

## Some Remarks on Multidimensional Fixed Point Theorems in Partially Ordered Metric Spaces

Sumitra Dalal

College of Science, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia  
mathsqueen\_d@yahoo.com

Liaqat Ali Khan

Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia  
lkhan@kau.edu.sa

Ibtisam Masmali

College of Science, Jazan University, K.S.A  
ibtisam234@gmail.com

Stojan Radenovic

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Kraljice Marije 16, 11120 Beograd, Serbia  
radens@beotel.net

### Abstract

In this paper, we show that multidimensional (coupled, tripled, quadrupled, n-tupled) theorems can be reduced to unidimensional fixed point theorems. Our results generalize, extend and improve the coupled fixed point results of Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol.65, no.7, 2006, pp. 1379-1393, V. Lakshmikantham and L. Ćirić, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Method and Applications*, vol. 70, no12, 2009, pp. 4341-4349, tripled fixed point results by Berinde and Borcut, *Nonlinear Analysis, Volume 74, Issue 15, October 2011, Pages 4889-4897*, Quadruple fixed point theorems by E. Karapınar and V. Berinde, *Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 74–89, 2012 and multidimensional fixed point results by Muzeyyen Erturk and Vatan Karakaya, *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2013, 2013:196, pp. 1-19, M. Imdad, A. H. Soliman, B. S. Choudhary and P. Das, *Journal of Operators*, Volume 2013, Article ID 532867, pp. 1-8 and M. Paknazar, M. E. Gordji, M. D. L. Sen and S. M. Vaezpour, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013, **2013**:11 etc.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54H25; 47H10; 54E50.

**Keywords:** Fixed points; coupled fixed point; tripled fixed point; quadrupled fixed point; n-tupled coincidence and fixed points; mixed-monotone property and partially ordered metric spaces.

---

# Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

**Journal:** Journal of Advances in Mathematics

Vol 7, No. 1

[editor@cirworld.com](mailto:editor@cirworld.com)

[www.cirworld.com](http://www.cirworld.com), [member.cirworld.com](http://member.cirworld.com)



## 1. Introduction:

Fixed point theory is a very useful tool in solving a variety of problems in control theory, economic theory, nonlinear analysis and global analysis. The Banach contraction principle is the most famous, simplest and one of the most versatile elementary results in fixed point theory. A huge amount of literature is witnessed on applications, generalizations and extensions of this principle carried out by several authors in different directions, e.g., by weakening the hypothesis, using different setups, considering different mappings.

In [20], Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham introduced the notions of mixed monotone property and coupled fixed point for the contractive mapping  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ , where  $X$  is a partially ordered metric space and proved some coupled fixed point theorems for a mixed monotone operator. As an application of the coupled fixed point theorems, they determined the existence and uniqueness of the solution of a periodic boundary value problems. It is very natural to extend the definition of 2-dimensional fixed point (coupled fixed point), 3-dimensional fixed point (tripled fixed point) and so on to multidimensional fixed point ( $n$ -tuple fixed point). Recently, Berinde and Borcut [7] and E.Karapinar et.al [5] introduced the concept of tripled and quadrupled fixed points respectively and proved some related theorems (see also [2,26,1,5]). The last remarkable result of this trend was given by M.Imdad et al. [16] by introducing the notion of multidimensional fixed points.(see also[27,18,24,14,4].

In this paper, we have developed a method of reducing coupled, tripled and multidimensional results in partially ordered metric spaces to respective results with one variable, even obtaining more general theorems. Our results generalize, extend, unify and extend results of [2,3,7,8,18,19].

## 2. Defintions and preliminaries:

We consider the following definitions and results which shall be required in the sequel.

**Definition 2.1 [6]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$  then  $F$  enjoys the mixed monotone property if  $F(x, y)$  is monotonically non-decreasing in  $x$  and monotonically non-increasing in  $y$ , that is, for any  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \leq x_2 \Rightarrow F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y) \text{ and } y_1, y_2 \in X, y_1 \leq y_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \geq F(x, y_2).$$

**Definition 2.2 [6]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ , then  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called a coupled fixed point of the mapping  $F$  if  $F(x, y) = x$  and  $F(y, x) = y$ .

**Definition 2.3 [6]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  then  $F$  enjoys the mixed  $g$ -monotone property if  $F(x, y)$  is monotonically  $g$ -non-decreasing in  $x$  and monotonically  $g$ -non-increasing in  $y$ , that is ,for any  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, g(x_1) \leq g(x_2) \Rightarrow F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y), \text{ for any } y \in X,$$

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, g(y_1) \leq g(y_2) \Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \geq F(x, y_2), \text{ for any } x \in X.$$

**Definition 2.4 [6]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$ , then  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called a coupled coincidence point of the maps  $F$  and  $g$  if  $F(x, y) = gx$  and  $F(y, x) = gy$ .

**Definition 2.5 [6]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set, then  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called a coupled fixed point of the maps  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g : X \rightarrow X$  if  $gx = F(x, y) = x$  and  $gy = F(y, x) = y$ .

Berimde and Borcut [2] studied tripled coincidence points as follows.

