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#### Abstract

The non-linear dynamical system subject to tuned excitation is consider, and studied. The system is represented by two degree of freedom differential equations of the system and absorber. The method of multiple scale is applied to solve the system up to $3^{\text {rd }}$ order approximation. Effect of different parameters is studied numerically all resonance cases are studied numerically to obtain the worst case . Stability of the system is investigated using both phase plane and frequency response curves.
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## INTRODUCTION

Mechanical and structural systems are inherently are inherently non-linear due to many sources. Ultrasonic machining (USM) is of particular interest in the machining of conductive and non-conductive, brittle, complicated shape materials such as diamonds. Non-linearities necessarily introduce a whole range of phenomena that are not found in linear system [1], including jump phenomena, occurrence of multiple solutions, modulation, shift in natural frequencies, the generation of combination resonances, evidence of period multiplying bifurcations and chaotic motion [2-5]. In these systems the vibrations are needed to be controlled to minimize or eliminate the hazard of damage or destruction. There are two types for vibration control. They are active and passive control. One of the most effective tools of passive control is dynamic absorber or the neutralizer [6]. Nabergoj et al [7] Studied the stability of auto- parametric resonance in an externally excited system. Abdel Hafez and Eissa [8] studied the effects of non linear elastomeric torsion absorber to control the vibration of the crank shaft in internal combustion engines, when subject to external excitation torque. Mahmoud and Frghaly [9] investigated the steady-state analysis for a class of sliding mode controlled systems using describing function method. Eissa [10] has shown that to control the vibration of a system subjected to harmonic excitations, the fundamental or the first harmonic absorber is the most effective one.

Eissa et al. [11-13] investigated saturation phenomena in non-linear oscillating systems subject to multiparametric and external excitation. Cao [14] studied primary resonate optimal control for homoclinic bifurcation in single degree of freedom non-linear oscillators. Jing and Wang [15] analyzed complex dynamics in Duffing system with two external forces. Eissa and sayed $[16,17]$ presented tuned absorbers in both transversely and longitudinal directions of a simple pendulum which designed to control one frequency at primary resonance. El-Dib [18] investigated a theoretical analysis of parametric harmonic response of two resonate modes based on a cubic non-linear system. Eissa and Amer [19] investigated the vibration control of a cantilever beam under both external and parametric excitation using active control via cubic damping feedback. Amer [20] investigation the coupling of two non-linear oscillators of the main system and absorber representing ultrasonic cutting process subject to parametric excitation forces. Sayed and Hamed [21] studied the response of a two-degree-of -freedom system with quadratic coupling under parametric and harmonic excitations. Sayed and Kamel $[22,23]$ investigated the effect of different controllers on the vibrating system and saturation control of a linear absorber to reduce vibrations due to rotor blade flapping motion. Amer and Abd El salam [24] investigated the effect of a non-linear absorber to reduce vibrations due to dynamical system subjected to multi external forces. Kamel et al [25] studied the vibration suppression in ultrasonic machining described by non-linear differential equations via passive controller.

In this paper we studied the vibration control of a non-linear system under tuned excitation forces. the method of multiple scale is applied to obtained the approximate solution of the system. Vibration method is used to reduced the amplitude of vibration at the worst resonance case.The effect of different parameter are investigated.

