

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

4,800

Open access books available

122,000

International authors and editors

135M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.

For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Introductory Chapter: Trends in Therapeutic Strategies after Spinal Cord Injury

Tamara D. Frydman and Antonio Ibarra

1. Epidemiology

Spinal cord injury (SCI) continues to be a diagnosis without a straightforward treatment plan, even in today's advanced medical-technological time. This is a problematic pathology not only for the patient but also for the health system since, aside from causing individual disability, it also originates an important economic cost. This is due—in great part—to the age group most affected by this type of injury, which regularly involves an average age of injury of 37.1 years old [1].

Spinal cord injury can lead to fatal consequences when autonomic processes such as respiratory or cardiovascular function are altered by injury. Otherwise, the most common repercussions are those affecting motor and sensitivity skills. This generates a scenario where the patient's clinical prognosis may vary from complete paralysis to an optimum case of injury where the patient could only need physical therapy for rehabilitation [2].

The reported prevalence as of 2017 is between 440 and 526 cases per million population, with a mortality rate as high as 22% in both developed and non-developed countries [3]. Regarding its incidence, there are 130,000 new cases reported every year [4]. And even though it may not seem like a large group of patients, it accounts for more than approximately a million dollars' worth of treatment for every case reported, thus becoming an important target for research toward finding an effective treatment that can limit symptomatology as well as complications due to SCI [3].

SCI pathophysiology encompasses an important number of phenomena that mainly contribute to SC-tissue destruction and/or regeneration inhibition.

2. Pathophysiology

The understanding of the pathophysiology of acute and chronic SCI is essential to the development of new therapeutic techniques that can effectively stop damaging mechanisms and promote beneficial effects.

Primary lesion is caused by the physical consequences of injury: contusion, compression, or laceration [5]. This leads to demyelization and hemorrhage, which by itself causes ischemia and necrosis affecting nearby cells in the central nervous system. With this process comes edema which develops hours after the insult and continues to expand for several days afterward. Finally in this stage, inflammatory response, cells such as neutrophils and macrophages approach the affected area to phagocytize the apoptotic and necrotic waste [6].

After this immediate response to the injury, there is a second phase with further effects on neural degeneration and tissue restoration:

- *Vascular changes.* These are due—in great part—to the ischemia that takes place, especially in the gray matter structures, and are aggravated by the hypotensive state of hypovolemia. This could be followed by a reperfusion phase that contributes to a secondary injury and the release of free radicals [7, 8].
- *Oxidative stress.* Free radicals have important effects on DNA and proteins by damaging the cell membrane through lipid peroxidation, as well as promoting apoptosis, resulting in a strong inhibition of Na-K ATPase [9, 10]. These are important consequences to keep in mind being that several treatment options available today such as methylprednisolone are related directly to this damaging mechanism [6, 11].
- *Excitotoxicity.* Glutamate, an important neurotransmitter in the central nervous system, also plays a role in the pathophysiology of SCI, as the extensive release of this molecule allows calcium entrance and the accumulation of intracellular Na and Cl (using its NMDA receptor), which in turn results in cytotoxic edema [12]. Therefore, NMDA receptor blockade becomes a therapeutic option to further explore.
- *Immune response.* As an immune-privileged site, the central nervous system is not known for having a large immune cell presence. Nonetheless, after a SCI, microglia suffers activation, and cytokines are rapidly released. There is an increase in the amount of TNF- α and arachidonic acid metabolites that can be found in cerebral spinal fluid. This, however, is a positive effect since TNF- α has been shown to increase levels of interleukin-10 which counteracts free radicals and stimulates axonal regeneration, making it a target for stimulation as a treatment option [13, 14].
- *Activation of Rho pathway.* SCI activates Rho pathway, which in turn inhibits the re-growth of axons and causes apoptosis. By inhibiting this activation, recovery improves substantially; however, there is no therapy for this purpose that has been approved yet [15].
- *Depletion of cAMP.* After injury an important reduction of cAMP in neurons occurs; this alteration inhibits neuron regeneration [16].
- *Glial scar and astrocyte activation.* The formation of a glial scar after injury represents a barrier to growing axons [17–20]. Additionally, activated astrocytes—the main cells conforming glial scar—express chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (CSPGs) and extracellular matrix molecules like phosphocan and neurocan that, when downregulated, have shown to improve axonal regeneration, thereby proving their role in regeneration inhibition [17, 21].

