[We are IntechOpen,](https://core.ac.uk/display/322425747?utm_source=pdf&utm_medium=banner&utm_campaign=pdf-decoration-v1) the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

International authors and editors 122,000 135M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

most cited scientists TOP 1%

WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com

RNAi — Implications in Entomological Research and Pest Control

Nidhi Thakur, Jaspreet Kaur Mundey and Santosh Kumar Upadhyay

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/61814

Abstract

RNA interference (RNAi) has progressed swiftly in the past decade to become a convenient and dominant genetic tool that has immense utility in diverse fields. The entomological research, ranging from functional genomics to agriculture, has gained enormous momentum due to this technology. RNAi tool helped to discover the functions of new genes and study the complicated genetic networks, thus providing an evolutionary in‐ sight into various processes. RNAi is also becoming a method of choice for controlling in‐ sect pest populations. It is envisaged as tailor-made insecticide, which is highly species specific. However, the efficiency of this mechanism is limited by various factors such as the stability of the trigger molecule, the candidate gene selection, delivery system adopted and, most importantly, the choice of the target species. Apart from the successful im‐ plication in diverse areas, there are certain drawbacks of this technology such as 'offtarget' effects, lack of sensitivity of various species, etc. Further research would relieve these limitations and support the manifestation of this genetic tool with much more relia‐ bility.

Keywords: RNAi, insects, pest management, efficiency of RNAi

1. Introduction

RNA interference (RNAi) is a highly conserved, sequence-specific mechanism of gene silencing which is triggered by the presence of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA). Since its discovery in 1998, RNAi has attained the status of a powerful genetic tool [1]. This reverse genetics technique is now immensely used in biomedical research, functional genetics and many other areas of biological research. Broadly, all the reactions that take place for RNA silencing are initiated when a long dsRNA is processed into small dsRNAs of about 21 to 24 bp by the RNaseIII enzyme, called Dicer. These small dsRNAs are called small interfering RNA (siRNA),

© 2016 The Author(s). Licensee InTech. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

which when unwound using ATP-dependent activity are incorporated into the multi-subunit RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). Here, the siRNA guides the RISC complex to degrade cellular RNA molecules that are complementary to its sequence [2]. Earlier this process was described in the experimental RNAi studies, and now it is the most accepted tool for gene knockdown studies.

The advent of RNAi also revolutionised the entomological research, as novel gene functions were efficiently discovered. In 1998, Kennerdell and Carthew were the first to use RNAi *in vivo* to study the genes *Frizzled* and *Frizzled*-*2* in *Drosophila melanogaster* [3]. The tremendous success of RNAi in model organisms has prompted its use for research in other insect species as well. In genomics and post-genomics era with the availability of a large amount of sequence information, RNAi further provides an opportunity to investigate the vital functions and crucial interactions that are of importance in both biomedical research and agriculture [4–6]. RNAi studies on insects of economic importance would provide new insights into unravelling the molecular interactions between various disease vectors and ultimately helping in the discovery of novel vaccine and drug targets. Disease vectors such as mosquito, ticks, mites, lice and others were studied extensively using RNAi [7]. These insects cause many serious diseases in humans and animals.

Among other applications, this genetic tool is also gaining popularity as a promising technol‐ ogy in controlling a wide array of agricultural pests. There is a substantial amount of literature available which documents the success of RNAi as a feasible and sustainable strategy in managing the agricultural pests [8–11]. The core RNAi machinery being present in all the insects makes it possible to silence a wide variety of target genes to produce diverse physiological, developmental and reproductive restrains. The sequence specificity of endogenous RNAi pathway allows the targeted suppression of genes essential for insect survival and thus offers the development of a specific, logical and sustainable strategy to combat against insect pests.

Agricultural pests are notorious and they cannot be efficiently managed by employing a single control agent or technique. Most commonly, the integrated pest management (IPM) strategies are utilised for combating the diversity of insect pests in the agro-ecosystem [12]. Along with mechanical, cultural, biological and chemical methods, the transgenic technology should also be embraced in the IPM regime. In this regard, RNAi can play an important role along with the available insecticidal molecules. Among various transgenic approaches to manage insect pests, *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) toxin has shown spectacular success [13]; however, many important insect pests (primarily sap-sucking pests) are not amenable to Bt protection [14]. RNAi can be harnessed to defend crops against insect pests. Successful application of RNAi technology in agricultural pest management requires (i) suitable candidate gene where its silencing can cause mortality of the insect and (ii) an effective method of dsRNA delivery. Nevertheless, prior to field application, many aspects of this multi-faceted technology including safety and possible risks to environment need to be evaluated in detail.

In this chapter, we discussed the potential of this technology in gene silencing experiments to study the gene function as well as on opportunity to combat against agricultural pests and other disease vectors. Further, the factors responsible for a successful RNAi experiment, the

link with immune response and viral infections have been discussed, highlighting the possible shortcomings of this strategy.

2. RNAi in insects

RNAi offers species-specific molecules that can be flexibly manipulated and used in under‐ standing various complicated biochemical pathways. The research application of RNAi in entomology has elucidated the functions of several genes. Decrease in the mRNA levels of a candidate gene due to introduction of a complementary dsRNA fragment, and the study of the corresponding phenotype, illuminates a gene function. RNAi has been used to study various mechanisms related to insect development (embryonic and post-embryonic), repro‐ duction, behaviour and other complicated biosynthetic pathways [15].

Various insect orders have demonstrated amenability to RNAi-mediated silencing. Species of orders Coleoptera, Lepidoptera, Diptera, Hemiptera, Orthoptera, Blattodea and Hymenoptera have been studied for various aspects using RNAi technique [15]. The silencing efficiency ranges from 0% to 100% in different insects. A large majority of the target genes were gutspecific genes; however, genes from salivary glands, brain and antennae have also been targeted [16]. RNAi-based studies can be carried out by either *in vivo* or *in vitro* studies. The former method is much easier and involves incubating the cells with the dsRNA added to the medium. However, the *in vivo* approach is more useful in the field of functional genomics, especially in case of non-model organisms. Here, the dsRNA dosage and developmental stage of insect can be specified. In addition, RNAi can be very helpful in identifying the mutant genes that are fatal to the organism,. The significance and compilation of various categories of RNAi experiments in entomology are summarised in Table 1 [17–45].

Table 1. RNAi in the study of gene function in insects.

Apart from deciphering the function of genes involved in various metabolic pathways, RNAi also finds relevance in other aspects of insect science. It is quite beneficial in maintaining the beneficial insects and saving them from various parasites and pathogens. Certainly, this is useful in case of those parasites and pathogens, which have operative RNAi machinery. A successful study in this regard shows the control of honey bee parasite *Nosema ceranae*. When the gene related to energy metabolism was silenced, it was observed that the honey bee population had reduced infestation of *Nosema*, and lower mortality [46]. In another study, multiple genes of an ectoparasite of honey bee *Varroa destructor* were targeted [47]. It poses a great threat to the health of bees, and its control is of utmost importance for the rearing industry. It is a blood-sucking parasite, so the bees were fed on a meal containing dsRNA against the genes of *Varroa*. The RNAi-mediated control decreased the mite population by 50%, causing no evident damage to the bees. RNAi has also been useful in elucidating the impor‐ tance of various immunological pathways in *D. melanogaster* [48]*.* Host–parasite relationships such as that of *Anopheles–Plasmodium* have also been studied by using RNAi. Early research was conducted on *defensin* and it was shown to be important for protecting mosquitoes against infections of Gram-positive bacteria [49]. Later, the same group demonstrated how the development of Plasmodium is affected by *Anopheles gambiae* immune genes [50]. Similarly, in *Manduca sexta* haemocytes, knockdown of haemolin (a bacterial recognition protein) decreased the ability of insects to clear *Escherichia coli* from the haemolymph. This eventually reduced their ability to engulf bacteria and highlighted the role of haemolin in the *M. sexta* immune response [51].

3. RNAi in pest control

Plants are damaged by a plethora of insect pests. The losses due to these pests and expenditure on the chemical pesticides amount to billions of dollars. In an attempt to reduce these losses, many reports have been published which demonstrate the successful application of RNAi technique in crop protection. Huvenne and Smagghe [52] have summarised the reports on insects in which RNAi has been applied through feeding, and they discussed several factors that influence the success of RNAi on target insects, such as the concentration of dsRNA, the nucleotide sequence, the length of the dsRNA fragment and the life stage of the target insects. The downregulation of expression of critical genes, caused by dsRNA/siRNA, eventually leads to death/growth retardation of the insect and forms the basis of pest control. In this view, the efficient delivery and uptake of the dsRNA trigger is of prime importance. In contrast to the study of gene function, pest control strategies cannot depend on injection of the molecule. The deployment of pest controlling siRNA/miRNA molecules would involve oral exposure, either as transgenic plants or as sprays. Most of the nutrients from gut lumen are absorbed in the midgut tissue of the insect; therefore, this tissue is an attractive target for RNAi. After ingestion, the dsRNA enters into the gut lumen of the insect. Insect gut is divided into foregut, midgut and hindgut. While both foregut and hindgut are covered with chitin, it is only the midgut that has exposed cell surfaces. It is the site of nutrient exchange between the haemolymph and the gut contents. Therefore, midgut epithelium is an attractive target as it is the primary tissue exposed to dsRNA in the gut lumen. The stability of dsRNA molecule and the efficiency of the silencing process (discussed in section 5) is determined by the gut pH and nucleases.

