

# We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

4,800

Open access books available

122,000

International authors and editors

135M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index  
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?  
Contact [book.department@intechopen.com](mailto:book.department@intechopen.com)

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.  
For more information visit [www.intechopen.com](http://www.intechopen.com)



---

# Antimicrobial Effects of Probiotics and Novel Probiotic-Based Approaches for Infectious Diseases

---

Ping Li and Qing Gu

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.72804>

---

## Abstract

Probiotics are live microorganisms, which confer health benefits on host when administered in adequate amounts. Probiotics exert their beneficial effects by maintenance flora healthy, enhancement of mucosal barrier integrity and modulation of immune responses. Antimicrobial substances including bacteriocins, hydrogen peroxide, organic acids, and short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) produced by probiotics allow them to inhibit mucosal and epithelial adherence of pathogens and compete for limiting resources, thus suppress the growth of bacterial and fungal pathogens. Probiotics effect the colonization of fungal pathogen *Candida* to host surfaces, suppress *Candida* growth and biofilm development *in vitro*. Clinical results have shown that some probiotics can reduce oral, vaginal, and enteric colonization of *Candida*, alleviate clinical signs and symptoms, and potentially reduce the incidence of invasive fungal infection. Therefore, probiotics may be potential antifungals for prevention and treatment of candidiasis.

**Keywords:** probiotics, mechanism of action, antimicrobial activity, candidiasis, safety

---

## 1. Introduction

Probiotics are “live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host,” which was defined by the Food and Drug Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and World Health Organization (WHO) [1–3]. Probiotics should have some fundamental characteristics, such as human origin, nonpathogenic in nature, resistance to destruction by technical processing, acid and bile tolerances, adequate adherence and colonization on epithelial surfaces, antagonistic activity against pathogens, regulation of immune response, and influence human metabolic activities [4–7].

Bacteria belonging to the genera *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* are the most frequently used probiotics. Besides, *Enterococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Saccharomyces*, and *Bacillus* are also commonly used probiotics (representative species are listed in **Table 1**). The administration of probiotics has been confirmed as an alternative biological approach to combat bacterial and fungal pathogens in the oral cavity, GI tract, and urogenital system [4, 5, 7–14]. It has been reported that probiotics could reduce *Candida*, which cause fungal infections in different organ systems of the human body and prevent bacterial infectious diseases [9, 10, 15]. Probiotics were capable of preventing cancers [16], modulating blood pressure [17, 18], and repressing cholesterol levels [19]. Recently, species of *Akkermansia muciniphila*, *Eubacterium hallii*, and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* are identified as new potential probiotics because of their great benefits to the microbial metabolic networks and human health, especially the effects on correcting the imbalance of gut microbiota composition [7, 20–22]. A combination of probiotics with traditional treatment has been thought to be a potential approach for treatment of certain diseases.

It is noteworthy that health benefits of probiotic bacteria are strain specific, which cannot be generalized to other strains, not even the same species, although some properties may be common for different strains because of the similarities in the metabolism of ecological functionality [5, 6]. Thus, the selection of certain probiotics for therapeutic purposes should be targeted for specific pathogens. Probiotics effects are dose specific [5, 6]. It has been suggested that a daily intake of  $10^6$ – $10^9$  colony-forming units (CFUs) of probiotic microorganisms is the minimum effective dose for therapeutic purposes [5, 6, 8].

A number of probiotics are currently commercially available, and they have been categorized into single-strain or multi-strain/multispecies products [7, 23, 24]. Multi-strain/multispecies probiotics exhibited better effects than single-strain probiotics. The multispecies probiotic consortium VSL#3 (*Streptococcus thermophilus*, *Eubacterium faecium*, *Bifidobacterium breve*, *Bifidobacterium infantis*, *Bifidobacterium longum*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *Lactobacillus*

Genera	Species
<i>Lactobacillus</i>	<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i> , <i>Lactobacillus casei</i> , <i>Lactobacillus plantarum</i> , <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> , <i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i> , <i>Lactobacillus paracasei</i> , <i>Lactobacillus sporogenes</i> , <i>Lactobacillus lactis</i> , <i>Lactobacillus helveticus</i> , and <i>Lactobacillus fermentum</i>
<i>Lactococcus</i>	<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> , <i>Lactococcus lactis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i> , <i>Lactococcus lactis</i> subsp. <i>diacetylactis</i> , and <i>Lactococcus Lactis</i> subsp. <i>cremoris</i>
<i>Bifidobacterium</i>	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> , <i>Bifidobacterium bifidum</i> , <i>Bifidobacterium bifidus</i> , and <i>Bifidobacterium lactis</i>
<i>Enterococcus</i>	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> and <i>Enterococcus faecium</i>
<i>Saccharomyces</i>	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> and <i>Saccharomyces boulardii</i>
<i>Streptococcus</i>	<i>Streptococcus thermophiles</i>
<i>Bacillus</i>	<i>Bacillus coagulans</i> and <i>Bacillus subtilis</i>
Others	<i>Akkermansia muciniphila</i> , <i>Eubacterium hallii</i> , and <i>Faecalibacterium prausnitzii</i>

**Table 1.** Representative microbe commonly considered as probiotics.

*plantarum*, *Lactobacillus casei*, and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus*) was proven more effective than single-strain probiotics for the treatment of ulcerative colitis [23]. The multispecies probiotic consortium, Ecologic AAD (*Bifidobacterium bifidum* W23, *Bifidobacterium lactis* W18, *Bifidobacterium longum* W51, *Enterococcus faecium* W54, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* W37 and W55, *Lactobacillus paracasei* W72, *Lactobacillus plantarum* W62, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* W71, and *Lactobacillus salivarius* W24), combined with amoxicillin, could reduce diarrhea-like bowel movements, while the single strain could not [25]. Thus, the combination-specific probiotic effects from diverse strains can lead to synergistic effects.

Among the most frequently used probiotics, the genera *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Lactococcus*, and *Saccharomyces* have been included in the category of “generally regarded as safe” (GRAS) [4, 6]; however, other probiotic organisms such as *Enterococcus*, *Bacillus*, and *Streptococcus* are not generally regarded as safe. Since probiotics have been applied in food production, disease treatment, and others, it is important to undergo safety evaluation of probiotics before human consumption.

In this chapter, we briefly review the mechanisms of action of probiotics, the safety concern of probiotics, and their potentials for prevention and treatment of diseases. Here, we discuss the application of probiotics in the fungal *Candida*-infected and invasion candidiasis.

## 2. Probiotics mechanism of action

Probiotics mechanism of action is with important differences among different species and strain, examples are listed in **Table 2**.

### 2.1. Maintenance flora healthy by reduction the growth and colonization of pathogens

The ability of probiotics to establish in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, maintain flora healthy, and reduce the growth of pathogens and colonization is enhanced by their ability to eliminate competitors. Probiotic strains release different antimicrobial molecules such as organic acids, hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ), and antimicrobial peptide bacteriocins into the intestinal environment to limit the growth of bacterial and fungal pathogens [6, 39–43].

