

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

4,800

Open access books available

122,000

International authors and editors

135M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.

For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Leadership Approaches to Developing an Effective Drug Treatment System

Erick G. Guerrero and Tenie Khachikian

Abstract

Improving the effectiveness of the substance use disorder (SUD) treatment requires leadership approaches that have an impact on the effectiveness of drug treatment. To promote this positive system change, we define leadership beyond leaders' characteristics. We consider leadership as a developmental competency among individuals, as well as the relational role of followers and the enabling context of organizational climate which together create a system of influence. Using this developing framework, we discuss how the foundations of certain leadership styles, like transformational leadership can be enacted by program leaders to improve the human and program resources necessary to deliver culturally responsive and evidence-based treatment for some of the most vulnerable groups struggling with SUDs. Building on their transformational and implementation competencies, program leaders can promote organizational climates and program and financial approaches to deliver effective care to some of the most vulnerable populations. We provide a case study to stimulate discussion on how leadership can trickle down to staff to improve care for vulnerable clients.

Keywords: leadership, organizational climate, diversity, evidence-based practice, treatment effectiveness

1. Introduction

Leaders in substance use disorder (SUD) treatment organizations face significant challenges to improve the effectiveness of drug treatment system. Among the most significant challenges are responding to an increasingly diverse client population with high rates of co-occurring medical conditions and high levels of comorbidity [1]. To deliver such practices, program leaders, which represent mainly managers (directors and supervisors) need to have a workforce with reduced rates of burnout and mitigate this and other factors that lead to high turnover rates as well [1–3]. Additionally, program leaders need to prepare the treatment workforce to deliver evidence-based practices and sustain that delivery overtime. To do so, program leaders require leadership to implement practices that are effective and culturally responsive.

SUD treatment programs overall are challenged by limited human and program resources and poorly organized financial incentives and payment systems [4]. Leaders of these programs constantly seek to stabilize funding, improve technical

resources, and mitigate the risk of staff turnover [1, 5, 6]. These factors alone handicap program's ability to deliver effective services [4]. Despite these challenges, program administrators have limited formal training to increase the level of readiness of counselors to deliver evidence-based practices and measure client outcomes [2, 3, 7, 8]. SUD treatment leaders face increasing pressures from federal and state institutions to deliver evidence-based practices (EBPs) to reduce disparities between health outcomes of racial and ethnic minorities compared to Whites [9, 10]. Leadership is a key factor associated with implementation of EBPs given that organizational leaders are generally responsible for overseeing the implementation process [11]. It is necessary to understand how leadership can influence the effectiveness of care in SUD treatment.

Transformational leadership is the type of leadership that has the most empirical support in the extant literature [12]. It is generally characterized by the leader's ability to inspire others to follow a particular course of action [13]. These leaders draw from the unique talents of each staff member, provide them feedback based on staff needs, stimulate their problem solving abilities and create a sense of shared purpose [13, 14]. Although transactional leadership, which is based on reinforcing performance using rewards is also commonly used by managers [15–17], transformational leadership has demonstrated a higher impact motivating staff to improve performance, which in behavioral health generally translates into delivering treatment with fidelity [12, 14, 18].

The extant literature suggests that leadership affects implementation of EBPs both directly and indirectly by shaping the organizational context, which then influences employee behaviors [19]. This chapter focused on a deeper understanding of the leaders' relationship with followers and the role of context (organizational climate), in facilitating leadership across the organization. We focus mainly on transformational leadership and the context of service delivery of SUD treatment.

We begin laying the theoretical foundation of ways in which leadership at the director or upper management level may influence treatment staff (supervisors and counselors) to improve care. Then we highlight the differential training necessary for upper and middle level managers to improve the implementation and impact of effective practices. Upper managers need leadership training on creating buy-in using role modeling and promoting employees' professional development. In contrast, middle managers (supervisors) need leadership training on implementation approaches to prioritize, guide, promote and supervise implementation of needed practice to improve the effectiveness of care. Together, leadership at the upper and middle management levels can make a difference in improving the quality of care in SUD treatment systems.