A breakthrough research in RNAi-mediated pest control was published in 2007 on the western corn rootworm, *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* (WCRW) [53], and cotton bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera* (CBW) [54]. In the former study, a candidate gene was screened based on the complete cDNA library. Out of a total of 290 dsRNAs, *Vacoular ATPase (V-ATPase)* subunit A was finally selected for the development of transgenic corn plants. The larvae reared on transformed plants caused much less damage to the roots and also showed the reduced expression of the target gene. The other study of Mao et al. [9] targeted the pesticide detoxifying gene *Cytochrome P450* (*CYP6AE14*), which provides gossypol tolerance to the insect. Transgenic plants express‐ ing dsRNA corresponding to *CYP6AE14* levels of this transcript in insect body was decreased and the larval growth was retarded. Following these two studies, many research groups started to consider RNAi as a feasible technique which could be employed in the transgenic approaches to manage insects. As we know from the various studies conducted, the Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) toxins are effective on lepidopteran and coleopteran pests but fail to work against hemipteran pests like aphids, whiteflies, phyllids, etc. [55–58].

RNAi-engineered plants can be more useful in case of these phloem-feeding hemipteran pests, which are notorious not only because of feeding damages but also because of their ability to transmit plant viruses [59]. The midgut genes of *Nilaparvata lugens* were downregulated by feeding on transgenic rice expressing dsRNA against three separate genes, but no lethal phenotype was detected in this case [60]. Pitino et al. [61] demonstrated RNAi in aphid *Myzus persicae* directed towards the receptor of activated kinase (*Rack-1*) gene by transgenic expres‐ sion in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Another important pest, the whitefly, is also now amenable to RNAi [62]. Its control was demonstrated both by feeding on artificial diet and by feeding on trans‐ genic tobacco plants expressing dsRNA of *V-ATPase A* gene [11, 63]. RNAi experiments conducted on agricultural pests as well as on insect vectors of several human diseases are summarised in Table 2 [53–118].

Table 2. Different insect pests targeted by RNAi.

The choice of a suitable target gene is central to pest control strategy. The gene selection approaches can be based on choosing the gene with known function such as detoxification enzymes, cell synthesis, nutrition, metabolism and cytoskeleton structure. These types of genes can be selected as insect pest control targets. For this, expressed sequence tag (EST) library of European corn borer (*Ostrinia nubilalis*) was screened to find out that a *chitinase* gene (*OnCht*) and a *chitin synthase* gene (*OnCHS2*), which are very important in regulating the growth and development of this insect [119]. Likewise, the EST library of *Bemisia tabaci* was also used to screen out few important genes for RNAi-mediated control [63]. The cDNA library screening approach was also used. Mao et al. [9] constructed a cDNA library from RNAs expressed in the midgut of fifth-instar larvae exposed to gossypol. Several cDNA libraries of WCR (*D. virgifera virgifera*) were prepared and considered upon the underlying principle that genes encoding proteins with essential functions would be the best RNAi targets for causing lethality [8].

As an extension of the cDNA library screening approach, the next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies have led to novel opportunities for expression profiling in organisms lacking any genome or transcriptome sequence information. It enables the direct sequencing of cDNA generated from mRNA (RNA-seq) [120, 121]. Hence, it provides the *de novo* genera‐ tion of the transcriptome for a non-model organism, including various pests. Wang et al. [99] adopted Illumina's RNA-seq and digital gene expression tag profile (DGE-tag) to screen optimal RNAi targets from Asian corn borer (ACB; *Ostrinia furnacalis*). The same technique has been used for the grain aphid, *Sitobion avenae* and *Spodoptera litura* [122, 123]. It seems likely that the combination of DGE-tag with RNA-seq is a rapid, high-throughput, cost-effective and easy way to select for candidate target genes for RNAi, which may not be only limited to the midgut tissue but can also be selected from the whole insect.

The convenience of RNAi to target specific pests while not harming other species is a perfect method of pest management. However, target gene selection and efficient delivery methods are the two major cornerstones of pest management by RNAi. The candidate gene for RNAi can be tailored to be species specific or they can also have a broad spectrum. Specificity can be achieved by designing dsRNAs that target the more variable regions of genes, such as untranslated regions (UTRs). It was first demonstrated in *Drosophila* where UTR of the *gammatubulin* gene was targeted and even closely related species could be targeted selectively [75]. However, it can also be possible to target multiple organisms with a single gene. One such example is of *V-ATPase* gene, which is an effective target in *B. tabaci*, *D. virgifera* and *B. dorsalis* [8, 63, 124]; all of these insects belong to different orders. In this case, either a conserved region can be selected which could affect closely related species or a mixture of dsRNA fragments from different genes belonging to different species can be selected.

Delivery methods that ensure continuous supply of dsRNA/siRNA will be applicable in the fields. A more reliable and verified method would be transgenic plants as the dsRNA can be applied as bait, sprays, or supplied through irrigation systems [125, 126]. The application approach by spray could be quite practical like the spray of chemical pesticides. Gan et al. [127] have also demonstrated the control of viral infection using dsRNA spraying. Similar results were obtained with Asian corn borer, *Ostrinia furnacalis*. This study showed that larval lethality or developmental disorders can be achieved by gene-specific RNAi, and spraying can be an efficient method for continuous supply of dsRNA [99]. The coating of dsRNA molecule with liposomes is used for delivering siRNA to mammalian cells, specific tissues and some insects [128, 75]. This coating prevents the degradation of the molecule and enhances its uptake ability; spraying may also be explored for such particles. Zhang et al. [129] used the chitosan nanoparticle based RNAi technology to suppress the expression of two chitin synthase genes (*AgCHS1* and *AgCHS2*) in African malaria mosquito (*A*. *gambiae*) larvae. Bacterial expression or chemical synthesis allows large-scale production of dsRNA at efficient costs [75, 130, 131].

4. RNAi: Link with immunity and viral infections

The RNAi mechanisms evolved primarily as a defence mechanism against viruses and transposons [132]. Research has established that RNAi pathway also contributes to the innate

immunity of the insects against the viruses having either dsRNA genome or such replicative intermediates. It was demonstrated that the *Drosophila* S2 cells utilise the endocytosis-mediated pathway involving the pattern recognition scavenger receptors for the uptake of dsRNA from the surroundings. These receptors are key players in the innate immune responses of the cell [133, 134]. Saleh et al. [135] demonstrated the strong link of dsRNA uptake pathway and the activation of the immune response in the infected cells. The normal cells used dsRNA uptake pathway to internalise viral dsRNA and subsequently showed manifestation of antiviral response in these cells. On the contrary, the mutant cells (defective genes used for dsRNA uptake) did not show activation of any antiviral response. Mutants in the core siRNA components Dicer-2, AGO-2 and R2D2 are more susceptible to viral infections [140].

Further, it was also reported that receptors such as Sr-CI and Eater, which contribute to majority of dsRNA uptake in the *Drosophila* S2 cells, were significantly down-regulated after pathogenic virus treatments, and significant changes in phagocytic activity were observed. The role of RNAi in antiviral defence has also been firmly established in mosquitoes [136]. Viruses can also affect the availability of RNAi machinery for other candidate dsRNA molecules. They can saturate the RNAi machinery and affect the efficiency of RNAi mechanism. Many viruses are known to produce viral suppressors of RNA silencing (VSRs), which bind to the key elements of the RNAi pathway rendering it unavailable. Many of the viral proteins (viz. B2 protein from Flockhouse virus, 1A proteins from *Drosophila* C virus and cricket paralysis virus) are known to interfere in the siRNA pathway of RNA-mediated silencing [137– 140]. These viral proteins may affect the biogenesis of the trigger molecule by binding to important enzymes such as dicer, which generate the siRNA from long dsRNA or affect the target cleavage by binding to RISC. The viral proteins may also sequester the dsRNA signal molecule or form complexes with the replicative intermediates of the siRNA pathway.

Viruses also produce large amount of RNAs and small RNAs that accumulate in the infected cells. It has also been hypothesised that the occurrence of alternative and effective antiviral pathway may become important in controlling the viral infections and may supersede the RNAi pathway. Few of these possible pathways have been worked upon. Goic et al. [141] have reported the potential interaction of nucleic acid-based acquired immunity with the core RNAi machinery in the study of persistent infection of S2 cells by Flock House Virus (FHV). The insects also protect themselves from foreign nucleic acids by becoming refractory to RNAi. In the oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis*, orally administered dsRNA-targeting endogenous genes, resistance to RNAi was seen due to a blockade in the dsRNA uptake pathway. A very interesting hypothesis is presented by Swevers et al. [142] about the possible impact of persistent viral infection in the insects. In their work, the authors have analysed various factors that determine the response to exogenous dsRNA in the background of viral infection.