Lactic acid and acetic acid are the main metabolites formed by lactic acid bacteria (LAB). Both lactic acid and acetic acid could result in acidity environment and thus inhibit the growth of various microorganisms. Acetic acid has a broader spectrum of antimicrobial activity when compared to lactic acid. Moreover, it is known that a synergistic effect exists between the two acids: mixtures of acetic and lactic acids suppress the growth of the pathogenic enteric bacterium *Salmonella typhimurium* [44].

LAB can also produce  $H_2O_2$ , the antimicrobial activity of which is linked to the strong oxidizing effect. Hydrogen peroxide showed a bactericidal effect on most pathogens when in

Mechanism of action	Probiotics	Study outcomes	References
Maintenance flora healthy by reduction the growth and colonization of pathogens	<i>L. rhamnosus</i> GG, <i>L. casei</i> Shirota, <i>L. reuteri</i> SD2112 and <i>L. brevis</i> CD2	<i>L. rhamnosus</i> GG showed the strongest inhibitory activity in fructose and glucose medium against <i>C. albicans</i> , followed by <i>L. casei</i> Shirota, <i>L. reuteri</i> SD2112 and <i>L. brevis</i> CD2	[26]
	<i>L. plantarum</i> , commercial preparation LactoLevure®	Increased survival of mice infected by multidrug resistant <i>P. aeruginosa</i> and <i>E. coli</i>	[27]
	<i>B. breve</i> , <i>L. casei</i> (randomized controlled trial, RCT)	Levels of beneficial organic acids significantly increased in the gut, and the incidences of infectious (pneumonia and bacteremia) complications were significantly lower in the probiotic group	[32]
	Synbiotic ( <i>Lactobacillus</i> , <i>Bifidobacterium</i> , and galactooligosaccharides) for 8 weeks (RCT)	Acetic acid concentration significantly increased (100 times), pH value decreased, Gram-negative rod (1/10) in the gut decreased, and <i>P. aeruginosa</i> decreased in the probiotic group	[33]
	Multi-strain synbiotic for 7 days (RCT)	Synbiotic group had lower pathogenic bacteria (43% versus 75%) and multiple organisms (39% versus 75%) in nasogastric aspirates than controls	[34]
Enhancement of mucosal barrier integrity	<i>B. lactis</i> Bb12 for 7–21 days (RCT)	Probiotic group had great higher counts of <i>Bifidobacterium</i> ( $P = 0.001$ ) and lower counts of <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> ( $P = 0.015$ ) and <i>Clostridium</i> spp. ( $P = 0.014$ ) than in placebo group	[35]
	<i>L. casei</i> subsp. <i>rhamnosus</i> for 6 weeks (RCT)	Colonization of <i>Candida</i> in gut was reduced in probiotic group ( $P = 0.01$ )	[28]
	<i>L. plantarum</i> 299v for 8 days (RCT)	Bacterial translocation in mesenteric lymph nodes and liver was reduced to 0 and 12%, respectively	[29]
	Microencapsulated <i>Bifidobacteria</i>	Bacterial translocation to mesenteric lymph nodes was reduced by encapsulated <i>Bifidobacteria</i> ( $P < 0.05$ )	[30]
	VSL#3 (RCT)	Decreased incidence of bacterial translocation in VSL#3 group than in water group (8% versus 50%; $P = 0.03$ )	[31]
Immune modulation	VSL#3 ( <i>Lactobacillus</i> , <i>Bifidobacterium</i> , and <i>S. thermophilus</i> ) for 7 days (RCT)	Reduced acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II score; reduced sequential organ failure assessment, IL-6, procalcitonin, and protein	[36]
	<i>L. plantarum</i> 299v (RCT)	Late attenuating effect (after 15 days), serum IL-6 levels reduced	[37]

**Table 2.** Mechanism of action of probiotics.

combination with lactoperoxidase-thiocyanate milk system [45]. *L. johnsonii* NCC933 and *L. gasseri* KS120.1 killed enteric uropathogenic and vaginosis-associated pathogens due to the production of lactic acid and hydrogen peroxide [46].

Bacteriocins are ribosomally synthesized antimicrobial peptides, which have broad spectrum of inhibitory effect against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, viruses, and fungi [47–50]. *L. plantarum* 2.9, a bacteriocinogenic strain, inhibited a set of foodborne pathogens including *B. cereus*, *E. coli* O157:H7, and *S. enterica* [51]. Bacteriocin-producing strains identified in our lab, e.g., *L. plantarum* ZJ316, *L. plantarum* LZ95, *L. plantarum* ZJ008, and *L. plantarum* ZJ005, showed antimicrobial activity against various pathogens *in vitro* such as *S. aureus*, *E. coli*, *S. enterica*, *L. monocytogenes*, and *C. albicans* [42, 52–54].

## 2.2. Enhancement of mucosal barrier integrity

Probiotics have been shown to improve barrier function and the mechanisms of barrier function including alteration of tight junction protein expression and/or localization, induction of mucus secretion, increased production of cytoprotective molecules such as heat-shock proteins, inhibition of apoptosis of epithelial cells, and promoting cell survival [29, 55, 56]. They compete with pathogens and prevent their invasion through the epithelium by the ability of adherence to the intestinal epithelium and mucus. *L. plantarum* has been shown to enhance mucosal barrier by adhering to the mucosal membrane and reducing Gram-negative bacteria [29]. Probiotics also compete for limiting resources, thus suppressing the growth of bacterial and fungal pathogens. The probiotic *E. coli* Nissle 1917 is able to effectively take up multiple limited environmental irons and simultaneously competitively inhibit the growth of other intestinal microbes and pathogens [57].

Furthermore, butyrate, a short-chain fatty acid (SCFA), could reduce bacterial translocation, improve the organization of tight junctions, modulate intestinal motility in addition to being an energy source for colonocytes, and maintain the integrity of the intestinal epithelium [29–31, 58–60]. *E. hallii* is an important anaerobic butyrate producer resident in our gut, which influences the intestinal metabolic balance and enhances the host-gut microbiota homeostasis [61]. Thus, the administration of probiotics with butyrate-producing bacteria, in particular, could be an effective way to achieve health benefits.

## 2.3. Immune modulation

Probiotics are reported to enhance phagocytic activity of granulocytes and cytokine excretion in lymphocytes, increase immunoglobulin-secreting cells, and attenuate inflammasome activation. They are able to affect cells involved in immune responses, including epithelial cells, dendritic cells (DCs), T cells, regulatory T (Treg) cells, monocytes/macrophages, immunoglobulin A (IgA)-producing B cells, and natural killer cells [62, 63].

Probiotic bacteria have an effect on intestinal DCs, which have the ability to recognize and respond to different bacteria by linking the innate immune system to the adaptive immune response and to develop T- and B-cell responses. Badia et al. found that the immunomodulatory role of *S. boulardii* in the DCs prior to infection was related to the upregulation of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF $\alpha$ ) and C–C chemokine receptor type 7 mRNAs, which might make the DCs more effective in antagonizing bacteria [64, 65]. Smith et al. reported that *S. boulardii* stimulated the production of cytokines TNF $\alpha$ , IL-1, IL-12, IL-6, and IL-10 in DCs and also

induced high levels of costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86, thus modulated the immune system and led to an efficient clearing of enteropathogenic bacteria from the blood stream coupled with a faster cytokine response [65, 66].

Probiotics also influence intestinal epithelial cells through interaction with Toll-like receptors (TLRs) and downregulate the expression of NF- $\kappa$ B and proinflammatory cytokines [67, 68]. This effect is supported by the following studies: the supernatant of probiotic *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* inhibited the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway *in vitro* and *in vivo* and showed protective effects in different models such as dinitrobenzene sulfate (DNBS)-induced colitis model and dextran sodium sulfate (DSS)-induced colitis [69]; the probiotic strain *L. rhamnosus* GG prevented cytokine-induced apoptosis in intestinal epithelial cells [70]; and *L. rhamnosus* GR-1 reduced the adhesion of *E. coli* by promoting TLR2 and NOD1 synergism and attenuating ASC-independent NLRP3 inflammasome activation [71].

### 3. Probiotic as antifungals for prevention and treatment of candidiasis

*Candida* is an opportunistic pathogen, causing mucosal infections including infections in the oral cavity, oropharynx, esophagus, and vagina, and potentially life-threatening systemic candidiasis. *Candida albicans* is the most common fungal pathogen in humans responsible for causing superficial as well as deep invasive candidiasis, which are essentially caused by *Candida* biofilms attached to body surfaces. Other *Candida* species such as *Candida tropicalis*, *Candida guilliermondii*, *Candida krusei*, and *Candida glabrata* are less frequently isolated in healthy and diseased humans [72–74]. Probiotics are known to reduce *Candida* infection in different organs and are generally considered to be beneficial for overall health. They appear to assist the host combat the pathogen by suppressing filamentation formation and reducing biofilm development, the mechanism of which may be related to expression of genes associated with biofilm formation and filamentation in *Candida* species. *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies have demonstrated the role of probiotics in the prevention of *Candida* colonization and invasive candidiasis [38, 75–86].

#### 3.1. *In vitro* evidences: probiotics in prevention/treatment of *Candida* infections

Several *in vitro* studies have addressed the antifungal effects of probiotics against *Candida* isolated from the human oral cavity, GI tract, and genitourinary tract [77–81, 86, 87]. The probiotics that have been investigated against *Candida* species include *Lactobacillus* (e.g., *L. rhamnosus*, *L. plantarum*, *L. fermentum*, *L. acidophilus*, *L. paracasei*, *L. johnsonii*, and *L. salivarius*), *Bifidobacterium* (e.g., *B. bifidum* and *B. infantis*), *Saccharomyces* (e.g., *S. boulardii*), and *Streptococcus* (e.g., *S. thermophilus*). **Table 3** shows candidacidal activity of probiotic strains in different studies. *C. albicans* appears to be more susceptible to the antifungal effect of *Lactobacillus* than *C. pseudotropicalis* [81], and the probiotics exhibited growth inhibitory activities against *C. glabrata*, *C. krusei*, and *C. parapsilosis* [79, 87].

Probiotics	Target pathogen	Study outcome	References
14 strains: <i>L. fermentum</i> , <i>L. rhamnosus</i> , <i>L. plantarum</i> , and <i>L. acidophilus</i>	<i>C. albicans</i> and <i>C. pseudotropicalis</i>	All probiotics inhibited the growth of <i>C. albicans</i> by H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> production and alternative mechanism	[81]
<i>S. boulardii</i>	<i>C. albicans</i> SC5314	<i>S. boulardii</i> inhibited the affecting hyphae formation, <i>Candida</i> adhesion, and biofilm formation by capric acid production	[87]
<i>L. paracasei</i> IMC 502	<i>C. glabrata</i> , <i>C. krusei</i> , <i>C. parapsilosis</i> , and <i>C. tropicalis</i>	High activity toward <i>Candida</i> strains except <i>C. glabrata</i> and <i>C. tropicalis</i>	[79]
<i>L. plantarum</i> ATCC 8014 and <i>L. johnsonii</i> enriched or not with SeNPs	<i>C. albicans</i> ATCC 14053	Strong inhibition of <i>C. albicans</i> by supernatant of selenium-enriched <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp.	[86]
<i>L. acidophilus</i> , <i>L. rhamnosus</i> , <i>L. salivarius</i> , <i>B. bifidum</i> , <i>S. thermophiles</i> , and <i>B. infantis</i>	<i>C. albicans</i> 10341	Significant inhibitory effect on biofilm formation and reduce viability of <i>Candida</i>	[80]
<i>L. rhamnosus</i> GR-1 and <i>L. reuteri</i> RC-14	<i>C. albicans</i> SC5314	Visible inhibition zones of fungal <i>C. albicans</i> by probiotic treatment; low pH environment caused by lactic acid and the H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> production may be anti- <i>Candida</i> factors	[77]
<i>L. acidophilus</i> ATCC 4356	<i>C. albicans</i> ATCC 18804	Reduce growth of <i>C. albicans</i> cells by 45.1%	[78]
<i>L. casei</i> subsp. <i>rhamnosus</i>	<i>Candida</i> spp.	80 preterm neonates with a very low birth weight: probiotic reduced incidence and intensity of enteric colonization by <i>Candida</i> spp. (RCT)	[28]
<i>L. rhamnosus</i> GG, <i>L. rhamnosus</i> LC705, <i>P. freudenreichii</i> subsp. <i>shermanii</i> JS	<i>Candida</i> spp.	276 elderly people: probiotic intervention reduced the risk of high yeast counts by 75% and the prevalence of hyposalivation (RCT)	[76]
<i>L. rhamnosus</i> GR-1 and <i>L. reuteri</i> RC-14	<i>Candida</i> spp.	55 women: probiotics significant reduced vaginal discharge, itching, and/or burning vaginal feeling, dyspareunia, and/or dysuria, and reduced the presence of <i>Candida</i> spp. (RCT)	[82]
<i>L. acidophilus</i> , <i>L. rhamnosus</i> , <i>B. longum</i> , <i>B. bifidum</i> , <i>S. boulardii</i> , and <i>S. thermophilus</i>	<i>Candida</i> spp.	150 children (aged 3 month to 12 year) on broad-spectrum antibiotics for at least 48 h: probiotic therapy avoided a significant increase in the number of patients colonized by <i>Candida</i> spp., significantly reduced the presence of <i>Candida</i> in the urine (RCT)	[83]
<i>L. bulgaricus</i> , <i>B. longum</i> , and <i>S. thermophilus</i>	<i>Candida</i> spp.	65 patients with <i>Candida</i> -associated stomatitis: detection rate of <i>Candida</i> spp. was reduced in the probiotic group; significant relief of clinical signs and symptoms after probiotic administration (RCT)	[84]



Probiotics	Target pathogen	Study outcome	References
<i>L. acidophilus</i> , <i>B. lactis</i> , <i>B. longum</i> , and <i>B. bifidum</i>	<i>Candida</i> spp.	112 preterm neonates (gestational age < 37 wk and birth weight < 2500 g): probiotics may reduce enteral fungal colonization and invasive fungal sepsis in low-birth-weight neonates (RCT)	[75]
<i>L. reuteri</i> DSM 17938 and <i>L. reuteri</i> ATCC PTA 5289	<i>Candida</i> spp.	215 elderly people (aged 60–102 y): significant reduction of <i>Candida</i> cells in saliva and plaque (RCT)	[85]

**Table 3.** Probiotics in prevention/treatment of *Candida* infections.

However, the mechanisms involved in antifungal activity of probiotics against *Candida* remain unclarified. Strus et al. found that *Lactobacillus* strains could inhibit the growth of *C. albicans* to a certain degree and their anticandidal activity related to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production [81]. Murzyn et al. reported that *S. boulardii* was able to secrete active compounds, mainly capric acid, reduced the expression of *hwp1*, *ino1*, and *csH1* genes that encode virulence factors in *C. albicans* SC5314 cells, and inhibited filamentation of *C. albicans* and its mycelial development [87]. Therefore, it is likely that the antimicrobial molecules, organic acids, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> produced by probiotic are major factors to limit growth of fungal pathogen *Candida*. This idea was supported by the research of Köhler et al. They demonstrated that low pH environment caused by lactic acid and the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production of *L. rhamnosus* GR-1 and *L. reuteri* RC-14 strains played important role in their inhibition activity to *C. albicans* SC5314. Moreover, *L. rhamnosus* GR-1 and *L. reuteri* RC-14 inhibited genes associated with *C. albicans* biofilm formation [87]. This result, together with the findings in Murzyn et al. study, shed light on a novel approach for uncovering the molecular mechanisms of the probiotic effect by using gene expression and related technology.

### 3.2. *In vivo* evidences: probiotics in prevention/treatment of *Candida* infections

*In vivo* studies, especially RCTs, have also been performed to substantiate the antifungal activity of probiotics in humans. These studies mostly focus on the sites of oral cavity, GI tract, and urogenital tract, which are susceptible to *Candida* infections (Table 3).

The elderly are a group particularly susceptible to oral candidiasis, because of frequent usage of dentures, hyposalivation, and their weakened immune status. Researches by Hatakka et al. and Kraft-Bodi et al. have shown that the daily consumption of food with *L. reuteri* DSM17938, *L. reuteri* ATCC PTA 5289, and *L. rhamnosus* GG ATCC 53103 significantly reduced the high yeast counts in saliva and biofilms in the elderly [76, 85]. The removal of biofilms by the use of probiotics that reduce the oral burden of *Candida* could play a major role in preventing oral candidiasis in denture wearers.

For the urogenital tract, chronic vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC) is the most common candidiasis disease and impacts the life quality of thousands of women around the world. Researches on the effect of probiotics in the treatment and prophylaxis of VVC have been performed [82]. Martinez et al., in an RCT involving 55 women, demonstrated that the administration of *L. rhamnosus*

GR-1 and *L. reuteri* RC-14 significantly reduced the presence of *Candida* and therefore reduced the vaginal discharge, itching, and/or burning vaginal feeling, dyspareunia, and/or dysuria [82].

For the GI tract, *Candida* species are common inhabitants of GI tract. Dysbiosis of GI tract may lead to candidal overgrowth and possible invasive infections, especially in infants. Hence, immunocompromised children, especially preterm neonates with low birth weight, have been the target population of a large number of studies to evaluate the prevention or/and treatment potentials of probiotics to *Candida* infections [28, 75, 83]. Manzoni et al., in an RCT involving 80 very low birth weight (VLBW) neonates, demonstrated that orally administered *L. casei* subsp. *rhamnosus* significantly reduced incidence and intensity of enteric colonization by *Candida* [28]. Another RCT, by Roy et al., found *L. acidophilus*, *B. lactis*, *B. longum*, and *B. bifidum* reduced enteral fungal colonization and invasive fungal sepsis in 112 preterm neonates (gestational age < 37 wk and birth weight < 2500 g) [75].

Together, both the laboratory studies and clinical studies showed that probiotics could prevent *Candida* colonization by inhibiting adhesion, filamentation, and biofilm formation, and therefore supplementation of probiotics could be a potential approach for reducing *Candida* colonization and invasive candidiasis.

## 4. Safety of probiotics

Although most commercially available probiotic strains are generally regarded as safe and none of the clinical studies mentioned above were reported to have adverse effects directly related to probiotics, there are some concerns regarding the safety of probiotics, including potential of bacteremia and/or endocarditis occurrence, toxicity to the gastrointestinal tract, and transfer of antibiotic resistance [4].

### 4.1. Potential of bacteremia and/or endocarditis occurrence

Lactic acid bacteria, including *Bifidobacterium*, have been reported to cause bacteremia as well as endocarditis [88–92]. Cannon et al. described that *L. rhamnosus* caused liver abscess, lactobacillemia, and infective endocarditis in a few case studies, and also the occurrence of *Lactobacillus* sepsis was directly linked with the ingestion of probiotic supplements, especially among immunocompromised patients and those with endocarditis [89]. Kunz et al. found two premature infants with short gut syndrome developed *Lactobacillus* bacteremia while taking *Lactobacillus* GG supplements. However, the risk of infection due to *Lactobacilli* is extremely rare. Statistic data from surveillance in Finland suggest that there was no increase in *Lactobacillus* bacteremia during 1990–2000, and *Lactobacilli* were isolated in 0.02% of all blood cultures [93].

### 4.2. Toxicity to the gastrointestinal tract

The role of probiotics on gastrointestinal physiology suggests a theoretical possibility that the production of metabolites might be undesirable and also might lead to malabsorption due to deconjugation of bile salts. These might increase the risk of colon cancer; however, there is no epidemiologic or clinical evidence to support this hypothesis [94, 95].

### 4.3. Transfer of antibiotic resistance

Another major safety concern of theoretical importance is genetic transfer of antibiotic resistance from probiotic strains to pathogenic cells in the gastrointestinal tract [96, 97]. Plasmids with antibiotic-resistance genes, including genes encoding resistance to tetracycline, erythromycin, chloramphenicol, and macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin, have been found in *L. plantarum*, *L. fermentum*, *L. acidophilus*, and *L. reuteri* strains. *L. plantarum* 5057 exhibited tetracycline resistance, and *L. lactis* was with streptomycin, tetracycline, and chloramphenicol resistances [98–100]. Although the transfer of native *Lactobacillus* plasmids is quite rare, there are some cases, e.g., the antibiotic-resistance plasmids from *Lactococcus* species could transfer to *Leuconostoc* species and *Pediococcus* species.

With respect to the potential risks of probiotics, it is important to conduct population-based surveillance for safety concern.

