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## 1. Introduction

In the last decade, switched systems have gained much attention since a large class of practical systems can be modeled as switched systems (see e.g., (Dayawansa \& Martin, 1999)) and there exist systems that cannot be asymptotically stabilized by a single continuous feedback control law (see e.g., (Brockett, 1983)). For stability analysis of switched systems, many interesting results have been proposed in the literature, see e.g., (Narendra \& Balakrishnan, 1994; Johansson \& Rantzer, 1998; Branicky, 1998; Ye et al., 1998; Dayawansa \& Martin, 1999; Liberzon et al., 1999; Skafidas et al., 1999; Mancilla-Aguilar, 2000; and Chatterjee \& Liberzon, 2007). Another topic is the derivation of stabilizing switching rules for switched systems, see et al., (Peleties \& DeCarlo, 1991; Liberzon \& Morse, 1999; and Pettersson, 2003). For feedback controller synthesis of switched control systems (with input signals), most of the proposed results consider the linear subsystems case, see e.g., (Daafouz et al., 2002; Sun \& Ge, 2003; Petterson, 2004; Hespanha \& Morse, 2004; and Seatzu et al., 2006). Only a few results have been proposed for feedback controller synthesis of switched nonlinear control systems, see e.g., (Sun \& Zhao, 2001; El-Farra et al., 2005; Wu, 2008; and $\mathrm{Wu}, 2009$ ). In (El-Farra et al., 2005), an integrated synthesis of feedback controllers together with switching laws has been proposed. In (Sun \& Zhao, 2001), a common control Lyapunov function (CCLF) approach has been introduced also for constructing feedback control laws together with switching signals for switched nonlinear control systems. The concept of CCLF is motivated by the control Lyapunov function approach (see, e.g., (Artstein, 1983) and (Sontag, 1983 and 1989)) for designing stabilizing feedback laws for (non-switched) nonlinear systems. In (Wu, 2008), for switched nonlinear control systems under arbitrarily switching, conditions for the existence of CCLFs has been derived and a globally uniformly asymptotically stabilizing feedback law has been proposed. However, no systematical approaches have been provided for constructing CCLFs. Moreover, the obtained feedback law is complicated. In (Wu, 2009), for switched nonlinear control systems, which arbitrarily switching between a set of subsystems in strict feedback form, the backstepping approach (see e.g., (Krstic et al., 1995) and (Sepulchre et al., 1997)) has been employed to construct CCLFs, and a simpler stabilizing feedback law has been proposed.
However, till now, few results have been reported in the literature about stabilizing feedback controllers design for state-dependent switched nonlinear control systems. The
purpose of this chapter is to give a constructive approach for this problem. The state space is partitioned, by a set of switching surfaces, into several operation regions. In each of these regions, a nonlinear dynamical system (in feedback linearizable form) is given. Whenever the state trajectory passes a switching surface, a new dynamical model dominates the system's behavior. That is, the switching signal is state-dependent and predetermined. For the stability analysis of state-dependent switched systems, a common Lyapunov function for all subsystems is easier to develop but too conservative, see e.g., (Liberzon, 2003). It is known that the multiple Lyapunov function approach is a less conservative method. Based on the concepts of multiple Lyapunov functions and control Lyapunov functions, this chapter introduces a switched control Lyapunov function (SCLF) approach for designing stabilizing feedback controllers for state-dependent switched nonlinear control systems. It should be emphasized that the derivation of CCLFs or SCLFs for switched nonlinear control systems is an open problem unless the systems are in some particular form. Therefore, in this chapter we restrict our attention to switched nonlinear systems in feedback linearizable form for the reason that, in this case, SCLFs can be chosen as piecewise quadratic form and can be obtained by solving bilinear matrix inequalities (BMIs) with equality constraints. Although the considered systems are in feedback linearizable form, we do not use the feedback linearization technique in the design procedure. We show that the considered stabilization problem for switched nonlinear control systems can be solved by directly solving a matrix problem. We will show that an explicit stabilizing switched feedback law, based on the Sontag's formula (see (Sontag, 1989)), can be easily derived once a SCLF has been obtained.

NOTATIONS: That $A \backslash B$ is the set of all elements which belong to set $A$ but not belong to set $B ; A \cup B$ is the union of sets $A$ and $B ; A \cap B$ is the intersection of sets $A$ and $B ; c l A$ is the closure of set $A ; \bar{A}$ is the boundary of set $A ; \operatorname{Int} A$ is the interior of set $A$ (i.e., Int $A=c l A \backslash \bar{A}) ; P>0(P<0)$ means that the matrix $P$ is positive (negative) definite; $P \geq 0$ ( $P \leq 0$ ) means that the matrix $P$ is positive (negative) semidefinite; $\phi$ denotes an empty set; $\forall$ means "for all".

