# we are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists



122,000

135M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1%





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

# Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



## **Induced Mutation: Creating Genetic Diversity in Plants**

Kamile Ulukapi and Ayse Gul Nasircilar

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.81296

#### Abstract

Genetic diversity is the variation occurred in genetic information, which depends on frequency and diversity of alleles among individuals within a population or a species. This phenomenon, which is also a part of the evolution process, allows the organisms to adapt to changing conditions and to survive. Populations with high allelic variability are more easily adaptable to changing environmental conditions. However, nowadays, constant use of populations with certain characters in the plant breeding and the uniformity of consumer demands are among one of the causes of genetic erosion. Loss of genetic diversity within a species can lead to loss of useful properties for human beings. If stress conditions such as disease or drought occur, the ability of a population to survive by adapting to this new condition is dependent on the presence of individuals carrying gene alleles that need to adapt to these conditions.

Keywords: gamma rays, genetic diversity, induced mutation, plant

#### 1. Introduction

The first information on the importance of genetic diversity begins with Darwin, which emphasizes the importance of variations in the process of adaptation to natural habitat. In the process of surviving species that can adapt to changing environmental conditions and the disappearance of others, the factors that caused them were researched and the definition of alleles was first used by Mendel, who is considered the father of heredity. Alleles do not only create the source of similarities and differences between progenies, but also makes it possible for species with high genetic diversity to continue their evolutionary process by adapting to different conditions. A biochemical approach to genetic diversity was presented by the introduction of isoenzyme techniques in the mid-1960s. In the following years, the discovery of the structure of DNA and its DNA-based examination of diversity has enabled scientists to reach

### IntechOpen

© 2018 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

accurate information about genetic diversity, gene flow, and the origins of species. According to the "Neutral Theory of Molecular Evolution" first introduced by Motoo Kimura in 1968, many of the evolutionary changes arise from the genetic drift of the neutral mutant alleles. Greater than 100 bp insertion/deletion is rapidly removed by natural selection that has caused a great change and damage to the DNA. According to the neutral hypothesis, mutations that occur with smaller changes are harmless mutations. They are protected in the evolutionary process by contributing to the formation of genetic diversity. Most of the polymorphisms are kept in a population by mutation and random genetic drift [1–7].

The genetic difference between individual of a species is the basis for evolution and adaptation. If all of the individuals were genetically identical, species could not be survived under changing environmental conditions such as drought and salinity. It is known that there is a positive correlation between fertility and viability with population size and genetic variation. For this reason, regardless of whether the existing genetic diversity is beneficial, it should be considered that it poses a potential against rapidly changing environmental conditions [8, 9]. Loss of genetic diversity will adversely affect the ability to deal with environmental change in the evolutionary process. It is anticipated that, particularly small and isolated populations will be more affected by this loss [4]. Although loss of genetic diversity has been thought to be a threat to rare species for a long time, it has also been found to be effective on widespread species with large populations [4, 10, 11]. Furthermore, the fertility and viability rate of populations with reduced genetic variability and loss of valuable alleles, especially as a result of self-destruction, is diminishing. Random genetic drift changes the frequency of alleles between generations. The frequency of some of the alleles may decrease while the other allele may become more common. Naturally occurring mutations also support this process. The genetic variation among individuals is the basis for the evolutionary change of species, populations, and progeny. Evolutionary change requires modifications of genes or gene combinations. The functions of the existing alleles are altered by mutation or recombination. Appropriate mutations can help the organism better use the current environment. Alleles that are previously neutral or have little effect on the reproduction success of individuals may suddenly become important. Obtaining useful genetic variations through natural mutations is a very slow process. In the future, it is impossible to predict which alleles will be necessary for a survival. For this reason, populations and species with high genetic variation are more likely to have alleles that may be necessary for adaptation to changing conditions. Generally, natural populations have the variation to be expressed in the case of the change of selection pressure. The basis of plant breeding is also based on this genetic variation. By artificially altering the selection pressure, some alleles come forward and populations gain new features. Since there is a positive correlation between population size and genetic diversity [12], decreasing population size or limiting gene flow between subpopulations may cause a reduction in genetic diversity [13]. Genetic drift with a positive role in the evolutionary process [3], inbreeding, mating between close relative individuals, reduction of viability and fertility of individuals in the population may cause effects such as the reduction of heterozygote, allelic losses, and some alleles become fixed in the population. As a result, genetic variation will be lost in populations [9, 12, 14]. Many populations are so small that genetic drift, a random process, has an important influence on the number of alleles that will be transferred to future generations. The useful alleles transferred from previous generations may be lost as a result of genetic drift [1]. Two main consequences of genetic drift; (a) different alleles frequencies between generations are irregular and (b) the genetic diversity of the populations is lost. At the beginning, rare alleles will disappear and the mean heterozygote will decrease over time. While genetic diversity within populations decrease, genetic differences between populations may increase or decrease depending on whether the random genetic drift in different populations in the same or opposite direction. This loss will continue until the gene pool is stabilized for an allele or until a balance is established between loss of genetic variation and genetic variation through mutations. The loss of both rare alleles and heterozygotes, which cause the decrease in genetic diversity in the population, will decrease in an inverse proportion to the effective population size (Ne). The population size (Ne), which helps to determine the demographic structure of the population, makes it possible to predict the change in genetic diversity. Population size is an important factor that increases the genetic diversity of individuals' intra-species and between taxa. Besides genetic diversity of non-endangered species is higher than endanger species [6, 15–17]. Only a small amount of gene flow may be sufficient to prevent the loss of genetic diversity in a population. Especially, the accumulation of mutations with small deleterious effects in the alleles may reduce the viability and fertility of the populations. These mutations never reach high frequencies in large populations of sexual reproduction. The selection pressure prevents these harmful alleles to become widespread. However, in isolated small populations, the frequency of these harmful alleles may increase and be fixed by chance, if genetic drift may be stronger than natural selection. When a harmful mutation is stabilized in the genetic construct, it causes the population size to decrease and other harmful mutations to be fixed [18]. While the destructive effect of detrimental mutations affect large populations, thousands of years later, can be seen in minutes in small populations. This event, known as mutation meltdown, reduces the size of the population depending on the accumulation of detrimental mutations. The mutation meltdown, is a genetic problem, especially for small populations of endangered species, occur depending on mutation characteristics, demographic characteristics of the mutation and population, and the relationship between mutations and their adaptation [19, 20]. Species or populations suffering from the genetic bottleneck disappear over time. Adaptation can sometimes be a rapid process involving a single gene. In cases when the positive selection is strong, the best allele is fixed in the relevant locus and the adaptation process is terminated unless a better allele is generated by mutation or a new allele is introduced into the population by gene flow. This does not occur in polygenic characters. Genetic drift has a significant impact on the protection of genetic diversity and the amount of genetic variation among populations. Genetic drift as well as mutations, selection, and gene flow are factors contributing to genetic diversity. Sometimes, however, subspecies can occur as a result of gene flow, and which can adversely affect fertility or cause outbreeding depression [9, 21].

