CDA-OPTIMUM DESIGN FOR PARAMETER ESTIMATION, MINIMIZING THE AVERAGE VARIANCE AND ESTIMATING THE AREA UNDER THE CURVE

Mohamed M.E. Abd El-Monsef&Sally M. El-Sobky Faculty of Science, Tanta University mmezzat@science.tanta.edu.eg Faculty of Science, Tanta University sally.mohamed@science.tanta.edu.eg

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to introduce a new compound optimum design named *CDA*, by combining the C-optimality, D-optimality, and A-optimality together. The significance of the proposed compound gains from that it can be used for parameter estimation, minimizing the average variance and model estimation simultaneously.

KEYWORDS: optimum design; C-optimality; D-optimality; A-optimality; compound criteria.



Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System Journal: JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS

Vol .11, No.8

www.cirjam.com, editorjam@gmail.com



ISSN 2347-1921

1. INTRODUCTION

Cook and Wong [2] considered a compound optimality criterion that is a convex combination of the two concave criteria and so we can find the optimal design directly as if this is a single objective optimal design problem. D-optimality focuses

on the variances of the estimates of the coefficients in the model, which minimizing the determinant of $(X^T X)^{-1}$ which is

equivalent to maximizing the determinant of $X^T X$. An exact design is called D-optimal, if it minimizes the determinant D of the covariance matrix. C-optimality interest is in estimating the linear combination of the parameters $c^T \beta$ with minimum

variance, where c is a known vector of constants. In A-optimality $tr M^{-1}(\xi)$, the total variance of the parameter estimates, is minimized, equivalent to minimizing the average variance. This paper is organized as follows; the C -, D -, A – Optimum Designs were introduced in Section 2. The CDA-optimality was derived in section 3 and some of its properties were discussed. The generalized CDA- Optimum Design was introduced in Section 4.

2. C-, D-, A - OPTIMUM DESIGNS

C-optimality introduced by Elfving [2] which provided a geometrical interpretation for finding c-optimal designs and developed by Silvey and Titterington [10] and Titterington[11]. Fellman [4]justified that at most m linearly independent support points are needed for a c-optimal design. Pukelsheim and Torsney [9]introduced a method for computing c-optimal weights given the support points. C-optimality minimize the variance of the best linear unbiased estimate for a given linear combination of the model parameters $c^T \theta$, where c is $p \times 1$, a vector of a known constants. The c-optimality criterion to be minimized is thus

$$\operatorname{var} c^T \hat{\theta} \propto c^T M^{-1}(\xi) c$$

The aim of c-optimality is to obtain the best design for estimating the linear combination of the parameters

$$c_1\theta_1 + \dots + c_p\theta_p = c^T\theta$$

The efficiency of any design ξ relative to C-optimum design is defined as:

$$Eff^{c}(\xi) = \frac{c^{T} M^{-1}(\xi_{c}^{*})c}{c^{T} M^{-1}(\xi)c}$$

C-optimality is defined as min var $(C^T \theta)$, which is proportional to $C^T M^{-1}(\xi)C$. A disadvantage of c-optimum designs is that they are often singular.

D- Optimum Design

D-optimum design is one of the most commonly used design criteria for linear regression model that is also known as the **D**eterminant criterion. This criterion introduced by Wald [12], and later was called D-optimality by Kiefer and Wolfowitz [5]. The D-Optimality is the most common criterion due to numerous applications found in the literature; see for example, Latif and Zafar Yab [6]and Poursina and Talebi [8]. D-optimality criterion is just to maximize the determinant of the Fisher information matrix, $|X^TX|$, this means that the optimal design matrix X^* contains the n experiments which maximizes the determinant of X^TX .

Mathematically,

 $\left|X^{*^{T}}X^{*}\right| = \max\left(\left|X^{T}X\right|\right)$

Maximizing the determinant of the information matrix $X^T X$ is equivalent to minimizing the determinant of the dispersion matrix $(X^T X)^{-1}$. Using such an idea, the D-efficiency of an arbitrary design, *X*, is naturally defined as

$$Eff(D) = \left\{ \frac{|M(\xi)|}{|M(\xi_D^*)|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

A-Optimum Design

A-optimality criterion introduced by Chernoff [1]; who showed that the employed criterion of optimality is the one that involves the use of Fisher's information matrix. Invariance under re-parameterization loses its appeal if the parameters of interest have a definite physical meaning. Then the average-variance criterion provides a reasonable alternative. If the coefficient matrix is partitioned into its columns, $K = (c_1, ..., c_s)$, then the inverse $1/\phi_{-1}$ can be represented as



ISSN 2347-1921

$$\frac{1}{\phi_{-1}(C_{K}(A))} = \frac{1}{s}tr(C_{K}(A)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{s}tr(K'A^{-}K) = \frac{1}{s}\sum_{j\leq s}c_{j}A^{-}c_{j}$$

This is the average of the standardized variances of the optimal estimators for the scalar parameter systems $c_1 \theta, ..., c_s \theta$ formed from the columns of K. From the point of view of computational complexity, the criterion ϕ_{-1} is particularly simple to evaluate since it only requires the computation of the *s* diagonal entries of the dispersion matrix $K'A^-K$.

3. CDA- OPTIMUM DESIGN

To obtainparameter estimation, minimizing the average variance and model estimation of the area under the curve, a new compound criteria called *CDA* is introduced.*CDA* is constructed by combing C, D and A-optimality. By maximizing a weighted product of the efficiencies

$$\left\{ Eff^{(c)} \right\}^{k} \cdot \left\{ Eff^{(D)} \right\}^{k(1-k)} \cdot \left\{ Eff^{(A)} \right\}^{(k-1)^{2}}$$

Then taking the logarithm we get

$$k \log \{ Eff^{(c)} \} + k(1-k) \{ Eff^{(D)} \} + (k-1)^{2} \{ Eff^{(A)} \}$$
$$= k \log \left\{ \frac{c^{T} M^{-1}(\xi_{c}^{*})c}{c^{T} M^{-1}(\xi)c} \right\} + k(1-k) \log \left\{ \frac{|M(\xi)|}{|M(\xi_{D}^{*})|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{P}} + (k-1)^{2} \log \left\{ \frac{tr M^{-1}(\xi_{A}^{*})}{tr M^{-1}(\xi)} \right\}$$
$$= -k \log \left\{ c^{T} M^{-1}(\xi)c \right\} + k(1-k) \log \left\{ |M(\xi)| \right\}^{\frac{1}{P}} + (k-1)^{2} \log \left\{ tr M^{-1}(\xi) \right\}$$

The terms containing ξ_c^* , ξ_D^* and ξ_A^* are constants, a maximum is found over ξ . Hence, the criterion that has to be maximized is given by

$$\Phi^{(CDA)}(\xi) = -k \log \left\{ c^T M^{-1}(\xi) c \right\} + \frac{k(1-k)}{p} \log \left\{ M^{-1}(\xi) \right\} + (k-1)^2 \log \left\{ r M^{-1}(\xi) \right\}$$

and the derivative function for CDA-optimality is

$$\phi^{(CDA)}(x,\xi) = \frac{-k\left\{f^{T}(x)M^{-1}(\xi)c\right\}^{2}}{c^{T}M^{-1}(\xi)c} + \frac{(k-1)^{2}}{p}\left\{f^{T}(x)M^{-1}f(x)\right\} + (k-1)^{2}\left\{f^{T}(x)M^{-2}f(x)\right\}$$

A CDA-optimum design, ξ^*_{CDA} , maximizes $\Phi_{CDA}(\xi)$ or equivalently $\log \Phi_{CDA}(\xi)$. The equivalence theorem can now be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.

- i. A necessary and sufficient condition for a design ξ_{CDA}^* to be CDA-optimum is fulfillment of the inequality $\phi^{(CDA)}(x, \xi_{CDA}^*) \leq 1, x \in \chi$.
- ii. The upper bound of $\phi^{(CDA)}(x, \xi^*_{CDA})$ is achieved at the points of the optimum design.
- iii. For any non-optimum design ξ_1 that is a design for which $\Phi^{(CDA)}(\xi) < \Phi^{(CDA)}(\xi_{CDA}^*)$ and $\sup_{x \in \chi} \phi^{(CDA)}(x, \xi_{CDA}^*) > 1.$

A measure of efficiency of a design ξ relative to a CDA-optimum design is given by

$$Eff_{CDKL}(\xi) = \frac{\Phi_{CDA}(\xi)}{\Phi_{CDA}(\xi_{CDA}^*)}$$

The proof can be made directly, since $\Phi^{(CDA)}(\xi)$, $0 \le k \le 1$ is a convex combination of three optimum design criteria, so the CDA-criterion is also convex and satisfying convexity conditions.



Properties of CDA-Optimality

A good design should give a small variance matrix, therefore the function Φ is related to the variance matrix, and should have following properties:

- i. Non-negativity: $\Phi_{CDA}(M) \ge 0$,
- ii. **Isotonicity:** if $(M^* M)$ is a positive semi-definite matrix, then $\Phi[M^*] \ge \Phi[M]$.
- iii. Positive homogeneity: $\Phi[kM] = k \Phi[M]; k > 0$,
- iv. Superadditivity: $\Phi[M + M^*] \ge \Phi[M] + \Phi[M^*]$.

The previous properties are important to define with a proper scaling the relative efficiency of an experiment (or a design with the matrix M) with respect to another reference experiment with M^* . Pazman [7] discussed some other optimality properties for small samples.

4. THE GENERALIZED CDA-OPTIMALITY:

A generalized CDA-criterion will be introduced as:

$$\Phi^{(GCDA)}(\xi) = -\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j} \log \left\{ A_{j}^{T} c^{T} M^{-1}(\xi) c A_{j} \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{s_{i}}{b_{i}} \log \left\{ \left| A_{i}^{T} M^{-1}(\xi) A_{i} \right| \right\} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} c_{l} \log tr \left\{ A_{l}^{T} M^{-1}(\xi) A_{l} \right\}$$

where, a_i, s_i, b_i and c_l are sets of non-negative coefficients reflecting the importance of the parts of the design criteria.

REFERENCES

- Chernoff, H. (1953). "Locally optimal designs for estimating parameters". Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 24, 586– 602.
- [2] Cook, R. and Wong, W. (1994). "On the equivalence of constrained and compound optimal designs". Journal of the American Statistical Association, 89, 687–92.
- [3] Elfving, G. (1952). "Optimum allocation in linear regression theory". Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 23, 255–262.
- [4] Fellman, J. (1974). "On the allocation of linear observations". Commentationes Physico-Mathematicae, Helsinki, 44, 27-78.
- [5] Kiefer, J. and Wolfowitz, J. (1959). "Optimum designs in regression problems". Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 30, 271–294.
- [6] Latif, S. and Zafar Yab, M. (2015). "D-optimal designs for beta regression models with single predictor". Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 85(9), 1709-1724.
- [7] Pazman, A. (2007). "Criteria for optimal design of small-sample experiments with correlated observations". Kybernetika, 43(4), 453 – 462.
- [8] Poursina, D. and Talebi, H. (2014). "Modified D-optimal design for logistic model". Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 84(2), 428-437.
- [9] Pukelsheim, F. and Torsney, B. (1991). "Optimal weights for experimental designs on linearly independent support points". Annals of Statistics, 19, 1614-1625.
- [10] Silvey, S. and Titterington, D. (1973). "A geometric approach to optimal design theory". Biometrika, 60, 15-19.
- [11] Titterington, D. (1975). "Optimal design: some geometrical aspects of D-optimality". Biometrika, 62, 313-320.
- [12] Wald, A. (1943). "On the efficient design of statistical investigations". Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 14, 134–140.