

# We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

**4,800**

Open access books available

**122,000**

International authors and editors

**135M**

Downloads

Our authors are among the

**154**

Countries delivered to

**TOP 1%**

most cited scientists

**12.2%**

Contributors from top 500 universities



**WEB OF SCIENCE™**

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index  
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?  
Contact [book.department@intechopen.com](mailto:book.department@intechopen.com)

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.

For more information visit [www.intechopen.com](http://www.intechopen.com)



---

# Promoting Self-Management and Patient Empowerment in Primary Care

---

Claire Collins and Andree Rochfort

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/62763>

---

## Abstract

The complexity of health care is increasing, associated with several factors including aging populations and expanding comorbidities, growth in options for health interventions and patients' access to information from electronic and other media. Management of chronic conditions with high morbidity such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancer, chronic pulmonary disease and depression constitutes a major burden of clinical care worldwide and an increasing problem for primary care because responsibility for chronic care shifts from hospitals to health professionals in primary care. Recently, there has been increasing attention focussed on another player/stakeholder in this quest to improve patient outcomes—the patient.

As of 9 September 2011, the new World Organization of National Colleges, Academies and Academic Associations of General Practitioners/Family Physicians (WONCA) EU definition of general practice includes as its 12<sup>th</sup> characteristic “Promotion of patient empowerment and self-care”, following evidence of its relevance and importance.[1, 2]

During the course of a systematic review of the literature on the impact of health professional training regarding patient self-management on patient outcomes, we created a repository of information around the topics of patient self-management and empowerment. In this chapter, we synthesise this literature.

The systematic review was performed for articles published in advance of 1 September, 2013 using PubMed, ERIC, EMBASE, CINAHL, PsycINFO, Web searches, Hand searches and Bibliographies employing 13 search terms.

In conclusion, we note that there is a need to explore and to clarify the various aspects of patient empowerment and the many sources influencing successful patient self-management. These concepts involve a complex interaction of patient factors, health professional factors, health system factors and multiple other influences that need to be harnessed effectively by all stakeholders.

**Keywords:** Self management, patient empowerment, general practice, primary care, chronic conditions

## 1. Introduction

The complexity of health care is increasing, associated with several factors including aging populations and expanding comorbidities,[3] growth in options for health interventions and patients' access to information from electronic and other media. Management of chronic conditions with high morbidity such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancer, chronic pulmonary disease and depression constitutes a major burden of clinical care worldwide and an increasing problem for primary care as responsibility for chronic care shifts from hospitals to health professionals in primary care. Chronic diseases, often now referred to as non-communicable diseases, are of long duration and generally of slow progression.[4] Chronically ill individuals are more likely to have limitations in their daily living activity than others.[5] Chronic disease accounts for a significant proportion of the disease burden and an increasing workload for GPs, accounting for up to 60% of visits by patients 45 years and older.[6] The World Health Organisation (WHO) expects that chronic conditions will account for 73% of all deaths and 60% of morbidity and disability by 2020 and considers that one of the greatest challenges facing health care systems globally is the increasing burden of chronic diseases.[7] Multimorbidity, polypharmacy, complexity and increasing fragmentation of the management of chronic conditions are real challenges for GPs today. This complexity and fragmentation are reported by both patients [8, 9] and health care workers.[10, 11] Patients with multiple chronic diseases experience unfavourable health outcomes and give rise to challenges in patient care and medical costs.[12] It must be acknowledged that increasing demands and associated costs on health care system are not sustainable.

The availability of sufficient time for clinicians to manage a range of chronic conditions[13, 14] within the normal consultation time has been identified as a factor along with infrequent care coordination[15-17] and lack of active follow up.[10, 18-21] Furthermore, chronic illness requires a different approach from clinicians than acute illness.[22]

The WHO has suggested that the following skills are both useful and necessary to better manage chronic illness[23]:

- a. Patient centred care – care needs to be organised to include patient involvement.
- b. Quality improvement – measuring care and its outcomes, learning and adapting to change and translating evidence into practice.
- c. Collaboration – partnering with patients, other health care workers/providers and communities.
- d. Information and communication technology – designing and using patient registers, using computer technologies to support care and communicating with partners.
- e. Public health perspective – health care workers need to broaden their perspective on health. This includes a better understanding of population-based care and the care continuum.

Battersby and colleagues[22] have expanded this list of core factors influencing primary health care workers (HCWs) and listed them in three domains: general patient-centred capabilities, behaviour change capabilities and organisational infrastructure.

While most GPs describe their approach as patient-centred, the latter does include elements that are sometimes missing in the doctor–patient relationship. There are five domains to patient-centred care.[24-26] These include the following:

- a biopsychosocial perspective
- patient-as-person
- sharing power and responsibility
- therapeutic alliance (establishing common ground)
- doctor as person.


Teasing these concepts out, Stewart[27] summarised the key elements of patient-centred care that are desired by patients. These include the following:

- an exploration of the patient's main reason for the visit, including their concerns and need for information
- an integrated understanding of the patient's world—that is, their whole person, including their emotional and other needs and life issues
- an attempt to find common ground on what the problem is and mutually agree on what should happen
- a greater role for prevention and health promotion
- strategies that enhance the continuing relationship between the patient and the doctor.

Others have suggested the use of ‘person-centred care’ to emphasise the importance of the doctor–patient relationship, which includes more reflection and an acknowledgement of the patient in his/her individual context as a person, and not simply as a patient.[28]

Wagner and colleagues[29] first described the chronic care model (CCM).[29] The chronic care model (Figure 1) is designed to make patient-centered, evidence-based care easier to achieve. The aim of the CCM is to transform the daily care for patients with chronic illnesses from acute and reactive to proactive, planned and population-based. It is designed to accomplish these goals through a combination of effective team care and planned interactions: self-management support including more effective use of community resources; integrated decision support and patient registers and use of other information technology (IT) resources. These elements are designed to work together to strengthen the clinician–patient relationship and improve health outcomes. Patient focussed care needs to include outcomes that are meaningful and helpful for the patient.[30] A wide range of interventions to improve patient outcomes in chronic illness have been implemented at health policy level, organisational level and health professional level. Interventions are targeted at secondary prevention to minimise complications as there is no absolute ‘cure’ for chronic conditions once established. Recently, there has been

increasing attention focussed on another player in this quest to improve patient outcomes and that player or stakeholder is the patient. Patient participation in the management of their illness is now recognised as a factor that can improve patient outcomes and is a factor in implementing improvement in quality and safety of health care.[31]



Created by: Victoria Barr, Sylvia Robinson, Brenda Narin-Link, Anita Dotts and Dariana Ravensdale (2002). Adapted from R. Glasgow, C. Orleans, E. Wagner, S. Curry and L. Solberg (2001). Does the Chronic Care Model also serve as a template for improving prevention? *The Millbank Quarterly*, 79(4), and World Health Organisation, Health and Welfare Canada and Canadian Public Health Association (1986). Ottawa Charter of Health Promotion. (This diagram may be found in Barr, Robinson, Marin-Link, Underhill, Dotts, Ravensdale & Salivaras, 2003.)

Figure 1. The chronic care model.

As of 9 September 2011, the new WONCA (*World Organization of National Colleges, Academies and Academic Associations of General Practitioners/Family Physicians*) European definition of general practice includes “Promotion of patient empowerment and self-care” as its 12th characteristic, following evidence of its relevance and importance. [1, 2, 32-34] The literature search by Mola et al.[32] provides evidence to support the specific setting of family medicine/general practice within the primary care setting as the optimum health care environment above other health care environments for promotion of patient empowerment and

self-care. This is the basis of this newly accepted characteristic of patient empowerment being adopted as a core characteristic of the discipline of general practice rather than hospital-based care (Figure 2). Following adoption of this new characteristic, WONCA, through its anniversary grant, funded a collaborative project comprising of a systematic review and the creation of a template e-learning module focussing on patient self-management, of which patient empowerment is a key factor.




Figure 2. The WONCA tree.

While undertaking the systematic review, which focused on the impact of health professional training regarding patient self-management on patient outcomes (PROSPERO registration number: CRD42013004418), we created a repository of information around the topics of patient empowerment and self-management. In this chapter we synthesise this literature.

## 2. Methods

The systematic review was performed for articles published before 1 September 2013 using the following: PubMed, ERIC, EMBASE, CINAHL, PsycINFO, Web searches, Hand searches and Bibliographies employing the following search terms:

1. Primary healthcare
2. Physicians, primary
3. Physicians, Family
4. General Practitioners
5. #1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4 NOT dental NOT pharma\*
6. Education, continuing OR evidence-based medicine/education
7. Patient education as topic
8. Training
9. #6 OR #7 OR #8
10. "long term care"
11. Chronic disease
12. #10 OR #11
13. #5 AND #9 AND #12

All abstracts were reviewed using the RefWorks package to categorise the abstracts identified by the search. Articles not considered directly relevant to the systematic review were retained to populate a separate repository of information on subtopics. The key generic articles located from this search under the topics of patient self-management and patient empowerment and are synthesised in this chapter.

