

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

4,800

Open access books available

122,000

International authors and editors

135M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.

For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Microbial Leaching of Uranium Ore

Hadi Hamidian

Islamic Azad University, Qaemshahr Branch
Iran

1. Introduction

This chapter is a review of the microbiological leaching of uranium ores. Microbiological leaching has been used as an alternative approach to conventional hydrometallurgical methods of uranium's extraction. In the microbiological leaching process, iron-oxidizing bacteria oxidize pyretic phase to ferric iron and sulfuric acid, and uranium is dissolved from the ore due to sulfuric acid attack. If uranium in the ore material is reduced state and in involves tetravalent form and a redox reaction is involved whereby uranium oxidized to the hexavalent form. Future sustainable development requires measures to be taken to reduce the dependence on non-renewable raw materials and the demand for primary resources. New resources for metals must be developed with the help of novel technologies. In addition, improvement of previously existed mining techniques can be resulted in metal recovery by the sources that have not been of economical interest up to today. The metal-winning processes based on the activity of microorganisms offer a possibility to obtain metals from mineral resources which are not accessible by conventional mining. Generally bioleaching is a process described as being "dissolution of metals from their mineral source by certainly and naturally occurring microorganisms" or "use of microorganisms to transform elements so that the elements could be extracted from a material when water is filtered through it". However, there are some slight differences in definition: Usually, "bioleaching" is described as the conversion of solid metal values into their water soluble forms using microorganisms. Bacterial leaching is the extraction of metals from their ores using microorganisms. The capital costs are low compared to those for a smelter. Environmental pollution caused by mineral processing is a serious problem and on the other hand, microorganisms play crucial roles in biogeochemical cycling of toxic metals and radionuclides. Recent progresses have been made to understand metal-microbe interactions and new applications of these processes to the detoxification of metal and radionuclide contamination have been developed. It also suggests an opportunity to reduce of environmental and air pollution by sulphur dioxide.

2. Historical review

One of the initial reports in which leaching might have been involved in mobilization of metals is given by the Roman writer Plinius Secundus. In his works on natural sciences, Plinius describes how copper minerals are derived by means of utilizing a leaching process. In cold weather during the winter the sludge freezes to the hardness of pumice. It is known

from experience that the most wanted chrysocolla is formed in copper mines, the following in silver mines. The Rio-Tinto mines in southwestern Spain are usually considered the cradle of biohydrometallurgy. These mines have been exploited since pre-Roman periods due to their copper, gold, and silver values. However, with respect to commercial bioleaching operations on an industrial scale, biohydrometallurgical techniques had been introduced to the Tharsis mine in Spain 10 years earlier. As a consequence of the ban of open-air ore roasting and its resulting atmospheric sulfur emissions in 1878 in Portugal, hydrometallurgical metal extraction has been taken into consideration in other countries more intensely. In addition to the ban, cost savings were another incentive for development: Heap leaching techniques were assumed to reduce transportation costs, allowing employment of locomotives and wagons for other services. From 1900 on, no open air roasting of low-grade ore was conducted at the Rio-Tinto mines. The researchers conducted on microbial leaching indicate an increasing rate of recovery and solubility of metals in direct, indirect, Thiosulfate and Polysulfide mechanism, due to microorganism activity. The initial work on uranium bioleaching in the early 1950s was taken to prevent solubilization, but it soon became apparent that this process could be applied for a commercial scale to extract uranium for low-grade ores. During 1952 and 1953, the plant at Urgeirica started a uranium heap leaching process on a commercial scale. This is an early turning point in the microbiological leaching of uranium ores.

Harrison et al. (1966) reported the role of the iron oxidizing *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* in leaching of uranium. The uranium ore was stacked in heaps, similar to dump leaching of low-grade copper ore, and leached using an acidic ferric sulfate solution at the Elliot Lake Mine, Ontario, Canada. The presence of the bacteria in the heaps was discovered and their role in maintaining oxidizing conditions by conversion of ferrous to ferric iron for extraction of the uranium was defined. Guay's (1976) investigations showed that the effectiveness and efficiency of microorganism's influence, such as *Thiobacillus*, requires the presence of certain amount of iron. He also conducted some research on mixing level, aiersion, and oxidation rate of iron that may affect uranium's microbial leaching. He employed a specific type of microbes such as *Thiobacillus* in his study. Amongst the various parameters affecting the sufficiency of microbial leaching; he just focused upon the aforementioned parameters. Brierley (1980), one of the distinguished researchers in microbial leaching, has done a case study on uranium ore (coffinite and uraninite) in Gerantez mine in Canada. His investigation's outcomes demonstrated a promising and positive effect of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* in climbing recovery rate of uranium. Cerda's studies (1991) on Pitchblende ore in green-grayish shiest samples, collected from Spain's mines, revealed a close relationship of pyrite and Chalcopyrite in reduction of acid consumption in microbial leaching, in comparison with regular leaching. In continuous surveys carried out over on microbial leaching by different researchers. Gonzalez (1993) utilized column leaching, seepage and shaking table to study the effect of pyrite amount in uranium's microbial leaching. His investigation's results showed an augmenting trend of uranium recovery while there is an optimum amount of pyrite. Beyond the optimum level of pyrite, not only the pyrite presence is not beneficiary, but also it may introduce complicity in leaching process. He has also done some research on pH optimization, temperature, and stirred time. In a case study conducted by Junior (1993) on uranium ore in Figopira in Brazil, potentiality of microbial leaching in uranium recovery enhancement has been emphasized. Schipper's (1995) study on two mines in Germany indicated the identification of microbe variety in