**Definition 2.6 [7].** Let  $F: X^3 \rightarrow X$  be a given map, we say that  $(x, y, z) \in X^3$  is a tripled fixed point of  $F$  if

$$F(x, y, z) = x, F(y, x, y) = y \text{ and } F(z, x, y) = z.$$

**Definition 2.7 [7]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: X^3 \rightarrow X$ . We say that  $F$  has the mixed monotone property if  $F(x, y, z)$  is monotone non-decreasing in  $x$  and  $z$ , and it is monotone non-increasing in  $y$ , that is , for any  $x, y, z \in X$

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \leq x_2 \Rightarrow F(x_1, y, z) \leq F(x_2, y, z),$$

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, y_1 \leq y_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y_1, z) \geq F(x, y_2, z) \text{ and}$$

$$z_1, z_2 \in X, z_1 \leq z_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y, z_1) \leq F(x, y, z_2).$$

Karpinar and Loung [5] studied the quadruple case as follows:

**Definition 2.8 [19]** An element  $(x, y, z, w) \in X^4$  is called a quadruple fixed point of  $F: X^4 \rightarrow X$  if  $F(x, y, z, w) = x$ ,  $F(y, z, w, x) = y$ ,  $F(z, w, x, y) = z$  and  $F(w, x, y, z) = w$ .

**Definition 2.9 [19]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: X^4 \rightarrow X$ . We say that  $F$  has the mixed monotone property if  $F(x, y, z, w)$  is monotone non-decreasing in  $x$  and  $z$ , and it is monotone non-increasing in  $y$  and  $w$ , that is , for any  $x, y, z, w \in X$

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \leq x_2 \Rightarrow F(x_1, y, z, w) \leq F(x_2, y, z, w),$$



$$y_1, y_2 \in X, y_1 \leq y_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y_1, z, w) \geq F(x, y_2, z, w),$$

$$z_1, z_2 \in X, z_1 \leq z_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y, z_1, w) \leq F(x, y, z_2, w) \text{ and}$$

$$w_1, w_2 \in X, w_1 \leq w_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y, z, w_1) \geq F(x, y, z, w_2).$$

**Definition 2.10 [19]** An element  $(x, y, z, w) \in X^4$  is called a quadruple fixed point of  $F: X^4 \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  if

$$F(x, y, z, w) = gx = x, F(y, z, w, x) = gy = y, F(z, w, x, y) = gz = z \text{ and } F(w, x, y, z) = gw = w.$$

**Definition 2.11 [19]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: X^4 \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  be two maps. We say that  $F$  has the mixed monotone property if  $F(x, y, z, w)$  is monotone non-decreasing in  $x$  and  $z$ , and it is monotone non-increasing in  $y$  and  $w$ , that is, for any  $x, y, z, w \in X$

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, gx_1 \leq gx_2 \Rightarrow F(x_1, y, z, w) \leq F(x_2, y, z, w),$$

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, gy_1 \leq gy_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y_1, z, w) \geq F(x, y_2, z, w),$$

$$z_1, z_2 \in X, gz_1 \leq gz_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y, z_1, w) \leq F(x, y, z_2, w) \text{ and}$$

$$w_1, w_2 \in X, gw_1 \leq gw_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y, z, w_1) \geq F(x, y, z, w_2).$$

M.Imdad et.al [12] introduced the notion of  $n$ -tupled coincidence and  $n$ -tupled fixed point (assuming  $n$  as even natural number) as follows:

**Definition 2.12 [14]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  then  $F$  is said to have the mixed monotone property if  $F$  is non-decreasing in its odd position arguments and non-increasing in its even positions arguments, that is, if,

$$(i) \quad \text{For all } x_1^1, x_2^1 \in X, x_1^1 \leq x_2^1 \Rightarrow F(x_1^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) \leq F(x_2^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r),$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{For all } x_1^2, x_2^2 \in X, x_1^2 \leq x_2^2 \Rightarrow F(x^1, x_1^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) \geq F(x^1, x_2^2, x^3, \dots, x^r),$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{For all } x_1^3, x_2^3 \in X, x_1^3 \leq x_2^3 \Rightarrow F(x^1, x^2, x_1^3, x^4, \dots, x^r) \leq F(x^1, x^2, x_2^3, x^4, \dots, x^r),$$

...

$$\text{For all } x_1^r, x_2^r \in X, x_1^r \leq x_2^r \Rightarrow F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x_1^r) \geq F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x_2^r).$$

**Definition 2.13 [14]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  be two maps. Then  $F$  is said to have the mixed  $g$ -monotone property if  $F$  is  $g$ -non-decreasing in its odd position arguments and  $g$ -non-increasing in its even positions arguments, that is, if,

$$(i) \quad \text{For all } x_1^1, x_2^1 \in X, gx_1^1 \leq gx_2^1 \Rightarrow F(x_1^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) \leq F(x_2^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r),$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{For all } x_1^2, x_2^2 \in X, gx_1^2 \leq gx_2^2 \Rightarrow F(x^1, x_1^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) \geq F(x^1, x_2^2, x^3, \dots, x^r),$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{For all } x_1^3, x_2^3 \in X, gx_1^3 \leq gx_2^3 \Rightarrow F(x^1, x^2, x_1^3, \dots, x^r) \leq F(x^1, x^2, x_2^3, \dots, x^r),$$

...

$$\text{For all } x_1^r, x_2^r \in X, gx_1^r \leq gx_2^r \Rightarrow F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x_1^r) \geq F(x^1, x^2, \dots, x_2^r).$$

