

# We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

**4,800**

Open access books available

**122,000**

International authors and editors

**135M**

Downloads

Our authors are among the

**154**

Countries delivered to

**TOP 1%**

most cited scientists

**12.2%**

Contributors from top 500 universities



**WEB OF SCIENCE™**

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index  
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?  
Contact [book.department@intechopen.com](mailto:book.department@intechopen.com)

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.

For more information visit [www.intechopen.com](http://www.intechopen.com)



---

# Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks – An Introduction to the Manfred Project

---

Robert Jandl, Gillian Cerbu, Marc Hanewinkel,  
Fred Berger, Giacomo Gerosa and Silvio Schöler

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/56267>

---

## 1. Introduction

The challenges posed by climate change for forests in the Alpine Space are considerable. Climatologists have identified mountains as types of ecosystems that are facing an above-average warming trend. However, it is not entirely clear why mountains are warming up quicker than other landscapes, and whether the the past trend will continue in the future [1]. The currently expected warming is at least 3 to 4°C in the next century and thus far above the warming that is considered to be controlable by mankind [2, 3]. Despite the uncertainty of the future development several consequences of climate change on mountains are well understood. The duration of snow cover is expected to decrease, the water discharge regime will be altered, and the frequency of rock falls may increase, the distribution of plant species will change, and invasive species, such as pests and pathogens, will change the environmental setting of forestry. Trees are especially vulnerable when they are growing at the margins or even outside of their natural range [4, 5].

The human demands on the utilisation of mountain forests are manifold. A harmonized approach is required for the settlement of conflicting demands on land management [6, 7]. When maintaining the concept of a multi-purpose forestry the provision of ecosystem services need to be harmonized solutions from both demand and supplier side. Concepts for forest management may be insufficient when they are focused solely on timber production. An increasingly demanding society asks for biodiversity and scenic beauty, and is heavily dependent on the provision of drinking water and the protective function of mountain forests, even in regions far away from mountains [8, 9].




**Figure 1.** Geographical distribution of the partner institutions of the Interreg project “MANFRED - Management strategies to adapt Alpine Space forests to climate change risks”.

The forests have a dual function within climate change. They are contributing to the *mitigation* of climate change, and their *adaptation* to climate change effects ensures their sustainable development under future site conditions. When the conservation of the huge carbon stock of forests in the Alpine Space is on the agenda, it is necessary to enhance the resilience of forests. Many forests, particularly in mountains, are going to benefit from climate change effects in the near future. Their productivity is currently limited by the duration of the growing season, which is expected to be elongated in the future. However, climate change is expected to manifest itself in many ways and the increase in the temperature is only one aspect. The rising CO<sub>2</sub> level affects the growth rate as well and altered temporal patterns of drought, mortality, and disturbances are to be taken into account. Moreover, the nitrogen supply has been increasing in the last decades and may in some regions exceed the demand of forests [10, 11]. Superimposed on these effects are potentially adverse effects such as extreme meteorological events, ecosystem disturbances, air pollution, and an increasing pressure from pests and pathogens on forests.

The Interreg project “MANFRED - Management strategies to adapt Alpine Space forests to climate change risks” is a collaboration between numerous institutions, comprising researchers, stakeholders, and forest practitioners who are engaged in the Alps (Figure 1). The intention of MANFRED is creating a mutual understanding of the recognized challenges due to global change, and elaborating guidelines for forest management in a joint effort.

Efforts of responding to climate change are embedded in a particular political setting. There are many processes, conventions on different geographical scales, from regional to international policies. The political framework is described in one module of the project [12]



**Figure 2.** Scenarios of the global surface warming according to different emission rates of greenhouse gases; Source: IPCC Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report Fig. 3-2.

## 2. Biotic and abiotic drivers call for an adaptation of forest management

The Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC has provided global scenarios for the warming trend under different emission scenarios (Figure 2). In the Fifth Assessment Report even more comprehensive scenarios are developed that do not only include changes in radiative forcings, but also the implications of various responses of mankind in terms of technology, mitigation, and adaptation [13]. The IPCC uses several climate models. They are yielding widely different results, and come in a rough spatial resolution. In order to include the differences between the models, often ensembles of simulation results are used. For a regionally valid estimate on the expected climate change, the large-scale scenarios need to be regionalized.

The climate scenarios give allow to interpretations, which tree species can best cope with future conditions. Trees will either go extinct, or migrate to sites where their requirements are fulfilled, or adapt to climate change. The extent of climate change and the expected future distribution of trees has been assessed in two comprehensive modules of the Manfred project [14, 15]. The analysis included the prediction of the potential occurrence of a large number of tree species by different statistical models and several climate scenarios. For the unique effort field data from national forest inventories of countries within the Alpine Space were made available by the participating institutions (Figure 1).

The successful regeneration of trees depends to a large extent on the average climatic conditions. However, extreme events can reset the success of tree seedlings. Extreme events come in many manifestations. Despite the uncertainty of the future climate there is sufficient evidence that they will be more common in the future [16]. The IPCC has even published a special report on extreme events [17]. Particularly harmful situations for tree seedlings are created by frosts in late spring and early summer, and by elongated drought events. Other types of extreme events are forest fires, that are triggered by increasingly dry conditions. Due

to the history of active fire suppression the fire risk does not receive the full attention in the Alps. Nevertheless, future climate conditions may well call for an improved alerting system for the prevention of devastating forest fires [18]. These topics are covered in several chapters in this book [19, 20]. The knowledge of the past is instrumental when learning lessons for the future. One of the outcomes of the Manfred project is an online-database of documented effects of extreme events in the Alpine Space [21]. It is our true hope that the database can be kept alive beyond the lifetime of the research project.

A particularly difficult issue is the topic whether storm damages are becoming more problematic in the Alpine Space. There is sufficient evidence that European forests have been hit by a series of major storms in only a few years [22–25]. However, it is scientifically not established whether this trend of damages continues or even attenuates in the future, or whether the devastating storms were only a coincidence. Judging extreme events only by the local effects is clearly insufficient. It requires the geophysical expertise to evaluate whether a particular event is caused by global changes of the disturbance regime or whether it is caused by severe local conditions with a low probability. To further complicate the case it has been shown that the recorded damages due to storms are not only driven by climate change. Instead, the practised form of forest management such as the maturing of increasingly dense forests has created types of forest stands that are quite vulnerable to storm damages [26]. In Germany an exceptional dataset on a storm event has been collected. The findings could not be extended to the entire Alpine Space due to the relevance of regional factors for the vulnerability of storms. The regionally valid results are elaborated for a particular region [27, 28].

Biotic threats to forests are also triggered by global change. Climatic change clearly modifies the potential habitat of insects and pathogens. Warmer winters and longer growing seasons enable insects extending their natural occurrence into regions where they have not been encountered before, and to develop more generations in regions where they are already present. Infamous examples are the gradation of mountain pine beetles in the Canadian forests with unfavorable effects on the Canadian greenhouse-gas emission budget and the increased virulence of fungi [29, 30]. The second aspect of global change is the increase in international, even global, commerce. Unintentionally, pests and pathogens are distributed globally. Sometimes, these invaders encounter at least temporarily favorable conditions and can cause havoc on resident tree species [31–33]. – Within the Manfred project the propagation of potentially problematic pests and pathogens was analyzed [34]. In addition, a transnational web-based alerting system was created. The intention is providing sufficient information that regionally arising entomological challenges are identified early on so that sufficient time for appropriate counter measures is available. In recognition of the limited experience of local foresters with emerging pests and pathogens the online information is supported with a handbook specifically designed for field use in order to offer instantaneously available information on indicators of pest and pathogen propagations.

Air pollution research has a long tradition in Central Europe. Although the attention has shifted towards other topics, ozone damages to forests are still problematic [35–37]. The emission of oxidating precursors favors the formation of ozone and high rates of incoming solar radiation are potentially a problem in mountain forests, especially in the southern part of the Alps the risk of increasing ozone damages is increasing. The topic is covered within the Manfred project in focused research effort [38–40].



### 3. Forest engineering approaches – Adaptive forest management

Eco-engineering methods are invoked when the protective function of forests is jeopardized. Climate change can affect forests in many ways so that they are more vulnerable to rockfall, avalanches, and landslides. This is a serious threat, given that the protection function is by far the most important ecosystem service of mountain forests [41]. For the development of appropriate counter measures the potential threat to these ecosystem services needs to be mapped and evaluated. Efficient methods are described and applied [42, 43]. The developed methods meet the challenge of being easily applicable in order to be useful even when extensive data are not available.

Another engineering approach is the utilization of the genetic adaptive capacity of trees. Many species are successfully growing on a wide geographical range and it is left to the expertise of forest geneticists to identify the most useful provenances. With the knowledge of the anticipated future site conditions it is possible to establish new forests. The full use of existing long-term provenance trials that have been established globally as an adaptive measure is pursued since a long time and promising populations have been identified for several tree species [44, 45]. Within the Manfred project such provenance trials have been evaluated for *Picea abies* [46, 47]. It has been shown that the potential productivity of forests can be greatly increased when choosing the appropriate provenance. Including these informations on the genetic amplitude of tree species can help to mitigate climate-induced productivity losses and can reduce the risk for the chosen tree species in their lifetime of presumably accentuated changes in the local climatic conditions.

A straightforward engineering tool is the use of simulation models for forest growth. These models are popular because forest management decisions can be instantaneously tested. In traditional forest growth models the focus is usually on the temporal trend of the stem volume as dependent on different silvicultural practices. For a more comprehensive evaluation of different forest treatments a growth model has been combined with a soil carbon model. Hence, it is possible to extend the evaluation from timber production to the carbon sequestration potential of forests [48].

In four transnational case study regions, comprising 6 countries and 15 partners of the consortium, an analysis of land use, land-use change, and the demand and supply of ecosystem services was assessed. Local authorities and forest owners played an important role in these projects in recognition that their experience harbors a wealth of information, and yields valuable observations on early indicators of climate change effects. The study sites were chosen such that diverse situations for practical forestry are covered, such as regions with a peculiar threat of biotic and abiotic damages of forests, regions where the protective function of forests may suffer from climate change effects, and regions with a high risk of adverse effects of air pollution [40, 43, 49–51]. The different aspects should give a broad overview on the challenges for forestry under future conditions and the proposed solutions are intended to provide a range of strategies serving as reference for other regions as well.

The final chapter develops strategies for adaptive forest management, based on the experiences derived from the case study areas and based on previous concepts [52–58]. The concepts are intended to build bridges between research and practical forest management. The trans-national collaboration ensures that regional experiences are brought to the

attention of a wider community. The suggested strategies of adaptive forest management are conceptually not necessarily new. Like in earlier periods where forests were under pressure due to acidic precipitation and elevated rates of nitrogen deposition, the concepts aim at increasing the stability of the forests in order to distribute potential risks within a forest stand among different tree species and cohorts of age groups. The suggested measures are rather conservative with hindsight to the high uncertainty of the future climatic conditions. Nevertheless, we want to emphasize that the suggested concepts only can be successfully implemented when damages of forests due to high population densities of ungulates are kept at an acceptable level. Presently, damages caused by deer are inhibiting the establishment of species-rich forest ecosystems.

In recognition of the limited distribution of textbooks among forest practitioners, additional avenues for education and dissemination were followed. The generated experience has been distributed in guidelines and handbooks, and was brought forward in roundtables with different stakeholder groups. It was recognized that forests in the Alpine Space always have to be seen in the context of the regional land-use system, embedded in agriculture and recreational demands for the landscape. There is no generally valid concept of forest management. Driven by land-management policies, forestry is an integral part of the green economy where land owners have both a lot freedom and responsibility for the general public in their management decisions. The increasing demand for renewable energy will have an impact on the form of silviculture. Nevertheless, forests are satisfying many needs of humans for goods and services in a sustainable way, and are also safeguarding the conservation of habitats for plants and animals.

## Acknowledgements

This chapter is an outcome of the Interreg project Manfred, conducted within the Alpine Space programme.

## Author details

Robert Jandl<sup>1</sup>, Gillian Cerbu<sup>2</sup>,  
Marc Hanewinkel<sup>3</sup>, Fred Berger<sup>4</sup>,  
Giacomo Gerosa<sup>5</sup> and Silvio Schüller<sup>1</sup>

1 Forest Research Center (BFW), Vienna, Austria

2 Forstliche Versuchs- und Forschungsanstalt Baden Württemberg (FVA), Freiburg i. Breisgau, Germany

3 Eidgenössische Forschungsanstalt für Wald, Schnee und Landschaft (WSL), Birmensdorf, Switzerland

4 National Research Institute of Science and Technology for Environment and Agriculture (IRSTEA), Grenoble, France

5 DMF, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Brescia, Italy

## References

- [1] J. Arblaster, G. Brasseur, J.H. Christensen, K.L. Denman, D.W. Fahey, P. Forster, E. Jansen, P.D. Jones, R. Knutti, H. Le Treut, P. Lemke, G. Meehl, P. Mote, D. Randall, D.A. Stone, K.E. Trenberth, J. Willebrand, and F. Zwiers. *Summary for Policymakers of the Synthesis Report of the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report – Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2007.
- [2] Malte Meinshausen, Nicolai Meinshausen, William Hare, Sarah C. B. Raper, Katja Frieler, Reto Knutti, David J. Frame, and Myles R. Allen. Greenhouse-gas emission targets for limiting global warming to 2°C. *Nature*, 458:1158–1162, 2009.
- [3] Joeri Rogelj, Julia Nabel, Claudine Chen, William Hare, Kathleen Markmann, Malte Meinshausen, Michiel Schaeffer, Kirsten Macey, and Niklas Höhne. Copenhagen accord pledges are paltry. *Nature*, 464:1126–1128, 2010.
- [4] Joseph Alcamo, José M. Moreno, Bela Nováky, Marco Bindi, Roman Corobov, Robert J.N. Devoy, Christos Giannakopoulos, Eric Martin, Jørgen E. Olesen, and Anatoly Shvidenko. *Europe. Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, chapter 12, pages 541–580. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2007.
- [5] Lorenzo Marini, Matthew P. Ayres, Andrea Battisti, and Massimo Faccoli. Climate affects severity and altitudinal distribution of outbreaks in an eruptive bark beetle. *Climatic Change*, 115:327–341, 2012.
- [6] Dagmar Schröter, Wolfgang Cramer, Rik Leemans, I. Colin Prentice, Miguel B. Araújo, Nigel W. Arnell, Alberte Bondeau, Harald Bugmann, Timothy R. Carter, Carlos A. Gracia, Anne C. de la Vega-Leinert, Markus Erhard, Frank Ewert, Margaret Glendining, Joanna I. House, Susanna Kankaanpää, Richard J. T. Klein, Sandra Lavorel, Marcus Lindner, Marc J. Metzger, Jeannette Meyer, Timothy D. Mitchell, Isabelle Reginster, Mark Rounsevell, Santi Sabaté, Stephen Sitch, Ben Smith, Jo Smith, Pete Smith, Martin T. Sykes, Kirsten Thonicke, Wilfried Thuiller, Gill Tuck, Sönke Zaehle, and Bärbel Zierl. Ecosystem service supply and vulnerability to global change in europe. *Science*, 310:1333–1337, 2005.
- [7] Erik Nelson, Guillermo Mendoza, James Regetz, Stephen Polasky, Heather Tallis, D Richard Cameron, Kai MA Chan, Gretchen C Daily, Joshua Goldstein, Peter M Kareiva, Eric Lonsdorf, Robin Naidoo, Taylor H Ricketts, and M Rebecca Shaw. Modeling multiple ecosystem services, biodiversity conservation, commodity production, and tradeoffs at landscape scales. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 7(1):4–11, 2009.
- [8] Christian Körner and Masahiko Ohsawa. Mountain systems. In *Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Current State and Trends*, chapter 24, pages 681–716. Island Press, Washington DC, 2007.
- [9] Anatoly Shvidenko, Charles Victor Barber, and Reidar Persson. *Forest and Woodland Systems*, chapter 21, pages 585–621. Island Press, Washington DC, 2007.



- [10] Jan Willem Erisman. The european nitrogen problem in a global perspective. In *The European Nitrogen Assessment*, chapter 2, pages 9–31. Cambridge Univ Press, 2011.
- [11] Robert Jandl, Stefan Smidt, Franz Mutsch, Alfred Fürst, Harald Zechmeister, Heidi Bauer, and Thomas Dirnböck. Acidification and nitrogen eutrophication of Austrian forest soils. *Applied Environmental Soil Science*, 2012.
- [12] Luca Cetara and Federico Mannoni. *Developing a background for Forest Adaptation Strategies in the Alps: a perspective for policy building*, chapter 2, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [13] Richard H. Moss, Jae A. Edmonds, Kathy A. Hibbard, Martin R. Manning, Steven K. Rose, Detlef P. van Vuuren, Timothy R. Carter, Seita Emori, Mikiko Kainuma, Tom Kram, Gerald A. Meehl, John F. B. Mitchell, Nebojsa Nakicenovic, Keywan Riahi, Steven J. Smith, Ronald J. Stouffer, Allison M. Thomson, John P. Weyant, and Thomas J. Wilbanks. The next generation of scenarios for climate change research and assessment. *Nature*, 463:747–756, 2010.
- [14] Niklaus E. Zimmermann, Ernst Gebetsroither, Johannes Züger, Dirk Schmatz, and Achilleas Psomas. *Future Climate of the European Alps*, chapter 3, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [15] Niklaus E. Zimmermann, Robert Jandl, Mark Hanewinkel, Georges Kunstler, Christian Kölling, Patrizia Gasparini, Andrej Breznikar, Eliane S. Meier, Signe Normand, Ulrich Ulmer, Thomas Gschwandtner, Holger Veit, Maria Naumann, Wolfgang Falk, Karl Mellert, Maria Rizzo, Mitja Skudnik, and Achilleas Psomas. *Potential future ranges of tree species in the Alps*, chapter 4, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [16] Stefan Rahmstorf and Dim Coumou. Increase of extreme events in a warming world. *PNAS*, 108(44):17905–17909, 2011.
- [17] IPCC. *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge Univ Press, United Kingdom, 2011.
- [18] Sara Marañón Jiménez. *Efecto del Manejo de la Madera Quemada Después de un Incendio sobre el Ciclo del Carbono y Nutrientes en un Ecosistema de Montaña Mediterránea*. PhD thesis, Universidad de Granada, 2011.
- [19] Bruna Comini, Elena Gagliazzi, and Giampaolo Cocca. *Abiotic Stressors: Fire Hazard and Risk*, chapter 5, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [20] Ernst Gebetsroither and Johann Züger. *Drought hazard estimations according to climate change in the Alpine area*, chapter 11, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.

- [21] Stefano Oliveri, Marco Pregolato, and Giacomo Gerosa. *A new webGIS platform dedicated to forest extreme events in the Alps: aims and functionalities*, chapter 10, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [22] M. G. Donat, D. Renggli, S. Wild, L. V. Alexander, G. C. Leckebusch, and U. Ulbrich. Reanalysis suggests long-term upward trends in European storminess since 1871. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38:L14703, 2011.
- [23] Barry Gardiner, Kristina Blennow, Jean-Michel Carnus, Peter Fleischer, Frederik Ingemarson, Guy Landmann, Marcus Lindner, Mariella Marzano, Bruce Nicoll, Christophe Orazio, Jean-Luc Peyron, Marie-Pierre Reviron, Mart-Jan Schelhaas, Andreas Schuck, Michaela Spielmann, and Tilo Usbeck. *Destructive Storms in European Forests: Past and Forthcoming Impacts*. EFI, Joensuu, 2010.
- [24] U. Ulbrich, G. C. Leckebusch, and J. G. Pinto. Extra-tropical cyclones in the present and future climate: a review. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 96:117–131, 2009.
- [25] Helga Van Miegroet and Mats Olsson. *Ecosystem disturbance and soil organic carbon - a review*, chapter 5, pages 85–117. Wiley-Blackwell, 2011.
- [26] Rupert Seidl, Mart-Jan Schelhaas, and Manfred J Lexer. Unraveling the drivers of intensifying forest disturbance regimes in Europe. *Global Change Biology*, 17(9):2842–2852, 2011.
- [27] Matthias Schmidt, Marc Hanewinkel, Gerald Kändler, Edgar Kublin, and Ulrich Kohnle. An inventory-based approach for modeling single-tree storm damage – experiences with the winter storm of 1999 in southwestern Germany. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 40:1636–1652, 2010.
- [28] Bin You and Mitja Skudnik. *Abiotic stressor: storms*, chapter 7, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [29] W. A. Kurz, C. C. Dymond, G. Stinson, G. J. Rampley, E. T. Neilson, A. L. Carroll, T. Ebata, and L. Safranyik. Mountain pine beetle and forest carbon feedback to climate change. *Nature*, 452:987–990, 2008.
- [30] Alan C. Gange, Edward G. Gange, Aqilah B. Mohammad, and Lynne Boddy. Host shifts in fungi caused by climate change? *Fungal Ecology*, 4(2):184–190, 2011.
- [31] Dana Blumenthal, Charles E. Mitchell, Petr Pyšek, and Voytěk Jarošík. Synergy between pathogen release and resource availability in plant invasion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(19):7899–7904, 2009.
- [32] Bethany A. Bradley, Dana M. Blumenthal, David S. Wilcove, and Lewis H. Ziska. Predicting plant invasions in an era of global change. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 25(5):310 – 318, 2010.
- [33] Christian Tomiczek and Ute Hoyer-Tomiczek. Der Asiatische Laubholzbockkäfer (*Anoplophora glabripennis*) und der Citrusbockkäfer (*Anoplophora chinensis*) in Europa - ein Situationsbericht. *Forstschutz Aktuell*, 38:2–5, 2007.

- [34] Holger Griess, Holger Veit, and Ralf Petercord. *Risk assessment for biotic pests under prospective climate conditions*, chapter 5, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [35] R Fischer and M Lortenz. Forest condition in Europe. Work Report of the Institute for World Forestry 1, ICP Forests and FutMon, Hamburg, 2011.
- [36] S.B. McLaughlin, P.A. Layton, M.B. Adams, N.T. Edwards, P.J. Hanson, E.G. O'Neill, and W.K. Roy. Growth responses of 53 open-pollinated loblolly pine families to ozone and acid rain. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 23:247–257, 1994.
- [37] Gerhard Wieser and Michael Tausz. *Trees at their upper limit - Treelife limitation at the Alpine Timberline*, volume 5 of *Plant Ecophysiology*. Springer, 2007.
- [38] Angelo Finco, Stefano Oliveri, Giacomo Gerosa, Wilfried Winiwarter, Johann Züger, and Ernst Gebetsroither. *Assessing present and future ozone hazards to natural forests in the Alpine area. Comparison of a wide scale mapping technique with local passive sampler measurements*, chapter 9, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [39] Giacomo Gerosa, Angelo Finco, Antonio Negri, Stefano Oliveri, and Marco Pregolato. *Ozone fluxes to a larch forest ecosystem at the timberline in the Italian Alps*, chapter 8, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [40] Giacomo Gerosa, Angelo Finco, Stefano Oliveri, Riccardo Marzuoli, Alessandro Ducoli, Giambattista Sangalli, Bruna Comini, Paolo Nastasio, Giampaolo Cocca, and Elena Gagliazzi. *Case study Valle Camonica and the Adamello Park*, chapter 18, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [41] Martin F Price, Georg Gratzer, Lalisa Alemayehu Duguma, Thomas Kohler, Daniel Maselli, and Rosalaura Romeo. Mountain forests in a changing world - realizing values, addressing challenges. Technical report, FAO/MPS and SDC, Rome, 2011.
- [42] Fred Berger, Franck Bourrier, Luuk Dorren, Charly Kleemayr, Bernhard Maier, Spela Planinsek, Christophe Bigot, Franck Bourrier, Oliver Jancke, David Toe, and Gillian Cerbu. *Eco-engineering and protection forests against rockfalls and snow avalanches*, chapter 12, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [43] Laurent Borgniet, David Toe, Frédéric Berger, Marta Galvagno, Cinzia Panigada, Roberto Colombo, Umberto Morra di Cella, Simone Gottardelli, Ivan Rollet, Mario Negro, Flavio Vertui, and Cédric Fermont. *Monitoring climatic change impacts on protection forests in Aosta Valley (Italy) and in Drôme (France) using medium and high resolution remote sensing and mateloscopes plots*, chapter 16, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [44] Csaba Matyas. Climatic adaptation of trees: rediscovering provenance tests. *Euphytica*, 92:45–54, 1996.

- [45] T. Wang, A Hamann, Y Yanchuk, GA O'Neill, and SN Aiken. Use of response functions in selecting lodgepole pine populations for future climates. *Global Change Biology*, 12:2404–2416, 2006.
- [46] Stefan Kapeller, Manfred J. Lexer, Thomas Geburek, Johann Hiebl, and Silvio Schueler. Intraspecific variation in climate response of norway spruce in the eastern alpine range: Selecting appropriate provenances for future climate. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 271:46–57, 2012.
- [47] Stefan Kapeller, Silvio Schueler, Gerhard Huber, Gregor Božič, Thomas Wohlgemuth, and Raphael Klumpp. *Provenance trials in Alpine range - review and perspectives for applications in climate change*, chapter 14, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [48] Klaus Dolschak, Robert Jandl, and Thomas Ledermann. *Coupling a forest growth model with a soil carbon simulator*, chapter 13, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [49] Holger Veit, Bernhard Maier, Holger Griess, and Bin You. *Case study Oberschwaben / Allgäu / Vorarlberg – Risk Assessment of Abiotic and Biotic Hazards*, chapter 19, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [50] Robert Jandl, Gillian Cerbu, Marc Hanewinkel, Fred Berger, Giacomo Gerosa, and Silvio Schüler. *Management strategies to adapt Alpine Space forests to climate change risks - an introduction to the Manfred project*, chapter 1, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [51] Robert Jandl, Andrej Breznikar, Marko Lekše, Christian Tomiczek, Silvio Schüler, Klaus Dolschak, and Hans Zöscher. *Case Study Carinthia / Slovenia – Productive Forests Affected by Climate Change*, chapter 17, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [52] Peter Brang, Harald Bugmann, Anton Bürgi, Urs Mühlethaler, Andreas Rigling, and Raphael Schwitter. Klimawandel als waldbauliche Herausforderung. *Schweizerische Zeitschrift für das Forstwesen*, 159:362–373, 2008.
- [53] Peter Brang, Marc Hanewinkel, Robert Jandl, Andrej Breznikar, and Bernhard Maier. *Managing Alpine Forests in a Changing Climate*, chapter 20, In: Management Strategies to Adapt Alpine Space Forests to Climate Change Risks. InTech, 2013.
- [54] M Frehner, B Wasser, and R Schwitter. *Nachhaltigkeit und Erfolgskontrolle im Schutzwald. Wegleitung für Pflegemassnahmen in Wäldern mit Schutzfunktion, Vollzug Umwelt*. Bundesamt für Umwelt, Wald und Landschaft, Bern, Schweiz, 2005.
- [55] Robert Jandl, Lars Vesterdal, Mats Olsson, Oliver Bens, Franz Badeck, and Joachim Rock. Carbon sequestration and forest management. *CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources*, 2(017):1–16, 2007.

- [56] Robert Jandl. Adapting mountain forest management to climate change. In Axel Borsdorf, J Stötter, and E Veulliet, editors, *Managing Alpine Future II*, volume 4 of *IGF-Forschungsberichte*, pages 193–202. Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 2011.
- [57] M. Lindner, Tim Green, C.W. Woodall, C.H. Perry, G.-J. Nabuurs, and M.J. Sanz. Impacts of forest ecosystem management on greenhouse gas budgets. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 256(3):191 – 193, 2008. Impacts of forest ecosystem management on greenhouse gas budgets.
- [58] Andreas Rigling, Peter Brang, Harald Bugmann, Norbert Kräuchi, Thomas Wohlgemuth, and Niklaus Zimmermann. Klimawandel als Prüfstein für die Waldbewirtschaftung. *Schweizerische Zeitschrift für das Forstwesen*, 159:316–325, 2008.