

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

4,800

Open access books available

122,000

International authors and editors

135M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.

For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Response of C₃ and C₄ Plant Systems Exposed to Heavy Metals for Phytoextraction at Elevated Atmospheric CO₂ and at Elevated Temperature

Jatin Srivastava¹, Harish Chandra²,
Anant R. Nautiyal³ and Swinder J. S. Kalra⁴

¹*Department of Applied Sciences, Global Group of Institutions
Raebareli Road Lucknow UP*

²*Department of Biotechnology, G. B. Pant Engineering College
Ghurdauri, Pauri Garhwal, Uttrakhand*

³*High Altitude Plant Physiology Research Centre*

H. N. B. Garhwal University, Srinagar Garhwal, Uttrakhand

⁴*Department of Chemistry, Dayanand Anglo Vedic College, Civil-Lines, Kanpur UP
India*

1. Introduction

Increasing concentration of CO₂ in the lower atmosphere and an increase in average annual temperature are two major factors associated with global climate change. Researches show profound impact of climate change on the global primary productivity (Krupa, 1996; Kimball, 1983). Apart from the climate change, environmental contamination especially of those chemicals are non degradable and persist in the environment for long e.g., chlorinated pesticides, and heavy metals. In fact, heavy metal ions such as Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Mn²⁺, Ni²⁺, Fe²⁺, and Co²⁺ are essential in trace amounts for growth of organisms (Kunze et al., 2001; Choudhry et al., 2006), however; the excessive amounts of these metal ions along with other non essential metals such as Pb²⁺, Cd²⁺, and Hg²⁺ aggravated the menace to an alarming stage (Kamal et al., 2004). Our knowledge regarding the non degradable contaminants has enhanced in last two decades however; more researches are needed for the mitigation and to reduce the introduction of any new contaminant in to the environment. Researchers all over the world endeavoured to resolve the problem and have developed several techniques to restore the quality of environment. The only solution to the problem associated with non degradable contaminants is the removal from the contaminated sites (Lasat, 2002).

Phyto-remediation has achieved a top priority among the activists and scientists because of its cost effectivity and sustainable nature. Voluminous literature based on extraneous researches is available today supporting the use of plant systems for the removal of heavy metals from the contaminated area (Khan et al., 1998; Ebbs & Kochian, 1998; Hinchman et al., 1998; Srivastava & Purnima, 1998; Pulford & Watson, 2003; Wilde et al., 2005) and the references quoted there in. Since plants respond differently in altered environmental conditions viz., nutrient status of soil, water availability, pollution, elevated CO₂ and

elevated temperature and humidity, it is imperative to review the performances and responses of plant systems especially when growing in contaminated sites with heavy metals at extreme environmental conditions like that are posed by the global climate change. However; prior to review the responses of C_3 and C_4 plant systems lets consider the general aspects regarding heavy metals, contamination, toxicity and phytoremediation.

1.1 Heavy metals (HMs): Contamination and toxicity

Heavy metals (HMs) form the main group of inorganic contaminants (Alloway, 1990). There are number of instances worldwide polluted with HMs naturally as well as anthropogenically. Industries especially metallurgical, foundries, mining, tanneries and thermal power plants have significant importance as these generate huge amounts of waste containing higher concentrations of toxic metals (Gupta et al., 2010; Aguilera et al., 2010). HMs are defined as the transition elements having density more than 5 gm cm^{-3} , and recently have been defined as the Class - B (border line) (Nieboer & Richardson, 1990). Out of 90 naturally occurring elements, 53 are heavy metals (Weast, 1984) out of which only few (around 17) metals are biologically significant because these are readily available to living cells (Weast, 1984; Pickering, 1995). Action of HMs leading toxicity in living cells depending upon several physico-chemical factors such as redox potential (of surrounding medium and inside the cells). Because of being transitional elements, several ions of different valence states are quite common of same metal for e.g., four species of chromium (Cr) viz., Cr, Cr^{3+} , Cr^{4+} , and Cr^{6+} . Most of the HMs usually form cations e.g., Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , and Co^{2+} (table 1). There exist two groups of HMs viz., redox active and redox inactive (Schützendübel & Polle, 2002). Once toxic metals are present in the environment they eventually become a part of abiotic and biotic components of an ecosystem (Galloway et al., 1982), posing toxicity to the living organisms interacting with each other. Metals with low redox potential (Eh value) has very little significance for biological redox reactions. Auto-oxidation and Fenton type reactions are supposed to be the cause of free radicals generation especially the reactive oxygen species (ROS) from HMs causing injury the cells and cell organelles (Jones et al., 1991; Shi et al., 1993; Stohs & Bagchi, 1995). Heavy metals are especially toxic because of their ability to bind with proteins and prevent DNA replication as these can bind strongly to oxygen, nitrogen and sulphur atoms (Nieboer & Richardson, 1980) and inactivate enzymes by binding to cysteine residues (Schützendübel & Polle, 2002).

Common occurring metals	Toxic ionic species
Cd (Cadmium)	Cd^{2+}
Co (Cobalt)	Co^{2+}
Cu (Copper)	Cu^{2+} , Cu^{3+}
Cr (Chromium)	Cr^{6+}
Fe (Iron)	Fe^{3+}
Hg (Mercury)	Hg^{2+} , Hg^{3+}
Mn (Manganese)	Mn^{2+} , Mn^{3+}
Ni (Nickel)	Ni^{2+} , Ni^{3+}
Pb (Lead)	Pb^{2+}
Zn (Zinc)	Zn^{2+}