At the moment, there is enough evidence about the deleterious effects exerted by each one of the abovementioned phenomena. That is why, several investigation groups are working on developing therapeutic strategies to induce neuroprotection and subsequently promote SC regeneration.

3. Neuroprotective therapies

As secondary lesion mechanisms are so abundant and have such a long-term effect on the patient's outcome; they have become the main target for SCI therapy. All of these potential treatment options are involved in various research proposals as to find suitable possibilities and improve recovery:

- *Cyclooxygenase inhibitors*. COX is a pro-inflammatory enzyme that leads to the production of prostanoids and therefore increased inflammation. This is the basis for the neuroprotective role of cox-inhibitors such as indomethacin (inhibits COX-1/COX-2 and the activity of select leucocytes, thereby preventing inflammation aggravation and edema) [22].
- *Immunophilin ligands*. These proteins are abundantly found in neural tissue and bind immunosuppressants like cyclosporine A and their analogs which are known as ligands [23]. When these ligands bind to immunophilins, they inhibit rotamase and calcineurin activity. These effects decrease immune responses such as cytokine production and neutrophil motility [24]. Ultimately, cyclosporine A binding to immunophilin slows down the demyelination process and stops the spreading of inflammation [25].
- *Antioxidants*. One of the most damaging pathophysiological mechanisms of SCI is perhaps the increased release of free radicals [26]. Methylprednisolone, currently the primary treatment for acute SCI, is aimed toward inhibiting lipid peroxidation and lactate accumulation. However, there are still concerns about it being a risk factor for pneumonia development [27].
- *Calpain inhibitors*. Calpain is a calcium-dependent cysteine protease that promotes apoptosis through enzyme degradation of cytoskeletal and membrane proteins. Researchers have found this to be associated with the increased concentration of intracellular calcium following SCI [28]. The two main classes include aldehyde-calpain and oxirane inhibitors, of this last one the primary example is E-64-d. This therapeutic option has demonstrated its neuroprotective effects in SCI models. By blocking calpains, apoptosis could be reduced [29].
- *Apoptosis inhibitors*. Caspase-3 and caspase-9 are key mediators for apoptosis after acute SCI; by inhibiting these molecules, there has been a proven clinical improvement in previous studies using minocycline. Minocycline is a second-generation tetracycline that has demonstrated to have anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective qualities in experimental studies in SCI, stroke, and neurodegenerative diseases. Talking about its antiapoptotic effects, minocycline decreases caspase 1 and caspase 3 availability, cytochrome c release, mitochondrial calcium uptake, and the release of apoptotic factors. By downsizing apoptosis in SCI, this drug reduces microglial activation [30].
- *Hormones*. Steroid hormones such as progesterone and estrogen have proven to be neuroprotective in SCI by showing decreased excitotoxicity, increased myelination, and enhanced antioxidant properties [31].
- *Na channel blockers*. Tetrodotoxin is the most investigated compound of this category; it has proven effects of better recovery by inhibiting fast Na channels and thereby lessening the continuous depolarized state of injured neurons [32].

4. Regenerative therapies

- *Pharmacological treatments*
 - *Rho pathway antagonists.* The Rho family has been associated with several pathways concerning cell proliferation, regeneration, and gene expression [33]. When activated, it leads to neurite growth blockade, especially when implicating Rho kinase (ROCK) [34]. This is why Rho-ROCK inhibitors are now under research as treatment options. These include C3 transferase, which modifies the Rho family thus minimizing its effect, and Y27632 which competes with ROCK for ATP receptors [35].
 - *Cyclic AMP enhancers.* The elevation of cyclic AMP levels is directly associated with a better neuronal response to myelin inhibitors. This has led to research for strategies that elevate cyclic AMP, for instance, the administration of dibutyryl cAMP (activating cAMP-dependent protein kinase) [36] or the inhibition of phosphodiesterase (PDE) using rolipram (a PDE-4 inhibitor that targets SNC tissue more specifically) has shown relevant effects on axonal regeneration [37].
 - *Glial scar inhibitors.* Being that the scar itself is an inhibiting factor for regeneration, several studies have tried to find a strategy to counteract this effect. Decorin is a proteoglycan molecule that has been linked to a reduction in the expression of inhibitory molecules such as brevican and neurocan as well as to the increased capability for axonal growth across myelin-rich environments [38].
 - *Hydrogels.* This type of material allows for healthy tissue to reconnect and therefore enable axonal growth across the injury. Hydrogels are usually made of hyaluronic acid or poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate-co-methyl methacrylate); however, other options are being studied for their additional benefits. Some of these new prospects include poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate-co-methyl methacrylate) which has shown improvement in locomotor function [39] and poly[N-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacrylamide] with evidence that it has axonogenic and angiogenic properties [40].
- *Scar removal.* Numerous research projects have proven that during chronic stages of injury (>2 weeks), there is a clear benefit when removing the glial scar given that it portrays a barrier both physically and chemically for axonal regeneration [21, 41].
- *Biocompatible matrices.* Tissucol (fibrin glue) is a fibrinogen and thrombin compound that's biocompatible and can therefore be used for cell transplant, as well as promoting growth [42]. Another alternative in this area is alginate, a biocompatible material obtained from bacteria and algae that promotes cell migration and axonal growth [43]. Other options in this category include Matrigel, polyethylene glycol, and hyaluronic acid [44].
- *Cell therapies.* In chronic stages of SCI, studies have shown that transplanting different cell types has improved recovery. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are the most promising ones so far, with the capacity to modulate the

microenvironment generated after SCI by secreting anti-inflammatory molecules and switching from M1 to M2 macrophage phenotype (protective and restorer phenotype) [45]. They also release neurotrophic factors that stimulate myelination and reduce apoptosis [46].

- *Combination therapies.* As there is a large amount of experimental therapies that target different physiopathological pathways, researchers have found it to be more effective to combine some of these options when it comes to tackling acute and chronic injuries [47]. Some examples of this are the combination of several growth factors and cell transplants, combining chondroitinase ABC and physical rehabilitation and the surgical removal of scar tissue along with immune modulatory therapy [48, 49].


So far, there is no definite treatment course for patients with SCI. This fact remains, although research over the years has developed several options that target the immunologic response that is triggered after an injury and that have both beneficial and damaging consequences as well as other mechanisms such as lipoperoxidation and cytotoxicity. Hence, there are several circumstances that need to be neutralized before a second strategy can intervene that can initiate remodeling and restoring the damaged tissue. So far, the understanding of pathophysiological mechanisms has been our most powerful tool into deciphering the best therapeutic plan. Neuroprotection is the current target for pharmacological as well as non-pharmacological therapies such as rolipram, MSCs, methylprednisolone, indomethacin, dibutyryl cAMP, and scar removal. The endpoint for all these treatment options is to encourage and enable neuroregeneration, and although as mentioned previously, there have been incredible advancements in this area, the search continues for new alternatives that offer better outcomes.