**Definition 2.14 [14]** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. An element  $(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) \in \prod_{i=1}^r X^i$  is called an  $r$ -tupled fixed point of the mapping  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  if

$$x^1 = F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r),$$

$$x^2 = F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1),$$

$$x^3 = F(x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1, x^2),$$

...

$$x^r = F(x^r, x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{r-1}).$$

**Definition 2.15 [14]** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. An element  $(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r) \in \prod_{i=1}^r X^i$  is called an  $r$ -tupled coincidence point of the maps  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  if

$$gx^1 = F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r),$$



$$gx^2 = F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1),$$

$$gx^3 = F(x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1, x^2),$$

$$\dots$$

$$gx^r = F(x^r, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^{r-1}).$$

**Definition 2.16 [14]** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. An element  $(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, \dots, x^r) \in \prod_{i=1}^r X^i$  is called an  $r$ -tupled fixed point of the maps  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  if

$$x^1 = gx^1 = F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r),$$

$$x^2 = gx^2 = F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1)$$

...

$$x^r = gx^r = F(x^r, x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{r-1}).$$

G.Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham [26] proved the following:

**Theorem 1 [6]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set equipped with a metric  $d$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Assume that there is a function  $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\varphi(t) < t$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(t) < t$  for each  $t > 0$ . Further let  $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  be two maps such that  $F$  has the mixed  $g$ -monotone property satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$ ,
- (2)  $g$  is continuous and monotonically increasing,
- (3) the pair  $(g, F)$  is commuting,
- (4)  $d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{d((g(x), g(u)) + d((g(y), g(v))))}{2}\right)$ ,

for all  $x, y, u, v \in X$ , with  $g(x) \leq g(u)$ , and  $g(y) \geq g(v)$ . Also, suppose that either

- (a)  $F$  is continuous or
- (b)  $X$  has the following properties:
  - (i) If a non-decreasing sequence  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$  then  $x_n \leq x$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .
  - (ii) If a non-increasing sequence  $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$  then  $y \leq y_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

If there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in X$  such that

$$(5) \quad g(x_0) \leq F(x_0, y_0), g(x_0) \geq F(x_0, y_0).$$

Then  $F$  and  $g$  have a coupled coincidence point, i. e there exist  $x, y \in X$  such that

$$g(x) = F(x, y), g(y) = F(y, x).$$

Many generalizations and extensions of Theorem 1 exist in the literature, see [1-9,13,17,19-23,25,28]. Recently, M. Imdad et. al [14] introduced the concept of  $n$ -tupled fixed point and established fixed point results for mappings having a mixed monotone property and satisfying a contractive condition in ordered metric spaces.

M. Imdad et. al [14] proved the following:

**Theorem 2 [14]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set equipped with a metric  $d$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Assume that there is a function  $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\varphi(t) < t$  and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(t) < t$  for each  $t > 0$ . Further let  $F: \prod_{i=1}^r X^i \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  be two maps such that  $F$  has the mixed  $g$ -monotone property satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $F(\prod_{i=1}^r X^i) \subseteq g(X)$ ,
- (2)  $g$  is continuous and monotonically increasing,
- (3) the pair  $(g, F)$  is commuting,
- (4)  $d(F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r), F(y^1, y^2, y^3, \dots, y^r)) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{1}{r} \sum_{n=1}^r d(g(x^n), g(y^n))\right)$ ,

for all  $x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, y^1, y^2, y^3, \dots, y^r \in X$ , with  $gx^1 \leq gy^1, gx^2 \geq gy^2, gx^3 \leq gy^3, \dots, gx^r \geq gy^r$ . Also, suppose that either

- (c)  $F$  is continuous or



(d)  $X$  has the following properties:

- (i) If a non-decreasing sequence  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$  then  $x_n \leq x$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .  
(ii) If a non-increasing sequence  $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$  then  $y \leq y_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

If there exist  $x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r \in X$  such that

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} gx_0^1 &\leq F(x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r), \\ gx_0^2 &\geq F(x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r, x_0^1), \\ gx_0^3 &\leq F(x_0^3, \dots, x_0^r, x_0^1, x_0^2), \\ &\dots \\ gx_0^r &\geq F(x_0^r, x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3, \dots, x_0^{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $F$  and  $g$  have a  $r$ -tupled coincidence point, i. e there exist  $x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r \in X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} gx^1 &= F(x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r), \\ gx^2 &= F(x^2, x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1), \\ gx^3 &= F(x^3, \dots, x^r, x^1, x^2), \\ &\dots \\ gx^r &= F(x^r, x^1, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^{r-1}). \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Main Results:

Now, we prove our main result as follows:

**Remark1** Theorem 2 in [14] is not valid if  $n$  is odd.

**Proof.** For the sake of simplicity, we consider the case for  $n=3$  which is very illustrative and can be identically extrapolated to the case in which  $n$  is odd. Using the initial points  $x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3 \in X$ , it is possible to construct three sequences  $\{x_k^1\}, \{x_k^2\}$  and  $\{x_k^3\}$  recursively defined by :

$$\begin{aligned} gx_k^1 &= F(x_{k-1}^1, x_{k-1}^2, x_{k-1}^3), \\ gx_k^2 &= F(x_{k-1}^2, x_{k-1}^3, x_{k-1}^1), \\ gx_k^3 &= F(x_{k-1}^3, x_{k-1}^1, x_{k-1}^2) \text{ for all } k \in N, k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} gx_0^1 &\leq F(x_0^1, x_0^2, x_0^3) = gx_1^1, \\ gx_0^2 &\geq F(x_0^2, x_0^3, x_0^1) = gx_1^2, \\ gx_0^3 &\leq F(x_0^3, x_0^1, x_0^2) = gx_1^3. \end{aligned}$$