## 5. Conclusions

Probiotics have the ability to restore the imbalance of intestinal microbiota and could act as both prophylactic and adjunctive therapy against candidiasis. Antifungal effect of probiotics is likely due to their interference with *Candida* biofilm development and hyphal differentiation. Safety may be of concern in application, as probiotic strains may, although quite rarely, cause bacteremia, fungemia, and sepsis. Well-designed RCTs are required to address these issues before the routine use of probiotics is recommended.

## Acknowledgements

This project was funded by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFD0400400), the National Science Foundation of China (31601449), the International Science and Technology Cooperation Program of China (2013DFA32330), the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LY16C200002), and the Food Science and Engineering—the most important discipline of Zhejiang Province (2017SIAR202).

## Author details

Ping Li and Qing Gu\*

\*Address all correspondence to: guqing2002@hotmail.com

Key Laboratory for Food Microbial Technology of Zhejiang Province, College of Food Science and Biotechnology, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou, China

## References

- [1] Hill C, Guarner F, Reid G, Gibson GR, Merenstein DJ, Pot B, et al. Expert consensus document. The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics consensus statement on the scope and appropriate use of the term probiotic. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology and Hepatology*. 2014;**11**:506-514. DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2014.66
- [2] Guarner F, Schaafsma GJ. Probiotics. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 1998;**39**:237-238
- [3] Calatayud GA, Suarez JE. A new contribution to the history of probiotics. *Beneficial Microbes*. 2017;**8**:323-325. DOI: 10.3920/BM2017.x002
- [4] Snyderman DR. The safety of probiotics. *Clinical Infectious Diseases: An Official Publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*. 2008;**46**(Suppl 2):S104-S111. discussion S144-151. DOI: 10.1086/523331
- [5] Sanders ME. Clinical use of probiotics: What physicians need to know. *American Family Physician*. 2008;**78**:1026
- [6] Kligler B, Cochrane A. Probiotics. *American Family Physician*. 2008;**78**:1073-1078
- [7] El Hage R, Hernandez-Sanabria E, Van de Wiele T. Emerging trends in “smart probiotics”: Functional consideration for the development of novel health and industrial applications. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2017;**8**:1889. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01889
- [8] Saarela M, Mogensen G, Fondén R, Matto J, Mattila-Sandholm T. Probiotic bacteria: Safety, functional and technological properties. *Journal of Biotechnology*. 2000;**84**:197-215
- [9] Hu HJ, Zhang GQ, Zhang Q, Shakya S, Li ZY. Probiotics prevent *Candida* colonization and invasive fungal sepsis in preterm neonates: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Pediatrics and Neonatology*. 2017;**58**:103-110. DOI: 10.1016/j.pedneo.2016.06.001
- [10] Sinclair A, Xie X, Saab L, Dendukuri N. *Lactobacillus* probiotics in the prevention of diarrhea associated with *Clostridium difficile*: A systematic review and Bayesian hierarchical meta-analysis. *CMAJ Open*. 2016;**4**:E706-E718. DOI: 10.9778/cmajo.20160087
- [11] Lievin-Le Moal V. A gastrointestinal anti-infectious biotherapeutic agent: The heat-treated *Lactobacillus* LB. *Therapeutic Advances in Gastroenterology*. 2016;**9**:57-75. DOI: 10.1177/1756283X15602831
- [12] DiRienzo DB. Effect of probiotics on biomarkers of cardiovascular disease: Implications for heart-healthy diets. *Nutrition Reviews*. 2014;**72**:18-29. DOI: 10.1111/nure.12084
- [13] Rodes L, Khan A, Paul A, Coussa-Charley M, Marinescu D, Tomaro-Duchesneau C, et al. Effect of probiotics *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* on gut-derived lipopolysaccharides and inflammatory cytokines: An in vitro study using a human colonic microbiota model. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2013;**23**:518-526

- [14] Messaoudi S, Manai M, Kergourlay G, Prevost H, Connil N, Chobert JM, et al. *Lactobacillus salivarius*: Bacteriocin and probiotic activity. *Food Microbiology*. 2013;**36**:296-304. DOI: 10.1016/j.fm.2013.05.010
- [15] Johnston BC, Goldenberg JZ, Parkin PC. Probiotics and the prevention of antibiotic-associated diarrhea in infants and children. *JAMA*. 2016;**316**:1484-1485. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2016.11838
- [16] Shida K, Nomoto K. Probiotics as efficient immunopotentiators: Translational role in cancer prevention. *The Indian Journal of Medical Research*. 2013;**138**:808-814
- [17] Ivey KL, Hodgson JM, Kerr DA, Thompson PL, Stojceski B, Prince RL. The effect of yoghurt and its probiotics on blood pressure and serum lipid profile; a randomised controlled trial. *Nutrition, Metabolism, and Cardiovascular Diseases*. 2015;**25**:46-51. DOI: 10.1016/j.numecd.2014.07.012
- [18] Khalesi S, Sun J, Buys N, Jayasinghe R. Effect of probiotics on blood pressure: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Hypertension*. 2014;**64**:897-903. DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.03469
- [19] Yang Y, Xia Y, Chen H, Hong L, Feng J, Yang J, et al. The effect of perioperative probiotics treatment for colorectal cancer: Short-term outcomes of a randomized controlled trial. *Oncotarget*. 2016;**7**:8432-8440. DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.7045
- [20] Lopez-Siles M, Khan TM, Duncan SH, Harmsen HJ, Garcia-Gil LJ, Flint HJ. Cultured representatives of two major phylogroups of human colonic *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* can utilize pectin, uronic acids, and host-derived substrates for growth. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2012;**78**:420-428. DOI: 10.1128/AEM.06858-11
- [21] Bunesova V, Lacroix C, Schwab C. Mucin cross-feeding of infant *Bifidobacteria* and *Eubacterium hallii*. *Microbial Ecology*. 2017. DOI: 10.1007/s00248-017-1037-4
- [22] Belzer C, Chia LW, Aalvink S, Chamlagain B, Piironen V, Knol J, et al. Microbial metabolic networks at the mucus layer lead to diet-independent butyrate and vitamin B12 production by intestinal symbionts. *mBio*. 2017;**8**(5):e00770-17. DOI: 10.1128/mBio.00770-17
- [23] Timmerman HM, Koning CJ, Mulder L, Rombouts FM, Beynen AC. Monostrain, multistain and multispecies probiotics—A comparison of functionality and efficacy. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 2004;**96**:219-233. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2004.05.012
- [24] Timmerman HM, Niers LE, Ridwan BU, Koning CJ, Mulder L, Akkermans LM, et al. Design of a multispecies probiotic mixture to prevent infectious complications in critically ill patients. *Clinical Nutrition*. 2007;**26**:450-459. DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2007.04.008
- [25] Koning CJ, Jonkers DM, Stobberingh EE, Mulder L, Rombouts FM, Stockbrugger RW. The effect of a multispecies probiotic on the intestinal microbiota and bowel movements in healthy volunteers taking the antibiotic amoxicillin. *The American Journal of Gastroenterology*. 2008;**103**:178-189. DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01547.x