## 2. MATHEMATICAL MODELING

A two - degree of freedom system composed of two weakly damped oscillators is considered. Here, $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ denote displacements of the main non- linear system and absorber, respectively. The following equations are obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{1} \frac{d^{2} x_{1}}{d t^{2}}+c_{1} \frac{d x_{1}}{d t}+k_{1} x_{1}+c_{2}\left(\frac{d x_{1}}{d t}-\frac{d x_{2}}{d t}\right)+k_{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{3}=F \cos \omega t \cos \Omega t  \tag{1}\\
& m_{2} \frac{d^{2} x_{2}}{d t^{2}}+c_{2}\left(\frac{d x_{2}}{d t}-\frac{d x_{1}}{d t}\right)+k_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{3}=0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are the mass of the main system and absorber. $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are damping coefficients of the main system and absorber. $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are stiffness of the main system and absorber. $F$ is excitation amplitude of tuned force. $\omega$ is frequency of the tuned force and $\Omega$ is excitation amplitued.Let $u=x_{1}$ and $v=x_{2}-x_{1}$ then, equations (1) and (2) can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{u}+\varepsilon \zeta_{1} u+\omega_{1}^{2} u-\varepsilon \zeta_{2} \dot{v}-\varepsilon \omega_{2}^{2} v^{3}=\varepsilon f \cos \omega t \cos \Omega t  \tag{3}\\
& \ddot{v}+\omega_{1}^{2} v+\varepsilon\left\{(1+\delta) \zeta_{2} \dot{v}+(1+\delta) \omega_{2}^{2} v^{3}-\zeta_{1} u-\delta \omega_{1}^{2} u-\delta \omega_{1}^{2} v\right\}=-\varepsilon f \cos \omega t \cos \Omega t \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

We can solve Eqs. (3) and (4) analytically using the multiple scale perturbation technique as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(t ; \varepsilon)=u_{0}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\varepsilon u_{1}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\varepsilon^{2} u_{2}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\varepsilon^{3} u_{3}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& v(t ; \varepsilon)=v_{0}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\varepsilon v_{1}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\varepsilon^{2} v_{2}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)+\varepsilon^{3} v_{3}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