## 2. Problem Formulation and Preliminaries

The intension of this section is to present some preliminaries and to explicitly formulate the problem to be solved.

### 2.1 Switched nonlinear control systems

In this chapter we are focused on switched nonlinear systems with input signals:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=A_{\sigma(x)} x+C_{\sigma(x)} f_{\sigma(x)}(x)+B_{\sigma(x)} g_{\sigma(x)}(x) u, \sigma(x) \in\{1, \ldots, q\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in R^{n}$ is the system state, $u \in R^{m}$ is the control input, $A_{i} \in R^{n \times n}, C_{i} \in R^{n \times s}$, $B_{i} \in R^{n \times m}, i=1, \ldots, q$, are constant matrices, $\sigma: R^{n} \mapsto\{1, \ldots, q\}$ is a predetermined statedependent switching signal, and $f_{i}(x) \in R^{s}$ and $g_{i}(x) \in R^{m \times m}, i=1, \ldots, q$, are locally Lipchitz functions. Suppose that $f_{i}(0)=0, g_{i}(x)$ is nonsingular for all $x \in \Omega_{i}$. Suppose also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(C_{i}^{T}\right) \subset N\left(B_{i}^{T}\right) \text { for each } i \in\{1, \ldots, q\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the index set $I_{S}=\{1, \ldots, q\}$. Associated with the considered switched control system (1), a family of subsystems is defined:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=A_{i} x+C_{i} f_{i}(x)+B_{i} g_{i}(x) u, \quad i \in I_{S} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2), it can be seen that the subsystems in (3) are feedback linearizable (see Khalil, 1996).

### 2.2 State space partition

Specially in this chapter, the state space is partitioned into $q$ regions $\Omega_{i}, i=1, \ldots, q$, given by quadratic forms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{i} \equiv\left\{x \in R^{n} \mid x^{T} Q_{i} x \geq 0\right\}, i=1, \ldots, q \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some symmetric matrices $Q_{i} \in R^{n \times n}, i=1, \ldots, q$. Let $\operatorname{Int} \Omega_{i} \cap \operatorname{Int} \Omega_{j}=\phi$ if $i \neq j$, and $\Omega_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \Omega_{q}=R^{n}$. That is, the overlap between two adjacent regions is the boundary between these two regions. The $i$-th subsystem of (3) can be active only in part of the state space, specified by region $\Omega_{i}$. Define the adjacent index set

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{A}=\left\{\{i, j\} \in I_{S} \times I_{S} \mid \Omega_{i} \cap \Omega_{j} \backslash\{0\} \neq \phi\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, if $\{i, j\} \in I_{A}$, then $\Omega_{i}$ and $\Omega_{j}$ are adjacent regions and thus a switching region $S_{i j}$ is defined:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i j} \equiv\left\{x \in R^{n} \mid x^{T}\left(Q_{i}-Q_{j}\right) x=0\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, $S_{i j}=\Omega_{i} \cap \Omega_{j}$. Switches of the $i$-th subsystem into the $j$-th subsystem (or, switches of the $j$-th subsystem into the $i$-th subsystem) can occur only in the region $S_{i j}$. Note that in (Pettersson, 2004), for switched linear systems, the partition of state space is determined by the designer. That is, $Q_{i}, i=1, \ldots, q$, are parameters to be determined. But in this chapter, we consider the case that they are predetermined.

### 2.3 Switching rule

In this chapter, we consider the case that the switching signal is state-dependent and is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(x(t))=i, \text { if } x(t) \in \operatorname{In} t \Omega_{i}, \text { or } x(t) \in \bar{\Omega}_{i} \text { and } \sigma\left(x\left(t^{-}\right)\right)=i \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (7), the switching signal $\sigma(x)$ changes it value only if the state trajectory leaves one of the regions $\Omega_{i}, i=1, \ldots, q$. It holds constant value if the state trajectory keeps within a particular region (including its boundary).

### 2.4 Problem formulation

The goal of this chapter is to construct a state feedback law

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=h_{\sigma(x)}(x) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

to globally asymptotically stabilize the switched control system (1). That is, we want to find a feedback law (8) such that the closed-loop system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=A_{\sigma(x)} x+C_{\sigma(x)} f_{\sigma(x)}(x)+B_{\sigma(x)} g_{\sigma(x)}(x) h_{\sigma(x)}(x) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

becomes globally asymptotically stable under the switching rule (7).