- [26] Jiang Q, Stamatova I, Kari K, Meurman JH. Inhibitory activity in vitro of probiotic lactobacilli against oral *Candida* under different fermentation conditions. *Beneficial Microbes*. 2015;**6**:361-368. DOI: 10.3920/BM2014.0054
- [27] Machairas N, Pistiki A, Droggiti DI, Georgitsi M, Pelekanos N, Damoraki G, et al. Pre-treatment with probiotics prolongs survival after experimental infection by multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in rodents: An effect on sepsis-induced immunosuppression. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*. 2015;**45**:376-384. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2014.11.013
- [28] Manzoni P, Mostert M, Leonessa ML, Priolo C, Farina D, Monetti C, et al. Oral supplementation with *Lactobacillus casei* subspecies rhamnosus prevents enteric colonization by *Candida* species in preterm neonates: A randomized study. *Clinical Infectious Diseases: An Official Publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*. 2006;**42**:1735-1742. DOI: 10.1086/504324
- [29] Mangell P, Lennernas P, Wang M, Olsson C, Ahrne S, Molin G, et al. Adhesive capability of *Lactobacillus plantarum* 299v is important for preventing bacterial translocation in endotoxemic rats. *Acta Pathologica, Microbiologica, et Immunologica Scandinavica*. 2006;**114**:611-618. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0463.2006.apm\_369.x
- [30] Ruan X, Shi H, Xia G, Xiao Y, Dong J, Ming F, et al. Encapsulated *Bifidobacteria* reduced bacterial translocation in rats following hemorrhagic shock and resuscitation. *Nutrition*. 2007;**23**:754-761. DOI: 10.1016/j.nut.2007.07.002
- [31] Sanchez E, Nieto JC, Boullosa A, Vidal S, Sancho FJ, Rossi G, et al. VSL#3 probiotic treatment decreases bacterial translocation in rats with carbon tetrachloride-induced cirrhosis. *Liver International: Official Journal of the International Association for the Study of the Liver*. 2015;**35**:735-745. DOI: 10.1111/liv.12566
- [32] Shimizu K, Ogura H, Goto M, Asahara T, Nomoto K, Morotomi M, et al. Synbiotics decrease the incidence of septic complications in patients with severe SIRS: A preliminary report. *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*. 2009;**54**:1071-1078. DOI: 10.1007/s10620-008-0460-2
- [33] Hayakawa M, Asahara T, Ishitani T, Okamura A, Nomoto K, Gando S. Synbiotic therapy reduces the pathological Gram-negative rods caused by an increased acetic acid concentration in the gut. *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*. 2012;**57**:2642-2649. DOI: 10.1007/s10620-012-2201-9
- [34] Jain PK, McNaught CE, Anderson AD, MacFie J, Mitchell CJ. Influence of synbiotic containing *Lactobacillus acidophilus* La5, *Bifidobacterium lactis* Bb 12, *Streptococcus thermophilus*, *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* and oligofructose on gut barrier function and sepsis in critically ill patients: A randomised controlled trial. *Clinical Nutrition*. 2004;**23**:467-475. DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2003.12.002
- [35] Mohan R, Koebnick C, Schildt J, Schmidt S, Mueller M, Possner M, et al. Effects of *Bifidobacterium lactis* Bb12 supplementation on intestinal microbiota of preterm infants: A

- double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized study. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 2006;**44**:4025-4031. DOI: 10.1128/JCM.00767-06
- [36] Sanaie S, Ebrahimi-Mameghani M, Hamishehkar H, Mojtahedzadeh M, Mahmoodpoor A. Effect of a multispecies probiotic on inflammatory markers in critically ill patients: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences: The Official Journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences*. 2014;**19**:827-833
- [37] McNaught CE, Woodcock NP, Anderson AD, MacFie J. A prospective randomised trial of probiotics in critically ill patients. *Clinical Nutrition*. 2005;**24**:211-219. DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2004.08.008
- [38] Ebrahimi-Mameghani M, Sanaie S, Mahmoodpoor A, Hamishehkar H. Effect of a probiotic preparation (VSL#3) in critically ill patients: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial (pilot study). *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2013;**29**:490-494
- [39] Atanassova M, Choiset Y, Dalgalarrrondo M, Chobert JM, Dousset X, Ivanova I, et al. Isolation and partial biochemical characterization of a proteinaceous anti-bacteria and anti-yeast compound produced by *Lactobacillus paracasei* subsp. *paracasei* strain M3. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 2003;**87**:63-73
- [40] Kanmani P, Satish Kumar R, Yuvaraj N, Paari KA, Pattukumar V, Arul V. Probiotics and its functionally valuable products—A review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. 2013;**53**:641-658. DOI: 10.1080/10408398.2011.553752
- [41] Li P, Li X, Gu Q, Lou X, Zhang X, Song D, et al. Comparative genomic analysis of *Lactobacillus plantarum* ZJ316 reveals its genetic adaptation and potential probiotic profiles. *Journal of Zhejiang University: Science B*. 2016. DOI: 10.1631/jzus.B1600176
- [42] Li P, Gu Q. Complete genome sequence of *Lactobacillus plantarum* LZ95, a potential probiotic strain producing bacteriocins and B-group vitamin riboflavin. *Journal of Biotechnology*. 2016;**229**:1-2. DOI: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2016.04.048
- [43] Li P, Gu Q, Zhou Q. Complete genome sequence of *Lactobacillus plantarum* LZ206, a potential probiotic strain with antimicrobial activity against food-borne pathogenic microorganisms. *Journal of Biotechnology*. 2016;**238**:52-55. DOI: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2016.09.012
- [44] Stoianova LG, Ustiugova EA, Netrusov AI. Antibacterial metabolites of lactic acid bacteria: Their diversity and properties. *Prikladnaia Biokhimiia i Mikrobiologiya*. 2012;**48**: 259-275
- [45] Kailasapathy K, Chin J. Survival and therapeutic potential of probiotic organisms with reference to *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Bifidobacterium* spp. *Immunology and Cell Biology*. 2000;**78**:80-88. DOI: 10.1046/j.1440-1711.2000.00886.x
- [46] Atassi F, Servin AL. Individual and co-operative roles of lactic acid and hydrogen peroxide in the killing activity of enteric strain *Lactobacillus johnsonii* NCC933 and vaginal strain *Lactobacillus gasseri* KS120.1 against enteric, uropathogenic and vaginosis-associated pathogens. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*. 2010;**304**:29-38. DOI: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01887.x

- [47] Sharma A, Srivastava S. Anti-*Candida* activity of two-peptide bacteriocins, plantaricins (Pln E/F and J/K) and their mode of action. *Fungal Biology*. 2014;**118**:264-275. DOI: 10.1016/j.funbio.2013.12.006
- [48] Bommarius B, Jenssen H, Elliott M, Kindrachuk J, Pasupuleti M, Gieren H, et al. Cost-effective expression and purification of antimicrobial and host defense peptides in *Escherichia coli*. *Peptides*. 2010;**31**:1957-1965. DOI: 10.1016/j.peptides.2010.08.008
- [49] Gillor O, Etzion A, Riley MA. The dual role of bacteriocins as anti- and probiotics. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2008;**81**:591-606. DOI: 10.1007/s00253-008-1726-5
- [50] Diep DB, Straume D, Kjos M, Torres C, Nes IF. An overview of the mosaic bacteriocin pln loci from *Lactobacillus plantarum*. *Peptides*. 2009;**30**:1562-1574. DOI: 10.1016/j.peptides.2009.05.014
- [51] Valenzuela AS, Ruiz GD, Ben Omar N, Abriouel H, Lopez RL, Canamero MM, et al. Inhibition of food poisoning and pathogenic bacteria by *Lactobacillus plantarum* strain 2.9 isolated from ben saalga, both in a culture medium and in food. *Food Control*. 2008;**19**:842-848. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2007.08.009
- [52] Li X, Gu Q, Lou X, Zhang X, Song D, Shen L, et al. Complete genome sequence of the probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum* strain ZJ316. *Genome Announcements*. 2013;**1**:e0009413. DOI: 10.1128/genomeA.00094-13
- [53] Zhu X, Zhao Y, Sun Y, Gu Q. Purification and characterisation of plantaricin ZJ008, a novel bacteriocin against *Staphylococcus* spp. from *Lactobacillus plantarum* ZJ008. *Food Chemistry*. 2014;**165**:216-223. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.05.034
- [54] Song DF, Zhu MY, Gu Q. Purification and characterization of Plantaricin ZJ5, a new bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum* ZJ5. *PLoS One*. 2014;**9**:e105549. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0105549
- [55] Francavilla R, Miniello V, Magista AM, De Canio A, Bucci N, Gagliardi F, et al. A randomized controlled trial of *Lactobacillus* GG in children with functional abdominal pain. *Pediatrics*. 2010;**126**:e1445-e1452. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2010-0467
- [56] Al-Sadi R, Nighot P, Guo SH, Al-Omari D, Ma TY. *Lactobacillus Acidophilus* enhancement of intestinal epithelial tight junction barrier is mediated by p38 kinase and toll-like receptor-2 (TLR-2). *Gastroenterology*. 2016;**150**:S1007-S1007
- [57] Grosse C, Scherer J, Koch D, Otto M, Taudte N, Grass G. A new ferrous iron-uptake transporter, EfeU (YcdN), from *Escherichia coli*. *Molecular Microbiology*. 2006;**62**:120-131. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05326.x
- [58] Lewis K, Lutgendorff F, Phan V, Soderholm JD, Sherman PM, McKay DM. Enhanced translocation of bacteria across metabolically stressed epithelia is reduced by butyrate. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases*. 2010;**16**:1138-1148. DOI: 10.1002/ibd.21177
- [59] Wong JM, Jenkins DJ. Carbohydrate digestibility and metabolic effects. *The Journal of Nutrition*. 2007;**137**:2539S-2546S



- [60] Rolfe RD. The role of probiotic cultures in the control of gastrointestinal health. *The Journal of Nutrition*. 2000;**130**:396S-402S
- [61] Engels C, Ruscheweyh HJ, Beerenwinkel N, Lacroix C, Schwab C. The common gut microbe *Eubacterium hallii* also contributes to intestinal propionate formation. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2016;**7**:713. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00713
- [62] Rakoff-Nahoum S, Paglino J, Eslami-Varzaneh F, Edberg S, Medzhitov R. Recognition of commensal microflora by toll-like receptors is required for intestinal homeostasis. *Cell*. 2004;**118**:229-241. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2004.07.002
- [63] Zhang Z, Hinrichs DJ, Lu H, Chen H, Zhong W, Kolls JK. After interleukin-12p40, are interleukin-23 and interleukin-17 the next therapeutic targets for inflammatory bowel disease? *International Immunopharmacology*. 2007;**7**:409-416. DOI: 10.1016/j.intimp.2006.09.024
- [64] Badia R, Zanello G, Chevaleyre C, Lizardo R, Meurens F, Martinez P, et al. Effect of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *Boulardii* and beta-galactomannan oligosaccharide on porcine intestinal epithelial and dendritic cells challenged in vitro with *Escherichia coli* F4 (K88). *Veterinary Research*. 2012;**43**:4. DOI: 10.1186/1297-9716-43-4
- [65] Stier H, Bischoff SC. Influence of *Saccharomyces boulardii* CNCM I-745 on the gut-associated immune system. *Clinical and Experimental Gastroenterology*. 2016;**9**:269-279. DOI: 10.2147/CEG.S111003
- [66] Smith IM, Christensen JE, Arneborg N, Jespersen L. Yeast modulation of human dendritic cell cytokine secretion: An in vitro study. *PLoS One*. 2014;**9**:e96595. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0096595
- [67] Ng SC, Hart AL, Kamm MA, Stagg AJ, Knight SC. Mechanisms of action of probiotics: Recent advances. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases*. 2009;**15**:300-310. DOI: 10.1002/ibd.20602
- [68] Plaza-Diaz J, Gomez-Llorente C, Fontana L, Gil A. Modulation of immunity and inflammatory gene expression in the gut, in inflammatory diseases of the gut and in the liver by probiotics. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*. 2014;**20**:15632-15649. DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i42.15632
- [69] Breyner NM, Michon C, de Sousa CS, Boas PBV, Chain F, Azevedo VA, et al. Microbial anti-inflammatory molecule (MAM) from *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* shows a protective effect on DNBS and DSS-induced colitis model in mice through inhibition of NF-kappa B pathway. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2017;**8**:114. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00114
- [70] Yan F, Polk DB. Probiotic bacterium prevents cytokine-induced apoptosis in intestinal epithelial cells. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2002;**277**:50959-50965. DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M207050200
- [71] Wu Q, Liu MC, Yang J, Wang JF, Zhu YH. *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GR-1 ameliorates *Escherichia coli*-induced inflammation and cell damage via attenuation of ASC-independent NLRP3 inflammasome activation. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2015;**82**:1173-1182. DOI: 10.1128/AEM.03044-15

- [72] Zaoutis T. Candidemia in children. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*. 2010;**26**:1761-1768. DOI: 10.1185/03007995.2010.487796
- [73] Steinbach WJ. Epidemiology of invasive fungal infections in neonates and children. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection: The Official Publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*. 2010;**16**:1321-1327. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03288.x
- [74] Hu H, Merenstein DJ, Wang C, Hamilton PR, Blackmon ML, Chen H, et al. Impact of eating probiotic yogurt on colonization by *Candida* species of the oral and vaginal mucosa in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected women. *Mycopathologia*. 2013;**176**:175-181. DOI: 10.1007/s11046-013-9678-4
- [75] Roy A, Chaudhuri J, Sarkar D, Ghosh P, Chakraborty S. Role of enteric supplementation of probiotics on late-onset sepsis by *Candida* species in preterm low birth weight neonates: A randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled trial. *North American Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2014;**6**:50-57. DOI: 10.4103/1947-2714.125870
- [76] Hatakka K, Ahola AJ, Yli-Knuuttila H, Richardson M, Poussa T, Meurman JH, et al. Probiotics reduce the prevalence of oral candida in the elderly – A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Dental Research*. 2007;**86**:125-130. DOI: 10.1177/154405910708600204
- [77] Kohler GA, Assefa S, Reid G. Probiotic interference of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GR-1 and *Lactobacillus reuteri* RC-14 with the opportunistic fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2012;**2012**:636474. DOI: 10.1155/2012/636474
- [78] Vilela SF, Barbosa JO, Rossoni RD, Santos JD, Prata MC, Anbinder AL, et al. *Lactobacillus acidophilus* ATCC 4356 inhibits biofilm formation by *C. albicans* and attenuates the experimental candidiasis in *Galleria mellonella*. *Virulence*. 2015;**6**:29-39. DOI: 10.4161/21505594.2014.981486
- [79] Coman MM, Verdenelli MC, Cecchini C, Silvi S, Orpianesi C, Boyko N, et al. In vitro evaluation of antimicrobial activity of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* IMC 501((R)), *Lactobacillus paracasei* IMC 502((R)) and SYN BIO((R)) against pathogens. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. 2014;**117**:518-527. DOI: 10.1111/jam.12544
- [80] Ujaoney S, Chandra J, Faddoul F, Chane M, Wang J, Taifour L, et al. In vitro effect of over-the-counter probiotics on the ability of *Candida albicans* to form biofilm on denture strips. *Journal of Dental Hygiene*. 2014;**88**:183-189
- [81] Strus M, Kucharska A, Kukla G, Brzywczy-Wloch M, Maresz K, Heczko PB. The in vitro activity of vaginal *Lactobacillus* with probiotic properties against *Candida*. *Infectious Diseases in Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2005;**13**:69-75. DOI: 10.1080/10647440400028136
- [82] Martinez RC, Franceschini SA, Patta MC, Quintana SM, Candido RC, Ferreira JC, et al. Improved treatment of vulvovaginal candidiasis with fluconazole plus probiotic *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GR-1 and *Lactobacillus reuteri* RC-14. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*. 2009;**48**:269-274. DOI: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2008.02477.x

- [83] Kumar S, Bansal A, Chakrabarti A, Singhi S. Evaluation of efficacy of probiotics in prevention of candida colonization in a PICU-a randomized controlled trial. *Critical Care Medicine*. 2013;**41**:565-572. DOI: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e31826a409c
- [84] Li D, Li Q, Liu C, Lin M, Li X, Xiao X, et al. Efficacy and safety of probiotics in the treatment of *Candida*-associated stomatitis. *Mycoses*. 2014;**57**:141-146. DOI: 10.1111/myc.12116
- [85] Kraft-Bodi E, Jorgensen MR, Keller MK, Kragelund C, Twetman S. Effect of probiotic bacteria on oral *Candida* in frail elderly. *Journal of Dental Research*. 2015;**94**:181S-186S. DOI: 10.1177/0022034515595950
- [86] Kheradmand E, Rafii F, Yazdi MH, Sepahi AA, Shahverdi AR, Oveisi MR. The antimicrobial effects of selenium nanoparticle-enriched probiotics and their fermented broth against *Candida albicans*. *Daru: Journal of Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences*. 2014;**22**:48. DOI: 10.1186/2008-2231-22-48
- [87] Murzyn A, Krasowska A, Stefanowicz P, Dziadkowiec D, Lukaszewicz M. Capric acid secreted by *S. boulardii* inhibits *C. albicans* filamentous growth, adhesion and biofilm formation. *PLoS One*. 2010;**5**:e12050. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0012050
- [88] Kunz AN, Noel JM, Fairchok MP. Two cases of *Lactobacillus* bacteremia during probiotic treatment of short gut syndrome. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition*. 2004;**38**:457-458
- [89] Cannon JP, Lee TA, Bolanos JT, Danziger LH. Pathogenic relevance of *Lactobacillus*: A retrospective review of over 200 cases. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases: Official Publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology*. 2005;**24**:31-40. DOI: 10.1007/s10096-004-1253-y
- [90] Land MH, Rouster-Stevens K, Woods CR, Cannon ML, Cnota J, Shetty AK. *Lactobacillus* sepsis associated with probiotic therapy. *Pediatrics*. 2005;**115**:178-181. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2004-2137
- [91] Mackay AD, Taylor MB, Kibbler CC, Hamilton-Miller JM. *Lactobacillus endocarditis* caused by a probiotic organism. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection: The Official Publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases*. 1999;**5**:290-292
- [92] Meini S, Laureano R, Fani L, Tascini C, Galano A, Antonelli A, et al. Breakthrough *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG bacteremia associated with probiotic use in an adult patient with severe active ulcerative colitis: Case report and review of the literature. *Infection*. 2015;**43**:777-781. DOI: 10.1007/s15010-015-0798-2
- [93] Salminen MK, Tynkkynen S, Rautelin H, Saxelin M, Vaara M, Ruutu P, et al. *Lactobacillus* bacteremia during a rapid increase in probiotic use of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG in Finland. *Clinical Infectious Diseases: An Official Publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*. 2002;**35**:1155-1160. DOI: 10.1086/342912
- [94] Gorbach SL, Goldin BR. The intestinal microflora and the colon cancer connection. *Reviews of Infectious Diseases*. 1990;**12**(Suppl 2):S252-S261

- [95] Lidbeck A, Nord CE, Gustafsson JA, Rafter J. *Lactobacilli*, anticarcinogenic activities and human intestinal microflora. *European Journal of Cancer Prevention: The Official Journal of the European Cancer Prevention Organisation*. 1992;**1**:341-353
- [96] Egervarn M, Danielsen M, Roos S, Lindmark H, Lindgren S. Antibiotic susceptibility profiles of *Lactobacillus reuteri* and *Lactobacillus fermentum*. *Journal of Food Protection*. 2007;**70**:412-418
- [97] Egervarn M, Roos S, Lindmark H. Identification and characterization of antibiotic resistance genes in *Lactobacillus reuteri* and *Lactobacillus plantarum*. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*. 2009;**107**:1658-1668. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04352.x
- [98] Gevers D, Danielsen M, Huys G, Swings J. Molecular characterization of tet(M) genes in *Lactobacillus* isolates from different types of fermented dry sausage. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2003;**69**:1270-1275
- [99] Lin CF, Fung ZF, Wu CL, Chung TC. Molecular characterization of a plasmid-borne (pTC82) chloramphenicol resistance determinant (cat-TC) from *Lactobacillus reuteri* G4. *Plasmid*. 1996;**36**:116-124. DOI: 10.1006/plas.1996.0039
- [100] Tannock GW, Luchansky JB, Miller L, Connell H, Thode-Andersen S, Mercer AA, et al. Molecular characterization of a plasmid-borne (pGT633) erythromycin resistance determinant (ermGT) from *Lactobacillus reuteri* 100-63. *Plasmid*. 1994;**31**:60-71. DOI: 10.1006/plas.1994.1007