waste materials. The waste materials (low grade black Schist) consisted of 0.05% of uranium and 0.5 to 7% of carbonate. Sampling showed the microorganisms aerobic and anaerobic were present till 1.5 to 2 meter of surface depth and more than 99% of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* were present within this depth. In this study, there was no investigation on microbial leaching capability and identification of microbes was just concerned. Munoz (1995), dedicated researcher in uranium's microbial leaching in Spain, has presented his research's results in many published papers in Elsevier. Bioleaching process, mineralogy of uranium ore, bearing rock type, level of toxic material, and leaching variables are among the factors which have been probed by him. He has worked on pitchblende ore with 0.097 % uranium content. Leduc, L.G. (1997) studied ten different isolated of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* with regard to their degree of resistance to the metals copper, nickel, uranium, and thorium. The miscellaneous isolates had different susceptibilities to the tested metals, and moreover none of the metals had a stimulus effect. Uranium and thorium were 20 to 40 times more toxic to ferrous iron oxidation than either copper or nickel. Mathur A.K. (2000) investigated the application of ferric ion as an oxidant and in combination with other anions such as ferric sulfate or chloride as a leachant is well accepted for recovery of metals, particularly from ores of copper, cobalt, nickel, zinc and uranium. Biogenically generated ferric sulfate that has been in vogue for many dump and heap leaching operations, to recover uranium and copper values. Hefnawy (2001) used fungi for Aloga uranium ore bioleaching in Egypt. The amount of uranium solubilized by *A. terreus* and *P. spinulosum* was increased by intensifying ore concentrations on the growth media, reaching its maximum at 4 % (w/v). Whereas, the highest percentage of uranium released by both fungi was obtained at 1 % (w/v), in this concentration the released uranium being 75 and 81.5% respectively for ore and 72.8 and 77.6% respectively for the second ore. The best leaching occurs when the final pH shifts toward acidity. The biosorption of released uranium by fungal Mycelium was also increased by augmenting ore concentrations on the growth media. Kalinowski and Oskarsson (2002) represented common ligand producing bacterial species (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Shewanella putrefactions* and *Pseudomonas stutzeri*) were incubated in a chemically defined medium supplemented U-ore that had been exposed to natural weathering conditions for 30 years having a content of 0.0013 % U by weight. For comparison, non-leached uranium ore (0.61 % U by weight) from the same area were incubated by *P. fluorescens* and *S. putrefaciens*. *P. fluorescens* is the only species that thrives and manages to mobilize measurable amounts of uranium from the two ores. Despite the extensive increase in pH from 4.7 to 9.3 *P. fluorescens* supplemented with ore manages to mobilize 0.001-0.005 % of the total amount of U from both ores. The release of U was interpreted to be attributed to the production of pyoverdine chelators, which is a typical ligand produced by fluorescent pseudomonades, as U could not be detected in either sterile controls or in experiments with the two other bacteria. In Sumera Saeed (2002) investigation the bioleaching behavior of rock phosphate (Jordan imported) was studied using different strains of *Aspergillus niger*. X-ray diffraction analysis revealed the presence of fluorapatite [$\text{Ca}_2(\text{PO}_4)_3\text{F}$] as the main source of phosphorus. Average content of phosphorus in testing ore was 33.6% scanning electron microscope showed the presence of significant amount of phosphorus. Decrease in pH was observed due to organic acids produced by *Aspergillus niger* strains during growth on liquid media containing glucose. Akcil (2004) represented an investigation of the potential bioleaching developments in Turkey [27]. Bene Ditto (2005) in his study identified sulfur reduction bacteria in Brazil uranium mine water. This is basically

problem in Brazil nuclear industrial. Choi Moon-sung (2005), schist with 0.01 % U_3O_8 content in S. Korea under study. He could reach 0.8 uranium recovery with use *Acidbacillus ferrooxidans*. Jong un lee (2005), the effects of several conditional factors on efficiency of U bioleaching via using an iron-oxidizer, *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*, from U-bearing black shale (349 ppm of U) were investigated. In the case inoculated with the cells, lower pH, higher redox potential and higher amount of aqueous Fe^{3+} than those of non-inoculated reactor observed until 200h. Such development of condition, which was facilitated by microbial activity, can enhance the rate and extent of U leaching from the solid substrate.

3. Bioleaching mechanisms

There are two major mechanisms of bacterial leaching. One involves the ferric-ferrous cycle (indirect or non-contact mechanism), while the other involves physical contact of the organism with the insoluble sulphide (direct or contact mechanism) and is independent of indirect mechanism. Originally, a model with two types of mechanisms which are involved in microbial mobilization of metals has been proposed:

3.1 Direct mechanism

Microorganisms can oxidize metal sulfides by a direct mechanism obtaining electrons directly from reduced minerals. Cells have to be attached to the mineral surface and a close contact is needed. Bioleaching of metal sulfides (MS) can be achieved in direct and indirect modes of bacterial metabolism. Figure 1 (a) shows a scheme of the reaction mechanism for the bio-oxidation of sulphide minerals-direct mechanism. The direct mechanism is given by:




Where M is a bivalent heavy metal.