### 2.5 Multiple Lyapunov functions

As stated in (Liberzon, 2003), common Lyapunov function approach will be too conservative for stability analysis of state-dependent switched systems. The multiple Lyapunov function approach will be less conservative. Here we briefly review the concept of multiple Lyapunov functions.
To analyze the stability of state-dependent switched system (9), for each $i \in I_{S}$, we first find a Lyapunov-like function $V_{i}(x)$, which vanishes at the origin and is positive for all $x \in \Omega_{i} \backslash\{0\}$, for the $i$-th subsystem of (9). System (9) is stable if, for each $i \in I_{S}$, the values of $V_{i}(x)$ at every switching instants, when we enter (switch into) the $i$-th subsystem, form a monotonically decreasing sequence.

However, using the multiple Lyapunov function approach in practically analyzing stability is difficult since, for verifying the monotonically decreasing property, one must have some information about the solutions of the switched systems (Liberzon, 2003). That is, one needs to know the values of suitable Lyapunov-like functions at switching times, which in general requires the knowledge of the state at these times. This is to be contrasted with the classical Lyapunov stability results, which do not require the knowledge of solutions, see (Liberzon, 2003). To simply the analysis procedure, an additional assumption that the multiple Lyapunov function is continuous on the boundaries between regions (i.e., switching surfaces) can be introduced. This assumption is conservative but leads to a simpler condition for verifying stability.

### 2.6 Switched control Lyapunov functions

Multiple Lyapunov function approach can be used to determine the stability of switched systems without input signals. However, it cannot tell us how to find a stabilizing feedback law (8) for the switched control system (1). Here we introduce the switched control Lyapunov function (SCLF) for feedback controller synthesis.
Definition 1: A switched function $V(x) \equiv V_{\sigma(x)}(x)$, which is differentiable in $\operatorname{Int} \Omega_{i}$, for all $i \in I_{S}$, and continuous on $S_{i j}$, for all $\{i, j\} \in I_{A}$, is a SCLF of (1) if,

$$
\begin{gather*}
V(0)=0  \tag{10}\\
V(x)>0, \forall x \in R^{n} \backslash\{0\}  \tag{11}\\
V(x) \rightarrow \infty, \text { as }\|x\| \rightarrow \infty \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, along each possible nonzero solution of (1), a control signal $u$ exists such that $V(x)$ monotonically decreases.

Similar to the statement about multiple Lyapunov function in the previous subsection, for simplifying the design procedure, we make the assumption that the SCLF is continuous everywhere. By Definition 1, if we can find a SCLF for the switched system (1), then for each solution of (1) we can derive a control signal $u$ such that SCLF monotonically decreases. The next problems are how to derive SCLFs for (1) and how to develop stabilizing feedback controllers by the obtained SCLF.

## 3. Stabilizing Controller Synthesis

In this section we propose the main results, a sufficient condition for the existence of SCLFs for (1) and a stabilizing feedback law derived by the obtained SCLF.
We first define the regional control Lyapunov functions (RCLFs) for the subsystems in (3), which will be used to construct SCLFs for (1).
Definition 2: A differentiable function $V_{i}(x)$ is a $\Omega_{i}$-RCLF for the $i$-th subsystem of (3) if,

$$
\begin{gather*}
V_{i}(0)=0  \tag{13}\\
V_{i}(x)>0, \forall x \in \Omega_{i} \backslash\{0\}  \tag{14}\\
V_{i}(x) \rightarrow \infty, \text { as } x \in \Omega_{i} \text { and }\|x\| \rightarrow \infty \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{u \in R^{m}} \frac{\partial V_{i}(x)}{\partial x}\left(A_{i} x+C_{i} f_{i}(x)+B_{i} g_{i}(x) u\right)<0, \forall x \in \Omega_{i} \backslash\{0\} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}(x) \equiv \frac{\partial V_{i}(x)}{\partial x}\left(A_{i} x+C_{i} f_{i}(x)\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}(x) \equiv \frac{\partial V_{i}(x)}{\partial x} B_{i} g_{i}(x) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Definition 2, a differentiable function $V_{i}(x)$, satisfying (13)-(15), is a $\Omega_{i}$-RCLF for the $i$-th subsystem if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in \Omega_{i} \backslash\{0\}, b_{i}(x)=0 \Rightarrow a_{i}(x)<0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that if we can find a $\Omega_{i}-\operatorname{RCLF}, V_{i}(x)$, for the $i$-th subsystem, then for all $x \in \Omega_{i} \backslash\{0\}$ we can derive an $u$ such that $a_{i}(x)+b_{i}(x) u<0$.
Now we recall the S-procedure and the Finsler's lemma which will be used latter for deriving conditions for the existence of RCLFs.

