## We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



122,000

135M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1%





**WEB OF SCIENCE** 

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

### Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



#### Chapter

## Pseudophase Model in Microemulsions

Antonio Cid, Aangel Acuña, Manuel Alonso-Ferrer, Gonzalo Astray, Luis García-Río, Jesus Simal-Gándara and Juan C. Mejuto

#### Abstract

The kinetic behaviours in microemulsions can be easily modelled using an extension of the pseudophase model previously developed for micellar catalysis. This model considers that the microheterogeneous media can be considered as the sum of different conventional reaction media, where the reagents are distributed and in which the reaction can occur simultaneously. The reaction rate observed in the microheterogeneous system will be the sum of the velocities in each one of the pseudophases. This use can be considered as an extension of the pseudophase model, which has been developed for the quantitative analysis of nitrosation reactions in AOT/isooctane/water microemulsions and has been applied successfully in the literature in a large variety of chemical reactions.

Keywords: microemulsions, reverse micelles, kinetic model, pseudophase model

#### 1. Introduction

The use of microemulsions, in particular, colloidal self-aggregates, and in general, as reaction media [1] makes the application of kinetic models necessary for the quantitative interpretation of the observed results. In this sense, a simple thermodynamic model was developed for its application in micelles [2] and it was called the pseudophase model [3]. This model was successfully applied through extensions to different microheterogeneous systems over the last 50 years [4–10].

#### 2. The pseudophase model

This model considers that the micellar system can be considered as the sum of two conventional reaction media, the continuous pseudophase and the micellar pseudophase, where the reagents are distributed and in which the reaction can occur simultaneously (see **Figure 1**).

In this figure, A and B are the reagents, k is the kinetic constant and the subscripts w and m denotes the reaction *loci* (w corresponds to the continuous pseudophase and m is the micellar pseudophase). Finally,  $K_A$  and  $K_B$  are the distribution coefficients of both reactants between different pseudophases.



Figure 1.

Pseudophase model applied to micellar aggregates as reaction media.

The model considers that the reaction rate observed in the microheterogeneous system will be the sum of the velocities in each one of the pseudophases, and it can be expressed as shown in the following equations assuming a first-order reaction for each reactant:

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{w}} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{m}} \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{T}} = k_{obs}[A_T][B_T] \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{w}} = k_w [A_w] [B_w] \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{m}} = k_m [A_m] [B_m] \tag{4}$$

$$k_2[A_T][B_T] = k_w[A_w][B_w] + k_m[A_m][B_m]$$
(5)

The mass balance on both pseudophases and the consideration of the distribution coefficients between them allow us to establish the existing relationship between the total concentrations of the reactants and the concentrations in each of the pseudophases considered.

$$\left[\mathbf{A}\right]_{\mathrm{T}} = \left[\mathbf{A}\right]_{\mathrm{w}} + \left[\mathbf{A}\right]_{\mathrm{m}} \tag{6}$$

$$[B]_{T} = [B]_{w} + [B]_{m}$$
 (7)

$$K_A = \frac{[A]_m}{[A]_w [D_n]} \tag{8}$$

$$K_B = \frac{[B]_m}{[B]_w[D_n]} \tag{9}$$

In Eqs. (8) and (9),  $D_n$  denotes the concentration of surfactant micellized and  $[D_n] = [D]$ -CMC (where *D* is the total surfactant concentration and *CMC* is the critical micellar concentration). Using Eqs. (8) and (9), the following equations can be written:

$$\left[\mathbf{A}\right]_{\mathbf{m}} = K_A \left[\mathbf{A}\right]_{\mathbf{w}} \left[\mathbf{D}_n\right] \tag{10}$$

$$[\mathbf{B}]_{\mathbf{m}} = K_B[\mathbf{B}]_{\mathbf{w}}[\mathbf{D}_n] \tag{11}$$

$$[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathrm{T}} = [\mathbf{A}]_{\mathrm{w}} + K_{A}[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathrm{w}}[\mathbf{D}_{n}]$$
(12)

$$[\mathbf{B}]_{\mathrm{T}} = [\mathbf{B}]_{\mathrm{w}} + K_B[\mathbf{B}]_{\mathrm{w}}[\mathbf{D}_n]$$
(13)

$$\left[\mathbf{A}\right]_{\mathbf{w}} = \frac{\left[\mathbf{A}\right]_T}{\mathbf{1} + K_A[\mathbf{D}_n]} \tag{14}$$

$$[B]_{w} = \frac{[B]_{T}}{1 + K_{B}[D_{n}]}$$
(15)

$$[A]_{m} = \frac{[A]_{T} K_{A}[D_{n}]}{1 + K_{A}[D_{n}]}$$
(16)

$$[B]_{m} = \frac{[B]_{T} K_{B}[D_{n}]}{1 + K_{B}[D_{n}]}$$
(17)