And the time derivatives become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}=D_{0}+\varepsilon D_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} D_{2}+\varepsilon^{3} D_{3}+\ldots  \tag{7}\\
& \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}=D_{0}^{2}+2 \varepsilon D_{0} D_{1}+\varepsilon^{2}\left(D_{1}^{2}+2 D_{0} D_{2}\right)+2 \varepsilon^{3}\left(D_{0} D_{3}+D_{1} D_{2}\right)+\ldots \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{n}=\varepsilon^{n} t, D_{n}=\frac{\partial}{\partial T_{n}}$ and ( $\mathrm{n}=0,1,2,3$ ) , $T_{0}$ is the fast time scale and $T_{n}$ is the slow time scale( $\mathrm{n}=1,2,3$ ).
Substituting Eqs. (5) - (8) into Eqs. (3) and (4) and equating the coefficient of the same power of $\epsilon$ in the both sides, we obtain the following set of ordinary differential equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& O\left(\varepsilon^{0}\right):\left(D_{0}^{2}+\omega_{1}^{2}\right) u_{0}=0 \\
& \left(D_{0}^{2}+\omega_{1}^{2}\right) \nu_{0}=0 \\
& O(\varepsilon):\left(D_{0}^{2}+\omega_{1}^{2}\right) u_{1}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{0}-\zeta_{1} D_{0} u_{0}+\zeta_{2} D_{0} v_{0}+\omega_{2}^{2} v_{0}^{3} \\
& +f \cos \omega t \cos \Omega t \\
& \left(D_{0}^{2}+\omega_{1}^{2}\right) v_{1}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} v_{0}-(1+\delta) \zeta_{2} D_{0} v_{0}-(1+\delta) \omega_{2}^{2} v_{0}^{3}+\zeta_{1} D_{0} u_{0} \\
& +\delta \omega_{1}^{2} u_{0}+\delta \omega_{1}^{2} v_{0}-f \cos \omega t \cos \Omega t  \tag{12}\\
& O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right):\left(D_{0}^{2}+\omega_{1}^{2}\right) u_{2}=-D_{1}^{2} u_{0}-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{1}-2 D_{0} D_{2} u_{0}-\zeta_{1} D_{0} u_{1}+\zeta_{2} D_{0} v_{1} \\
& +\zeta_{2} D_{1} v_{0}+3 \omega_{2}^{2} v_{0}^{2} v_{1}  \tag{13}\\
& \left(D_{0}^{2}+\omega_{1}^{2}\right) v_{2}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} v_{1}-D_{1}^{2} v_{0}-2 D_{0} D_{2} v_{0}-(1+\delta) \zeta_{2}\left(D_{1} v_{0}\right. \\
& \left.+D_{0} v_{1}\right)-3(1+\delta) \omega_{2}^{2} v_{0}^{2} v_{1}+\zeta_{1}\left(D_{0} u_{1}+D_{1} u_{0}\right) \\
& +\delta \omega_{1}^{2}\left(u_{1}+v_{1}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& O\left(\varepsilon^{3}\right):\left(D_{0}^{2}+\omega_{1}^{2}\right) u_{3}=-2 D_{0} D_{3} u_{0}-D_{1}^{2} u_{1}-2 D_{1} D_{2} u_{0}-2 D_{0} D_{1} u_{2} \\
& -2 D_{0} D_{2} u_{1}-\zeta_{1} D_{0} u_{2}-\zeta_{1} D_{2} u_{0}-\zeta_{1} D_{1} u_{1}+\zeta_{2} D_{0} v_{2} \\
& +3 \omega_{2}^{2}\left(v_{0} v_{1}^{2}+v_{2} v_{0}^{2}\right)  \tag{15}\\
& \left(D_{0}^{2}+\omega_{1}^{2}\right) v_{3}=-2 D_{0} D_{3} v_{0}-D_{1}^{2} v_{1}-2 D_{1} D_{2} v_{0}-2 D_{0} D_{1} v_{2}-2 D_{0} D_{2} v_{1} \\
& -(1+\delta) \zeta_{2}\left(D_{0} v_{2}+D_{2} v_{0}+D_{1} v_{1}\right)+\delta \omega_{1}^{2}\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right) \\
& +\zeta_{1}\left(D_{0} u_{2}+D_{1} u_{1}+D_{2} u_{0}\right)-9 \omega_{2}^{2}(1+\delta)\left(v_{1}^{2} v_{0}+v_{0}^{2} v_{2}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of Eqs. (9) and (10) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)=A\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+\bar{A}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{-i \omega_{1} T_{0}}  \tag{17}\\
& v_{0}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)=B\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+\bar{B}\left(T_{1}\right) e^{-i \omega_{1} T_{0}} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where A, B are unknown functions in $T_{1}$. Substituting Eqs. (17), (18) into Eqs. (11), (12) and eliminating the secular terms then, solve the resulting equations, yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)=E_{1} e^{3 i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+E_{2} e^{i(\Omega+\omega) T_{0}}+E_{3} e^{i(\Omega-\omega) T_{0}}+c c  \tag{19}\\
& \nu_{1}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)=E_{4} e^{3 i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+E_{5} e^{i(\Omega+\omega) T_{0}}+E_{6} e^{i(\Omega-\omega) T_{0}}+c c \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{j},(j=1, \ldots, 6)$ are complex functions of $T_{1}$. Substituting Eqs.(17)-(20) into Eqs.(13) and (14), hence solving the resulting equations, we obtain the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{2}\left(T_{0}, \boldsymbol{T}_{1}\right)=H_{1} e^{3 i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+H_{2} e^{i(\Omega+\omega) T_{0}}+H_{3} e^{i(\Omega-\omega) T_{0}}+H_{4} e^{5 i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+H_{5} e^{i\left(\Omega+\omega+2 \omega_{1}\right) T_{0}} \\
+H_{6} e^{i\left(\Omega-\omega+2 \omega_{1}\right) T_{0}}+H_{7} e^{i\left(\Omega+\omega-2 \omega_{1}\right) T_{0}}+H_{8} e^{i\left(\Omega-\omega-2 \omega_{1}\right) T_{0}}+c c \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{2}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)= & H_{9} e^{3 i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+H_{10} e^{i(\Omega+\omega) T_{0}}+H_{11} e^{i(\Omega-\omega) T_{0}}+H_{12} e^{5 i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+H_{13} e^{i\left(\Omega+\omega+2 \omega_{1}\right) T_{0}} \\
& +H_{14} e^{i\left(\Omega-\omega+2 \omega_{1}\right) T_{0}}+H_{15} e^{i\left(\Omega+\omega-2 \omega_{1}\right) T_{0}}+H_{16} e^{i\left(\Omega-\omega-2 \omega_{1}\right) T_{0}}+c c \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H_{j},(j=1, \ldots, 16)$ are complex functions of $T_{1}$. Substituting Eqs. (17)-(22) into Eqs. (15) and (16), hence solving the resulting equations, we obtain the following:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
u_{3}\left(T_{0},\right. & \left.T_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right)=L_{1} e^{3 i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+L_{2} e^{4 i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+L_{3} e^{5 i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+L_{4} e^{6 i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+L_{5} e^{7 i \omega_{1} T_{0}}+L_{6} e^{i(\Omega+\omega) T_{0}} .
$$