Table 1. Heavy metals of common occurrence and their toxic ionic species

1.2 Phyto-remediation of HMs: General aspects

Removal of any non-degradable, undesirable, inorganic or organic contaminant or pollutant with the help of plants is commonly termed as phytoextraction and the process is called phyto-remediation. Phyto-remediation is a cost effective and a sustainable way to mitigate the environmental pollution that has attracted scientists and policy makers all over the world. The only remedy for heavy metal contamination is to remove and reuse (Chojnacka, 2010). Phytoextraction, rhizo-filtration and phytostabilization are the technical processes occur in a plant simultaneously to make up *in-situ* phyto-remediation (Suresh & Ravishankar, 2004). Phyto-remediation technique has technical advancement over the traditional chemical and physical techniques to remove contaminants from the environment (Garbisu & Alkorta, 2001). Phyto-mining which signifies the recovery of rare and valuable trace metal contaminants from the harvested biomass (net primary product) offers a great significance in metal removal. Metal contamination is removed by plants capable of defending the toxic manifestations by three distinct ways viz., (1) restrict entry of metals in to the soft growing tissues by excluding metals from the metabolic pathways, (2) restrict entry to the shoot as the metals is accumulated by the roots, (3) accumulation of metals in different parts (Kamal et al., 2004). Successful restoration is however; dependent on the selection of plant species based on the method of their establishment along with the knowledge of growth regulating factors (Tu & Ma, 2003). Ideally plants, growing fast, capable of producing higher biomass, and able to tolerate and accumulate high concentrations of HMs in shoots are best suited for phyto-remediation. *Brassicaceae* family of C₃ plants have metal accumulating capability to greater extent (Kumar et al., 1995). Phyto-remediation is most useful when contaminants are within the root zone of the plants i.e., top soil (up to 1 meters) (Wilde et al., 2005). Biochemically plants are equipped to protect their selves from the toxicity of metals as they synthesize Cys-rich (Cysteine rich), metal - binding peptides including phyto-chelatins and metallothioneins (-SH group containing peptides) (Jonak et al., 2004) to relocate HMs by chelation and sequestration in the vacuole (Clemens, 2001) on the other hand, membrane transport systems provide plants tolerance for toxic metals (Hall & Williams, 2003).

2. C₃ and C₄ plant system: An introduction

In nature three different plant systems exist viz., C₃, C₄ and CAM, characterized on the basis of CO₂ trapping mechanisms, however; C₄ and CAM plants essentially follow C₃ pathway to trap CO₂ as an initial step. C₄ is a characteristic photosynthesis syndrome of angiosperms. In general *phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase* (EC 4.1.1.31, PEPC) enzyme is widespread among all plants, including C₃ (e.g., *Pisum sativum*, *Gossypium hirsutum*, *Oryza sativa*, *Brassica campestris*, *Triticum aestivum*, *Avena sativa*) C₄ (e.g., *Zea mays*, *Saccharum officinarum*, *Sorghum spp.*, *Vetiveria zizanioides*, *Cyanadon dactylon*) and CAM (e.g., members of *Orchidaceae*, *Polypodiaceae* (ferns)) species and is responsible for the initial carbon fixation in C₄ and CAM plants (O' Leary, 1982). CAM plants are very few in nature and have very little significance for this review purpose. C₃ and C₄ plants have unique carbon trapping mechanisms. The general enzymatic system involves in CO₂ fixation in C₃ and C₄ are *Ribulose-1-5-bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase* (Rubisco EC 4.1.1.39) and *phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase* (PEPC), *NADP-malic enzyme* (NADP-ME), *Pyruvate, phosphate dikinase* (PPDK) respectively. The leaves of C₄ plants display Kranz anatomy

whereby an outer layer of mesophyll cells containing chloroplast surrounds vascular bundles with an inner layer of bundle sheath cells (Dengler & Nelson, 1999). In C_3 plants mesophyll cells are devoid of chloroplast and CO_2 is fixed in bundle sheath cells by Rubisco. Chloroplasts of C_3 plant contain a complete Calvin cycle and are able to assimilate CO_2 to convert it to the principle 3 carbon compound (triose phosphate), on the other hand CO_2 is distributed in two cells viz., mesophyll and bundle sheath in C_4 plants and converted primarily in 4 carbon compound (acid oxaloacetate) by the action of PEP-C in mesophyll cells (Ueno, 2001) which is then transported in bundle sheath cells where by the acids from mesophyll cells provide carbon dioxide. Extensive research literature is available on the comparative account of C_3 and C_4 plant systems (Du & Fang, 1982; Rajendrudu & Das, 1982; Matsuoko & Hata, 1987; Wand et al., 1999; Ueno, 2001; Winslow et al., 2003; Derner et al., 2003; Sage, 2004; Edwards et al., 2005; Niu et al., 2006; Caird et al., 2007; Bräutigam et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2009; Weber & Caemmerer, 2010, Doubnerová, & Ryšlavá, 2011) however; very few and scattered information is available on the comparative account of C_3 and C_4 plants and their growth performances under extreme environmental conditions. In this chapter, collective information based on the established researched facts from all over the world regarding the responses of C_3 and C_4 plants growing under stressful environment have been reviewed.

C_4 plants have higher rates of photosynthesis than C_3 plants (Sage, 2004; Weber & Caemmerer, 2010). Photosynthesis in C_4 plants does not saturate but increases at high light intensities and can continue at very low CO_2 concentrations (Sage, 2004; Bräutigam et al., 2008). Subsequently, these plants have rapid growth rates and higher biomass and economic yields as compared to the C_3 plants. There are evidences from researches that C_4 plant such as vetiver grass (*Vetiveria zizanioides* L. Nash) can withstand harsh environmental conditions (Truong & Baker, 1998, Chen et al., 2004, Chiu et al., 2006; Srivastava et al., 2008). A comparative study performed on two separate species belonging to C_3 and C_4 systems respectively show that the environmental tolerance depends on the high biomass production which is higher in case of C_4 plants (Ye et al., 1997; Ali et al., 2002). However; there is lack of information regarding the biochemical differences among C_3 and C_4 plant systems exposed to toxic environment for e.g., the extent of detoxification mechanism, mycorrhization, proteomes (expression of genes). The researches carried out for the investigations of toxic response of particular plant variety belonging to C_3 and C_4 type indicate that there is a high tolerance in C_4 plants as compared to the C_3 plants which may or may not be true for the entire group of plants belonging to these systems (Chapin, 1991; Ali et al., 2002; Niu et al., 2006). C_4 photosynthesis allows fast biomass accumulation with high nitrogen and water use efficiency (Leegood & Edwards, 1996; Sage, 2004) which is desired set of traits to increase the productivity of crop plants (Matsuoka et al., 1998) and a required character for successful phytoremediation.

