We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

4,800 Open access books available 122,000

135M



Our authors are among the

TOP 1%





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Grazing Incidence Small Angle X-Ray Scattering as a Tool for In-Situ Time-Resolved Studies

Gonzalo Santoro and Shun Yu

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/64877

Abstract

With the advent of third-generation synchrotron sources and the development of fast two-dimensional X-ray detectors, X-ray scattering has become an invaluable tool for insitu time-resolved experiments. In the case of thin films, grazing incidence small angle X-ray scattering (GISAXS) constitutes a powerful technique to extract morphological information not only of the thin film surface but also of buried structures with statistical relevance. Thus, recently in-situ GISAXS experiments with subsecond time resolution have enabled investigating the self-assembly processes during vacuum deposition of metallic and organic thin films as well as the structural changes of polymer and colloidal thin films in the course of wet deposition. Moreover, processing of thin films has also been investigated in-situ employing GISAXS. In this chapter, we review the current trends of time-resolved GISAXS studies. After an introduction to the GISAXS technique, we present exemplary results of metallic and organic thin film preparation, wet deposition of polymer thin films and self-assembly of colloidal thin films, as well as examples of thin film modification in, e.g., microfluidic channels and within working devices. Finally, an overview of the future perspectives in the field is provided.

Keywords: GISAXS, thin films, time-resolved experiments, kinetics, processing

1. Introduction

Nanostructures have become commonly used in our daily lives because of the novel properties arising at the nanoscale. These are mainly associated to the object size offering a higher surface-to-volume ratio than macroscopic entities and, thus, surface processes become more



© 2017 The Author(s). Licensee InTech. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. and more crucial as the material size is reduced. Furthermore, during the last half century several ways of manipulating the materials at the nanometer scale have been developed to control the nanostructure morphology on demand via either building up the nanostructures by atomic manipulation or exploiting self-assembly concepts. The latter presents clear advantages over atomic manipulation such as less demanding fabrication steps and easier scale-up for industrial production. Nevertheless, much is yet to be understood concerning self-assembly. In this sense, apart from the manipulation of materials at the nanoscale, an appropriate and accurate characterization of nanostructures is crucial, especially for studying the kinetics both during fabrication and processing of the nanostructures.

To properly characterize nanostructures, two questions need to bear in mind: what is the size/ shape of the nanostructure and how do they separate from each other. The former is critical since nanostructure geometry strongly influences, e.g., the geometric confinement of the electronic structure [1], the catalytic activity [2, 3] or the optical properties [4, 5]. The latter is important since different physical properties may arise from particular nanostructure arrangement or in the space confined between the nanostructures, e.g., highly ordered arrays of plasmonic nanostructures present a collective plasmonic behavior [6], an efficient arrangement of the nano-objects may expose higher surface area on a macroscopic level for catalysis applications [7] or polymers within nanostructured media may show different glass transition temperatures and chain mobility due to confinement [8].

Within a non ideal material system, the size of the nanostructures and the spatial arrangement present a distribution over micro/macroscopic regions. Thus, the collective effects of nanostructured objects call for sound statistic evaluation. In this respect, grazing incidence small angle X-ray scattering (GISAXS) is nowadays one of the most interesting techniques for studying the morphology of nanostructured thin films. As its counterpart, transmission SAXS [9], it is sensitive to the size and shape of the nanoparticles and to the correlation distances between them, being capable of resolving objects and distances ranging from few nanometers to several hundreds of nanometers, in real space. In contrast to SAXS, GISAXS inherently presents high surface sensitivity as a consequence of the measurement geometry employed. In GISAXS, the incident X-ray beam impinges the sample at shallow angles, thus total external reflection on the surface may take place. In addition, this implies that the beam footprint on the sample probes macroscopic areas which, together with the nature of reciprocal space techniques, ensure that high sampling statistics is achieved.

GISAXS was first demonstrated by Levine et al. using a lab source [10]. However, the full potential of GISAXS is realized when a synchrotron is used as X-ray source. This is due to several reasons. First, a high photon flux is required to probe the surface structures, which may be only present in small amount in comparison to the bulk substrate, thus presenting a weak scattering signal; second, highly collimated beams are demanded to improve the reciprocal space resolution, thus the low emittance, small divergence, and partially coherent beams provided by synchrotron radiation sources are in great favor; third, synchrotron sources provide tunable X-ray wavelength, which may be used to probe the chemical composition in parallel to exploring the morphology.

- [35] Santoro G, Yu S, Schwartzkopf M, et al. Silver substrates for surface enhanced Raman scattering: correlation between nanostructure and Raman scattering enhancement. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2014; 104:243107. DOI: 10.1063/1.4884423.
- [36] Paul N, Metwalli E, Yao Y, et al. Templating growth of gold nanostructures with a CdSe quantum dot array. Nanoscale. 2015; 7:9703–9714. DOI: 10.1039/c5nr01121c.
- [37] Yu S, Santoro G, Sarkar K, et al. Formation of Al nanostructures on Alq3: an in situ grazing incidence small angle X-ray scattering study during radio frequency sputter deposition. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2013; 4:3170–3175. DOI: 10.1021/jz401585d.
- [38] Yu S, Santoro G, Yao Y, et al. Following the island growth in real time: Ag nanocluster layer on Alq3 thin film. J. Phys. Chem. C. 2015; 119:4406–4413. DOI: 10.1021/jp512675w.
- [39] Schwartzkopf M, Santoro G, Brett CJ, et al. Real-time monitoring of morphology and optical properties during sputter deposition for tailoring metal-polymer interfaces. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 2015; 7:13547–13556. DOI: 10.1021/acsami.5b02901.
- [40] Metwalli E, Körstgens V, Schlage K, et al. Cobalt nanoparticles growth on a block copolymer thin film: a time-resolved GISAXS study. Langmuir. 2013; 29:6331–6340. DOI: 10.1021/la400741b.
- [41] Erb DJ, Schlage K, Röhlsberger R. Uniform metal nanostructures with long-range order via three-step hierarchical self-assembly. Sci. Adv. 2015; 1:e1500751. DOI: 10.1126/ sciadv.1500751.
- [42] Roth SV, Santoro G, Risch JFH, et al. Patterned diblock co-polymer thin films as templates for advanced anisotropic metal nanostructures. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 2015; 7:12470–12477. DOI: 10.1021/am507727f.
- [43] Ritley KA, Krause B, Schreiber F, et al. A portable ultrahigh vacuum organic molecular beam deposition system for in situ X-ray diffraction measurements. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 2001; 72:1453–1457. DOI: 10.1063/1.1336822.
- [44] Ferrer P, Rubio-Zuazo J, Heyman C, et al. A multipurpose ultra-high vacuum-compatible chamber for in situ X-ray surface scattering studies over a wide range of temperature and pressure environment conditions. J. Phys.: Conf. Series. 2013; 425:132002. DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/425/13/132002.
- [45] Schreiber F, Eberhardt A, Leung TYB, et al. Adsorption mechanisms, structures, and growth regimes of an archetypal self-assembling system: Decanethiol on Au(111). Phys. Rev. B. 1998; 57:12476–12481. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.57.12476.
- [46] Kowarik S, Gerlach A, Sellner S, et al. Real-time observation of structural and orientational transitions during growth of organic thin films. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2006; 96:125504. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.125504.
- [47] Mayer AC, Kazimirov A, Malliaras GG. Dynamics of bimodal growth in pentacene thin films. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2006; 97. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.105503.

- [48] Kowarik S, Gerlach A, Schreiber F. Organic molecular beam deposition: fundamentals, growth dynamics, and in situ studies. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter. 2008; 20. DOI: 10.1088/0953-8984/20/18/184005.
- [49] Kowarik S, Gerlach A, Skoda MWA, et al. Real-time studies of thin film growth: measurement and analysis of X-ray growth oscillations beyond the anti-Bragg point.
 Eur. Phys. J.: Special Topics. 2009; 167:11–18. DOI: 10.1140/epjst/e2009-00930-y.
- [50] Woll AR, Desai TV, Engstrom JR. Quantitative modeling of in situ X-ray reflectivity during organic molecule thin film growth. Phys. Rev. B. 2011; 84. DOI: 10.1103/ PhysRevB.84.075479.
- [51] Alvarez J, Lundgren E, Torrelles X, et al. Effect of a surfactant in homoepitaxial growth of Ag (001): dendritic versus faceted island morphologies. Surf. Sci. 2000; 464:165–175. DOI: 10.1016/s0039-6028(00)00648-8.
- [52] Fleet A, Dale D, Woll AR, et al. Multiple time scales in diffraction measurements of diffusive surface relaxation. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2006; 96. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett. 96.055508.
- [53] Ferguson JD, Arikan G, Dale DS, et al. Measurements of surface diffusivity and coarsening during pulsed laser deposition. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2009; 103. DOI: 10.1103/ PhysRevLett.103.256103.
- [54] Frank C, Banerjee R, Oettel M, et al. Analysis of island shape evolution from diffuse Xray scattering of organic thin films and implications for growth. Phys. Rev. B. 2014; 90. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.205401.
- [55] Bommel S, Kleppmann N, Weber C, et al. Unravelling the multilayer growth of the fullerene C60 in real time. Nat. Commun. 2014; 5:5388. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms6388.
- [56] Frank C, Novak J, Banerjee R, et al. Island size evolution and molecular diffusion during growth of organic thin films followed by time-resolved specular and off-specular scattering. Phys. Rev. B. 2014; 90:045410. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.045410.
- [57] Zykov A, Bommel S, Wolf C, et al. Diffusion and nucleation in multilayer growth of PTCDI-C8 studied with in situ X-ray growth oscillations and real-time small angle X-ray scattering. J. Chem. Phys. Accepted manuscript.
- [58] Vegso K, Siffalovic P, Jergel M, et al. A non-equilibrium transient phase revealed by in situ GISAXS tracking of the solvent-assisted nanoparticle self-assembly. J. Nanopart. Res. 2014; 16:1–11. DOI: 10.1007/s11051-014-2536-6.
- [59] Corricelli M, Altamura D, Curri ML, et al. GISAXS and GIWAXS study on selfassembling processes of nanoparticle based superlattices. Cryst. Eng. Commun. 2014; 16:9482–9492. DOI: 10.1039/C4CE01291G.
- [60] Chou KW, Yan B, Li R, et al. Spin-cast bulk heterojunction solar cells: a dynamical investigation. Adv. Mater. 2013; 25:1923–1929. DOI: 10.1002/adma.201203440.

- [61] Perlich J, Schwartzkopf M, Körstgens V, et al. Pattern formation of colloidal suspensions by dip-coating: an in situ grazing incidence X-ray scattering study. Phys. Stat. Sol. Rapid Res. Lett. 2012; 6:253–255. DOI: 10.1002/pssr.201206114.
- [62] Nagpure S, Das S, Garlapalli RK, et al. In situ GISAXS investigation of low-temperature aging in oriented surfactant-mesostructured titania thin films. J. Phys. Chem. C. 2015;
 119:22970–22984. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b06945.
- [63] Smilgies D-M, Li R, Giri G, et al. Look fast: crystallization of conjugated molecules during solution shearing probed in-situ and in real time by X-ray scattering. Phys. Stat. Sol. Rapid Res. Lett. 2013; 7:177–179. DOI: 10.1002/pssr.201206507.
- [64] Abdellah A, Virdi KS, Meier R, et al. Successive spray deposition of P3HT/PCBM organic photoactive layers: material composition and device characteristics. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2012; 22:4078–4086. DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201200548.
- [65] Sarkar K, Braden EV, Pogorzalek S, et al. Monitoring structural dynamics of in situ spray-deposited zinc oxide films for application in dye-sensitized solar cells. Chem-SusChem. 2014; 7:2140–2145. DOI: 10.1002/cssc.201402049.
- [66] Song L, Wang W, Körstgens V, et al. Spray Deposition of titania films with incorporated crystalline nanoparticles for all-solid-state dye-sensitized solar cells using P3HT. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2016; 26:1498–1506. DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201504498.
- [67] Herzog G, Benecke G, Buffet A, et al. In situ grazing incidence small-angle X-ray scattering investigation of polystyrene nanoparticle spray deposition onto silicon. Langmuir. 2013; 29:11260–11266. DOI: 10.1021/la402254q.
- [68] Zhang P, Santoro G, Yu S, et al. Manipulating the assembly of spray-deposited nanocolloids: in situ study and monolayer film preparation. Langmuir. 2016; 32:4251– 4258. DOI: 10.1021/acs.langmuir.6b00892.
- [69] Palumbiny CM, Liu F, Russell TP, et al. The crystallization of PEDOT:PSS polymeric electrodes probed in situ during printing. Adv. Mater. 2015; 27:3391–3397. DOI: 10.1002/adma.201500315.
- [70] Pröller S, Liu F, Zhu C, et al. Following the morphology formation in situ in printed active layers for organic solar cells. Adv. Energy Mater. 2016; 6:1501580. DOI: 10.1002/ aenm.201501580.
- [71] Moulin JF, Roth SV, Müller-Buschbaum P. Flow at interfaces: a new device for X-ray surface scattering investigations. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 2008; 79:015109. DOI: 10.1063/1.2816220.
- [72] Santoro G, Buffet A, Döhrmann R, et al. Use of intermediate focus for grazing incidence small and wide angle X-ray scattering experiments at the beamline P03 of PETRA III, DESY. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 2014; 85:043901. DOI: 10.1063/1.4869784.