#### 2. Natural mutagenesis

When damage occurs in DNA due to a physical, chemical, or biological agent, molecular systems recognize and repair this damage. When this mechanism is unsuccessful, mutation

occurs in the organism [22]. Mutagenesis, a consequence of errors in DNA repair, is a mutation-producing process. Hereditary changes that occur naturally and suddenly, which are not caused by recombination and segregation, are called mutations [23]. Mutations that lead to the formation of new individuals, species, and genera are considered as the most important factors of evolution since they can be transferred to future generations [24]. Mutations that occur in somatic cells are not transferred to future generations, but they are important for vegetative produced species. Mutation-derived individuals are called "mutants" [23]. Natural mutants formed in the evolutionary process are one of the most important factors contributing to the formation of species. The rate of spontaneous mutations in higher plants is quite low  $(10^{-5}-10^{-8})$  [25]. Mutations occur more frequently in some regions of the genome. For example, in almost all organisms, the mutation rate in GC regions is higher than in AT regions [26]. While deleterious or neutral mutations that form a part of the mutations that occur in the natural process may disappear in the evolutionary process, the protected mutants may have desirable agricultural characteristics or easily adapt to changing environmental conditions [25]. The first document relating to mutant selection belongs to the year 300 BC. The description of various wild and cultivated mutants was made by Linnaeus in the second half of the 1700s [23]. Although hereditary variations have been observed and used for thousands of years, the mechanism of heredity was first revealed by Mendel [27]. Johanssen's research on seed index of common beans in 1913 can be considered as the first to prove the presence of natural mutations with small effects. In 1924, Baur emphasized that the accumulation of these small mutations in the genome over the years had an impact on the evolution process [28]. Mutation term was first used by de Vries at the beginning of the 1900s. The first evidence of mutation breeding work, which will gain a new perspective on plant breeding, was obtained in 1927 in Datura stramonium by radium ray application [20]. The process that begins with human being's awareness of natural mutation has led to the development of many new varieties with induced mutation.

Mutations can occur in spontaneously or under different influences of various mutagens. For this reason, there are various classifications made by different researchers [2, 29–33]. Yüce et al. [34] classified mutations into two main categories as genomic and plasmon mutations. Genome mutations; (1) "gene mutations" resulting from genetic changes, (2) "Chromosomal mutations" formed by chromosome aberrations or chromosomal changes, (3) "Ploidy mutations" resulting from genome and chromosome number changes, (4) Mutations created by transposition elements, and (5) Mutations resulting from somaclonal and gametoclonal variations were classified as five subgroups. Mutations occurring in the genetic material of mitochondria and plastids in the cytoplasm are classified as "plasmon mutations" in a single heading [34].

Gene mutations are structural gene changes in DNA that occur through different mechanisms such as deletion, insertion, and substitution. Intercalar substances, ultraviolet rays, alkylating compounds, and free radicals cause gene mutations [33, 34].

Chromosome mutations are genetic changes that are generally caused by deletion, duplication, inversion, and translocation mechanisms and are larger than gene mutations [33, 34].

Ploidy mutations are divided into two main groups as polyploidy and aneuploidy. The smallest ploidy level is the haploid in the gametes, containing n chromosomes. Eukaryotes contain diploid (2n) chromosomes in cell nuclei. Cells that contain more than two genomes in

the nucleus are called polyploids. Polyploidy are very common in plant kingdom and are naturally seen in important cultivated plants such as wheat, cotton, potato, banana, and coffee. These species, which contain more than two alleles in terms of genetic structure, display a richer genetic variability. Aneuploidy is the number of chromosome changes that occur as an increase or decrease in the number of chromosomes. In this case, individuals with fewer or more chromosomes than normal chromosomes are formed [34, 35].

Transposon elements are the mobile genetic elements found in the genome and cause mutation due to their ability to displace within the genome [36, 37].

Somaclonal and gametoclonal variants, another source of mutations, are genotypic or phenotypic differentiations in somatic or gametic cells, which are formed by hormones used in tissue culture media [34, 38, 39].

Spontaneous mutations caused by disruptions in the functioning of molecular mechanism in the cell, the main source of genetic diversity [40]. In every generation,  $10^{-5}$ – $10^{-6}$  mutation rate per gamet cell occurs. This ratio can vary between genes and even by regions within the genes [41]. Although mutations occur infrequently, when considered as a whole genome, it plays an important role in the change of genetic diversity. Because mutations occur at randomly, and it cannot know which one of the gene copies will mutate in diploid or polyploid organisms [22].

Spontaneous mutations have been the basis for the beginning of agriculture and for humankind to pass on a settled life. Self-changing hereditary features have made the dormancy period reduced in species such as peas, wheat, and barley. In addition, the loss of bitterness was formed in almond, linden, watermelon, potato, eggplant, cabbage, and various hazelnut species. All these developments have made these products suitable for human consumption. Another spontaneous mutation was the formation of parthenocarpy in grape and banana (**Table 1**). Naturally occurring mutations have led humans to work on induced mutations [42].

Mutation that facilitated domestication	Examples of plant
Abolishment of bitterness and toxicity	almonds, lima beans, watermelons, potatoes, egg-plants, cabbages nuts
Abolishment of the need for sexual reproduction (seedlessness or parthenocarpy)	bananas, grapes, oranges, and pineapples
Loss of natural seed dispersal mechanism—shattering of pods and heads	peas, wheat, barley
Loss of the hard seed coat and other germination inhibitors (dormancy)	wheat, barley, peas
Facility for self-compatible hermaphroditism	grapes, papaya, etc.

**Table 1.** Useful properties acquired by spontaneous mutations in evolutionary processes in plants (Table was directly taken from Mba [42]).

#### 3. Induced mutation

When it considered the changing environmental conditions and population growth, it is necessary to increase agricultural products approximately 70% in near future [43]. However, breeding trials for the development of desirable agricultural characteristics cause genetic bottleneck. Genetic resource erosion in plants will also lead to the loss of useful genes that would potentially create for breeding studies [44]. Induced mutations may help regain lost traits due to reasons, such as stress factors in the evolutionary process. These genotypes, exempted by the ethical and legal limitations faced of genetically modified products, can be identified by advanced molecular techniques. Thus, the variation of the mutants with the new phenotypes revealed can provide a different perspective for plant breeding studies [45]. Mba et al. [46], referring to the importance of landrace and wild varieties as important genetic resources in breeding strategies, proposed that artificial mutation of putative parental materials in order to create new alleles controlling the desired characters for the twenty-first century "smart" crop varieties [42].

There is a 125-year history of studies on induced mutation. It was determined that X-ray, alpha, beta, and gamma rays are the source of radiation, with different studies in 1895–1900. In 1897–1908, the first studies were carried out to investigate the effects of radiation on plants in 1901 and 1911, it is proved that mutation was induced by chemicals at the first time. In 1904 and 1905, Hugo de Vries suggested that radiation promoted artificial mutation. In 1910, Thomas Hunt Morgan did his first mutation experiments with *Drosophila melanogaster*. In 1927, Muller proved precisely that the X-rays induced mutation. In 1928, Lewis John Stadler successfully induced mutation in corn and barley using X-rays. In the years 1934–1938, Tollenar improved the first commercial variety of tobacco called "Chlorina," and this variety was released in Indonesia [28]. After these initial developments, the curiosity and research of the scientists against the induced mutation have continued.

Today, there are 3222 commercial mutant varieties according to the IAEA data. The countries where the most mutant species are released are China (810), Japan (481), and India (330). According to this data, the highest mutant cultivation rate is in Asia continent [47]. When the products are examined, the percentage of mutant varieties by mutation breeding are constituted of 49.5% cereal, 21.9% ornamental plants and flowers, 15% legume, 2.4% fruit nuts, 2.4% vegetable crops, 2.3% fiber crop, 2.1% oil crops, 1.2% forage crops, 0.6% root-tuber crops, 0.4% herbs, 0.2% medicinal plants, and 2% other crops [48].

Mutations can be induced by biological, chemical, or physical factors as well as spontaneous [40]. In breeding studies, physical and chemical mutagens are generally preferred, but mutations also can be generated by biological agents such as viruses and bacteria. While X-rays,  $\gamma$ -rays, fast neutrons, ultraviolet (UV) rays, beta particles, alpha particles, protons, and ion beams are used as physical mutagens, as chemical mutagens; alkylating agents, azide, hydroxylamine, antibiotics, nitroso compounds, acridines, and base analogs are used for mutagenesis [32, 47]. However, "insertional mutagenesis" and "site-directed mutagenesis" methods, which give more precise results in parallel with progress in genome and sequencing studies, are predicted to be used more widely in future mutation breeding studies [49, 50]. Mutant plants

were mostly developed using physical mutagens. Physical mutagens are preferred to chemical mutagens for reasons such as ease of use, low cost, and nontoxicity. In particular, gamma and X-rays are the most commonly used mutagens. About 64% of the mutant plants obtained with the physical mutagens were improved using gamma rays [51–53].

Different plant parts such as seed, meristem, callus, and anther can be used in induced mutation studies. Mutation studies begin with the initial phase, called  $M_0$ , where different plant materials are used. Each generation of the mutation continues in the form of  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ ,  $M_3$ ,.... When a seed is used as the starting material, homohistont generation is obtained at the  $M_2$  stage, while the number of cycles may increase in mutagenesis using vegetative tissues. Screening and selection starts with the first homohistont generations. Once these stages are completed, experiments are carried out to release the mutants obtained, or they can be used as parents in breeding programs [23].

#### 4. Use of induced mutations in the enrichment of plant genetic resources

Induced mutation studies were initially conducted only in field conditions. Tissue culture studies, began with cell culture at the beginning of the twentieth century, have become wide-spread parallel to the development of technology and have enabled the rapid and disease free reproduction of many plant species. *In vitro* mutation may be preferred for tolerance selection, especially for stress and diseases, because of the shortening of the selection period, being economical and the need for small areas in the mutation studies. Generally, plants are transferred to field conditions after the selection made in step  $M_1V_3$  *in vitro* and in this way provides the researcher time and labor savings.

*In vitro* and *in vivo* conditions, different plant explants and different doses of the mutagen to be administered have used to create variations in plant species. High dose applications will increase mutation frequency in induced mutation studies to create genetic diversity in plants. In this case, however, the percentage of survival of the plants will either be too low or the plant will die [54, 55]. For this reason, appropriate dose determination is required for each mutagen and plant species. Appropriate dose determinations are made on the basis of  $M_1$  plants survival rate and vegetative growth, primarily shoot height [56]. A sample graph, appropriate doses calculated according to survival rate of some common bean cultivars by gamma irradiation are given in **Figure 1** [57].

Numerous studies have been carried out for enriching genetic variation by plant-induced mutation and using this variation to the benefit of humankind (**Figure 2**). Some of these studies were conducted in order to create polymorphism by removing genetic bottleneck. In this way, new hybrid groups can be formed. Genetic diversity is also important for breeding programs and the sustainable use of genetic resources [58]. Genetic variation obtained from induced mutation has contributed to modern plant breeding. Studies conducted by mutation breeding can be summarized under the titles of biotic and abiotic stress tolerance, improving plant nutritional properties, and increasing polymorphism. Barakat and El-Sammak [59] in *Gypsophila paniculata* L., Kaul et al. [60] and Barakat et al. [61] in chrysanthemum obtained mutant



Figure 1. Stages of mutation breeding (Figure was directly taken from Jankowicz-Cieslak et al. [27]).



Figure 2. LD50 values of two common bean cultivars (figure was directly taken from Ulukapi and Ozmen [57]).

plants and they identified mutants' genetic similarity with molecular markers (from 0.59 to 0.97, 0.06 to 0.79, and 0.43 to 0.95, respectively). About 83% polymorphism was detected in the chrysanthemum as a result of gamma-induced mutation. It has been reported that the 30 Gy gamma dose is the most effective dose for in vitro genetic variation [62]. Wu et al. [63] have developed resistance at varying frequencies to blast, bacterial blight, and tungoric disease using both chemical (diepoxybutane and ethylmethanesulfonate) and physical (fast neutron and gamma ray) mutagens in rice. The semidwarf rice mutant "Calrose 76" released in California and the short height mutant rice called "Basmati 370" in Pakistan were improved

[64]. EMS is the most preferred chemical mutagen. It causes a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP). In this way, even a single change in the genomic coding sequences will change the expression of the gene, causing changes in transcription and translation products [65]. Till et al. [66] developed two mutant rice populations using ethyl methanesulphonate (EMS) and a combination of sodium azide plus methyl-nitrosourea (Az-MNU). The investigators have screened target genes and identified 30 nucleotides changes in Az-MNU population and 27 nucleotides in the EMS population. In a study using EMS as a chemical mutagen, four populations with different mutation densities were developed on soybean. The results are described by Targeting Induced Local Lesions IN Genomes (TILLING) [64]. Minoia et al. [68] obtained a new mutant collection at domestication by EMS. All of the genome scans identified 66 nucleotide substitutions and reported two different mutation intensities. In Barley, two gene-induced mutations were generated using EMS and the results were confirmed by sequence analysis [69]. In another study conducted at barley, 63 androgenic doubled-haploid mutants were obtained by sodium azide application during anther formation in vitro [70]. In the study by Kim et al. [71], homologous mutant lines were developed resistant to 5methyltryptophan (5MT) in rice. In a similar study in rice, mutants which were resistant to 5methyltryptophan (5MT) were also developed, and the amount of both protein and nine free essential amino acids increased significantly from the original variety [72]. In another study on rice, a new mutant genotype with high tocopherol content was obtained in in vitro mutagenesis with gamma irradiation. Mutant individuals were found to have higher seed viability than the control group and seedling growth was faster in the early growth phase [73]. Induced mutation treatment resulted in acidity and drought tolerance in lentil and rice [74-76]. Again, in a study of rice, salt tolerant varieties were obtained by mutation induction [77]. As seen in all of these studies, many mutagenesis applications have been made in order to improve plant characteristics and to create genetic diversity, and successful results have been achieved. Some methods are used to detect the regions of mutation and density. Methods such as conformation-sensitive capillary electrophoresis (CSCE), single-strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP), and denaturing high performance liquid chromatography (dHPLC) are used to determine variations in plant genes. In addition to these methods, TILLING and Highresolution melting (HRM) are used to determine the induced polymorphism [25, 65, 67].

#### 5. Conclusion

Mutations naturally occurring in the evolutionary process led to the formation of new genotypes. Mutations that occur in nature spontaneously have been modeled for humankind in order to increase the genetic diversity, which is narrowed as a result of natural selection and classical breeding studies. Thus, induced mutation studies have begun. Mutation induction studies, which provide new alleles to the genome by different methods, contribute to the increase of genetic diversity. The increase in genetic variation will increase the chance of survival of species in changing biotic and abiotic conditions. Genetic diversity is not only important for the continuity of species, but also improves the quality criteria of plants, which are raw materials of many industrial products such as food, pharmaceutical, textiles, etc., in these sectors.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### Author details

Kamile Ulukapi<sup>1</sup>\* and Ayse Gul Nasircilar<sup>2</sup>

\*Address all correspondence to: kamileonal@akdeniz.edu.tr

1 Vocational School of Technical Sciences, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey

2 Department of Mathematics and Science Education, Faculty of Education, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey

#### References

- [1] Arslan RC, Penke L. Evolutionary genetics. In: David M. Buss, editors. Handbook of Evolutionary Psychology. Vol. 2. New Jersey. 2015
- [2] Auerbach C. Mutation Research: Problems, Results and Perspectives. London: Chapman and Hall; 1976
- [3] Barton N, Partridge L. Limits to natural selection. BioEssays. 2000;22(12):1075-1084. DOI: 10.1002/1521-1878
- [4] Booy G, Hendriks RJJ, Smulders MJM, Van Groenendael JM, Vosman B. Genetic diversity and the survival of populations. Plant Biology. 2000;2(4):379-395. DOI: 10.1055/s-2000-5958
- [5] Clegg MT. Plant genetic diversity and the struggel to measure selection. The Journal of Heredity. 1997;88(1):1-7. DOI: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a023048
- [6] Tajima F. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. Genetics. 1989;**123**(3):585-595
- [7] Yamazaki T, Maruyama T. Evidence for the neutral hypothesis of protein polymorphism. Science. 1972;**178**(4056):56-58. DOI: 10.1126/science.178.4056.56
- [8] Keller LF, Waller DM. Inbreeding effects in wild populations. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2002;17(5):230-241. DOI: 10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02489-8
- [9] Lundqvist AC, Andersson S, Lönn M. Genetic Variation in Wild Plants and Animals in Sweden: A Review of Case Studies from the Perspective of Conservation Genetics. Swedish Environmental Protection Agency. Report, 5786; 2007

- [10] Ellstrand NC, Elam DR. Population genetic consequences of small population size: Implications for plant conservation. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics. 1993;24:217-242. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.es.24.110193.001245
- [11] Frankham R. Conservation genetics. Annual Review of Genetics. 1995;29:305-327. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.ge.29.120195.001513
- [12] Honnay O, Jacquemyn H. Susceptibility of common and rare plant species to the genetic consequences of habitat fragmentation. Conservation Biology. 2007;21(3):823-831. DOI: 10.1111/j.1523-1739.2006.00646.x
- [13] Leimu R, Mutikainen P, Koricheva J, Fischer M. How general are positive relationships between plant population size, fitness and genetic variation? Journal of Ecology. 2006;94: 942-952. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2006.01150.x
- [14] Young A, Boyle T, Brown T. The population genetic consequences of habitat fragmentation for plants. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 1996;11:413-419. DOI: 10.1016/0169-5347(96)10045-8
- [15] Wright S. Evolution in Mendelian populations. Genetics. 1931;16:97-159
- [16] Kimura M. The Neutral Allele Theory of Molecular Evolution. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1983
- [17] Frankham R. Relationship of genetic variation to population size in wildlife. Conservation Biology. 1996;10(6):1500-1508. DOI: 10.1046/j.1523-1739.1996.10061500.x
- [18] Whitlock MC, Bürger R. Fixation of New Mutations in Small Populations. Interim Report IR-04-064. Austria. 2004. p. 17
- [19] Lynch M, Bürger R, Butcher D, Gabriel W. The mutational meltdown in asexual populations. The Journal of Heredity. 1993;84(5):339-344. DOI: 10.1093/oxfordjournals. jhered.a111354
- [20] Lande R. Mutation and conservation. Conservation Biology. 1995;9:782-791. DOI: 10.1046/ j.1523-1739.1995.09040782.x
- [21] Whiteley AR, Hastings K, Wenburg JK, Frissell CA, Martin JC, Allendorf FW. Genetic variation and effective population size in isolated populations of coastal cutthroat trout. Conservation Genetics. 2010;11(5):1929-1943. DOI: 10.1007/s10592-010-0083-y
- [22] Futuyma DJ. Evolution. 3rd ed. Sinauer Associates, Inc.; 2013
- [23] Foster BP, Shu QY. Plant mutagenesis in crop improvement: Basic terms and applications. In: Shu QY, Forster BP, Nakagawa H, Nakagawa H, editors. Plant Mutation Breeding and Biotechnology. Wallingford: CABI; 2012
- [24] Mba C, Afza R, Bado S, Jain SM. Induced mutagenesis in plants using physical and chemical agents. In: Plant Cell Culture: Essential Methods. Vol. 20. Oxford, UK. 2010. pp. 111-130

- [25] Jiang SY, Ramachandran S. Natural and artificial mutants as valuable resources for functional genomics and molecular breeding. International Journal of Biological Sciences. 2010;6(3):228
- [26] Shen JC, Rideout WM, Jones PA. The rate of hydrolytic deamination of 5-methylcytosine in double-stranded DNA. Nucleic Acids Research. 1994;22:972-976. DOI: 10.1093/nar/ 22.6.972
- [27] Jankowicz-Cieslak J, Mba C, Till BJ. Mutagenesis for crop breeding and functional genomics. In: Biotechnologies for Plant Mutation Breeding. Cham: Springer; 2017. pp. 3-18
- [28] Kharkwal MC. A brief history of plant mutagenesis. In: Shu QY, Forster BP, Nakagawa H, Nakagawa H, editors. Plant Mutation Breeding and Biotechnology. Wallingford: CABI; 2012
- [29] Stubbe H, Genmutation I. Allgemeiner Teil. Handbuch der Ver-Erbungswissenschaft. Band II F. Berlin: Verlag von Gebrüder Bornträger; 1938
- [30] Gustafsson Å, Ekberg I. Types of mutations. Manual on Mutation Breeding. Technical Reports No. 119. Venna: IAEA; 1977; pp. 107-123
- [31] van Harten AM. Mutation Breeding: Theory and Practical Applications. UK: Cambridge University Press; 1998
- [32] Pathirana R. Plant mutation breeding in agriculture. In: Plant Sciences Reviews. UK. 2011. pp. 107-126
- [33] Lundqvista U, Franckowiakb JD, Forster BP. Mutation categories. Shu QY, Forster BP, Nakagawa H, Nakagawa H. Plant Mutation Breeding and Biotechnology. CABI. Swedish Environmental Protection Agency. Report, 5786; 2012
- [34] Yüce S, Bilgen G, Demir İ. Genetik. Ankara: Nobel Yayınevi; 2010. pp. 218-265
- [35] Ramsey J, Schemske DW. Neopolyploidy in flowering plants. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics. 2002;33:589-639. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.33.010802.150437
- [36] Bryan G, Garza D, Hartl D. Insertion and excision of the transposable element mariner in Drosophila. Genetics. 1990;**125**(1):103-114
- [37] Settles AM. Transposon tagging and reverse genetics. In: Molecular Genetic Approaches to Maize Improvement. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2009. pp. 143-159
- [38] Larkin PJ, Scowcroft WR. Somaclonal variation—a novel source of variability from cell cultures for plant improvement. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 1981;60(4):197-214
- [39] George EF. Plant Propagation by Tissue Culture, Part 1: The Technology. England: Exegetics Ltd.; 1993
- [40] Sadava DE, Hillis DM, Heller HC, Berenbaum M. Life: The Science of Biology. 10th ed. Sinauer Associates. Sunderland, MA, USA: W.H. Freeman; 2012

- [41] Lynch M, Blanchard J, Houle D, Kibota T, Schultz S, Vassilieva L, et al. Spontaneous deleterious mutation. Evolution (N. Y). 1999;53:645-663. DOI: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1999. tb05361.x
- [42] Mba C. Induced mutations unleash the potentials of plant genetic resources for food and agriculture. Agronomy. 2013;3(1):200-231. DOI: 10.3390/agronomy3010200
- [43] Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations 2009. How to Feed the World in 2050. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2009
- [44] Lee CT, Wickneswari R, Clyde MM, Zakri AH. Maintenance of genetic diversity in Parkia speciosa in logged-over forests. Journal of Tropical Forest Science. 2002;14(2):163-178
- [45] Chaudhary A, Chaudhary S. Quality improvement in plants through induced mutations. In: Tomlekova NB, Kozgar MI, Wani MR, editors. Mutagenesis: Exploring Genetic Diversity of Crops. Wageningen Academic Publishers; 2014. p. 103. DOI: 10.3920/978-90-8686-796-7\_11
- [46] Mba C, Guimaraes P, Ghosh K. Re-orienting crop improvement for the changing climatic conditions of the 21st century. Agriculture & Food Security. 2012;1:7. DOI: 10.1186/2048-7010-1-7
- [47] Oladosu Y, Rafii MY, Abdullah N, Hussin G, Ramli A, Rahim HA, et al. Principle and application of plant mutagenesis in crop improvement: A review. Biotechnology and Biotechnological Equipment. 2016;30(1):1-16
- [48] Joint FAO/IAEA Division of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture 2018. Mutation Breeding. [Accessed: August 2018]. Available from: http://www-naweb.iaea.org/nafa/ pbg/mutation-breeding.html
- [49] Sangwana RS, Ochattb S, Nava-Saucedoa JE, Sangwan-Norreela B. T-DNA insertion mutagenesis. In: Shu QY, Forster BP, Nakagawa H, Nakagawa H, editors. Plant Mutation Breeding and Biotechnology. Wallingford: CABI; 2011
- [50] Osakabe K, Saika H, Okuzaki A, Toki S. Site-directed mutagenesis in higher plants. In: Shu QY, Forster BP, Nakagawa H, Nakagawa H, editors. Plant Mutation Breeding and Biotechnology. Wallingford: CABI; 2011
- [51] Kharkwal MC, Pandey RN, Pawar SE. Mutation breeding for crop improvement. In: Jain HK, Kharkwal MC, editors. Plant Breeding: Mendelian to Molecular Approaches. New Delhi, India: Narosa Publishing House; 2004
- [52] Jain SM. Major mutation-assisted plant breeding programs supported by FAO/IAEA. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture. 2005;82:113-123. DOI: 10.1007/s11240-004-7095-6
- [53] Jain SM. Mutagenesis in crop improvement under the climate change. Romanian Biotechnological Letters. 2010;15(2):88-106
- [54] Koornneef M. In: Gilmartin PM, Bowler C, editors. Molecular Plant Biology. Gene Identification: Classical Mutagenesis in Higher Plants. UK: Oxford University Press; 2002. pp. 1-13

- [55] Nouri H, Kiani D, Khani MAH. Investigation of mutagenic effects of various doses of gamma ray on seed germination traits of pinto bean cultivar of Khomein. Annals of Biological Research. 2012;3(10):4977-4979
- [56] Sağel Z, Peşkircioğlu H, Tutluer Mİ. Nükleer Tekniklerin Bitki Islahında Kullanılması, VIII. Ulusal Nükleer Bilimler ve Teknolojileri Kongresi; 15-17 Ekim, 2003. p. 14; Kayseri
- [57] Ulukapi K, Ozmen SF. Study of the effect of irradiation (<sup>60</sup>Co) on M<sub>1</sub> plants of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cultivars and determined of proper doses for mutation breeding. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Science. 2018;11(2):157-161. DOI: 10.1016/ j.jrras.2017.12.004
- [58] Mwacharo JM, Otieno CJ, Okeyo MA. Suitability of blood protein polymorphisms in assessing genetic diversity in indigenous sheep in Kenya. In: Applications of Gene-Based Technologies for Improving Animal Production and Health in Developing Countries. Dordrecht: Springer; 2005. pp. 585-591. DOI: 10.1007/1-4020-3312-5\_42
- [59] Barakat MN, El-Sammak H. In vitro mutagenesis, plant regeneration and characterization of mutants via RAPD analysis in Baby's breath *Gypsophila paniculata* L. Australian Journal of Crop Science. 2011;5:214-222
- [60] Kaul A, Kumar S, Ghani M. In vitro mutagenesis and detection of variability among radiomutants of chrysanthemum using RAPD. Advances in Horticultural Science. 2011; 25(2):106-111
- [61] Barakat MN, Fattah RSA, Badr M, El-Torky MG. In vitro mutagenesis and identification of new variants via RAPD markers for improving *Chrysanthemum morifolium*. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2010;5(8):748-757. DOI: 10.5897/AJAR09.679
- [62] Kang EJ, Lee YM, Sung SY, Ha BK, Kim SH, Kim DS, et al. Analysis of the genetic relationship of gamma-irradiated in vitro mutants derived from standard-type chrysanthemum cv. Migok. Horticulture, Environment and Biotechnology. 2013;54(1):76-81. DOI: 10.1007/s13580-013-0124-9
- [63] Wu JL, Wu C, Lei C, Baraoidan M, Bordeos A, Madamba MRS, et al. Chemical-and irradiation-induced mutants of indica rice IR64 for forward and reverse genetics. Plant Molecular Biology. 2005;59(1):85-97. DOI: 10.1007/s11103-004-5112-0
- [64] Ahloowalia BS, Maluszynski M. Induced mutations–A new paradigm in plant breeding. Euphytica. 2001;118(2):167-173. DOI: 10.1023/A:1004162323428
- [65] Wilde HD, Chen Y, Jiang P, Bhattacharya A. Targeted mutation breeding of horticultural plants. Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture. 2012;24(1):31-41. DOI: 10.9755/ejfa. v24i1.10596
- [66] Till BJ, Cooper J, Tai TH, Colowit P, Greene EA, Henikoff S, et al. Discovery of chemically induced mutations in rice by TILLING. BMC Plant Biology. 2007;7(1):19. DOI: 10.1186/ 1471-2229-7-19

- [67] Cooper JL, Till BJ, Laport RG, Darlow MC, Kleffner JM, Jamai A, et al. TILLING to detect induced mutations in soybean. BMC Plant Biology. 2008;8(1):9. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2229-8-9
- [68] Minoia S, Petrozza A, D'Onofrio O, Piron F, Mosca G, Sozio G, et al. A new mutant genetic resource for tomato crop improvement by TILLING technology. BMC Research Notes. 2010;3(1):69. DOI: 10.1186/1756-0500-3-69
- [69] Caldwell DG, McCallum N, Shaw P, Muehlbauer GJ, Marshall DF, Waugh R. A structured mutant population for forward and reverse genetics in Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). The Plant Journal. 2004;40(1):143-150. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02190.x
- [70] Castillo AM, Cistue L, Valles MP, Sanz JM, Romagosa I, Molina-Cano JL. Efficient production of androgenic doubled-haploid mutants in barley by the application of sodium azide to anther and microspore cultures. Plant Cell Reports. 2001;20(2):105-111. DOI: 10.1007/s002990000289
- [71] Kim DS, Lee IS, Jang CS, Kang SY, Seo YW. Characterization of the altered anthranilate synthase in 5-methyltryptophan-resistant rice mutants. Plant Cell Reports. 2005;24(6):357-365. DOI: 10.1007/s00299-005-0943-y
- [72] Kim DS, Lee IS, Jang CS, Hyun DY, Seo YW, Lee YI. Selection of 5-methyltryptophan resistant rice mutants from irradiated calli derived from embryos. Euphytica. 2004;135(1): 9-19. DOI: 10.1023/B:EUPH.0000009509.78515.8e
- [73] Hwang JE, Ahn JW, Kwon SJ, Kim JB, Kim SH, Kang SY, et al. Selection and molecular characterization of a high tocopherol accumulation rice mutant line induced by gamma irradiation. Molecular Biology Reports. 2014;41(11):7671-7681. DOI: 10.1007/s11033-014-3660-1
- [74] Lal JP, Tomer AK. Genetic enhancement of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus) for drought tolerance through induced mutations. In: Induced Plant Mutations in the Genomics Era. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2009. pp. 151-154
- [75] Do KT, Dao MS, Hung PQ, Nguyen TC. Rice Mutation Improvement for Short Duration, High Yield and Tolerance to Adverse Conditions in Mekong Delta of Viet Nam; Plant mutation reports. Vol. 1, No. 1, 2006
- [76] Tran DQ, Dao TTB, Nguyen HD, Lam QD, Bui HT, Nguyen VB, et al. Rice Mutation Breeding in Institute of Agricultural Genetics. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). Viet Nam; 2006
- [77] González MC, Pérez N, Cristo E, Rodríguez M, Borras O. Development of salinity-tolerant rice varieties using biotechnological and nuclear techniques. In: Induced Plant Mutations in the Genomics Era. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO); 2009. pp. 138-140



IntechOpen