

We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

4,800

Open access books available

122,000

International authors and editors

135M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

Countries delivered to

TOP 1%

most cited scientists

12.2%

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE™

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index
in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us?
Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.

For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Genetic Reinforcement Learning Algorithms for On-line Fuzzy Inference System Tuning “Application to Mobile Robotic”

Abdelkrim Nemra and Hacene Rezine
Unit of Control, Robotic and Productic Laboratory
Polytechnical Military School
Algeria

1. Introduction

In the last decade, fuzzy logic has supplanted conventional technologies in some scientific applications and engineering systems especially in control systems, particularly the control of the mobile robots evolving (moving) in completely unknown environments. Fuzzy logic has the ability to express the ambiguity of human thinking and translate expert knowledge into computable numerical data. Also, for real-time applications, its relatively low computational complexity makes it a good candidate. A fuzzy system consists of a set of fuzzy if-then rules. Conventionally, the selection of fuzzy if-then rules often relies on a substantial amount of heuristic observation to express the knowledge of proper strategies.

Recently, many authors proved that it is possible to reproduce the operation of any standard continuous controller using fuzzy controller L. Jouffe, C. Watkins, P. Dayan Dongbing Gu, Huosheng Hu, Libor Spacek . However it is difficult for human experts to examine complex systems, then it isn't easy to design an optimized fuzzy controller.

Generally the performances of Fuzzy inference system (FIS) depend on the formulation of the rules, but also the numerical specification of all the linguistic terms used and an important number of choices is *given a priori*, also it is not always easy or possible to extract these data using human expert. These choices are carried with empirical methods, and then the design of the FIS can prove to be long and delicate vis-à-vis the important number of parameters to determine, and can lead then to a solution with poor performance. To cope with this difficulty, many researchers have been working to find learning algorithms for fuzzy system design. These automatic methods enable to extract information when the experts' *priori* knowledge is not available.

The most popular approach to design Fuzzy Logic Controller (FLC) may be a kind of supervised learning where the training data is available. However, in real applications, extraction of training data is not always easy and become impossible when the cost to obtain training data is expensive. For these problems, reinforcement learning is more suitable than supervised learning. In reinforcement learning, an agent receives from its environment a critic, called reinforcement, which can be thought of as a reward or a punishment. The objective then is to generate a policy maximizing on average the sum of the rewards in the course of time, starting from experiments (state, action, reward).

Source: Robotics, Automation and Control, Book edited by: Pavla Pecherková, Miroslav Flidr and Jindřich Duník, ISBN 978-953-7619-18-3, pp. 494, October 2008, I-Tech, Vienna, Austria

In this chapter, we used the algorithm of reinforcement learning, Fuzzy Q-Learning (FQL) L. Jouffe, A. Souissi which allows the adaptation of apprentices of the type FIS (continuous states and actions), fuzzy Q-learning is applied to select the consequent action values of a fuzzy inference system. For these methods, the consequent value is selected from a predefined values set which is kept unchanged during learning, and if an improper value set is assigned, then the algorithm may fail. Also, the approach suggested called Fuzzy-Q-Learning Genetic Algorithm (FQLGA), is a hybrid method of Reinforcement Genetic combining FQL and genetic algorithms for on line optimization of the parametric characteristics of a FIS. In FQLGA we will tune free parameters (precondition and consequent part) by genetic algorithms (GAs) which is able to explore the space of solutions effectively. However, many times the priory knowledge about the FIS structure is not available, as a solution, the suggested approach called Dynamic Fuzzy Q-Learning Genetic Algorithm (DFQLGA), which is a hybrid learning method, this method combines the Dynamic Fuzzy Q-Learning algorithm (DFQL) Meng Joo Er, Chang Deng and the genetic algorithms to optimize the structural and parametric characteristics of the FIS, with out any priori knowledge, the interest of the latter (GA) is to explore the space of solutions effectively and permits the optimization of conclusions starting from a random initialization of the parameters.

This chapter is organized as follows. In Section II, overviews of Reinforcement learning, implementation and the limits of the Fuzzy-Q-Learning algorithm is described. The implementation and the limits of the Fuzzy-Q-Learning algorithm are introduced in Section III. Section IV describes the combination of Reinforcement Learning (RL) and genetic algorithm (GA) and the architecture of the proposed algorithm called Fuzzy-Q-Learning Genetic Algorithm (FQLGA). In section V we present the DFQL algorithm, followed by the DFQLGA algorithm in section VI. Section VII shows simulation and experimentation results of the proposed algorithms, on line learning of two elementary behaviours of mobile robot reactive navigation, "Go to Goal" and "Obstacles Avoidance" is presented with discussion. Finally, conclusions and prospects are drawn in Section VIII.

2. Reinforcement learning

As previously mentioned, there are two ways to learn either you are told what to do in different situations or you get credit or blame for doing good respectively bad things. The former is called supervised learning and the latter is called learning with a critic, of which reinforcement learning (RL) is the most prominent representative. The basic idea of RL is that agents learn behaviour through trial-and-error, and receive rewards for behaving in such a way that a goal is fulfilled.

Reinforcement signal, measures the utility of the exits suggested relative with the task to be achieved, the received reinforcement is the sanction (positive, negative or neutral) of behaviour: this signal states that it should be done without saying how to do it. The goal of reinforcement learning, is to find the behaviour most effective, i.e. to know, in each possible situation, which action is achieved to maximize the cumulated future rewards. Unfortunately the sum of rewards could be infinite for any policy. To solve this problem a discount factor is introduced.

$$R = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_k \quad (1)$$

Where $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ is the *discount factor*.

The idea of RL can be generalized into a model, in which there are two components: an agent that makes decisions and an environment in which the agent acts. For every time step, the agent perceives information from the environment about the current state, s . The information perceived could be, for example, the positions of a physical agent, to simplify say the x and y coordinates. In every state, the agent takes an action u_t , which transits the agent to a new state. As mentioned before, when taking that action the agent receives a reward.