5. Efficiency of RNAi

Though RNAi is a conserved mechanism in eukaryotes, its efficiency is governed by various factors. The response of different insect species towards this mechanism of gene silencing is

imperative for successful implementation in the study of gene function and more importantly in the pest management programs. Also, the efficacy is governed by many factors which are not intrinsic to the organism such as the delivery, dosage and choice of the candidate gene. Comprehending these factors will provide a better insight into designing the experiments for successful application of RNAi. The available reports indicate that the lower *Insecta* species such as that of *Blatella* show a much robust and persistent RNAi response, while higher *Insecta* species belonging to the orders Lepidoptera and Diptera are non-compliant [15]. The sensitivity could vary within and among the orders. For instance, many Lepidopteran insects are resistant to RNAi. Terenius et al. [143] have reviewed various factors that may be contributing to the poor responsiveness of these insects. The efficiency can vary with insect species, target gene, developmental stage of the organism, expression of RNAi machinery, method of delivery, stability of dsRNA, etc. A few factors that may be crucial in determining the efficiency of RNAi are discussed below.

5.1. The RNAi machinery

RNAi evolved in organisms as a defence mechanism against viral infections at the cellular level [144, 145]. The differences in the expression of core RNAi machinery can be a prime reason affecting the adequacy of RNAi mechanism. The systemic RNA-interference-deficient 1 (sid-1) protein forms a gated channel which is selective for dsRNA molecule. Its role is well established in the systemic spread of the RNAi signal in the model organism *Caenorhabditis elegans* [146]. The presence of *SID-1*gene orthologs in insects varies with the insect orders [52]. The dipterans lack this gene completely. The mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus* also lacks sid-1 ortholog but shows the systemic spread of the dsRNA trigger [147]. On the one hand, honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) showed an increase in the expression of *SID-1* during the RNAi experiments, indicating its role in the uptake pathway [148]. On the other hand, in *Tribolium castaneum*, the silencing of all three orthologs of *SID-1* casted no influence on the efficiency of RNAi [149].

In *Bombyx mori*, three orthologs are present but no significant success has been found in this lepidopteran, while mosquitoes show systemic RNAi despite the absence of *sid-1* in several species [149–152]. R2D2, a cofactor of dicer-2 enzyme which cleaves long dsRNA into siRNA for loading into the RISC, is absent in *B. mori* making the insect very insensitive to RNAi. Another important enzyme RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP), which amplifies the primary siRNA signal in *C. elegans*, is entirely not reported in the insects [149]. *T. castaneum* showed a robust systemic RNAi, but a wide survey of RNAi related genes did not show any traces of RdRP [149]. However, RdRP-like activity was substituted in *Drosophila* cell lines by certain other enzymatic pathways. In many cases, the absence of certain well-known genes of the RNAi pathway is directly responsible for the poor response of the organism while in several other examples, the absence is compensated by other genes/pathways which play key roles of their counterparts.

5.2. The RNAi molecule

The exogenous dsRNA molecule is the trigger for initiating the RNAi pathway. These molecules are delivered in the form of dsRNA, siRNA or hairpin RNA. Apart from sequence specificity, other parameters are also crucial in determining the efficiency of RNAi experiments. The study on the administration of dsRNA (feeding by means of artificial diet, natural diet, droplet method, blood meal, transgenic plants, etc.) in insects has used varied length of dsRNA molecule. The nucleotide length used in these reports ranges from 134 to 1842 bp, while most of the studies used 300–500 bp as the optimal length [153]. However, silencing effects have also been observed in the case of single siRNA synthesised chemically (administration in *H. armigera*) or a cocktail of siRNA (obtained by using dicer enzyme to chop the dsRNA molecule) [154]. In the cell line experiments done on the *Drosophila* S2 cell line, 211 bp was found to be the optimum length of dsRNA that could be absorbed by the cells [135]. Not only the length but also the specificity of the RNAi molecule is a concern. It can be understood by the reports on *Drosophila* that feeding specific sequence of *V-ATPase* dsRNA caused no silencing in non-target species [75], which implies the specificity of the process. On the contrary, various other studies report non-specific silencing. Off-target effects were reported in *Rhodnius prolixus* [81] and Colorado potato beetle (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata*) [8]. Single mismatches are known to impair the RNAi effect in the mammalian cell lines [155]. Further studies will clarify whether single mismatches show similar impact in insects as well. In case of pest management programs, long dsRNAs (>200 bp) are generally used which generate many probable siRNA maximising the RNAi response [153]. The dosage of the dsRNA molecule also plays an important role in determining the efficiency of the process. Higher doses are required in case of feeding experiments as compared to injection. The silencing effect in *R. prolixus* was enhanced by multiple doses [38]. Therefore, it follows that doses and types of administration (oral or injectable) also need to be optimised according to the life stage of the organism and the target tissue.

5.3. Delivery of the molecule/uptake of silencing signal

One of the most decisive factors for inducing RNAi is the efficient delivery of the dsRNA molecule. The common methods of delivery are by microinjection, soaking, oral delivery and transgenic technique [156]. Microinjection-based delivery is the most commonly used techni‐ que in studying gene functions. It has proven to work well for *Tribolium, Drosophila* and many other lepidopteran insects. Although it works well for larger insects, success with smaller insects is limited due to the invasive nature of this technique. The survival of aphids after microinjection procedure is highly dependent on the injected volume [157].

Further, factors such as needle choice, optimal volume and place of injection are very crucial considerations and tend to vary with organisms and laboratories. Feeding-based experiments involve either *in vitro* synthesised or bacterially expressed dsRNA molecules. The success of oral delivery methods indicates the possible employment of RNAi technique for target pest control. However, the stability of the molecule will always be a concern in the gut lumen. Artificial diet mixed with dsRNA could not induce RNAi in *Drosophila* spp. Ingested dsRNA against a gut-specific *aminopeptidase N* gene also failed to develop RNAi response in *Spodoptera litura* [43]. Therefore, it can be suggested that oral delivery is not equally suitable for all species. Another convenient method of delivery is soaking. Nevertheless, this method is more appli‐ cable for cell line experiments rather than whole insects.

After the delivery of the molecules, the next step is the uptake of the molecules by insects. Huvenne and Smagghe [52] have elaborately reviewed the basic mechanisms involved in the uptake of dsRNA in the insects. The spreading of the RNAi signal, i.e., systemic RNAi, is an important determinant of the efficiency of RNAi. In cases of functional genetics, cell autonomous RNAi has been successfully employed to study the function of genes; however, for implementation in the pest control programs, non-cell autonomous RNAi is important. The systemic spread of the silencing signal is absent in the most studied model insect *Drosophila*. In contrast, the most studied insect *Tribolium* shows a powerful systemic silencing effect [149].

5.4. Potency of the silencing signal

The manifestation of the RNAi effect also depends on the stability and persistence of the dsRNA molecule. In *Acyrthosiphon pisum*, the silencing effect on the aquaporin gene began to reduce after five days [157]. The early stability of dsRNA molecule may be disrupted by the non-specific nucleases as reported in many of the lepidopteran insects [143]. These are extracellular enzymes different from dicer and digest the trigger molecule, thereby preventing the RNAi cascade. In certain cases, the activity of dsRNA degrading enzymes have been studied and their levels were measured in different stages, which was found related to the developmental stage. The dsRNase activity is also found in the digestive juices of *Bombyx mori*, saliva of *Linus lineolaris* and in haemolymph of *Manduca sexta* [87, 158, 159]. The existence/ stability/mode of action of these enzymes are not sufficiently studied and future research in this direction needs to be carried out to comprehend the stability of dsRNA molecule in the *in vivo* studies. The choice of gene can also decrease the strength of the silencing signal. Ideally, the protein whose function is to be silenced should have a short half-life, whilst the mRNA turnover number should be high. The stability of protein explained the weak RNAi response in both *D. melanogaster* and *T. casteneum* [160]. However, such studies have not been conducted for the majority of the genes and therefore it can be concluded that expression of RNAi is limited by many uncovered phenomena.

6. Conclusions

The advent of RNA interference has been a crucial phase of the modern day science. The wide array of applications in the entomological research has led to many momentous findings. The functionality of many genes has been understood by this technique. Its implication in func‐ tional genomics is not only restricted to the study of a given set of genes but is also used to unveil the interaction of different genes in a particular metabolic pathway. The rapid pace of RNAi-based research suggests that it would soon facilitate better understanding of evolution, circadian rhythms, behavioural pattern, reproductive biology and interaction between host and parasites/pathogens. However, successful manifestation of RNAi is dependent on several factors. The insect species might lack the basic RNAi machinery [161] or may rapidly degrade alien dsRNA. Such factors could be intrinsic to the concerned tissue or gene. The gene might have high transcription rate and could evade the effect of RNAi or the target mRNA may be too transient.

As happens with every phenomenon, this mechanism can also undergo selection pressure. Viruliferous insects that also have RNAi suppressors would be able to thrive on RNAiprotected crops. Furthermore, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that result in lower effectiveness of the RNAi could potentially be selected for and lead to the evolution of resistance [153]. Genetic variations among insect species are already a challenge for RNAi. Therefore, parallel research must be carried out to develop strategies, which would minimise the resistance development and selective pressures.