Using Eqs. (14)–(17) on Eq. (5), the following expressions can be deduced (Eq. (18)–(21)):

$$k_{2}[A_{T}][B_{T}] = k_{w} \frac{[A]_{T}}{1 + K_{A}[D_{n}]} \frac{[B]_{T}}{1 + K_{B}[D_{n}]} + k_{m} \frac{[A]_{T}K_{A}[D_{n}]}{1 + K_{A}[D_{n}]} \frac{[B]_{T}K_{B}[D_{n}]}{1 + K_{B}[D_{n}]}$$
(18)

$$k_{2}[A_{T}][B_{T}] = k_{w} \frac{1}{1 + K_{A}[D_{n}]} \frac{1}{1 + K_{B}[D_{n}]} [A_{T}][B_{T}] + k_{m} \frac{K_{A}[D_{n}]}{1 + K_{A}[D_{n}]} \frac{K_{B}[D_{n}]}{1 + K_{B}[D_{n}]} [A_{T}][B_{T}]$$
(19)

$$k_{2} = k_{w} \frac{1}{1 + K_{A}[D_{n}]} \frac{1}{1 + K_{B}[D_{n}]} + k_{m} \frac{K_{A}[D_{n}]}{1 + K_{A}[D_{n}]} \frac{K_{B}[D_{n}]}{1 + K_{B}[D_{n}]}$$
(20)

$$k_{2} = k_{w} \frac{1}{(1 + K_{A}[D_{n}])(1 + K_{B}[D_{n}])} + k_{m} \frac{K_{A}K_{B}[D_{n}]^{2}}{1 + K_{B}[D_{n}]1 + K_{A}[D_{n}]}$$
(21)

According to the pseudophase model, each pseudophase is evenly distributed in the total micellar dispersion volume. The value of rate constants must be corrected taking into account the molar volume of each pseudophase to compare de intrinsic reactivity in the two different domains due to this reactants distribution between both pseudophases [10].

Equation (21) can be simplified according to the distributions of A and B, and the presence of chemical reaction in one or both pseudophases. This model predicts the catalysis or inhibition processes with success due to the compartmentalising effect of these colloidal aggregates [11–28]. However, this model must be expanded to take into account possible ion exchange processes between the continuous medium and the micelle, which give rise to more complicated expressions [29]. In some cases, it is necessary to resort to Poisson-Boltzmann distribution to evaluate the concentration of the different ions in the Stern and Gouy-Chapman layers to be able to model the ion exchange process between the continuous medium and the micellar electric double layer [30].

The pseudophase model applied to micelles has also been satisfactory for the analysis of the kinetic results in more complex micellar systems such as mixed micellar-cyclodextrin systems [31–37] or pseudo-micellar humic acids aggregates [38–42].

#### 3. The pseudophase model in microemulsions

The pseudophase model was first extended by our research group in order to quantitatively analyse the kinetic behaviour of nitrosation reactions in microemulsions based on AOT [43, 44]. Afterwards, this extended model, with minor corrections, has been satisfactorily tested on microemulsions covering all possible cases [45–55] such as: (i) different chemical reactions (ionic or non-ionic),

(ii) reactants distributed throughout the different hydrophobic domains or (iii) with different reaction loci.

Unlike in normal micelles, where we recognised two different domains (micelles and bulk water), in a microemulsion system three domains can be found: (i) the microdroplets of the dispersed phase, (ii) the continuous phase and (iii) the surfactant film (or surfactant + cosurfactant) that stabilises the system. Due to this, in this case, three pseudophases will be considered, taking into account the same proposed considerations for the micellar model.

We will assume that the reactants can be located in each of these three pseudophases, and their distribution will be governed by the distribution coefficients defined in an analogous way to that proposed in micelles. The chemical reaction can take place in each of the three pseudophases. In this way, the model can be explained according to **Figure 2**, where *A* and *B* are the reagents, *k* corresponds to the kinetic constant and the subscripts *d*, *i* and *c* denotes the loci (*c* corresponds to the continuous pseudophase, *d* with the dispersed pseudophase and *i* with the surfactant film -or interphase-).  $K_{A,id}$  and  $K_{B,id}$  are the distribution coefficients of both reactants between the interphase and the dispersed phase.  $K_{A,ic}$  and  $K_{B,ic}$ correspond to distribution coefficients of both reactants between the interphase and the continuous phase.

As in the case of micelles, the reaction rate observed in the microemulsions will be the sum of the velocities in each one of the pseudophases as it shown in the following equations (as in the case of micelles *-vide supra-*, assuming a first order reaction for each reactant):

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{c}} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{i}} + \boldsymbol{v}_{d} \tag{22}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{T}} = k_{obs}[A_T][B_T] \tag{23}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{d}} = k_d [A_d] [B_d] \tag{24}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}} = k_i [A_i] [B_i] \tag{25}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{\rm c} = k_c [A_c] [B_c] \tag{26}$$

$$k_{2}[A_{T}][B_{T}] = k_{d}[A_{d}][B_{d}] + k_{i}[A_{i}][B_{i}] + k_{c}[A_{c}][B_{c}]$$

$$A_{c} + B_{c} \xrightarrow{k_{c}} Products \xrightarrow{Continuous}_{Pseudophase}$$

$$\downarrow \downarrow K_{A,ic} \qquad \downarrow K_{B,ic} \xrightarrow{k_{i}} Products \qquad Interphase$$

$$\downarrow \downarrow K_{A,id} \qquad \downarrow K_{B,id} \xrightarrow{k_{d}} Products \xrightarrow{Dispersed}_{Pseudophase}$$

Figure 2. Pseudophase model applied to microemulsions reaction media.

As quoted above, the mass balance on the three pseudophases and the consideration of the distribution coefficients between them allow us to establish the existing relationship between the total concentrations of the reactants and the concentrations in each of the pseudophases considered

$$[A]_{T} = [A]_{c} + [A]_{i} + [A]_{d}$$
(28)

$$[B]_{\rm T} = [B]_{\rm c} + [B]_{\rm i} + [B]_{\rm d}$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

$$K_{A, id} = \frac{[A]_i[Dis]}{[A]_d[D_n]}$$
(30)  
$$K_{B, ic} = \frac{[B]_i[C]}{[B]_w[D_n]}$$
(31)

where [C] is the continuous phase concentration and  $[D_n]$  corresponds to the concentration of surfactant in the microemulsion. In the case of micelles, [Dn] is obtained as  $[D_n] = [D]$ -CMC; but in the case of microemulsions, CMC = 0. It means that the surfactant concentration in the microemulsion is equal to the total surfactant concentration. Similar expressions can be obtained for the partition coefficients between the dispersed pseudophase and the interphase. In this case, [Dis] corresponds to the dispersed phase concentration.

$$K_{A,id} = \frac{[A]_i[Dis]}{[A]_d[D_n]}$$
(32)

$$K_{B,id} = \frac{[B]_i[Dis]}{[B]_d[D_n]}$$
(33)

The previous equations (Eqs. (30)-(33)) can be rewritten using the characteristic parameters of microemulsions: z and w. Both of them are mole ratios related with the microemulsion geometry. The w ratio is the molar ratio between the disperse phase concentration and the surfactant concentration, and z is the molar ratio between continuous phase concentration and the surfactant concentration (Eqs. (34) and (35)). The w ratio is directly proportional to the droplet radius and z is inversely proportional to the number of microdroplets of the dispersed phase in the microemulsion.

$$w = \frac{[Dis]}{[D_n]}$$
(34)  
$$z = \frac{[C]}{[D_n]}$$
(35)

Hence,

$$K_{A,id} = \frac{[A]_i}{[A]_d} w \tag{36}$$

$$K_{B,id} = \frac{[B]_i}{[B]_d} w \tag{37}$$

$$K_{A,ic} = \frac{[A]_i}{[A]_c} z \tag{38}$$

Microemulsion - A Chemical Nanoreactor

$$K_{B,ic} = \frac{[B]_i}{[B]_c} z \tag{39}$$

Using Eqs. (28), (29) and (36)–(39), the following equations can be written:

$$[A]_{d} = \frac{[A]_{i}w}{K_{A,id}}$$
(40)

$$[B]_{d} = \frac{[B]_{i}w}{K_{B,id}}$$
(41)  
$$[A]_{c} = \frac{[A]_{i}z}{K_{A,ic}}$$
(42)

$$[B]_{c} = \frac{[B]_{i}z}{K_{B,ic}}$$
(43)

$$[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathrm{T}} = [\mathbf{A}]_{\mathrm{i}} + \frac{[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathrm{i}}w}{K_{A,id}} + \frac{[\mathbf{A}]_{\mathrm{i}}z}{K_{A,ic}}$$
(44)

$$[\mathbf{B}]_{\mathrm{T}} = [\mathbf{B}]_{\mathrm{i}} + \frac{[\mathbf{B}]_{\mathrm{i}}w}{K_{B,id}} + \frac{[\mathbf{B}]_{\mathrm{i}}z}{K_{B,ic}}$$
(45)

$$\left[A\right]_{i} = \frac{\left[A\right]_{T}}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,ic}}\right)}$$
(46)

$$[B]_{i} = \frac{[B]_{T}}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{B,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{B,ic}}\right)}$$
(47)

$$[A]_{d} = \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) \frac{[A]_{T}}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,ic}}\right)}$$
(48)

$$[B]_{d} = \left(\frac{w}{K_{B,id}}\right) \frac{[B]_{T}}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{B,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{B,ic}}\right)}$$
(49)

$$[A]_{c} = \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,ic}}\right) \frac{[A]_{T}}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,ic}}\right)}$$
(50)  
$$[B]_{c} = \left(\frac{z}{K_{B,ic}}\right) \frac{[B]_{T}}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{B,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{B,ic}}\right)}$$
(51)

Then, using Eqs. (46)–(51), the following expressions are obtained:

$$k_{2}[A_{T}][B_{T}] = k_{d} \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) \frac{[A]_{T}}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,ic}}\right)} \left(\frac{w}{K_{B,id}}\right) \frac{[B]_{T}}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{B,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{B,ic}}\right)} + k_{i} \frac{[A]_{T}}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,ic}}\right)} \frac{[B]_{T}}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{B,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{B,ic}}\right)} + k_{c} \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,ic}}\right) \frac{[A]_{T}}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,ic}}\right)} \left(\frac{z}{K_{B,ic}}\right) \frac{[B]_{T}}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{B,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{B,ic}}\right)}$$
(52)

$$k_{2} = k_{d} \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,ic}}\right)} \left(\frac{w}{K_{B,id}}\right) \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{B,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{B,ic}}\right)} + k_{i} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,ic}}\right)} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{B,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{B,ic}}\right)} + k_{c} \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,ic}}\right) \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,ic}}\right)} \left(\frac{z}{K_{B,ic}}\right) \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{B,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{B,ic}}\right)} (53)$$

$$k_{2} = k_{d} \left(\frac{w^{2}}{K_{A,id}K_{B,id}}\right) \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,ic}}\right)} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{B,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{B,ic}}\right)} + k_{i} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,id}}\right)} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{B,ic}}\right)} + k_{c} \left(\frac{z^{2}}{K_{A,id}K_{B,id}}\right) \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,id}}\right)} + k_{c} \left(\frac{z^{2}}{K_{A,id}K_{B,ic}}\right) \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{w}{K_{A,id}}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{K_{A,id}}\right)} + k_{c} \left(\frac{z^{2}}{K_{A,id}K_{A,ic} + K_{A,ic}W + K_{A,id}Z}\right) (K_{B,id}K_{B,ic} + K_{B,ic}W + K_{B,id}Z)} + k_{c} \frac{K_{A,id}K_{A,ic} + K_{A,ic}W + K_{A,id}Z}{(K_{A,id}K_{A,ic} + K_{A,ic}W + K_{A,id}Z}) (K_{B,id}K_{B,ic} + K_{B,ic}W + K_{B,id}Z)} + \frac{K_{A,id}K_{A,ic}K_{B,id}K_{B,ic} + K_{B,ic}W + K_{B,id}Z}{(K_{A,id}K_{A,ic} + K_{A,ic}W + K_{A,id}Z) (K_{B,id}K_{B,ic} + K_{B,ic}W + K_{B,id}Z)}$$
(55)  
$$k_{2} = \frac{K_{A,ic}K_{B,ic}W^{2}k_{d}}{(K_{A,id}K_{A,ic} + K_{A,id}K_{A,ic}K_{B,id}K_{B,ic}K_{i} + K_{A,id}K_{B,id}K_{B,ic}K_{i} + K_{B,id}Z^{2}k_{c}}{(K_{A,id}K_{A,ic} + K_{A,id}K_{A,ic}K_{A,id}X_{B,id}K_{B,ic}K_{B,id}K_{B,ic}K_{K,id}K_{B,id}Z^{2}k_{c}}}$$
(55)

This expression (Eq. (56)) can be simplified considering pseudo-first order conditions, and, of course, taking into account the reagents partitions and the loci of reaction (see **Table 1**).

Finally, to compare the obtained results, as quoted above for micelles model *vide supra*-, the rate constant values must be corrected taking into account each pseudophase molar volume because the pseudophase model considers that each pseudophase is evenly distributed in the total microemulsion volume [47].

#### 4. Conclusions

The presented model is capable of modelling, as shown in **Table 1**, all the possible circumstances that can occur when the microemulsion is used as a chemical nanoreactor. In all the cases, the adjustment of the experimental data to the model is satisfactory, which shows us that despite its simplicity it presents a great versatility.

We must also indicate that it has not only been applied to micellar systems and microemulsions but also, with satisfactory results, to kinetic processes in other colloidal aggregates such as vesicles [61, 62].

Reaction		A Partition		ion	B Partition			Reaction Loci			Ref.
		D	I	С	D	I	С	D	I	С	
Hydrolysis	Nitrophenyl Acetate (A) + $OH^-$ (B)										[56]
	Cristal Violet (A) + OH <sup>-</sup> (B)										[57]
	Malachite Green (A) + OH <sup>-</sup> (B)										[57]
	Sodium nitroprusside (A) + OH <sup>-</sup> (B)	-									[58]
	Carbofuran (A) + OH <sup>-</sup> (B)										[46]
	3-hydroxy-carbofuran (A) + OH <sup>-</sup> (B)									7 )	[46]
	3-keto-carbofuran (A) + OH <sup>-</sup> (B)					7	7		2	7	[46]
Nitrosation	Piperazine (A) + N-Methyl-N-nitroso-p- toluene sulfonamide (B)			ŀ							[43]
	N-Methyl-benzyl amine (A) + N-Methyl-N- nitroso-p-toluene sulfonamide (B)										[43]
	Methyl-ethyl amine (A) + N-Methyl-N- nitroso-p-toluene sulfonamide (B)										[48]
	Methly-butyl amine (A) + N-Methyl-N- nitroso-p-toluene sulfonamide (B)										[48]
	Methyl-hexyl amine (A) + N-Methyl-N- nitroso-p-toluene sulfonamide (B)										[48]
	Methyl-octyl amine (A) + N-Methyl-N- nitroso-p-toluene sulfonamide (B)										[48]
	Methyl-dodecil amine (A) + N-Methyl-N- nitroso-p-toluene sulfonamide (B)										[48]
	N-Methyl-benzyl amine (A) + Ethoxy-ethyl nitrite (B)										[44]
	N-Methyl-benzyl amine (A) + Bromo-ethyl nitrite (B)										[44]
	Piperidine (A) + N-Methyl-N-nitroso-p- toluene sulfonamide (B)										[43]
Nitrosation	Dimiethylamine (A) + N-Methyl-N-nitroso-p- toluene sulfonamide (B)										[43]
	Morphonile (A) + N-Methyl-N-nitroso-p- toluene sulfonamide (B)							2			[43]
	Pyrrolidine (A) + N-Methyl-N-nitroso-p- toluene sulfonamide (B)										[43]
	Piperazine (A) + Ethoxy-ethyl nitrite (B)										[44]
	Piperazine (A) + Bromo-ethyl nitrite (B)										[44]
	Morpholine (A) + Ethoxy-ethyl nitrite (B)										[44]
	Morpholine (A) + Bromo-ethyl nitrite (B)										[44]
Aminolysis	Sarcosine (A) + Nitrophenyl Acetate (B)										[49]
	Piperazine (A) + Nitrophenyl Acetate (B)										[49]
	Glycine (A) + Nitrophenyl Acetate (B)										[50]
	N-decyl amine (A) + + Nitrophenyl Acetate (B)										[49]
	N-methyl-benzyl amine (A) + Nitrophenyl Acetate (B)										[51]

Reaction		Pa	A Partition			B Partition			Reaction Loci		
		D	I	С	D	I	С	D	Ι	С	
	Morpholine (A) + Nitrophenyl Acetate (B)										[59]
	N-butylamine (A) + Nitrophenyl caprate (B)										[60]
Michael addition	Piperazine (A) + N-ethylmaleimide (B)										[51]
Solvólisis	Benzoyl chloride (A) + $H_2O$ (B)										[53]
	4-Methoxy-benzoyl chloride (A) + H <sub>2</sub> O (B)										[53]
	Diphenylmethyl chloride (A) + H <sub>2</sub> O (B)									E	[53]

#### Table 1.

#### Acknowledgements

Financial support from Xunta de Galicia is gratefully acknowledged. Astray G. would like to give his warm thanks to Xunta de Galicia, Consellería de Cultura, Educación e Ordenación Universitaria for the post-doctoral grant B, POS-B/2016/001, which he received from them. Cid A acknowledges the post-doctoral grant SFRH/BD/78849/2011 granted to Requimte and UID/MULTI/04378/2013 granted to Unidade de Cieñcias Biomoleculares Aplicadas (UCIBIO), both from the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology.

This chapter is dedicated to Professor Julio Casado Linarejos (*sit tivi terra levis*) who taught us chemistry and, what really matters, to be better people.



Examples of reactions in microemulsions satisfactorily modelled with the pseudophase model.

# Intechopen

#### Author details

Antonio Cid<sup>1,2</sup>, Aangel Acuña<sup>1,3</sup>, Manuel Alonso-Ferrer<sup>1</sup>, Gonzalo Astray<sup>1\*</sup>, Luis García-Río<sup>3</sup>, Jesus Simal-Gándara<sup>4</sup> and Juan C. Mejuto<sup>1</sup>

1 Department of Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Vigo, Ourense, Spain

2 UCIBIO@REQUIMTE, Chemistry Departamento, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia - Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal

3 Departamento de Química Física, Facultad de Química, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

4 Departamento de Química Analítica y Alimentaria, Universidad de Vigo, Ourense, Spain

\*Address all correspondence to: gastray@uvigo.es

#### IntechOpen

© 2019 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### References

[1] Ruasse MF, Blagoeva IB, Ciri R, García-Río L, Leis JR, Marques A, et al. Organic reaction in micro-organized media: Why and how? Pure and Applied Chemistry. 1997;**69**:1923-1932. DOI: 10.1351/pac199769091923

[2] Menger FM. The structure of micelles. Accounts of Chemical Research. 1979;**12**:111-117. DOI: 10.1021/ ar50136a001

[3] Bunton CA, Savelli G. Organic reactivity in aqueous micelles and similar assemblies. Advances in Physical Organic Chemistry. 1986;**22**:213-309. DOI: 10.1016/S0065-3160(08)60169-0

[4] Cordes EH, Dunlap RB. Kinetics of organic reactions in micellar systems. Accounts of Chemical Research. 1969;2: 329-337. DOI: 10.1021/ar50023a002

[5] Bunton CA, Romsted LS, Sepulveda
L. A quantitative treatment of micellar effects upon deprotonation
equilibriums. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. 1980;84:2611-2618. DOI: 10.1021/j100457a027

[6] Bunton CA, Romsted LS, Thamvit C. The pseudophase model of micellar catalysis. Addition of cyanide ion it Nalkylpyridinium ions. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 1980;**102**: 3900-3903. DOI: 10.1021/ja00531a036

[7] Bunto CA, Carracsco N, Huang SK,
Paik CH, Romsted LS. Reagent
distribution and micellar catalysis of
carbocation reactions. Journal of the
American Chemical Society. 1978;100:
5420-5425. DOI: 10.1021/ja00485a028

[8] Bravo C, Herves P, Leis JR, Peña ME. Basic hydrolysis of N-[N'methyl-N'nitroso(aminomethyl)]benzamide in aqueous and micellar media. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. 1992;**153**: 529-536. DOI: 10.1016/0021-9797(92) 90343-K [9] Vera S, Rodenas E. Inhibiton effect of cationic micelles on the basic hydrolysis of aromatic esters. Tetrahedron. 1986;
42:143-149. DOI: 10.1016/S0040-4020 (01)87411-1

[10] Bravo C, Leis JR, Peña ME. Effect of alcohols on catalysis by dodecyl sulfate micelles. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. 1992;**96**:1957-1961. DOI: 10.1021/j100183a077

[11] Bunton CA, Romsted LS, Savelli G. Tests of the pseudophase model of micellar catalysis: Its partial failure. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 1979;**101**:1253-1259. DOI: 10.1021/ja00499a034

[12] Al-Lohedan H, Bunton CA, Romsted LS. Micellar effects upon the reaction of betaine esters with hydroxide ion. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. 1981;85: 2123-2129. DOI: 10.1021/j150614a034

[13] Bunton CA, Hamed FH, Romsted LS. Quantitative treatment of reaction rates in functional micelles and comicelles. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. 1982;**86**:2103-2108. DOI: 10.1021/j100208a040

[14] Bunton CA, Romsted LS, Smith HJ.
Quantitative treatment of micellar catalysis of reactions involving hydrogen ions. The Journal of Organic Chemistry. 1978;43:4299-4303. DOI: 10.1021/jo00416a010

[15] Bunton CA, Gan LH, Moffatt JR, Romensted LS, Savelli G. Reactions in micelles of cetyltrimethylammonium hydroxide. Test of the pseudophase model for kinetics. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. 1981;**85**:4118-4125. DOI: 10.1021/j150626a033

[16] Bravo-Díaz C, Romero-Nieto MA, Gonzalez-Romero E. Micellar-promoted homolytic dediazoniation of p-nitrobenzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate. Langmuir. 2000;**16**: 42-48. DOI: 10.1021/la9901682

[17] Bravo C, Hervés P, Leis JR, Peña ME. Micellar effects in the acid denitrosation of N-nitroso-N-methyl-ptoluenesulfonamide. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. 1990;**94**:8816-8820. DOI: 10.1021/j100388a014

[18] Costas-Costas U, Bravo-Díaz C, Gonzalez-Romero E. Micellar effects on the reaction between an arenediazonium salt and 6-o-octanoyl-lascorbic acid. Kinetics and mechanism of the reaction. Langmuir. 2004;**20**: 1631-1638. DOI: 10.1021/la036142z

[19] Ródenas E, Valiente M, Villafruela MS. Different theoretical approaches for the study of the mixed tetraethylene glycol mono-n-dodecyl ether/ hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide micelles. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B. 1999;**103**:4549-4554. DOI: 10.1021/jp981871m

[20] Ortega F, Ródenas E. Micellar effects upon the reaction of low-spin diimine-iron(II) complexes with hydroxide and cyanide ions. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. 1986; **90**:2408-2413. DOI: 10.1021/ j100402a031

[21] García-Río L, Leis JR, Mejuto JC, Perez-Juste J. Hydrolysis of Nmethyl-N-nitroso-ptoluenesulphonamide in micellar media. Journal of Physical Organic Chemistry.
1998;11:584-588. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)
1099-1395(199808/09)11:8/9 
584::AID-POC59>3.0.CO;2-F

[22] García-Rio L, Hervés P, Leis JR, Mejuto JC, Rodríguez-Dafonte P. Reactive micelles: Nitroso group transfer from N-methyl-N-nitroso-ptoluenesulfonamide to amphiphilic amines. Journal of Physical Organic Chemistry. 2004;**17**:1067-1072. DOI: 10.1002/poc.827 [23] Astray G, Cid A, Manso JA, Mejuto JC, Moldes O, Morales J. Influence of anionic and nonionic micelles upon hydrolysis of 3-hydroxy-carbofuran. International Journal of Chemical Kinetics. 2011;**43**:402-408. DOI: 10.1002/kin.20563

[24] Arias M, García-Río L, Mejuto JC, Rodríguez-Dafonte P, Simal-Gándara J.
Influence of micelles on the basic degradation of carbofuran. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry.
2005;53:7172-7178. DOI: 10.1021/ jf0505574

[25] García-Rio L, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Lorenzo M, Rodríguez-Dafonte P. NO transfer reactions between N-methyl-Nnitroso-p-toluene sulfonamide and N-alkylamines in CTACl micellar aggregates. Progress in Reaction Kinetics and Mechanism. 2006;**31**:129-138. DOI: 10.3184=146867806X197115

[26] Astray G, Cid A, Manso JA, Mejuto JC, Moldes OA, Morales J. Alkaline fading of triarylmethyl carbocations in self-assembly microheterogeneous media. Progress in Reaction Kinetics and Mechanism. 2011;**36**:139-165. DOI: 10.3184/146867811X12984793755693

[27] Shrivastava A, Singh AK, Sachdev N, Shrivastava DR, Katre Y, Singh SP, et al. Micelle catalyzed oxidative degradation of norfloxacin by chloramine-T. Journal of Molecular Catalysis A. 2012;**361–362**:1-11. DOI: 10.1016/j.molcata.2012.04.004

[28] Morales J, Moldes OA, Cid A, Astray G, Mejuto JC. Cleavage of carbofuran and carbofuran-derivatives in micellar aggregates. Progress in Reaction Kinetics and Mechanism. 2015;40: 105-118. DOI: 10.3184/146867815X14259195615547

[29] Bunton CA, Nome F, Quina FH, Romsted LS. Ion binding and reactivity at charged aqueous interfaces. Accounts

of Chemical Research. 1991;**24**:357-364. DOI: 10.1021/ar00012a001

[30] Amado S, García-Rio L, Leis JR, Rios A. Reactivity of anions with organic substrates bound to sodium dodecyl sulfate micelles: A Poisson–Boltzmann/ pseudophase approach. Langmuir. 1997;**13**:687-692. DOI: 10.1021/ la960749g

[31] Fernández I, García-Río L, Hervés P, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Juste J, Rodríguez-Dafonte P. β-Cyclodextrin–micelle mixed systems as a reaction medium. Denitrosation of N-methyl-N-nitroso-ptoluenesulfonamide. Journal of Physical Organic Chemistry. 2000;**13**:664-669. DOI: 10.1002/1099-1395(200010)13:10 <664::AID-POC264>3.0.CO;2-U

[32] Astray G, Cid A, García-Río L,
Lodeiro C, Mejuto JC, Moldes O, et al.
Cyclodextrin-surfactant mixed
systems as reaction media. Progress in
Reaction Kinetics and Mechanism. 2010;
35:105-129. DOI: 10.3184/
146867810X12686717520194

[33] García-Río L, Leis JR, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Juste J. Basic hydrolysis of mnitrophenyl acetate in micellar media containing  $\beta$ -cyclodextrins. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B. 1998;**102**: 4581-4587. DOI: 10.1021/jp980432k

[34] Alvarez AR, García-Rio L, Hervés P, Leis JR, Mejuto JC, Perez-Juste J. Basic hydrolysis of substituted nitrophenyl acetates in  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin/surfactant mixed systems. Evidence of free cyclodextrin in equilibrium with micellized surfactant. Langmuir. 1999;**15**:8368-8375. DOI: 10.1021/ la981392e

[35] García-Río L, Leis Jr, Mejuto JC, Navarro-Vázquez A, Pérez-Juste J, Rodríguez-Dafonte P. Basic hydrolysis of crystal violet in  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin/ surfactant mixed systems. Langmuir. 2004;**20**:606-613. DOI: 10.1021/ la035477d [36] Dorrego AB, García-Rio L, Hervés P, Leis JR, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Juste J. Micellization versus cyclodextrin-Surfactant complexation. Angewandte Chemie, International Edition. 2000;**39**: 2945-2948. DOI: 10.1002/1521-3773 (20000818)39:16<2945::AID-ANIE2945>3.0.CO;2-6

[37] Dorrego B, García-Rio L, Hervés P, Leis JR, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Juste J. Changes in the fraction of uncomplexed cyclodextrin in equilibrium with the micellar system as a result of balance between micellization and cyclodextrinsurfactant complexation. cationic alkylammonium surfactants. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B. 2001; **105**:4912-4920. DOI: 10.1021/jp0035232

[38] Astray G, García-Río L, Lodeiro C, Mejuto JC, Moldes O, Morales J, et al. Influence of colloid suspensions of humic acids on the alkaline hydrolysis of N-methyl-N-nitroso-p-toluene sulfonamide. International Journal of Chemical Kinetics. 2010;**42**:316-322. DOI: 10.1002/kin.20481

[39] Morales J, Manso JA, Cid A, Mejuto JC. Stability study of iprodione in alkaline media in the presence of humic acids. Chemosphere. 2013;**92**:1536-1541. DOI: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013. 04.020

[40] Morales J, Manso JA, Cid A, Mejuto JC. Degradation of carbofuran and carbofuran-derivatives in presence of humic substances under basic conditions. Chemosphere. 2012;**89**: 1267-1271. DOI: 10.1016/j. chemosphere.2012.05.018

[41] Morales J, Cid A, Mejuto JC.
Alkaline hydrolysis of vinclozolin: Effect of humic acids aggregates in water.
Journal of Molecular Catalysis A. 2015; **401**:13-17. DOI: 10.1016/j.
molcata.2015.02.017

[42] Arias-Estevez M, Astray G, Cid A, Fernández-Gándara D, García-Río L,

Mejuto JC. Influence of colloid suspensions of humic acids upon the alkaline fading of carbocations. Journal of Physical Organic Chemistry. 2007;**21**: 555-560. DOI: 10.1002/poc.1317

[43] García-Río L, Leis JR, Peña ME, Iglesias E. Transfer of the nitroso group in water/AOT/isooctane microemulsions: Intrinsic and apparent reactivity. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. 1993;**97**:3437-3442. DOI: 10.1021/j100115a057

[44] García-Río L, Leis JR, Mejuto JC. Pseudophase approach to reactivity in microemulsions: Quantitative explanation of the kinetics of the nitrosation of amines by alkyl nitrites in AOT/isooctane/water microemulsions. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. 1996;**100**:10981-10988. DOI: 10.1021/ jp953264u

[45] Astray G, Cid A, García-Río L, Hervella P, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Lorenzo M. Organic reactivity in AOT-stabilized microemulsions. Progress in Reaction Kinetics and Mechanism. 2008;**33**:81-97. DOI: 10.3184/146867807X273173

[46] Morales J, Manso JA, Cid A, Lodeiro C, Mejuto JC. Degradation of carbofuran derivatives in restricted water environments: Basic hydrolysis in AOTbased microemulsions. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. 2012;**372**: 113-120. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcis.2012.01.022

[47] García-Río L, Leis JR, Mejuto JC, Perez-Lorenzo M. Microemulsions as microreactors in physical organic chemistry. Pure and Applied Chemistry. 2007;**79**:1111-1123. DOI: 10.1351/ pac200779061111

[48] García-Río L, Hervés P, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Juste J, Rodríguez-Dafonte P. Pseudophase approach to reactivity in microemulsions: Quantitative explanation of the kinetics of the nitroso group transfer reactions between Nmethyl-N-nitroso-ptoluenesulfonamide and secondary alkylamines in water/AOT/isooctane microemulsions. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research. 2003; **42**:5450-5456. DOI: 10.1021/ie0208523

[49] García-Rio L, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Lorenzo M. Microheterogeneous solvation for aminolysis reactions in AOT-based water-in-oil microemulsions. Chemistry - A European Journal. 2005;**11**:4361-4373. DOI: 10.1002/chem.200401067

[50] García-Río L, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Lorenzo M. Aminolysis reactions by glycine in AOT-based water-in-oil microemulsions. Colloids and Surfaces, A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects. 2005;**270–271**:115-123. DOI: 10.1016/j.colsurfa.2005.05.048

[51] Fernández E, García-Río L, Leis JR, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Lorenzo M. Michael addition and ester aminolysis in w/o AOT-based microemulsions. New Journal of Chemistry. 2005;**29**: 1594-1600. DOI: 10.1039/b507190a

[52] García-Río L, Hervés P, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Juste J, Rodríguez-Dafonte P. Pseudophase approach to the transfer of the nitroso group in water/AOT/SDS/ isooctane quaternary microemulsions. Langmuir. 2000;**16**:9716-9721. DOI: 10.1021/la000523k

[53] García-Río L, Leis JR, Mejuto JC. Solvolysis of benzoyl halides in AOT/ isooctane/water microemulsions. Influence of the Leaving Group. Langmuir. 2003;**19**:3190-3197. DOI: 10.1021/la026753b

[54] García-Río L, Hervés P, Mejuto JC, Rodríguez-Dafonte P. Nitrosation reactions in water/AOT/xylene microemulsions. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research. 2006;
45:600-606. DOI: 10.1021/ie050925t

[55] García-Rio L, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Lorenzo M. Simultaneous effect of

microemulsions and phase-transfer agents on aminolysis reactions. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B. 2007; **111**:11149-11156. DOI: 10.1021/ jp0743323

[56] García-Río L, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Lorenzo M. Modification of reactivity by changing microemulsion composition. Basic hydrolysis of nitrophenyl acetate in AOT/isooctane/ water systems. New Journal of Chemistry. 2004;**28**:988-995. DOI: 10.1039/b401226g

[57] Leis JR, Mejuto JC, Peña ME. Comparison between the kinetics of the alkaline fading of carbocation dyes in water/sodium bis(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate/isooctane microemulsions and in homogeneous media. Langmuir. 1993;**9**:889-893. DOI: 10.1021/la00028a003

[58] García-Río L, Hervés P, Leis JR, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Juste J. Determination of the hydrolysis rate of AOT in AOT/ isooctane/water microemulsions using sodium nitroprusside as chemical probe. Journal of Physical Organic Chemistry. 2002;**15**:576-581. DOI: 10.1002/poc.513

[59] García-Río L, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Lorenzo M. Ester aminolysis by morpholine in AOT-based water-in-oil microemulsions. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. 2006;**301**:624-630. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcis.2006.05.037

[60] García-Río L, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Lorenzo M. First evidence of simultaneous different kinetic behaviors at the interface and the continuous medium of w/o microemulsions. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B. 2006; **110**:812-819. DOI: 10.1021/jp055270o

[61] Hervés P, Leis JR, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Juste J. Kinetic studies on the acid and alkaline hydrolysis of N-methyl-Nnitroso-p-toluenesulfonamide in dioctadecyldimethylammonium chloride vesicles. Langmuir. 1997;**13**: 6633-6637. DOI: 10.1021/la9705975 [62] García-Río L, Hervés P, Mejuto JC, Pérez-Juste J, Rodríguez-Dafonte P. Comparative study of nitroso group transfer in colloidal aggregates: Micelles, vesicles and microemulsions. New Journal of Chemistry. 2003;**27**:372-380. DOI: 10.1039/b209539d