Formally the model can be written as follows; for every time step t the agent is in a state $s_t \in S$ where S is the set of all possible states, and in that state the agent can take an action $a_t \in (A_t)$, where (A_t) is the set of all possible actions in the state s_t . As the agent transits to a new state s_{t+1} at time $t + 1$ it receives a numerical reward r_{t+1} . It up to date then its estimate of the function of evaluation of the action using the immediate reinforcement, r_{t+1} , and the estimated value of the following state, $V_t(s_{t+1})$, which is defined by:

$$V_t(s_{t+1}) = \max_{u_t \in U_{t+1}} Q(s_{t+1}, u_t) \quad (2)$$

the Q-value of each state/action pair is updated by

$$Q(s_{t+1}, u_t) = Q(s_t, u_t) + \beta \{r_{t+1} + \gamma V_t(s_{t+1}) - Q(s_t, u_t)\} \quad (3)$$

Where $r_{t+1} + \gamma V_t(s_{t+1}) - Q(s_t, u_t)$ the TD error and β is the learning rate.

This algorithm is called Q-Learning. It shows several interesting characteristics. The estimates of the function Q, also called the Q-values, are independent of the policy pursued by the agent. To calculate the function of evaluation of a state, it is not necessary to test all the possible actions in this state but only to take the maximum Q-value in the new state (eq.4). However, the too fast choice of the action having the greatest Q-value:

$$u_t = \arg \max_{u_t \in U_{t+1}} Q(s_{t+1}, u_t) \quad (4)$$

can lead to local minima. To obtain a useful estimate of Q, it is necessary to sweep and evaluate the whole of the possible actions for all the states: it is what one calls the phase of exploration L. Jouffe, A. Souissi. In the preceding algorithm, called TD (0), we use only the state which follows the robot evolution, moreover only the running state is concerned. Sutton A. Souissi extended the evaluation in all the states, according to their eligibility traces that memorise the previously visited state action pairs in our case. Eligibility traces can be defined in several ways L. Jouffe, C. Watkins, P. Dayan, A. Souissi. Accumulating eligibility is defined by:

$$e_t(s) = \begin{cases} 1 + \gamma \lambda e_{t-1}(s) & \text{si } s = s_t \\ \gamma \lambda e_{t-1}(s) & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The algorithm Q (λ) is a generalization of Q-Learning which uses the eligibilities traces A. Souissi: Q-Learning is then a particular case of Q (λ) when $\lambda=0$.

$$Q(s_{t+1}, u_t) = Q(s_t, u_t) + \beta \{r_{t+1} + \gamma V_t(s_{t+1}) - Q(s_t, u_t)\} \cdot e_t(s) \quad (6)$$

3. Fuzzy Q-learning algorithm

In mobile robotics, input and output variables given by the sensors and effectors are seldom discrete, or, if they are, the number of state is very large. However reinforcement learning such as we described it uses a discrete space of states and actions which must be have reasonable size to enable the algorithms to converge in an acceptable time in practice.

The principle of the Fuzzy Q-Learning algorithm consists in choosing for each rule R_i a conclusion among a whole of actions available for this rule. Then it implements a process of competition between actions. With this intention, the actions corresponding to each rule R_i have a quality q^i which then determines the probability to choose the action. The output action (*discrete* or *continues*) is then the result of the inference between various actions locally elected. The matrix q enables to implement not only the local policies of rules, but also to represent the function of evaluation of the overall t-optimal policy.

For every time step t the agent is in a state $st \in S$ where S is the set of all possible states, and in that state the agent can take an action $at \in (At)$, where (At) is the set of all possible actions in the state st . As the agent receives a numerical reward $rt+1 \in R$ at time $t + 1$, and it transits to a new state $st+1$. It then perceives this state by the means of its activated degree of its rules. The algorithm FQL uses a Policy of Exploration/Exploitation (PEE) L. Jouffe, A. Souissi, combining a random exploration part $\rho^i(U)$ and determinist guided part $\eta^i(U)$.

The steps are summarized as follows:

1. Calculate of the evaluation function :

$$Q_t^*(S_{t+1}) = \sum_{R_i \in A_t} (\text{Max}_{U \in U} (q_t^i(U))) \alpha_{R_i}(S_{t+1}),$$

2. Calculate the TD error

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{t+1} = r_{t+1} + \gamma Q_t^*(S_{t+1}) - Q_t(S_t, U_t)$$

3. Update the matrix of Q values

$$q_{t+1}^i = q_t^i + \beta \cdot \tilde{\epsilon}_{t+1} e_t^{iT}, \forall R_i$$

4. Election of the action to be applied

$$U_{t+1}(S_{t+1}) = \sum_{R_i \in A_{t+1}} \text{Election}_U(q_{t+1}^i) \alpha_{R_i}(S_{t+1}), \forall U \in U,$$

Where Election is defined by:

$$\text{Election}_U(q_{t+1}^i) = \text{ArgMax}_{U \in U} (q_{t+1}^i(U) + \eta^i(U) + \rho^i(U)),$$

5. Update of the eligibility traces

$$e_{t+1}^i(U^i) = \begin{cases} \gamma \lambda e_t^i(U^i) + \phi_{t+1}^i, & (U^i = U_{t+1}^i) \\ \gamma \lambda e_t^i(U^i), & \text{sinon} \end{cases}$$

$$Q_{t+1}(S_{t+1}, U_{t+1}) = \sum_{R_i \in A_{t+1}} q_{t+1}^i(U_{t+1}^i) \alpha_{R_i}(S_{t+1}),$$

This value will be used to calculate the TD error in the next step time. However the performances of the controller are closely dependent on the correct choice of the discrete actions set, which is determined using *a priori* knowledge about system, for complex systems like robots, *priori* knowledge are not available, then it becomes difficult to determine a set of correct actions in which figure the optimal action for each fuzzy rule. To solve this problem and to improve the performances of the reinforcement learning, the genetic algorithms will explore the broadest space of solutions to find the solution optimal Dongbing Gu, Huosheng Hu, Libor Spacek Chia-Feng Juang Min-Soeng Kim and Kim and Ju-Jang Lee Chia-Feng Juang and Chun-Feng Lu, and that without any *priori* knowledge.

4. Genetique reinforcement algorithmes

Genetic Algorithms (GA) are stochastic optimization algorithms, founded on species evolution mechanisms David E Goldberg. In GA, a candidate solution for a specific problem is called an individual or a chromosome and consists of a linear list of genes. Each individual represents a point in the search space and, hence, a possible solution to the problem. A population consists of a finite number of individuals. Each individual is decided by an evaluating mechanism to obtain its fitness value. Based on this fitness value and undergoing genetic operators, a new population is generated iteratively, with each successive population referred to as a generation.

Genetic Reinforcement Learning enable to determine the best set of parameters of (antecedents / consequences) starting from a random initialization of these parameters and in each iteration, only one action is applied on the system to the difference of a traditional genetic algorithm GA use three basic operators (the selection, crossover, and mutation) to manipulate the genetic composition of a population:

- *Reproduction*: Individuals are copied according to their fitness values. The individuals with higher fitness values have more offspring than those with lower fitness values.
- *Crossover*: The crossover will happen for two parents that have high fitness values with the crossover probability pc . One point crossover is used to exchange the genes.
- *Mutation*: The real value mutation is done by adding a certain amount of noise (Gaussian in this paper) to new individuals to produce the offspring with the mutation probability pm . For the i th variable in j th individual, it can be expressed as:

$$a_{t+1} = a_t + \beta(i).N(0, \sigma) \quad (7)$$

Where N denote a Gaussian noise, and $\beta(i) = \exp(-i)$ for the i th generation.

A. FQLGA Algorithm

Because of its simplicity, a Takagi-Sugeno Fuzzy inference system is considered, with triangular membership function. The structure (partition of its input space, the determination of the number of IF-THEN rules) of the FIS is predetermined.

$$\text{Rule } R_i : \text{if } S_1 \text{ is } L_1^i \text{ and } \dots \text{and } S_m \text{ is } L_m^i \text{ then } Y \text{ is } a_j^i \text{ with } q_j^i$$

a_j^i is a vector representing the discrete set of K conclusions generated randomly for the rule R_i with which is associated a vector q_j^i representing the quality of each action ($i= 1 \sim N$ and $j= 1 \sim K$).

The principle of the approach is to use a population of K (FIS) and to treat the output of each one of them as a possible action to apply on the system. FQL algorithm exploits local quality function q witch is associated with each action of a fuzzy rule (équat.6) whereas FQLGA algorithm uses as function fitness the sum of local qualities q given by:

$$f(Ind_j) = Q(S_{t+1}, SIF_{t+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^N q_j^i \tag{8}$$

To reduce the training time, the quality matrix Q is not initialized after every iteration, but undergoes the same genetic operations as those applied to the set of the individuals (selection, crossing).

B. Optimization of the consequent part of a FIS

A population of K individuals of a predetermined structure is adopted. The size of an individual is equal to number N of the FIS's rules. The architecture of FQLGA algorithm proposed for the optimization of the conclusions is represented on the figure (1).




Fig. 1. Representation of the individuals and qualities of the actions in FQLGA algorithm

C. Optimization of the antecedent part of a FIS

To find the best set of premises generated by GA, a population made up of M FIS is created. Each individual (FIS) of the population encode the parameters of the antecedents i.e. the

modal points of the FIS and his performance is evaluated by the fitness function of Q (global quality).

The conclusion part of each individual FIS remains fixed and corresponds to the values determined previously. The coding of the membership functions of the antecedent part of a FIS (individual) is done according to the figure (2). To keep the legibility of the FIS, we impose constraints during the evolution of the FIS to ensure the interpretability of the FIS.




Fig. 2. Coding of the parameters of the antecedent part

Input N: $m_{Nm-n_N+1}^1 < \dots < m_{Nm-2}^1 < m_{Nm}^1$

The fitness function used in by genetic algorithm for the optimization of the antecedent part is the global quality of the FIS which uses the degree of activation of the fuzzy rules; this fitness function is given by the following equation:

$$f(ind_i) = Q(S(t), SIF_i) = \frac{\sum_{R_i \in R_A} \alpha_{R_i}(S(t)) \cdot q_i^i(t)}{\sum_{R_i \in R_A} \alpha_{R_i}(S(t))} \tag{9}$$




Fig. 4. Flow chart of FQLGA algorithm

5. FQLGA simulation & experimental results

To verify the performance of FQLGA two elementary behaviours of reactive navigation of a mobile robot: "Go to Goal" and "Obstacles Avoidance" are presented in this section; the algorithm was adopted in the experiments for both simulations (Saphira simulator) and real robots (Pioneer II).

A. «Go to Goal» behaviour:

The two input variables are: the angle " θ_{Rb} " between the robot velocity vector and the robot-goal vector, and the distance robot-goal " ρ_b ". They are respectively defined by three (*Negative, Zeros, Positive*) and two (*Near, Loin*) fuzzy subsets (fig.5). The two output variables are the

rotation speed, V_{rot_CB} and the translation speed V_{tran_CB} each output is represented by nine actions initialised randomly.