The following equations describe the "direct" mechanism for the oxidation of pyrite:



3.2 Indirect mechanism

The oxidation of reduced metals through "indirect" mechanism is mediated by ferric iron (Fe^{3+}) originating from the microbial oxidation of ferrous iron (Fe^{2+}) compounds present in minerals. Ferric iron is an oxidizing agent and can oxidize, e.g., metal sulfides and is chemically reduced to ferrous iron which, in turn, can be oxidized microbial again. In this case, iron has a role as electron carrier. It was proposed that no direct physical contact is needed for oxidation of iron. Figure 1 (b) shows a scheme of the reaction mechanism for the bio-oxidation of sulphide minerals-indirect mechanism.



The indirect mechanisms can be demonstrated, i.e., for uranium leaching as follows:



However, the model of “direct” and “indirect” metal leaching is still under discussion. Recently, this model has been revised and replaced by another one which is not dependent upon differentiation between a “direct” and an “indirect” leaching mechanisms.






Fig. 1. The reaction mechanism for the bio-oxidation of sulfide minerals (a) Direct mechanism -, (b) Indirect mechanism



3.3 Thiosulfate mechanism


The mineral dissolution reaction is not identical to all metal sulfides and the oxidation of different metal sulfides proceeds via different intermediates. This also has been recently reviewed. Briefly, a thiosulfate mechanism has been proposed for oxidation of acid insoluble metal sulfides such as pyrite (FeS₂) and molybdenite (MoS₂), and a polysulfide mechanism for acid soluble metal sulfides such as sphalerite (ZnS), chalcopyrite (CuFeS₂) or galena (PbS). In the thiosulfate mechanism, solubilization is through ferric iron attack on the acid-insoluble metal sulfides with thiosulfate being the main intermediate and sulfate the main end-product. Using pyrite as an example of a mineral, the reactions may be represented as [35, 36]:



3.4 Polysulfide mechanism

Polysulfide and elemental sulfur are the main intermediates in the “polysulfide mechanism” during oxidation of galena, sphalerite, chalcopyrite, hauerite, orpiment and realgar. The presence of iron (III) at the beginning of mineral degradation is an important prerequisite. In the case of the polysulfide mechanism, solubilization of the acid-soluble metal sulfide is through a combined attack by ferric iron and protons, with elemental sulfur as the main intermediate. This elemental sulfur is relatively stable but may be oxidized to sulfate by sulfur-oxidizing microbes such as *Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans* or *Acidithiobacillus caldus* according to reaction 11:





The ferrous iron produced in reactions (9), (10) may be reoxidized to ferric iron by iron-oxidizing microorganisms such as *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* or bacteria of the genera *Leptospirillum* or *Sulfobacillus*.



The role of the microorganisms in the solubilization of metal sulfides is, therefore, to provide sulfuric acid (reaction 11) for a proton attack and to keep the iron in the oxidized ferric state (reaction 12) for an oxidative attack on the mineral.

4. Influenced factors on uranium microbial leaching

4.1 Diversity of microbial culture

In general, types of microorganisms found in heap leaching processes are similar to those found in stirred tank processes, however, the proportions of the microbes may vary depending on the mineral and the conditions under which the heaps or tanks are operate. In processes that operate from ambient temperatures to about 40°C, the most important microorganisms are considered to be a consortium of Gram-negative bacteria. These are the iron- and sulfur-oxidizing *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*, the sulfur-oxidizing *Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans* and *Acidithiobacillus caldus*, and the iron-oxidizing leptospirilli, *Leptospirillum ferrooxidans* and *Leptospirillum ferriphilum*. Consortia of acidophilic thiobacilli and leptospirilli are believed to be superior to pure cultures for the biological oxidation of sulfide minerals. Microbial diversity is extensive in acid mine waters, including uranium mine leaching solutions. While moderately acidophilic thiobacilli is numerous, thermo acidophilic archaea, resembling *Sulfolobus* and *Acidianus*, has been isolated from uranium-mine waste heaps.

4.2 Mineralization of uranium

Another important factor of bioleaching is the mineralization of the uranium. Table 1, 2 show the results of different uranium ores which are subjected to bioleaching. According to this, oxides, phosphates, sulfates and carbonates are solubilized relatively convenient, while dissolution of silicates is difficult or even impossible.

Uranium oxide	Valence	Natural form	Solution ability
UO ₂	IV	Uraninite	Insoluble
U ₂ O ₅	IV	-	Little soluble
U ₃ O ₈	IV,VI	Pitchblende	Little soluble
UO ₃	VI	Carnotite	Soluble

Table 1. The type of uranium oxides in nature [40]

Uranium ores	Chemical composition	Degree of bioleaching
<i>Uraninite</i>	UO ₂	+
<i>Gummite</i>	UO ₃ -nH ₂ O	+
<i>Becquerelite</i>	CaU ₆ O ₁₉ -11H ₂ O	+
<i>Branmerite</i>	(U,Ca,Ce)(Ti,Fe)O ₆	+
<i>Davidite</i>	(Fe,Ce,U) ₂ (Ti,Fe,V,Cr) ₅ O ₁₂	+
<i>Coffinite</i>	U(SiO ₄) _{1-x} (OH) _{4x}	-
<i>Uranophane</i>	Ca(UO ₂) ₂ Si ₂ O ₇ -6H ₂ O	+ -
<i>Sklodowskite</i>	Mg(UO ₂) ₂ Si ₂ O ₇ -6H ₂ O	+ -
<i>Autunite</i>	Ca(UO ₂) ₂ (PO ₄) ₂ -12H ₂ O	+
<i>Torbernite</i>	Cu(UO ₂) ₂ (PO ₄) ₂ -8H ₂ O	+
<i>uramphite</i>	NH ₄ -UO ₂ -PO ₄ -3H ₂ O	+
<i>Zeunerite</i>	Cu(UO ₂) ₂ (AsO ₄) ₂ -12H ₂ O	+
<i>Carnotite</i>	K ₂ (UO ₂) ₂ (VO ₄) ₂ -3H ₂ O	+ -
<i>Tyuyamunite</i>	Ca(UO ₂) ₂ (VO ₄) ₂ -8H ₂ O	+ -
<i>Zippeite</i>	(UO ₂) ₂ (SO ₄)(OH) ₂ -4H ₂ O	+
<i>Uranopilite</i>	(UO ₂) ₆ SO ₄ (OH) ₁₀ -12H ₂ O	+
<i>Johannite</i>	Cu(UO ₂) ₂ (SO ₄) ₂ (OH) ₂ -H ₂ O	+
<i>Schroekingerite</i>	NaCa ₃ UO ₂ SO ₄ (CO ₃) ₃ F-10H ₂ O	+
<i>Urano-organic Compounds</i>		+

+: easy , -: hard , + -: variable

Table 2. Uranium mineralization and bioleaching.

4.3 Country rock type

The bioleaching process also depends upon nature of the country rock. If this is alkaline, it is probable that precipitates would be formed which would lead to causing problem to natural percolation of the leaching. This issue lowers the uranium yield because some pockets of ore are not attacked. On the other hand, when the country rock is acidic, acid consumption by the rock will be low.

4.4 Nutrient element

The quantity of nutrient element content in the country rock is an important aspect for microbial leaching. The solid medium should supply at least adequate minerals to microorganism growth of not being stopped. The presence of organic compounds (yeast extract) inhibited pyrite oxidation from *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. Certain metals presented in bioleaching environments can inhibit microbial growth; therefore, these metals cause leaching efficiencies to reduce. Based on dry weight, nitrogen is the most important following element after carbon for the synthesis of new cell mass. In commercial operations, inexpensive fertilizer grade ammonium sulfate is typically added to biooxidation tanks or bioleaching heaps to ensure that sufficient nitrogen is available.

4.5 Toxic material and resistance to metals

Additions of copper, nickel, uranium, or thorium adversely influenced iron (II) oxidation by *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* with uranium and thorium showing higher toxicities than

copper and nickel. Silver, mercury, ruthenium, and molybdenum reduced the rate of growth of *Sulfolobus* grown on a copper concentrate. Resistance to metal ions is a function of those thiobacilli tested to date. *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* is resistant to a variety of metal ions such as chromium, copper, zinc, nickel, thorium, uranium and mercury. The resistance of *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* to mercury is ferrous iron dependent.

4.6 Temperature

Temperature is among the most important parameters influencing the diversity of the microbial communities. Use of thermophiles was found to improve metal sulfide biooxidation in at least two ways. First, reaction rates increased with increasing temperature. Second, elevated temperature increased the extent of metal extraction from certain minerals. Bioleaching processes are carried within range of temperatures from ambient to a demonstration plant that has been operated at 80°C. Temperature ranges of 2-35°C and 4-21°C, respectively, were observed. Moderately thermophiles iron-oxidizing and sulfur-oxidizing bacteria were initially cultured from mining environments and hot springs. However, psychrophiles have not been isolated from cold tailing effluents where they would be expected. The temperature used for bioleaching in most of studies is 35°C. Although *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* was reported to grow most rapidly at 30°C, it oxidised iron faster at 35°C. This case has crucial implications for industrial bioleaching since the oxidation of sulphides is exothermic, and hence cooling may be essential to maintain a satisfactory industrial process. As it is expected the types of presented iron and sulfur-oxidizing microbes differentiation depending upon temperature range. The types of microbes found in processes operating from ambient to 40°C tend to be similar irrespective of mineral, as are those within the temperature ranges 45-55°C and 75-80°C. As described below, there are two broad categories of biologically-assisted mineral degrading processes. An ore or concentrate is either placed in a heap or dump where it is irrigated or a finely milled mineral suspension is placed in a stirred tank where it is vigorously aerated. In general, mineral solubilization processes are exothermic and when tanks are used, cooling is required to keep the processes that function at 40°C at their optimum temperature. At higher temperatures the chemistry of mineral solubilization is much faster and in the case of minerals such as chalcopyrite, temperatures of 75-80°C are required for copper extraction to take place at an economically viable rate. Table 3 shows a Classification of chemolithotrophic bacteria in terms of their optimum temperature ranges.

Bacterial class	Optimum temp. range /°C
Cryophiles	< 20
Mesophiles	20-40
Moderate thermophiles	40-55
Extreme thermophiles	> 55

Table 3. Classification of chemolithotrophic bacteria in terms of their optimum temperature ranges.

4.7 Pyrite content

Pyrite plays a key role in many biooxidation operations. Its oxidation produces acidity, heat and dissolved iron. Pyrites vary greatly in their chemical and biological reactivity. It also is

associated with many ores, including zinc, copper, uranium, gold and silver. Pyrite is formed in a reducing environment with a continuous supply of sulphates and iron in the presence of easily decomposable organic matter. In general, microbiological leaching processes of uranium have been applied to the ores that contain accessory Fe-sulfides. The pyrite content of the ore is important and that is why this type of attack has not been widely utilized in extraction of uranium from its ores since the technology is limited to minerals with highly sulfide content. The ores from eastern Canada are especially susceptible to this kind of process since pyrites are associated with uranium. On the other hand the uranium ores along with low pyrite content are less suitable for microbial leaching. In this case, the suitable quantity of pyrite has to be added in medium.

4.8 Hydrogen ion concentration (pH)

Microorganisms that biooxidize sulfide minerals at low pH are resistant to acidic conditions and most heavy metals in process solutions. Typically, microbial cultures are pre-grown or adapted to a particular ore feed in the laboratory or pilot plant. From an industrial perspective it is essential that biomining microorganisms are able to grow at low pH and tolerate high concentrations of acid. Two important reasons for this are to enable iron cycling and to permit reverse electron transportaion to take place. The optimum pH for *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* is between 2-3, but when the substrate is in large part pyretic, the pH can reach extremely low values, (less than 1). This is because of the availability of abundant sulphur and the precipitation of ferric hydroxide when the solution reaches saturation. *Acidithiobacillus caldus* is a single mixotrophic species which can utilise sulphur or tetrathionate and yeast extract or glucose. Blais et al. (1993) have demonstrated that less acidophilic bacteria in sludge such as *Thermithiobacillus tepidarius*, *T. aquaesulis*, *T. denitrificans*, *T. thioparus* and other species formerly placed in *Thiobacillus*, may initiate the acidification to the point where the acidophilic species can take over. Acidophilic bacteria decreased the pH of a sulphur-containing synthetic salts medium to the level of 1.4-1.6 during 10 days. Table 4 shows pH range for *Acidithiobacillus* culture.

Microorganism	Optimum pH	pH Range
<i>Acidithiobacillus albertensis</i>	3.5-4	2-4.5
<i>Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans</i>	2.0-2.5	1.3-4.5
<i>Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans</i>	2.0-3.0	0.5-5.5
<i>Acidithiobacillus caldus</i>	2.0-2.5	1.0-3.5

Table 4. pH range for *Acidithiobacillus* culture

Mine spoils which were alkaline in nature (pH=9), with low sulphur content and a highly concentration of chlorides tended to be free of *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*. The limiting pH value for growth of *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* in rock material and drainage was found to be about 7.2.

4.9 Aerobic/anaerobic growth

Aeration of the solution or slurry is important in all bacterial oxidation processes using obligately acidophilic bacteria cultures. If the oxygen concentration falls to low levels, less than 0.5 to 1.0 mg L⁻¹ for processes carried out in stirred vessels, the culture will normally revert in to its lag phase and the bacterial process stop. A lack of carbon dioxide restricts the culture growth and could limit the rate and amount of reaction of the sulphide mineral.

Acidithiobacillus species are strict aerobes with the exception of Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans, which is a facultative aerobe. In the absence of oxygen, Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans is able to grow on reduced inorganic sulphur compounds using ferric iron as an alternative electron acceptor. The volume of air that must be supplied is based on the sulfide oxidation required. For example, at Wiluna in Western Australia, about 8 t air was supplied per tonne of concentrate, at typical oxygen utilization efficiencies of 25%. Aeration of bioheaps can accelerate biooxidation reactions, reducing leach cycle time. Air may be delivered via a network of pipes installed in a gravel layer at the base of heaps.

5. Microbial leaching and environmental

Environmental pollution caused by mineral processing is a serious problem; however, microorganisms play crucial roles in bioremediation of toxic metals and radionuclides, and thus are very much involved in resolving such problems. In this technology, microorganisms or their constituents such as enzymes are used to degrade or transform the soil. Much progress has been made with regard to understanding processes involving metal-microbe interactions and new applications of such processes to the detoxification of metal and radionuclide contamination have been developed. Such processes also provide an opportunity to reduce levels of sulphur dioxide polluting the environment and air (Lloyd, 2001, 2002, Morin, 2006). The Gram-negative eubacterium Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans is important for industry and ecology because firstly, it is capable of solubilizing metals from ores, such as copper, uranium, and cobalt, and decomposing recalcitrant gold-containing ores. Secondly, this bacterium is able to remove heavy metals from contaminated industrial effluents or soils and desulfurize fossil fuels to avoid corrosion and atmospheric acid depositions (Corinne Appia-ayme, 1999). Chemical approaches are available for metal remediation, but are often expensive to apply and lack the specificity required to treat target metals against a background of competing ions. In addition, such approaches are not applicable to cost-effective remediation of large-scale subsurface contamination *in situ*. Biological approaches, on the other hand, offer the potential for the highly selective removal of toxic metals coupled with considerable operational flexibility; they can be used *in situ* in a range of bioreactor configurations (Lloyd, 2001).

6. Discussion and conclusions

The performed investigation into microbial leaching signifies enhancing of metals recovery particularly gold, uranium, copper and zinc, due to microorganism activity in comparison with traditional leaching method. In parallel with the recovery augmenting, utilization of microbial methods as compared with ordinary method like roasting is more environmentally friendly and its cost will be less. Hence, commercial applications in South Africa, Australia, South America, Spain, India and china are increasing in the last two decades. In most of executed operations within laboratory and commercially scale,

autotrophic bacteria's have been utilized which belong to bacillus species. Nowadays, investigation on new and other microorganism, mixed culture and fungi's is underway in order to probe their function and viability. The appropriately microbe type selection is too important in microbial leaching operations because the rate of being successful is mainly dependent upon environmental conditions, characteristics of mineralogy, country rock and the requisite technique in a way that there might be different results due to using a microbe type for two similar minerals along with divergent environmental conditions. On the other hand, microbial leaching methods include miscellaneous techniques involving; in-situ, dumps, heap, vat and stirred which making use of each of them is dependent on the metal grade, time and capacity. In order to secure the stable supply of raw materials for the industrial needs, it is necessary to develop noble recovery technology of valuable metals from refractory and low-grade mineral ore deposits, intermediate metallurgical products, and waste. Then, it is essential to find methods to treat the ores economically and environmentally to recovery valuable metal. The researches did on Microbial leaching indicating an increase in rate of metal recovery and solubility through direct, indirect, thiosulfate and polysulfide mechanism, due to microorganism activity. Generally, microbial leaching is able to process low grade and marginal ores, mining and industrial waste which couldn't be processed by other methods including gravity, electrical, magnitude and physiochemical methods. Hence this capability causes mineral ores tonnage to increase. Another advantage of microbial leaching is to use of microorganism and metal metabolically and potentially in order to decrease water and land pollution and control environmental damages. Microorganism could help to selective solution of metals through penetrating into the molecular structure of the materials, breaking existing bond and forming free ions or new compounds.

Regarding nature of uranium mineralization which are generally low grade in most cases (160 cases in the crust), and considering this fact that conventional processing methods won't be feasible for this low metal content, researches conducted to microbial leaching of uranium ores such as oxides and phosphate like Pitchblende, Uraninite, Cafinite, Gomitite, Uranium shale.


7. Reference

- [1] O.H, Touvinen and T.M. Bhattit, "Microbiological Leaching of Uranium Ore", Mineral Biotechnology, SME, P.P. 101-115, 2002.
- [2] Brandl Helmut, "Microbial Leaching of Metals", Zurich, Switzerland, P.P. 192-214, 2001.
- [3] Arpad E. Torma, "Mineral Bioprocessing", international Conference and Workshop Applications of Biotechnology to the Minerals Industry, South Austral, pp. 1-1 to 1-10, 1993.
- [4] Corale L. Brierley, "Biogenic Extraction of Uranium From Ores of the Grants Region", Bioextractive Applications and Optimization, PP.345-351, 1980.
- [5] Devasia P . & Natanajan K.A., " Leaching , Biotechnology in the Mining Industry " Resonance public , P.P. 24- 34 , 2004.
- [6] Lioyd Jonathan R & Derek R Lovley, "Microbial Detoxification of Metals and Radionuclides", Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved, Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 12:248-253, 2001.
- [7] Lioyd Jonathan R., "Bioremediation of Metal; the Application of Micro-organisms that Make and Break Mieral", Microbiology Today, Vol. 29, 67-69, 2002.

- [8] Morin D., Lips A., Pinches A., Huisman J., Frias C., Norberg A., Forssberg E., "Bio-Mine Integrated Project for the Development of Biotechnology for Metal-Bearing Materials in Europe", Elsevier, *Hydrometallurgy* 83, PP. 69–76, 2006.
- [9] Harrison VF., Gow WA., Ivarson KC., "Leaching of uranium from Elliot Lake ore in the presence of bacteria", *Can Mineral J*, No. 87, PP:64–67, 1966.
- [10] Guay R., M. Silver and E. Torma, "Microbiological Leaching of a low-grade Uranium ore by *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*", *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, P.P. 157–167, 1976.
- [11] Guay R., M. Silver, A.E. Torma, "Ferrous Iron Oxidation and Uranium Extraction by *Thiobacillus Ferrooxidans*", *Biotechnical Bioeng*, 19(5), P.P. 727–740, 1977.
- [12] Cerda J., Gonzalez S., Rios J.M. and Quintana, "Uranium Concentrates Bio Productions in Spain. (A case study)", *bio hydro metallurgy* 91, Tríoa, Portugal, p.p.24, 1991.
- [13] Cerdá J., S. González, J. M. Ríos and T. Quintana, "Uranium concentrates bioproduction in Spain: A case study", Elsevier, *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, Volume 11, Issues 1-3, Pages 253-259, 1993.
- [14] Gonzales F., J.A. Munoz, A. Ballester, M.L. Blazquez, "Bioleaching of a Spanish uranium ore", *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 11(1–3), PP. 109–119, 1993.
- [15] O. Garsia Junior, "Bacterial Leaching of Uranium ore from Figueira- PR, Brazil, at laboratory and pilot scale", *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 11(1–3), P.P. 237-242, 1993.
- [16] Schippers A., Hallmann R. Wentzien S., "Microbial Diversity in Uranium Mine Waste Heaps", *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, P.P. 2930–2935, 1995.
- [17] Schippers Axel and Wolfgang Sand, "Bacterial Leaching of Metal Sulfides Proceeds by Two Indirect Mechanisms via Thiosulfate or via Polysulfides and Sulfur", 1998.
- [18] Manoz J. A., F. Gonzalez, M. L. Blazquez, A. Ballester, "A Study of the Bioleaching of a Spanish Uranium ore. Part I: A Review of the Bacterial leaching in the Treatment of Uranium ores.", Elsevier, *hydrometallurgy*, PP. 39 – 54, 1995.
- [19] Manoz J. A., F. Gonzalez, M. L. Blazquez, A. Ballester, "A Study of the Bioleaching of a Spanish Uranium ore. Part II Orbital Shaker Experiments", Elsevier, *hydrometallurgy*, PP. 59 – 73, 1995.
- [20] Manoz J. A., F. Gonzalez, M. L. Blazquez, A. Ballester, "A Study of the Bioleaching of a Spanish Uranium ore. Part III: Column Experiment.", Elsevier, *hydrometallurgy*, PP. 78 – 97, 1995.
- [21] Klaus Bosecker, "Bioleaching: Metal Solubilization by Microorganisms", *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, Volume 20, Issues 3-4, Pages 591-604, 1997.
- [22] Leduc, L.G., G.D. Ferroni and J.T. Trevors, "Resistance to heavy metals in different strains of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*", *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 13, 453-454, 1997.
- [23] A.K. Mathur, K. Viwamohan, K.B. Mohanty, V.K. Murthy and S.T. Eshadrinath, "Uranium Extraction Using Biogenic Ferric Sulfate a Case Study on Quartz Chlorite Ore from Jaduguda, Singhbhum Thrust Belt (STB), Bihar, India", *Minerals Engineering*, Vol. 13, No. 5, PP. 575-579, 2000.
- [24] Hefnawy M.A., M. El-said, M. Hussain and Maisa, A. Amin, "Fungal Leaching of Uranium from its Geological ore in Auoga Area, West Central Sinai, Egypt", *online Journal of Biological Sciences* 2, PP. 346 – 350, 2002.
- [25] Kalinowski Birgitta E. and Oskarsson Anna, "Bioleaching of Heavy Metals from pristine and naturally Leached Uranium Ore from the Closed Mine at Ranstad, Southern Sweden", *Geomicrobiology*, Denver Annual Meeting, Session 5, 2002.