3. Plant's response, environmental contamination and environmental factors

Prior to study the response of plant systems for any particular measurable environmental factor such as heavy metal concentration in water or soil, or set such as soil related factors including physical as well as chemical, it is required to take measurable responsive quantity such as biomass, growth rate, and enzyme kinetics. Small changes in environmental conditions may cause significant alterations in growth rates therefore growth rate is

preferred as the primary and essential parameters to monitor impact of any environmental factor. In general plants achieve variety of mechanisms providing tolerance or resistance against environmental heights. The locally adapted plants (Eco-types) are well versed with such mechanisms making the species capable to survive in their corresponding environmental conditions. The response of plants (C₃ and C₄ plant systems) exposed to any undefined environmental extremity should not be considered alone because the response of a plant against any (favorable or stressful) conditions is a result of collective influence of stress and prevailed environmental conditions for e.g., in warmer conditions C₃ plants do not respond positively however; C₄ does and vice versa which is evident from the studies of C₃ and C₄ intermediate plant species such as *Phragmites australis* (Zheng et al., 2000) and *Eleocharis vivipara* (Ueno, 2001). Continuous altering weather conditions, soil related factors, light intensity, water availability, nutrient status, temperature, humidity, and evaporation coefficient make the *in-situ* experimentation very difficult and the response measurement of plants becomes dubious and assignment of the reason for a particular response becomes tedious affair. There are many other factors that may or may not be responsible for a particular response, for e.g., plants growing in mine tailings may exhibit negative growth impacts, a response which is usually attributed to the presence of toxic metals however; the influence of prevailed environmental conditions remain silent which must be addressed. In general environmental stress conditions alter the plant metabolism e.g., photosynthesis.

4. Affect of climate change on C₃ and C₄ plant systems

Studies show that elevated CO₂ often enhances biomass more in C₃ (41 - 44%) than in C₄ plants (22 - 33%) (Poorter, 1993; Wand et al., 1999) however; more advanced studies suggest that certain environmental factors such as water and nutrient availability can modify plant response to CO₂ enrichment (Oren et al., 2001; Derner et al., 2003; Hikosaka et al., 2005). Although increased biomass in response to elevated CO₂ are often greater in C₃ plants, C₄ plants are often more resistant to high temperature and better adapt to low nutrient environments (Edwards et al., 2005). This suggests that even with elevated CO₂ levels, C₄ plants are able to maintain their competitive advantage over C₃ plants under environmental extremities (table 2). No any direct evidence is available on the responses of C₃ and C₄ plants used for environmental mitigation purposes at elevated CO₂ and temperature. However; the researches carried out for the investigations of toxic response of plants belonging to C₃ and C₄ system indicate high tolerance in C₄ plants as compared to the C₃ plants that can not be stipulated as a generalization for the entire group of plants belonging to these systems (Leegood and Edwards, 1996). C₄ photosynthesis allows fast biomass accumulation with high nitrogen and water use efficiency (Leegood and Edwards, 1996; Sage, 2004) and is a desired trait to increase the productivity of crop plants (Matsuoka et al., 1998). Studies of Ehleringer & Björkman (1977) showed that C₃ plants were favored at low temperature while the high temperature favored C₄ plants. The response of C₃ and C₄ plants and their global distribution has been proved to be a function of temperature (Lloyd & Farquhar, 1994). However; extensive research reports are available on the temperature and distribution of the C₃ and C₄ plants (Dickinson & Dodd, 1976; Ehleringer et al., 1997; Sage et al., 1999; Winslow et al., 2003).

In general C₃ plants are favored by low temperature thus distributed more at higher altitudes and C₄ plants are favored by high temperature. Riesterer et al. (2000) suggested

that essentiality of water availability for both (C_3 and C_4) plant systems to grow under seasonal temperature variations. In C_4 plant system photo-respiration is rarely greater than 5% of the rate of photosynthesis; in C_3 plants, it can exceed 30% of the rate of photosynthesis above 30°C (Sage & Pearcy, 2000). Because of such improvements, C_4 plants have higher productive potential, and greater light, water and nitrogen use efficiency of both photosynthesis and growth (Evans, 1993; Brown, 1999).

Response Parameters	C_3 under elevated CO_2 & temperature	C_4 under elevated CO_2 & temperature	References
Photosynthetic activity	Cold conditions preferred	Higher temperature resistant	Weber & Caemmerer, 2010; Ueno, 2001
Photorespiration	Can exceed 30%	Hardly achieve 5%	Sage, 2004
Light use efficiency	Lesser	Greater	Bräutigam et al., 2008; Evans, 1993
Biomass (gm dry wt.)	Slightly < C_4 (33%)	Slightly > C_3 (44%)	Sage, 2004; Wand et al., 1999
Mycorrhization	Lesser	Higher	Tang et al., 2009; Treseder, 2004
Water use efficiency	Less efficient	Highly efficient	Derner et al., 2003; Winslow et al., 2003
Nitrogen Use efficiency	Less efficient	Highly efficient	Niu et al., 2006; Edwards et al., 2005
Stomatal conductance	High	Lower	Caird et al., 2007

Table 2. Performance of C_3 and C_4 plants under elevated carbon dioxide (CO_2) and at elevated temperature

5. Climate change and microbial association in C_3 and C_4 plant systems

Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) association with roots of plants and is one of the well accepted factors responsible for their growth on disturbed sites such as heavy metal contaminated soils (Khade & Adholeya, 2009). In addition, microbial associations often provide some sort of immunity (Srivastava et al., 2008) to plants against the environmental extremities (Gaur & Adholeya, 2004). A unique feature added to plants is that, elevated CO_2 often increases in mycorrhizal colonization in the roots (Rilling et al., 1998; Treseder, 2004) because elevated CO_2 enhances carbon allocation to roots (Rilling & Allen, 1998). Studies also showed increase in mycorrhizal infection rate with elevated CO_2 levels (Staddon & Fidler, 1998; Treseder, 2004; Hu et al., 2005). Monz et al. (1994) reported that the enhancement of mycorrhizal colonization by elevated CO_2 was higher in C_4 plants than in C_3 plants. This CO_2 enhanced mycorrhizal colonization may alter plant nutrient uptake and plant interactions with their neighbors (O'Conner et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2007) particularly if mycorrhizae in the coexisting species respond differently to CO_2 . Interestingly, C_4 plants are more dependent on mycorrhizae for growth and productivity than C_3 plants (Wilson & Hartnett, 1998). Altered responses have been reported in C_3 and C_4 plants because of mycorrhizae under low nutrient environment (Tang et al., 2006). In agricultural systems most troublesome weeds especially those are difficult to control belong to C_4 plant systems,

while most of the major crops are C₃ plants (Patterson, 1995; Fubrer, 2003). Tang et al. (2009) reported the CO₂ enhanced arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) affect competition for light between C₄ and C₃ plants resulting in that shoot biomass of C₄ plants was higher than in C₃ under elevated CO₂.

6. Biochemical response evaluation of C₃ and C₄ plant systems exposed to heavy metal stress under elevated CO₂ and temperature

In general, the growth of plants increase under elevated atmospheric CO₂ (Poorter et al., 1996), depending upon the prevailing trends of certain anionic nutrients such as PO₄⁻³, and NO₃⁻¹. Voluminous literature in this regard indicates that plants growing well even under stressful conditions if provided with nutrients. Since C₄ plants have biochemical advantages over C₃ plant systems (table 3) as C₄ photosynthesis is characterized by excessive CO₂ at the site of Rubisco, that helps reducing the rate of photorespiration and increasing net carbon




Fig. 1. Photosynthesis diagram of C₃ and C₄ plant showing the role of PEPC enzyme in heavy metal response whereas Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) enzyme acts as metal detoxifying agent.

dioxide assimilation, in other words the biomass. The plants viz., C_3 and C_4 exposed to HMs stress under elevated atmospheric CO_2 and at elevated temperature follow the same trend as in normal conditions however; there are significant alterations in biochemistry of photosynthesis of both types of plant systems. Figure 1 shows the effect of HMs on the enzymes those catalyses the photosynthetic reactions e.g., PEPC, PPDK (pyruvate phosphoenol dikinase), NADP-ME (NADP dependent malic enzyme) (Doubnerová, & Ryšlavá, 2011). PEPC enzyme that catalyze the reaction of bicarbonate and phosphoenol pyruvate (PEP) to yield 4 C acid compound oxaloacetic acid (OAA) need divalent metal ions such as Mg^{+2} and Mn^{+2} for activation. These metal ions along with other such as Fe and Zn are cofactors and may be replaced by the HMs that inhibit the activity of enzyme resulting into less CO_2 supply at the rubisco site thereby reducing the net productivity.

Elevated atmospheric CO_2 can disrupt the pH (alkalization) of cell sap by forming HCO_3^{-1} , which induces the activity of PEPC. Despite having toxic manifestations of HMs, the abundant PEPC favors the production of OAA in C_4 plants, which is further converted into acidic malate by the action of NAD dependent malate dehydrogenase enzyme (NAD-MDH EC 1.1.1.37). This NAD-MDH enzyme also act as detoxifying agent as it also catalyze the formation of stable compounds of malate and metals such as aluminum (Ma & Furukawa, 2003). C_3 plants however; are devoid of such defense mechanisms as PEPC is not the primary carbon dioxide fixing enzymes and face the oxidative stress caused by HMs. Since photosynthetic efficiency depends largely on the activity of rubisco (Sage, 2004), elevated atmospheric CO_2 increases the photorespiration in C_3 plants as a result of oxygenase activity of rubisco increasing the oxidative conversion of metals present in the cell. The oxidative conversion of metals in C_3 plant is also favored by the higher atmospheric temperature (Du & Fang, 1982). The oxidation of metals in living organisms is thiol (-SH) containing compound mediated with the hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) catalase complex. Catalase is present in peroxisomes of plant cells. In C_3 plants high photorespiration rates as a result of elevated concentration of CO_2 , peroxisomes are large and numerous while in the C_4 plants the peroxisomes of mesophyll cells are small and fewer in number (Tolbert, 1971). The *milieu interior* of cells of C_4 plants is highly reductive thus prevent the oxidative conversion of metals.

7. Conclusion

Global climate change coupled with the environmental contamination with non-degradable substances such as pesticides, organic compounds and heavy metals are the well known fact of today's world. Among these the heavy metal contamination is inevitable in the modern age because of rapid urbanization. The health hazards of this non-degradable environmental contaminant are alarming as evident from the researches all over the world. The only solution is to extract or remove metal ions from the contaminated media. Phytoextraction is the most cost effective and environmentally sound technology for the removal of heavy metals whereby plants are employed to remove safely the toxic metal ions. C_3 and C_4 both are well researched for their ability to survive the environmental extremities such as that of climate change. Heavy metals are taken up by both types of plants however; we conclude with the findings that C_4 are the most appropriate plant system for phytoextraction although there are few benefits associated with C_3 such as higher biomass under elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide, which is required characteristic for removal of environmental

contaminants. In addition to this microbial association is also favored at elevated CO₂ that provide tolerance to the plants therefore only biomass can not be ascertained as the measure responsible for the survival of C₃ or C₄ plants in conditions like global climate change.