Then the authors affirmed that these sequences verify, for all  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} gx_{k-1}^1 &\leq gx_k^1, \\ gx_{k-1}^2 &\geq gx_k^2, \\ gx_{k-1}^3 &\leq gx_k^3. \end{aligned}$$

However, it is impossible to prove that  $gx_1^2 \geq gx_2^2$  because the mixed  $g$ -monotone property leads to contrary inequalities. At most, we can deduce the following properties

$$gx_1^2 \leq gx_2^2 \Rightarrow F(x_1^2, x_0^3, x_0^1) \leq F(x_0^2, x_0^3, x_0^1) = gx_1^2.$$

Moreover,

$$gx_0^3 \leq gx_1^3 \Rightarrow F(x_1^2, x_0^3, x_0^1) \geq F(x_1^2, x_1^3, x_0^1).$$

Above two inequalities gives,

$$F(x_1^2, x_1^3, x_0^1) \leq F(x_1^2, x_0^3, x_0^1) \leq F(x_0^2, x_0^3, x_0^1) = gx_1^2.$$

However, in the third component, the inequality is on the contrary

$$gx_0^1 \leq gx_1^1 \Rightarrow F(x_1^2, x_1^3, x_0^1) \leq F(x_1^2, x_1^3, x_1^1) = gx_2^2.$$



Then we get

$$F(x_1^2, x_1^3, x_0^1) \leq gx_1^2 \text{ and } F(x_1^2, x_1^3, x_0^1) \leq gx_2^2.$$

Since other possibilities gives to similar incomparable cases, we cannot get the inequality  $gx_1^2 \geq gx_2^2$ .

**Remark 2** Also, we notice that, in the case  $n=3$ , definition (2.15)

$$\begin{aligned} gx^1 &= F(x^1, x^2, x^3), \\ gx^2 &= F(x^2, x^3, x^1), \\ gx^3 &= F(x^3, x^1, x^2), \end{aligned}$$

do not extend the notion of tripled coincidence point by Brinde and Borcut. Therefore their results are not extensions of well known results in tripled case and hence we can say that the odd case is not well posed.

**Remark 3.** Also, we see that the system of equations defined in (2.14) is not suitable to work with the classical mixed monotone property when  $r$  is odd. For example, if  $r = 5$  and  $F$  is monotone non-decreasing in its odd arguments and monotone non-increasing in its even arguments, then the equations

$$x^1 = F(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5) \text{ (} x^1 \text{ and } x^5 \text{ are placed in non-decreasing arguments of } F \text{) and}$$

$$x^2 = F(x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5, x^1) \text{ (} x^1 \text{ and } x^5 \text{ are placed in arguments of different monotone type of } F \text{)}$$

Do not let us to show the existence of fixed points using the classical mixed monotone property.

To make the paper free from these flaws, we recall here the concept of multidimensional fixed point/ coincidence point introduced by Roldan et. al [27], which is an extension of Berzig and Samet's notion given in [4].

Throughout the paper, we will abbreviate MS for metric space. Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Henceforth,  $X$  will denote a non-empty set and  $X^n$  will denote the product space  $X \times X \times \dots \times X$ . Throughout this manuscript,  $m$  and  $k$  will denote non-negative integers and  $i, j, s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Unless otherwise stated, "for all  $m$ " will mean "for all  $m \geq 0$ " and "for all  $i$ " will mean "for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ".

Henceforth, fix a partition  $\{A, B\}$  of  $A_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , that is  $A_n = A \cup B$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty sets. We will denote:

$$\Omega_{A,B} = \{\sigma: A_n \rightarrow A_n: \sigma(A) \subseteq A \text{ and } \sigma(B) \subseteq B\} \text{ and}$$

$$\Omega'_{A,B} = \{\sigma: A_n \rightarrow A_n: \sigma(A) \subseteq B \text{ and } \sigma(B) \subseteq A\}.$$

If  $(X, \leq)$  is a partially ordered space,  $x, y \in X$  and  $i \in A_n$ , we will use the following notation:

$$x \leq_i y \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x \leq y, \text{ if } i \in A, \\ x \geq y, \text{ if } i \in B. \end{cases}$$

Consider on the product space  $X^n$ , the following partial order:

$$X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in X^n,$$

$$X \subseteq Y \Leftrightarrow x_i \leq_i y_i, \text{ for all } i.$$

We say that two points  $X$  and  $Y$  are comparable if  $X \subseteq Y$  or  $Y \subseteq X$ .

**Definition 3.1 [27]** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered space with the maps  $F: X^n \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$ . We say that  $F$  has the mixed  $g$ -monotone property (w.r.t  $\{A, B\}$ ) if  $F$  is monotone  $g$ -nondecreasing in arguments of  $A$  and monotone  $g$ -nonincreasing in arguments of  $B$ , i.e, for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y, z \in X$  for all  $i$ ,

$$gy \leq gz \Rightarrow F(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \leq_i F(y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, z, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n).$$

Henceforth, let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n: A_n \rightarrow A_n$  be  $n$  mappings from  $A_n$  into itself and let  $\gamma$  be the  $n$ -tuple  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ . The main aim of this paper is to study the following class of multidimensional fixed points.

**Definition 3.2 [27]** A point  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$  is called a  $\gamma$ -fixed point of the mapping  $F$  if

$$F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_i(n)}) = x_i \text{ for all } i.$$

**Definition 3.3 [27]** A point  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$  is called a  $\gamma$ -coincidence point of the mappings  $F: X^n \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  if  $F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_i(n)}) = gx_i$  for all  $i$ .

**Definition 3.4 [27]** A point  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$  is called a  $\gamma$ -fixed point of the mappings  $F: X^n \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  if  $F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_i(n)}) = gx_i = x_i$  for all  $i$ .

**Remark 4** If one represent a mapping  $\sigma: A_n \rightarrow A_n$  throughout its order image, that is,  $\sigma = (\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n))$ , then

- (i) G-Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham's election in  $n = 2$  is  $\sigma_1 = \tau = (1, 2)$  and  $\sigma_2 = (2, 1)$



- (ii) Berinde and Borcut's election in  $n = 3$  is  $\sigma_1 = \tau = (1,2,3)$ ,  $\sigma_2 = (2,1,2)$  and  $\sigma_3 = (3,2,1)$
- (iii) Karapinar's election in  $n = 4$  is  $\sigma_1 = \tau = (1,2,3,4)$ ,  $\sigma_2 = (2,3,4,1)$ ,  $\sigma_3 = (3,4,1,2)$  and  $\sigma_4 = (4,1,2,3)$ .

These cases consider A as the odd numbers in  $\{1,2, \dots, n\}$  and B as its even numbers. However, for Berzig and Samet [14], use  $A = \{1,2, \dots, m\}$ ,  $B = \{m + 1, \dots, n\}$  and arbitrary mappings.

**Definition 3.5** An ordered MS  $\{X, d, \leq\}$  is said to have the sequential g-monotone property if it satisfies:

- (i) If  $\{x_m\}$  is a non-decreasing sequence and  $\{x_m\} \xrightarrow{d} x$ , then  $gx_m \leq gx$  for all  $m$ .
- (ii) If  $\{x_m\}$  is a non-increasing sequence and  $\{x_m\} \xrightarrow{d} x$ , then  $gx_m \geq gx$  for all  $m$ .

If g is the identity mapping, then X is said to have sequential monotone property.

**Proposition 3.1.** If  $X \subseteq Y$ , it follows that

$$(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) \subseteq (y_{\sigma(1)}, y_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma(n)}) \text{ if } \sigma \in \Omega_{A,B},$$

$$(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) \supseteq (y_{\sigma(1)}, y_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma(n)}) \text{ if } \sigma \in \Omega'_{A,B}.$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $x_i \leq_i y_i$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $x_{\sigma(i)} \leq_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(i)}$  for all  $i$ . Fix  $\sigma \in \Omega_{A,B}$ . If  $i \in A$ , then  $\sigma(i) \in A$ , so  $x_{\sigma(i)} \leq_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(i)}$  implies that  $x_{\sigma(i)} \leq y_{\sigma(i)}$ , which means that  $x_{\sigma(i)} \leq_i y_{\sigma(i)}$ . If

$i \in B$ , then  $\sigma(i) \in B$ , so  $x_{\sigma(i)} \leq_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(i)}$  implies that  $x_{\sigma(i)} \geq y_{\sigma(i)}$ , which means that  $x_{\sigma(i)} \leq_i y_{\sigma(i)}$ . In any case, if  $\sigma \in \Omega_{A,B}$ , then  $x_{\sigma(i)} \leq_i y_{\sigma(i)}$  for all  $i$ . It follows that

$$(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) \subseteq (y_{\sigma(1)}, y_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma(n)})$$

Now fix  $\sigma \in \Omega'_{A,B}$ . If  $i \in A$ , then  $\sigma(i) \in B$ , so  $x_{\sigma(i)} \leq_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(i)}$  implies that  $x_{\sigma(i)} \geq y_{\sigma(i)}$ , which means that  $x_{\sigma(i)} \geq_i y_{\sigma(i)}$ . If  $i \in B$ , then  $\sigma(i) \in A$ , so  $x_{\sigma(i)} \leq_{\sigma(i)} y_{\sigma(i)}$  implies that  $x_{\sigma(i)} \leq y_{\sigma(i)}$  which mean that  $x_{\sigma(i)} \geq_i y_{\sigma(i)}$

**Lemma 1** Let  $(X, d)$  be a MS and define  $D_n : X^n \times X^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , for all  $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ ,

$$B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in X^n, \text{ by } D_n(A, B) = \sum_{i=1}^n d(a_i, b_i).$$

Then  $D_n$  is a complete metric on  $X^n$ .

**Theorem 3** Let  $(X, d, \leq)$  be a partially ordered MS and  $F: X^n \rightarrow X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow X$  be mappings. Let  $\gamma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$  be a n-tuple of mappings from  $(1,2, \dots, n)$  into itself satisfying  $\sigma_i \in \Omega_{A,B}$  if  $i \in A$  and  $\sigma_i \in \Omega'_{A,B}$  if  $i \in B$ . Define

$F_\gamma, g_\gamma: X^n \rightarrow X^n$  by

$$F_\gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \begin{pmatrix} F(x_{\sigma_1(1)}, x_{\sigma_1(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_1(n)}) \\ F(x_{\sigma_2(1)}, x_{\sigma_2(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_2(n)}) \\ \vdots \\ F(x_{\sigma_n(1)}, x_{\sigma_n(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_n(n)}) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } g_\gamma(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = (g(\sigma_1 x_1), g(\sigma_2 x_2), \dots, g(\sigma_n x_n)).$$

- (1) If F has the mixed g-monotone property, then  $F_\gamma$  is  $g_\gamma$  non-decreasing w.r.t the partial order  $\subseteq$  on  $X^n$ .
- (2) If F and g are continuous w.r.t  $D_n$ , then  $F_\gamma$  and  $g_\gamma$  are also continuous w.r.t  $D_n$ .
- (3) A point  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$  is a  $\gamma$ -coincidence point of F and g if and only if  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is a coincidence point of  $F_\gamma$  and  $g_\gamma$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $(gx_1, gx_2, \dots, gx_n) \leq (gy_1, gy_2, \dots, gy_n)$ , that is  $gx_i \leq_i gy_i$  for all  $i$ . Since F has the mixed g-monotone property, it is not difficult to prove that, for all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$

$$F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1}, x_i^{(j)}, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n) \leq F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1}, y_i^{(j)}, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n), \text{ if } i, j \in A \text{ or } i, j \in B,$$

$$F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1}, x_i^{(j)}, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n) \geq F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{j-1}, y_i^{(j)}, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n), \text{ if } i \in A, j \in B \text{ or } i \in B, j \in A.$$

Suppose that  $i \in A$ . Therefore  $\sigma_i \in \Omega_{A,B}$ , that is  $\sigma_i(A) \subseteq A$  and  $\sigma_i(B) \subseteq B$ . Therefore  $j \in A$  if and only if  $\sigma_i(j) \in A$  and the same holds if we replace A by B. In this case ,

$$F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_i(n)}) \leq \text{ (either 1, } \sigma_i(1) \in A \text{ or 1, } \sigma_i(1) \in B \text{)}$$

$$\leq F(y_{\sigma_i(1)}, y_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_i(n)}) \leq \text{ (either 2, } \sigma_i(2) \in A \text{ or 2, } \sigma_i(2) \in B \text{)}$$

...



$$\leq F(y_{\sigma_i(1)}, y_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_i(n)}),$$

that is,  $F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_i(n)}) \leq_i F(y_{\sigma_i(1)}, y_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_i(n)})$  Now suppose that  $i \in B$ . Therefore  $\sigma_i \in \Omega'_{A,B}$ , that is  $\sigma_i(A) \subseteq B$  and  $\sigma_i(B) \subseteq A$ . Therefore  $j \in A$  if and only if  $\sigma_i(j) \in B$  and the same

holds if we replace  $A$  by  $B$ . In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_i(n)}) &\geq \quad (\text{either } 1 \in A, \sigma_i(1) \in B \text{ or } 1 \in B, \sigma_i(1) \in A) \\ &\geq F(y_{\sigma_i(1)}, y_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_i(n)}) \quad (\text{either } 2 \in A, \sigma_i(2) \in B \text{ or } 2 \in B, \sigma_i(2) \in A) \\ &\dots \\ &\geq F(y_{\sigma_i(1)}, y_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_i(n)}), \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_i(n)}) \leq_i F(y_{\sigma_i(1)}, y_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_i(n)})$  also holds. Hence,

$F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_i(n)}) \leq_i F(y_{\sigma_i(1)}, y_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_i(n)})$  for all  $i$ , and, consequently,

$$F_\gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \subseteq F_\gamma(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n).$$

(2) It is an straightforward exercise.

(3)  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$  is a  $\gamma$ -coincidence point of  $F$  and  $g$  if and only if  $gx_i = F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_i(n)})$  for all  $i$ , that is  $F_\gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (gx_1, gx_2, \dots, gx_n)$ .

The following lemma is crucial for the proof of our main theorem

**Lemma2 [18]** Let  $(X, d)$  be a MS and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ , then there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and two sequences  $\{m_k\}$  and  $\{n_k\}$  of positive integers such that the following four sequences tends to  $\epsilon^+$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}), d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k-1}), d(x_{m_k+1}, x_{n_k}), d(x_{m_k+1}, x_{n_k-1}).$$

**Theorem 4** Let  $(X, d, \leq)$  be a partially ordered metric space and  $f, g: X \rightarrow X$  be mappings satisfying the following

- (i)  $f$  is  $g$ -non-decreasing with respect to  $\leq$  and  $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$
- (ii)  $g$  is continuous and the commutes with  $f$ ,
- (iii) There exist  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $gx_0 \leq fx_0$ ,
- (iv)  $d(fx, fy) \leq \varphi(d(gx, gy))$ , for all  $x, y \in X$  for which  $gx \leq gy$  or  $gx \geq gy$ .

Also, suppose that either

- (a)  $f$  is continuous or
- (b)  $X$  has the sequential monotone property.

Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a coincidence point.

**Proof :** If  $gx_0 = fx_0$  then  $x_0$  is a coincidence point of  $f$  and  $g$ . Therefore,  $gx_0 \prec fx_0$ . Since

$f(X) \subseteq g(X)$  we obtain sequence  $y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1}$  for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  where  $x_n \in X$  and by induction we get that  $y_n \leq y_{n+1}$ . If  $y_n = y_{n+1}$  for some  $n \in N$  then  $x_n$  is a coincidence point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Therefore, suppose that  $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$  for each  $n$ . Now, we shall prove the following :

- (1)  $d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (2)  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy Sequence.

By putting  $x = x_n, y = x_{n+1}$  in (iv) we get

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \leq \varphi(d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})) = \varphi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)) < d(y_{n-1}, y_n)$$

This gives  $\{y_n\}$  is decreasing and consequently there exists ' $d$ ' such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = d \geq 0. \text{ If } d > 0, \text{ we get from previous relation}$$

$$d = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)) < d,$$

which is a contradiction and hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = d = 0$ .





Further using Lemma 2, we shall prove that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that is not true. Then by Lemma 2, there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and two sequences  $\{m_k\}$  and  $\{n_k\}$  of positive integers such that the following sequences tend to  $\epsilon^+$  when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}), d(x_{m_k}, x_{n_{k-1}}), d(x_{m_{k+1}}, x_{n_k}), d(x_{n_{k-1}}, x_{m_{k+1}})$$

Putting  $x = x_{m_{k+1}}, y = x_{n_k}$  in (iv)

$$d(y_{m_{k+1}}, y_{n_k}) = d(fx_{m_{k+1}}, fx_{n_k}) \leq \varphi(d(gx_{m_{k+1}}, gx_{n_k})) = \varphi(d(y_{m_k}, y_{n_{k-1}})) < d(y_{m_k}, y_{n_{k-1}})$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\epsilon \leq \varphi(\epsilon) < \epsilon$ , which is a contradiction with  $\epsilon > 0$ . Hence we have proved that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ . Since  $(X, d)$  is complete there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow z$ . Then

$d(fx_n, z) \rightarrow 0$  and  $d(gx_n, z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . As  $g$  is continuous so  $ggx_n, gfx_n \rightarrow gz$  and  $(f, g)$  is commuting so we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0$$

Now, consider the case (a) when  $f$  is continuous, using triangle inequality we get

$$d(fz, gz) \leq d(fz, fgx_n) + d(fgx_n, gz) \leq d(fz, fgx_n) + d(fgx_n, gfx_n) + d(gfx_n, gz) \rightarrow 0.$$

This gives  $gz = fz$ .

If case (b) holds. Since  $f$  is  $g$ -non-decreasing and  $gx_n \rightarrow z$  so  $gx_n \leq z$ . Also,  $gfx_n \rightarrow gz$  as  $g$  is continuous.

$$\begin{aligned} d(gz, fz) &\leq d(gz, gfx_n) + d(gfx_n, fz) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gz, gfx_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gfx_n, fz) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gz, gfx_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, fz) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gz, gfx_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(ggx_n, gz)) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $gz = fz$  and hence the theorem follows completely.

For all  $i$ , the mapping  $\sigma_i$  is a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and so for all  $i$  and all  $A, B \in X^n$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d(a_{\sigma_i(j)}, b_{\sigma_i(j)}) = \sum_{i=1}^n d(a_j, b_j) = D_n(A, B)$$

**Theorem 3** Theorem 2 follows from Theorems 3 and 4.

**Proof:** Consider the product space  $Y = X^n$  provided with the metric  $D_n$  and the partial order  $\subseteq$  on  $Y$ . Then  $(Y, D_n, \subseteq)$  is a complete ordered MS. Since  $F$  has mixed monotone property, item 1 of theorem 1 shows that  $F_Y: Y \rightarrow Y$  is non-decreasing w.r.t.  $\subseteq$ . By item 2 of theorem 1. If  $F$  is continuous, then  $F_Y$  is also continuous. If  $x_0 = (x_0^1, x_0^2, \dots, x_0^n) \in Y$ , then condition (5) is equivalent to  $x_0 \subseteq F_Y x_0$ . By Proposition 1.2, given  $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  such that  $X \subseteq Y$ , the points

$(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$  and  $(y_{\sigma(1)}, y_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma(n)})$  are comparable by  $\subseteq$ . Therefore, (4) can be applied to these points, and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(F_Y(X), F_Y(Y)) &= D_n(F_Y(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), F_Y(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)) \\ &= D_n \left( \begin{pmatrix} F(x_{\sigma_1(1)}, x_{\sigma_1(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_1(n)}), \\ F(x_{\sigma_2(1)}, x_{\sigma_2(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_2(n)}), \\ \vdots \\ F(x_{\sigma_n(1)}, x_{\sigma_n(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_n(n)}) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} F(y_{\sigma_1(1)}, y_{\sigma_1(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_1(n)}), \\ F(y_{\sigma_2(1)}, y_{\sigma_2(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_2(n)}), \\ \vdots \\ F(y_{\sigma_n(1)}, y_{\sigma_n(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_n(n)}) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \left( F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_i(n)}) \right) - \left( F(y_{\sigma_i(1)}, y_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_i(n)}) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n d(g(\sigma_i x_j), g(\sigma_i y_j)) \right) = \varphi \left( D_n(g_Y(X), g_Y(Y)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Theorems 1 and 2 imply that  $F_Y$  and  $g_Y$  have a coincidence point, which is a  $\gamma$ -coincidence point of  $F$  and  $g$  by item 3 of Theorem 1.

**Acknowledgement:**

One of the authors (L. A. Khan) wishes to acknowledge with thanks the Deanship of Scientific Research, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, for their technical and financial support in this research .

**References:**

- [1] Abbas, M., Ali, B., Sintunavarat, W. and P. Kumam. 2012 Tripled fixed point and tripled coincidence point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy normed spaces, Abbas et al. *Fixed Point Theory and Application* 2012, 2012:87
- [2] Aydi, H., Karapinar E. and Shatanawi, W., Tripled Fixed Point Results in Generalized Metric Spaces, *J. Appl. Math.* (2012), Article Id: 314279.
- [3] Aydi, H. 2011 Some coupled fixed point results on partial metric spaces, *International Journal of Mathematical and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2011, Article ID 647091, 11 pages, 2011.
- [4] Berzig, M. and Samet, B. 2012 An extension of coupled fixed point's concept in higher dimension and applications, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 63, no. 8, pp. 1319–1334, 2012.
- [5] Borcut, M. 2012 Tripled coincidence theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 218(2012), no.14, 7339-7346.
- [6] Bhaskar, T. G. and Lakshmikantham, V. 2006 Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol.65, no.7, pp. 1379- 1393, 2006.
- [7] Berinde, V. and Borcut, M. 2011 Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in Partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis*, Volume 74, Issue 15, October 2011, Pages 4889-4897.
- [8] Choudhury, B. S and Kundu, A. 2010 A coupled coincidence point result in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.* 73, 2524-2531 (2010).
- [9] Dalal, S, Chaun, S., Pant, B. D. 2013 Coincidence and common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic Fuzzy –metric Spaces, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Science*, Volume 79, Number1, 2013, pages 25-48.
- [10] Dalal, S, Chaun, S., Kadelburg, Z. 2013 A common fixed point theorem in metric spaces under general contractive conditions, *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, Volume, 2013 (2013), Article ID 510691, 7 pages
- [11] Dalal, S., Manro, S., Bhatia, S. S., Kumar, S., and Kumam, P. 2013 Weakly compatible mapping with CLR Mapping in Fuzzy –Metric Spaces, *Journal Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, 2013(2013), 1-12.
- [12] Dalal, S., Imdad, M. and Chauhan, S. 2013 Unified fixed point theorems via common limit range property in modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, *Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Volume 2013, Article ID 413473, 11 pages.
- [13] Dalal, S. and Chalishajar, D. 2013 Coupled fixed points results for W-Compatible Maps in symmetric G-Metric spaces, *African Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2(5), 2013.
- [14] Imdad, M., Sharma A. and Rao, K. P. R. 2013 n-tupled coincidence and common fixed results for weakly contractive maps in complete metric spaces, *Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, ISSN: 1821-1291, URL: <http://www.bmathaa.org>, Pages 1-21
- [15] Imdad, M., Soliman, A. H., Choudhary, B. S and P. Das. 2013 On n-tupled Coincidence Point Results in Metric Spaces, *Journal of Operators*, Volume 2013, Article ID 532867, 8 pages.
- [16] Jleli, M., Rajic, V.C., Samet, B. and Vetro, C. 2013 Fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and applications to nonlinear elastic beam equations, *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, DOI 10.1007/s11784-012-0081-4.
- [17] Kadelburg, Z., Nashine, H. and Radenovic, S. 2013 Some new coupled fixed point results in 0-complete ordered partial metric spaces, *J. Adv. Math. Studies*, Vol. 6 (2013), No.1, 159-172.
- [18] Karapinar, E., Roldan, A., Martinez-Moreno J. and C. Roldán, C. 2013 Meir-Keeler Type Multidimensional Fixed Point Theorems in Partially Ordered Metric Spaces, *Hindawi Publishing Corporation Abstract and Applied Analysis* Volume 2013, Article ID 406026, 9 pages.
- [19] Karapinar E. and Berinde, V. 2012 Quadruple fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 74–89, 2012.
- [20] Lakshmikantham, V. and Ćirić, L. 2009 Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Method and Applications*, vol. 70, no 12, pp. 4341-4349, 2009.
- [21] Luong, N.V, Thuan, N.X, Coupled fixed points in partially ordered metric spaces and application. *Nonlinear Anal.* 74, 983-992 (2011).
- [22] Nashine, H.K., Samet, B, Vetro, C. 2012 Coupled coincidence points for compatible mappings satisfying mixed monotone property, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 5(2), 104-114, 2012.
- [23] Nieto, J .J., Rodriguez-Lopez, R., Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equation, *Order* 22(2005) 223-239.
- [24] Paknazar, M., Gordji, M. E., Sen, M. D. L. and Vaezpour, S. M. 2013 N-fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Paknazar et al. Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2013, 2013:11



- [25] Radenovic, S., Remarks on some coupled coincidence point results in partially ordered metric spaces, Arab. J.Math.Sci. 20 (1) (2014), 29-39.
- [26] Rao, K. P. R., Kishore G. N. V. and Tas, K. 2012 A Unique Common Triple Fixed Point Theorem for Hybrid Pair of Maps Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Abstract and Applied Analysis Volume 2012, Article ID 750403, 9 pages doi:10.1155/2012/750403
- [27] Roldan, A., Martinez-Moreno, J. and Roldan, C. 2012 Multidimensional fixed point theorems in partially ordered complete metric spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 396, no. 2, pp. 536–545, 2012.
- [28] Samet, B, Vetro, C. 2011 Coupled fixed point theorems for multi-valued nonlinear contraction mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* 74, 4260-4268, (2011).