where $L_{j},(j=1, \ldots, 54)$ are complex functions of $T_{1}$.

## Resonance cases:

From the above derived solutions, the reported resonance cases are:-
(i) $\omega_{1} \cong(\Omega+\omega)$
(ii) $\omega_{1} \cong \pm(\Omega-\omega)$
(iii) $\omega_{1} \cong \frac{1}{2}(\Omega+\omega)$
(iv) $\omega_{1} \cong \pm \frac{1}{2}(\Omega-\omega)$
(v) $\omega_{1} \cong \frac{1}{3}(\Omega+\omega)$
(vi) $\omega_{1} \cong \pm \frac{1}{3}(\Omega-\omega)$
(vii) $\omega_{1}=\frac{1}{5}(\Omega \pm \omega)$

## 3. STABILTY ANALYSIS

We study the different resonance numerically to see the worst resonance, one of the worst cases has been chosen to study the system stability. The selected resonance case $\omega_{1} \cong \Omega-\omega$. In this case we introduce the detuning parameter $\sigma$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}=\Omega-\omega+\varepsilon \sigma \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (25) into Eqs. (11) and (12) and eliminating the secular and small divisor terms from $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$, we get the following :

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2 i \omega_{1} D_{1} A-i \zeta_{1} \omega_{1} A+i \zeta_{2} \omega_{1} B+3 \omega_{2}^{2} B^{2} \bar{B}+\frac{f}{4} e^{-i \sigma T_{1}}=0  \tag{26}\\
& -2 i \omega_{1} D_{1} B+i \zeta_{1} \omega_{1} A-i \zeta_{2} \omega_{1}(1+\delta) B+3 \omega_{2}^{2}(1+\delta) B^{2} \bar{B}+\delta \omega_{1}^{2} A+\delta \omega_{1}^{2} B-\frac{f}{4} e^{-i \sigma T_{1}}=0 \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

