Jensen, Čebyšev and Grüss type*, Springer, New York, 2012.
5. T. Furuta, J. Mičić, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond–Pečarić method in operator inequalities. Inequalities for bounded selfadjoint operators on a Hilbert space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
6. M.S. Moslehian and M. Bakherad, *Chebyshev type inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **420** (2014), no. 1, 737–749.
7. J.S. Matharu and M.S. Moslehian, *Grüss inequality for some types of positive linear maps*, J. Operator Theory **73** (2015), no. 1, 265–278.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY,
IRBID NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 2600, IRBID, P.C. 21110, JORDAN.

Email address: mwomath@gmail.com