### **2.1. Self-management**

Self-management, in the context of non-communicable disease, can be conceptualised as a set of tasks and processes that are used by a patient to maintain wellness in the presence of an ongoing illness.[35] Chronic condition self-management has been defined in numerous different ways; one example is: a process that includes a broad set of attitudes, behaviours and skills. It is directed toward managing the impact of the disease or condition on all aspects of living by the patient with a chronic condition. It includes, but is not limited to, self-care and it may also encompass prevention.[36]

A Cochrane Collaboration report[37] showed that improving patient self-management is one of the four categories of practice change that result in maximum impact on improving patient outcomes: the four categories being increasing clinicians' expertise and skill, educating and supporting patients, emphasis on team-based care delivery and making better use of IT-based patient registers.

Effective self-management skills can improve patient self-efficacy and reduce health care costs through fewer outpatient visits[38] and hospital admissions.[15, 39] Health professional training is associated with better uptake, implementation and effectiveness of self-management programs.[36, 40]

Self-management support is defined as the systematic provision of education and supportive interventions by health care staff to increase patients' skills and confidence in managing their health problems, including regular assessment of progress and problems, goal setting and problem-solving support.[41] Self-management support involves the application of collaborative goal setting and a range of self-efficacy strategies, for example, effective problem solving, monitoring his/her own condition, relapse prevention plans, patient education, group supports and shared decision making.[42]

Self-management support is the least implemented of the elements of the CCM[43] and has its own set of challenges, including developing and refining clinician skills in chronic care management, clinician self-efficacy and changing clinician behaviour. It is easy for clinicians to overlook the fact that many patients do not feel 'sick' with chronic conditions such as hypertension, diabetes or obesity.[44] Willcox and Gill[45] revealed uncertainty and a lack of understanding among health professionals regarding the concept of self-management support and its application. There is evidence available showing the efficacy of self-management support.[46-48]

Patient self-care affects health outcomes through numerous pathways,[49] such as follows:

- adherence to therapeutic regimens
- maintenance of health-related behaviours, e.g., lifestyle choices
- (self)-monitoring symptoms to inform treatment/self-care decisions
- monitoring and managing the emotional consequences of illness
- influencing the nature of communication between health care worker and patient to ensure that patients' needs are expressed and addressed
- using support networks to help achieve the above.

Self-management expands self-care with strategies to enhance the patient's own effectiveness and self-determination.[50] It requires greater collaboration with health care workers. An increasing number of patients are requesting a greater emphasis on shared care.[51-54]

Chronic care programmes that encourage self-management have been shown to be effective in a range of chronic diseases.[19, 20, 48, 55, 56-59] Improving specific patient and clinician behaviours (patient self-management and close monitoring of disease control parameters to achieve individualised goals) can improve disease control and quality of life among patients with multiple conditions and complex health care needs.[60]

Self-management methods promoted by primary care professionals should be based on best available evidence on effectiveness, safety and cost-effectiveness. Numerous system factors, patient factors and clinician factors influence effective patient self-management. System support for patient self-management (e.g., adequate time, training, IT, resources and support) is thought to contribute to an effective self-management process.[30]

Initiatives that both support patients and equip health professionals are required because each has a different function and both are required if self-management support is to be effective



and sustainable.[16, 61] In terms of patient factors, coordination of methods to address barriers to patients' behaviour change is also key to successful patient self-management.[22] Two clinician factors, which might adequately be addressed at the level of continuing medical education and post-graduate training, are guideline non-adherence and sharing decision making with the patient; both require patient participation and engagement.[60, 62] Skill development in shared decision making can be incorporated into post-graduate professional development programmes and has been recommended.[63]

The educational focus around self-management needs to extend to all health care professionals in both primary and secondary services to ensure patients receive consistent and effective messages appropriate for their condition across the sector.[15] In the United Kingdom, the WISE (Whole System Informing Self-management Engagement) model advocates at patient, health care professional and structure of health care levels to support self-management practices.[64]

## 2.2. Patient empowerment

The idea of empowerment was first introduced in the 1960s by the Brazilian pedagogue Paulo Freire[1] and, with health care, has been acknowledged as an alternative to compliance to guide the provider–patient relationship.[65] Most patient empowerment definitions focus on individuals' capacity to make decisions about their health (behaviour) and to have, or take control over, aspects of their lives that relate to health[66] with most incorporating some form of personal control and self-efficacy/self-mastery.[35, 65, 67-71] Empowerment occurs when the health care professional's goal is to increase patients' capacity to make autonomous, informed decisions, and patients are making these decisions and choosing personally meaningful, realistic goals.[72]

Patient empowerment suggests more collaborative models of clinician–patient interaction. McAllister et al.[66] compared the paternalist and empowerment paradigms and suggested that the concept of empowerment could be another conceptualisation of the capability paradigm suggested by Sen.[73] A key attribute of patient empowerment is that the patient is not a passive recipient of health care and is self-determining with some control of his/her own health and health care.[35, 65, 69-71] However, Anderson et al.[74] argued that patients who choose to hand over responsibility should still be considered empowered being responsible for their choice, if not for their treatment.

Studies of patient empowerment in general practice and primary care to improve management of chronic diseases have shown good results, increasing patient and health professionals' satisfaction, adherence to guidelines and treatment and improving clinical outcomes.[1] With regard to success, research has indicated that multifaceted interventions are more effective than simpler ones[75] and that enduring change requires a multilevel approach,[76] with interventions at different levels interlinked and mutually reinforcing. This requires a whole systems perspective that involves interventions at the patient, practitioner and service organisation levels in the delivery of self-management support.

Although many factors (such as culture, age and socio-economic resources) influence empowerment, it is argued that empowerment can be considered to be either a process or an outcome and that patients can be empowered by their health care providers.[77, 78] As the concept of

patient empowerment continues to be the subject of further exploration, we can expect more refinement of its definition and relevance to patient health outcomes in primary care in the future.

General practice/family medicine (GP/FM) has been shown to be a suitable setting for promoting patient empowerment, because many of the characteristics of GP/FM are already oriented towards encouraging patient empowerment longitudinally over time. By its nature, primary care provides continuous, comprehensive and coordinated care across the care continuum.[79] The GP is in a key position to utilise and to promote patient and carer use of relevant information technology in patient empowerment. Tools such as personal health records (PHRs) integrated with electronic health records, interactive tools for health coaching, decision aids and decision support for both health professionals and patients are developing rapidly.[80] However, in order to effectively translate empowerment into clinical practice, will require health-care providers to adopt a truly patient-centred approach.[65]

### 3. Concluding remarks

Physicians can learn to be experts in management of medical conditions, but only patients can be experts in the conduct of their own lives.[81]

The patient has a role to play in determining his/her own health outcomes.[82] For optimum patient outcomes, there is a need to explore and to clarify for the clinician the various aspects of patient empowerment and the many sources of influence on successful patient self-management. These concepts involve a complex interaction of patient factors, health professional factors, health system factors and multiple other influences that need to be harnessed effectively by all stakeholders.

### Author details

Claire Collins\* and Andree Rochfort

\*Address all correspondence to: [claire.collins@icgp.ie](mailto:claire.collins@icgp.ie)

Irish College of General Practitioners, Dublin, Ireland

### References

- [1] Mola, E. Patient empowerment, an additional characteristic of the European definitions of general practice/family medicine. *Eur J Gen Pract.* 2013 Jun;19(2):128-31. doi: 10.3109/13814788.2012.756866. Epub 2013 Jan 22.

- [2] WONCA Europe, 2011 Edition. Available online: <http://www.woncaeurope.org/sites/default/files/documents/Definition%203rd%20ed%202011%20with%20revised%20wonca%20tree.pdf>. [Accessed 2nd March 2016].
- [3] Wallace, E., Salisbury, C., Guthrie, B., Lewis, C., Fahey, T., Smith, S.M. Managing patients with multimorbidity in primary care. *BMJ*. 2015 Jan 20; 350:h176. doi: 10.1136/bmj.h176.
- [4] World Health Organization. *Health Topics: Noncommunicable diseases*. Available online: [http://www.who.int/topics/noncommunicable\\_diseases/en/](http://www.who.int/topics/noncommunicable_diseases/en/). [Accessed 2nd March 2016].
- [5] Bhattacharya, J., Choudhry, K., Lakdawalla, D. Chronic disease and severe disability among working-age populations. *Med Care* 2008 Jan;46(1):92-100.
- [6] Britt H, Miller GC, Charles J, Henderson J, Bayram C, Harrison C, Valenti L, Fahrudin S, Pan Y, O'Halloran J 2008. General practice activity in Australia 1998–99 to 2007–08: 10 year data tables. General practice series no. 23. Cat. no. GEP 23. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. <http://www.aihw.gov.au/WorkArea/DownloadAsset.aspx?id=6442456228>.
- [7] World Health Organization. Innovative care for chronic diseases. Building blocks for action. Global Report. Geneva: WHO, 2002.
- [8] Walter, U., Flick, U., et al. Putting prevention into practice: qualitative study of factors that inhibit and promote preventive care by general practitioners, with a focus on elderly patients. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2010 Sep 20; 11: 68. doi: 10.1186/1471-2296-11-68.
- [9] Yen, L., Gillespie, J., et al. (2011). Health professionals, patients and chronic illness policy: a qualitative study. *Health Expect* 2011 Mar; 14(1):10-20. doi: 10.1111/j.1369-7625.2010.00604.x.
- [10] Ouwens, M., Wollersheim, H., et al. Integrated care programmes for chronically ill patients: a review of systematic reviews. *Int J Qual Health Care* 2005 Apr; 17(2):141-6. Epub 2005 Jan 21.
- [11] Glasgow, N.J., Jeon, Y.H., et al. Chronic disease self-management support: the way forward for Australia. *Med J Aust* 2008 Nov 17; 189 (10 Suppl):S14-16.
- [12] Parekh, A.K., Barton, M.B. The challenge of multiple comorbidity for the US health care system. *JAMA* 2010 Apr 7;303(13):1303-4. doi: 10.1001/jama.2010.381.
- [13] Ostbye, T., Yarnall, K., et al. Is there time for management of patients with chronic diseases in primary care? *Ann Fam Med* 2005;2005 May-Jun; 3(3):209-214.
- [14] Ampt, A.J., Amoroso, C., et al. Attitudes, norms and controls influencing lifestyle risk factor management in general practice. *BMC Fam Pract* 2009 Aug 26; 10:59. doi: 10.1186/1471-2296-10-59.

- [15] Bodenheimer, T., Wagner, E., et al. Improving primary care for patients with chronic illness. *JAMA* 2002 Oct 9; 288(14):1775-1779.
- [16] Jordan, J.E., Briggs, A.M. et al. Enhancing patient engagement in chronic disease self-management support initiatives in Australia: the need for an integrated approach. *Med J Aust* 2008 Nov 17; 189(10 Suppl):S9-S13.
- [17] Gress, S., Baan, C.A., et al. Co-ordination and management of chronic conditions in Europe: the role of primary care—position paper of the European Forum for Primary Care. *Qual Prim Care* 2009;17(1):75-86.
- [18] Haynes, R.B., Ackloo, E., et al. Interventions for enhancing medication adherence. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008 Apr 16;(2):CD000011. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000011.pub3.
- [19] Inglis, S., Clark, R., et al. Structured telephone support or telemonitoring programmes for patients with chronic heart failure. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010 Aug 4;(8):CD007228. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007228.pub2.
- [20] Minet, L., Moller, S., et al. Mediating the effect of self-care management intervention in type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of 47 randomised controlled trials (Structured abstract). *Patient Educ Couns* 2010 Jul; 80(1):29-41. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2009.09.033. Epub 2009 Nov 10.
- [21] Nieuwlaat, R., Wilczynski, N., Navarro, T., Hobson, N., Jeffery, R., Keepanasseril, A., Agoritsas, T., Mistry, N., Lorio, A., Jack, S., Sivaramalingam, B., Iserman, E., Mustafa, R.A., Jedraszewski, D., Cotoi, C., Haynes, R.B. Interventions for enhancing medication adherence (Review). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014 Nov 20;11:CD000011. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000011.pub4.
- [22] Battersby, M., Egger, G., Litt, J.C. Self management in lifestyle medicine. In: R.G. Eggers, A. Binns, S. Rossner (Eds.). *Lifestyle Medicine*. Sydney, McGraw-Hill, 2010;48-58.
- [23] World Health Organization. Preparing a health care workforce for the 21st century: the challenge of chronic conditions. Geneva, 2005.
- [24] Levenstein, J., McCracken, E., et al. The patient-centred clinical method. 1 A model for the doctor-patient interaction in family medicine. *Fam Pract* 1986 Mar; 3(1):24-30.
- [25] Weston, R., Brown, J., et al. Patient-centred interviewing Part I: understanding patients' experiences. *Can Fam Physician* 1989 Jan; 35:147-151.
- [26] Mead, N., Bower, P. Patient-centredness: a conceptual framework and review of the empirical literature. *Soc Sci Med* 2000 Oct; 51(7):1087-1110.
- [27] Stewart, M. Towards a global definition of patient-centred care: the patient should be the judge of patient-centred care. *BMJ* 2001 Feb 24; 322:444-445.
- [28] Finset, A. Research on person-centred clinical care. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2011; Apr;17(2): 384-6. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2753.2010.01608.x. Epub 2011 Jan 5.

- [29] Wagner, E., Austin, B., et al. Organising care for patients with chronic illness. *Milbank Q* 1996; 74(4):511-544.
- [30] Epstein, R.M., Street, R.L. The values and value of patient-centered care. *Ann Fam Med* 2011 Mar-Apr;9(2):100–103. DOI:10.1370/afm.1239. PMID: PMC3056855.
- [31] Rochfort, A. Patient participation in implementation of quality improvement in general practice. In: Rochfort, A., Kijowska, V., Dubas, K (Eds). *Guidebook on Implementation of Quality Improvement in General Practice*. European Commission under the Leonardo da Vinci programme, 2012. ISBN 978-83-932788-2-4. Available online: [http://ingpinqi.eu/guidebook\\_EN/index.html](http://ingpinqi.eu/guidebook_EN/index.html) [Accessed 2nd March 2016].
- [32] Mola, E., De Bonis, J.A., Giancane, R. Integrating patient empowerment as an essential characteristic of the discipline of general practice/family medicine. *Eur J Gen Pract* 2008; 14(2):89-94. doi: 10.1080/13814780802423463.
- [33] Grüniger, U., Kissling, B. Die Hausarztmedizin als eigene Disziplin und als Spezialgebiet (Family Medicine –a speciality of its own). *Primary Care* 2005;5(11):269-271.
- [34] Barr, V.J., Robinson, S., Marin-Link, B., Underhill, L., Dotts, A., Ravensdale D., Salivaras, S. The expanded chronic care model: an integration of concepts and strategies from population health promotion and the Chronic Care Model. *Hosp Q*. 2003;7(1): 73-82.
- [35] Lorig, K.R., Holman, H. Self-management education: history, definition, outcomes, and mechanisms. *Ann Behav Med* 2003 Aug; 26(1):1-7.
- [36] Lawn, S., Battersby, M. Capabilities for supporting prevention and chronic condition self-management: a resource for educators of primary health care professionals. Adelaide, Department of Health and Ageing, Australian Government; Flinders University, 2009;39.
- [37] Renders, C.M., Valk, G.D., Griffin, S., Wagner, E.H., Eijk, J.T., Assendelft, W.J. Interventions to improve the management of diabetes mellitus in primary care, outpatient and community settings. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2001;(1):CD001481. PMID: 11279717.
- [38] Holman, H., Lorig, K. Patient self-management: a key to effectiveness and efficiency in care of chronic disease. *Public Health Rep* 2004 May-Jun; 119 (3):239-243.
- [39] Muenchberger, H., Kendall, E. Predictors of preventable hospitalization in chronic disease: priorities for change. *J Public Health Policy* 2010 Jul; 31(2):150-63. doi: 10.1057/jphp.2010.3.
- [40] Lawn, S., Schoo, A. Supporting self-management of chronic health conditions: common approaches. *Patient Educ Couns* 2010 Aug; 80(2):205-11. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2009.10.006.

- [41] Adams, K., Greiner, A.C., Corrigan, J.M. (Eds). Report of a summit. The first annual crossing the quality chasm summit: A focus on communities. Washington, CD: National Academies Press, 2004.
- [42] Johnston, S., Liddy, C., Ives, S.M., Soto E. Literature review on chronic disease self-management. Élisabeth Bruyère Research Institute. Ottawa, Ontario, 2008.
- [43] Glasgow, R.E., Davis, C.L., et al. Implementing practical interventions to support chronic illness self-management. *Jt Comm J Qual Saf* 2003 Nov; 29(11):563-574.
- [44] Woodcock, A., Kinmonth, A-L. Patient concerns in their first year with type 2 diabetes: patient and practice nurse views. *Patient Educ Couns* 2001 Mar; 42(3):257-270.
- [45] Willcox, J., Gill, M. Integrated disease management programs: reflections and learnings from implementation. *Aust J Prim Health* 2007;13(2):113-120. DOI:10.1071/PY07029.
- [46] Parlour, R., Slater, P. *An evaluation of the effectiveness of a self-management programme*. Dublin: Health Service Executive, 2011.
- [47] Bradley, P.M., Lindsay, B. Care delivery and self-management strategies for adults with epilepsy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008; Jan 23;(1):CD006244. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006244.pub2.
- [48] Deakin, T., McShane, C.E., Cade, J.E., Williams, R.D. Group based training for self-management strategies in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005 Apr 18; (2):CD003417.
- [49] Clark, N., Becker, M.H., Janz, N.K., Lorig, K., Rakowski, W., Anderson, L. Self-management of chronic disease by older adults: a review and questions for research. *J Aging Health* 1991 February; 3(1):3-27.
- [50] Robinson, L., Newton, J.L., Jones, D., Dawson, P. Promoting self-management and adherence with strength and balance training for older people with long-term conditions: a mixed-methods study. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2014 Aug;20(4):318-26. DOI: 10.1111/jep.12128. Epub 25 April 2014.
- [51] McKinstry, B. Do patients wish to be involved in decision making in the consultation. A cross sectional survey with video vignettes. *BMJ* 2000 Oct 7; 321(7265):867-871.
- [52] Légaré, F., Ratté, S., et al. Interventions for improving the adoption of shared decision making by healthcare professionals. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010 May 12; (5):CD006732. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.
- [53] Gorter, K.J., Tuytel, G.J., et al. Opinions of patients with type 2 diabetes about responsibility, setting targets and willingness to take medication. A cross-sectional survey. *Patient Educ Couns* 2011 Jul; 84(1):56-61. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2010.06.019. Epub 2010 Jul 23.

- [54] Taggart, J., Chan, B., et al. Patients assessment of chronic illness care (PACIC) in two Australian studies: structure and utility. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2011 Apr; 17(2):215-21. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2753.2010.01423.x. Epub 2010 Sep 16.
- [55] Gensichen, J., Beyer, M., Kuver, C., Wang, H., Gerlach, F.M. [Case management for patients with congestive heart failure under ambulatory care - a critical review, German]. *Z Arztl Fortbild Qualitatssich* 2004 Mar; 98(2):143-154.
- [56] Foster, G., Taylor, S.J., et al. Self-management education programmes by lay leaders for people with chronic conditions. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007 Oct 17; (4):CD005108.
- [57] Duke, S.A., Colagiuri, S., et al. Individual patient education for people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009 Jan 21; (1):CD005268. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD005268.pub2.
- [58] Peytremann-Bridevaux, I., Gex, G., et al. Chronic disease management programs for adults with asthma (Protocol). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009; (3):CD007988/DOI: 10.1002/14651858.
- [59] Peytremann-Bridevaux, I., Arditi, C., Gex, G., Bridevaux, P.O., Burnand, B. Chronic disease management programmes for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015 May 27; 5:CD007988. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007988.pub2.
- [60] Gensichen, J., von Korff, M., Rutter, C.M., Seelig, M.D., Ludman, E.J., Lin, E.H.B., Ciechanowski, P., Young, B.A., Wagner, E.H., Katon, W.J. Physician support for diabetes patients and clinical outcomes. *BMC Public Health* 2009 Sep 29; 9:367. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-9-367.
- [61] Bower, P., Kennedy, A., Reeves, D., Rogers, A., Blakeman, T., Chew-Graham, C., Bowen, R., Eden, M., Gardner, C., Hann, M., Lee, V., Morris, R., Protheroe, J., Richardson, G., Sanders, C., Swallow, A., Thompson, D. A cluster randomised controlled trial of the clinical and cost-effectiveness of a 'whole systems' model of self-management support for the management of long-term conditions in primary care: trial protocol. *Implement Sci* 2012 Jan 26; 7:7. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-7-7.
- [62] Phillips, L.S., Brand, W.T., Cook, C.B., Doyle, J.P., El-Kebbi, I.M., Gallina, D.L., Miller, C.D., Ziemer, D.C., Barnes, C.S. Clinical Inertia. *Ann Intern Med* 2001 Nov 6; 135(9):825-834.
- [63] Elwyn, G., Frosch, D., Thomson, R., Joseph-Williams, N., Lloyd, A., Kinnersley, P., Cording, E., Tomson, D., Dodd, C., Rollnick, S., Edwards, A., Barry, M. Shared decision making: a model for clinical practice. *J Gen Intern Med* 2012 Oct; 27(10): 1361-1367. DOI: 10.1007/s11606-012-2077-6.
- [64] Kennedy, A., Chew-Graham, C., Blakeman, T., Bowen, A., Gardner, C., Protheroe, J., Rogers, A., Gask, L. Delivering the WISE (Whole Systems Informing Self-Management)

- ment Engagement) training package in primary care: learning from formative evaluation. *Implement Sci* 2010 Jan 29; 5:7. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-5-7.
- [65] Aujoulet, I., d'Hoore, W., Deccache, A. Patient empowerment in theory and practice: polysemy or cacophony? *Patient Educ Couns*. 2007 Apr; 66(1):13-20. Epub 2006 Nov 2.
- [66] McAllister, M., Dunn, G., Payne, K., Davies, L., Todd, C. Patient empowerment: the need to consider it as a measurable patient-reported outcome for chronic conditions. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2012 Jun 13; 12::157. PMID: 22694747.
- [67] Guadagnoli, E., Ward, P. Patient participation in decision-making. *Soc Sci Med* 1998 Aug; 47(3):329-339.
- [68] Anderson, R.M., Funnell, M.M. Patient empowerment: reflections on the challenge of fostering the adoption of a new paradigm. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2005 May; 57(2):153-7.
- [69] Aujoulat, I., Marcolongo, R., Bonadiman, L., Deccache, A. Reconsidering patient empowerment in chronic illness: a critique of models of self-efficacy and bodily control. *Soc Sci Med* 2008 Mar; 66(5):1228-39. Epub 2007 Dec 21.
- [70] Lorig, K., Ritter, P.L., Villa, F.J., Armas, J. Community-based peer-led diabetes self-management: a randomized trial. *Diabetes Educ* 2009 Jul-Aug; 35(4):641-51. doi: 10.1177/0145721709335006. Epub 2009 Apr 30.
- [71] Funnell, M.M., Anderson, R.M. Patient empowerment: a look back, a look ahead. *Diabetes Educ* 2003 May-Jun; 29(3):454-8, 460, 462 passim.
- [72] Anderson, R.M., Funnell, M.M. Patient empowerment: myths and misconceptions. *Patient Educ Couns* 2010 Jun; 79(3):277-282. DOI:10.1016/j.pec.2009.07.025. PMID: 19682830.
- [73] Sen, A. Capability and well-being. In: Nussbaum, M.C., Sen, A. (Eds.), *The Quality of Life*. Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1993.
- [74] Anderson, R.M., Funnell, M.M., Fitzgerald, J.T., Marrero, D.G. The diabetes empowerment scale: a measure of psychosocial self-efficacy. *Diabetes Care* 2000 Jun; 23:739-743.
- [75] Sibbald, B., Roland, M. Getting research into practice. *J Eval Clin Pract* 1997 Feb; 3(1): 15-21.
- [76] Lopez, A., Mathers, C., Ezzati, M., Jamison, D., Murray, C. Measuring the global burden of disease and risk factors, 1990-2001. In: Lopez, A., Mathers, C., Ezzati, M., Jamison, D., Murray, C. (Eds.) *Global Burden of Disease and Risk*. Washington (DC): World Bank; 2006:1-14. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK11812/> [Accessed 3rd March 2016].
- [77] Holmstrom, I., Roing, M. The relation between patient-centredness and patient empowerment: a discussion of concepts. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2010 May; 79(2):167-72. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2009.08.008. Epub 2009 Sep 11.



- [78] EQuIP Working Group on the concept of patient empowerment. Work Package 1c. source: Work Package 1c: EQuIP Working Group on Patient Empowerment for Self-Management in Chronic Conditions. [http://www.equip.ch/flx/pecc\\_we/work\\_packages/](http://www.equip.ch/flx/pecc_we/work_packages/). [Accessed 25 November 2015].
- [79] Starfield, B., Shi, L., Macinko, J. Contribution of primary care to health systems and health. *Milbank Q* 2005; 83(3):457-502.
- [80] Krist, A.H., Woolf, S.H. A vision for patient-centered health information systems. *JAMA* 2011 Jan 19; 305(3):300-1. doi: 10.1001/jama.2010.2011.
- [81] Anderson, R.M. Patient empowerment and the traditional medical model: a case of irreconcilable differences? *Diabetes Care* 1995 Mar; 18(3): 412-5.
- [82] Rochfort, A. Patient Empowerment for Patient Self-Management. In: *World Book of Family Medicine, European Edition*. Wonca, October 2015. [http://www.woncaeurope.org/sites/default/files/001%20%E2%80%93%20Patient%20Empowerment\\_0.pdf](http://www.woncaeurope.org/sites/default/files/001%20%E2%80%93%20Patient%20Empowerment_0.pdf). [Accessed 25 November 2015].