- [73] Felici R, Jeutter NM, Mussi V, et al. In situ study of the dewetting behavior of Ni-films on oxidized Si(001) by GISAXS. Surf. Sci. 2007; 601:4526–4530. DOI: 10.1016/j.susc. 2007.04.210.
- [74] Daudin R, Nogaret T, Schuelli TU, et al. Epitaxial orientation changes in a dewetting gold film on Si(111). Phys. Rev. B. 2012; 86. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.86.094103.
- [75] Bommel S, Spranger H, Weber C, et al. Thermally-activated post-growth dewetting of fullerene C60 on mica. Phys. Status Sol. Rapid Res. Lett. 2015; 9:646–651. DOI: 10.1002/ pssr.201510258.
- [76] Zhang J, Posselt D, Smilgies D-M, et al. Lamellar diblock copolymer thin films during solvent vapor annealing studied by GISAXS: different behavior of parallel and perpendicular lamellae. Macromolecules. 2014; 47:5711–5718. DOI: 10.1021/ ma500633b.
- [77] Hejral U, Muller P, Balmes O, et al. Tracking the shape-dependent sintering of platinum-rhodium model catalysts under operando conditions. Nat. Commun. 2016; 7:10964. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms10964.
- [78] Ruge M, Golks F, Zegenhagen J, et al. In operando GISAXS studies of mound coarsening in electrochemical homoepitaxy. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2014; 112:055503. DOI: 10.1103/ PhysRevLett.112.055503.
- [79] Modestino MA, Kusoglu A, Hexemer A, et al. Controlling nation structure and properties via wetting interactions. Macromolecules. 2012; 45:4681–4688. DOI: 10.1021/ ma300212f.
- [80] Wang W, Schaffer CJ, Song L, et al. In operando morphology investigation of inverted bulk heterojunction organic solar cells by GISAXS. J. Mater. Chem. A. 2015; 3:8324– 8331. DOI: 10.1039/C5TA01109D.
- [81] Schaffer CJ, Palumbiny CM, Niedermeier MA, et al. Morphological degradation in low bandgap polymer solar cells—an in-operando study. Adv. Energy Mater. 2016; 1600712. DOI: 10.1002/aenm.201600712.
- [82] Daudin R, Revenant C, Davi G, et al. Growth and dewetting of gold on Si(1 1 1) investigated in situ by grazing incidence small angle X-ray scattering. Physica E: Low Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct. 2012; 44:1905–1909. DOI: 10.1016/j.physe.2012.05.021.
- [83] Bikondoa O, Carbone D, Chamard V, et al. Ageing dynamics of ion bombardment induced self-organization processes. Sci. Rep. 2013; 3:1850. DOI: 10.1038/srep01850.
- [84] Nugent KA. Coherent methods in the X-ray sciences. Adv. Phys. 2010; 59:1–99. DOI: 10.1080/00018730903270926.
- [85] Rebollar E, Vazquez de Aldana JR, Martin-Fabiani I, et al. Assessment of femtosecond laser induced periodic surface structures on polymer films. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2013; 15:11287–11298. DOI: 10.1039/c3cp51523k.

- [86] Martínez-Tong DE, Rodríguez-Rodríguez A, Nogales A, et al. Laser fabrication of polymer ferroelectric nanostructures for nonvolatile organic memory devices. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 2015; 7:19611–19618. DOI: 10.1021/acsami.5b05213.
- [87] Rebollar E, Rueda DR, Martín-Fabiani I, et al. In situ monitoring of laser-induced periodic surface sStructures formation on polymer films by grazing incidence small-angle X-ray scattering. Langmuir. 2015; 31:3973–3981. DOI: 10.1021/acs.langmuir. 5b00285.
- [88] Körstgens V, Philipp M, Magerl D, et al. Following initial changes in nanoparticle films under laminar flow conditions with in situ GISAXS microfluidics. RSC Adv. 2014; 4:1476–1479. DOI: 10.1039/c3ra44554b.
- [89] Philipp M, Körstgens V, Magerl D, et al. Sorption of water and initial stages of swelling of thin PNIPAM films using in situ GISAXS microfluidics. Langmuir. 2015; 31:9619– 9627. DOI: 10.1021/acs.langmuir.5b01978.
- [90] Schaffer CJ, Palumbiny CM, Niedermeier MA, et al. A direct evidence of morphological degradation on a nanometer scale in polymer solar cells. Adv. Mater. 2013; 25:6760– 6764. DOI: 10.1002/adma.201302854.