To analyze the solution of equations (26) and (27) it is convenient to express $A$ and $B$ in the polar form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}\left(T_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2} a\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i \gamma_{1}\left(T_{1}\right)} \text { and } B\left(T_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2} b\left(T_{1}\right) e^{i \gamma_{2}\left(T_{1}\right)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are unknown real-valued functions. Inserting Eq. (28) into Eqs. (26) and (27) and separating real and imaginary parts, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1} a+\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{2} b \cos \varphi_{1}+\frac{3 \omega_{2}^{2}}{8 \omega_{1}} b^{3} \sin \varphi_{1}-\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}} \sin \varphi_{2}  \tag{29}\\
& a \gamma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{2} b \sin \varphi_{1}-\frac{3 \omega_{2}^{2}}{8 \omega_{1}} b^{3} \cos \varphi_{1}-\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}} \cos \varphi_{2}  \tag{30}\\
& b^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{2}(1+\delta) b+\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1} a \cos \varphi_{1}-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1} a \sin \varphi_{1}+\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}} \sin \varphi_{3}  \tag{31}\\
& b \gamma_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{3 \omega_{2}^{2}}{8 \omega_{1}}(1+\delta) b^{3}-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1} b-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1} a \sin \varphi_{1}-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1} a \cos \varphi_{1}+\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}} \cos \varphi_{3} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi_{1}=\gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1}, \varphi_{2}=\gamma_{1}+\sigma T_{1}$ and $\varphi_{3}=\gamma_{2}+\sigma T_{1}$.
For steady state solutions, $a^{\prime}=b^{\prime}=0$ and $\varphi_{n}^{\prime}=0$, ( $\mathrm{n}=1,2,3$ ) into Eqs.(29)- (32) we obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1} a+\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{2} b \cos \varphi_{1}+\frac{3 \omega_{2}^{2}}{8 \omega_{1}} b^{3} \sin \varphi_{1}-\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}} \sin \varphi_{2}=0  \tag{33}\\
& -a \sigma=\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{2} b \sin \varphi_{1}-\frac{3 \omega_{2}^{2}}{8 \omega_{1}} b^{3} \cos \varphi_{1}-\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}} \cos \varphi_{2}  \tag{34}\\
& \frac{1}{2} \zeta_{2}(1+\delta) b+\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1} a \cos \varphi_{1}-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1} a \sin \varphi_{1}+\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}} \sin \varphi_{3}=0  \tag{35}\\
& -b \sigma=\frac{3 \omega_{2}^{2}}{8 \omega_{1}}(1+\delta) b^{3}-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1} b-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1} a \sin \varphi_{1}-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1} a \cos \varphi_{1}+\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}} \cos \varphi_{3} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving the resulting algebraic equations yields two possibilities for the fixed points for each case.
Case(1): the controller is deactivated, and $a \neq 0, b=0$, the frequency response equations can be obtained the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{f}{2 \omega_{1} \sqrt{\zeta_{1}^{2}+4 \sigma^{2}}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (2): the controller is activated, and $a, b \neq 0$ and from Eqs. (33) - (36), the resulting two equations are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{4} \zeta_{1}^{2} a^{2}+\sigma^{2} a^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \zeta_{2}^{2} b^{2}-\frac{9 \omega_{2}^{4}}{64 \omega_{1}^{2}} b^{6}-\frac{f^{2}}{16 \omega_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\zeta_{2} f}{4 \omega_{1}} b \sin \left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{3 \omega_{2}^{2} f}{16 \omega_{1}^{2}} b^{3} \cos \left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right)=0 \\
& \frac{1}{4} \zeta_{1}^{2} a^{2}+\frac{\delta^{2}}{4} \omega_{1}^{2} a^{2}-\frac{\zeta_{2}^{2}}{4}(1+\delta)^{2} b^{2}-\left(\left(\frac{\delta \omega_{1}}{2}-\sigma\right) b-\frac{3 \omega_{2}^{2}}{8 \omega_{1}}(1+\delta) b^{3}\right)^{2}+\frac{f^{2}}{16 \omega_{1}^{2}} \\
& +\frac{\zeta_{1} f}{4 \omega_{1}} a \sin \left(\varphi_{3}-\varphi_{1}\right)-\frac{\delta f}{4} a \cos \left(\varphi_{3}-\varphi_{1}\right)=0 \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.1 Linear solution

Now, to study the stability of the linear solution of the obtained fixed let us consider $A$ and $B$ in the forms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(T_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}-i q_{1}\right) e^{i \delta_{1} T_{1}}, \quad B\left(T_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{2}-i q_{2}\right) e^{i \delta_{2} T_{1}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}, q_{1}, p_{2}$ and $q_{2}$ are real values and considering $\delta_{1}=\delta_{2}=-\sigma$.
Substituting from Eq. (40) into the linear parts of Eqs. (26), (27) and separating real and imaginary parts, the following system of equations are obtained:

1- For the solution $(a \neq 0, b=0)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}+\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2} p_{1}-\sigma q_{1}=0  \tag{41}\\
& q_{1}+\sigma p_{1}+\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2} q_{1}+\frac{f}{4}=0 \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