Lemma 1 (S-procedure) (Boyd et al., 1994): Let $P \in R^{n \times n}$ and $Q \in R^{n \times n}$ be symmetry. Then

$$
x^{T} P x>0 \text { for all } x \neq 0 \text { satisfies } x^{T} Q x \geq 0
$$

if there exists a scalar $\rho \geq 0$ such that

$$
P-\rho Q>0 .
$$

Lemma 2 (Finsler's Lemma) (Boyd et al., 1994): Consider a symmetric matrix $P \in R^{n \times n}$ and a matrix $N \in R^{n \times m}$, with $\operatorname{rank}(N)<n$. The following statements are equivalent:

1) $x^{T} P x<0 \quad \forall x \neq 0$ such that $N^{T} x=0$
2) $\exists \mu \in R$ such that $P-\mu N N^{T}<0$
3) $\exists L \in R^{m \times n}$ such that $P+L^{T} N^{T}+N L<0$.

By the particular structure of the switched control system (1), RCLFs of the subsystems in (3) can be chosen as quadratic form and then can be obtained by solving bilinear matrix inequalities (BMIs). From Definition 2, a quadratic function $V_{i}(x) \equiv x^{T} P_{i} x$, with $P_{i}=P_{i}^{T} \in R^{n \times n}$, is a $\Omega_{i}$-RCLF for the $i$-th subsystem of (3) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{T} P_{i} x>0 \text { for all } x \neq 0 \text { such that } x^{T} Q_{i} x \geq 0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{u \in R^{m}} x^{T} P_{i}\left(A_{i} x+C_{i} f_{i}(x)\right)+x^{T} P_{i} B_{i} g_{i}(x) u<0, \text { for all } x \neq 0 \text { such that } x^{T} Q_{i} x \geq 0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following result.
Theorem 1: There exists a quadratic $\Omega_{i}$-RCLF for the $i$-th subsystem of (3) if there exist scalars $\rho_{i} \geq 0$ and $\eta_{i} \geq 0$, and matrices $L_{i} \in R^{m \times n}$ and $P_{i}=P_{i}^{T} \in R^{n \times n}$ satisfy the following bilinear matrix inequalities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{i}-\eta_{i} Q_{i}>0  \tag{22}\\
A_{i}^{T} P_{i}+P_{i} A_{i}+\rho_{i} Q_{i}+L_{i}^{T} B_{i}^{T} P_{i}+P_{i} B_{i} L_{i}<0 \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

In this case, the quadratic function $V_{i}(x) \equiv x^{T} P_{i} x$ is a $\Omega_{i}$-RCLF for the $i$-th subsystem of (3). Proof: From (20), (22) and Lemma 1, it is clear that $V_{i}(x)>0$ for all $x \in \Omega_{i} \backslash\{0\}$. Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}(x)=\frac{\partial V_{i}(x)}{\partial x} B_{i} g_{i}(x)=2 x^{T} P_{i} B_{i} g_{i}(x) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}(x)=\frac{\partial V_{i}(x)}{\partial x}\left(A_{i} x+C_{i} f_{i}(x)\right)=2 x^{T} P_{i} A_{i} x+2 x^{T} P_{i} C_{i} f_{i}(x) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in \Omega_{i} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $b_{i}(x)=0$, we have $P_{i} x \in N\left(B_{i}^{T}\right)$ since $g_{i}(x)$ is nonsingular. Then, $P_{i} x \in N\left(C_{i}^{T}\right)$ by (2) and therefore $x^{T} P_{i} C_{i} f_{i}(x)=0$. From (23), for $x \in \Omega_{i} \backslash\{0\}$ satisfying $b_{i}(x)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i}(x) & =2 x^{T} P_{i} A_{i} x+2 x^{T} P_{i} C_{i} f_{i}(x) \\
& =2 x^{T} P_{i} A_{i} x \\
& <-x^{T}\left(\rho_{i} Q_{i}+L_{i}^{T} B_{i}^{T} P_{i}+P_{i} B_{i} L_{i}\right) x \\
& =-\rho_{i} x^{T} Q_{i} x \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the result by noting (19).
It should be noted that, by the conditions in Theorem $1, V_{i}(x)=x^{T} P_{i} x$ is not a classical control Lyapunov function for the $i$-th subsystem. This is obvious since the solution $P_{i}$ may not be positive definite.
By solving (22) and (23) for all $i \in I_{S}$, if all the subsystems in (3) have their RCLFs, $V_{i}(x)=x^{T} P x, i=1, \ldots, q$, one might think that the switched function $V_{\sigma(x)}(x)$ is a SCLF for switched control system (1). However, this is not true since these RCLFs in general have different values on the switching surfaces. That is, $V_{\sigma(x)}(x)$ will be discontinuous on the switching surfaces. If we use $V_{\sigma(x)}(x)$ as a control Lyapunov function for (1), we can find control signal $u$ such that $V_{\sigma(x)}(x)$ decreases between sequel switching times. However, $V_{\sigma(x)}(x)$ may increase at the switching instants (i.e., as the trajectories of (1) pass through the switching surfaces). In this case, the design of stabilizing feedback laws is difficult. To simply the design procedure, an additional continuity requirement is included for SCLFs. In the next theorem we introduce additional constraints in solving the matrix inequalities to guarantee the continuity of SCLFs on the switching surfaces. Moreover, a stabilizing feedback controller is given provided that a SCLF is obtained.
Theorem 2: There exists a piecewise quadratic SCLF for the switched control system (1) if there exist scalars $\rho_{i} \geq 0$ and $\eta_{i} \geq 0$, and matrices $L_{i} \in R^{m \times n}$ and $P_{i}=P_{i}^{T} \in R^{n \times n}, i=1,2, \ldots, q$, and scalars $\delta_{i j}$, for all $\{i, j\} \in I_{A}$, satisfy the following matrix inequalities and equalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad P_{i}-\eta_{i} Q_{i}>0, i=1,2, \ldots, q,  \tag{26}\\
& A_{i}^{T} P_{i}+P_{i} A_{i}+\rho_{i} Q_{i}+L_{i}^{T} B_{i}^{T} P_{i}+P_{i} B_{i} L_{i}<0, i=1,2, \ldots, q,  \tag{27}\\
& P_{i}-P_{j}=\delta_{i j}\left(Q_{i}-Q_{j}\right), \text { for all }\{i, j\} \in I_{A} . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, the function $V_{\sigma(x)}(x)=x^{T} P_{\sigma(x)} x$ is a SCLF for (1). In addition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=h_{\sigma(x)}(x) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $(k>0)$