- [26] Sumera Saeed, Haq Nawaz Bhatti and T. M. Bhatti, "Bioleaching Studies of Rock Phosphate Using *Aspergillus niger*", *OnLine Journal of Biological Sciences* 2(2): 76-78, 2002.
- [27] A. Akcil, "Potential bioleaching developments towards commercial reality: Turkish metal mining's future", *Minerals Engineering*, PP. 477-480, 2004.
- [28] Bene ditto J.S., AL meida S.K. de, Gomes H.A., Vazoller R.F., "Monitoring of sulfate-Reducing bacteria in acid Water from uranium mines", *Elsevier, Mineral Engineering*, PP. 1341-1343, 2005.
- [29] Choi Moon-song, cho kyung-suk, kim dung-su, Ryu Hee-wook, "Bioleaching of Uranium from Low Grade Black Schist's by *Acidithiobacillus f.*", *world journal of Microbiology and biotechnology*, volume 21, number 3, p.p. 377-380, 2005.
- [30] Jong un lee, Sung Min Kim, Kyoung Woong Kim, In S. Kim, "Microbial Removal of Uranium in Uranium-bearing Black Shale", *Elsevier, chemosphere*, PP. 147-154, 2005.
- [31] Rohwerder T, Gehrke T, Kinzler K, Sand W., "Bioleaching review part A: Progress in bioleaching: fundamentals and mechanisms of bacterial metal sulfide oxidation", *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*, 2003.
- [32] Barrett J., Hughes M. N., Kaavaiko G. I., Spencer P.A., "Metal Extraction by Bacterial Oxidation of mineral", *Ellis Harwood limited*, P.P. 127-134, 1993.
- [33] Korean Geography Resource Research Center, "Study on Environment-friendly Treatment Technology of Refractory Minerals", 2003.
- [34] Sand W, Gehrke T, Hallmann R, Schippers A., "Sulfur chemistry, biofilm, and the (in)direct attack mechanism - critical evaluation of bacterial leaching", *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*, 43:961-966, 1995.
- [35] Douglas E Rawlings, "Characteristics and Adaptability of Iron-and Sulfur-Oxidizing Microorganisms Used for the Recovery of Metals from Minerals and their Concentrates", *Microbial Cell Factories*, [http://www .microbialcellfactories.com](http://www.microbialcellfactories.com), 2005
- [36] Douglas Eric Rawlings, "Microbially Assisted Dissolution of Minerals and its Use in the Mining Industry", *Pure Appl. Chem.*, Vol. 76, No. 4, PP. 847-859, 2004.
- [37] Sand W, Gehrke T., "Analysis and function of the EPS from the strong acidophile *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. In: Wingender W, Neu TR, Flemming HC (eds) *Microbial extracellular polymeric substances*", Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, PP.127-141, 1999.
- [38] Baldi F, Clark T, Pollack SS, Olson GJ., "Leaching of pyrites of various reactivities by *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*", *Appl. Environ Microbiol*, No.58, PP.1853-1856, 1992.
- [39] Evangelou, V.P. and Y.L. Zhang, "A review - pyrite oxidation mechanisms and acid mine drainage prevention", *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology* 25 (2), 141-199, 1995.
- [40] Habashi F., "A Text Book of Hydrometallurgy", *Metallurgy Extraction Quebec Publication, Canada*, 1993.
- [41] Baillet, F, J.P. Magnin, A. Cheruy and P. Ozil, "Chromium precipitation by the acidophilic bacterium *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*", *Biotechnology Letters* 20 (1), 95-99, 1998.
- [42] Takeuchi, F., K., Iwahori, K. Kamimura and T. Sugio, "Isolation and some properties of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* strains with differing levels of mercury resistance from natural environments", *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* 88(4), 387-392, 1999.
- [43] Sugio, T., C. Domatsu, O. Munakata, T. Tano and K. Imai, "Role of a ferric ion-reducing system in sulfur oxidation of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*", *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 49 (6), 1401-1406, 1985.

- [44] Iwahori, K., F. Takeuchi, K. Kamimura and T. Sugio, "Ferrous-dependent volatilisation of mercury by the plasma membrane of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*", *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 66(9), 3823-3825, 2000.
- [45] Kawatra S. K. and K. A. Natarjan, "Mineral Biotechnology", *SME Publ.*, PP. 101 - 119, 2001.
- [46] Brierley JA, Brierley CL., "Microbial leaching of copper at ambient and elevated temperatures", *Metallurgical applications of bacterial leaching and related microbiological phenomena*, Academic Press, PP. 477-490, 1978.
- [47] G. J. Olson, J. A. Brierley, C. L. Brierley, "Bioleaching review part B: Progress in bioleaching: applications of microbial processes by the minerals industries", *Appl. Microbiol Biotechnol.*, No.63, PP.249-257, 2003.
- [48] Rawlings DE, Dew D., "du Plessis C: Biomineralization of metalcontaining ores and concentrates", *Trends Biotechnol*, 21:38-44, 2003.
- [49] Brierley JA, "Thermophilic iron-oxidizing bacteria found in copper leaching dumps", *Appl Environ Microbiol*, No. 36, PP.523-525, 1978.
- [50] Brierley JA, Norris PR, Kelly DP, LeRoux NW, "Characteristics of a moderately thermophilic and acidophilic ironoxidizing *Thiobacillus*", *Eur J Appl Microbiol*, PP.291-299, 1978.
- [51] Berthelot, D., L.G. Leduc and G.D. Ferroni, Temperature studies of iron-oxidising autotrophs and acidophilic heterotrophs isolated from uranium mines. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 39 (4), 384-388, 1993.
- [52] Holmes, D.S., *Biotechnology in the mining and metal processing industries - challenges and opportunities*. *Minerals and Metallurgical Processing*, May, 49-56, 1988.
- [53] Schippers A., Hallmann R. Wentzien S., *Microbial diversity in uranium mine Waste heaps*, *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, P.P. 2930-2935, 1995.
- [54] Olson GJ, Porter FD, Rubinstein J, Silver S., "Mercuric reductase enzyme from a mercury-volatilizing strain of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*", *J Bacteriol*, No.151, PP.1230-1236, 1982.
- [55] Hallberg, K.B. and E.B. Lindstrom, "Characterisation of *Thiobacillus caldus* sp. nov., a moderately thermophilic acidophile", *Microbiology* 140 (12), 3451-3456, 1994.
- [56] Blais, J.F., R.D. Tyagi, N. Meunier and J.C. Auclair, "The production of extracellular appendages during bacterial colonisation of elemental sulphur", *Process Biochemistry* 29 (6), 475-482, 1994.
- [57] OECD: Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, "Consensus Document on Information Used in the Assessment of environmental Applications Involving *Acidithiobacillus*", *Series on Harmonization of Regulatory Oversight in Biotechnology* No. 37, PP. 16, 2006.
- [88] Twardowska, I., "The Role of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* in pyrite oxidation in Colliery spoil tips, I. Model investigations", *Acta Microbiologica Polonica* 35 (3-4), 291-303, 1986.
- [59] Twardowska, I., "The role of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* in pyrite oxidation in Colliery spoil tips II. Investigation of samples taken from spoil tips", *Acta Microbiologica Polonica* 36 (1-2), 101-107, 1987.
- [60] Pronk, J.T., J.C. de Bruyn, P. Bos and J.G. Kuenen, Anaerobic growth of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 58 (7), 2227-2230, 1992.
- [61] Brierley CL, Briggs AP, "Selection and sizing of biooxidation equipment and circuits. In: Mular AL, Halbe DN, Barret DJ (eds) *Mineral processing plant design, practice and control*", *Society of Mining Engineers, Littleton, Colo.*, PP.1540-1568, 2002.



Nuclear Power - Deployment, Operation and Sustainability

Edited by Dr. Pavel Tsvetkov

ISBN 978-953-307-474-0

Hard cover, 510 pages

Publisher InTech

Published online 09, September, 2011

Published in print edition September, 2011

We are fortunate to live in incredibly exciting and incredibly challenging time. Energy demands due to economic growth and increasing population must be satisfied in a sustainable manner assuring inherent safety, efficiency and no or minimized environmental impact. These considerations are among the reasons that lead to serious interest in deploying nuclear power as a sustainable energy source. At the same time, catastrophic earthquake and tsunami events in Japan resulted in the nuclear accident that forced us to rethink our approach to nuclear safety, design requirements and facilitated growing interests in advanced nuclear energy systems. This book is one in a series of books on nuclear power published by InTech. It consists of six major sections housing twenty chapters on topics from the key subject areas pertinent to successful development, deployment and operation of nuclear power systems worldwide. The book targets everyone as its potential readership groups - students, researchers and practitioners - who are interested to learn about nuclear power.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Hadi Hamidian (2011). Microbial Leaching of Uranium Ore, Nuclear Power - Deployment, Operation and Sustainability, Dr. Pavel Tsvetkov (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-474-0, InTech, Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/books/nuclear-power-deployment-operation-and-sustainability/microbial-leaching-of-uranium-ore>

INTECH
open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A
51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166
www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元
Phone: +86-21-62489820
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2011 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike-3.0 License](#), which permits use, distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the original is properly cited and derivative works building on this content are distributed under the same license.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen