

# We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

**4,800**

Open access books available

**122,000**

International authors and editors

**135M**

Downloads

Our authors are among the

**154**

Countries delivered to

**TOP 1%**

most cited scientists

**12.2%**

Contributors from top 500 universities



**WEB OF SCIENCE™**

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index  
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?  
Contact [book.department@intechopen.com](mailto:book.department@intechopen.com)

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.

For more information visit [www.intechopen.com](http://www.intechopen.com)



---

## Introductory Chapter - Genealogy of Audiology

---

Stavros Hatzopoulos

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/67437>

---

### 1. Introduction

The twenty-first century clinical Audiology has not been very creative in terms of novel breakthroughs, since the majority of clinical novelties, we routinely used today, were discovered in the 1970s and the 1980s. The trend, which can be observed in the last few decades, is an amelioration of our technological approaches/strategies to restore an impaired hearing function with hearing aids, middle ear prostheses, and cochlear implants. New and novel procedural developments have not surfaced yet to clinical practice.

A Scopus literature search within the last 5 years shows, for example, that there are developments in procedures related to (i) cortical-evoked potentials, such as the speech-evoked auditory brainstem responses (see the relative chapter in this volume) and (ii) various protocol developments in the area of steady-state responses (ASSR), with applications to the newly charted area of electrically evoked SSRs [1, 2]. Important aspects of novel hearing restoration strategies including gene therapy [3], stem cells [4, 5], and related intracochlear distribution nanotechnologies [6, 7] are still at best in a preclinical phase.

From my personal experience as an educator, I have found that very few of our colleagues and graduate students have a clear idea about the origins of Audiology. This book deals with the latest advances in this field, which can only make sense if we recall briefly our point of origin.

### 2. Genealogy of the term “Audiology”

As we start 2017, clinical Audiology celebrates a historical span of 71 years, according to Kenneth Berger. In 1976, Berger published an article [8] in the *Journal of the American Audiology Society (AAS)*, where he presented his findings regarding the time occurrence of the term “Audiology.” The first printed reference of the term originates back in 1946. In the 1946,

*Journal of Speech Disorders* on page 218 appears a brief announcement that the “Speech Clinic at the U.S. Naval Hospital, Philadelphia, is the permanent Naval center for rehabilitation and for research in Speech and Audiology.”

Interestingly, according to Berger [8] the term “Audiology” cannot be attributed to a specific individual, a notion which contracts what I have learned in my academic training in the US, where it was considered common knowledge that Raymond Carhart was the father of Audiology. Berger [8] reports the following: “*the original creator of the term (Audiology) remains unknown, but possible originators are considered: (i) Mayer BA Schier; (ii) Willard B Hargrave ; (iii) Stanley Nowak; (iv) Norman Canfield; (v) Raymond Carhart [9]. In a biographical profile by Robert Galambos, Hallowell Davis [10] is credited with coining the term in the 1940s, when he said that the then-prevalent term “auricular training” sounded like a method of teaching people how to wiggle their ears.*” It is interesting to note that from these six pioneering contributors, four (Carhart and Davis excluded) were related to technical fields (electroacoustics).

### 3. Deviations of the term “Audiology”

From the mid-1970s, several terms have appeared in print, regarding clinical activities which were deviated from the classical categorization of “Audiology.” Typical examples are the following terms: “Hearing Science” [11]; “Clinical Auditory Science” [12]; “Auditory NeuroScience” [12], and so on. It is still difficult to define and discriminate these terms, since the Audiological training is very different around the globe. For example, in most European countries, Audiology is a medical specialization, while the Speech and Hearing Science is associated with communication department curricula. So in an attempt to define all terms, one can assume that activities related to Hearing Science/Auditory Science have a “research inspiration” objective (more research or education oriented) derived from basic Neurosciences, while the terms Audiology or Clinical Audiology refer to a basic clinical activity of assessing the hearing of a human subject.

To summarize, my objective in conjunction with the contributions and collaboration of the participated authors for this “Advances” volume was to collect material from a Hearing Science perspective, which could be applied to the everyday clinical Audiological reality.

### 4. What “advances” can be?

Considering the long history of Audiology and Hearing Science, it is only natural that numerous and fundamental volumes exist (as the all-time reference by Katz [13]) in English and in many other languages. So it was an interesting challenge to chart the latest “advances” in the field and to find the best way to diffuse the new information to students and professionals.

The term “**advances**” implies a further development on a specific topic. For the area of Audiology, this would mean developments in the following thematic areas: (i) clinical hearing assessment procedures, (ii) rehabilitation strategies, (iii) hardware development (more precise

equipment, better sensors, lower noise, etc.), (iv) telemedicine/teleconsultation concepts, and (v) new methods of long-distance learning and undergraduate/graduate course delivery. Any of these areas could have been the exclusive topic of the present volume.

For practical reasons (and with the hopes that other future books can follow covering the remaining thematic areas), the focus of the present volume is limited to the first two major thematic areas, namely to developments in assessment procedures and rehabilitation strategies (cochlear implants).

## Author details

Stavros Hatzopoulos

Address all correspondence to: [sdh1@unife.it](mailto:sdh1@unife.it)

Clinic of Audiology and ENT, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

## References

- [1] Deprez H, Gransier R, Hofmann M, vanWieringen A, Wouters J, Moonen M. Characterization of cochlear implant artifacts in electrically evoked auditory steady state responses. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control* 2017;31:127–138.
- [2] Santos TS, Silva JJ, Lins OG, Melges DB, Tierra-Criollo CJ. Detection efficiency of auditory steady state responses evoked by modulated noise. *Hearing Research* 2016;339:125–131.
- [3] Akil O, Seal RP, Burke K, Wang C, Alemi A, During M, Edwards R, Lustig L. Restoration of hearing in the VGLUT3 knockout mouse using virally mediated gene therapy. *Neuron* 2012;75:283–293.
- [4] Ohlemiller KK, Jones SM, Johnson KR. Application of mouse models to research in hearing and balance. *JARO* 2016;17:493–523.
- [5] Stark D, Rosenberg AR, Johnson D, Knight K, Caperon L, Uleryk E, Frazier AL, Sung L. Patient-reported measures of hearing loss and tinnitus in pediatric cancer and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: A systematic review. *Journal of Speech Language and Hearing Research* 2016;59:1247–1252.
- [6] Plontke SK, Gotze G, Rahne T, Liebau A. Intracochlear drug delivery in combination with cochlear implants. Current aspects. *HNO* 2016;64:797–807.
- [7] Wise AK, Tan J, Wang YJ, Caruso F, Shepherd RK. Improved auditory nerve survival with nanoengineered supraparticles for neurotrophin delivery into the deafened cochlea. *PLoS One* 2016;11(10):1–17.

- [8] Berger KW. Genealogy of the words “audiology” and “audiologist”. *Journal of the American Audiology Society*. 1976;2(2):38–44.
- [9] Raymond C. Papers, 1938–1975. Northwestern University Archives, Evanston, Illinois. 1912–1975. The material can be downloaded from this link: <http://findingaids.library.northwestern.edu/catalog/inu-ead-nua-archon-1226>.
- [10] Hallowell D. A Biographical Memoir by Robert Galambos (in the National Academy of Sciences). 1896–1992. Can be downloaded from this link: <http://www.nasonline.org/publications/biographical-memoirs/memoir-pdfs/davis-hallowell.pdf>
- [11] John D.D, Jean HL. (editors). *Bases of Hearing Science* (third edition). Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore 2002.
- [12] Kraus N, McGee T. Auditory event related potentials. *Handbook of Clinical Audiology*. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins; 1994. pp. 406–423.
- [13] Katz J. (editor). *Handbook of Clinical Audiology* (fifth edition). Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore 2001.