$$
h_{i}(x) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-b_{i}^{T}(x) \frac{a_{i}(x)+k \sqrt{a_{i}^{2}(x)+\left(b_{i}(x) b_{i}^{T}(x)\right)^{2}}}{b_{i}(x) b_{i}^{T}(x)}, & \text { if } b_{i}(x) \neq 0  \tag{30}\\
0, & \text { if } b_{i}(x)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

is an asymptotically stabilizing feedback law for (1) under the switching rule (7).
Proof: By (28) it is clear that $x^{T} P_{i} x=x^{T} P_{j} x$ on $S_{i j}$, for all $\{i, j\} \in I_{A}$. That is, $V_{\sigma(x)}(x)$ is continuous in all state space. By Definition 1 and Theorem 1 and noting (26) and (27), it is obvious that $V_{\sigma(x)}(x) \equiv x^{T} P_{\sigma(x)} x$ is a SCLF for (1).
To show that (29) is a stabilizing feedback law, notice that, for each $i \in I_{S}$, if $x \in \Omega_{i} \backslash\{0\}$ (and $\sigma(x)=i$ ) such that $b_{i}(x)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_{\sigma(x)}(x) & =\dot{V}_{i}(x)=a_{i}(x)+b_{i}(x) u \\
& =a_{i}(x)+b_{i}(x) h_{i}(x) \\
& =a_{i}(x) \\
& <0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, if $x \in \Omega_{i} \backslash\{0\}$ (and $\left.\sigma(x)=i\right)$ such that $b_{i}(x) \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_{\sigma(x)}(x) & =\dot{V}_{i}(x)=a_{i}(x)+b_{i}(x) u \\
& =a_{i}(x)+b_{i}(x) h_{i}(x) \\
& =-k \sqrt{a_{i}^{2}(x)+\left(b_{i}(x) b_{i}^{T}(x)\right)^{2}} \\
& <0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, if no sliding motions occur on the switching surfaces, the closed-loop system is asymptotically stable since $\dot{V}_{\sigma(x)}(x)<0 \quad \forall x \neq 0$ (notice that the index set $I_{S}$ is countable).
In the case that sliding motion occurs, we need to prove the stability of sliding motion. If a sliding motion occurs on $S_{i j}$ for some $\{i, j\} \in I_{A}$, first suppose that $V_{i}(x) \leq V_{j}(x)$ for $x \in \Omega_{i}$ and $V_{i}(x) \geq V_{j}(x)$ for $x \in \Omega_{j}$ in a neighbourhood of $S_{i j}$. The existence of a sliding mode on $S_{i j}$ is characterized by the inequalities

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{T}\left(P_{i}-P_{j}\right)\left(A_{i} x+C_{i} f_{i}(x)+B_{i} g_{i}(x) h_{i}(x)\right) \geq 0  \tag{31}\\
x^{T}\left(P_{i}-P_{j}\right)\left(A_{j} x+C_{j} f_{j}(x)+B_{j} g_{j}(x) h_{j}(x)\right) \leq 0 \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since a sliding motion occurs on $S_{i j}, \sigma$ is not uniquely defined on $S_{i j}$. So let $\sigma(x)=i$ without loss of generality (Liberzon, 2003). Along the corresponding Filippov solution, by (32), we have (for $\alpha \in(0,1)$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_{i}(x)= & 2 x^{T} P_{i}\left(\alpha\left(A_{i} x+C_{i} f_{i}(x)+B_{i} g_{i}(x) h_{i}(x)\right)+(1-\alpha)\left(A_{j} x+C_{j} f_{j}(x)+B_{j} g_{j}(x) h_{j}(x)\right)\right) \\
= & 2\left(\alpha\left(x^{T} P_{i} A_{i} x+x^{T} P_{i} C_{i} f_{i}(x)+x^{T} P_{i} B_{i} g_{i}(x) h_{i}(x)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+(1-\alpha)\left(x^{T} P_{i} A_{j} x+x^{T} P_{i} C_{j} f_{j}(x)+x^{T} P_{i} B_{j} g_{j}(x) h_{j}(x)\right)\right) \\
\leq & 2\left(\alpha\left(x^{T} P_{i} A_{i} x+x^{T} P_{i} C_{i} f_{i}(x)+x^{T} P_{i} B_{i} g_{i}(x) h_{i}(x)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+(1-\alpha)\left(x^{T} P_{j} A_{j} x+x^{T} P_{j} C_{j} f_{j}(x)+x^{T} P_{j} B_{j} g_{j}(x) h_{j}(x)\right)\right) \\
< & 0, \text { if } x \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $V_{i}(x)$ monotonically decreases along the corresponding Filippov solution. Similarly, if $V_{i}(x) \geq V_{j}(x)$ for $x \in \Omega_{i}$ and $V_{i}(x) \leq V_{j}(x)$ for $x \in \Omega_{j}$ in a neighbourhood of $S_{i j}$, we can show that $\dot{V}_{j}(x)<0$ along the corresponding Filippov solution. This implies that the switched closed-loop system is still asymptotically stable even if sliding motions occur on the switching surfaces.

The formula in (30) is from the well known Sontag's formula (Sontag, 1989). We can also construct the feedback law by the Freeman's formula, see (Krstic et al., 1995).

Remark 1: In the case that the partition of state space is not predetermined and the switching signal is also a design parameter, by the covering property (Pettersson, 2004), some additional matrix inequalities must be included together with (26)-(28) to guarantee the existence of feedback controllers and switching laws for stabilizing the switched control systems.

## 4. An Illustrative Example

Consider the switched nonlinear control system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=A_{\sigma(x)} x+C_{\sigma(x)} f_{\sigma(x)}(x)+B_{\sigma(x)} g_{\sigma(x)}(x) u, \sigma(x) \in\{1,2\} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the following system parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -5 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right], C_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-3
\end{array}\right], B_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right], f_{1}(x)=x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, g_{1}(x)=-1-x_{2}^{2}, \\
& A_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
3 & -1 \\
3 & 2
\end{array}\right], C_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
-2
\end{array}\right], B_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right], f_{2}(x)=x_{1} x_{2}-\left|x_{2}\right|, g_{2}(x)=1+\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The state space is partitioned as $R^{2}=\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$, where

$$
\Omega_{1} \equiv\left\{x \in R^{2} \mid x^{T} Q_{1} x \geq 0\right\} \text { and } \Omega_{2} \equiv\left\{x \in R^{2} \mid x^{T} Q_{2} x \geq 0\right\}
$$

with

$$
Q_{1}=-Q_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 1.05 \\
1.05 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

That is, the switching region (boundaries between $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ ) is:

$$
S_{12} \equiv\left\{x \in R^{2} \mid x^{T}\left(Q_{1}-Q_{2}\right) x=0\right\}
$$