The stability of the linear solution is obtained from the zero characteristic equation:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-\left(\lambda+\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2}\right) & \sigma  \tag{43}\\
-\sigma & -\left(\lambda+\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2}\right)
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

where, $\quad \lambda_{1,2}=-\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2} \pm i \sigma$
The linear solution is stable in this case if and only if $\zeta_{1}>0$, ad otherwise it is unstable.
2- For the practical solution $(a \neq 0, b \neq 0)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2} p_{1}-\sigma q_{1}-\frac{\zeta_{2}}{2} p_{2}=0  \tag{45}\\
& q_{1}^{\prime}+\sigma p_{1}+\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2} q_{1}-\frac{\zeta_{2}}{2} q_{2}-\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}}=0 \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{2}^{\prime}-\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2} p_{1}+\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1} q_{1}+\frac{\zeta_{2}}{2}(1+\delta) p_{2}-\left(\sigma-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1}\right) q_{2}=0  \tag{47}\\
& q_{2}^{\prime}-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1} p_{1}-\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2} q_{1}+\left(\sigma-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1}\right) p_{2}+\frac{\zeta_{2}}{2}(1+\delta) q_{2}+\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}}=0 \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

The stability of the linear solution in this case is obtained from the zero characteristic equation

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
-\left(\lambda+\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2}\right) & \sigma & \frac{\zeta_{2}}{2} & 0  \tag{49}\\
-\sigma & -\left(\lambda+\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2}\right) & 0 & \frac{\zeta_{2}}{2} \\
\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2} & -\frac{\delta \omega_{1}}{2} & -\left(\lambda+\frac{\zeta_{2}}{2}(1+\delta)\right) & \left(\sigma-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1}\right) \\
\frac{\delta \omega_{1}}{2} & \frac{\zeta_{1}}{2} & -\left(\sigma-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1}\right) & -\left(\lambda+\frac{\zeta_{2}}{2}(1+\delta)\right)
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

After extract we obtain that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{4}+r_{1} \lambda^{3}+r_{2} \lambda^{2}+r_{3} \lambda+r_{4}=0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ and $r_{4}$ are defined in Appendix.
According to Routh-Huriwitz criterion, the above linear solution is stable if the following are satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}>0, r_{1} r_{2}-r_{3}>0, r_{3}\left(r_{1} r_{2}-r_{3}\right)-r_{1}^{2} r_{4}>0, r_{4}>0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Non-linear solution

To determine the stability of the fixed points, one lets

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a_{10}+a_{11}, b=b_{10}+b_{11}, \varphi_{m}=\varphi_{m 0}+\varphi_{m 1}(m=1,2,3) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{a}_{10}, \mathrm{~b}_{10}$ and $\varphi_{\mathrm{m} 0}$ are solutions of Eqs. (33)- (36) and $\mathrm{a}_{11}, \mathrm{~b}_{11}, \varphi_{\mathrm{m} 1}$ are perturbations which are assumed to be small comparing to $\mathrm{a}_{10}, \mathrm{~b}_{10}$ and $\varphi_{\mathrm{m} 0}$.substituting Eq.(52) into Eqs.(29)-(32) using Eqs. (33)- (36) and keeping only the linear terms in we obtain:

1- For the solution $(a \neq 0, b=0)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{11}^{\prime}=\left[-\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2}\right] a_{11}-\left[\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}} \cos \varphi_{20}\right] \varphi_{21}  \tag{53}\\
& \varphi_{21}^{\prime}=\left[\frac{\sigma}{a_{10}}\right] a_{11}-\left[\frac{f}{4 a_{10} \omega_{1}} \sin \varphi_{20}\right] \varphi_{21} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

The stability of a given fixed point to a disturbance proportional to $\exp (\lambda t)$ is determined by the roots of:

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2}-\lambda & -\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}} \cos \varphi_{20}  \tag{55}\\
\frac{\sigma}{a_{10}} & -\frac{f}{4 a_{10} \omega_{1}} \sin \varphi_{20}-\lambda
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Consequently, a non-trivial solution is stable if and only if the real parts of both eigen values of the coefficient matrix (55) are less than zero.

2- For the practical solution $(a \neq 0, b \neq 0)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{11}^{\prime}= & {\left[-\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2}\right] a_{11}+\left[\frac{\zeta_{2}}{2} \cos \varphi_{10}+\frac{9 \omega_{2}^{2}}{8 \omega_{1}} b_{10}^{2} \sin \varphi_{10}\right] b_{11} } \\
& -\left[\frac{\zeta_{2}}{2} b_{10} \sin \varphi_{10}-\frac{3 \omega_{2}^{2}}{8 \omega_{1}} b_{10}^{3} \cos \varphi_{10}\right] \varphi_{11}-\left[\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}} \cos \varphi_{20}\right] \varphi_{21}  \tag{56}\\
\varphi_{21}^{\prime}= & {\left[\frac{\sigma}{a_{10}}\right] a_{11}+\left[\frac{\zeta_{2}}{2 a_{10}} \sin \varphi_{10}-\frac{9 \omega_{2}^{2}}{8 \omega_{1}} b_{10}^{2} \cos \varphi_{10}\right] b_{11} } \\
& -\left[\frac{\zeta_{2}}{2 a_{10}} b_{10} \cos \varphi_{10}+\frac{3 \omega_{2}^{2}}{8 \omega_{1}} b_{10}^{3} \sin \varphi_{10}\right] \varphi_{11}+\left[\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}} \sin \varphi_{20}\right] \varphi_{21}  \tag{57}\\
b_{11}^{\prime}= & {\left[\frac{\zeta_{2}}{2} \cos \varphi_{10}-\frac{\sigma}{2} \omega_{1} \sin \varphi_{10}\right] a_{11}+\left[\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2}(1+\delta)\right] b_{11} } \\
& -\left[\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2} \sin \varphi_{10}+\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1} a_{10} \cos \varphi_{10}\right] \varphi_{11}+\left[\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}} \cos \varphi_{30}\right] \varphi_{31}  \tag{58}\\
\varphi_{31}^{\prime}= & -\left[\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2 b_{10}} a_{10} \sin \varphi_{10}+\frac{\delta}{2 b_{10}} \omega_{1} \cos \varphi_{10}\right] a_{11} \\
& +\left[\frac{\delta}{b_{10}}+\frac{9 \omega_{2}^{2}}{8 \omega_{1}}(1+\delta) b_{10}+\frac{\delta}{2 b_{10}} \omega_{1}\right] b_{11} \\
& -\left[\frac{\zeta_{1}}{2 b_{10}} a_{10} \cos \varphi_{10}-\frac{\delta}{2 b_{10}} \omega_{1} a_{10} \sin \varphi_{10}\right] \varphi_{11}-\left[\frac{f}{4 \omega_{1}} \sin \varphi_{30}\right] \varphi_{31} \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

The stability of a particular fixed point with respect to perturbations proportional to $\exp (\lambda t)$ depends on the real parts of the roots of the matrix .thus, a fixed point given by Eqs. (56)-(59) is asymptotically stable if and only if the real parts of all roots of the matrix are negative.

## 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The non linear dynamical system without absorber is solved numerically using Maple, at non resonance case (basic case) as shown in Fig.1, we can see that the steady state amplitude is about 0.002 ( 0.0014 times of the excitation amplitude $f$ ) and the system is stable. All resonance cases obtained the worst cases as shown in Fig.2, the resonance case $\omega_{1}=\Omega+\omega$ the steady state amplitude is increased to 15 times of the basic case shown in Fig. 2 while the case $\omega_{1}=\Omega-\omega$ the steady state is increased to 30 times of the basic case. Shown in Fig. 2 so, this case is considered to study the stability of the system if the control is active. Frequency response equation (37) is non linear algebraic equation of the amplitude $a$ against the detuning parameter $\sigma$, when the absorber is deactivated $(a \neq 0, b=0)$, this equation is solved numerically as shown in Fig. 4, from this figure we see that the amplitude of the main system is monotonic increasing function on the excitation amplitude $f$ as shown in Fig. 4a, but the amplitude of the main system is monotonic decreasing function on natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ and damping coefficient $\zeta_{1}$ as shown in Fig. 4b and 4c. Frequency response equation (38) and (39) is solved numerically as shown in Fig. 5 and Fig.6, we can obtained that the steady state amplitude of the main system is monotonic decreasing function of the natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ and damping coefficient $\zeta_{1}$ as shown in Figs. $5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}, 6 \mathrm{a}$ and 6 c , and the amplitude of the main system is monotonic increasing function of the excitation force amplitude $f$ as shown in Fig. 5d and Fig.6e. From Figs. 5b, 5d, 6b and 6d, the steady state amplitude of the absorber is monotonic increasing in the natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ and damping coefficient $\zeta_{1}$, which is opposite to the main system. The steady state amplitude of the absorber is monotonic increasing function of the excitation force amplitude $f$ as shown in Fig. 6 f.


Fig. 1 the system behavior without absorber at non resonance case (basic case)


b) $\omega_{1}=\Omega-\omega$

Fig. 2. Some of selected resonance cases of the system without absorber
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Fig. 4. Frequency response curves $(a \neq 0$ and $b=0)$


Fig. 5. Response curves of equation (38) $(a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0)$.


Fig. 6. Response curves of equation (39) $(a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0)$.

## 5.CONCLUSION

The vibration of non- linear dynamical system subjected to tuned excitation force is studied, the worst resonance case is $\left(\omega_{1}=\Omega-\omega\right)$.Hence the stabilty of the system and absorber are studied using the frequency response functions from the above study, the following results are conclloded:

1- The steady state of the system without absorber is about 0.002 which consider as basic case.
2- The worest resonanance case is $\omega_{1}=\Omega-\omega$ the steady state is increased to 30 times of the basic case.
3- The amplitude of the main system is monotonic decreasing function on natural frequency $\omega_{1}$ and damping coefficient $\zeta_{1}$.

4- The amplitude of the main system is monotonic increasing function on the excitation amplitude $f$.
5 - The effectiveness of the controller is Ea is about 6.

## Appendix:

Coefficients of equations (3) and (4):-

$$
\epsilon=\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}}, \zeta_{1}=\frac{c_{1}}{m_{2}}, \zeta_{2}=\frac{c_{2}}{m_{2}}, \omega_{1}^{2}=\frac{k_{1}}{m_{1}}, \omega_{2}^{2}=\frac{k_{2}}{m_{2}}, f=\frac{F}{\epsilon m_{1}}, \delta=\frac{1}{\epsilon}
$$

Coefficients of equation (50):-

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{1}= & \zeta_{1}+(1+\delta) \zeta_{2}, r_{2}=\frac{\zeta_{1}^{2}}{4}+\zeta_{1} \zeta_{2}(1+\delta)+\frac{\zeta_{2}^{2}}{4}(1+\delta)^{2}+\sigma^{2}+\left(\sigma-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1}\right)^{2} \\
r_{3}= & \frac{\zeta_{1}^{2} \zeta_{2}}{4}(1+\delta)+\frac{\zeta_{2}^{2} \zeta_{1}}{4}(1+\delta)^{2}+\zeta_{1}\left(\sigma-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1}\right)^{2}+\sigma^{2} \zeta_{2}(1+\delta)-\frac{\delta \sigma \zeta_{2}}{4} \omega_{1} \\
r_{4}= & \frac{\zeta_{1}^{2} \zeta_{2}^{2}}{16}(1+\delta)+\frac{\zeta_{1}^{2}}{4}\left(\sigma-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1}\right)^{2}+\sigma^{2} \zeta_{2}^{2}(1+\delta)^{2}+\sigma^{2}\left(\sigma-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1}\right)^{2}- \\
& \frac{\delta \sigma}{4} \zeta_{2}^{2} \omega_{1}(1+\delta)+\frac{\sigma}{4} \zeta_{1} \zeta_{2}\left(\sigma-\frac{\delta}{2} \omega_{1}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$
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