In building a comprehensive framework of leadership in SUD treatment, we consider the role of context (i.e., organizational climate) to support the delivery of EBPs in SUD treatment. Organizational climate is considered employees' shared perception of what is rewarded, promoted, and punished in their organization. Because leaders' communication and prioritization generally show what is rewarded, promoted and punished in the workplace, it is critical to examine the relationship between leadership and climate. For instance, program directors' prioritization of new norms and expectations (e.g., quality of care) may influence counselor's adoption of those norms and endorsement of congruent practices (e.g., EBPs). Because the organizational climate (context) supports and encourages employees in implementing a new practice [20] the leader-climate-practice mechanism is critical to improve the quality of care.

Researchers have explored the leader–climate–practice mechanism in diverse fields, such as industrial safety [21], corporate customer services [22], and

evidence-based health care practices [23]. Exploration of the extent to which this mechanism applies to implementing effective practices in SUD treatment is warranted.

To contextualize the leader-climate-practice mechanism in SUD, it is critical to describe the structure of this system. SUD treatment programs in the United States are generally small with an average of five to six employees, with less than 1 million in revenue and with a mix of professional and paraprofessional counselors. That is, the field has a significant number of counselors in recovery with limited formal academic education. Because these programs are small, managers have a frequent and strong relationship with treatment staff. Because the relationship among program staff is close, leadership and climate can be considered major drivers of organizational change.

The following narrative describes the theory and application of two main mechanisms whereby leadership among program directors influence middle managers (i.e. supervisors) and in turn counselors on: (1) how directorial leadership may influence middle managers and direct service staff and (2) how a supportive context, (i.e., organizational climate) may enhance the influence of leadership on direct service staff implementation of effective or EBPs.

2. Leadership across management and direct service staff

2.1 Theoretical framework

Research suggests that director's transformational leadership is necessary to ensure the implementation of policies and practices [24] with limited studies examining the role of middle managers to contribute to implementation [25]. Leadership at different levels of management is one mechanism for implementing needed practices to improve the effectiveness of SUD treatment programs. Because SUD treatment programs generally have a director and supervisor who plays a leadership role in direct change, it is important to distinguish their contribution to improving effectiveness in treatment.

To distinguish the contribution of directors and supervisors' leadership to effectively implement EBPs, we discuss the leadership of both top and middle managers in the implementation process. For instance, how directors' transformational leadership (ability to inspire employees to follow a particular course of action) and middle managers' implementation leadership (supporting staff in implementing EBPs) may support counselors' efforts to deliver EBPs. These EBPs can include the most common and effective practices, such as contingency management treatment (CMT) and medication-assisted treatment (MAT). CMT is a psychosocial intervention based on principles of behavior modification (e.g., clients receive a gift card for a clean drug test) with significant empirical support [26]. MAT is a pharmacological intervention that relies on specific drugs (e.g. buprenorphine, vivitrol, and naloxone) to reduce cravings or block effects for alcohol and illegal drugs. Delivering these two EBPs in SUD treatment would increase its effectiveness. Unfortunately, only one third of programs offer these EBPs in the United States [27], and if offered, they are poorly or inconsistently delivered [28, 29].

2.2 Top managers' transformational leadership

Treatment staff may benefit from transformational leadership from their program directors. That is, directors may communicate values, goals and vision to develop a system to improve decision making. Directors enacting transformational

leadership can influence treatment staff attitudes toward, adoption and implementation of, and use of EBPs in SUD treatment [30]. In particular, directors may enhance their energy and attention in promoting staff's professional growth and gaining their trust in director's vision. For example, on the implementation of EBPs, directors may invest in gaining buy-in from middle managers about approaches to improve quality of care, and buy-in from counselors about the benefits of delivering EBPs and achieving recovery results for clients.

2.3 Middle managers' implementation leadership

Whereas program directors may direct their energy in creating buy-in about the benefits of delivering EBPs, middle managers or supervisors can focus on communicating management commitment to implementation of EBPs [31]. Middle managers have different mechanisms to focus on this commitment through communication, training, coaching, and encouragement [32] that lead staff to changing service delivery behaviors [33].