Fig. 5. Membership functions of the input space

The reinforcement functions adopted for the two outputs are respectively given by:

$$r_{V_{rot_CB}}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{Si } (\theta \cdot \dot{\theta} < 0 \text{ ou } -1^\circ < \theta < +1^\circ) \\ 0 & \text{Si } (\theta \cdot \dot{\theta} = 0) \\ -1 & \text{Else} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

$$r_{V_{tran_CB}}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{Si } V_{trans} \leq 0.15\rho_0 \text{ et } \rho_0 \geq 800 \\ 1 & \text{Si } V_{trans} \geq 220 \text{ et } \rho_0 \geq 800 \text{ et } \text{abs}(\theta) < 5 \\ -1 & \text{Else} \end{cases}$$

The parameters of FQL algorithm and the genetic algorithms are as follows:

γ	λ	L_p	L_c	N_p	N_c	p_m
0.9	0.7	5	6	10	09	0.2

L_p and L_c respectively indicate the sizes of the chromosomes for the antecedents and the conclusions part, N_p , N_c respectively represent the size of the population of the parameters of antecedent and the conclusions and P_m the probability of mutation.

The simulation results of the first behaviour "Go to Goal" are presented in the figure (6), 28 generations were sufficient to find the good actions.




Fig. 6. Go to goal: Training/Validation

Figure (7) shows the convergence of the fitness values of the genetic algorithms for the two output variables $Vrot_CB$ and $Vtran_CB$ obtained during experimental test.




Fig. 7. fitness functions for the two output variables

B. « Obstacles Avoidance » behaviour

The Inputs of the FIS are the distances provided by the ultrasounds sensors from the three directions (Frontal, Left and Right) and defined by the three fuzzy subsets: near (N), means (M), and far (F) (fig.8).




Fig. 8. Memberships Functions of the inputs variables

The conclusions (rotation speeds) are initialized randomly. The translation speed of $Vtran_EO$ is given analytically; it is linearly proportional to the frontal distance:

$$Vtran_EO = \frac{V\ max}{D\ max} \cdot (Dis_F - Ds) \tag{11}$$

$V\ max$ is the maximum speed of the robot equal to 350mm/s.

$D\ max$ is the maximum value measured by the frontal sensors and estimated to 2000mm and Ds is a safe distance witch is fixed at 250mm.

The function of reinforcement is defined as follows [5]:

$$r_{Vrot_CB}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 \times \text{Signe}(Vrot_EO) & \text{if } Dis_R < Dis_L \text{ or } Dis_F < Dis_L \\ & \text{and } Dmin < 800 \\ -1 \times \text{Signe}(Vrot_EO) & \text{and } Dis_L < Dis_R \text{ or } Dis_F < Dis_R \\ & \text{and } Dmin < 800 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

with $D_{min} = \min(Dis_L, Dis_F, Dis_R)$

The parameters of FQL algorithm and the genetic algorithms are identical to the preceding behaviour except for the sizes of the chromosomes $Lp=12$ and $Lc=27$.

Figure (9) represents the trajectories of the robot during the learning phase with FQL algorithm and a random initialization of the consequents part for the 27 fuzzy rules. Several situations of failures are observed, this example show the limits of traditional FQL algorithm when priority knowledge about the system are not available.




Fig. 9. Trajectories of the robot obtained by FQL algorithm using a random initialization of parameters

The trajectories of figure (10) show the effectiveness of the association of the reinforcement learning FQL and the genetic algorithm as stochastic tool for exploration. FQLGA Algorithm enables to find an optimal FIS for the desired behaviour (obstacles avoidance). The duration of learning depend to the genetic algorithm parameters and the obstruction density of the environment. We observe that after each generation the quality of the FIS (sum of local qualities) increases, which give more chance to the best individuals to be in the next generations.




Fig. 10. Learning/Validation Trajectories of the robot with FQLGA algorithm for various environments

Figure (11) shows the performances of FQLGA algorithm compared to the FQL algorithm which can be blocked in a local minimum when the optimal solution is not present in the randomly generated set of actions. On the other hand FQLGA algorithm converges towards the optimal solution independently of the initialized values.




Fig. 11. Evolution of the quality of the Fuzzy controller with FQL and FQLGA algorithms

C. Experimental results with the real robot Pioneer II

Figure (12) represents the results of the on line learning of the robot Pioneer II for the behaviour "Go to goal". During the learning phase, the robot does not follow a rectilinear trajectory (represented in green) between the starting point and the goal point because several actions are tested (exploration). Finally the algorithm could find the good actions, and the robot converges towards the goal marked in red colour, the necessary time to find these good actions is estimated at 2mn. Each generation is generated after having noted twenty (20) failures. The learning process requires respectively 32 and 38 generations for GA to determine rotation and translation speed.




Fig.12. On line learning of the real robot Pioneer II, "Go to goal" behaviour

Figure (13) represents the results of the on line learning of the "Obstacles Avoidance" robot behaviour. For reasons of safety, we consider that the minimal distances detected by the frontal sonars and of left/right are respectively 408 mm and of 345 mm a lower value than these distances is considered then as a failure and involves a retreat (represented in green) of the mobile robot. A generation is created after 50 failures. The genetic algorithms require 14 generations to optimize the conclusions and 24 generations to optimize the parameters of the antecedents. The duration of on line learning is estimated at 20 min, this time is acceptable vis-à-vis the heaviness of the traditional genetic algorithms.



Fig. 13. On line learning of the real robot Pioneer II, behaviour "Obstacle Avoidance»

Figure (14) represents the evolution of the fitness function obtained during this experimentation.




Fig.14 Fitness function evolution, "Obstacles avoidance" robot behaviour

6. Dynamic Fuzzy Q-Learning Genetic Algorithm (DFQLGA)

A. Algorithm DFQL

The algorithm Dynamic Fuzzy Q-Learning (DFQL) proposed by Meng Joo Er, et Chang Deng is an extension of the original Q-learning method into a fuzzy environment. State-space coding is realized by the input variable fuzzy sets. A state described by a vector of fuzzy variables is called a fuzzy state. A learner may partially visit a fuzzy state, in the sense that real-valued descriptions of the state of the system may be matched by a fuzzy state description with a degree less than one. Since more than one fuzzy state may be visited at the same time, possibly with different degrees, we have a smooth transition between a state and its neighbours, and, consequently, smooth changes of actions done in the different states. Both the actions and the Q-function are represented by a FIS whose fuzzy logic rules can be self-constructed based on the ϵ -completeness of fuzzy rules and the TD error.

1) ϵ - Completeness criteria for Rule Generation

Definition: ϵ -Completeness of fuzzy rules C. C. Lee: For any input in the operating range, there exists at least one fuzzy rule so that the match degree (or firing strength) is no less than ϵ . In fuzzy applications, the minimum value of ϵ is usually selected as $\epsilon = 0,5$.

From the viewpoint of fuzzy rules, a fuzzy rule is a local representation over a region defined in the input space. If a new pattern satisfies ϵ - Completeness, the DFQL will not generate a new rule but accommodate the new sample by updating the parameters of existing rules.

2) TD Error Criterion for Rule Generation

It is not sufficient to consider ϵ -completeness of fuzzy rules as the criterion of rule generation only. New rules need to be generated in regions of the input fuzzy subspace where the approximation performance of the DFQL is unsatisfactory. Here, we introduce a separate performance index ξ^i , for each fuzzy subspace which enables the discovery of "problematic" regions in the input space. The performance index is updated as follows Meng Joo Er, et Chang Deng:

$$\xi_{t+1}^i = [(K - \alpha_t^i)\xi_t^i + \alpha_t^i(\tilde{\epsilon}_{t+1})^2] / K \quad \text{avec } K > 0$$

By developing the preceding equation, the errors TD coefficients are expressed as follows:

$$\xi_{t+1}^i = \begin{cases} \xi_1^i = \frac{\alpha_0^i}{K} \epsilon_1^2 \\ \xi_2^i = \left(\frac{K - \alpha_1^i}{K}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha_0^i}{K}\right) \epsilon_1^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha_1^i}{K}\right) \epsilon_2^2 \\ \xi_3^i = \left(\frac{K - \alpha_2^i}{K}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{K - \alpha_1^i}{K}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha_0^i}{K}\right) \epsilon_1^2 + \left(\frac{K - \alpha_2^i}{K}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha_1^i}{K}\right) \epsilon_2^2 + \left(\frac{\alpha_2^i}{K}\right) \epsilon_3^2 \\ \vdots \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

After each iteration, all preceding errors TD are balanced by the term $\frac{K - \alpha_{t-1}^i}{K} < 1$.

Using the squared TD error as the criterion, the rule firing strength α^i determines how much the fuzzy rule R_i affects the TD error. It should be noted that (13) acts as a digital low-pass filter. In this way, TD errors in the past are gradually "forgotten" as time passes, but are never completely lost. The more recent the TD error is received, the more it affects the value of ξ . The initial value of ξ^i is set to zero. The parameter K which controls the overall

behaviour of ξ is usually selected between ten and 100. A small value of K makes ξ adapt very rapidly and a large value makes ξ more stable in a noisy environment. Thus, if ξ^i is lower than a certain threshold k_e , further segmentations should be considered for this fuzzy subspace at least. The figure (15) represents the global flowchart of the DFQL algorithm Meng Joo Er, et Chang Deng.




Fig. 15. DFQL algorithm flowchart

B. Dynamic Fuzzy Q-Learning Genetic Algorithm (DFQLGA)

The structural and parametric optimization of a fuzzy inference system without any *priori* knowledge, is the objective of several research, several approaches suggested in the literature are based on the reinforcement genetic method.

The Algorithm FQLGA, presented in A. Nemra H. Rezine, ensures a parametric optimization; however the optimization of the conclusions and premises separately doesn't exploit effectively the power of the genetic algorithm. The SEFC Algorithm proposed by Chia-Feng Juang, Jiann-Yow Lin, and Chin-Teng Lin, permit to solve this problem. Using the Michigan approach, when a fuzzy rule (premises & conclusions) is regarded as an individual and the FIS is built by the combination of several individuals.

However for these two algorithms the structure of the FIS (the number of fuzzy rules and membership function) is predefined by the human expert, which is not always easy, especially in the case of the complexes systems. For the latter an automatic design of the FIS becomes necessary, which is the objective of the learning algorithm DFQL which generates the rules and the membership functions automatically during the learning according to the two criterias mentioned before. The results obtained by algorithm DFQL are considered to be satisfactory if the predefined set of actions is correct and suitable for the desired task, nevertheless, if it is not the case (no *priori* knowledge) then the apprentice is likely to fail to do its task appropriately. Then as a solution for this problem we develop a new algorithm the DFQLGA.

C. Principle of the DFQLGA algorithm

The new learning algorithm suggested is called Dynamic Fuzzy Q-Learning Genetic Algorithm (DFQLGA) is a combination of the algorithm DFQL and the genetic algorithm (GA) developed previously. With this algorithm, the fuzzy rules and the memberships functions of the premise part are generated automatically by the DFQL algorithm Meng Joo Er, Chang Deng according to ϵ -Completeness and error TD criteria. The conclusion part of the fuzzy rules, as it is randomly initialized (a random set of actions for each fuzzy rule), then the genetic algorithm is used to determine the best conclusions of the rules previously generated.

D. Optimization of the conclusions by GA

The considered Fuzzy Inference System (FIS) is a zero order Takagi-Sugeno type, composed by one fuzzy rule only, initialized randomly as follow:

Rule R_i : if S_1 is L_1^i andand S_{N_i} is $L_{N_i}^i$ then Y is a_j^i with q_j^i a_j^i is a vector representing the discrete set of K conclusions generated randomly for the output variable Y of the rule R_i with which a vector representing the quality q_j^i is associated with each action. The initialization of the fuzzy rule is random for the conclusion part, the membership functions of the premises are of type Gaussian and has unspecified parameters (Means, Standard deviation).

The update of local quality q_j^i is given by the equation (eq.6), the algorithm DFQLGA uses as fitness function the sum of local qualities Q given by (fig.16):

$$f(Ind_j) = Q(S_{t+1}, SIF_{t+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^N q_j^i \quad (14)$$

To accelerate the time of learning, the quality matrix Q is not initialized at any iteration, but undergoes the same genetic operations as those applied on the population of the individuals

(selection, crossing). A new generation is created not at any iteration but only after having received a specified number of failures (negative rewards) Chia-Feng Juang, Min-Soeng Kim and Kim and Ju-Jang Lee. Between two generations, the evolution of the quality matrix is done by the FQL algorithm according to the equation (6). An exploration/exploitation policy (EEP) is implemented at the beginning of the FQL algorithm; thereafter it is ensured by the mutation operator of the genetic algorithm.




Fig. 16. Evaluation of the individuals

The steps of the algorithm DFQLGA are summarized as follows (fig.17):

1. Initialization with only one fuzzy rule with random parameters.
2. Generation of a new fuzzy rule by using the two criteria ε -Completeness and error TD.
3. Once a number N_s of fuzzy rules is reached the genetic algorithm is carried out to explore the space of solutions, (creation of a generation after any N_{ech} failure) using the sum of local qualities as fitness function (eq.14).
4. Repeat stage 1 and 2 until the criterion of stop is checked (convergence of the fitness function).

7. DFQLGA simulation & experimental results

We study two elementary behaviours of reactive navigation of a mobile robot: "Go to a goal" and "Obstacles Avoidance" carried out by a fuzzy inference system optimized (structural and parametric characteristics optimization) by the algorithm DFQLGA. We have the results obtained on the Saphira simulator of the robot Pioneer II, then on the real robot.

A. "Go to Goal" behaviour

The two inputs variables are $\langle\langle\rho_g\rangle\rangle$ and $\langle\langle\theta_{RG}\rangle\rangle$ represent the angle between the velocity vector of the robot and the vector robot-goal and the distance robot-goal respectively. They are

initialized with one fuzzy sub-set only for each fuzzy rule (Fig.18). The two outputs variables are the rotation velocity $Vrot_GG$ and the translation, velocity $Vtran_GG$.




Fig. 17. Flow chart of the Algorithm DFQLGA




Fig. 18. the initialized membership functions for the two input of the FIS

The adopted reinforcement functions are respectively given by:

$$r_{Vrot_GG} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\theta_{RG} \dot{\theta}_{RG} < 0 \text{ or } -1^0 < \theta_{RG} < +1^0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (\theta_{RG} \dot{\theta}_{RG} = 0) \\ -1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$r_{Vtrans_GG} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Vtrans < 0.15\rho_g \text{ and } \rho_g > 800 \text{ mm} \\ 1 & \text{if } Vtrans > 220 \text{ mm} \text{ \& } \rho_g \geq 800 \text{ mm} \text{ \& } |\theta_{RG}| < 5^0 \\ -1 & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

The parameters of the algorithm DFQLGA are given as follows:

DFQL						AG		
ϵ	k_d	k_{mf1}	k_{mf2}	K	k_e	Ns	Np	Pm
0.5	0.83	1000	60	20	0.7	06	10	02

The genetic algorithm run only, once a number of fuzzy rules Ns is reached by algorithm DFQL. Np , Pm respectively represents the size of the population and the probability mutation.

The figure (19) represents the results at the beginning of the learning, after the generation of four fuzzy rules; the genetic algorithm was not run yet. The membership functions determined by the DFQL algorithm are represented on the figure (20).




Fig. 19. Go to Goal (Learning)

Progressively with its evolution, the robot discovers new situations, and new fuzzy subsets are then generated (fig.21) and other fuzzy rules are then added and the behaviour of the robot improves (fig.22). At the end of the learning, eight (08) fuzzy rules are built and the figure (21) represents the final membership functions generated for the two inputs.




Fig. 20. Determined membership functions after the generation of four fuzzy rules




Fig. 21. final membership functions after the generation of 08 fuzzy rules




Fig. 22. Validation of the behaviour « Go to Goal »

B. “Obstacles Avoidance” behaviour

The algorithm DFQLGA is also validated with the behaviour of obstacles avoidance, the inputs of the FIS are the distances read by the ultrasound sensors, we test two types of FIS (with three and four inputs) and the rotation velocity V_{rot_EO} as output variable, the translation velocity V_{tran_EO} is calculated analytically, as a linear function of the frontal distance.

$$V_{tran_EO} = \frac{V_{max}}{D_{max}} \cdot (Dis_F - D_s) \tag{16}$$

V_{max} is the maximum velocity of the robot equal to 350m/s. D_{max} is the maximum reading allowed the frontal sensors equal to 2000mm and D_s is a safety distance fixed at 250mm. The parameters of the algorithm are given as follows:

ε	k_d	k_{mf}	K	k_e
0.5	0.83	400	20	0.7

For the GA we will take $N_s=08$, and $P_m=0.1$

FIS with three inputs:



Fig. 23. Trajectories of the robot, Learning & Validation with the algorithm DFQLGA for various environments.




Fig. 24. Finals membership functions of the inputs of the FIS determined by the DFQLGA algorithm a) Left Distance, b) Right Distance, c) Frontal Distance




Fig. 25. (a) Number of fuzzy rules generated by the DFQLGA algorithm (b) Fitness function evolution

FIS with four inputs:

The inputs of the fuzzy controller are the minimal distances provided by the four sets of sonars {D1=min (d1, d2), D2=min (d3, d4), G1=min (g1, g2), G2=min (g3, g4)}. The translation velocity of the robot is given as previously (eq.16) with a light modification: it is linearly proportional to the Frontal Distance.

$$V_{trans} = \frac{V_{max}}{D_{max}} \cdot (\min(g4, d4) - D_s) \tag{17}$$

At the end of the learning process, the final FIS is composed by forty five (45) fuzzy rules and the generated membership functions are represented on the figure (26).




Fig. 26. Final membership functions of the inputs of the FIS determined by the DFQLGA algorithm
 a) Right Distance, b) Right-Frontal Distance
 c) Frontal-Left Distance d) Left Distance.

The figure (28) represents the evolution of the number of generations, the execution of the genetic algorithm starts after the generation of eight (08) fuzzy rules. A new generation of the conclusions is carried out after reception of certain number of failures (20 failures in our case).

The figure (27) shows the evolution of the fitness function (sum of the locals qualities) used by the genetic algorithm.




Fig. 27. Evolution of the Number of fuzzy rules generated by the algorithm DFQLGA




Fig. 28. Number of generation generated by the algorithm DFQLGA

The figures (fig.30) and (fig.31) respectively represent the trajectories of the mobile robot during and after the learning. The learning phase proceeds in three (03) stages (fig.30):




Fig. 29. Evolution of the fitness function

1. In the beginning the robot is almost blind and it moves according to straight line until entering in collision with the obstacles. In this stage the FIS is composed by one fuzzy rule only initialized randomly, this stage contains the first four failures. Once the ε - Completeness and the $Error_TD$ criteria are satisfied, then new rules are generated.

2. In a second stage the structure of the found FIS permits the mobile robot to learn the obstacles avoidance behaviour if the correct action is among the set of the suggested actions, otherwise robot affects other failures (failure 5 and failure 6). In this case the genetic algorithm will create new generations of the actions more adapted based on the fitness function.
3. In this third stage, the robot is able to carry out the desired behaviour successfully (fig.31). The necessary number of fuzzy rules and membership functions is generated by the algorithm DFQL when the values of the conclusions are optimized by the genetic algorithm.




Fig. 30. Trajectories of the robot during the learning of the SIF by algorithm DFQLGA (the first two stages)




Fig. 31. Trajectories of the robot after the learning of the FIS by algorithm DFQLGA (the third stage)

C. experimental Results on the robot real Pioneer II

The figure (32) represents the results of validation on the mobile robot Pioneer II for the behaviour "Go to goal" by choosing two goals of coordinate, B1 (2500 mm, - 1000 mm) and

B2 (3250 mm, 1250 mm) the figure (32) shows that the robot succeeds in achieving respectively the two goals B1 then B2.




Fig. 32. Validation of the FIS obtained in simulation by algorithm DFQLGA on the real robot Pioneer II for the "Go to goal" behaviour

The figure (33) represents the results of learning (a) and validation (b) in real time for the robot Pioneer II for the "go to goal" behaviour.

The figure (34) represents the results of the on line learning of the robot for the behaviour "Obstacles Avoidance". For reasons of safety, we consider that the minimal distance detected by the frontal sonar is 408 mm and the right/left sonar is 345 mm, if the detected value is lower than these thresholds then the behaviour is regarded as a failure and involves a retreat (represented in green) of the mobile robot.

The figure (34-a) represents the behaviour of the robot during the real time learning of the robot with the behaviour "obstacles avoidance". The figure (34-b) represents the evolution of the fitness function, according to this figure we can distinguish two phases, the first

corresponds to the exploration stage, where the robot carries out several tests/failures, then in a second phase the robot begin to choose the suitable actions at the appropriate state, it is the end of learning. The algorithm DFQLGA generates fourteen (14) fuzzy rules (fig.34.c) after 21 generations; the time of real time learning is estimated to 19 minutes.



a) Real time learning




b) Validation


Fig. 33. Learning/Validation of the FIS conceived in real time on the robot pioneer II



a. Robot Behaviour



b. Fitness Function



c. Number of the fuzzy rules

Fig. 34. Real time learning by the algorithm DFQLGA of the robot Pioneer II, behaviour "Obstacles Avoidance" (FIS with 03 inputs)

Figure.35 represents the validation results of a FIS conceived in real time, for the behaviour "Obstacles Avoidance".

8. Conclusion

The combination of the reinforcement Q-Learning algorithm and genetics Algorithms give a new type of hybrid algorithms (FQLGA) which is more powerful than traditional learning algorithms. FQLGA proved its effectiveness when no *priori* knowledge about system is available. Indeed, starting from a random initialization of the conclusions values and equidistant distribution for the membership functions for antecedent part the genetic algorithm enables to find the best individual for the task indicated using only the received reinforcement signal. The controller optimized by FQLGA algorithm was validated on a real robot and satisfactory results were obtained. The next stage of this work is the on line optimization of the structure of the Fuzzy controller.



Fig. 35. Validation of a FIS conceived on real time by algorithm DFQLGA

The algorithm DFQLGA is a combination of the algorithm DFQL and the genetic algorithm GA. The fuzzy rules and the fuzzy subsets (membership functions) are generated automatically by the algorithm DFQL according to the ε -Completeness and the error TD criteria. The conclusions part of the fuzzy rules is initialized randomly (a random set of actions is proposed for each rule); the genetic algorithm permits to determine the best conclusions of the rules previously generated. The algorithm DFQLGA showed its effectiveness to optimize on line and in an automatic way the structural and parametric characteristics of a fuzzy controller without any priori knowledge on the system to be controlled. Satisfactory results are obtained in simulation then in practice on the mobile robot Pioneer II, for the behaviour "Go to goal" and "Obstacles avoidance". The continuation of this work consists in reducing the duration of learning.

9. Acknowledgment


Abdelkrim Nemra: was born in August, 7th, 1980 in Tenes (Algeria). He received the Engineering and the Master degree in 2004, 2006 respectively from the Military Polytechnic School (Algeria). Currently, he is PhD student at Cranfield University, Department Aerospace, Power and Sensors (UK). His current research areas include Robotic, Control of System, Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) and Visual SLAM.

Hacène Rezine: was born in 1955 in El-Kala, Algeria. He received an engineering degree from the Ecole Nationale d'Ingénieurs et de Techniciens, Alger in 1979, the Engineer Degree from the Ecole Nationale Supérieur d'Electrotechnique, d'Electronique, d'Informatique, et d'Hydrolique, Toulouse, France in 1980 and the Doctor-Engineer Degree from the Institut Nationale Polytechnique of Toulouse in 1983. He is currently the Head of Unit of teaching

and control research of the Algerian Polytechnic Military School. His current research areas include Electrical drives, Mobile robotic, Fuzzy control and Artificial Intelligent Control.

10. References

- L. Jouffe: "Actor-Critic Learning Based on Fuzzy Inference System", Proc of the IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics. Beijing, China, pp.339-344, 1996.
- C. Watkins, P. Dayan: "Q-Learning Machine Learning", pp.279-292, 1992.
- P. Y. Glorennec and L. Jouffe, "Fuzzy Q-learning," Proc. Of IEEE Int. Con On Fuzzy Systems, pp. 659-662, 1997
- Dongbing Gu, Huosheng Hu, Libor Spacek " Learning Fuzzy Logic Controller for Reactive Robot Behaviours" Proc. of IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Japan, pp.20-24 July, 2003.
- A. Souissi : "Apprentissage par Renforcement des Systèmes d'inférence Floue par des Méthodes par renforcement application à la navigation réactive d'un robot mobile", Thèse de Magistère, Ecole Militaire Polytechnique, Janvier 2005.
- Meng Joo Er, et Chang Deng " Online Tuning of Fuzzy Inference Systems Using Dynamic Fuzzy Q-Learning" IEEE transactions on systems, man, and cybernetics, part B: cybernetics, Vol. 34, N° 3, pp.1478-1489, June 2004
- Chia-Feng Juang " Combination of online Clustering and Q-Value Based GA for Reinforcement Fuzzy System Design" IEEE Transactions On Systems, Man, And Cybernetics Vol. 13, N° 3, pp.289-302, June 2005
- Min-Soeng Kim and Kim and Ju-Jang Lee. "Constructing a Fuzzy Logic Controller Using Evolutionary Q-Learning" IEEE. pp.1785-1790, 2000
- Chia-Feng Juang and Chun-Feng Lu. Combination of On-line Clustering and Q-value Based Genetic Reinforcement Learning For Fuzzy Network Design 0-7803-7898-9/03/\$17.00 © 2003 IEEE.
- David E Goldberg "Algorithmes génétiques Exploration, optimisation et apprentissage automatique"» Edition Addison-Wesley, France 1994.
- C. C. Lee, "Fuzzy logic in control system: Fuzzy logic controller," IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern., vol. 20, pp. 404-435, Mar./Apr. 1990.
- A. Nemra H. Rezine : «Genetic reinforcement learning of fuzzy inference system Application to mobile robotic », ICINCO, may 2007, P 206-2130.
- Chia-Feng Juang, Jiann-Yow Lin, and Chin-Teng Lin "Genetic Reinforcement Learning through Symbiotic Evolution for Fuzzy Controller Design" IEEE transactions on systems, man, and cybernetics-part b: cybernetics, vol. 30, no. 2, april 2000.
- Chia-Feng Juang " Combination of online Clustering and Q-Value Based GA for Reinforcement Fuzzy System Design" IEEE Transactions On Systems, Man, And Cybernetics Vol. 13, N° 3, pp.289-302, June 2005.
- Min-Soeng Kim and Kim and Ju-Jang Lee. "Constructing a Fuzzy Logic Controller Using Evolutionary Q-Learning" IEEE. pp.1785-1790, 2000.



Robotics Automation and Control

Edited by Pavla Pecherkova, Miroslav Flidr and Jindrich Dunik

ISBN 978-953-7619-18-3

Hard cover, 494 pages

Publisher InTech

Published online 01, October, 2008

Published in print edition October, 2008

This book was conceived as a gathering place of new ideas from academia, industry, research and practice in the fields of robotics, automation and control. The aim of the book was to point out interactions among various fields of interests in spite of diversity and narrow specializations which prevail in the current research. The common denominator of all included chapters appears to be a synergy of various specializations. This synergy yields deeper understanding of the treated problems. Each new approach applied to a particular problem can enrich and inspire improvements of already established approaches to the problem.

How to reference

In order to correctly reference this scholarly work, feel free to copy and paste the following:

Abdelkrim Nemra, and and Hacene Rezine (2008). Genetic Reinforcement Learning Algorithms for On-line Fuzzy Inference System Tuning "Application to Mobile Robotic", Robotics Automation and Control, Pavla Pecherkova, Miroslav Flidr and Jindrich Dunik (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-7619-18-3, InTech, Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/robotics_automation_and_control/genetic_reinforcement_learning_algorithms_for_on-line_fuzzy_inference_system_tuning_application

INTECH

open science | open minds

InTech Europe

University Campus STeP Ri
Slavka Krautzeka 83/A
51000 Rijeka, Croatia
Phone: +385 (51) 770 447
Fax: +385 (51) 686 166
www.intechopen.com

InTech China

Unit 405, Office Block, Hotel Equatorial Shanghai
No.65, Yan An Road (West), Shanghai, 200040, China
中国上海市延安西路65号上海国际贵都大饭店办公楼405单元
Phone: +86-21-62489820
Fax: +86-21-62489821

© 2008 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike-3.0 License](#), which permits use, distribution and reproduction for non-commercial purposes, provided the original is properly cited and derivative works building on this content are distributed under the same license.

IntechOpen

IntechOpen