Author details

Tamara D. Frydman and Antonio Ibarra*
Centro de Investigación en Ciencias de la Salud, FCS, Universidad Anáhuac México
Campus Norte, Mexico

*Address all correspondence to: jose.ibarra@anahuac.mx

IntechOpen

© 2019 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 

References

- [1] DeVivo MJ, Chen Y. Trends in new injuries, prevalent cases, and aging with spinal cord injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 2011; **92**(3):332-338
- [2] Hagen EM. Acute complications of spinal cord injuries. *World Journal of Orthopedics*. 2015;**6**(1):17
- [3] Kang Y, Ding H, Zhou H, Wei Z, Liu L, Pan D, et al. Epidemiology of worldwide spinal cord injury: A literature review. *Journal of Neurorestoratology*. 2017;**6**:1-9
- [4] Mohit AA. Cellular events and pathophysiology of SCI. *Spine*. 2016;**41** (Suppl 7):S28
- [5] Morales I-I, Toscano-Tejeida D, Ibarra A. Non pharmacological strategies to promote spinal cord regeneration: A view on some individual or combined approaches. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*. 2016;**22**(6):720-727
- [6] Hayta E, Elden H. Acute spinal cord injury: A review of pathophysiology and potential of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for pharmacological intervention. *Journal of Chemical Neuroanatomy*. 2018;**87**:25-31
- [7] Bilenko MV, Khilchenko AV. Free radical inhibitors (FRI) can prevent celigmediated LDL oxidation under ischemia (I) and reperfusion (R) of vascular wall in situ. *Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology*. 2002;**34**(6):A10
- [8] Zhang Y-S, He L, Liu B, Li N-S, Luo X-J, Hu C-P, et al. A novel pathway of NADPH oxidase/vascular peroxidase 1 in mediating oxidative injury following ischemia-reperfusion. *Basic Research in Cardiology*. 2012;**107**(3):266
- [9] Visavadiya NP, Patel SP, VanRooyen JL, Sullivan PG, Rabchevsky AG. Cellular and subcellular oxidative stress parameters following severe spinal cord injury. *Redox Biology*. 2016;**8**:59-67
- [10] Kwon BK, Tetzlaff W, Grauer JN, Beiner J, Vaccaro AR. Pathophysiology and pharmacologic treatment of acute spinal cord injury. *The Spine Journal*. 2004;**4**(4):451-464
- [11] Saunders RD, Dugan LL, Demediuk P, Means ED, Horrocks LA, Anderson DK. Effects of methylprednisolone and the combination of α -tocopherol and selenium on arachidonic acid metabolism and lipid peroxidation in traumatized spinal cord tissue. *Journal of Neurochemistry*. 1987;**49**(1):24-31
- [12] Rungta RL, Choi HB, Tyson JR, Malik A, Dissing-Olesen L, Lin PJC, et al. The cellular mechanisms of neuronal swelling underlying cytotoxic edema. *Cell*. 2015;**161**(3):610-621
- [13] Chen WF, Chen CH, Chen NF, Sung CS, Wen ZH. Neuroprotective effects of direct intrathecal administration of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor in rats with spinal cord injury. *CNS Neuroscience & Therapeutics*. 2015; **21**(9):698-707
- [14] Schwab JM, Zhang Y, Kopp MA, Brommer B, Popovich PG. The paradox of chronic neuroinflammation, systemic immune suppression, autoimmunity after traumatic chronic spinal cord injury. *Experimental Neurology*. 2014; **258**:121-129
- [15] Zhou Y, Su Y, Li B, Liu F, Ryder JW, Wu X, et al. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs can lower amyloidogenic A β 2 by inhibiting rho. *Science*. 2003;**302**(5648):1215-1217
- [16] Pearse DD, Pereira FC, Marcillo AE, Bates ML, Berrocal YA, Filbin MT, et al. cAMP and Schwann cells promote

axonal growth and functional recovery after spinal cord injury. *Nature Medicine*. 2004;**10**(6):610

[17] Fitch MT, Silver J. CNS injury, glial scars, and inflammation: Inhibitory extracellular matrices and regeneration failure. *Experimental Neurology*. 2008; **209**(2):294-301