Growing attention on middle managers' abilities to communicate, integrate, interpret, and synthesize issues are critical to support the concrete needs of counselors to implement EBPs [33]. A recently developed framework of implementation leadership is based on the foundation of middle managers' leadership approaches to be (1) proactive, (2) knowledgeable, (3) supportive and (4) perseverant to best support the implementation efforts. (1) Proactive leadership consists of problem solving behavior to accomplish implementation, while (2) knowledge leadership is well connected to the authority of knowledge about an EBP and its implementation needs; (3) Supportive leadership, authors argue is necessary to recognize, appreciate and guide employee' implementation efforts and (4) perseverant leadership challenges leaders to carry through the challenges, and address issues that may cause the implementation to falter. Together, these four categories are connected to leadership literature that is critical in influencing others, but in this case target implementation of EBPs.

When managers consistently communicate the priority of and act to support the implementation of a practice, they are more likely to influence employee action [34]. Communication with employees must come from middle managers who are the proximate manager to guide staff through the concrete, technical and cultural aspects of delivering effective treatment. Moreover, knowledgeable, supportive, and consistent approaches are expected from middle managers as staff engage in the implementation of a novel practice. Top managers or directors in turn, should focus on supporting supervisors and employees in engaging in the implementation and constantly communicate the mission and get buy-in into the overall goal of the program and commitment to quality of care.

Leadership influence across management is a social exchange across individuals with different roles, status, competencies, and responsibilities [35]. Hence, we argue that there is a cascading influence of multilevel leadership, from top managers to middle managers and from middle managers to employee attitudes and behaviors.

It is not clear how managers enacting different leadership styles operate simultaneously to influence front line workers' performance [36]. Some research has explored how specific leadership approaches and organizational context support the implementation of effective practices that improve organizational performance [37]. Although the leader-follower relationship is critical to create and promote organizational change [34], it is not clear how leaders can impact this relationship to achieve desired outcomes. We discussed the critical relationship among three main actors (top manager, middle manager and counselor) in the implementation

process, but the enabling context of organizational climate may facilitate or restrict leaders' influence on organizational change.

3. Leadership and organizational climate influence on staff

Defined as employees' shared perception of what is expected, promoted, supported, rewarded and punished within the workplace, organizational climate could be considered a product of leadership. Leaders shape the norms and practices of the workplace, which can directly and indirectly influence implementation practices. This is particularly the case in SUD treatment programs that are generally small, hierarchical, and intimate.

3.1 Theoretical framework

Because transformational leadership behaviors are proven to create organizational change, it is warranted to consider transformational leaders to create an organizational context conducive to implementing new practices. The extant literature suggests that leaders positively or negatively contribute to the creation, development, and sustainment of an organizational climate that fosters employee attitudes and behaviors that support innovative practice use [38–40]. In a strong implementation climate, employees perceive new practices as a priority rather than a distraction or disruption [41, 42]. Several studies have found a positive association between implementation climate and implementation effectiveness, although empirical studies of implementation climate are limited [43–45].

3.2 Organizational climate as a supporting factor in implementation and quality of care

The extant literature suggests that leaders may shape organizational climate through a social learning process in which staff members repeatedly interact with and observe their leader to interpret organizational priorities [39, 46]. In their interaction with followers, leaders communicate the importance of various tasks through their behavior and interactions with employees. In SUD treatment, leaders develop strategic goals to communicate their organizational mission, monitor and supervise staff activities, model desired behavior, and reward staff behavior in line with the prioritized behavior or outcome [47–49].

Experts suggest that leaders rely on three main approaches to communicate priorities. They explicitly or implicitly communicate what behavior or attitudes they value, what behavior or attitudes should be rewarded, what behavior or attitudes should be punished. By communicating their expectations and priorities in these ways, leaders develop, support, and perpetuate an organizational climate [50].

4. Ethnic leaders' influence on the implementation of cultural competence

The cultural background of individuals with decision making power and leadership potential has also become an important factor to consider in the study of long-term implementation of culturally responsive practices [51]. Managers' ethnic background may enhance their commitment to cultural practices that represent their values and experiences serving racial/ethnic minorities. This familiarity with cultural background and life experience may be a powerful enabler

of implementation of culturally responsive and evidence-based practices. There is a need to address implementation in this context, as it can help SUD treatment programs located in minority communities to consistently respond to the cultural and language service needs of racial and ethnic minority patients [52].

We propose that it is critical to explore how leadership cascades from top management to direct service, how climate can be an enabling factor to deliver quality of care, and finally how the ethnic background of transformational leaders may help the implementation process that included service practices that are culturally tailored, and directed toward cultural minorities.

Although there may be other barriers and facilitators of implementation of culturally responsive and evidence-based practices, as well as an abundant literature on leadership approaches to promote organizational change, this chapter describes active components of leadership and the organizational context that may drive the implementation of EBPs in SUD treatment organizations. By enacting leadership styles at different levels, and promoting a supporting climate for implementation, SUD treatment leaders may work on specific transformational behaviors among directors, implementation leadership at the supervisor level, and organizational climate to support the implementation process.

Although the characteristics of leaders are associated with organizational outcomes, critical characteristics like ethnic background is associated with increased commitment to deliver culturally responsive SUD treatment. Ethnic minority leaders may enhance the delivery of quality of care in SUD treatment to minority clients.

5. Implications for management to support treatment effectiveness

The proposed conceptual model highlights how leadership, conceptualized as influence on employees to deliver quality of care relies on managers at different levels, and can be supported by the climate of the organization. Because individuals within SUD treatment programs have different roles, responsibilities and skills, it is important to understand how each of these individuals may best prepare to implement culturally responsive and evidence-based care that enhance treatment effectiveness.

Upper managers, or program directors should consider building on their leadership abilities to communicate a vision for the treatment programs consistent with treatment effectiveness. Directors should also build competencies to appraise individual strengths, communicate them to staff, and learn how to link these strengths with program goals. To accomplish this task, directors should allow themselves to spend significant time and resources positively relating to staff, including middle managers or supervisors. Obtaining buy-in from supervisors should be one of the director's goal. By developing credibility and trust among supervisors, directors would be able to reach direct service staff, or counselors. In short, directors should invest in transformational leadership qualities that build on a genuine person with a clear view of what is to be accomplished and with a sincere approach to supporting the professional growth of staff.

Middle managers or [clinical] supervisors should consider building on their leadership abilities to help staff effectively implement practices. Building on capacities to be proactive and respond to staff questions and comments about the implementation process or the practice to be implemented is critical. Supervisors should also invest in developing the knowledge of both the implementation process as well as the EBPs considered for implementation and ways to evaluate their outcomes. Supervisors should also demonstrate supportive leadership to recognize,

appreciate, and guide staff's implementation efforts. Finally, the supervisor's need to role model perseverant leadership to carry through the challenges and address all the many shortcomings during the implementation process. These four approaches provide a capacity-building plan for supervisors to enhance the transformational leadership efforts from their program directors, and contribute concrete capacities to influencing staff to deliver quality of care.

Both, upper and middle managers, using their own leadership approach can start shaping the organizational climate of the treatment organization. But for the climate to be a driver of quality of care, both manager types need to be consistent in communicating their priorities to treatment staff and reducing any contingencies that may keep staff from achieving program goals. Supplementing an organizational climate that supports the delivery of culturally responsive and evidence-based care can become an active driver of quality of care.

6. Case example

John Clark, a Caucasian male in his upper 60s was generally regarded as a friendly and hard-working program director. He managed one of over 400 substance use disorder treatment programs located in one of the largest cities in the West Coast. Like most others, his program had a supervisor overseeing 5 counselors, 3 coordinators and 2 office staff. Mr. Clark was often proud that he promoted a great sense of collegiality among his staff and that his workplace was always jovial and productive. During state and county meetings, he also reaffirmed his commitment to cultural competence in response to the increasing client diversity in his program. Almost half of his clients were women, and 70 percent self-report a non-White racial background.