8. References

- Aguilera, I. Daponte, A. Gil, F. Hernández, A.F. Godoy, P. Pla, A. Ramos, J.L. (on behalf of the DASAHU group) (2010). Urinary levels of arsenic and heavy metals in children and adolescents living in the industrialised area of Ria of Huelva (SW Spain). *Environment International* 36: 563 – 569. ISSN No. 0160 – 4120
- Ali, N.A. Bernal, M.P. Ater, M. (2002). Tolerance and bioaccumulation of copper in *Phragmites australis* and *Zea mays*. *Plant and Soil* 239: 103 – 111. ISSN No. 1573 – 5036
- Alloway, B.J. (1990) Soil processes and behaviour of metals. In: Alloway, B.J. (Ed.) *Heavy metals in soils* Second ed. Blackie Academic and Professional, Chapman and Hall, Glasgow. ISBN No. 0-7514-0198-6
- Bräutigam, A. Hoffmann-Benning, S. Comparative proteomics of chloroplast envelopes from C₃ and C₄ plants reveals specific adaptations of plastid envelope to C₄ photosynthesis and candidate protein *Plant Physiology* 148: 568 – 579 ISSN No. 1532 – 2548
- Brown, R.H. (1999) Agronomic implications of C₄ photosynthesis, In Sage, R.F. Monson, R.K. (Eds.) *C₄ plant biology*. Academic Press, San Diego, Calif (USA) pp. 473 – 507 ISBN No. 0-12-614440-0
- Chojnacka, K. (2010) Biosorption and bioaccumulation – the prospects for practical applications. *Environment International* 36: 299 – 307. ISSN No. 0160 - 4120
- Caird, M.A. Richards, J.H. Donovan, L.A. (2007) Nighttime stomatal conductance and transpiration in C₃ and C₄ plants. *Plant Physiology* 143: 4 – 10 ISSN No. 1532 – 2548
- Chapin, F.S. (1991) Effects of multiple environmental stresses on nutrient availability and use. In Mooney, H.A. Winner, W. Pell, E.J. (Eds.), *Response of plant to multiple stresses*. Physiological Ecology Series Academic Press, San Diego (USA) 67 – 88 ISBN No. 012505355X
- Chen, X. Tu, C. Burton, M.G. Watson, D.M. Burkey, K.O. Hu, S. (2007) Plant nitrogen acquisition and interactions under elevated carbon dioxide: Impact of endophytes and mycorrhizae. *Global Change Biology* 9: 452 – 460 ISSN No. 1365 – 2486
- Chen, Y. Shen, Z. Li, X. (2004) The use of vetiver grass (*Vetiveria zizanioides*) in the phytoremediation of soils contaminated with heavy metals. *Applied Geochemistry* 19: 1553 – 1565. ISSN No. 0833 – 2927
- Chiu, K.K. Ye, Z.H. Wong, M.H. (2006) Growth of *Vetiveria zizanioides* and *Phragmites australis* on Pb/Zn and Cu mine tailings amended with manure compost and sewage sludge: A green house study. *Bioresource Technology* 97: 158 – 170 ISSN No. 0960 – 8524
- Choudhry, M., Jetley, U. K., Khan, M. A., Zutshi, S., Fatma, T. (2006) Effect of heavy metal stress on proline, malondialdehyde, and superoxide dismutase activity in the cyanobacterium *Spirulina platensis*-S5. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 66: 204 – 209. ISSN No. 0147 – 6513
- Clemens, S. (2001) Molecular mechanisms of plant metal tolerance and homeostasis. *Planta* 212: 475 – 486.

- Doubnerová, V. Ryšlavá, H. (2011) What can enzymes of C₄ photosynthesis do for C₃ plant under stress? *Plant Science* 180: 575 – 583 ISSN No. 0168 – 9452
- Dengler, N.G. Nelson, T. (1999) Leaf structure and development in C₄ plants. In: Sage, R.F. Monson, R.K. (Eds.) C₄ plant biology. Academic Press, San Diego, Calif (USA) pp 133 – 172 ISBN No. 0-12-614440-0
- Derner, J.D. Johnson, H.B. Kimball, B.A. Pinter Jr., P.J. Polley, H.W. Tischler, C.R. Buttons, T.W. Lomorte, R.L. Wall, G.W. Adam, N.R. Leavitt, S.W. Ottman, M.J. Matthias, A.D. Brooks, T.J. (2003) Above and below-ground responses of C₃ -C₄ species mixtures to elevated CO₂ and water availability. *Global Change Biology* 9: 452 – 460 ISSN No. 1365 – 2486
- Dickinson, C.E. Dodd, J.L. (1976) Phenological pattern in the shortgrass prairie *American Midland Naturalist* 96: 367 – 378 ISSN No. 1938 – 4238
- Du, S. Fang, S.C. (1982) Uptake of elemental mercury vapor by C₃ and C₄ species. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 22 (4): 437 – 443 ISSN No. 0098 – 8472
- Ebbs, S.D. Kochian, L.V. (1998) Phytoextraction of Zinc by oat (*Avena sativa*), barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) and Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Environment Science and Technology* 32: 802 – 806 ISSN No. 0013 – 936X
- Edwards, E.J. McCaffery, S. Evans, J.R. (2005) Phosphorus status determines biomass response to elevated CO₂ in a legume: C₄ grass community. *Global Change Biology* 11: 1968 – 1981 ISSN No. 1365 – 2486
- Ehleringer, J.R. Björkman, O. (1977) Quantum yields for CO₂ uptake in C₃ and C₄ plants: dependence on temperature, CO₂ and O₂ concentration. *Plant Physiology* 59: 86 – 90. ISSN No. 1532 – 2548
- Ehleringer, J.R. Cerling, J.E. Helliker, B.R. (1999) C₄ photosynthesis, atmospheric CO₂ and climate. *Oecologia* 112: 285 – 299 ISSN No. 0029 – 8549
- Evans, L.T. (1993) Crop evolution, adaptation and yield. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (UK). ISBN No. 0-521-22571-X
- Gupta, A.K. Mishra, R.K. Sinha, S. Lee, B. (2010). Growth, metal accumulation and yield performance of *Brassica campestris* L. (cv. Pusa Jaikisan) grown on soil amended with tannery sludge/fly ash mixture. *Ecological Engineering* 36: 981 – 991. ISSN No. 0925 – 8574
- Gaur, A. Adholeya, A. (2004) Prospects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in phytoremediation of heavy metal contaminated soils. *Current Science* 86: 528 – 534. ISSN No. 0011 – 3891
- Galloway, J.N. Thornton, J.D. Norton, S.A. Volcho, H.L. McLean, R.A. (1982) Trace metals in atmospheric deposition: a review and assessment. *Atmospheric Environment* 16: 1677 – 1700. ISSN No. 1352 – 2310
- Garbisu, C. Alkorta, I. (2001) Phytoextraction: a cost effective plant based technology for the removal of metals from the environment. *Bioresource Technology* 77: 229 – 236. ISSN No. 0960 – 8524
- Hikosaka, K. Onoda, Y. Kinugasa, T. Nagashima, H. Anten, N.P.R. Hirose, T. (2005) Plant responses to elevated CO₂ concentration at different scales: leaf whole plant, canopy, and population. *Ecological Research* 20: 243 – 253 ISSN No. 1440 – 1703
- Hu, S. Wu, J. Burkey, K.O. Firestone, M.K. (2005) Plant and microbial N acquisition under elevated atmospheric CO₂ in two microcosm experiments with annual grasses. *Global Change Biology* 11: 223 – 233 ISSN No. 1365 – 2486


- Hall, J.L. Williams, L.E. (2003) Transitional metal transporters in plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 54: 2601 - 2613 ISSN No. 0022 - 0957
- Hinchman, R.R. Nergi, M.C. Gatliff, E.G. (1998) Phytoremediation: using green plants to cleanup contaminated soil, ground water and wastewater. http://www.treemediation.com/technical/phytoremediation_1998.pdf.
- Jonak, C. Nakagami, H. Hirt, H. (2004) Heavy metal stress. Activation of distinct mitogen - activated protein kinase pathways by Copper and Cadmium. *Plant Physiology* 136: 3276 - 3283 ISSN No. 1532 - 2548
- Jones, P. Kortenkamp, A. O'Brien, P. Wang, G. Yang, G. (1991) Evidence for the generation of hydroxyl radicals from a chromium (V) intermediate isolated from the reaction of chromate with glutathione. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 286: 652 - 655 ISSN No. 0006 - 3002
- Khade, S.W. Adholeya, A. (2008) Arbuscular mycorrhizal association in plants growing on metal-contaminated and noncontaminated soils adjoining Kanpur tanneries, Uttar Pradesh, India. *Water Air Soil Pollution* 202 (1-4): 45 - 56. ISSN No. 0049 - 6979
- Kamal, M. Ghaly, A.E. Mahmoud, N. Côté, R. (2004) Phytoaccumulation of heavy metals by aquatic plants. *Environment International* 29: 1029 - 1039. ISSN No. 0160 - 4120
- Khan, A.G. Chaudhry, T.M. Hayes, W.J. Khoo, C.S. Hill, L. Fernandez, R. Gallardo, P. (1998) Physical, chemical and biological characterization of a steelworks waste site at port Kembla, NSW, Australia. *Water, Air Soil Pollution* 104: 389 - 402. ISSN No. 0049 - 6979
- Kimball, B. A. (1983) Carbon dioxide and agricultural yield: an assemblage and analysis of 430 prior observations. *Agronomy Journal* 75, pp 779 - 788. ISSN No. 0002 - 1962
- Krupa, S. V. (1996) The role of atmospheric chemistry in the assessment of crop growth and productivity. In: Yunus, M. and Iqbal, M. (Eds.) *Plant Response to Air Pollution*, 35. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, U.K. ISBN No. 0-471-96061-6
- Kumar, N.P.B.A. Dushenkov, V. Motto, H. Raskin, I. (1995) Phytoextraction: the use of plants to remove heavy metals from soils. *Environment Science and Technology* 29: 1232 - 1238. ISSN No. 0013 - 936X
- Kunze, R. Frommer, W.B. Flügge, U.I. (2001) Metabolic engineering in plants: the role of membrane transport. *Metabolic Engineering* 4: 57 - 66. ISSN No. 1096 - 7176
- Lasat, M.M. (2002) Phytoextraction of toxic metals: a review of biological mechanisms. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 31(1): 109 - 120. ISSN No. 0047 - 2425
- Leegood, R.C. Edwards, G.E. (1996) *Photosynthesis and the environment*, Vol. 5 Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands ISBN No. 0792361431
- Lloyd, J. Farquhar, G.D. (1994) ¹³C discrimination during CO₂ assimilation by the terrestrial biosphere. *Oecologia* 99: 201 - 215 ISSN No. 0029 - 8549
- Ma, J.F. Furukawa, J. (2003). Recent progress in the research of external Al detoxification in higher plants: a mini review, *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry* 97: 46-51. ISSN No. 0162 - 0134
- Matsuoka, M. Hata, S. (1987) Comparative studies of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase from C₃ and C₄ plants. *Plant Physiology* 85: 947 - 951 ISSN No. 1532 - 2548
- Matsuoka, M. Nomura, M. Agarie, S. Miyao-Tokutomi, M. Ku, M.S.B. (1998) Evolution of C₄ photosynthetic genes and over expression of maize C₄ gene in rice. *Journal of Plant Research* 111: 333 - 337 ISSN No. 1618 - 0860

- Monz, C.A. Hunt, H.W. Reeves, F.B. Elliott, E.T. (1994) The response of mycorrhizal colonization to elevated CO₂ and climate change in *Pascopyrum smithii* and *Bouteloua gracilis*. *Plant and Soil* 165: 75 – 80 ISSN No. 0032 – 079X
- Nieboer, E. Richardson, D.H.S. (1980) The replacement of the non descript term ‘heavy metal’ by a biologically significant and chemically significant classification of metal ions. *Environmental Pollution B1*: 3 – 26 ISSN No. 0269 – 7491
- Nieboer, E. Richardson, D.H.S. (1990) The replacement of the nondescript term “heavy metals” by a biologically and chemically significant classification of metal ions. *Environmental Pollution B 1*: 3 – 26. ISSN No. 0269 – 7491
- Niu, S. Zhang, Y. Yuan, Z. Liu, W. Huang, J. Wan, S. (2006) Effects of interspecific competition and nitrogen seasonally on the photosynthetic characteristics of C₃ and C₄ grasses. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 57: 270 – 277 ISSN No. 0098 – 8472
- O’Connor, P.J. Smith, S.E. Smith, E.A. (2002) Arbuscular mycorrhizas influence plant diversity and community structure in a semiarid herbland. *New Phytologist* 154: 209 – 218 ISSN No. 1469 – 8137
- O’Leary. M.H. (1982) Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase: an enzymologist’s view. *Annual Reviews in Plant Physiology* 33: 279 – 315 ISSN No. 0066 – 4294
- Oren, R. Ellsworth, D.S. Johnsen, K.H. Phillips, N. Ewers, B.E. Maier, C. Schafer, K.V.R. McCarthy, H. Hendrey, G. McNulty, S.G. Katul, G.G. (2001) Soil fertility limits carbon sequestration by forest ecosystems in a CO₂ enriched atmosphere. *Nature* 411: 469 – 472 ISSN No. 0028 – 0836
- Pulford, I.D. Watson, C. (2003) Phytoremediation of heavy metal – contaminated land by trees – a review. *Environment International* 29: 529 – 540. ISSN No. 0160 – 4120
- Patterson, D.T. (1995) Effects of environmental stress on weed/crop interactions. *Weed Science* 43: 483 – 490. ISSN No. 0043 – 1745
- Pickering, W.F. (1995) General strategies for speciation. In Ure, A.M. Davidson, C.M. (Eds.) *Chemical Speciation in the Environment* Blackie Academic and Professional Press. London (UK) 9 – 31 ISBN No. 0-632-05848-X
- Poorter, H. (1993) Interspecific variation in growth response of plants to an elevated ambient CO₂ concentration. *Vegetation* 104/105: 77 – 97
- Rajendrudu, G. Das, V.S.R. (1982) Biomass production of two species of cleome exhibiting C₃ and C₄ photosynthesis. *Biomass* 2: 223 – 227 ISSN No. 0960 – 8524
- Riesterer, J.L. Casler, M.D. Undersander, D.J. Combs, D.K. (2000) Seasonal yield distribution of cool season grasses following winter defoliation. *Agronomy Journal* 92: 974 – 980 ISSN No. 0002 – 1962
- Rillig, M.C. Allen, M.F. (1998) Arbuscular mycorrhizae of *Gutierrezia sarothrae* and elevated carbon dioxide: evidence for shift in C allocation to and within the mycobiont. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 30: 2001 – 2008. ISSN No. 0038 - 0717
- Rillig, M.C. Allen, M.F. Klironomos, J.N. Chiariello, N.R. Field, C.B. (1998) Plant species – specific changes in root inhabiting fungi in a California annual grassland: responses to elevated CO₂ and nutrient. *Oecologia* 113: 252 – 259. ISSN No. 1432 – 1939
- Srivastava, J. Kayastha, S. Jamil, S. Srivastava, V. (2008) Environmental perspective of *Vetiveria zizanioides* (L.) Nash. *Acta Physiologia Plantarum* 30: 413 – 417 ISSN No. 1861 – 1664

- Sage, R.F. (2004) The evolution of C₄ photosynthesis. *New Phytologist* 161: 341 – 370. ISSN No. 1469 – 8137
- Schützendübel, A. Polle, A. (2002) Plant responses to abiotic stresses: heavy metal-induced oxidative stress and protection by mycorrhization. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53 (372): 1351 – 1365. ISSN No. 0022 – 0957
- Sage, R.F. Pearcy, R.W. (2000) The physiological ecology of C₄ photosynthesis. In: Leegood, R.C. Sharkey, T.D. vonCaemmerer, S. (Eds.) *Photosynthesis: Physiology and Metabolism* Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (The Netherlands) 497 – 532 ISBN No. 0792361431
- Sage, R.F. Wedin, D.A. Li, M.R. (1999) The biogeography of C₄ photosynthesis: patterns and controlling factors. In: Sage, R.F. Monson, R.K. (Eds.) *C₄ plant biology*. Academic Press, San Diego, Calif (USA) 313 – 373 ISBN No. 0-12-614440-0
- Srivastava, A.K. Purnima, X. (1998) Phytoremediation for heavy metals – a land plant based sustainable strategy for environmental decontamination. *Proceedings of National Academy of Science, India, Section B Biological Science* 68 (3-5): 199 – 215. ISSN No. 0073 – 6600
- Staddon, P.L. Fitter, A.H. (1998) Does elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide affect arbuscular mycorrhizas? *Trends in Ecological Evolution* 13: 455 – 458. ISSN No. 0169 – 5347
- Shi, X. Dalal, N.S. Kasprzak, K.S. (1993) Generation of free radicals from hydrogen peroxide and lipid hydroperoxides in the presence of Cr(III). *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 302: 294 – 299. ISSN No. 0006 – 3002
- Stohs, S.J. Bagchi, D. (1995) Oxidative mechanisms in the toxicity of metal ions. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* 18: 321 – 336 ISSN No. 0891 – 5849
- Suresh, B. Ravishanker, G.A. (2004) Phytoremediation – a novel and promising approach for environmental cleanup. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology* 24: 97 – 124 ISSN No. 0738 – 8551
- Tang, J. Chen, J. Chen, X. (2006) Responses of 12 weedy species to elevated CO₂ in low phosphorus availability soil. *Ecological Research* 21: 664 – 670 ISSN No. 0912 – 3814
- Tang, J. Xu, L. Chen, X. Hu, S. (2009) Interaction between C₄ barnyard grass and C₃ upland rice under elevated CO₂: Impact of mycorrhizae. *Acta Oecologica* 35: 227 – 235 ISSN No. 1146 – 609X
- Tolbert, N.E. (1971) Microbodies-peroxysomes and glyoxysomes. *Annual Reviews in Plant Physiology* 22: 45 – 74 ISSN No. 0066 – 4294
- Treseder, K.K. (2004) A meta analysis of mycorrhizal responses to nitrogen, phosphorus, and atmospheric CO₂ in field studies. *New Phytologist* 164: 347 – 355 ISSN No. 1469 – 8137
- Truong, P.N. Baker, D. (1998) Vetiver grass system for environmental protection. *Technical Bulletin 1998/1 – Pacific Rim Vetiver Network*, Bangkok, Thailand
- Tu, S. Ma, L.Q. (2003) Interactive effects of pH, arsenic and phosphorous on uptake of As and P and growth of the arsenic hyperaccumulator *Pteris vittata* L. under hydroponic conditions. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 50: 243 – 251. ISSN No. 0098 – 8472
- Ueno, O. (2001) Environmental regulation of C₃ and C₄ differentiation in the amphibious sedge *Eleocharis vivipara*. *Plant Physiology* 127: 1524 – 1532 ISSN No. 0032 – 0889
- Wand, S.J. Midgley, G.F. Jones, M.H. Curtis, P.S. (1999) Response of wild C₄ and C₃ (Poaceae) species to elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration: a meta analytic test of

- current theories and perceptions. *Global Change Biology* 5: 723 - 741 ISSN No. 1365 - 2486
- Weast, R.C. (1984) *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 64th edn.* CRC Press, Boca Raton ISBN No. 0849307406
- Weber, A.P.M. Caemmerer, S. V. (2010) Plastid transport and metabolism of C₃ and C₄ plants comparative analysis and possible biotechnological exploration. *Current Opinion in Plant biology* 13: 257 - 265 ISSN No. 1369 - 5266
- Wilde, E.W. Brigmon, R.L. Dunn, D.L. Heitkamp, M.A. Dagnan, D.C. (2005) Phytoextraction of lead from firing range soil by vetiver grass. *Chemosphere* 61: 1451 - 1457. ISSN No. 0045 - 6535
- Wilson, G.W.T. Hartnett, D.C. (1998) Interspecific variations in plant response to mycorrhizal colonization in tall grass prairie. *American Journal of Botany* 85: 1732 - 1738 ISSN No. 0002 - 9122
- Winslow, J.C. Hunt Jr., E.R. Piper, S.C. (2003) The influence of seasonal water availability on global C₃ versus C₄ grassland biomass and its implications for climate change research. *Ecological Modelling* 163: 153 - 173 ISSN No. 0304 - 3800
- Ye, Z.H. Baker, A.J.M. Wong, M.H. Willis, A.J. (2003) Copper tolerance, uptake and accumulation by *Phragmites australis*. *Chemosphere* 50: 795 - 800 ISSN No. 0045 - 6535
- Zheng, W. J., Zheng, X. P. and Zhang, C. L. (2000). A survey of photosynthetic carbon metabolism in 4 ecotypes of *Phragmites australis* in northwest China: Leaf anatomy, ultra-structure, and activities of ribulose 1,5-biphosphate carboxylase, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase and glycollate oxidase. *Physiologia Plantarum* 110: 201-208. ISSN No. 1399 - 3054

IntechOpen



Environmental Contamination

Edited by Dr. Jatin Srivastava

ISBN 978-953-51-0120-8

Hard cover, 220 pages

Publisher InTech

Published online 29, February, 2012

Published in print edition February, 2012

Nature minimizes the hazards, while man maximizes them. This is not an assumption, but a basic idea of the findings of scientists from all over the world. The last two centuries have witnessed the indiscriminate development and overexploitation of natural resources by man causing alterations and impairment of our own environment. Environmental contamination is the result of the irrational use of resources at the wrong place and at the wrong time. Environmental contamination has changed the lifestyle of people virtually all over the world, and has reduced the extent of life on earth. Today, we are bound to compromises with such environmental conditions, which was not anticipated for the sustenance of humanity and other life forms. Let us find out the problem and its management within this book.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Jatin Srivastava, Harish Chandra, Anant R. Nautiyal and Swinder J. S. Kalra (2012). Response of C3 and C4 Plant Systems Exposed to Heavy Metals for Phytoextraction at Elevated Atmospheric CO₂ and at Elevated Temperature, Environmental Contamination, Dr. Jatin Srivastava (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-51-0120-8, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/environmental-contamination/response-of-c3-and-c4-plant-systems-exposed-to-heavy-metals-for-phytoextraction-at-elevated-atmosphere>

INTECH
open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A
51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166
www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元
Phone: +86-21-62489820
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2012 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen