We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

4,800
Open access books available

122,000
International authors and editors

135M
Downloads

Our authors are among the

154
Countries delivered to

TOP 1%
most cited scientists

12.2%
Contributors from top 500 universities

CLARIVATE ANALYTICS BOOK CITATION INDEX INDEXED

WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.
For more information visit www.intechopen.com

Chapter 13

Regulation of Mammary Development as It Relates to Changes in Milk Production Efficiency

Emma Wall and Thomas McFadden

Additional information is available at the end of the chapter

http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/50777

1. Introduction

1.1. Mammary development and function

The development and function of the mammary gland occurs through a cyclical process that changes during the physiological states of pregnancy, lactation, and involution. During pregnancy, maternal hormones in the circulation are responsible for stimulation of mammary gland development and this ensures a sufficient number of mammary cells to produce milk during lactation. Immediately prior to parturition, lactogenic hormones stimulate differentiation of mammary cells, and they adopt a secretory phenotype. In addition to the influence of hormones, the mammary gland itself is thought to be involved in the regulation of mammary cell proliferation, differentiation, and response to hormones. Ultimately, milk yield is dependent on the number and metabolic activity of secretory cells; however, both of these factors are tightly regulated by the endocrine system and physiological state, and also by local factors including the uptake of nutrients by the mammary gland, the connective tissue surrounding the epithelium, and the frequency and degree of milk removal. The following sections will briefly discuss each of the above areas of regulation during lactogenesis and lactation, with emphasis on ruminant species.

1.2. The ultimate determinants of milk production potential: mammary cell number and activity

Milk production potential is a function of the number of mammary epithelial cells in the gland, as well as the secretory activity of those cells (Akers, 2002; Capuco et al., 2003; Boutinaud et al., 2004). Therefore, improved lactation performance can be achieved under conditions that enhance mammary cell proliferation (or decrease apoptosis), biochemical and structural differentiation of mammary epithelium, and synthesis and secretion of milk

components. Moreover, any factors involved in the regulation of these processes can directly impact mammary function and milk yield (Akers, 2002).

The majority (~80%) of mammary cells are formed during pregnancy and prior to lactation; however, cell proliferation during established lactation has been observed in both rodents (Tucker, 1969) and ruminants (Knight & Peaker, 1984; Capuco & Akers, 1990). Because the DNA content per mammary cell nucleus remains relatively constant during pregnancy and lactation, total DNA is considered an accurate indicator of mammary cell number (Tucker, 1987). Mammary cell secretory activity can be estimated by quantification of mammary RNA, and the ratio of RNA to DNA (Paape & Tucker, 1969). Measurements of both DNA and RNA content have provided insight into the relationship between mammary cell number, secretory activity, and milk yield.

It is well established that total mammary cell numbers and milk yield are positively correlated in both ruminants (Linzell, 1966; Keys et al., 1989) and rodents (Tucker, 1969; Nagai & Sarkar, 1978). The secretory activity of these cells is also an important factor involved in determining milk production potential. During lactogenesis, the mammary epithelium becomes highly differentiated. This period is associated with an overall increase in the size and metabolic activity of each cell, closure of tight junctions between cells, an increase in mitochondrial size, and development of the endoplasmic reticulum (Nickerson & Akers, 1984). During established lactation, any new cells that are formed are thought to become differentiated almost immediately (Tucker, 1969). The increase in milk yield during early lactation is associated with an increase in mammary DNA, followed by an increase in mammary cell secretory activity (Knight & Peaker, 1984). In addition, enhanced milk production potential is associated with increases in both mammary epithelial cell number and secretory activity (Keys et al., 1989). In rodents, successful rearing of pups and high rates of litter weight gain are both associated with an increase in mammary DNA, RNA, and ratio of RNA to DNA (Hackett & Tucker, 1969; Paape & Tucker, 1969). Consistent with the observed effects of mammary cell number and secretory activity on milk yield, the declining phase of lactation has been associated with losses in both mammary cell number and metabolic activity (Tucker, 1969; Knight & Peaker, 1984).

Taken together, these observations illustrate the importance of mammary cell number and secretory activity in determining milk yield. Therefore, to improve lactational performance of dairy cows, it is critical to understand the factors involved in the regulation of mammary development and differentiation. Indeed, novel management strategies based on discoveries in mammary gland biology have proven highly successful for use in improving milk production efficiency (Dunlap et al., 2000; Dahl et al., 2004). Some of these techniques will be discussed in more detail later in this chapter.

2. Hormonal regulation of mammary function

One of the major roles of the endocrine system is to coordinate mammary function with the reproductive state of the animal. This physiological synchronization is a very complex process that involves the action and interaction of multiple hormones, as well as the

interplay between hormones in the circulation and local regulation of the mammary response to these hormones. Although much of this chapter will be focused on local regulation of mammary function, it is important to appreciate the role of hormones in regulating mammary function and milk yield. During lactation, several key hormones are involved in the regulation of mammary cell number, secretory activity, and consequent milk production potential.

2.1. Hormones involved in lactogenesis and lactation

2.1.1. Prolactin

As the name indicates, prolactin (**PRL**) is known as the hormone of lactation. Accordingly, it has received much attention from lactation biologists studying the hormonal regulation of mammary function. In ruminants, PRL and glucocorticoids provide the primary stimulus for lactogenesis (Akers, 1985). A role for PRL in the onset of lactation was indicated by a peak in concentrations of the hormone in circulation immediately prior to parturition (Ingalls et al., 1973). Akers et al. (1981a; 1981b) used a dopamine agonist to inhibit periparturient PRL secretion in dairy cows, and this resulted in failure of the mammary epithelium to reach complete structural differentiation. The inhibition of cellular differentiation was accompanied with a 35% reduction in mammary RNA content, a decrease in rates of lactose and fatty acid biosynthesis, and a 40% reduction in milk yield (Akers et al., 1981a). In addition, cytological analysis revealed that inhibition of PRL secretion resulted in a decrease in the size of the metabolic machinery of the cell, including the rough endoplasmic reticulum and the Golgi Apparatus (Akers et al., 1981b). These effects were reversed by treatment with exogenous PRL; therefore, periparturient PRL secretion is essential for complete biochemical and structural differentiation of the mammary gland.

During established lactation, PRL is released during milking or suckling, indicating a role for the hormone in the maintenance of milk production (Koprowski & Tucker, 1973b; Akers, 1985). Indeed, PRL has been shown to maintain both the structural integrity and the functional activity of the mammary epithelium during lactation in rodents (Tucker, 1969; Flint & Gardner, 1994). In addition, PRL maintains and enhances lactation performance in rabbits (Cowie, 1969). Reports on the effect of PRL on milk yield in dairy cows, however, have been inconsistent (Plaut et al., 1987; Wall et al., 2006; Lacasse et al., 2008; Titus et al., 2008). It is generally accepted that PRL is not involved in galactopoiesis (the maintenance of milk production) in ruminant species (Tucker, 2000; Akers, 2006).

As mentioned previously, PRL and glucocorticoids are the major mediators of lactogenesis in many species. In addition to a well-established role in the structural differentiation of the mammary gland, PRL initiates lactogenesis by stimulating the mammary expression and secretion of milk proteins. Using explant culture, Guyette et al. (1979) reported that PRL and glucocorticoids stimulated the expression of casein mRNA within 1 hr of treatment. Similar observations were subsequently made for α-lactalbumin gene expression (Goodman et al., 1983). Subsequent research has confirmed that indeed, PRL and glucocorticoids elicit an

increase in mRNA and protein expression, as well as a decrease in the degradation of milk protein gene transcripts (Vonderhaar, 1987; Rosen et al., 1999). The ability of PRL and glucocorticoids to regulate casein gene expression is due to the presence of response elements in the promoter region of the casein gene (Rosen et al., 1986).

The action of PRL in the mammary gland is mediated through its receptor, which activates the Janus kinase/signal transducers and activators of transcription (**JAK/STAT**) pathway (Hennighausen et al., 1997a). Stimulation of casein and α-lactalbumin gene expression by PRL is mediated mainly by STAT5a, which is essential for both mammary gland development and lactation (Hennighausen et al., 1997b; Hynes et al., 1997; Horseman, 1999). Expression of the PRL receptor is also critical for normal mammary development and differentiation. In rodents, the number of PRL receptors in the mammary gland is positively correlated with milk yield and litter weight gain (Sakai et al., 1985). Additional evidence supporting a direct role for PRL receptor in the mammary gland was reviewed by Ormandy et al. (2003). The results of knockout experiments have revealed that there is a minimum requirement for PRL receptor expression in the mammary epithelium of mice, and this is critical for normal mammary development, lactogenesis, and lactation.

2.1.2. Glucocorticoids

Cortisol is the main glucocorticoid in cattle, and, as mentioned previously, its major function is to enhance the action of PRL in stimulating differentiation of the epithelium and milk protein gene expression in the mammary gland during lactogenesis (Akers, 2002). In addition, glucocorticoids are involved in the regulation of tight junction closure (Stelwagen et al., 1998) and uptake of glucose by the mammary gland (Paterson & Linzell, 1974) during lactogenesis. In pregnant dairy cows, administration of exogenous glucocorticoids resulted in parturition and subsequent induction of lactation (Tucker & Meites, 1965).

During established lactation, glucocorticoids are released during milking or suckling in both rodents and ruminants (Koprowski & Tucker, 1973a; Ota et al., 1974). Interestingly, however, treatment with exogenous glucocorticoids is galactopoietic in rodents (Thatcher & Tucker, 1970) but not dairy cattle (Braun et al., 1970). It is thought that the galactopoietic effect of glucocorticoids in rodents is mediated via an increase in mammary cell secretory activity (Akers, 2002).

The action of glucocorticoids is mediated by its receptor, which is located in the cytosol of the mammary epithelial cell (Gorewit & Tucker, 1976). Upon binding to its receptor, the complex is translocated to the nucleus of the mammary epithelial cell, where it stimulates milk protein gene expression (Tucker, 1985; Li & Rosen, 1994). In addition, the glucocorticoid receptor has been observed to interact with PRL-activated STAT5 molecules to enhance the action of PRL in inducing β-casein gene expression (Wyszomierski et al., 1999). Surprisingly, however, local expression of glucocorticoid receptor was not critical for normal function during lactogenesis and lactation of mice (Kingsley-Kallesen et al., 2002). Therefore, although glucocorticoids enhance the action of PRL during lactogenesis, their direct action on the mammary gland is not essential for normal lactation in rodents. It is

unknown whether expression of glucocorticoid receptor is required for normal mammary function during lactation of ruminants.

2.1.3. Growth hormone

Growth hormone (**GH**) is widely known for its galactopoietic effect in lactating dairy cattle. The first evidence of this was reported by Asimov and Krouze (1937), who observed that injections of dairy cows with pituitary extracts was associated with increased milk production. Although these findings represented an opportunity for increasing milk production efficiency of dairy cows, it was not practical to harvest and purify pituitary GH for commercial use until the 1980's, when the discovery of recombinant DNA technology made it possible to synthesize large quantities of GH. The recombinantly-derived bovine GH (**rbGH**) was subsequently used extensively for research, and was eventually approved for commercial use on dairy operations (Bauman, 1999). A galactopoietic effect of GH in rodents has not been observed (Tucker, 1985; Hadsell et al., 2007); however, Allan et al. (2002) suggested that GH is involved in maintaining mammary cell number during lactation of mice.

In ruminants, the action of GH on the mammary gland is thought to be mediated mainly by the insulin-like growth factor (**IGF**) signaling axis (Etherton, 2004). Treatment with exogenous GH increases the concentrations of IGF-1 in the circulation (Purup et al., 1993), which acts directly on the mammary gland (Shamay et al., 1988; Baumrucker & Stemberger, 1989). In addition to systemic IGF, locally produced IGF, as well as mammary expression of IGF receptor may influence mammary function and the response of the mammary gland to GH (Plath-Gabler et al., 2001; Akers, 2002). Indeed, the effect of GH on the mammary gland of ruminants varied with physiological state. During early lactation, treatment with exogenous GH had no effect on mammary cell proliferation in goats (Sejrsen et al., 1999). When administered during mid-lactation, however, GH was associated with an increase in mammary cell proliferation in cows (Capuco et al., 2001) and an increase in total volume of secretory tissue in goats (Knight et al., 1990). Because local production of IGF, as well as expression of IGF receptors are also physiologically regulated (Sinowatz et al., 2000; Plath-Gabler et al., 2001), this may explain the differences in the response to GH across physiological states.

Although the action of GH is mediated mainly through the IGF axis, there is evidence that GH may act independently of IGF-I to stimulate milk production (Hadsell et al., 2008). In addition, expression of GH receptor has been detected in mammary tissue (Knabel et al., 1998; Sinowatz et al., 2000; Plath-Gabler et al., 2001). The GH receptor belongs to a superfamily of transmembrane receptors, of which PRL receptor is a member (Postel-Vinay & Kelly, 1996). Therefore, the signaling pathway of GH is very similar to that of PRL: binding of GH to its receptor leads to activation of the JAK/STAT signaling pathway, which stimulates changes in gene expression in target tissues (Postel-Vinay & Kelly, 1996). Unlike PRL receptor, however, expression of GH receptor in mammary epithelium is not required for normal mammary development and function in rodents (Kelly et al., 2002). Instead,

expression of GH receptor in the mammary stroma is critical for normal mammary development, supporting the concept that the action of GH on the mammary epithelium is indirect and may be mediated by locally-produced IGF from the stroma (Kelly et al., 2002).

2.2. Other hormones

2.2.1. Leptin

Leptin is a hormone produced mainly by adipose tissue and is involved in appetite regulation. Although it is primarily associated with appetite regulation, leptin and its receptors are expressed in the mammary gland so it is thought to act locally to influence mammary development (Laud et al., 1999; Chilliard et al., 2001). Indeed, treatment of human mammary epithelial cells with leptin elicited a marked increase in cell proliferation (Hu et al., 2002). In contrast, treatment of bovine (Silva et al., 2002) or mouse (Baratta et al., 2003) mammary epithelial cells with leptin was associated with a decrease in proliferation. In fact, it is thought that leptin mediates the negative effects of a high-energy diet on mammary development of dairy heifers (Silva et al., 2002). In addition to the involvement of leptin in mammary development, it has also been proposed to work synergistically with prolactin to regulate mammary function and inflammation (Motta et al., 2004). More recently, it has been reported that leptin specifically induces expression of its long form receptor in goat mammary gland, and influences mammary development and function through several distinct JAK pathways (Li et al., 2010). Therefore, although the action of leptin in the mammary gland is not fully understood, it clearly plays a role in development and function and may directly influence changes in lactation efficiency by acting locally within the gland.

2.2.2. Melatonin

Melatonin is secreted by the pineal gland during exposure to dark and is involved in the circadian rhythm of many biological functions. For over 30 years, melatonin has had an implicated role in mammary development due to its association with the incidence of breast cancer (Cohen et al., 1978). Indeed, a direct negative relationship between melatonin treatment or presence of the pineal gland and the development of mammary cancer was reported long ago (Tamarkin et al., 1981), and it has subsequently been well documented that melatonin inhibits mammary cancer (For reviews see Cos & Sanchez-Barcelo, 2000; Sanchez-Barcelo et al., 2003; Sahar & Sassone-Corsi, 2007; Pandi-Perumal et al., 2008). Because melatonin is secreted during the dark, and has a negative effect on breast cancer risk, the incidence of breast cancer is increased in night-shift workers and people with sleep disturbances (Stevens, 2006; Blask, 2009), and decreased in the blind (Feychting et al., 1998). It is thought that melatonin exerts its effects on breast cancer possibly by modulating estrogen receptor binding activity (Danforth et al., 1983; Cos et al., 2006; Hill et al., 2009).

Melatonin has also been observed to act directly on the mammary gland to inhibit growth in both rodents (Sanchez-Barcelo et al., 1990) and ruminants (Sanchez-Barcelo et al., 1991; Asher et al., 1994). As will be discussed in a later section of this chapter, exposure of

lactating dairy cows to long day photoperiod (16h light; 8h dark) increases milk production, and exposure of late-pregnant cows to short day photoperiod (8h light; 16h dark) increases milk production in the subsequent lactation (Dahl et al., 2000; Dahl & Petitclerc, 2003). It was initially thought that this effect was mediated by melatonin. Because feeding melatonin did not mimic the effect, however (Petitclerc et al., 1998), alternative mechanisms have been proposed (Dahl, 2008). Nevertheless, melatonin plays a clear role in mammary development and function, and it may work together with other hormones, such as prolactin, to mediate the effects of varying daylength on milk production efficiency.

2.2.3. Oxytocin

Oxytocin is a peptide hormone that is secreted as part of the neuroendocrine response to milking or suckling (Goodman & Grosvenor, 1983). Once secreted into the bloodstream, oxytocin acts on the mammary gland to elicit the ejection of milk from the alveolar tissue so that it can be removed by the offspring or by the milking machine. Although it is mainly associated with milk ejection, treatment with exogenous oxytocin was associated with increased milk production of both dairy cows (Nostrand et al., 1991; Ballou et al., 1993; Lollivier & Marnet, 2005) and sheep (Zamiri et al., 2001). During milk stasis in lactating mice, treatment with exogenous oxytocin delays the onset of apoptosis and subsequent involution of the mammary gland (Akers, 1985). The action of oxytocin is mediated by its receptor, which is located on the membrane of myoepithelial cells in the mammary gland (Soloff, 1982; Reversi et al., 2005).

Local regulation of the response of the mammary gland to oxytocin has been observed. In lactating rats, milk stasis was associated with a decrease in the response of the mammary gland to exogenous oxytocin (Kuhn et al., 1973). Similarly in dairy cattle, Linnerud et al. (1966) observed that treatment with exogenous oxytocin did not increase milk yield in the absence of milk removal. In addition to the effects of mammary fill with milk, locally produced hormones are thought to influence the effects of oxytocin on the mammary gland of ruminants (Peaker et al., 1995).

2.2.4. Ovarian hormones

Estrogen and progesterone are both secreted by the ovary, as well as the placenta of pregnant animals, and these hormones are mainly involved in the growth and development of the mammary gland during puberty and pregnancy (Erb, 1977; Schams et al., 1984; Tucker, 1985). Both hormones, however, have additional roles during lactogenesis and lactation. Prior to parturition, estrogen is one of the first hormones to increase in circulation, indicating a role for estrogen in lactogenesis (Akers, 2002). Indeed, administration of exogenous estrogen has been used to induce lactation in both pregnant and non-pregnant dairy cattle (Meites, 1961; Smith & Schanbacher, 1973; Howe et al., 1975; Collier et al., 1977). Estrogen also stimulates the anterior pituitary gland to secrete PRL, and it increases the expression of PRL receptors in the mammary epithelium (Tucker, 2000). During established lactation, estrogen decreases milk yield by interfering with milk ejection (Bruce & Ramirez,

1970), and by inducing mammary involution (Athie et al., 1996; Bachman, 2002). Similar to GH, the action of estrogen on the mammary epithelium is thought to mediated locally by the mammary stroma and by local production of growth factors (Imagawa et al., 2002; Cunha et al., 2004; Parmar & Cunha, 2004).

Prior to parturition in dairy cattle, progesterone inhibits the synthesis of α-lactalbumin, casein, and lactose and consequently inhibits the onset of lactogenesis (Goodman et al., 1983; Wilde et al., 1984; Akers, 1985; Tucker, 2000). Once lactation has been established, however, progesterone has no effect on mammary function or milk production, probably because expression of progesterone receptor in lactating mammary gland is very low (Tucker, 2000).

2.2.5. Relaxin

Relaxin is a protein hormone that is involved in relaxing the pelvic ligaments around the time of parturition of several species (Sherwood et al., 1993). Although not classically considered to be involved in mammary development, research has shown that it is critical for normal mammary development in rodents (Bani et al., 1986), ruminants (Cowie et al., 1965), and pigs (Hurley et al., 1991; Bagnell et al., 1993). Relaxin is also thought to be involved in the inhibition of lactation prior to parturition (Harness & Anderson, 1975). Wahab and Anderson (1989) suggested that relaxin works synergistically with estrogen and progesterone to stimulate mammary growth in pregnant rats, and similar observations have been made in pigs (Winn et al., 1994). In mice, however, relaxin appears to work independently of sex hormones to stimulate nipple development (Kuenzi et al., 1995). Of particular relevance to milk production efficiency, the stimulus of suckling by piglets appears to overcome any effects of relaxin deficiency on lactation performance of lactating pigs (Zaleski et al., 1996). In mice, however, deletion of the relaxin gene resulted in death of pups due to insufficient nipple development and the inability of the pups to suckle (Zhao et al., 1999). Therefore, although relaxin clearly plays a role in mammary development and function, it is still unclear what role, if any, it plays during lactation. In addition, there are clear differences in the role of relaxin across species.

2.2.6. Thyroid hormone

Thyroid hormones have no clearly established role in mammogenesis (Tucker, 2000), but they are galactopoietic in dairy cows. In addition, they may enhance the effect of other lactogenic and galactopoietic hormones such as PRL and GH (Capuco et al., 1989; Akers, 2002). Leech (1950) investigated the effects of exogenous thyroxine on milk yield of dairy cows, and reported that the hormone was galactopoietic in a dose-dependent fashion. The author speculated that thyroxine functions to increase mammary cell secretory activity; however, the treatment only transiently increased milk yield and upon cessation of treatment, milk yield decreased below pre-treatment levels (Leech, 1950). Consequently, although the milk yield response was investigated further (Stanley & Morita, 1967; Schmidt et al., 1971), treatment with exogenous thyroid hormone to increase milk production of dairy cows was never adopted by the dairy industry.

Hormone	Role in Mammary Gland During Lactation
Prolactin	Lactogenesis; cellular differentiation; galactopoiesis (rodents)
Glucocorticoids	Lactogenesis; cellular differentiation; galactopoiesis (rodents)
Growth Hormone	Mammary development; galactopoiesis (ruminants)
Leptin	Mammary development and function
Melatonin	Inhibition of mammary development
Oxytocin	Milk ejection; cellular differentiation; galactopoiesis
Estrogen	Lactogenesis; involution
Progesterone	None
Relaxin	Mammary development; suppression of lactation
Thyroid Hormone	Galactopoiesis (ruminants)

Table 1. The role of various hormones on mammary function during lactation

Clearly, the endocrine system plays an important role in the regulation of mammary function and milk yield across many species. In addition, there is substantial evidence for local regulation of the response of the mammary gland to the endocrine system. This local regulation includes changes in the expression of specific hormone receptors in the gland, as well as the local production of growth factors that mediate or enhance hormonal effects on mammary function. Moreover, there are regulatory mechanisms in the mammary gland that are thought to act separately from, and may sometimes interact with, the effects of the endocrine system.

3. Local regulation of mammary function

In addition to the influence of hormones, mammary function is also under the regulation of local factors. It is essential that sufficient nutrients are taken up by the mammary gland to support the synthesis of milk components. Although the mammary epithelium is the site of milk synthesis, it is highly responsive to and largely dependent on the dynamics of the surrounding connective tissue and extracellular matrix. Finally, removal of milk from the gland is involved in the regulation of mammary cell number, secretory activity, and milk yield.