RNAi has proved its utility as a futuristic tool of insect pest management. However, there are several issues that need to be addressed before the implementation of this technology in fields. The knowledge gaps underlying large-scale implications of pesticidal RNAi-based crops on the environment should be identified and bridged. The off-target gene silencing is a serious concern where unintended organisms are adversely affected [162]. The non-target effects can be categorised as off-target gene silencing, silencing the target gene in non-target organisms, immune stimulation and saturation of the RNAi machinery [163]. A balanced approach should be taken with maximum effects on the target pests with minimal effects on non-target organisms.

Acknowledgements

SKU is thankful to the Department of Science and Technology, India, for DST-INSPIRE faculty fellowship and Panjab University for facility.

Author details

Nidhi Thakur 1,2 , Jaspreet Kaur Mundey 3 and Santosh Kumar Upadhyay $^{3^\ast}$

*Address all correspondence to: skupadhyay@pu.ac.in

- 1 Plant Molecular Biology Lab, CSIR-National Botanical Research Institute, Lucknow, India
- 2 Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research, Anusandhan Bhawan, New Delhi, India
- 3 Department of Botany, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

References

[1] Fire A, Xu S, Montgomery MK, Kostas SA, Driver SE, Mello CC. Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature* 1998:391, 806–811. DOI:10.1038/35888.

- [2] Meister G, Tuschl T. Mechanisms of gene silencing by double-stranded RNA. *Nature* 2004:431(7006), 343–349. DOI:10.1038/nature02873.
- [3] Kennerdell JR, Carthew RW. Use of dsRNA-mediated genetic interference to demonstrate that frizzled and frizzled 2 act in the wingless pathway. *Cell* 1998:95(7), 1017– 1026. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81725-0.
- [4] Angaji SA, Hedayati SS, Poor RH, Madani S, Poor SS, Panahi S. Application of RNA interference in treating human diseases. *Journal of Genetics* 2010:89(4), 527–537.
- [5] Ambesajir A, Kaushik A, Kaushik J, Petros S. RNA interference: A futuristic tool and its therapeutic applications. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences* 2012:19, 395–403. DOI: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2012.08.001.
- [6] Younis A, Siddique MI, Kim C, Lim K. RNA interference (RNAi) induced gene si‐ lencing: A promising approach of hi-tech plant breeding. *International Journal of Bio‐ logical Science* 2014:10(10), 1150–1158. DOI: 10.7150/ijbs.10452.
- [7] Gu L, Knipple DC. Recent advances in RNA interference research in insects: Implications for future insect pest management strategies. *Crop Protection* 2013:45, 36–40. DOI:10.1016/j.cropro.2012.10.004.
- [8] Baum JA, Bogaert T, Clinton W, Heck GR, Feldmann P, Ilagan O et al. Control of coleopteran insect pests through RNA interference. *Nature Biotechnology* 2007:25(11), 1322–1326. DOI: 10.1038/nbt1359.
- [9] Mao YB, Cai WJ, Wang JW, Hong GJ, Tao XY, Wang LJ, Huang YP, Chen XY. Silenc‐ ing a cotton bollworm P450 monooxygenase gene by plant-mediated RNAi impairs larval tolerance of gossypol. *Nature Biotechnology* 2007:25(11), 1307–1313. DOI: 10.1038/nbt1352.
- [10] Chen J, Zhang D, Yao Q, Zhang J, Dong X, Tian H et al. Feeding-based RNA interfer‐ ence of a trehalose phosphate synthase gene in the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*. *Insect Molecular Biology* 2010:19(6), 777–786. DOI:10.1111/j. 1365-2583.2010.01038.x.
- [11] Thakur N, Upadhyay SK, Verma PC, Chandrashekar K, Tuli R, Singh PK. Enhanced whitefly resistance in transgenic tobacco plants expressing double stranded RNA of v-ATPase a gene. *PLoS One* 2014:9(3), e87235. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0087235.
- [12] Saini RK, Yadav GS, Kumari B. Novel approaches in pest and pesticide management in agro-ecosystem. http://hau.ernet.in/coa/pdf/ento4789.pdf
- [13] Sanahuja G, Banakar R, Twyman RM, Capell T, Christou P. *Bacillus thuringiensis*: A century of research, development and commercial applications. *Journal of Plant Bio‐ technology* 2011:9, 283–300. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-7652.2011.00595.x.
- [14] Bergé JB, Ricroch AE. Emergence of minor pests becoming major pests in GE cotton in China: What are the reasons? What are the alternatives practices to this change of status? *GM Crops* 2010:1, 214–219. DOI: 10.4161/gmcr.1.4.13421.
- [15] Bellés X. Beyond drosophila: RNAi in vivo and functional genomics in insects. *Annu‐ al Review of Entomology* 2010:55, 111–128. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-ento-112408-085301.
- [16] Bucher G, Scholten J, Klingler M. Parental RNAi in *Tribolium* (Coleoptera). *Current Biology* 2002:12, R85–R86. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(02)00666-8.
- [17] Liu PZ, Kaufman TC. Hunchback is required for suppression of abdominal identity, and for proper germband growth and segmentation in the intermediate germband insect Oncopeltus fasciatus. *Development* 2004a:131, 1515–1527. DOI: 10.1242/dev. 01046.
- [18] Liu PZ, Kaufman TC. Kruppel is a gap gene in the intermediate germband insect On‐ copeltus fasciatus and is required for development of both blastoderm and germ‐ band-derived segments. *Development* 2004b:131, 4567–4579. DOI: 10.1242/dev.01311.
- [19] Ronco M, Uda T, Mito T, Minelli A, Noji S, Klingler M. Antenna and all gnathal ap‐ pendages are similarly transformed by homothorax knock-down in the cricket *Gryl‐ lusbimaculatus*. *Developmental Biology* 2008:313, 80–92. DOI:10.1016/j.ydbio. 2007.09.059.
- [20] Mito T, Inoue Y, Kimura S, Miyawaki K, Niwa N, Shinmyo Y et al. Involvement of *hedgehog*, *wingles*s, and *dpp* in the initiation of proximodistal axis formation during the regeneration of insect legs, a verification of the modified boundary model. *Mecha‐ nisms of Development* 2002:114, 27–35. DOI:10.1016/S0925-4773(02)00052-7.
- [21] Lynch JA, Desplan C. A method for parental RNA interference in the wasp Nasonia vitripennis. *Nature Protocols* 2006:1, 486–494. DOI:10.1038/nprot.2006.70.
- [22] Khila A, Grbic M. Gene silencing in the spider mite Tetranychus urticae: dsRNA and siRNA parental silencing of the Distal-less gene. *Development Genes and Evolution* 2007:217, 241–251.
- [23] Grossmann D, Scholten J, Prpic NM. Separable functions of wingless in distal and ventral patterning of the *Tribolium* leg. *Development Genes and Evolution* 2009:219, 469– 479. DOI: 10.1007/s00427-009-0310-z.
- [24] Brent AE, Yucel G, Small S, Desplan C. Permissive and instructive anterior patterning rely on mRNA localization in the wasp embryo. *Science* 2007:315, 1841–1843. DOI: 10.1126/science.1137528.
- [25] Hughes CL, Kaufman TC. RNAi analysis of deformed, proboscipedia and sex combs reduced in the milkweed bug oncopeltus fasciatus: Novel roles for Hox genes in the hemipteran head. *Development* 2000:127, 3683–3694.
- [26] Tomoyasu Y, Denell RE. Larval RNAi in *Tribolium* (Coleoptera) for analyzing adult development. *Development Genes and Evolution* 2004:214, 575–578. DOI: 10.1007/ s00427-004-0434-0.
- [27] Tomoyasu Y, Wheeler SR, Denell RE. *Ultrabithorax* is required for membranous wing identity in the beetle *Tribolium castaneum*. *Nature* 2005:433, 643–647. DOI:10.1038/ nature03272.
- [28] Arakane Y, Muthukrishnan S, Beeman RW, Kanost MR, Kramer KJ. Laccase 2 is the phenoloxidase gene required for beetle cuticle tanning. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2005:102, 11337–11342. DOI: 10.1073/ pnas.0504982102.
- [29] Ohnishi A, Hashimoto K, Imai K, Matsumoto S. Functional characterization of the *Bombyx mori* fatty acid transport protein (*Bm*FATP) within the silkmoth pheromone gland. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2009:284, 5128–5136. DOI: 10.1074/ jbc.M806072200.
- [30] Dong Y, Friedrich M. Nymphal RNAi: Systemic RNAi mediated gene knockdown in juvenile grasshopper. *BMC Biotechnology* 2005:5, 25. DOI: 10.1186/1472-6750-5-25.
- [31] Dong Y, Friedrich M. Enforcing biphasic eye development in a directly developing insect by transient knockdown of single eye selector genes. *Journal of Experimental Zo‐ ology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution* 2010:314, 104–114. DOI: 10.1002/ jez.b.21313.
- [32] Martin D, Maestro O, Cruz J, Mane-Padros D, Belles X. RNAi studies reveal a conserved role for RXR in molting in the cockroach *Blattella germanica*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 2006:52, 410–416. DOI:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2005.12.002.
- [33] Nakamura T, Mito T, Tanaka Y, Bando T, Ohuchi H, Noji S. Involvement of canonical Wnt/Wingless signalling in the determination of the positional values within the leg segment of the cricket *Gryllus bimaculatus*. *Development, Growth & Differentiation* 2007:49, 79–88. DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-169X.2007.00915.x.
- [34] Moriyama Y, Sakamoto T, Karpova SG, Matsumoto A, Noji S, Tomioka K. RNA in‐ terference of the clock gene period disrupts circadian rhythms in the cricket Gryllus bimaculatus. *Journal of Biological Rhythms* 2008:23, 308–318. DOI: 10.1177/0748730408320486.
- [35] Hamada A, Miyawaki K, Honda-sumi E, Tomioka K, Mito T, Ohuchi H et al. Lossoffunction analyses of the fragile X-related and dopamine receptor genes by RNA in‐ terference in the cricket Gryllus bimaculatus. *Developmental Dynamics* 2009:238, 2025– 2033. DOI: 10.1002/dvdy.22029.
- [36] Nakamura T, Mito T, Bando T, Ohuchi H, Noji S. Dissecting insect leg regeneration through RNA interference. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 2008:65, 64–72. DOI: 10.1016/j.mod.2011.07.001.
- [37] Mito T, Inoue Y, Kimura S, Miyawaki K, Niwa N, Shinmyo Y et al. Involvement of *hedgehog*, *wingles*s, and *dpp* in the initiation of proximodistal axis formation during