[18] Jakeman LB, Reier PJ. Axonal projections between fetal spinal cord transplants and the adult rat spinal cord: A neuroanatomical tracing study of local interactions. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 1991;**307**(2):311-334

[19] Fawcett JW, Asher RA. The glial scar and central nervous system repair. *Brain Research Bulletin*. 1999;**49**(6):377-391

[20] Jakovcevski I, Wu J, Karl N, Leshchyn's'ka I, Sytnyk V, Chen J, et al. Glial scar expression of CHL1, the close homolog of the adhesion molecule L1, limits recovery after spinal cord injury. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2007; **27**(27):7222-7233

[21] Li X, Yang B, Xiao Z, Zhao Y, Han S, Yin Y, et al. Comparison of subacute and chronic scar tissues after complete spinal cord transection. *Experimental Neurology*. 2018;**306**:132-137

[22] Takeuchi K, Tanaka A, Hayashi Y, Yokota A. COX inhibition and NSAID-induced gastric damage—Roles in various pathogenic events. *Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry*. 2005; **5**(5):475-486

[23] Steiner JP, Hamilton GS, Ross DT, Valentine HL, Guo H, Connolly MA, et al. Neurotrophic immunophilin ligands stimulate structural and functional recovery in neurodegenerative animal models. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 1997;**94**(5):2019-2024

[24] Ibarra A, Diaz-Ruiz A. Protective effect of cyclosporin-A in spinal cord

injury: An overview. *Current Medicinal Chemistry*. 2006;**13**(22):2703-2710

[25] Diaz-Ruiz A, Rios C, Duarte I, Correa D, Guizar-Sahagun G, Grijalva I, et al. Lipid peroxidation inhibition in spinal cord injury: Cyclosporin-A vs methylprednisolone. *Neuroreport*. 2000;**11**(8):1765-1767

[26] Hall ED, Springer JE. Neuroprotection and acute spinal cord injury: A reappraisal. *NeuroRx*. 2004; **1**(1):80-100

[27] Martinon S, Ibarra A. Pharmacological neuroprotective therapy for acute spinal cord injury: State of the art. *Mini Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry*. 2008;**8**(3): 222-230

[28] Ray SK, Hogan EL, Banik NL. Calpain in the pathophysiology of spinal cord injury: Neuroprotection with calpain inhibitors. *Brain Research Reviews*. 2003;**42**(2):169-185

[29] Zhang S-X, Bondada V, Geddes JW. Evaluation of conditions for calpain inhibition in the rat spinal cord: Effective postinjury inhibition with intraspinal MDL28170 microinjection. *Journal of Neurotrauma*. 2003;**20**(1): 59-67

[30] Barut Ş, Ünlü YA, Karaođlan A, Tunçdemir M, Dađistanli FK, Öztürk M, et al. The neuroprotective effects of z-DEVD. Fmk, a caspase-3 inhibitor, on traumatic spinal cord injury in rats. *Surgical Neurology*. 2005;**64**(3): 213-220

[31] Gonzalez SL, Labombarda F, Deniselle MCG, Mouguel A, Guennoun R, Schumacher M, et al. Progesterone neuroprotection in spinal cord trauma involves up-regulation of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in motoneurons. *The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 2005;**94**(1-3): 143-149

- [32] Rosenberg LJ, Wrathall JR. Time course studies on the effectiveness of tetrodotoxin in reducing consequences of spinal cord contusion. *Journal of Neuroscience Research*. 2001;**66**(2): 191-202
- [33] Kubo T, Hata K, Yamaguchi A, Yamashita T. Rho-ROCK inhibitors as emerging strategies to promote nerve regeneration. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*. 2007;**13**(24):2493-2499
- [34] McKerracher L, Higuchi H. Targeting rho to stimulate repair after spinal cord injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma*. 2006;**23**(3-4):309-317
- [35] Jacobs M, Hayakawa K, Swenson L, Bellon S, Fleming M, Taslimi P, et al. The structure of dimeric ROCK I reveals the mechanism for ligand selectivity. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2006;**281**(1):260-268
- [36] Qiu J, Cai D, Dai H, McAtee M, Hoffman PN, Bregman BS, et al. Spinal axon regeneration induced by elevation of cyclic AMP. *Neuron*. 2002;**34**(6): 895-903
- [37] Griswold DE, Webb EF, Breton J, White JR, Marshall PJ, Torphy TJ. Effect of selective phosphodiesterase type IV inhibitor, rolipram, on fluid and cellular phases of inflammatory response. *Inflammation*. 1993;**17**(3): 333-344
- [38] Minor K, Tang X, Kahrilas G, Archibald SJ, Davies JE, Davies SJ. Decorin promotes robust axon growth on inhibitory CSPGs and myelin via a direct effect on neurons. *Neurobiology of Disease*. 2008;**32**(1):88-95
- [39] Tsai EC, Dalton PD, Shoichet MS, Tator CH. Matrix inclusion within synthetic hydrogel guidance channels improves specific supraspinal and local axonal regeneration after complete spinal cord transection. *Biomaterials*. 2006;**27**(3):519-533
- [40] Woerly S, Petrov P, Sykova E, Roitbak T, Simonova Z, Harvey AR. Neural tissue formation within porous hydrogels implanted in brain and spinal cord lesions: Ultrastructural, immunohistochemical, and diffusion studies. *Tissue Engineering*. 1999;**5**(5): 467-488
- [41] Lu P, Jones LL, Tuszynski MH. Axon regeneration through scars and into sites of chronic spinal cord injury. *Experimental Neurology*. 2007;**203**(1): 8-21
- [42] Liu J, Chen Q, Zhang Z, Zheng Y, Sun X, Cao X, et al. Fibrin scaffolds containing ectomesenchymal stem cells enhance behavioral and histological improvement in a rat model of spinal cord injury. *Cells, Tissues, Organs*. 2013;**198**(1):35-46
- [43] Prang P, Müller R, Eljaouhari A, Heckmann K, Kunz W, Weber T, et al. The promotion of oriented axonal regrowth in the injured spinal cord by alginate-based anisotropic capillary hydrogels. *Biomaterials*. 2006;**27**(19): 3560-3569
- [44] Preston M, Sherman LS. Neural stem cell niches: Critical roles for the hyaluronan-based extracellular matrix in neural stem cell proliferation and differentiation. *Frontiers in Bioscience*. 2012;**3**:1165
- [45] Nakajima H, Uchida K, Guerrero AR, Watanabe S, Sugita D, Takeura N, et al. Transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells promotes an alternative pathway of macrophage activation and functional recovery after spinal cord injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma*. 2012;**29**(8):1614-1625
- [46] Crigler L, Robey RC, Asawachaicharn A, Gaupp D, Phinney DG. Human mesenchymal stem cell subpopulations express a variety of neuro-regulatory molecules and promote neuronal cell survival and

neuritogenesis. *Experimental Neurology*. 2006;**198**(1):54-64

[47] Olson L. Combinatory treatments needed for spinal cord injury. *Experimental Neurology*. 2013;**248**: 309-315

[48] Martiñón S, García-Vences E, Toscano-Tejeida D, Flores-Romero A, Rodríguez-Barrera R, Ferrusquia M, et al. Long-term production of BDNF and NT-3 induced by A91-immunization after spinal cord injury. *BMC Neuroscience*. 2016;**17**(1):42

[49] Martiñón S, García E, Flores N, Gonzalez I, Ortega T, Buenrostro M, et al. Vaccination with a neural-derived peptide plus administration of glutathione improves the performance of paraplegic rats. *The European Journal of Neuroscience*. 2007;**26**(2):403-412

IntechOpen