3.1. Mammary blood flow

An extensive vascular system provides the mammary gland with the nutrients required for milk synthesis. Uptake of nutrients, and subsequent synthesis of milk components, is dependent on the rate of blood flow through the capillaries surrounding each alveolus, and also the exchange of nutrients across the capillary wall (Prosser et al., 1996). During pregnancy, the number of blood vessels in the mammary gland gradually increases as the gland prepares for copious milk production (Yasugi et al., 1989; Matsumoto et al., 1992; Djonov et al., 2001). During established lactation, the vasculature is maintained and then slowly regresses with advancing lactation and involution. Consequently, changes in milk yield are usually associated with changes in blood flow to the mammary gland (Prosser et al., 1996).

Local regulation of mammary blood flow in rodents was originally observed by Silver (1956). He observed that within 100 h of sealing selected teats and subsequent engorgement with milk, mammary involution had taken place, and capillaries were empty and collapsed. This occurred even when contralateral glands were suckled, indicating that mammary blood flow is indeed under the control of local factors and not hormones (Silver, 1956). When pups were allowed to resume suckling of the previously sealed teats, the capillary bed was promptly re-filled with blood and mammary function was restored (Silver, 1956). In agreement with those observations, Mao and Caruolo (1973) reported that mammary blood flow was inversely related to the amount of milk accumulated in the gland, and that decreased milk secretion during milk stasis may be mediated by a decrease in availability of nutrients to the mammary gland. Similarly, during extended milk stasis in lactating goats, blood flow to the mammary gland decreased linearly over 36 h (Stelwagen et al., 1994). Stelwagen et al. (1994) suggested that during milk stasis, the decline in mammary blood flow may be the result of negative feedback from the gland due to a reduction in demand for milk precursors. Farr et al. (2000) reported that extended milk stasis in lactating goats resulted in a 50 to 75% decrease in mammary blood flow and capillary permeability, as well as a marked regression of the vasculature, in agreement with previous observations in mice (Silver, 1956). The results of this research support the concept that during milk stasis, blood flow to and metabolic capacity of the mammary gland is impaired (Farr et al., 2000).

In contrast to the negative effect of milk stasis on mammary blood flow, a positive relationship has been observed between mammary blood flow and frequent milk removal. During hourly milking of lactating goats, blood flow to the mammary gland increased (Farr et al., 2000). In addition, milk yield of lactating goats increased within 2 h of an experimental increase in mammary blood flow via vasodilatation (Prosser et al., 1990). After the treatments stopped, however, milk yield decreased to pre-treatment levels. Despite these observations, frequent milk removal does not always stimulate an increase in mammary blood flow (Maltz et al., 1984), and an increase in mammary blood flow does not always elicit an increase in milk yield (Prosser et al., 1994; Lacasse & Prosser, 2003). Therefore, although mammary blood flow and milk yield are closely associated, they are not always causally linked. This indicates that although mammary blood flow sometimes influences milk yield, other limiting factors are involved.

3.2. Extracellular matrix

As discussed above, the development and differentiation of the mammary gland requires stimulation by hormones. However, an important local mediator of cellular function is the environment surrounding the epithelium. This surrounding environment contains the extracellular matrix (**ECM**), which acts directly on the mammary epithelium to regulate cell differentiation, growth, gene expression, and response to hormones (Wilde et al., 1984; Lee et al., 1985; Streuli et al., 1991).

Suard et al. (1983) reported that the nature of substratum used in culture had a marked effect on both proliferation and differentiation of primary epithelial cells harvested from rabbit

mammary glands. Whereas cells cultured on a floating collagen gel were able to synthesize and secrete caseins in response to PRL, cells embedded in collagen were observed to secrete caseins in response to PRL and also to proliferate (Suard et al., 1983). In contrast, cells cultured on an attached collagen gel were able to proliferate only and did not synthesize or secrete caseins in response to PRL. Moreover, the cells cultured on the attached collagen gel did not express casein mRNA. The authors concluded that cell surface conditions, as well as cell-ECM interactions regulate cellular proliferation and differentiation (Suard et al., 1983). Similar conclusions had been previously made based on work with primary mouse mammary cells (Shannon & Pitelka, 1981). They speculated that the function of a mammary cell is directly linked to its shape, which is dictated by the nature and flexibility of the substratum. Subsequent work using mouse mammary cells has confirmed that the nature and physical state of ECM regulates cell shape, as well as the mRNA expression, synthesis, degradation, and secretion of caseins (Lee et al., 1984; Lee et al., 1985; Bissell & Hall, 1987; Schmidhauser et al., 1990; Streuli & Bissell, 1990). Mouse mammary cells cultured on floating collagen gels expressed up to ten-fold more casein mRNA than those cultured on plastic. In addition, caseins that were synthesized by cells cultured on plastic were degraded intracellularly, whereas those synthesized by cells on floating gels were secreted into the culture media (Lee et al., 1985). This research also provided evidence that milk proteins are differentially regulated, because synthesis and secretion of some non-milk proteins was not affected by the culture substratum (Lee et al., 1984). In no culture conditions has there been any significant expression of α-lactalbumin (and, consequently, there is no synthesis of lactose), indicating that expression of this protein is regulated by an alternative mechanism within the mammary gland (Lee et al., 1984; Wilde et al., 1984; Bissell & Hall, 1987). More recently, it has been observed that in addition to the presence of ECM, adhesion of the mammary epithelium to ECM is critical for cellular differentiation (Zoubiane et al., 2004). Based on their observations, these authors suggested a role for the integrins in coordinating the cytoskeleton and regulating the induction of cellular differentiation by PRL. To regulate cellular proliferation and mammary growth, ECM influences the cellular response to steroid hormones (Wilde et al., 1984), and also appears to interact with local growth factor signaling pathways (Berry et al., 2003). In addition, remodeling of ECM and mammary involution is induced by decreased milking frequency and milk stasis in ruminants (Weng et al., 2008).

The local environment and ECM surrounding the mammary epithelium play a critical role in regulating cellular development and function. As discussed above, the action of ECM on the epithelium influences the mammary response to hormones, and this interaction has a marked effect on the number and secretory activity of mammary cells in the gland. This, in turn, determines the milk production potential of the animal. A deeper understanding of stromal-cell interactions and how they influence and limit milk production may provide the means to promote a desirable local environment to improve milk production efficiency.

3.3. Milk removal

Across many species, regular removal of milk from the mammary gland during established lactation is critical to maintaining mammary cell number, activity, and consequent milk

production. The local mechanisms regulating the mammary response to milk removal are poorly understood, although several have been proposed. In addition to the effect of milk removal on mammary blood flow and uptake of nutrients for milk synthesis, the mammary response to milk removal may involve changes in the extracellular matrix, negative feedback by factors present in the milk or milk fat, and changes in intramammary pressure.

3.3.1. The effect of milk removal on mammary cell number and activity

Local regulation of mammary cell number and secretory activity was originally observed in experiments using teat ligation in lactating rats. In those experiments, selected teats were ligated and pups were allowed to continue suckling intact glands. Tucker and Reece (1963b) observed that coincident with 24h of milk stasis, the ratio of RNA to DNA in ligated glands decreased by 31%, indicating a decrease in mammary cell activity. The authors suggested that during milk stasis, intact (suckled) glands were able to take up more nutrients and hormones from the circulation than the sealed glands, and that this may explain the observed increase in mammary cell secretory activity (Tucker, 1966; Tucker et al., 1967). In contrast to the effect of milk stasis on mammary cell activity, increased suckling frequency was linearly associated with an increase in mammary cell number, activity, and litter weight gain (Tucker, 1966; Tucker et al., 1967; Tucker & Thatcher, 1968). The increase in mammary cell secretory activity was observed within 24 h of increased suckling intensity, indicating rapid local regulation in response to increased demand of the offspring (Tucker, 1966). Similar experiments have revealed local regulation of lactogenesis and cellular differentiation in ruminants (Akers et al., 1977; Guy et al., 1994), as well as mammary involution and cellular apoptosis (Goodman & Schanbacher, 1991; Quarrie et al., 1994). Taken together, these observations support the concept that mammary cell proliferation and differentiation can be regulated locally by factors within the mammary gland. Moreover, milk removal from the gland can elicit a stimulatory effect on these processes. The mechanisms underlying this response, however, are unclear.

3.3.2. Local regulation of mammary function by factors in milk

It has been hypothesized that a chemical in milk negatively regulates milk secretion in the absence of milk removal (Linzell & Peaker, 1971). Subsequently, a small glycoprotein in milk was reported to reversibly inhibit casein and lactose synthesis in a dose-dependent manner (Wilde et al., 1987). This glycoprotein has been named feedback inhibitor of lactation (**FIL**). It is both synthesized and secreted by mammary epithelial cells, and is located in the whey fraction of milk. Therefore, it is thought that FIL may be involved in autocrine regulation of milk secretion and the adjustment of milk production to meet (but not exceed) the nutritional demands of the offspring (Peaker & Wilde, 1987). Similar observations have been made in lactating women (Daly et al., 1993; Daly & Hartmann, 1995; Wilde et al., 1995). The mechanisms underlying this regulation are unclear; however, it has been suggested that FIL inhibits milk production by interfering with the casein secretory pathway (Rennison et al., 1993; Burgoyne & Wilde, 1994). In addition, Peaker and Wilde (1987) proposed that the mammary gland responds to removal of FIL in a sequential manner consisting of an

immediate response that increases milk secretion within hours of milk removal; an acute response that increases mammary cell differentiation after several days of frequent milk removal; and finally a long-term response that increases mammary cell proliferation after several weeks or months of frequent milk removal. Unfortunately, no experiments have been conducted to determine the mechanism by which FIL inhibits milk secretion. To the contrary, research on this protein has not been pursued since the 1990's; therefore, the identity of this protein and its role in the mammary gland have yet to be confirmed.

3.3.3. Negative feedback on milk fat synthesis

Before the reports on FIL, it was observed that the synthesis of fatty acids by the mammary gland was regulated by a factor within the milk fat itself (Levy, 1963, 1964). This research, however, received much less attention than the FIL literature. Levy (1964) observed an accumulation of fat within 12 h of weaning and a consequent diminution of fatty acid synthesis in the mammary gland of lactating rats. By 24 h, fatty acid synthesis was inhibited by 90%, and lactose was reabsorbed into the bloodstream. The synthesis of fatty acids was restored, however, when pups were returned to the mother to suckle (Levy, 1964). Teat-ligation experiments showed that the regulation occurred at the level of the individual mammary gland, since intact (suckled) glands continued to synthesize milk and milk fat (Levy, 1964). In an attempt to identify the factors involved in the inhibition of fatty acid synthesis, Levy (1964) used tissue explants from rat mammary gland and observed that whole milk markedly inhibited the synthesis of fatty acids in a dose-dependent response. Subsequent analysis revealed that the inhibitory activity was acting on acetyl CoA carboxylase, and was not associated with milk fat itself but was located in the particulate fraction of milk (Figure 1; Levy, 1964). Levy (1964) speculated that the inhibitor was bound to microsomes in the milk.



Figure 1. Inhibition of fatty acid synthesis by milk fractions. Mammary glands were removed from lactating rats, incubated with various milk fractions, and assayed for incorporation of $^{14}CO2$ as described by Levy (1964).

More recently, inhibition of mammary lipogenesis by medium chain fatty acids has been observed (Agius & Williamson, 1980; Heesom et al., 1992). Heesom et al. (1992) suggested that FIL may regulate lactose and casein synthesis, whereas fat synthesis may be regulated by a negative feedback mechanism involving medium chain fatty acids. To test this hypothesis, Peaker and Taylor (1994) investigated the effect of milk fat on litter weight gain in mice. Infusion of whole milk (which contains milk fat globules) into the mammary glands of lactating mice inhibited litter growth, whereas skim milk (which contains FIL) or fractions of milk fat globules alone had no effect. The authors concluded that there is no negative feedback mechanism located in the milk fat (1994). This conclusion, however, seemed particularly dismissive, as their results did not prompt them to question a role for FIL, which had no apparent effect on litter weight gain in this experiment. Perhaps coincidentally, that report was one of the last published primary research articles investigating a role for FIL in the mammary gland.

Certainly, there is substantial evidence for the existence of at least two types of chemical negative feedback mechanisms involved in the regulation of milk synthesis and secretion. Moreover, it is probable that there are other feedback mechanisms that have yet to be discovered. These factors may act on distinct components of milk, or there may be some redundancy in their activity. It makes biological sense that a costly metabolic process such as lactation would be tightly regulated by a variety of local mechanisms to prevent overproduction in the absence of milk removal.

3.3.4. Intramammary pressure

Because accumulation of milk elicits an increase in pressure within the mammary gland, it is not surprising that intramammary pressure has been investigated as a potential regulator of mammary blood flow and milk secretion. Infusion of air or milk into the mammary glands of goats was associated with an increase in intramammary pressure and a linear decrease in mammary blood flow (Pearl et al., 1973). The infusion of only one udder half revealed that this response is regulated locally within the gland, as adjacent glands were unaffected (Pearl et al., 1973). Peaker (1980) reported that loss of mammary cell secretory activity during milk stasis of lactating goats was caused by an increase in intramammary pressure, and not to a decrease in mammary blood flow. An increase in intramammary pressure, however, did not always result in a decrease in milk production (Henderson & Peaker, 1984). Therefore, the relationship between intramammary pressure, mammary blood flow, and milk removal remains unclear. It is possible that intramammary pressure may indeed be a local mediator of mammary function, but its role may change with physiological state, metabolic status, and stage of lactation.

Interestingly, fur seals do not undergo inhibition of milk secretion or mammary involution during prolonged absence of milk removal (reviewed by Sharp et al., 2006). During lactation, these animals go through cycles of suckling their young on land, and foraging for food for up to 30 d at a time. During foraging, milk secretion continues and mammary function is maintained so that the seals can suckle their young when they return to shore. It

has been suggested that fur seals have adapted to override the influence of local negative feedback mechanisms to accommodate their foraging cycles and continue to rear their offspring successfully (Sharp et al., 2006). Moreover, this adaptation is thought to be regulated at the transcriptional level (Sharp et al., 2008). This is an exciting and active area of study. Once the mechanisms of local regulation and negative feedback are understood, and the genes involved are identified, there may be an opportunity to identify limits on milk secretion and improve milk production efficiency of dairy animals.

4. Lactation persistency

The performance of lactating animals is assessed by examination of the lactation curve, which depicts milk production over time during a complete lactation. A typical lactation curve consists of 3 phases: a phase of increasing milk yield during early lactation, followed by a phase of peak milk production, and finally a phase of gradually decreasing milk yield which occurs post-peak and continues throughout the remainder of lactation (Wood, 1967). One of the key aspects of the lactation curve, and a general indicator of lactation performance, is lactation persistency. Lactation persistency is defined as the degree to which peak milk yield is maintained throughout lactation. As expected, animals with persistent lactations are highly desirable, as they have the ability to attain exceptional milk production efficiency.

The shape of the lactation curve and lactation persistency is influenced by many factors, including mammary cell number and secretory activity, hormones, and nutritional status (McFadden, 1997; Sorensen & Knight, 2002; Capuco et al., 2003; Hadsell et al., 2007). As mentioned previously, milk yield is ultimately a function of the number of secretory cells in the mammary gland, and the metabolic activity of these cells. In lactating goats, the increase in milk yield during early lactation was associated with an increase in mammary cell number, followed later by an increase in mammary cell activity (Knight & Peaker, 1984). In dairy cattle, however, the increase in milk yield during early lactation appears to be a result of increased secretory activity of mammary cells, and not an increase in cell number (Capuco et al., 2001). In both species, the decrease in milk production during the declining phase of lactation was associated with a decrease in mammary cell number only (Knight & Peaker, 1984; Capuco et al., 2001). If the lactating animal was pregnant, however, a decrease in secretory cell activity was also observed (Knight & Peaker, 1984). Similar results have been observed in rodents, such that mammary cell number and activity decline during advancing lactation despite continued milk removal (Tucker & Reece, 1963a; Thatcher & Tucker, 1968; Hadsell et al., 2007). Therefore, several researchers have suggested that lactation is a transient process, and that the declining phase of lactation is a programmed response (McFadden, 1997; Capuco et al., 2003; Hadsell et al., 2007).

4.1. Hormonal regulation of lactation persistency

The role of hormones in regulating persistency of lactation is not thoroughly understood, but some hormones are clearly involved. Whereas concentrations of PRL, glucocorticoids,

and GH are high during early lactation and decrease with advancing lactation, concentrations of oxytocin are low during early lactation and increase with advancing lactation (Koprowski & Tucker, 1973a, b; Tucker, 1985). In addition, glucocorticoids, oxytocin, and prolactin are released during milking (Tucker et al., 1975; Carruthers & Hafs, 1980; Akers & Lefcourt, 1982). Treatment with exogenous PRL increased milk yield in rabbits (Cowie, 1969), but reported effects of PRL on milk yield of dairy cattle have been inconsistent (Plaut et al., 1987; Wall et al., 2006; Lacasse et al., 2008; Titus et al., 2008). Oxytocin was galactopoietic in cattle (Nostrand et al., 1991; Ballou et al., 1993; Lollivier & Marnet, 2005) and sheep (Zamiri et al., 2001), but had no effect on milk yield of rodents (Thatcher & Tucker, 1970). As mentioned previously, GH is galactopoietic in ruminants (Knight, 1992; Etherton & Bauman, 1998; Baldi, 1999). Estrogen also plays a role in lactation persistency: during established lactation in pregnant dairy cows, increasing estrogen concentrations are associated with the onset of declining lactation and mammary involution (Akers, 2002; Bachman, 2002; Capuco et al., 2003).

4.2. Effect of parity on lactation persistency

The lactation curve of primiparous dairy cows is more persistent than that of multiparous cows; however the mechanisms involved are unknown (McFadden, 1997). Miller et al. (2006) compared mammary cell dynamics, milk yield and milk composition of primi- vs. multiparous cows and reported that the extent of mammary cell differentiation was lower in primiparous than multiparous cows. Mammary cell renewal, however, was greater in primiparous cows (Miller et al., 2006). Because loss of mammary cells is associated with the declining phase of lactation, the authors concluded that primiparous cows are more persistent than multiparous cows because they maintain the population of secretory cells (Miller et al., 2006). Concentrations of IGF-1 in circulation were approximately 20% higher in primiparous cows, and this may have elicited a mitogenic effect on the mammary gland. Interestingly, the percentage of lactose in milk was constant during the lactation of primiparous cows, whereas it decreased during lactation of multiparous cows (Miller et al., 2006). A similar relationship between maintained lactose concentration in milk and lactation persistency was reported by Sorensen et al. (2008). The synthesis of lactose by the mammary gland is a marker for cellular differentiation during lactogenesis (Akers, 2002). Taken together, these observations support the concept that to increase lactation persistency, the population of functionally active secretory cells must be maintained. Clarification of the mechanisms involved in maintenance of secretory cell number and metabolic activity could lead to improved lactation persistency in multiparous animals and consequent enhancement of lactation efficiency.

4.3. The effect of pregnancy on lactation persistency

Dairy cows are typically pregnant for most of their lactation. This is a standard management practice to optimize generation of replacement animals, and also to ensure that the cow will continue to lactate. Unfortunately, however, lactation persistency is decreased by concurrent

pregnancy (McFadden, 1997; Sorensen et al., 2008). After about the 5th month of pregnancy, concentrations of estrogen in the circulation increase, and this is associated with a decline in milk yield (Bachman, 2002), as well as reductions in both mammary cell number and secretory activity (Capuco et al., 2003). Similar observations have been made in rodents, that pregnancy decreases mammary function and milk yield (Paape & Tucker, 1969). Therefore, despite continued milk removal, mammary involution does occur as the gland prepares for the next lactation.

4.4. Manipulation of lactation persistency

Management interventions such as long-day photoperiod, supplementation with rbGH, and increased milking frequency have been used to change the shape of the lactation curve and increase milk production efficiency (Bauman, 1999; Dunlap et al., 2000; Stelwagen, 2001). It has been suggested that these management practices stimulate an incremental increase in milk yield (Erdman & Varner, 1995; Stockdale, 2006). Whether these interventions actually increase lactation persistency, however, is questionable (McFadden, 1997).

4.4.1. Manipulation of photoperiod

Seasonal effects on mammary development and function have been extensively studied, and it is well established that manipulation of day length influences mammary development and milk production in dairy cattle (reviewed in Dahl et al., 2000). Exposure of dairy cows to long day photoperiod (16 h light: 8 h dark) during established lactation was associated with increased milk production (Peters et al., 1981; Evans & Hacker, 1989; Miller et al., 1999). In contrast, exposure to short day photoperiod (8h light: 16h dark) during the last 2 mo of pregnancy was associated with an increase in milk yield in the subsequent lactation (Miller et al., 2000). Because serum PRL concentrations change with photoperiod, researchers have focused on PRL signaling as a potential mediator of the milk yield response (Auchtung et al., 2005; Wall et al., 2005; Dahl, 2008). The results of this research have indicated that although PRL signaling may be involved in the effects of photoperiod on non-lactating cows, the IGF-1 axis may be more important during established lactation. In addition to changes in the concentration of hormones in the circulation, manipulation of photoperiod is also associated with changes in mammary gene expression (Auchtung et al., 2005; Wall et al., 2005; Dahl, 2008). This indicates that local regulation of mammary function is involved in the response of the mammary gland to changes in photoperiod.

During established lactation, manipulation of photoperiod appears to influence lactation persistency (Peters et al., 1981; Evans & Hacker, 1989; Miller et al., 1999), whereas manipulation of photoperiod during the non-lactating period had no effect on subsequent lactation persistency (Miller et al., 2000). Despite the uncertainty with respect to the underlying mechanisms, and whether there is truly an effect on lactation persistency, manipulation of photoperiod has emerged as an effective management strategy to improve milk production efficiency of dairy cows (Dahl & Petitclerc, 2003).

4.4.2. rbGH

As mentioned previously, GH is galactopoietic in dairy cows (Bauman et al., 1985). Since it became commercially available for use on dairy operations, the effects of rbGH on lactation performance and animal health have been extensively studied (Crooker & Otterby, 1991; Bauman, 1999; Dohoo et al., 2003). Treatment of dairy cattle with rbGH is associated with a decrease in lipogenesis and an increase in gluconeogenesis by the liver, which increases the availability of fatty acids and glucose to the mammary gland for the synthesis of milk fat and lactose (Akers, 2002). This shift in metabolism and nutrient utilization is thought to be mediated by interactions between GH, insulin, and the IGF axis (Molento et al., 2002). In addition to altered nutrient metabolism to support increased milk production, treatment with rbGH is associated with an increase in blood flow to the mammary gland (Breier et al., 1991; Prosser et al., 1996). The mechanisms underlying the milk yield response, however, remain unclear. Capuco et al. (2001; 2003) suggested that bGH increased lactation performance by increasing the population of mammary epithelial cells, possibly via the IGF signaling axis, and similar observations have been made in rodents (Allan et al., 2002). Because the milk yield response to bGH is acute and disappears upon cessation of treatment, however, it seems more likely that the mechanism works to enhance the milk synthetic activity, rather than the number, of secretory cells (Akers, 2002; Yang et al., 2005).

Intervention	**Timing of implementation**
Manipulation of photoperiod	Lactation; dry period
Increased milking frequency	Early lactation; full lactation
Suckling (with or without machine milking)	Early lactation; full lactation
rBST	Lactation
Genetic selection	Selection of cows for breeding; purchase of semen

Table 2. Various management interventions that can increase milk production efficiency.

4.4.3. Frequent milking

Another management strategy that increases milk production is frequent removal of milk from the mammary gland by either suckling or increased milking frequency. Frequent milking (3 or more times daily) has been adopted on many dairy farms and has proven to be a highly successful approach to increase milk production efficiency. Reports on the effects of frequent milking on lactation persistency, however, are inconsistent. Whereas several researchers have observed an increase in persistency in response to frequent milking (Pearson et al., 1979; Poole, 1982; Amos et al., 1985; Hillerton et al., 1990; Sorensen & Knight, 2002), others have reported no effect (Allen et al., 1986; Gisi et al., 1986). The discrepancy in results may be due to differences in the definition or measure of persistency, the nature and duration of frequent milking treatment, or to the physiological state of the animals (pregnancy status, stage of lactation). Like photoperiod treatment and rbGH, the mechanisms underlying the milk yield response to increased milking frequency are unknown. It has been suggested, however, that use of the three interventions combined will elicit additive effects on milk production (Dunlap et al., 2000). This indicates that distinct mechanisms may be involved in each of the responses.

5. Conclusions

Milk production potential is dependent on the number of secretory cells in the mammary gland, as well as the metabolic activity of those cells. Both of these factors are greatly influenced by the endocrine system, by local regulatory mechanisms within the mammary gland, and by the interaction between endocrine and local regulation. Moreover, interventions that perturb the endocrine system or the local mammary environment can result in changes in mammary cell number, secretory activity, and consequent milk production potential. The mechanisms underlying the response of the mammary gland to those interventions are unknown. Research focused on determining the mechanisms involved will improve the knowledge of mammary gland biology and regulation of mammary function, and could lead to novel management strategies to further optimize milk production efficiency. Our companion chapter provides an extensive review of the literature on frequent milking or suckling as they influence milk production and mammary function in dairy animals.

Author details

Emma Wall
Department of Medicine, University of Vermont, USA

Thomas McFadden
Department of Agricultural, Food and Nutritional Science, University of Alberta, Canada

6. References

Agius, L., & Williamson, D. H. 1980. Rapid inhibition of lipogenesis in vivo in lactating rat mammary gland by medium- or long-chain triacylglycerols and partial reversal by insulin. The Biochemical Journal 192: 361-364.

Akers, R. M. 1985. Lactogenic hormones: binding sites, mammary growth, secretory cell differentiation, and milk biosynthesis in ruminants. Journal of Dairy Science 68: 501-519.

Akers, R. M. 2002. Lactation and the Mammary Gland. 1st ed. Iowa State Press, Ames.

Akers, R. M. 2006. Major advances associated with hormone and growth factor regulation of mammary growth and lactation in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 89: 1222-1234.

Akers, R. M., Bauman, D. E., Capuco, A. V., Goodman, G. T., & Tucker, H. A. 1981a. Prolactin regulation of milk secretion and biochemical differentiation of mammary epithelial cells in periparturient cows. Endocrinology 109: 23-30.

Akers, R. M., Bauman, D. E., Goodman, G. T., Capuco, A. V., & Tucker, H. A. 1981b. Prolactin regulation of cytological differentiation of mammary epithelial cells in periparturient cows. Endocrinology 109: 31-40.

Akers, R. M., Heald, C. W., Bibb, T. L., & McGilliard, M. L. 1977. Effect of prepartum milk removal on quantitative morphology of bovine lactogenesis. Journal of Dairy Science 60: 1273-1282.

Akers, R. M., & Lefcourt, A. M. 1982. Milking- and suckling-induced secretion of oxytocin and prolactin in parturient dairy cows. Hormones and Behavior 16: 87-93.

Allan, G. J., Tonner, E., Barber, M. C., Travers, M. T., Shand, J. H., Vernon, R. G., Kelly, P. A., Binart, N., & Flint, D. J. 2002. Growth hormone, acting in part through the insulin-like growth factor axis, rescues developmental, but not metabolic, activity in the mammary gland of mice expressing a single allele of the prolactin receptor. Endocrinology 143: 4310-4319.

Allen, D. B., DePeters, E. J., & Laben, R. C. 1986. Three times a day milking: effects on milk production, reproductive efficiency, and udder health. Journal of Dairy Science 69: 1441-1446.

Amos, H. E., Kiser, T., & Loewenstein, M. 1985. Influence of milking frequency on productive and reproductive efficiencies of dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 68: 732-739.

Asher, G. W., Veldhuizen, F. A., Morrow, C. J., & Duganzich, D. M. 1994. Effects of exogenous melatonin on prolactin secretion, lactogenesis and reproductive seasonality of adult female red deer (Cervus elaphus). Journal of Reproduction and Fertility 100: 11-19.

Asimov, G. J., & Krouze, N. K. 1937. The lactogenic preparations from the anterior pituitary and the increase of milk yield in cows. Journal of Dairy Science 20: 289-306.

Athie, F., Bachman, K. C., Head, H. H., Hayen, M. J., & Wilcox, C. J. 1996. Estrogen administered at final milk removal accelerates involution of bovine mammary gland. Journal of Dairy Science 79: 220-226.

Auchtung, T. L., Rius, A. G., Kendall, P. E., McFadden, T. B., & Dahl, G. E. 2005. Effects of photoperiod during the dry period on prolactin, prolactin receptor, and milk production of dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 88: 121-127.

Bachman, K. C. 2002. Milk production of dairy cows treated with estrogen at the onset of a short dry period. Journal of Dairy Science 85: 797-803.

Bagnell, C. A., Zhang, Q., Downey, B., & Ainsworth, L. 1993. Sources and biological actions of relaxin in pigs. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility. Supplement 48: 127-138.

Baldi, A. 1999. Manipulation of milk production and quality by use of somatotropin in dairy ruminants other than cow. Domestic Animal Endocrinology 17: 131-137.

Ballou, L. U., Bleck, J. L., Bleck, G. T., & Bremel, R. D. 1993. The effects of daily oxytocin injections before and after milking on milk production, milk plasmin, and milk composition. Journal of Dairy Science 76: 1544-1549.

Bani, G., Bigazzi, M., & Bani, D. 1986. The effects of relaxin on the mouse mammary gland. II. The epithelium. Journal of Endocrinological Investigation 9: 145-152.

Baratta, M., Grolli, S., & Tamanini, C. 2003. Effect of leptin in proliferating and differentiated HC11 mouse mammary cells. Regulatoty Peptides 113: 101-107.

Bauman, D. E. 1999. Bovine somatotropin and lactation: from basic science to commercial application. Domestic Animal Endocrinology 17: 101-116.

Bauman, D. E., Eppard, P. J., DeGeeter, M. J., & Lanza, G. M. 1985. Responses of high-producing dairy cows to long-term treatment with pituitary somatotropin and recombinant somatotropin. Journal of Dairy Science 68: 1352-1362.

Baumrucker, C. R., & Stemberger, B. H. 1989. Insulin and insulin-like growth factor-I stimulate DNA synthesis in bovine mammary tissue in vitro. Journal of Animal Science 67: 3503-3514.

Berry, S. D., Howard, R. D., & Akers, R. M. 2003. Mammary localization and abundance of laminin, fibronectin, and collagen IV proteins in prepubertal heifers. Journal of Dairy Science 86: 2864-2874.

Bissell, M. J., & Hall, G. H. 1987. Form and function in the mammary gland: the role of extracellular matrix. In: M. C. Neville and C. W. Daniel (eds.) The mammary gland: development, regulation, and function. p 97-146. Plenum Press, New York.

Blask, D. E. 2009. Melatonin, sleep disturbance and cancer risk. Sleep Medicine Reviews 13: 257-264.

Boutinaud, M., Guinard-Flamenta, J., & Jammes, H. 2004. The number and activity of mammary epithelial cells, determining factors for milk production. Reproduction, Nutrition, Development 44: 499-508.

Braun, R. K., Bergman, E. N., & Albert, T. F. 1970. Effects of various synthetic glucocorticoids on milk production and blood glucose and ketone body concentrations in normal and ketotic cows. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 157: 941-946.

Breier, B. H., Gluckman, P. D., McCutcheon, S. N., & Davis, S. R. 1991. Physiological responses to somatotropin in the ruminant. Journal of Dairy Science 74 Suppl 2: 20-34.

Bruce, J. O., & Ramirez, V. D. 1970. Site of action of the inhibitory effect of estrogen upon lactation. Neuroendocrinology 6: 19-29.

Burgoyne, R. D., & Wilde, C. J. 1994. Control of secretory function in mammary epithelial cells. Cellular Signalling 6: 607-616.

Capuco, A. V., & Akers, R. M. 1990. Thymidine incorporation by lactating mammary epithelium during compensatory mammary growth in beef cattle. Journal of Dairy Science 73: 3094-3103.

Capuco, A. V., Ellis, S. E., Hale, S. A., Long, E., Erdman, R. A., Zhao, X., & Paape, M. J. 2003. Lactation persistency: insights from mammary cell proliferation studies. Journal of Animal Science 81 Suppl 3: 18-31.

Capuco, A. V., Keys, J. E., & Smith, J. J. 1989. Somatotrophin increases thyroxine-5'-monodeiodinase activity in lactating mammary tissue of the cow. Journal of Endocrinology 121: 205-211.

Capuco, A. V., Wood, D. L., Baldwin, R., McLeod, K., & Paape, M. J. 2001. Mammary cell number, proliferation, and apoptosis during a bovine lactation: relation to milk production and effect of bST. Journal of Dairy Science 84: 2177-2187.

Carruthers, T. D., & Hafs, H. D. 1980. Suckling and four-times daily milking: influence on ovulation, estrus and serum luteinizing hormone, glucocorticoids and prolactin in postpartum holsteins. Journal of Animal Science 50: 919-925.

Chilliard, Y., Bonnet, M., Delavaud, C., Faulconnier, Y., Leroux, C., Djiane, J., & Bocquier, F. 2001. Leptin in ruminants. Gene expression in adipose tissue and mammary gland, and regulation of plasma concentration. Domestic Animal Endocrinology 21: 271-295.

Cohen, M., Lippman, M., & Chabner, B. 1978. Role of pineal gland in aetiology and treatment of breast cancer. Lancet 2: 814-816.

Collier, R. J., Bauman, D. E., & Hays, R. L. 1977. Effect of reserpine on milk production and serum prolactin of cows hormonally induced into lactation. Journal of Dairy Science 60: 896-901.

Cos, S., Gonzalez, A., Martinez-Campa, C., Mediavilla, M. D., Alonso-Gonzalez, C., & Sanchez-Barcelo, E. J. 2006. Estrogen-signaling pathway: a link between breast cancer and melatonin oncostatic actions. Cancer Detection and Prevention 30: 118-128.

Cos, S., & Sanchez-Barcelo, E. J. 2000. Melatonin and mammary pathological growth. Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology 21: 133-170.

Cowie, A. T. 1969. Variations in the yield and composition of the milk during lactation in the rabbit and the galactopoietic effect of prolactin. Journal of Endocrinology 44: 437-450.

Cowie, A. T., Cox, C. P., Folley, S. J., Hosking, Z. D., & Tindal, J. S. 1965. Relative efficiency of crystalline suspensions of hexoestrol and of oestradiol monobenzoate in inducing mammary development and lactation in the goat; and effects of relaxin on mammogenesis and lactation. Journal of Endocrinology 31: 165-172.

Crooker, B. A., & Otterby, D. E. 1991. Management of the dairy herd treated with bovine somatotropin. The Veterinary Clinics of North American. Food Animal Practice 7: 417-437.

Cunha, G. R., Cooke, P. S., & Kurita, T. 2004. Role of stromal-epithelial interactions in hormonal responses. Archives of Histology and Cytology 67: 417-434.

Dahl, G. E. 2008. Effects of short day photoperiod on prolactin signaling in dry cows: a common mechanism among tissues and environments? Journal of Animal Science 86: 10-14.

Dahl, G. E., Auchtung, T. L., & Reid, E. D. 2004. Manipulating milk production in early lactation through photoperiod changes and milking frequency. The Veterinary Clinics of North American. Food Animal Practice 20: 675-685.

Dahl, G. E., Buchanan, B. A., & Tucker, H. A. 2000. Photoperiodic effects on dairy cattle: a review. Journal of Dairy Science 83: 885-893.

Dahl, G. E., & Petitclerc, D. 2003. Management of photoperiod in the dairy herd for improved production and health. Journal of Animal Science 81 (Suppl. 3): 11-17.

Daly, S. E., & Hartmann, P. E. 1995. Infant demand and milk supply. Part 1: Infant demand and milk production in lactating women. Journal of Human Lactation 11: 21-26.

Daly, S. E., Owens, R. A., & Hartmann, P. E. 1993. The short-term synthesis and infant-regulated removal of milk in lactating women. Experimental Physiology 78: 209-220.

Danforth, D. N., Jr., Tamarkin, L., & Lippman, M. E. 1983. Melatonin increases oestrogen receptor binding activity of human breast cancer cells. Nature 305: 323-325.

Djonov, V., Andres, A. C., & Ziemiecki, A. 2001. Vascular remodelling during the normal and malignant life cycle of the mammary gland. Microscopy Research and Technique 52: 182-189.

Dohoo, I. R., DesCoteaux, L., Leslie, K., Fredeen, A., Shewfelt, W., Preston, A., & Dowling, P. 2003. A meta-analysis review of the effects of recombinant bovine somatotropin. 2. Effects on animal health, reproductive performance, and culling. Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research 67: 252-264.

Dunlap, T. F., Kohn, R. A., Dahl, G. E., Varner, M., & Erdman, R. A. 2000. The impact of somatotropin, milking frequency, and photoperiod on dairy farm nutrient flows. Journal of Dairy Science 83: 968-976.

Erb, R. E. 1977. Hormonal control of mammogenesis and onset of lactation in cows--a review. Journal of Dairy Science 60: 155-169.

Erdman, R. A., & Varner, M. 1995. Fixed yield responses to increased milking frequency. Journal of Dairy Science 78: 1199-1203.

Etherton, T. D. 2004. Somatotropic function: the somatomedin hypothesis revisited. Journal of Animal Science 82 E-Suppl: E239-244.

Etherton, T. D., & Bauman, D. E. 1998. Biology of somatotropin in growth and lactation of domestic animals. Physiological Reviews 78: 745-761.

Evans, N. M., & Hacker, R. R. 1989. Effect of chronobiological manipulation of lactation in the dairy cow. Journal of Dairy Science 72: 2921-2927.

Farr, V. C., Prosser, C. G., & Davis, S. R. 2000. Effects of mammary engorgement and feed withdrawal on microvascular function in lactating goat mammary glands. American Journal of Physiology. Heart and Circulatory Physiology 279: H1813-1818.

Feychting, M., Osterlund, B., & Ahlbom, A. 1998. Reduced cancer incidence among the blind. Epidemiology 9: 490-494.

Flint, D. J., & Gardner, M. 1994. Evidence that growth hormone stimulates milk synthesis by direct action on the mammary gland and that prolactin exerts effects on milk secretion by maintenance of mammary deoxyribonucleic acid content and tight junction status. Endocrinology 135: 1119-1124.

Gisi, D. D., DePeters, E. J., & Pelissier, C. L. 1986. Three times daily milking of cows in California dairy herds. Journal of Dairy Science 69: 863-868.

Goodman, G. T., Akers, R. M., Friderici, K. H., & Tucker, H. A. 1983. Hormonal regulation of alpha-lactalbumin secretion from bovine mammary tissue cultured in vitro. Endocrinology 112: 1324-1330.

Goodman, G. T., & Grosvenor, C. E. 1983. Neuroendocrine control of the milk ejection reflex. Journal of Dairy Science 66: 2226-2235.

Goodman, R. E., & Schanbacher, F. L. 1991. Bovine lactoferrin mRNA: sequence, analysis, and expression in the mammary gland. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 180: 75-84.

Gorewit, R. C., & Tucker, H. A. 1976. Glucocorticoid binding in mammary tissue slices of cattle in various reproductive states. Journal of Dairy Science 59: 1890-1896.

Guy, M. A., McFadden, T. B., Cockrell, D. C., & Besser, T. E. 1994. Effects of unilateral prepartum milking on concentrations of immunoglobulin G1 and prolactin in colostrum. Journal of Dairy Science 77: 3584-3591.

Guyette, W. A., Matusik, R. J., & Rosen, J. M. 1979. Prolactin-mediated transcriptional and post-transcriptional control of casein gene expression. Cell 17: 1013-1023.

Hackett, A. J., & Tucker, H. A. 1969. Correlation between mammary nucleic acids of rats during immaturity and lactation. Journal of Dairy Science 52: 1268-1272.

Hadsell, D., George, J., & Torres, D. 2007. The declining phase of lactation: peripheral or central, programmed or pathological? Journal of Mammary Gland Biology and Neoplasia 12: 59-70.

Hadsell, D. L., Parlow, A. F., Torres, D., George, J., & Olea, W. 2008. Enhancement of maternal lactation performance during prolonged lactation in the mouse by mouse GH and long-R3-IGF-I is linked to changes in mammary signaling and gene expression. Journal of Endocrinology 198: 61-70.

Harness, H. R., & Anderson, R. R. 1975. Effect of relaxin on mammary gland growth and lactation in the rat. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 148: 933-936.

Heesom, K. J., Souza, P. F., Ilic, V., & Williamson, D. H. 1992. Chain-length dependency of interactions of medium-chain fatty acids with glucose metabolism in acini isolated from lactating rat mammary glands. A putative feed-back to control milk lipid synthesis from glucose. The Biochemical Journal 281 (Pt 1): 273-278.

Henderson, A. J., & Peaker, M. 1984. Feed-back control of milk secretion in the goat by a chemical in milk. Journal of Physiology 351: 39-45.

Hennighausen, L., Robinson, G. W., Wagner, K. U., & Liu, W. 1997a. Prolactin signaling in mammary gland development. Journal of Biological Chemistry 272: 7567-7569.

Hennighausen, L., Robinson, G. W., Wagner, K. U., & Liu, X. 1997b. Developing a mammary gland is a stat affair. Journal of Mammary Gland Biology and Neoplasia 2: 365-372.

Hill, S. M., Frasch, T., Xiang, S., Yuan, L., Duplessis, T., & Mao, L. 2009. Molecular mechanisms of melatonin anticancer effects. Integrative Cancer Therapies 8: 337-346.

Hillerton, J. E., Knight, C. H., Turvey, A., Wheatley, S. D., & Wilde, C. J. 1990. Milk yield and mammary function in dairy cows milked four times daily. Journal of Dairy Research 57: 285-294.

Horseman, N. D. 1999. Prolactin and mammary gland development. Journal of Mammary Gland Biology and Neoplasia 4: 79-88.

Howe, J. E., Heald, C. W., & Bibb, T. L. 1975. Histology of induced bovine lactogenesis. Journal of Dairy Science 58: 853-860.

Hu, X., Juneja, S. C., Maihle, N. J., & Cleary, M. P. 2002. Leptin--a growth factor in normal and malignant breast cells and for normal mammary gland development. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 94: 1704-1711.

Hurley, W. L., Doane, R. M., O'Day-Bowman, M. B., Winn, R. J., Mojonnier, L. E., & Sherwood, O. D. 1991. Effect of relaxin on mammary development in ovariectomized pregnant gilts. Endocrinology 128: 1285-1290.

Hynes, N. E., Cella, N., & Wartmann, M. 1997. Prolactin mediated intracellular signaling in mammary epithelial cells. Journal of Mammary Gland Biology and Neoplasia 2: 19-27.

Imagawa, W., Pedchenko, V. K., Helber, J., & Zhang, H. 2002. Hormone/growth factor interactions mediating epithelial/stromal communication in mammary gland development and carcinogenesis. Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 80: 213-230.

Ingalls, W. G., Convey, E. M., & Hafs, H. D. 1973. Bovine serum LH, GH, and prolactin during late pregnancy, parturition and early lactation. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 143: 161-164.

Kelly, P. A., Bachelot, A., Kedzia, C., Hennighausen, L., Ormandy, C. J., Kopchick, J. J., & Binart, N. 2002. The role of prolactin and growth hormone in mammary gland development. Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology 197: 127-131.

Keys, J. E., Capuco, A. V., Akers, R. M., & Djiane, J. 1989. Comparative study of mammary gland development and differentiation between beef and dairy heifers. Domestic Animal Endocrinology 6: 311-319.

Kingsley-Kallesen, M., Mukhopadhyay, S. S., Wyszomierski, S. L., Schanler, S., Schutz, G., & Rosen, J. M. 2002. The mineralocorticoid receptor may compensate for the loss of the glucocorticoid receptor at specific stages of mammary gland development. Molecular Endocrinology 16: 2008-2018.

Knabel, M., Kolle, S., & Sinowatz, F. 1998. Expression of growth hormone receptor in the bovine mammary gland during prenatal development. Anatomy and Embryology 198: 163-169.

Knight, C. H. 1992. Milk yield responses to sequential treatments with recombinant bovine somatotropin and frequent milking in lactating goats. Journal of Dairy Research 59: 115-122.

Knight, C. H., Fowler, P. A., & Wilde, C. J. 1990. Galactopoietic and mammogenic effects of long-term treatment with bovine growth hormone and thrice daily milking in goats. Journal of Endocrinology 127: 129-138.

Knight, C. H., & Peaker, M. 1984. Mammary development and regression during lactation in goats in relation to milk secretion. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Physiology 69: 331-338.

Koprowski, J. A., & Tucker, H. A. 1973a. Bovine serum growth hormone, corticoids and insulin during lactation. Endocrinology 93: 645-651.

Koprowski, J. A., & Tucker, H. A. 1973b. Serum prolactin during various physiological states and its relationship to milk production in the bovine. Endocrinology 92: 1480-1487.

Kuenzi, M. J., Connolly, B. A., & Sherwood, O. D. 1995. Relaxin acts directly on rat mammary nipples to stimulate their growth. Endocrinology 136: 2943-2947.

Kuhn, E. R., De Ryck, L., & Wuytack, F. C. 1973. Influence of large doses of oxytocin on milk ejection and metabolic rate of rat mammary gland. Journal of Dairy Science 56: 864-868.

Lacasse, P., Lollivier, V., Bruckmaier, R. M., Boisclair, Y. R., Wagner, G. W., & Boutinaud, M. 2008. Effect of the prolactin-release inhibitor Quinagolide on dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 91 (Suppl. 1): 379 (Abstr.).

Lacasse, P., & Prosser, C. G. 2003. Mammary blood flow does not limit milk yield in lactating goats. Journal of Dairy Science 86: 2094-2097.

Laud, K., Gourdou, I., Belair, L., Keisler, D. H., & Djiane, J. 1999. Detection and regulation of leptin receptor mRNA in ovine mammary epithelial cells during pregnancy and lactation. FEBS Letters 463: 194-198.

Lee, E. Y., Lee, W. H., Kaetzel, C. S., Parry, G., & Bissell, M. J. 1985. Interaction of mouse mammary epithelial cells with collagen substrata: regulation of casein gene expression and secretion. Proceedings of the National Academy for Science, USA 82: 1419-1423.

Lee, E. Y., Parry, G., & Bissell, M. J. 1984. Modulation of secreted proteins of mouse mammary epithelial cells by the collagenous substrata. Journal of Cell Biology 98: 146-155.

Leech, F. B. 1950. The galactopoietic effect of iodinated casein; dose response relationships during prolonged treatment. Journal of Endocrinology 7: 42-53.

Levy, H. R. 1963. Inhibition of mammary gland acetyl CoA carboxylase by fatty acids. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communiucations 13: 267-272.

Levy, H. R. 1964. The Effects of Weaning and Milk on Mammary Fatty Acid Synthesis. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 84: 229-238.

Li, M., Li, Q., & Gao, X. 2010. Expression and function of leptin and its receptor in dairy goat mammary gland. Journal of Dairy Research 77: 213-219.

Li, S., & Rosen, J. M. 1994. Glucocorticoid regulation of rat whey acidic protein gene expression involves hormone-induced alterations of chromatin structure in the distal promoter region. Molecular Endocrinology 8: 1328-1335.

Linnerud, A. C., Caruolo, E. V., Miller, G. E., Marx, G. D., & Donker, J. D. 1966. Lactation studies. X. Total daily production as affected by number of times milked, number of times stimulated, and method of stimulation. Journal of Dairy Science 49: 1529-1532.

Linzell, J. L. 1966. Measurement of udder volume in live goats as an index of mammary growth and function. Journal of Dairy Science 49: 307-311.

Linzell, J. L., & Peaker, M. 1971. Mechanism of milk secretion. Physiological Reviews 51: 564-597.

Lollivier, V., & Marnet, P. 2005. Galactopoietic effect of milking in lactating Holstein cows: role of physiological doses of oxytocin. Livestock Production Science 95: 131-142.

Maltz, E., Blatchford, D. R., & Peaker, M. 1984. Effects of frequent milking on milk secretion and mammary blood flow in the goat. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Physiology 69: 127-132.

Mao, W., & Caruolo, E. V. 1973. Effect of lactose content and milking interval on mammary blood flow. Journal of Dairy Science 56: 729-732.

Matsumoto, M., Nishinakagawa, H., Kurohmaru, M., Hayashi, Y., & Otsuka, J. 1992. Pregnancy and lactation affect the microvasculature of the mammary gland in mice. The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science 54: 937-943.

McFadden, T. B. 1997. Prospects for improving lactation persistency. In: R. A. Welch, D. J. Burns, S. R. Davis, A. I. Popay and C. G. Prosser (eds.) Milk Composition, Production and Biotechnology. p 319-339. CAB International, Wallingford.

Meites, J. 1961. Farm animals: hormonal induction of lactation and galactopoiesis. In: S. K. a. A. T. C. Kon (ed.) Milk: the Mammary Gland and Its Secretions No. 1. p 321-367. Academic Press, London.

Miller, A. R., Erdman, R. A., Douglass, L. W., & Dahl, G. E. 2000. Effects of photoperiodic manipulation during the dry period of dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 83: 962-967.

Miller, A. R., Stanisiewski, E. P., Erdman, R. A., Douglass, L. W., & Dahl, G. E. 1999. Effects of long daily photoperiod and bovine somatotropin (Trobest) on milk yield in cows. Journal of Dairy Science 82: 1716-1722.

Miller, N., Delbecchi, L., Petitclerc, D., Wagner, G. F., Talbot, B. G., & Lacasse, P. 2006. Effect of stage of lactation and parity on mammary gland cell renewal. Journal of Dairy Science 89: 4669-4677.

Molento, C. F., Block, E., Cue, R. I., & Petitclerc, D. 2002. Effects of insulin, recombinant bovine somatotropin, and their interaction on insulin-like growth factor-I secretion and milk protein production in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 85: 738-747.

Motta, M., Accornero, P., & Baratta, M. 2004. Leptin and prolactin modulate the expression of SOCS-1 in association with interleukin-6 and tumor necrosis factor-alpha in mammary cells: a role in differentiated secretory epithelium. Regulatory Peptides 121: 163-170.

Nagai, J., & Sarkar, N. K. 1978. Relationship between milk yield and mammary gland development in mice. Journal of Dairy Science 61: 733-739.

Nickerson, S. C., & Akers, R. M. 1984. Biochemical and ultrastructural aspects of milk synthesis and secretion. International Journal of Biochemistry 16: 855-865.

Nostrand, S. D., Galton, D. M., Erb, H. N., & Bauman, D. E. 1991. Effects of daily exogenous oxytocin on lactation milk yield and composition. Journal of Dairy Science 74: 2119-2127.

Ormandy, C. J., Naylor, M., Harris, J., Robertson, F., Horseman, N. D., Lindeman, G. J., Visvader, J., & Kelly, P. A. 2003. Investigation of the transcriptional changes underlying functional defects in the mammary glands of prolactin receptor knockout mice. Recent Progress in Hormone Research 58: 297-323.

Ota, K., Harai, Y., Unno, H., Sakauchi, S., & Tomogane, H. 1974. Corticosterone secretion in response to suckling at various stages of normal and prolonged lactation in rats. Journal of Endocrinology 62: 679-680.

Paape, M. J., & Tucker, H. A. 1969. Mammary nucleic acid, hydroxyproline, and hexosamine of pregnant rats during lactation and post-lactational involution. Journal of Dairy Science 52: 380-385.

Pandi-Perumal, S. R., Trakht, I., Srinivasan, V., Spence, D. W., Maestroni, G. J., Zisapel, N., & Cardinali, D. P. 2008. Physiological effects of melatonin: role of melatonin receptors and signal transduction pathways. Progress in Neurobiology 85: 335-353.

Parmar, H., & Cunha, G. R. 2004. Epithelial-stromal interactions in the mouse and human mammary gland in vivo. Endocrine-Related Cancer 11: 437-458.

Paterson, J. Y., & Linzell, J. L. 1974. Cortisol secretion rate, glucose entry rate and the mammary uptake of cortisol and glucose during pregnancy and lactation in dairy cows. Journal of Endocrinology 62: 371-383.

Peaker, M. 1980. The effect of raised intramammary pressure on mammary function in the goat in relation to the cessation of lactation. Journal of Physiology 301: 415-428.

Peaker, M., Fleet, I. R., Davis, A. J., & Taylor, E. 1995. The effects of relaxin on the response of intramammary pressure and mammary blood flow to exogenous oxytocin in the goat. Experimental Physiology 80: 1047-1052.

Peaker, M., & Taylor, E. 1994. Inhibitory effect of milk fat on milk secretion in the mouse: a re-examination. Experimental Physiology 79: 561-564.

Peaker, M., & Wilde, C. J. 1987. Milk secretion: autocrine control. News in Physiologial Science 2: 124-126.

Pearl, S. L., Downey, H. F., & Lepper, T. L. 1973. Intramammary pressure and mammary blood flow in lactating goats. Journal of Dairy Science 56: 1319-1323.

Pearson, R. E., Fulton, L. A., Thompson, P. D., & Smith, J. W. 1979. Three times a day milking during the first half of lactation. Journal of Dairy Science 62: 1941-1950.

Peters, R. R., Chapin, L. T., Emery, R. S., & Tucker, H. A. 1981. Milk yield, feed intake, prolactin, growth hormone, and glucocorticoid response of cows to supplemented light. Journal of Dairy Science 64: 1671-1678.

Petitclerc, D., Vinet, C. M., Roy, G., & Lacasse, P. 1998. Prepartum photoperiod and melatonin feeding on milk production and prolactin concentrations of dairy heifers and cows. Journal of Dairy Science 81 (Suppl. 1): 251. (Abstr.).

Plath-Gabler, A., Gabler, C., Sinowatz, F., Berisha, B., & Schams, D. 2001. The expression of the IGF family and GH receptor in the bovine mammary gland. Journal of Endocrinology 168: 39-48.

Plaut, K., Bauman, D. E., Agergaard, N., & Akers, R. M. 1987. Effect of exogenous prolactin administration on lactational performance of dairy cows. Domestic Animal Endocrinology 4: 279-290.

Poole, D. A. 1982. The effects of milking cows three times daily. Animal Production 34: 197-201.

Postel-Vinay, M. C., & Kelly, P. A. 1996. Growth hormone receptor signalling. Baillieres Clinincal Endocrinology and Metabolism 10: 323-336.

Prosser, C. G., Davis, S. R., Farr, V. C., & Lacasse, P. 1996. Regulation of blood flow in the mammary microvasculature. Journal of Dairy Science 79: 1184-1197.

Prosser, C. G., Farr, V. C., & Davis, S. R. 1994. Increased mammary blood flow in the lactating goat induced by parathyroid hormone-related protein. Experimental Physiology 79: 565-570.

Prosser, C. G., Fleet, I. R., Corps, A. N., Froesch, E. R., & Heap, R. B. 1990. Increase in milk secretion and mammary blood flow by intra-arterial infusion of insulin-like growth factor-I into the mammary gland of the goat. Journal of Endocrinology 126: 437-443.

Purup, S., Sejrsen, K., Foldager, J., & Akers, R. M. 1993. Effect of exogenous bovine growth hormone and ovariectomy on prepubertal mammary growth, serum hormones and acute in-vitro proliferative response of mammary explants from Holstein heifers. Journal of Endocrinology 139: 19-26.

Quarrie, L. H., Addey, C. V., & Wilde, C. J. 1994. Local regulation of mammary apoptosis in the lactating goat. Biochemical Society Transactions 22: 178S.

Rennison, M. E., Kerr, M., Addey, C. V., Handel, S. E., Turner, M. D., Wilde, C. J., & Burgoyne, R. D. 1993. Inhibition of constitutive protein secretion from lactating mouse mammary epithelial cells by FIL (feedback inhibitor of lactation), a secreted milk protein. Journal of Cell Science 106 (Pt 2): 641-648.

Reversi, A., Cassoni, P., & Chini, B. 2005. Oxytocin receptor signaling in myoepithelial and cancer cells. Journal of Mammary Gland Biology and Neoplasia 10: 221-229.

Rosen, J. M., Jones, W. K., Rodgers, J. R., Compton, J. G., Bisbee, C. A., David-Inouye, Y., & Yu-Lee, L. Y. 1986. Regulatory sequences involved in the hormonal control of casein gene expression. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 464: 87-99.

Rosen, J. M., Wyszomierski, S. L., & Hadsell, D. 1999. Regulation of milk protein gene expression. Annual Reviews in Nutrition 19: 407-436.

Sahar, S., & Sassone-Corsi, P. 2007. Circadian clock and breast cancer: a molecular link. Cell Cycle 6: 1329-1331.

Sakai, S., Kohmoto, K., & Shoda, Y. 1985. Correlation between mammary prolactin receptors of lactating mice and litter weight. Journal of Dairy Science 68: 2565-2570.

Sanchez-Barcelo, E. J., Cos, S., Fernandez, R., & Mediavilla, M. D. 2003. Melatonin and mammary cancer: a short review. Endocrine-Related Cancer 10: 153-159.

Sanchez-Barcelo, E. J., Mediavilla, M. D., & Tucker, H. A. 1990. Influence of melatonin on mammary gland growth: in vivo and in vitro studies. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 194: 103-107.

Sanchez-Barcelo, E. J., Mediavilla, M. D., Zinn, S. A., Buchanan, B. A., Chapin, L. T., & Tucker, H. A. 1991. Melatonin suppression of mammary growth in heifers. Biology of Reproduction 44: 875-879.

Schams, D., Russe, I., Schallenberger, E., Prokopp, S., & Chan, J. S. 1984. The role of steroid hormones, prolactin and placental lactogen on mammary gland development in ewes and heifers. Journal of Endocrinology 102: 121-130.

Schmidhauser, C., Bissell, M. J., Myers, C. A., & Casperson, G. F. 1990. Extracellular matrix and hormones transcriptionally regulate bovine beta-casein 5' sequences in stably transfected mouse mammary cells. Proceedings of the National Academy for Science, USA 87: 9118-9122.

Schmidt, G. H., Warner, R. G., Tyrrell, H. F., & Hansel, W. 1971. Effect of thyroprotein feeding on dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 54: 481-492.

Sejrsen, K., Purup, S., Vestergaard, M., Weber, M. S., & Knight, C. H. 1999. Growth hormone and mammary development. Domestic Animal Endocrinology 17: 117-129.

Shamay, A., Cohen, N., Niwa, M., & Gertler, A. 1988. Effect of insulin-like growth factor I on deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis and galactopoiesis in bovine undifferentiated and lactating mammary tissue in vitro. Endocrinology 123: 804-809.

Shannon, J. M., & Pitelka, D. R. 1981. The influence of cell shape on the induction of functional differentiation in mouse mammary cells in vitro. In Vitro 17: 1016-1028.

Sharp, J. A., Cane, K. N., Lefevre, C., Arnould, J. P., & Nicholas, K. R. 2006. Fur seal adaptations to lactation: insights into mammary gland function. Current Topics in Developmental Biology 72: 275-308.

Sharp, J. A., Lefevre, C., & Nicholas, K. R. 2008. Lack of functional alpha-lactalbumin prevents involution in Cape fur seals and identifies the protein as an apoptotic milk factor in mammary gland involution. BMC Biology 6: 48.

Sherwood, O. D., Downing, S. J., Guico-Lamm, M. L., Hwang, J. J., O'Day-Bowman, M. B., & Fields, P. A. 1993. The physiological effects of relaxin during pregnancy: studies in rats and pigs. Oxford Reviews in Reproductive Biology 15: 143-189.

Silva, L. F., VandeHaar, M. J., Weber Nielsen, M. S., & Smith, G. W. 2002. Evidence for a local effect of leptin in bovine mammary gland. Journal of Dairy Science 85: 3277-3286.

Silver, I. A. 1956. Vascular changes in the mammary gland during engorgement with milk. Journal of Physiology 133: 65-66.

Sinowatz, F., Schams, D., Plath, A., & Kolle, S. 2000. Expression and localization of growth factors during mammary gland development. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 480: 19-25.

Smith, K. L., & Schanbacher, F. L. 1973. Hormone induced lactation in the bovine. I. Lactational performance following injections of 17-estradiol and progesterone. Journal of Dairy Science 56: 738-743.

Soloff, M. S. 1982. Oxytocin receptors and mammary myoepithelial cells. Journal of Dairy Science 65: 326-337.

Sorensen, A., & Knight, C. H. 2002. Endocrine profiles of cows undergoing extended lactation in relation to the control of lactation persistency. Domestic Animal Endocrinology 23: 111-123.

Sorensen, A., Muir, D. D., & Knight, C. H. 2008. Extended lactation in dairy cows: effects of milking frequency, calving season and nutrition on lactation persistency and milk quality. Journal of Dairy Research 75: 90-97.

Stanley, R. W., & Morita, K. 1967. Effect of feeding thyroprotein to dairy cattle in a subtropical environment on milk composition and production, rumen metabolism, and fatty acid composition of milk fat. Journal of Dairy Science 50: 1097-1100.

Stelwagen, K. 2001. Effect of milking frequency on mammary functioning and shape of the lactation curve. Journal of Dairy Science 84 (Suppl. 1): E204-E211.

Stelwagen, K., Davis, S. R., Farr, V. C., Prosser, C. G., & Sherlock, R. A. 1994. Mammary epithelial cell tight junction integrity and mammary blood flow during an extended milking interval in goats. Journal of Dairy Science 77: 426-432.

Stelwagen, K., van Espen, D. C., Verkerk, G. A., McFadden, H. A., & Farr, V. C. 1998. Elevated plasma cortisol reduces permeability of mammary tight junctions in the lactating bovine mammary epithelium. Journal of Endocrinology 159: 173-178.

Stevens, R. G. 2006. Artificial lighting in the industrialized world: circadian disruption and breast cancer. Cancer Causes Control 17: 501-507.

Stockdale, C. R. 2006. Influence of milking frequency on the productivity of dairy cows. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 46: 965-974.

Streuli, C. H., Bailey, N., & Bissell, M. J. 1991. Control of mammary epithelial differentiation: basement membrane induces tissue-specific gene expression in the absence of cell-cell interaction and morphological polarity. Journal of Cell Biology 115: 1383-1395.

Streuli, C. H., & Bissell, M. J. 1990. Expression of extracellular matrix components is regulated by substratum. Journal of Cell Biology 110: 1405-1415.

Suard, Y. M., Haeuptle, M. T., Farinon, E., & Kraehenbuhl, J. P. 1983. Cell proliferation and milk protein gene expression in rabbit mammary cell cultures. Journal of Cell Biology 96: 1435-1442.

Tamarkin, L., Cohen, M., Roselle, D., Reichert, C., Lippman, M., & Chabner, B. 1981. Melatonin inhibition and pinealectomy enhancement of 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene-induced mammary tumors in the rat. Cancer Research 41: 4432-4436.

Thatcher, W. W., & Tucker, H. A. 1968. Intensive nursing and lactational performance during extended lactation. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 128: 46-48.

Thatcher, W. W., & Tucker, H. A. 1970. Lactational performance of rats injected with oxytocin, cortisol-21-acetate, prolactin and growth hormone during prolonged lactation. Endocrinology 86: 237-240.

Titus, J. G., Crawford, H. M., Wall, E. H., Dahl, G. E., & McFadden, T. B. 2008. Evidence that prolactin does not drive the milk yield response to frequent milking in early lactation. Journal of Dairy Science 91 (Suppl. 1): 439 (Abstr.).

Tucker, H. A. 1966. Regulation of mammary nucleic acid content by various suckling intensities. American Journal of Physiology 210: 1209-1214.

Tucker, H. A. 1969. Factors affecting mammary gland cell numbers. Journal of Dairy Science 52: 720-729.

Tucker, H. A. 1985. Endocrine and neural control of the mammary gland. In: B. L. Larson (ed.) Lactation. p 39-79. The Iowa State University Press, Ames.

Tucker, H. A. 1987. Quantitative estimates of mammary growth during various physiological states: a review. Journal of Dairy Science 70: 1958-1966.

Tucker, H. A. 2000. Hormones, mammary growth, and lactation: a 41-year perspective. Journal of Dairy Science 83: 874-884.

Tucker, H. A., & Meites, J. 1965. Induction of Lactation in Pregnant Heifers with 9-Fluoroprednisolone Acetate. Journal of Dairy Science 48: 403-405.

Tucker, H. A., Paape, M. J., & Sinha, Y. N. 1967. Ovariectomy and suckling intensity effects on mammary nucleic acid, prolactin, and ACTH. American Journal of Physiology 213: 262-266.

Tucker, H. A., & Reece, R. P. 1963a. Nucleic acid content of mammary glands of rats lactating 41 and 61 days. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 112: 688-690.

Tucker, H. A., & Reece, R. P. 1963b. Nucleic acid content of rat mammary gland after teat ligation. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 113: 717-720.

Tucker, H. A., & Thatcher, W. W. 1968. Pituitary growth hormone and luteinizing hormone content after various nursing intensities. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 129: 578-580.

Tucker, H. A., Vines, D. T., Stellflug, J. N., & Convey, E. M. 1975. Milking, thyrotropin-releasing hormone and prostaglandin induced release of prolactin and growth hormone in cows. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 149: 462-469.

Vonderhaar, B. K. 1987. Regulation of mammary gland development and differentiation by prolactin. In: M. C. Neville and C. W. Daniel (eds.) The mammary gland: development, regulation, and function. p 389-. Plenum Press, New York.

Wahab, I. M., & Anderson, R. R. 1989. Physiologic role of relaxin on mammary gland growth in rats. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 192: 285-289.

Wall, E. H., Auchtung-Montgomery, T. L., Dahl, G. E., & McFadden, T. B. 2005. Short communication: Short-day photoperiod during the dry period decreases expression of suppressors of cytokine signaling in mammary gland of dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 88: 3145-3148.

Wall, E. H., Crawford, H. M., Ellis, S. E., Dahl, G. E., & McFadden, T. B. 2006. Mammary response to exogenous prolactin or frequent milking during early lactation in dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 89: 4640-4648.

Weng, M. H., Yu, T. C., Chen, S. E., Peh, H. C., Liu, W. B., Chen, M. T., Nagahata, H., & Chang, C. J. 2008. Regional accretion of gelatinase B in mammary gland during gradual and acute involution of dairy animals. Journal of Dairy Research 75: 202-210.

Wilde, C. J., Calvert, D. T., Daly, A., & Peaker, M. 1987. The effect of goat milk fractions on synthesis of milk constituents by rabbit mammary explants and on milk yield in vivo. Evidence for autocrine control of milk secretion. The Biochemical Journal 242: 285-288.

Wilde, C. J., Hasan, H. R., & Mayer, R. J. 1984. Comparison of collagen gels and mammary extracellular matrix as substrata for study of terminal differentiation in rabbit mammary epithelial cells. Experimental Cell Research 151: 519-532.

Wilde, C. J., Prentice, A., & Peaker, M. 1995. Breast-feeding: matching supply with demand in human lactation. Proceedings of the Nutritional Society 54: 401-406.

Winn, R. J., Baker, M. D., Merle, C. A., & Sherwood, O. D. 1994. Individual and combined effects of relaxin, estrogen, and progesterone in ovariectomized gilts. II. Effects on mammary development. Endocrinology 135: 1250-1255.

Wood, P. D. P. 1967. Algebraic model of the lactation curve in cattle. Nature 216: 164.

Wyszomierski, S. L., Yeh, J., & Rosen, J. M. 1999. Glucocorticoid receptor/signal transducer and activator of transcription 5 (STAT5) interactions enhance STAT5 activation by prolonging STAT5 DNA binding and tyrosine phosphorylation. Molecular Endocrinology 13: 330-343.

Yang, J., Zhao, B., Baracos, V. E., & Kennelly, J. J. 2005. Effects of bovine somatotropin on beta-casein mRNA levels in mammary tissue of lactating cows. Journal of Dairy Science 88: 2806-2812.

Yasugi, T., Kaido, T., & Uehara, Y. 1989. Changes in density and architecture of microvessels of the rat mammary gland during pregnancy and lactation. Archives of Histology and Cytology 52: 115-122.

Zaleski, H. M., Winn, R. J., Jennings, R. L., & Sherwood, O. D. 1996. Effects of relaxin on lactational performance in ovariectomized gilts. Biology of Reproduction 55: 671-675.

Zamiri, M. J., Qotbi, A., & Izadifard, J. 2001. Effect of daily oxytocin injection on milk yield and lactation length in sheep. Small Ruminant Research 40: 179-185.

Zhao, L., Roche, P. J., Gunnersen, J. M., Hammond, V. E., Tregear, G. W., Wintour, E. M., & Beck, F. 1999. Mice without a functional relaxin gene are unable to deliver milk to their pups. Endocrinology 140: 445-453.

Zoubiane, G. S., Valentijn, A., Lowe, E. T., Akhtar, N., Bagley, S., Gilmore, A. P., & Streuli, C. H. 2004. A role for the cytoskeleton in prolactin-dependent mammary epithelial cell differentiation. Journal of Cell Science 117: 271-280.