The switching signal is state-dependent and is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(x(t))=i, \text { if } x(t) \in \operatorname{In} t \Omega_{i}, \text { or } x(t) \in \bar{\Omega}_{i} \text { and } \sigma\left(x\left(t^{-}\right)\right)=i \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 2, we can verify that,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta_{1}=\eta_{2}=0.1, \rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=3.4, L_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right], L_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
-2 & 1
\end{array}\right], \\
\delta_{12}=1, P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1.2 & 1.1 \\
1.1 & 3.2
\end{array}\right], \text { and } P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
3.2 & -1 \\
-1 & 1.2
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

satisfy (26)-(28). Therefore, there exist stabilizing feedback laws for the considered switched control system (33). By (24) and (25), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}(x)=0.2 x_{1}^{2}-16.2 x_{1} x_{2}-11 x_{2}^{2}-\left(6.6 x_{1}+19.2 x_{2}\right) x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, \\
& a_{2}(x)=13.2 x_{1}^{2}-9.2 x_{1} x_{2}+6.8 x_{2}^{2}+\left(16.8 x_{1}-8.8 x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1} x_{2}-\left|x_{2}\right|\right), \\
& b_{1}(x)=-\left(2.2 x_{1}+6.4 x_{2}\right)\left(1+x_{2}^{2}\right), \\
& b_{2}(x)=\left(8.4 x_{1}-4.4 x_{2}\right)\left(1+\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by (30), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}(x) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-b_{1}^{T}(x) \frac{a_{1}(x)+k \sqrt{a_{1}^{2}(x)+\left(b_{1}(x) b_{1}^{T}(x)\right)^{2}}}{b_{1}(x) b_{1}^{T}(x)}, & \text { if } b_{1}(x) \neq 0 \\
0, & \text { if } b_{1}(x)=0
\end{array}\right. \\
& h_{2}(x) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-b_{2}^{T}(x) \frac{a_{2}(x)+k \sqrt{a_{2}^{2}(x)+\left(b_{2}(x) b_{2}^{T}(x)\right)^{2}}}{b_{2}(x) b_{2}^{T}(x)}, & \text { if } b_{2}(x) \neq 0 \\
0, & \text { if } b_{2}(x)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=h_{\sigma(x)}(x) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a stabilizing feedback controller for (33) under the switching rule (34).
Fig. 1 shows the state trajectories of the closed-loop switched system starting from several different initial conditions with $k=0.01$. Notice that sliding motions occur.


Fig. 1. State trajectories of the closed-loop switched system with $k=0.01$.

## 5. Conclusion

In this chapter, based on the use of switched control Lyapunov function approach, it has been shown that the design of stabilizing feedback laws for state-dependent nonlinear control systems in feedback linearizable form can be achieved by solving matrix problems. An example is given to illustrate the success of the method. However, solving the resultant bilinear matrix inequalities with equality constraints is not easy.
Further research topics include the development of feasible and efficient algorithms for solving the resultant matrix problem, the extension of the proposed approach to nonlinear control systems in some more general forms, and the search of stabilizing feedback laws to guarantee the non-existence of sliding motions.

## 6. Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the National Science Council of the Republic of China for financially supporting this research under Contract No. NSC 97-2221-E-019-026-MY2.

## 7. References

Artstein, Z. (1983). Stabilization with relaxed control. Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods $\mathcal{E}$ Applications, Vol. 7, pp. 1163-1173.

Boyd, S.; Ghaoui, L. E.; Feron, E. \& Balakrishnan. V. (1994). Linear Matrix Inequalities in Systems and Control Theory, volume 15 of SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia.
Branicky, M. S. (1998). Multiple Lyapunov functions and other analysis tools for switched and hybrid systems. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, Vol. 43, pp. 475-482.
Brockett, R. W. (1983). Asymptotic stability and feedback stabilization. in Differential Geometric Control Theory, Birkhauser, Boston, pp. 181-191.
Chatterjee D. \& Liberzon, D. (2007). On stability of randomly switched nonlinear systems. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, Vol. 52, No. 12, pp. 2390-2394.
Daafouz, J.; Riedinger, P. \& Iung, C. (2002). Stability analysis and control synthesis for switched systems: A switched Lyapunov function approach. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, Vol. 47, pp. 1883-1887.
Dayawansa, W. P. \& Martin, C. F. (1999). A converse Lyapunov theorem for a class of dynamical systems which undergo switching. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, Vol. 44, pp. 751-760.
El-Farra, N. H.; Mhaskar, P. \& Christofides, P. D. (2005). Output feedback control of switched nonlinear systems using multiple Lyapunov functions. Proceedings of the American Control Conference, Portland, pp. 3792-3799.
Hespanha, J. \& Morse, A. S. (2004). Switching between stabilizing controllers. Automatica, Vol. 38, pp. 1905-1917.
Johansson, M. \& Rantzer, A. (1998). Computation of piecewise quadratic Lyapunov functions for hybrid systems. IEEE Control System Magazine, Vol. 43, pp. 555-559.
Khalil, H. (1996). Nonlinear Systems, 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ edition. Prentice_Hall.
Krstic, M.; Kanellakopoulos, I. \& Kokotovic, P. (1995), Nonlinear and Adaptive Control Design, Wiley-Interscience.
Liberzon, D. (2003). Switching in Systems and Control. Birkhauser.
Liberzon, D.; Hespanha, J. P. \& Morse, A. S. (1999). Stability of switched systems: a Liealgebraic condition. System \& Control Letters, Vol. 37, pp. 117-122.
Liberzon, D. \& Morse, A. S. (1999). Basic problems in stability and design of switched systems. IEEE Control System Magazine, Vol. 19, No. 5, pp. 59-70.
Mancilla-Aguilar, J. L. (2000). A condition for the stability of switched nonlinear systems. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, Vol. 45, No. 11, pp. 2077-2079.
Narendra, K. S. \& Balakrishnan, J. (1994). A common Lyapunov function for stable LTI systems with com-muting A-matrices. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, Vol. 39, pp. 2469-2471.
Peleties, P. \& DeCarlo, R. (1991). Asymptotic stability of m-switched systems using Lyapunov-like functions. Proceedings of the 1991 American Control Conference, Boston, Massachusetts USA, pp. 1679-1684.
Petterson, S. (2003). Synthesis of Switched Linear Systems. Proceedings of the 42nd IEEE Conference on Decision $\mathcal{E}$ Control, Maui, Hawaii USA, pp. 5283-5288.
Petterson, S. (2004). Controller Design of Switched Linear Systems. Proceedings of the 2004 American Control Conference, Boston, Massachusetts USA, pp. 3869-3874.
Seatzu, C.; Corona, D.; Giua, A. \& Bemporad, A. (2006). Optimal control of continuous-time switched affine systems. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, Vol. 51, No. 5, pp. 726-741.
Sepulchre, R.; Jankovic, M. \& Kokotovic, P. (1997), Constructive Nonlinear Control, Springer.

Skafidas, E.; Evans, R. J.; Savkin, A. V. \& Peterson, I. R. (1999). Stability results for switched controller systems. Automatica, Vol. 35, pp. 553-564.
Sontag, E. D. (1983). A Lyapunov-like characterization of asymptotic controllability. SIAM J. Control and Optimization, Vol. 21, pp. 462-471.
Sontag, E. D. (1989). A 'universal' constructive of Artstein's theorem on nonlinear stabilization. Systems $\mathcal{E}$ Control Letters, Vol. 12, pp. 542-550.
Sun, H. \& Zhao, J. (2001). Control Lyapunov functions for switched control systems. Proceedings of the 2001 American Control Conference, Arlington, June 25-27, pp. 18901891.

Sun, Z. \& Ge, S. S. (2003). Dynamic output feedback stabilization of a class of switched linear systems. IEEE Trans. Circuits Systems: I, Vol. 50, pp. 1111-1115.
Wu, J. L. (2008). Feedback stabilization for multi-input switched nonlinear systems: two subsystems case. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, Vol. 53, No. 4, pp. 1037-1042.
Wu, J. L. (2009). Stabilizing controllers design for switched nonlinear systems in strictfeedback form. Automatica, Vol. 45, No. 4, pp. 1092-1096.
Ye, H.; Michel, A. N. \& Hou, L. (1998). Stability theory for hybrid dynamical systems. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, Vol. 43, pp. 461-474.


## Switched Systems

Edited by Janusz Kleban

ISBN 978－953－307－018－6
Hard cover， 174 pages
Publisher InTech
Published online 01，December， 2009
Published in print edition December， 2009

This book presents selected issues related to switched systems，including practical examples of such systems． This book is intended for people interested in switched systems，especially researchers and engineers． Graduate and undergraduate students in the area of switched systems can find this book useful to broaden their knowledge concerning control and switching systems．

## How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work，feel free to copy and paste the following：

Jenq－Lang Wu（2009）．Controller Synthesis for a Class of State－Dependent Switched Nonlinear Systems， Switched Systems，Janusz Kleban（Ed．），ISBN：978－953－307－018－6，InTech，Available from：
http：／／www．intechopen．com／books／switched－systems／controller－synthesis－for－a－class－of－state－dependent－ switched－nonlinear－systems

## INTECH

open science｜open minds

## InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83／A
51000 Rijeka，Croatia
Phone：＋385（51） 770447
Fax：＋385（51） 686166
www．intechopen．com

## InTech China

Unit 405，Office Block，Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No．65，Yan An Road（West），Shanghai，200040，China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼 405 单元
Phone：＋86－21－62489820
Fax：＋86－21－62489821
© 2009 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike-3.0 License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the original is properly cited and derivative works building on this content are distributed under the same license.