the regeneration of insect legs, a verification of the modified boundary model. *Mecha‐ nisms of Development* 2002:114, 27–35. DOI:10.1016/S0925-4773(02)00052-7.

- [38] Araujo RN, Santos A, Pinto FS, Gontijo NF, Lehane MJ, Pereira MH. RNA interfer‐ ence of the salivary gland Nitrophorin 2 in the triatomine bug Rhodnius prolixus (Hemiptera: Reduviidae) by dsRNA ingestion or injection. *Insect Biochemistry and Mo‐ lecular Biology* 2006:36, 683–693. DOI:10.1016/j.ibmb.2006.05.012.
- [39] Boisson B, Jacques JC, Choumet V, Martin E, Xu J, Vernick K, et al. Gene silencing in mosquito salivary glands by RNAi. *FEBS Letters* 2006:580, 1988–1992. DOI: http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2006.02.069.
- [40] Belgacem YH, Martin JR. Hmgcr in the corpus allatum controls sexual dimorphism of locomotor activity and body size via the insulin pathway in Drosophila. *PLoS One* 2007:2, e187. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0000187.
- [41] Lee CM, Su MT, Lee HJ. Pigment dispersing factor: An output regulator of the circadian clock in the German cockroach. *Journal of Biological Rhythms* 2009:24, 35–43. DOI: 10.1177/0748730408327909.
- [42] Lycett GJ, McLaughlin LA, Ranson H, Hemingway J, Kafatos FC, Loukeris TG et al. Anopheles gambiae P450 reductase is highly expressed in oenocytes and in vivo knockdown increases permethrin susceptibility. *Insect Molecular Biology* 2006:15, 321– 27. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2583.2006.00647.x.
- [43] Sivakumar S, Rajagopal R, Venkatesh GR, Srivastava A, Bhatnagar RK. Knockdown of aminopeptidase-N from Helicoverpa armigera larvae and in transfected Sf21 cells by RNA interference reveals its functional interaction with Bacillus thuringiensis in‐ secticidal protein Cry1Ac. *Journal Biological Chemistry* 2007:282, 7312–7319. DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M607442200.
- [44] Ohnishi A, Hull JJ, Matsumoto S. Targeted disruption of genes in the Bombyx mori sex pheromone biosynthetic pathway. *Proceedings of National Academy of Science of the United States of America* 2006:103, 4398–4403. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0511270103.
- [45] Turner CT, Davy MW, MacDiarmid RM, Plummer KM, Birch NP, Newcomb RD. 2006. RNA interference in the light brown apple moth, Epiphyas postvittana (Walk‐ er) induced by double-stranded RNA feeding. *Insect Molecular Biology* 2006:15, 383– 391. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2583.2006.00656.x.
- [46] Paldi N, Glick E, Oliva M, Zilberberg Y, Aubin L, Pettis J et al. Effective gene silencing in a microsporidian parasite associated with honeybee (Apis mellifera) colony declines. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2010:76, 5960–5964. DOI:10.1128/ AEM.01067-10.
- [47] Garbian Y, Maori E, Kalev H, Shafir S, Sela I. Bidirectional transfer of RNAi between honey bee and Varroa destructor: Varroa gene silencing reduces Varroa population. *PLoS Pathogens* 2012:8, e1003035. DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003035.
- [48] Goto A, Blandin S, Royet J, Reichhart JM, Levashina EA. Silencing of Toll pathway components by direct injection of double-stranded RNA into Drosophila adult flies. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2003:31, 6619–6623. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkg852.
- [49] Blandin S, Moita LF, Kocher T, Wilm M, Kafatos FC, Levashina EA. Reverse genetics in the mosquito Anopheles gambiae: Targeted disruption of the defensin gene. *EM‐ BO Reports* 2002:3, 852–856. DOI: 10.1093/embo-reports/kvf180.
- [50] Osta MA, Christophides GK, Kafatos FC. Effects of mosquito genes on Plasmodium development. *Science* 2004:303, 2030–2032. DOI:10.1126/science.1091789.
- [51] Eleftherianos I, Gokcen F, Felfoldi G, Millichap PJ, Trenczek TE, ffrench-Constant RH et al. The immunoglobulin family protein hemolin mediates cellular immune responses to bacteria in the insect Manduca sexta. *Cell Microbiology* 2007:9, 1137–1147. DOI: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00855.x.
- [52] Huvenne H, Smagghe, G. Mechanisms of dsRNA uptake in insects and potential of RNAi for pest control: A review. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 2010:56, 227–235. DOI: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2009.10.004.
- [53] Baum JA, Bogaert T, Clinton W, Heck GR, Feldmann P, Ilagan O et al. Control of coleopteran insect pests through RNA interference. *Nature Biotechnology* 2007:25, 1322– 1326. DOI:10.1038/nbt1359.
- [54] Mao YB, Cai WJ, Wang JW, Hong GJ, Tao XY, Wang LJ et al. Silencing a cotton bollworm P450 monooxygenase gene by plant-mediated RNAi impairs larval tolerance of gossypol. *Nature Biotechnology* 2007:25(11), 1307–1313. DOI: 10.1038/nbt1352.
- [55] Li J, Chen Q, Lin Y, Jiang T, Wu G, Hua H. RNA interference in Nilaparvata lugens (Homoptera: Delphacidae) based on dsRNA ingestion. *Pest Management Science* 2011:67, 852–859. DOI: 10.1002/ps.2124.
- [56] Elaine BA, Miguel SS, Christopher RM. Mechanisms of hopperburn: An overview of insect taxonomy, behavior, and physiology. *Annual Review of Entomology* 2005:50, 125–151. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.ento.49.061802.123310.
- [57] Liu S, Ding Z, Zhang C, Yang B, Liu Z. Gene knockdown by intro-thoracic injection of double-stranded RNA in the brown planthopper, Nilaparvata lugens. *Insect Bio‐ chemistry and Molecular Biology* 2010:40, 666–671. DOI:10.1016/j.ibmb.2010.06.007.
- [58] Gatehouse JA, Price DRG. Protection of crops against insect pests using RNA interference. *Insect Biotechnology* 2011:2, 145–168. DOI: 10.1007/978-90-481-9641-8_8.
- [59] Navas-Castillo J, Fiallo-Olivé E, Sánchez-Campos S. Emerging virus diseases trans‐ mitted by whiteflies. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 2011:49, 219–248. DOI: 10.1146/ annurev-phyto-072910-095235.
- [60] Zha W, Peng X, Chen R, Du B, Zhu L, He G. Knockdown of midgut genes by dsRNA-transgenic plant-mediated RNA interference in the hemipteran insect Nila‐ parvata lugens. *PLoS One* 2011:6, e20504. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0020504.
- [61] Pitino M, Coleman AD, Maffei ME, Ridout CJ, Hogenhout SA. Silencing of aphid genes by dsRNA feeding from plants. *PLoS One* 2011:6, e25709. DOI: 10.1371/jour‐ nal.pone.0025709.
- [62] Ghanim M, Kontsedalov S, Czosnek H. Tissue-specific gene silencing by RNA inter‐ ference in the whitefly Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius). *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 2007:37, 732–738. DOI:10.1016/j.ibmb.2007.04.006.
- [63] Upadhyay SK, Chandrashekar K, Thakur N, Verma PC, Borgio JF, Singh PK et al. RNA interference for the control of whiteflies (Bemisia tabaci) by oral route. *Journal of Biosciences* 2011:36, 153–161. DOI: 10.1007/s12038-011-9009-1.
- [64] Zhao YY, Yang G, Wang-Pruski G, You MS. Phyllotreta striolata Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae): Arginine kinase cloning and RNAi-based pest control. *European Journal of Entomology* 2008:105, 815–822. DOI: 10.14411/eje.2008.108.
- [65] Niu BL, Shen WF, Liu Y, Weng HB, He LH, Mu JJ et al. Cloning and RNAi-mediated functional characterization of MaLac2 of the pine sawyer, Monochamus alternatus. *Insect Molecular Biology* 2008:17, 303–312. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2583.2008.00803.x.
- [66] Franz AW, Sanchez-Vargas I, Adelman ZN, Blair CD, Beaty BJ, James AA et al. Engineering RNA interference-based resistance to dengue virus type 2 in genetically modified Aedes aegypti. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2006:103(11), 4198–4203. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0600479103.
- [67] Coy MR, Sanscrainte ND, Chalaire KC, Inberg A, Maayan I, Glick E et al. Gene si‐ lencing in adult Aedes aegypti mosquitoes through oral delivery of double‐stranded RNA. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 2012:136(10), 741–748. DOI: 10.1111/j. 1439-0418.2012.01713.x.
- [68] Figueira‐Mansur J, Ferreira‐Pereira A, Mansur JF, Franco TA, Alvarenga ESL, Sor‐ gine MHF et al. Silencing of P‐glycoprotein increases mortality in temephos‐treated Aedes aegypti larvae. *Insect Molecular Biology* 2013:22(6), 648–658. DOI: 10.1111/imb. 12052.
- [69] Zhang X, Zhang J, Zhu KY. Chitosan/double-stranded RNA nanoparticle-mediated RNA interference to silence chitin synthase genes through larval feeding in the African malaria mosquito (Anopheles gambiae). *Insect Molecular Biology* 2010:19(5), 683– 693. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2583.2010.01029.x.
- [70] Walshe DP, Lehane SM, Lehane MJ, Haines LR. Prolonged gene knockdown in the tsetse fly Glossina by feeding double stranded RNA. *Insect Molecular Biology* 2009:(1), 11–19. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0017788.
- [71] Li X, Zhang M, Zhang H. RNA interference of four genes in adult Bactrocera dorsalis by feeding their dsRNAs. *PLoS One* 2011:6(3), e17788. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0017788.
- [72] Jaubert-Possamai S, Le Trionnaire G, Bonhomme J, Christophides GK, Rispe C, Tagu D. Gene knockdown by RNAi in the pea aphid Acyrthosiphon pisum. *BMC Biotech‐ nology* 2007:7, 63. DOI:10.1186/1472-6750-7-63.
- [73] Mutti NS, Park Y, Reese JC, Reeck GR. RNAi knockdown of a salivary transcript leading to lethality in the pea aphid, Acyrthosiphon pisum. *Journal of Insect Science* 2006:6, 1–7. DOI: 10.1673/031.006.3801.
- [74] Mao J, Zeng F. Feeding-based RNA interference of a gap gene is lethal to the pea aphid, Acyrthosiphon pisum. *Plos One* 2012:7, e48718. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0048718.
- [75] Whyard S, Singh AD, Wong S. Ingested double-stranded RNAs can act as speciesspecific insecticides. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 2009:39, 824–832. DOI: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2009.09.007.
- [76] Shakesby A, Wallace I, Isaacs H, Pritchard J, Roberts D, Douglas A. A water-specific aquaporin involved in aphid osmoregulation. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biolo‐ gy* 2009:39, 1–10. DOI: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2008.08.008.
- [77] Zhu F, Sams S, Moural T, Haynes KF, Potter MF, Palli SR. RNA interference of NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductase results in reduced insecticide resistance in the bed bug, Cimex lectularius. *PLos One* 2012:7, e31037. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0031037.
- [78] El-Shesheny I, Hajeri S, El-Hawary I, Gowda S, Killiny N. Silencing abnormal wing disc gene of the Asian citrus psyllid, Diaphorina citri disrupts adult wing development and increases nymph mortality. *PLos One* 2013:8, e65392. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0065392.
- [79] Hajeri S, Killiny N, El-Mohtar C, Dawson WO, Gowda S. Citrus tristeza virus-based RNAi in citrus plants induces gene silencing in Diaphorina citri, a phloem-sap suck‐ ing insect vector of citrus greening disease (Huanglongbing). *Journal of Biotechnology* 2014:176, 42–49. DOI: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2014.02.010.
- [80] Wan P-J, Jia S, Li N, Fan J-M, Li G-Q. RNA interference depletion of the halloween gene disembodied implies its potential application for management of planthopper Sogatella furcifera and Laodelphax striatellus. *PLos One* 2014:9, e86675. DOI: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0086675.
- [81] Araujo RN, Soares AC, Paim RM, Gontijo NF, Gontijo AF, Lehane MJ et al. The role of salivary nitrophorins in the ingestion of blood by the triatomine bug Rhodnius prolixus (Reduviidae: Triatominae). *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 2009:39, 83–89. DOI: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2008.10.002.
- [82] Mury FB, da Silva JR, Ferreira LS, dos Santos Ferreira B, de Souza-Filho GA, de Sou‐ za-Neto JA et al. Alpha-glucosidase promotes hemozoin formation in a blood-suck‐

ing bug: An evolutionary history. *PLoS One* 2009:4, e6966. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0006966.

- [83] Paim RM, Araujo RN, Lehane MJ, Gontijo NF, Pereira MH. Longterm effects and parental RNAi in the blood feeder Rhodnius prolixus (Hemiptera; Reduviidae). *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 2013:43, 1015–1020. DOI: 10.1016/j.ibmb. 2013.08.008.
- [84] Defferrari M, Lee D, Fernandes C, Orchard I, Carlini C. A phospholipase A2 gene is linked to Jack bean urease toxicity in the Chagas' disease vector Rhodnius prolixus. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 2014:1840, 396–405. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.09.016.
- [85] Deng F, Zhao Z. Influence of catalase gene silencing on the survivability of Sitobion avenae. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology* 2014:86, 46–57. DOI: 10.1002/ arch.21161.
- [86] Xu L, Duan X, Lv Y, Zhang X, Nie Z, Xie C, Ni Z, Liang R. Silencing of an aphid carboxylesterase gene by use of plant-mediated RNAi impairs Sitobion avenae tolerance of Phoxim insecticides. *Transgenic Research* 2014:23, 389–396. DOI: 10.1007/ s11248-013-9765-9.
- [87] Walker WB, Allen ML. Expression and RNA interference of salivary polygalacturonase genes in the tarnished plant bug, Lygus lineolaris. *Journal of Insect Science* 2010:10, 173. DOI: 10.1673/031.010.14133.
- [88] Walker WB, Allen ML. RNA interference-mediated knockdown of IAP in Lygus line‐ olaris induces mortality in adult and preadult life stages. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 2011:138, 83–92. DOI: 10.1111/j.1570-7458.2010.01078.x.
- [89] Liu PZ, Kaufman TC. Hunchback is required for suppression of abdominal identity, and for proper germband growth and segmentation in the intermediate germ band insect Oncopeltus fasciatus. *Development* 2004:131, 1515–1527. DOI: 10.1242/dev. 01046.
- [90] Ikeno T, Numata H, Goto SG. Circadian clock genes period and cycle regulate photo‐ periodic diapause in the bean bug Riptortus pedestris males. *Journal of Insect Physiolo‐ gy* 2011a:57, 935–938. DOI: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2011.04.006.
- [91] Araujo RN, Santos A, Pinto FS, Gontijo NF, Lehane MJ, Pereira MH. RNA interfer‐ ence of the salivary gland nitrophorin 2 in the triatomine bug Rhodnius prolixus (Hemiptera: Reduviidae) by dsRNA ingestion or injection. *Insect Biochemistry and Mo‐ lecular Biology* 2006:36, 683–693. DOI:10.1016/j.ibmb.2006.05.012.
- [92] Zha W, Peng X, Chen R, Du B, Zhu L, He G. Knockdown of midgut genes by dsRNA-transgenic plant-mediated RNA interference in the hemipteran insect Nila‐ parvata lugens. *PLoS One* 2011:6(5), e20504. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0020504.
- [93] Li J, Chen Q, Lin Y, Jiang T, Wu G, Hua H. RNA interference in Nilaparvata lugens (Homoptera: Delphacidae) based on dsRNA ingestion. *Pest Management Science* 2011:67(7), 852–859. DOI: 10.1002/ps.2124.
- [94] Chen J, Zhang D, Yao Q, Zhang J, Dong X, Tian H et al. Feeding-based RNA interfer‐ ence of a trehalose phosphate synthase gene in the brown planthopper, Nilaparvata lugens. *Insect Molecular Biology* 2010:19(6), 777–786. DOI: 10.1111/j. 1365-2583.2010.01038.x.
- [95] Zhang Y, Wang X, Yang B, Hu Y, Huang L, Bass C et al. Reduction in mRNA and protein expression of a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor α 8 subunit is associated with resistance to imidacloprid in the brown planthopper, Nilaparvata lugens. *Journal of Neurochemistry*. 2015. DOI: 10.1111/jnc.13281.
- [96] Pitino M, Coleman AD, Maffei ME, Ridout CJ, Hogenhout SA. Silencing of aphid genes by dsRNA feeding from plants. *PLoS One* 2011:6, e25709. DOI: 10.1371/jour‐ nal.pone.0025709.
- [97] Wuriyanghan H, Rosa C, Falk BW. Oral delivery of doublestranded RNAs and siR‐ NAs induces RNAi effects in the potato/tomato psyllid, Bactericerca cockerelli. *PLoS One* 2011:6, e27736. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0027736.
- [98] Zhou XG, Wheeler MM, Oi FM, Scharf ME. RNA interference in the termite Reticulitermes flavipes through ingestion of double-stranded RNA. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 2008:38, 805–815. DOI: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2008.05.005.
- [99] Wang YB, Zhang H, Li HC and Miao XX. Second generation sequencing supply an effectiveway to screen RNAi targets in large scale for potential application in pest in‐ sect control. *PLoS One* 2011:6(4), e18644. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018644.
- [100] Khajuria C, Buschman LL, Chen MS, Muthukrishnan S, Zhu KY. A gut-specific chiti‐ nase gene essential for regulation of chitin content of peritrophic matrix and growth of *Ostrinia nubilalis* larvae. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 2010:40, 621–629. DOI: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2010.06.003.
- [101] Turner CT, Davy MW, Macdiarmid RM, Plummer KM, Birch NP, Newcomb RD. RNA interference in the light brown apple moth, *Epiphyas postvittana* (Walker) in‐ duced by double-stranded RNA feeding. *Insect Molecular Biology* 2006:15, 383–391. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2583.2006.00656.x.
- [102] Kumar M, Gupta GP, Rajam MV. Silencing of acetylcholinesterase gene of Helicover‐ pa armigera by siRNA affects larval growth and its life cycle. *Journal of Insect Physiol‐ ogy* 2009:55, 273–278. DOI: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2008.12.005.
- [103] Mao YB, Tao XY, Xue XY, Wang LJ, Chen XY. Cotton plants expressing *CYP6AE14* double-stranded RNA show enhanced resistance to bollworms. *Transgenic Research* 2011:20, 665–673. DOI: 10.1007/s11248-010-9450-1.
- [104] He HJ, Wang Q, Zheng WW, Wang JX, Song QS, Zhao XF. Function of nuclear transport factor 2 and Ran in the 20E signal transduction pathway in the cotton bollworm, Helicoverpa armigera. *BMC Cell Biology* 2010:11, 1. DOI:10.1186/1471-2121-11-1.
- [105] Xiong Y, Zeng H, Zhang Y, Xu D, Qiu D. Silencing the HaHR3 gene by transgenic plant-mediated RNAi to disrupt Helicoverpa armigera development. *International Journal of Biological Sciences* 2013:9(4), 370–381. DOI: 10.7150/ijbs.5929.
- [106] Bettencourt R, Terenius O, Faye I. *Hemolin* gene silencing by ds-RNA injected into Cecropia pupae is lethal to next generation embryos. *Insect Molecular Biology* 2002:11, 267–271. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2583.2002.00334.x.
- [107] Porta H, Jimenez G, Cordoba E, Leon P, Soberon M, Bravo A. Tobacco plants ex‐ pressing the Cry1AbMod toxin suppress tolerance to Cry1Ab toxin of Manduca sexta cadherin-silenced larvae. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 2011:41, 513–519. DOI: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2011.04.013.
- [108] Shu YH, Wang JW, Lu K, Zhou JL, Zhou Q, Zhang GR. The first vitellogenin receptor from a Lepidopteran insect: Molecular characterization, expression patterns and RNA interference analysis. *Insect Molecular Biology* 2011:20, 61–73. DOI: 10.1111/j. 1365-2583.2010.01054.x.
- [109] Tian H, Peng H, Yao Q, Chen H, Xie Q, Tang B et al. Developmental control of a lepi‐ dopteran pest *Spodoptera exigua* by ingestion of bacteria expressing dsRNA of a nonmidgut gene. *PLoS One* 2009:4(7), e6225. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0006225.
- [110] Gvakharia BO, Bebas P, Cymborowski B, Giebultowicz JM. Disruption of sperm re‐ lease from insect testes by cytochalasin and beta-actin mRNA mediated interference. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 2003:60, 1744–1751. DOI: 10.1007/s00018-003-3139 z.
- [111] Griebler M, Westerlund SA, Hoffmann KH, Meyering-Vos M. RNA interference with the allatoregulating neuropeptide genes from the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiper‐ da* and its effects on the JH titer in the hemolymph. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 2008:54, 997–1007. DOI: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2008.04.019.
- [112] Gong LA, Yang XQ, Zhang BL, Zhong GH, Hu MY. Silencing of Rieske iron-sulfur protein using chemically synthesised siRNA as a potential biopesticide against *Plu‐ tella xylostella*. *Pest Management Science* 2011:67, 514–520. DOI: 10.1002/ps.2086.
- [113] Mito T, Nakamura T, Bando T, Ohuchi H, Noji S. The advent of RNA interference in entomology. *Entomological Science* 2011:14, 1–8. DOI: 10.1111/j.1479-8298.2010.00408.x.
- [114] Dabour N, Bando T, Nakamura T, Miyawaki K, Mito T, Ohuchi H, Noji S. Cricket body size is altered by systemic RNAi against insulin signaling components and epi‐ dermal growth factor receptor. *Development Growth & Differentiation* 2011:53, 857–869. DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-169X.2011.01291.x.
- [115] Takahashi T, Hamada A, Miyawaki K, Matsumoto Y, Mito T, Noji S et al. Systemic RNA interference for the study of learning and memory in an insect. *Journal of Neuro‐ science Methods* 2009:179, 9–15. DOI:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2009.01.002.
- [116] Moriyama Y, Sakamoto T, Karpova SG, Matsumoto A, Noji S, Tomioka K. RNA in‐ terference of the clock gene period disrupts circadian rhythms in the cricket Gryllus bimaculatus. *Journal of Biological Rhythms* 2008:23, 308–318. DOI: 10.1177/0748730408320486.
- [117] Meyering-Vos M, Muller A. RNA interference suggests sulfakinins as satiety effec‐ tors in the cricket *Gryllus bimaculatus*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 2007:53, 840–848. DOI:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2007.04.003.
- [118] Dong Y, Friedrich M. Nymphal RNAi: Systemic RNAi mediated gene knockdown in juvenile grasshopper. *BMC Biotechnology* 2005:5, 25. DOI:10.1186/1472-6750-5-25.
- [119] Khajuria C, Buschman LL, Chen MS, Muthukrishnan S, Zhu KY. A gut-specific chiti‐ nase gene essential for regulation of chitin content of peritrophic matrix and growth of Ostrinia nubilalis larvae. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 2010:40, 621–629. DOI: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2010.06.003.
- [120] Wang Z, Gerstein M, Snyder M. RNA-Seq: A revolutionary tool for transcriptomics. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 2009:10, 57–63. DOI:10.1038/nrg2484.
- [121] Haas BJ, Zody MC. Advancing RNA-Seq analysis. *Nature Biotechnology* 2010:28, 421– 423. DOI: 10.1038/nbt0510-421.
- [122] Zhang M, Zhou Y, Jones HD, Gao Q, Wang D, Ma Y, et al. Identifying potential RNAi targets in grain aphid (*Sitobion aveane* F.) based on transcriptome profiling of its alimentary canal after feeding on wheat plants. *BMC Genomics* 2013:14, 560. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-560.
- [123] Li H, Jiang W, Zhang Z, Xing Y, Li F. Transcriptome analysis and screening for potential target genes for RNAi-mediated pest control of the beet armyworm, *Spodop‐ tera exigua*. *PLoS One*. 2013:8(6), e65931. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0065931.
- [124] Li X, Zhang M, Zhang H. RNA interference of four genes in adult Bactrocera dorsalis by feeding their dsRNAs. *PLoS One* 2011:6, e17788. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone. 0017788.
- [125] Hunter W, Ellis J, Vanengelsdorp D, Hayes J, Westervelt D, Glick E et al. Large-scale field application of RNAi technology reducing Israeli acute paralysis virus disease in honey bees (Apis mellifera, Hymenoptera: Apidae). *PLoS Pathogens* 2010:6, e1001160. DOI: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001160.
- [126] Hunter WB, Glick E, Paldi N, Bextine BR. Advances in RNA interference: dsRNA treatment in trees and grapevines for insect pest population suppression. *Southwest‐ ern Entomologist* 2012:37, 85–87. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3958/059.037.0110.
- [127] Gan D, Zhang J, Jiang H, Jiang T, Zhu S, Cheng B. Bacterially expressed dsRNA pro‐ tects maize against SCMV infection. *Plant Cell Reports* 2010:29, 1261–1268. DOI: 10.1007/s00299-010-0911-z.
- [128] Kurreck J. RNA interference: From basic research to therapeutic applications. *Ange‐ wandte Chemie (International Edition in English)* 2009:48, 1378–1398. DOI: 10.1002/anie. 200802092.
- [129] Zhang X, Zhang J, Zhu KY. Chitosan/double-stranded RNA nanoparticle-mediated RNA interference to silence chitin synthase genes through larval feeding in the African malaria mosquito (Anopheles gambiae). *Insect Molecular Biology* 2010:19(5), 683– 693. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2583.2010.01029.x.
- [130] Gong LA, Yang XQ, Zhang BL, Zhong GH, Hu MY. Silencing of Rieske iron-sulfur protein using chemically synthesised siRNA as a potential biopesticide against *Plu‐ tella xylostella*. *Pest Management Science* 2011:67, 514–520. DOI: 10.1002/ps.2086.
- [131] Zhu F, Xu JJ, Palli R, Ferguson J, Palli SR. Ingested RNA interference for managing the populations of the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*. *Pest Manage‐ ment Science* 2011:67, 175–182. DOI: 10.1002/ps.2048.
- [132] Obbard DJ, Gordon KH, Buck AH, Jiggins FM. The evolution of RNAi as a defence against viruses and transposable elements. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Soci‐ ety B: Biological Sciences* 2009:364(1513), 99–115. DOI: 10.1098/rstb.2008.0168.
- [133] Saleh MC, Tassetto M, van Rij RP, Goic B, Gausson V, Berry B, Jacquier C, Antoniew‐ ski C, Andino R. Antiviral immunity in Drosophila requires systemic RNA interfer‐ ence spread. *Nature* 2009:458, 346–351. DOI: 10.1038/nature07712.
- [134] Ulvila J, Parikka M, Kleino A, Sormunen R, Ezekowitz RA, Kocks C, Ramet M. Dou‐ ble-stranded RNA is internalized by scavenger receptor-mediated endocytosis in Drosophila S2 cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2006:281, 14370–14375. DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M513868200.
- [135] Saleh MC, van Rij RP, Hekele A, Gillis A, Foley E, O'Farrell PH et al. The endocytic pathway mediates cell entry of dsRNA to induce RNAi silencing. *Nature Cell Biology* 2006:8, 793–802. DOI: 10.1038/ncbl439.
- [136] Campbell CL, Keene KM, Brackney DE, Olson KE, Blair CD, Wilusz J et al. Aedes aegypti uses RNA interference in defense against Sindbis virus infection. *BMC Microbi‐ ology* 2008:8(1), 47. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2180-8-47.
- [137] Aliyari R, Wu Q, Li WH, Wang XH, Li F, Green LD, et al. Mechanism of induction and suppression of antiviral immunity directed by virus-derived small RNA sin Drosophila. *Cell Host & Microbe* 2008:4, 387–397. DOI:10.1016/j.chom.2008.09.001.
- [138] Li H, Li WX, Ding SW. Induction and suppression of RNA silencing by an animal virus. *Science* 2002:296, 1319–1321. DOI:10.1126/science.1070948.
- [139] Nayak A, Berry B, Tassetto M, Kunitomi M, Acevedo A, Deng C, et al. Cricket paralysis virus antagonizes Argonaute2 to modulate antiviral defensein Drosophila. *Nature Structural and Molecular Biology* 2010:17, 547–554. DOI:10.1038/nsmb.1810.
- [140] Wang XH, Aliyari R, Li WX, Li HW, Kim K, Carthew R, et al. RNA interference di‐ rects innate immunity against viruses in adult Drosophila. *Science* 2006:312, 452–454. DOI:10.1126/science.1125694.
- [141] Goic B, Vodovar N, Mondotte JA, Monot C, Frangeul L, Blanc H, et al. RNA-mediated interference and reverse transcription control the persistence of RNAviruses in the insect model Drosophila. *Nature Immunology* 2013:14, 396–403. DOI:10.1038/ni.2542.
- [142] Swevers L, Broeck JV, Smagghe G. The possible impact of persistent virus infection on the function of the RNAi machinery in insects: A hypothesis. *Frontiers in Physiolo‐ gy* 2013:4, 319. DOI: 10.3389/fphys.2013.00319.
- [143] Terenius O, Papanicolaou A, Garbutt JS, Eleftherianos I, Huvenne H, Kanginakudru S, et al. RNA interference in Lepidoptera: An overview of successful and unsuccessful studies and implications for experimental design. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 2011:57, 231– 245. DOI:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2010.11.006.
- [144] Blair CD. Mosquito RNAi is the major innate immune pathway controlling arbovirus infection and transmission. *Future Microbiology* 2011:6, 267–277. DOI: 10.2217/fmb. 11.11.
- [145] Schnettler E, Sterken MG, Leung JY, Metz SW, Geertsema C, Goldbach RW et al. Noncoding flavivirus RNA displays RNA interference suppressor activity in insect and mammalian cells. *Journal of Virology* 2012:86, 13486–13500. DOI: 10.1128/JVI.01104-12.
- [146] Winston WM, Molodowitch C, Hunter CP. Systemic RNAi in C. elegans requires the putative transmembrane protein SID-1. *Science* 2002:295, 2456–2459. DOI:10.1126/ science.1068836.
- [147] Barnard AC, Nijhof AM, Fick W, Stutzer C, Maritz-Olivier C. RNAi in arthropods: Insight into the machinery and applications for understanding the pathogen-vector interface. *Genes* 2012:3(4), 702–741. DOI:10.3390/genes3040702.
- [148] Aronstein K, Pankiw T, Saldivar E. SID-1 is implicated in systemic gene silencing in the honey bee. *Journal of Apicultural Research* 2006:45, 20–24. DOI:10.3896/IBRA. 1.45.1.05.
- [149] Tomoyasu Y, Miller SC, Tomita S, Schoppmeier M, Grossmann D, Bucher G. Explor‐ ing systemic RNA interference in insects: A genome-wide survey for RNAi genes in Tribolium. *Genome Biology* 2008:9(1), R10. DOI: 10.1186/gb-2008-9-1-r10.
- [150] Boisson B, Jacques JC, Choumet V, Martin E, Xu JN, Vernick K et al. Gene silencing in mosquito salivary glands by RNAi. *FEBS Letters* 2006:580, 1988–1992. DOI: http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2006.02.069.
- [151] Volz J, Muller HM, Zdanowicz A, Kafatos FC, Osta MA. A genetic module regulates the melanization response of Anopheles to Plasmodium. *Cellular Microbiology* 2006:8, 1392–1405. DOI: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00718.x.
- [152] Zhu JS, Chen L, Raikhel AS. Posttranscriptional control of the competence factor beta FTZ-F1 by juvenile hormone in the mosquito Aedes aegypti. *Proceedings of the Nation‐ al Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2003:100, 13338–13343. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2234416100.
- [153] Scott JG, Michel K, Bartholomay LC, Siegfried BD, Hunter WB, Smagghe G et al. Towards the elements of successful insect RNAi. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 2013:59(12), 1212–1221. DOI: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2013.08.014.
- [154] Kumar M, Gupta GP, Rajam MV. Silencing of acetylcholinesterase gene of Helicoverpa armigera by siRNA affects larval growth and its life cycle. *Journal of Insect Physiol‐ ogy* 2009:55, 273–278. DOI: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2008.12.005.
- [155] Wu H, Ma H, Ye C, Ramirez D, Chen S, Montoya J et al. Improved siRNA/shRNA functionality by mismatched duplex. *PLoS One* 2011:6(12), e28580. DOI: 10.1371/jour‐ nal.pone.0028580.
- [156] Yu N, Christiaens O, Liu J, Niu J, Cappelle K, Caccia S et al. Delivery of dsRNA for RNAi in insects: An overview and future directions. *Insect Science* 2013:20(1), 4–14. DOI: 10.1111/j.1744-7917.2012.01534.x.
- [157] Shakesby AJ, Wallace IS, Isaacs HV, Pritchard J, Roberts DM, Douglas AE. A waterspecific aquaporin involved in aphid osmoregulation. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecu‐ lar Biology* 2009:39, 1–10. DOI: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2008.08.008.
- [158] Arimatsu Y, Kotani E, Sugimura Y, Furusawa T. Molecular characterization of a cDNA encoding extracellular dsRNase and its expression in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 2007:37, 176–183. DOI:10.1016/j.ibmb. 2006.11.004.
- [159] Garbutt JS, Belles X, Richards EH, Reynolds SE. Persistence of double stranded RNA in insect hemolymph as a potential determiner of RNA interference success: Evidence from Manduca sexta and Blattella germanica. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 2013:59, 171–178. DOI: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.05.013.
- [160] Rinkevich FD, Scott JG. Limitations of RNAi of a6 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits for assessing the in vivo sensitivity to spinosad. *Insect Science* 2013:20, 101– 108. DOI: 10.1111/j.1744-7917.2012.01523.x.
- [161] Upadhyay SK, Dixit S, Sharma S, Singh H, Kumar J, Verma PC, Chandrashekar K. siRNA machinery in whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*). Plos ONE 2013: 8(12), e83692. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083692.
- [162] Lundgren JG, Duan JJ. RNAi-based insecticidal crops: Potential effects on non-target5 species. Bioscience 2013:63(8), 657–665. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1525/bio. 2013.63.8.8.
- [163] Jackson AL, Linsley PS. Noise amidst the silence: Off-target effects of siRNAs? Trends in Genetics 2004:20(11), 521–524. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tig. 2004.08.006.