During program meetings, Mr. Clark emphasized the importance of delivering evidence-based practices tailored to their diverse population. He often delivered expectations with a great sense of humor, which made program meetings entertaining. But staff were growing frustrated by the lack of meaningful support to implement the proposed EBPs. Staff were also constantly confused how to adapt EBPs to meet the service needs of women, Latinos and African Americans, their main demographics.

Among his peers at other programs, Mr. Clark was a leader in the field, while in his program, there was an increasing frustration by what many saw as "empty rhetoric." Meanwhile, Ms. Jenkins, a 55-year-old Caucasian clinical supervisor spent most of her time dealing with billing and human resources issues, not able to provide meaningful support to deliver quality of care. Treatment staff were constantly pulled in different directions to comply with billing issues, while their professional development and self-efficacy needs were not addressed.

Juan Lopez, a 60-year-old Latino counselor was the most vocal staff complaining about the lack of counselor diversity and limited evaluation of program effectiveness. For years, he had requested additional Spanish speaking counselors with training in mental health issues to respond to the increasing numbers of Latino clients suffering from mental health and substance use disorders. Juan and some of his peer also needed more training to respond to the higher severity of mental health issues they were encountering with their clients. The treatment staff requested more guidance and evaluation of their current practices. They grew frustrated with an increasing number of clients who passed through their program two to three times a year with limited signs of progress.

These program and service delivery concerns started to reach funders and Mr. Clark's leadership on delivering effective and culturally responsive care was

being challenged among his peers. The program was losing funding. Some funders suspended funding or added restrictions based on showing evidence of program performance. Two of the five treatment staff and one of the office staff resigned and move to another nearby program.

1. Why would programs falter with Mr. Clark's kind of leadership?
2. How does his leadership trickle down to his supervisor and staff?
3. What did Mr. Clark, as program director need to do to ensure his treatment staff was prepared to deliver culturally responsive and evidence-based care?
4. What did Mr. Clark, as a leader need to do to ensure his treatment staff was prepared to deliver culturally responsive and evidence-based care?
5. To what extent Mr. Clark would benefit from developing competencies in transformational leadership?
6. To what extent Ms. Jenkins would benefit from developing competencies in implementation leadership?
7. What may be key approaches to developing a competent and diverse treatment workforce?

7. Conclusion

Leaders in SUD treatment face significant challenges to improve the effectiveness of drug treatment. As this treatment system must withstand funding uncertainty, limited technical resources and workforce development needs [1, 5, 6], program managers require unique leadership approaches. Training managers to develop their leadership capacity to help their staff implement EBPs with fidelity has become a unique feature to improve effectiveness needs in this system [53].

However, like other human service organizations, program leaders in SUD treatment may need to develop a comprehensive organizational development plan. This plan may include a professional pipeline to prepare counselors and early managers from racial and ethnic minority backgrounds to become competent middle and upper managers [54]. This approach would response to the increasing cultural diversity in the client population, as well as the diversity of their co-occurring medical conditions.

The framework proposed in this chapter highlights some of the most significant problems that the SUD treatment system faces—funding, technology and workforce. We discussed a leadership approach that assumes a trickledown effect where leading managers are more likely to develop effective counselors, and where these counselors are better prepared to respond to the recovery service needs of a diverse client population.

To enhance and sustain effectiveness in SUD treatment, a leadership capacity plan may also include a succession planning and alignment. Leaders in the SUD treatment system may reduce uncertainty in operations and funding and increase equity and inclusion with a comprehensive vision. The extant literature on leadership offers a wealth of examples on how leaders' vision activates followers and lead to organizational effectiveness [12–14].

To support the delivery of effective care, competent leaders may promote an organizational climate of trust and align resources to sustain service delivery [54]. This organizational climate can enable learning among staff to gradually improve the quality of care. Moreover, having an effective and inclusive succession plan and a climate of trust and support may become the driver of quality of care. In short, it may be that through the development of transformational leadership that program managers may be able to shape the human and program resources to reliably help clients achieve recovery.

To help SUD treatment systems to deliver effective care, a research agenda needs to consider modifiable organizational factors that make evidence-based treatment effective. One of these drivers is leadership, considered “influence” in the system. Organizational interventions need to include leaders, followers and context to have a “system of influence” that impact effectiveness. Policy makers, healthcare administrators, program managers and counselors may benefit from developing this leadership approach to improve recovery.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the support and contributions of the Integrated Substance Abuse Treatment to Eliminate Disparities (www.isated.com) research team. In particular, we recognize the support of Veronica Serret, Angelique Montgomery and Yinfei Kong to develop the material presented in this chapter. We would also like to acknowledge the support and feedback provided by the Los Angeles County Department of Public Health leaders and in particular, Dr. Tina Kim, the director of research for the Substance Abuse Prevention and Control.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Thanks

We thank the large network of treatment providers that have provided feedback to different versions of the material presented in this chapter through their participation in the numerous research projects conducted in Los Angeles County, California. Without their support and feedback, the authors could not have developed the material presented in this chapter.

Abbreviations

CMT	contingency management treatment
MAT	medication-assisted treatment
EBPs	evidence-based practices
SUD	substance use disorder

IntechOpen

Author details

Erick G. Guerrero^{1*} and Tenie Khachikian²

1 I-Lead Institute, Research to End Healthcare Disparities Corp, Santa Monica, CA, United States

2 University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, United States

*Address all correspondence to: erickguerrero454@gmail.com

IntechOpen

© 2020 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 

References

- [1] Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Substance abuse treatment facilities provide programs to fit clients; 2007. Available from: <http://www.samhsa.gov/newsroom/advisories/0706140319.aspx>
- [2] Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT). Clinical supervision and professional development of the substance abuse counselor. Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series 52, DHHS Publication No. (SMA) 09-4377. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration; 2009a
- [3] Center for Substance Abuse Treatment (CSAT). Implementing change in substance abuse treatment programs. Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series 31, DHHS Publication No. (SMA) 09-4377. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration; 2009b
- [4] McLellan AT, Carise D, Kleber HD. Can the national addiction treatment infrastructure support the public's demand for quality care? *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 2003;25(2):117-121. DOI: 10.1016/S0740-5472(03)00156-9
- [5] D'Aunno T. The role of organization and management in substance abuse treatment: Review and roadmap. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 2006;31:221-233
- [6] Roman PM, Ducharme LJ, Knudsen HK. Patterns of organization and management in private and public substance abuse treatment programs. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 2006;31(3):235-243. DOI: 10.1016/j.jsat.2006.06.017
- [7] Fixsen DL, Naoom SF, Blase KA, Friedman RM, Wallace F. Implementation research: A synthesis of the literature. FMHI Publication No. 231. Tampa, FL: University of South Florida, Louis de la Parte Florida Mental Health Institute, National Implementation Research Network; 2005
- [8] Rawson R, McClelland T. Healthcare reform and treatment: Changes in organization, financing, and standards of care. Posted presented at the meeting of County Alcohol and Drug Program Administrators' Association of California. Sacramento, California; 2010
- [9] Institute of Medicine. Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the Twenty-First Century. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2001
- [10] Institute of Medicine. Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2013
- [11] Battilana J, Gilmartin M, Sengul M, Pache AC, Alexander JA. Leadership competencies for implementing planned organizational change. *The Leadership Quarterly*. 2010;21:422-438
- [12] Judge TA, Piccolo RF. Transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic test of their relative validity. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*. 2004;89:755-768
- [13] Bass BM, Avolio BJ. Improving Organizational Effectiveness through Transformational Leadership. Thousand Oaks: Sage; 1994
- [14] Bass BM, Avolio BJ, Jung DI, Berson Y. Predicting unit performance by assessing transformational and transactional leadership. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*. 2003;88:207-218
- [15] Aarons GA, Ehrhart MG, Farahnak LR, Sklar M. Aligning

leadership across systems and organizations to develop a strategic climate for evidence-based.

Annual Review of Public Health. 2014;**35**:255-274

[16] Aarons GA, Ehrhart MG, Moullin JC, Torres EM, Green AE. Testing the leadership and organizational change for implementation (LOCI) intervention in substance abuse treatment: A cluster randomized trial study protocol. *Implementation Science*. 2016;**12**(1):29

[17] Aarons GA, Ehrhart MG, Farahnak LR. The implementation leadership scale (ILS): Development of a brief measure of unit level implementation leadership. *Implementation Science*. 2014;**9**:45

[18] Aarons GA. Transformational and transactional leadership: Association with attitudes toward evidence-based practice. *Psychiatric Services*. 2006;**57**:1162-1169. DOI: 10.1176/appi.ps.57.8.1162

[19] Dinh JE, Lord RG, Gardner WL, Meuser JD, Liden RC, Hu J. Leadership theory and research in the new millennium: Current theoretical trends and changing perspectives. *The Leadership Quarterly*. 2014;**25**:36-62

[20] Zohar D. The effects of leadership dimensions, safety climate, and assigned priorities on minor injuries in work groups. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*. 2002;**23**:75-92

[21] Zohar D. Safety climate: Conceptualization, measurement, and improvement. In: Schneider B, Barbera KM, editors. *The Oxford Handbook of Organizational Climate and Culture*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2014. pp. 317-334

[22] Schneider B, Ehrhart MG, Macey WH. Organizational climate and

culture. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2013;**64**:361-388

[23] Aarons GA, Ehrhart MG, Farahnak LR, Sklar M. Aligning leadership across systems and organizations to develop strategic climate for evidence-based practice implementation. *Annual Review of Public Health*. 2014;**35**:255-274

[24] Guerrero GE, Kim A. Organizational structure, leadership and readiness for change and the implementation of organizational cultural competence in addiction health services. *Evaluation and Program Planning*. 2013;**40**:74-81

[25] Aarons GA, Ehrhart MG, Farahnak LR, Hurlburt MS. Leadership and organizational change for implementation (LOCI): A randomized mixed method pilot study of a leadership and organization development intervention for evidence-based practice implementation. *Implementation Science*. 2015;**10**:11

[26] Prendergast M, Podus D, Finney J, Greenwell L, Roll J. Contingency management for treatment of substance use disorders: A meta-analysis. *Addiction*. 2006;**101**:1546-1560. DOI: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.2006.01581.x

[27] Knudsen HK, Abraham AJ, Oser CB. Barriers to the implementation of medication-assisted treatment for substance use disorders: The importance of funding policies and medical infrastructure. *Evaluation and Program Planning*. 2011;**34**:375-381. DOI: 10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2011.02.004

[28] Manuel JK, Hagedorn HJ, Finney JW. Implementing evidence-based psychosocial treatment in specialty substance use disorder care. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*. 2011;**25**:225

- [29] McGovern MP, Carroll KM. Evidence-based practices for substance use disorders. *The Psychiatric Clinics of North America*. 2003;**26**:991
- [30] Guerrero EG, Padwa H, Fenwick K, Harris LM, Aarons GA. Identifying and ranking implicit leadership strategies to promote evidence-based practice implementation in addiction health services. *Implementation Science*. 2016;**11**:69. DOI: 10.1186/s13012-016-0438-y
- [31] Birken SA, Lee SYD, Weiner BJ, Chin MH, Chiu M, Schaefer CT. From strategy to action: How top managers' support increases middle managers' commitment to innovation implementation in healthcare organizations. *Health Care Management Review*. 2015;**40**:159
- [32] Engle RL, Lopez ER, Gormley KE, Chan JA, Charms MP, Lukas CV. What roles do middle managers play in implementation of innovative practices? *Health Care Management Review*. 2016;**42**:14-27
- [33] Birken SA, Lee SY, Weiner BJ. Uncovering middle managers' role in healthcare innovation implementation. *Implementation Science*. 2012;**2**(27):28
- [34] Schein EH, Schein P. *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. 5th ed. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2017
- [35] Gottfredson RK, Aguinis H. Leadership behaviors and follower performance: Deductive and inductive examination of theoretical rationales and underlying mechanisms. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*. 2017;**38**: 558-591. DOI: 10.1002/job.2152
- [36] Avolio BJ, Gardner WL, Walumbwa FO, Luthans F, May DR. Unlocking the mask: A look at the process by which authentic leaders impact follower attitudes and behaviors. *The Leadership Quarterly*. 2004;**15**:801-823
- [37] Saboe KN, Taing MU, Way JD, Johnson RE. Examining the unique mediators that underlie the effects of different dimensions of transformational leadership. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*. 2015;**22**:175-186. DOI: 10.1177/1548051814561028
- [38] Aarons GA, Sommerfeld DH. Leadership, innovation climate, and attitudes toward evidence-based practice during a statewide implementation. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2012;**51**:423-431
- [39] Dragoni L. Understanding the emergence of state goal orientation in organizational work groups: The role of leadership and multilevel climate perceptions. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*. 2005;**90**:1084-1095
- [40] Michaelis B, Stegmaier R, Sonntag K. Shedding light on followers' innovation implementation behavior: The role of transformational leadership, commitment to change, and climate for initiative. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*. 2010;**25**:408-429
- [41] Klein KJ, Sorra JS. The challenge of innovation implementation. *The Academy of Management Review*. 1996;**21**:1055-1080
- [42] Klein KJ, Knight AP. Innovation implementation: Overcoming the challenge. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 2005;**14**:243-246
- [43] Klein KJ, Conn AB, Sorra JS. Implementing computerized technology: An organizational analysis. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*. 2001;**86**:811-824
- [44] Holahan PJ, Aronson ZH, Jurkat MP, Schoorman FD. Implementing computer

technology: A multiorganizational test of Klein and Sorra's model. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*. 2004;**21**:31-50

[45] Dong L, Neufeld DJ, Higgins C. Testing Klein and Sorra's innovation implementation model: An empirical examination. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*. 2008;**25**:237-255

[46] Bandura A. *Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social-Cognitive View*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall; 1986

[47] Schein EA. *Organizational Culture and Leadership*. 2nd ed. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 1992

[48] Wimbush JC, Shepard JM. Toward an understanding of ethical climate: Its relationship to ethical behavior and supervisory influence. *Journal of Business Ethics*. 1994;**13**:637-647

[49] Zohar D. The influence of leadership and climate on occupational health and safety. In: Hofmann DA, Tetrick LE, editors. *Health and Safety in Organizations: A Multilevel Perspective*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2003. pp. 201-230

[50] Zohar D, Luria G. Climate as a social-cognitive construction of supervisory safety practices: Scripts as proxy of behavior patterns. *The Journal of Applied Psychology*. 2004;**89**:322-333

[51] Guerrero EG, Khachikian T, Frimpoing JA, Howard LD, Kong Y, Hunter S. Drivers of continued implementation of cultural competence in substance use disorder treatment. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 2019;**105**:5-11. DOI: 10.1016/j.jsat.2019.07.009

[52] Delphin-Rittmon M, Andres-Hyman R, Flanagan EH, Ortiz J, Amer MM, Davidson L. Racial-ethnic

differences in referral source, diagnosis, and length of stay in inpatient substance abuse treatment. *Psychiatric Services*. 2012;**63**:612-615

[53] Proctor E, Ramsey AT, Brown MT, et al. Training in implementation practice leadership (TRIPLE): Evaluation of a novel practice change strategy in behavioral health organizations. *Implementation Science*. 2019;**14**:66. DOI: 10.1186/s13012-019-0906-2

[54] Gothard S, Austin MJ. Leadership succession planning: Implications for nonprofit human service organizations. *Administration in Social Work*. 2013;**37**(3):272-285. DOI: 10.1080/03643107.2012.684741